



TOWN OF LOOMIS
AGENDA
LAND USE COMMITTEE MEETING
LOOMIS, CALIFORNIA
ACTION MINUTES

1st Thursday

April 1, 2021

3:00 pm

CALL TO ORDER: 3:04 PM

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

X Chairman Jan Clark-Crets

X Member Tim Onderko

X Member A J Moyer

X Member Jean Wilson

X Member Beth Cohen

Technical Staff Mark Teague, Placeworks

X Vice Chair Bonnie London

X Member Randy Elder

X Auxiliary Ramona Brockman

Absent Auxiliary Greg Obranovich

COMMITTEE COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA none

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA none

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Motion to adopt agenda: Bonnie London 2nd: Onderko Vote:

Ayes Clark-Crets, London, Onderko, Moyer, Wilson, Cohen, Elder

Noes: none

Absent: None

Abstain: none

COMMITTEE MATTERS

- 1. Introductions**
- 2. Land Use Element Overview and Requirements**
- 3. Buildout and Population Projections**
- 4. Discussion**

COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Jan Clark-Crets on the scope of the overall Land Use committee versus the various Land Use sub committee

Bonnie London: commented on inaccurate information on the maps – No apartments shown, but we have two and also mentioned that vacant parcel is not up to date. Also asked how the subcommittee recommendations fit into the Land Use committee as a whole, and what is the timeframe to get ready for the EIR.

Tim Onderko – As Loomis as limited area of business growth, suggested we take a proactive approach and open new areas for business growth. (Cited potential BEM property as an example)

Bonnie London- commented on the housing element, the only element with an approaching deadline date, voice concerned about rushing the process for the remaining elements. Commented on the need to see the Public vision for the town, and stated the importance of public input. She stated the town is divided on moving forward and asked how to move forward.

Jean Wilson- commented on the residents of the town and their need to be part of the process, and the need to be heard. Take more time if we need to in order to get right. Previous General Plan was very detailed as it was the people of the town's first Plan that reflected their expressed wants and needs in a General Plan. She also asked if there have been requests for zone changes.

Jan Clark-Crets – mentioned that the study and survey completed showed that the town is divided and without a clear vision. Future workshops would be helpful as COVID restrictions lift. She also requested that Michelle Reeves final presentation be posted on the website and be made available to all committee members.

Beth Cohen – Stated that public input is invaluable. She would like to see the results of surveys made available to ensure that the changes proposed reflect the current vision that the residents have for the future of the town.

Ramona Brockman – echoed the previous comments regarding Michelle Reeves presentation and the results of the surveys. History of the town is important when planning for the future.

Tim Onderko – Commented that the common theme for the town is to be “a Small Town”, growth has been slow, less than 1% in the past 10 years. Full build out of the town has limited potential for growth, does not remove our small town status. Take a good look at the big picture

Beth Cohen – Agrees that growth potential is limited with current zoning, voiced concern when zoning changes come into play and allow for greater growth.

Ramona Brockman –When and if zoning changes take place, how do we deal with the precedent of land use zoning changes in the future?

Jesse Lunsford – Advised that school borders do not match town boundary lines. Also asked about the projected population numbers

Russ Kelley – Discussed the need for a better representation of the present businesses we have in town for the purpose of soliciting new business types that are not currently represented. Asked for a better and more clear map.

RECOMMENDATION none

PUBLIC COMMENT

Jesse Lunsford – Advised that school borders do not match town boundary lines. Also asked about the projected population numbers

Russ Kelley – Discussed the need for a better representation of the present businesses we have in town for the purpose of soliciting new business types that are not currently represented. Asked for a better and more clear map.

Andreas Booher- Deputy Town Attorney - Commented of surplus land – Stated that the land owned by the town (Site of the former WW Moulding plant) serves a purpose for the town as a site of Economic Opportunity and therefore is not surplus property, and does not fall within the confines of the laws regarding town owned surplus property.

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT

» Review:

- Settings and documents on Town’s 2020 General Plan Update webpage
<https://loomis.ca.gov/2020-general-plan-update/>
- The Town’s existing Land Use Element goals, policies, and programs
- Other sections of the General Plan

» Provide comments on the comment form from the Town’s website

- If no comment, indicate “No Comment” and submit

<https://storage.googleapis.com/proudcity/loomisca/uploads/2020/11/Loomis-GP-Comments-Form-11182020.pdf>

- Submit comment forms through the General Plan Update email address at: gupdate@loomis.ca.gov.

» Document examples of land uses you like/dislike, including:

- Mixed-use projects
- High density housing

» Look over the Presentation by Michelle Reeves

»

NEXT MEETING: May 6, 2021 – 3:00 p.m. (Zoom)

Click on the following link to view the recorded video of this meeting:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WMQCEmwlPqc>

ADJOURNMENT: 4:43 PM

Signed, 03/26/21 at Loomis, California.

Carol Parker

Carol Parker, Planning Assistant