

Prepared for:

Town of Loomis  
3665 Taylor Road  
P.O. Box 1330  
Loomis, CA 95650

Contact Info:

Robert King, Town Planner  
[RKing@loomis.ca.gov](mailto:RKing@loomis.ca.gov)  
(916) 652-1840

Prepared by:

AECOM  
2020 L Street, Suite 400  
Sacramento, CA 95811

Contact Info:

Ken Koch, Project Manager  
[Ken.Koch@aecom.com](mailto:Ken.Koch@aecom.com)  
(916) 414-5800

Printed on environmentally responsible paper. Made from 100% recycled post-consumer waste.

# Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	ES-1
<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Purpose of the Environmental Impact Report .....	1-1
1.2 Scope and Content of the Environmental Impact Report.....	1-1
1.3 Environmental Review Process .....	1-1
1.3.1 Preliminary Review .....	1-1
1.3.2 Notice of Preparation .....	1-2
1.4 Type of Environmental Impact Report.....	1-2
1.4.1 Draft Environmental Impact Report.....	1-3
1.4.2 Final Environmental Impact Report.....	1-3
1.5 Organization and Content of this Draft Environmental Impact Report .....	1-4
<b>2. Project Description .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Purpose .....	2-1
2.2 Project Site Location.....	2-1
2.3 Proposed Project .....	2-1
2.3.1 Project Applicant .....	2-1
2.3.2 Objectives .....	2-1
2.3.3 Site Plan.....	2-4
2.3.4 Utilities and Energy Conservation .....	2-8
2.3.5 Operations .....	2-19
2.3.6 Deliveries .....	2-19
2.4 Construction and Phasing.....	2-20
2.5 Intended Uses of this Environmental Impact Report.....	2-20
2.6 Permits and Approvals .....	2-23
<b>3. Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Regional Environmental Setting.....	3.1-1
3.2 Aesthetics .....	3.2-1
3.3 Air Quality .....	3.3-1
3.4 Biological Resources .....	3.4-1
3.5 Greenhouse Gases and Energy .....	3.5-1
3.6 Noise.....	3.6-1
3.7 Transportation and Traffic .....	3.7-1
<b>4. Cumulative Impacts .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	4-1
4.2 Cumulative Context .....	4-1
4.2.1 Regional Growth .....	4-1
4.2.2 Approved, Pending, and Planned Projects.....	4-2
4.2.3 Scope of the Cumulative Impact Analysis .....	4-4
4.3 Analysis of Cumulative Impacts .....	4-4
4.3.1 Aesthetics .....	4-4
4.3.2 Air Quality .....	4-5
4.3.3 Biological Resources.....	4-7
4.3.4 Greenhouse Gases and Energy.....	4-7
4.3.5 Noise.....	4-9
4.3.6 Transportation and Traffic .....	4-12

<b>5.</b>	<b>Other CEQA Requirements .....</b>	<b>.5-1</b>
5.1	Growth-Inducing Impacts.....	.5-1
5.1.1	Population Growth.....	.5-1
5.1.2	Removal of Obstacles to Growth.....	.5-1
5.1.3	Economic Growth.....	.5-2
5.2	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes .....	.5-2
5.3	Effects Found Not to Be Significant .....	.5-3
5.3.1	Resource Topics with No Impacts .....	.5-3
5.3.2	Resource Topics with Less-Than-Significant Impacts and Impacts Mitigated to a Level of Less than Significant .....	.5-5
<b>6.</b>	<b>Alternatives .....</b>	<b>.6-1</b>
6.1	Purpose .....	.6-1
6.2	Factors Considered in Selection of Alternatives .....	.6-1
6.3	Alternatives Removed from Consideration.....	.6-2
6.3.1	Off-Site Location at Opportunity Site 1 .....	.6-2
6.3.2	Off-Site Location at Opportunity Site 2 .....	.6-4
6.3.3	Off-Site Location at Opportunity Site 3.....	.6-5
6.4	Alternatives Selected for Consideration.....	.6-6
6.4.1	Alternative 1: No Project .....	.6-6
6.4.2	Alternative 2: No Fueling Station.....	.6-10
6.4.3	Alternative 3: Reduced Floor Space.....	.6-14
6.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative .....	.6-17
<b>7</b>	<b>References .....</b>	<b>.7-1</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>List of Preparers .....</b>	<b>.8-1</b>

