



TOWN OF LOOMIS
Land Use Sub-Committee Meeting
Parks and Recreation
LOOMIS DEPOT
5775 HORSESHOE BAR ROAD
LOOMIS, CALIFORNIA
ACTION MINUTES

4th Thursday	April 22, 2021	6:00 pm
--------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

CALL TO ORDER: 6:01 PM

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

X Chairman Jan Clark-Crets	X Vice Chair Bonnie London
X Member Matt Fox	X Member Kathryn Seers
X Member Russ Kelley	X Auxiliary Jesse Lunsford
X Member Eeden Lee	X Auxiliary Rebecca Golling
AB Member Joanne Bridges	
Technical Staff Mark Teague, Placeworks	

COMMITTEE COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Bonnie London gave information on the draft Housing Element being brought to the Planning Commission on April 27, encouraged participation.

Kathryn Seers: Asked about the Towns response to the drought.

Anders Hauge commented on and explained the new Social Pinpoint feature on the website.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Rose Ramos: commented on the Placer County trail use survey, stating it expires on April 30, 2021

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Motion to adopt agenda: Kelley 2nd: London Vote:

Ayes: Clark-Crets, London, Fox, Kelley, Lee, seers

Noes: none

Absent: none

Abstain:none

PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT AGENDA none

CONSENT AGENDA

1. MINUTES MARCH 25, 2021

Motion to approve consent agenda: Kelley 2nd: London Vote:

Ayes: Clark-Crets, London, Fox, Kelley, Lee, seers

Noes: none

Absent: none

Abstain: none

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE

COMMITTEE MATTERS

- 1. Existing Park Facilities**
- 2. Existing Goals and Policies**

3. Proposed Park Locations/Types

4. Policy Development

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONS OF STAFF

Open discussion: Matt Fox – Jan Clark Cret – Bonnie London discussed the ratio of population vs parkland – Town owned, joint use agreements, and the cost reality of town owned property.

Matt fox: Asked about the joint use agreements we have in place

Jan Clark-Crets commented on and asked questions regarding Town owned property and park use

Eden Lee asked about joint use agreements, be they expire? He also asked how we find the specifics on our existing use agreements

Rebecca Golling: Asked about the park use noted- do we count on Town Boundaries or outlying park availability adjacent to the Town limits

Jenny Knisley – stated she is in favor of using use agreements to accomplish some of our goals, she also stated she would like to acquire more park land.

Bonnie London commented that we only have control over what is in our boundaries.

Russ Kelley commented on the two existing parks owned by the town. He added that the cost of maintaining a park is expensive.

Rebecca Golling: Seconded Jean Wilson’s suggestion for a town community center.

Matt Fox -Commented on County Park and Recreation funding for our area, something to explore?

Bonnie London – Commented on the many stakeholders, school districts, library, Placer Land Trust, have we engaged any of these groups?

Russ Kelley – commented on the expiration time frames regarding the Quimby Act.

Deputy Town Attorney: Posted a link in the chat to the Quimby Act, noted the importance of section 6647(A) (3) (8)– regarding use of the fees.

Jan Clark-Crets - Suggested that the Park and Recreation section have its own elements in the General Plan

Jesse Lunsford: Commented on the activities reported in the Citrus Heights Sentinel regarding the City tying the Schools and Parks together via trails. (Citrus Heights sentinel date 4/14/2021)

<https://citrusheightssentinel.com/2021/04/15/construction-to-begin-this-year-on-new-2-9-mile-trail-in-citrus-heights/>

Matt Fox asked about the 2010 Park and Recreation Master Plan and why it was never adopted. Tabled by the council at the time.

Bonnie London suggested we reach out to the other stakeholders to our meeting and be part of the discussion loop.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mike Shellito: Explained Quimby fees, how they work and how they are collected. He also stated that while he agrees that getting the land is important he disagrees with the blanket statement that parkland impact fees do not meet the intended needs projected. He went on to say that you should not count land outside of the town limits and is in favor of use agreements, but a lease on this property for this use is essential. Also, Ongoing park maintenance funding can happen with a Community Facility District (CFD)

Jean Wilson: Comment on the history of use agreements in our schools, stating that the town used funds in reserve for our parks to help build the playground areas at the schools. She commented that the community use park area at Franklin School used Placer county funding to augment the cost of the park. She also commented on the potential affect of the recession on the slowdown of the building of approved subdivisions.

Jean Wilson: Asked about the reference to “Stonegate Park”. She also mentioned the need for a community center and a good location at the library -which is now town owned. Are Libraries considered at a recreational facility.

Miguel Ucovich: discussed and explained the Quimby act, stating that per the Quimby act we can only require 3 acres of park land per 1000 residents, the land must be owned by the town and the money must be used park development. He stated that the cost for maintenance of Sunrise Loomis Park runs about \$25,000, and the town does have money to pay for park maintenance. Disagrees with the statement that impact fees are not enough to pay for our parks, and Community facility districts and community services developments can be used to offset costs.

Mike Shellito: agrees with both Miguel and Mark regarding the Quimby Act.

Miguel Ucovich – Commented on the schools ability in the past to levy community use tax to allow the schools to capture the costs of community use of school properties – does this constitute legal obligation to allow use?

He also suggested the use of the lands at I80 and Horseshoe Bar as a nature preserve area.

Jenny Knisley – Concurs with the suggestion of a community center being located near the Library.

Copy of meeting chat box links

00:17:29 Mary Beth Van Voorhis:

Social Pinpoint Interactive Mapping Tool: <https://loomis.ca.gov/loomis-social-pinpoint-interactive-map/>

01:05:21Andreas Booher:

https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=2.&chapter=4.&lawCode=GOV&title=7.&article=3.

01:14:03Andreas Booher:

When looking at the Quimby Act, please pay particular attention to Government Code section 66477(a)(3)(B) which provides for the flexibility about where Quimby Act fees are spent that Mark was referring to.

01:33:19Anders Hauge:

The recommendation is to have Parks and Recreation as an element of the General Plan, separate from the Land Use Element.

01:33:42Andreas Booher:

<https://citrusheightssentinel.com/2021/04/15/construction-to-begin-this-year-on-new-2-9-mile-trail-in-citrus-heights/>

NOTE: The complete comments of this chat content did not save properly from Zoom. This is all that is available. MBVV

COMMITTEE DILIBERATION AND DIRECTION

RECOMMENDATION

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT

- » Review:
 - Existing Land Use Element:
 - Land use designation definitions
 - Goals, policies, and programs
 - 2010 Draft Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Plan
 - Are policies relevant?
 - Any changes for this update?
 - Policy and Goal Writing Guidance
- » Continue to provide comments on the comment form from the Town's website
 - Submit comments through the General Plan Update email address at: GPUUpdate@loomis.ca.gov.
- » Document examples of land uses you like/dislike, including:
 - Open space/parks
 - Trails

Study the Quimby Act

NEXT MEETING: May 27, 2021, 6:00 PM

Click the following link to view the You Tube Video of this meeting

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Umdj9kCodVU>

ADJOURNMENT: 7:34 PM

Signed, 5/21/21 at Loomis, California.

Carol Parker

Carol Parker, Planning Assistant