

Staff Report September 10, 2019

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Town Council

FROM:

Britton Snipes, Town Engineer/Public Works Director

DATE:

September 3, 2019

RE:

Keep Placer County Moving update

Recommendation

Receive a presentation on a transportation funding strategy being undertaken by Placer County Transportation Planning Agency labeled "Keep Placer County Moving".

Issue Statement and Discussion

Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) is currently examining the need to develop a local source of funding for transportation projects in South Placer County. Three billion dollars (\$3 billion) is needed in South Placer County over the next 30 years to fund traffic congestion improvements. A funding gap was created when the state and federal governments changed the matching requirements for federal grants from 90 percent to 50 percent over the past few years. Planned growth in the South County region previously paid for the local match for state and federal funding as the local development community pays the legal maximum of nearly \$2 Billion for their fair share of impacts on local roadways. As the funding formula changed, this leaves a funding gap of over \$1 billion, locally. If South Placer does not find a source of funding for this gap, traffic congestion will increase exponentially due to growth in the Sacramento Region, movement of goods and services and pass through traffic between the Bay Area and Lake Tahoe.

The previous attempt to pass a sales tax, Measure M in 2016, narrowly failed, primarily because the eastern part of the County did not sufficiently support the tax. Had Measure M just been focused on the South Placer Region it would have passed at nearly 68 percent. One of the items that PCTPA is exploring is the is a transportation sales tax district for the Southern portion of the County. However, this takes an act of the California State Legislature which PCPTA and a coalition of other counties is currently pursuing. A proposed district boundary might include the cities of Roseville, Rocklin Lincoln and town of Loomis, but boundaries are not yet solidified. Twenty-six (26) counties in California have their own dedicated transportation sales tax measures and it has become almost impossible for Placer to compete against those other "self-help" counties for state and federal funding.

Recent polling of South Placer voters conducted by PCTPA showed strong support for a potential Measure, however, Loomis residents were less supportive than surrounding cities. As PCTPA examines the need for the proposed transportation sales tax district, it is important that our residents fully understand the local need for the tax measure and the benefits to the Town.

The revenue generated by a potential sales tax measure will fund existing planned capacity-increasing transportation projects: major highway projects such as the additional three phases of the 80/65 Interchange Safety and Design Improvements, alternative transportation projects (bike/ped), transit funding, complete streets and safe routes to schools improvements.

The proposed expenditure plan for the potential transportation sales tax (Attachment A) includes the expansion of the Horseshoe Bar Road interchange as a project. The likelihood of the Town having adequate funding for that project without the sales tax measure is highly unlikely.

Attachments

A. Propose Expenditure Plan

Attachment A

PCTPA/SOUTH PLACER DRAFT TRANSPORTATION SALES TAX DISTRICT TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT PLAN (Revised Draft-March 2019)

Category	Project	Total Project Cost	Estimated Funding from Other Sources (in millions)	Shortage	Sales Tax Contribution (in millions)	Percentage of Sales Tax Revenue	Sources of Other Revenues/ Matching Funds	Annual Amount Sales Tax Revenues (in millions)
	TOTAL MAJOR HIGHWAY PROJECTS	\$ 1,514.0	\$ 831.0	\$ 683.0	\$ 683.0	53.4%	Various	
	I-80/SR 65 Interchange Phases 2-4	\$ 395.0	\$ 100.0	\$ 295.0	\$ 295.0		State/federal matching funds, developer fees	
	SR 65 Widening Galleria Blvd to Lincoln Blvd	\$ 115.0	\$ 70.0	\$ 45.0	\$ 45.0		Developer fees	
	Placer Parkway	\$ 595.0	\$ 570.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0		Developer fees, Sutter Co fees	
MAJOR HIGHWAY PROJECTS Environmental, design, right of way,	Baseline Road Widening	\$ 70.0	\$ 60.0	\$ 10.0	\$ 10.0		Developer fees	
and construction	I-80 Auxiliary Lanes	\$ 29.0	\$ 6.0	\$ 16.0	\$ 23.0		State/federal matching funds	
	Interchange Program I-80/Rocklin Road I-80/Horseshoe Bar CP 65/Malcon Inne	\$ 110.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 85.0	\$ 85.0		Developer fees	
	Financing for Early Construction	\$ 200.0	- \$	\$	\$ 200.0		None	
ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS	TOTAL SENIOR/DISABLED TRANSIT, BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN AND COMMUTER IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 538.1	\$ 346.2		\$ 191.9	15.0%	All Transit, Bicycle/Ped and Commuter Improvements	
Senior/Disabled/Other Transit Environmental, design, right of way, construction, capital projects and operation	Senior/Disabled Transit Enhancements	\$ 99.0	\$ 35.0		\$ 64.0	5.0%	Transit Funds	
Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects Environmental, design, right of way, capital projects and construction	Various Countywide	\$ 139.2	\$ 75.2		\$ 64.0	5.0%	State/federal matching funds	
Commuter Improvements	TOTAL COMMUTER IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 300.0	\$ 236.0		\$ 64.0		Various	
(Rail, Bus, Operations)	Commuter Bus Enhancements	\$ 75.0	\$ 43.0		\$ 32.0	20%	Cap & Trade, transit funds	
Enviornmental, design, right of	Capital Corridor Rail/Bus Rapid Transit	\$ 225.0	\$ 193.0		\$ 32.0		Cap & Trade, transit funds	
way, capital projects,operations								
	TOTAL DISTRICT	\$ 768.9	\$ 441.4		\$ 327.4	<u>.</u>		\$ 10.9
	City of Lincoln	\$ 135.6	\$ 72.4		\$ 63.2			\$ 2.1
LOCAL PROJECTS Local traffic congestion hot spots and	Town of Loomis	\$ 23.0	\$ 13.1		\$ 9.9	25.6%	State and Federal funds (Reduced	\$ 0.3
matching funds for local transportation	City of Rocklin	\$ 179.9	\$ 109.2		\$ 70.7		due to SB1)	\$ 2.4
priorities	City of Roseville	\$ 303.2	\$ 145.5		\$ 157.8	<u>~</u> 1		\$ 5.3
	Placer County	\$ 127.2	\$ 101.3		\$ 25.9	6		\$ 0.9
COMPETITIVE PROJECTS PROGRAM Transportation improvements	Various Countywide	\$ 143.9	\$ 79.9		\$ 64.0	0 5.0%	State/federal matching funds, developer fees	
TRANSPARENCY, OVERSIGHT, AND ADMINISTRATION		\$ 12.8	· ·		\$ 12.8	8 1.0%	None	\$ 0.4
	TOTAL PROGRAM	\$ 2,978	; \$ 1,699		\$ 1,279	9 100.00%		7