# Figures

Figure 2-1	Regional Location .....	2-2
Figure 2-2	Project Site.....	2-3
Figure 2-3	Site Plan.....	2-5
Figure 2-4	Warehouse Building Elevations.....	2-9
Figure 2-5	Fueling Station Building Elevations .....	2-11
Figure 2-6	Landscape Plan .....	2-13
Figure 2-7	Utility Plan .....	2-15
Figure 2-8	Drainage .....	2-17
Figure 2-9	Grading Plan .....	2-21
Figure 3.1-1	Regional Map .....	3.1-2
Figure 3.1-2	Downtown Town Center .....	3.1-5
Figure 3.1-3	General Plan Land Use Designations .....	3.1-6
Figure 3.1-4	Loomis Zoning Designations.....	3.1-7
Figure 3.2-1	Project Setting and Key Viewpoints .....	3.2-2
Figure 3.2-2	View along the Northern Site Boundary toward the Sierra Meadows Apartments.....	3.2-3
Figure 3.2-3	View along Brace Road, Looking East .....	3.2-3
Figure 3.2-4	View toward the Eastern Property Boundary, Illustrating the Existing Tree Canopy.....	3.2-4
Figure 3.2-5	View of the Eastern Property Boundary, Illustrating Adjacent Residential Uses and Fencing ..	3.2-4
Figure 3.2-6	View of the Project Site as Observed along the Southern Boundary .....	3.2-5
Figure 3.2-7	View of the Fast Food Restaurant along the Southern Property Boundary .....	3.2-5
Figure 3.2-8	Visual Resources on and Adjacent to the Project Site, from Key Viewpoint 1 .....	3.2-6
Figure 3.2-9	Views of the Project Site, from Key Viewpoint 2 (Brace Road) .....	3.2-8
Figure 3.2-10	Warehouse Design.....	3.2-15
Figure 3.2-11	Entry.....	3.2-17
Figure 3.2-12	Corner Facade .....	3.2-19
Figure 3.2-13	Loading Dock .....	3.2-21
Figure 3.2-14	Tire Center Facade .....	3.2-23
Figure 3.2-15	Fueling Station Design .....	3.2-25
Figure 3.2-16	Landscape Plan .....	3.2-27
Figure 3.4-1	Habitat Type .....	3.4-2
Figure 3.4-2	Wetlands of the United States.....	3.4-4
Figure 3.5-1	2015 California Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory by Sector.....	3.5-3
Figure 3.5-1	Trends in California Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Years 2000 to 2015) .....	3.5-3
Figure 3.6-1	Ambient Noise Measurement Sites .....	3.6-6
Figure 3.7 1	Study Intersections and Affected Roadway Segments .....	3.7-2
Figure 3.7 2	Regional Trip Distribution .....	3.7-20
Figure 4-1	Cumulative Projects .....	4-3
Figure 6-1	Proposed Project Site and Alternative Opportunity Sites .....	6-3

