

BALLOT ARGUMENT STATEMENT FORM

Election Code Section 9600

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to California Elections Code Division 9, commencing with Section 9000, shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the PRIMARY
(primary/rebuttal to)

argument AGAINST ballot proposition D
(in favor of/against) (name or number)

at the SPECIAL ELECTION
(title of election)

for the TOWN OF LOOMIS
(jurisdiction)

to be held on JUNE 18, 2019 hereby state that such argument is
(date of election)

true and correct to the best of THEIR knowledge and belief.
(his/her/their)

Signed Miguel Ucouich Date 4/2/2019
Printed name MIGUEL UCOUICH Title FORMER MAYOR TOWN OF LOOMIS

Signed Hazel Hineline Date 4/2/2019
Printed name Hazel Hineline Title Former Mayor of Loomis

Signed Holly DeVito Date 4/2/19
Printed name Holly DeVito Title Founder, Sum of All Numbers

Signed Pamela Bosserman Date 4-2-2019
Printed name Pamela Bosserman Title owner Loomis Flooring U.S.A

Signed Sonja L. Cupler Date 4-3-2019
Printed name SONJA L. CUPLER Title CO-LEADER
LOOMIS CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE GROWTH

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Signed Sonja L. Cupler Date 4-3-2019
Printed name SONJA L. CUPLER Title CO-LEADER
LOOMIS CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE GROWTH
Mailing Address P.O. BOX 363, LOOMIS, CA 95650

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE D (Regarding Ordinance 276)

VOTE NO ON MEASURE D to **PRESERVE** our quality of life! **REJECTING** the Town Council's rezoning of Loomis in favor of the developer simply means Loomis deserves better.

PLEASE NOTE: Favoring Measure C & D promotes the developer's wants **OVER** the town's desire for our **RIGHT** to a quality and peaceful lifestyle. **NO BUILDING** legally can take place until the General Plan and Zoning are consistent. If the Town **VOTES NO** the developer will **NOT** be able to legally request the similar zoning change for a year. The unknown is what the developer will propose next. Either way a new development proposal **SHOULD** go back to the Planning Commission for approval. The developer is **NOT ABOVE** local protocol.

VOTING NO means you are fighting for our **RIGHTS** to our **QUALITY OF LIFE!** Otherwise, we will no longer be able to escape the lifestyles of Roseville and Rocklin.

Planned Development Agreement will bring:

- 800+ residential vehicles, putting an additional 5,000 daily vehicles trips on our already crowded roads
- Insufficient parking for residents/guests and increased noise and pollution levels
- Lack of sidewalks in a so-called "Walkable Community"
- Five acres of fragmented parks lacking dedicated parking and restrooms
- Over 60% of homes will have minimal to zero private yard space for play or entertainment

And a **NO Vote on Measure D** would make the developers follow Town standards, rather than the town council abiding to the developers standards. The developers standards is to bring the first ever urbanized high density no privacy communities to Loomis which is against everything Loomis had fought against for years.

VOTE NO on Measure D if you believe that Loomis is heading in the wrong direction.

Got questions? Contact Loomis Citizens For Responsible Growth at:
www.loomisdeservesbetter.com