## Tables

Table ES-1	Comments on the Notice of Preparation .....	ES-2
Table ES-2	Summary of Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	ES-6
Table 3.2-1	Compliance with Town Development Standards .....	3.2-29
Table 3.3-1	National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	3.3-5
Table 3.3-2	Summary of Data regarding Annual Ambient Air Quality near the Project Site.....	3.3-6
Table 3.3-3	Attainment Designations for the Placer County Portion of the Sacramento Valley Air Basin.....	3.3-8
Table 3.3-4	Summary of Modeled Maximum Daily Construction-Related Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors .....	3.3-15
Table 3.3-5	Summary of Modeled Maximum Daily Construction-Related Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors with use of Equipment that Meet ARB's Tier 3 Standard .....	3.3-18
Table 3.3-6	Summary of Modeled Maximum Daily Long-Term Operational Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors .....	3.3-19
Table 3.4-1	Special-Status Plants Known to Occur in the Vicinity of the Project Site .....	3.4-7
Table 3.4-2	Special-Status Animal Species Reported in the Vicinity of the Project Area .....	3.4-10
Table 3.4-3	Acreage of Existing and Affected Habitat Types on the Project Site .....	3.4-21
Table 3.5-1	Town of Loomis 2005 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory (Community-wide) .....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2	Pacific Gas and Electric Company Electrical Power Mix, 2016.....	3.5-5
Table 3.5-3	Modeled Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Construction and Operations of the Proposed Project.....	3.5-15
Table 3.5-4	Modeled Construction Fuel Consumption, Total and Amortized over 20 Years .....	3.5-16
Table 3.5-5	Estimated Annual Electrical and Natural Gas Demands .....	3.5-18
Table 3.5-6	Estimated Annual Fuel Consumption for Proposed Project Operations .....	3.5-19
Table 3.5-7	Summary of Proposed Project Energy Requirements.....	3.5-20
Table 3.6-1	Sources of Common Environmental Noises.....	3.6-2
Table 3.6-2	Structural Responses to Vibration Levels .....	3.6-4
Table 3.6-3	Summary of Ambient Noise-Level Survey Results—November 3–8, 2017 .....	3.6-5
Table 3.6-4	Traffic Noise Contours—Existing Conditions.....	3.6-7
Table 3.6-5	Maximum Allowable Noise Exposures—Town of Loomis General Plan .....	3.6-9
Table 3.6-6	Noise Standards for Short-Duration Events near Residential Areas—Town of Loomis General Plan.....	3.6-9
Table 3.6-7	Worst-Case Construction Equipment Noise Levels at the Nearest Uses in the Project Vicinity ....	3.6-12
Table 3.6-8	Typical Construction Equipment Vibration Levels .....	3.6-14
Table 3.6-9	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels, No Project and Plus Project Conditions .....	3.6-15
Table 3.7-1	Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections .....	3.7-4
Table 3.7-2	Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections .....	3.7-4
Table 3.7-3	Level of Service and Density Definitions for Basic Freeway Segments .....	3.7-4
Table 3.7-4	Study Intersections, Responsible Jurisdictions, and Applicable Operating Standards .....	3.7-5
Table 3.7-5	Existing Conditions—Intersection Level of Service Analysis, Weekday A.M./P.M. and Weekend Midday Peak Hours.....	3.7-7
Table 3.7-6	Existing Conditions—I-80 Mainline Level of Service Analysis, Weekday A.M./P.M. Peak Hour .....	3.7-8
Table 3.7-7	Cumulative Short Term Baseline Conditions—Intersection Level of Service Analysis, Weekday A.M./P.M. and Weekend Midday Peak Hour .....	3.7-9
Table 3.7-8	Cumulative Short Term Baseline Conditions—I-80 Mainline Level of Service Analysis, Weekday A.M./P.M. Peak Hours .....	3.7-10
Table 3.7-9	Cumulative (Long-Term) Baseline Conditions—Intersection Level of Service Analysis, Weekday A.M./P.M. and Weekend Midday Peak Hours .....	3.7-11
Table 3.7-10	Cumulative (Long-Term) Baseline Conditions—I-80 Mainline Level of Service Analysis, Weekday A.M./P.M. Peak Hours .....	3.7-12
Table 3.7-11	Average Trip Characteristics for a Costco Warehouse with a Fueling Station.....	3.7-18
Table 3.7-12	Estimate of Trip Generation by Proposed Loomis Costco Wholesale Warehouse with Fueling Station.....	3.7-19
Table 3.7-13	Trip Characteristics for the Proposed Costco Fueling Station .....	3.7-19
Table 3.7-14	Existing and Existing plus Project Conditions— Analysis of Intersection Delays and Levels of Service, Weekday A.M./P.M. Peak Hour .....	3.7-22

Table 3.7-15	Existing and Existing plus Project Conditions—Analysis of Intersection Delays and Levels of Service, Weekend Midday Peak Hour.....	3.7-23
Table 3.7-16	Existing plus Project Conditions—Summary of Levels of Service at Study Intersections.....	3.7-25
Table 3.7-17	Existing plus Project Conditions—Results of Implementing Mitigation Measure Trans-1 on Intersection Delay and Level of Service .....	3.7-26
Table 3.7-18	Existing and Existing plus Project Conditions—Analysis of I-80 Mainline Levels of Service, Weekday A.M. Peak Hour .....	3.7-26
Table 3.7-19	Existing and Existing plus Project Conditions—Analysis of I-80 Mainline Levels of Service, Weekday P.M. Peak Hour .....	3.7-26
Table 3.7-20	Existing and Existing plus Project Conditions—Analysis of I-80 Mainline Levels of Service, Weekend Midday Peak Hour.....	3.7-27
Table 3.7-21	Existing plus Project Conditions—Results of Implementing Mitigation Measure Trans-2 on Intersection Queuing .....	3.7-28
Table 4-1	Approved and Pending Projects.....	4-2
Table 4-2	Geographic Scope of Cumulative Impacts .....	4-4
Table 4-3	Existing Roadway Noise Levels .....	4-9
Table 4-4	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels, Cumulative Short-Term Conditions .....	4-10
Table 4-5	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels, Cumulative Long-Term Conditions .....	4-11
Table 4-6	Cumulative Short-Term plus Project—Intersection LOS Analysis, Weekday A.M./P.M. Peak Hour .....	4-14
Table 4-7	Cumulative Short-Term plus Project—Intersection LOS Analysis, Weekend Midday Peak Hour .....	4-15
Table 4-8	Cumulative Short-Term plus Project—Study Area Intersection LOS Results Summary .....	4-16
Table 4-9	Cumulative Short-Term—Intersection LOS Analysis, Geometric Mitigation Results.....	4-17
Table 4-10	Cumulative Short-Term—I-80 Mainline LOS Analysis, Weekday A.M. Peak Hour .....	4-18
Table 4-11	Cumulative Short-Term—I-80 Mainline LOS Analysis, Weekday P.M. Peak Hour.....	4-18
Table 4-12	Cumulative Short-Term—I-80 Mainline LOS Analysis, Weekday MD Peak Hour .....	4-18
Table 4-13	Cumulative Conditions—Long-Term Traffic Conditions, Weekday A.M. and P.M. Peak Hours.....	4-20
Table 4-14	Cumulative Conditions—Long-Term Traffic Condition, Weekend Midday Peak .....	4-21
Table 4-15	Cumulative Long-Term plus Project—Study Area Intersection LOS Results Summary.....	4-22
Table 4-16	Cumulative Long-Term—Intersection LOS Analysis, Geometric Mitigation Results .....	4-23
Table 4-17	Cumulative Long-Term—I-80 Mainline LOS Analysis, Weekday A.M. Peak Hour .....	4-24
Table 4-18	Cumulative Long-Term—I-80 Mainline LOS Analysis, Weekday P.M. Peak Hour .....	4-24
Table 4-19	Cumulative Long-Term—I-80 Mainline LOS Analysis, Weekend Midday Peak Hour .....	4-25
Table 4-20	Cumulative Short-Term plus Project—Intersection Queuing Analysis, Signal Coordination Mitigation Results .....	4-26
Table 4-21	Cumulative Long-Term plus Project—Intersection Queuing Analysis, Geometric Mitigation Results .....	4-28
Table 4-22	Cumulative Short Term Conditions—I-80 Westbound Slip Ramp Meter Analysis.....	4-29
Table 4-23	Cumulative Short Term Conditions—I-80 Westbound Slip Ramp Meter Analysis .....	4-29
Table 6-1	Alternative 1B Development Statistics .....	6-7
Table 6-2	Comparison of Construction-Related Emissions: Proposed Project versus Alternative 1B .....	6-8
Table 6-3	Comparison of Operational Emissions: Proposed Project versus Alternative 1B .....	6-8
Table 6-4	Modeled Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Construction and Operations of Alternative 1B .....	6-9
Table 6-5	Vehicular Trip Generation under Alternative 1B .....	6-10
Table 6-6	Comparison of Construction-Related Emissions: Proposed Project versus Alternative 2 .....	6-11
Table 6-7	Comparison of Operational Emissions: Proposed Project versus Alternative 2 .....	6-12
Table 6-8	Modeled Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Construction and Operations of Alternative 2.....	6-13
Table 6-9	Vehicular Trip Generation under Alternative 2 .....	6-14
Table 6-10	Comparison of Construction-Related Emissions: Proposed Project versus Alternative 3 .....	6-15
Table 6-11	Comparison of Operational Emissions: Proposed Project versus Alternative 3 .....	6-15
Table 6-12	Modeled Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Construction and Operations of Alternative 3.....	6-16
Table 6-13	Comparison of Alternatives .....	6-18

## **Appendices**

- A Notice of Preparation and NOP Comments
- B CalEEMod Air Quality Emissions Modeling
- C Biological Resources Reports and Arborist Report
- D Noise Monitoring and Modeling
- E Traffic Study
- F Cultural Resources Inventory
- G Geotechnical Report
- H Environmental Site Assessments

## Acronyms and Other Abbreviations

°F	degrees Fahrenheit
µg/m <sup>3</sup>	micrograms per cubic meter
2007 SIP	<i>State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan for Federal PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 8-Hour Ozone Standards</i>
2014 Scoping Plan Update	First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan: Building on the Framework
2017 Scoping Plan Update	California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan: The Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 Greenhouse Gas Target
AB	Assembly Bill
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
ARB	California Air Resources Board
Attainment and Progress Plan	<i>Sacramento Regional 2008 National Ambient Air Quality Standard 8-Hour Ozone Attainment and Reasonable Further Progress Plan</i>
BACT	best available control technology
BMP	best management practice
Btu	British thermal unit(s)
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAAA	Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990
CAAQS	California ambient air quality standards
CAL FIRE	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
CalEEMod	California Emission Estimator Model
CALGreen Code	California Green Building Standards Code
CalRecycle	California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CC	Central Commercial
CCAA	California Clean Air Act
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CESA	California Endangered Species Act of 1970
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CG	General Commercial (zoning)
cm	centimeter(s)
CNDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CO	carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	carbon dioxide equivalent
County	Placer County
CWA	Clean Water Act
dB	decibel
dBA	A-weighted decibels

dbh	diameter at breast height
DEIR	draft environmental impact report
Delta	Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DTSC	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
EIR	environmental impact report
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	environmental site assessment
FEIR	final environmental impact report
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA-RD-77-108	FHWA Highway Noise Prediction Model
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
GC	General Commercial (General Plan designation)
General Plan	<i>Town of Loomis General Plan</i>
GHG	greenhouse gas
gpm	gallon(s) per minute
GWh	gigawatt-hours
GWP	global warming potential
HAP	hazardous air pollutant
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
I-80	Interstate 80
in/sec	inches per second
kBtu	thousand British thermal units
lb/day	pound(s) per day
LDL	Larson Davis Laboratories
LED	light-emitting diode
L <sub>eq</sub> [h]	A-weighted equivalent sound level
LOS	level of service
LRA	Local Responsibility Area
MACT	maximum available control technology
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
mg/m <sup>3</sup>	milligrams per cubic meter
mgd	million gallons per day
MMRP	mitigation monitoring and reporting program
MPO	metropolitan planning organization
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
MT	metric tons
MTP	Metropolitan Transportation Plan
NAAQS	national ambient air quality standards
NAHC	Native American Heritage Commission
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
NO <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen dioxide
NOP	notice of preparation
NO <sub>x</sub>	oxides of nitrogen
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRCS	U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service

OPR	Governor's Office of Planning and Research
PCAPCD	Placer County Air Pollution Control District
PCSD	Placer County Sheriff's Department
PCWA	Placer County Water Agency
PG&E	Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Placer County Environmental Health	Placer County Environmental Health Department
PM	particulate matter
PM <sub>10</sub>	respirable particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 micrometers or less
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less
Porter-Cologne Act	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
PPV	peak particle velocity
PRC	California Public Resources Code
psi	pound(s) per square inch
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
REC	recognized environmental condition
Reporting Rule	Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule
RH	High Density Residential (zoning)
RM-5	Medium Density Residential (zoning)
RMH	Residential-Medium-High Density (General Plan designation)
RMS	root-mean-square
RUCS	Rural-Urban Connection Strategy
R-Value	energy efficiency rating
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SACOG	Sacramento Area Council of Governments
SB	Senate Bill
SCS	Sustainable Community Strategy
SEL	sound equivalent level
SFNA	Sacramento Federal Nonattainment Area
SIP	state implementation plan
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide
SO <sub>x</sub>	oxides of sulfur
SPMUD	South Placer Municipal Utility District
SPWA	South Placer Wastewater Authority
State CEQA Guidelines	California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines
SVAB	Sacramento Valley Air Basin
SWPPP	storm water pollution prevention plan
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TAC	toxic air contaminant
TCCR	Transportation Corridor Concept Report
Town	Town of Loomis
UP	Use Permit Required
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USC	United States Code
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS	United States Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank
UWMP	urban water management plan
VdB	vibration decibels
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VOC	volatile organic compound
WRSL	Western Regional Sanitary Landfill
WS	Wetland Swales
WTP	water treatment plant
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant

AECOM  
2020 L Street, Suite 400  
Sacramento, CA 95811