

# FLOOD ZONE 9 ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

May 11, 2023

## Item 1. Officer Elections

Article VI of the Advisory Board's bylaws stipulate that officers of the Advisory Board be elected to a two-year term by a majority vote of the Advisory Board. There are two officers – chair and vice-chair. The advisory board will nominate and vote for officers.

**Recommended Action:** Elect chairperson and elect vice-chairperson.

## Item 2. Approval of Meeting Minutes for April 25, 2022

See draft meeting minutes from last meeting here: <https://marinflooddistrict.org/meetings/zone-9-advisory-board-meeting-april-25-2022/>

**Recommended Action:** Approve the minutes.

## Item 3. Flood District Website

The Flood District now has a new website. Former sites [marinwatersheds.org](http://marinwatersheds.org) and [rossvalleywatershed.org](http://rossvalleywatershed.org) will be decommissioned. Please visit [marinflooddistrict.org](http://marinflooddistrict.org) for information on flood zones and projects.

## Item 4. Project Updates

### San Anselmo Flood Risk Reduction (SAFRR) Project

The primary purpose of the Project is to reduce flood risk by (1) reducing peak discharge by attenuating flows through use of a flood diversion and storage basin, and (2) increasing creek capacity by removing existing obstructions to creek flow and then regrading and improving the creek channel. The project will reduce flooding on 480 properties during a 100-year storm event. The creek improvements in San Anselmo will also set the stage for the Town's Creekside Park Project. The scope of the SAFRR Project consists of three distinct components: (1) the Sunnyside Flood Diversion and Storage (FDS) Basin at 3000 Sir Francis Drake Blvd; (2) the removal of a building at 634-636 San Anselmo Avenue (BB2) in the San Anselmo, a structure that partially obstructs the flow of San Anselmo Creek; and (3) structural mitigation measures downstream.

Construction of the FDS Basin is complete except for some landscaping, and staff are currently working to finalize environmental mitigation requirements. The basin was functional during the January and March storms but water levels were not high enough for the facility to be used. By design, creek flows do not enter the detention basin until they reach a certain height, at which time the excess flow is detained in the basin to reduce potential flooding downstream.

Removal of the building at 634-636 San Anselmo Avenue in the San Anselmo, referred to as Building Bridge 2 (BB2), is an important component of the SAFRR project. It spans San Anselmo Creek and its foundations are in the channel and are a major obstruction to flow. The existing buildings on top of the deck of the supporting concrete BB2 bridge were demolished in the summer of 2020. However, the remainder of the BB2 project has been delayed primarily due to the building bridge being located within a FEMA regulatory floodway and potential downstream impacts.

Removing BB2 increases the channel capacity and as a result, increases flood depths downstream of the project. Structural mitigation measures may be required to address impacts to affected properties and need to be implemented prior to increasing channel capacity. To allow the bridge removal project and associated creek enhancements, Creekside Park improvements and pedestrian bridge construction to move forward prior to implementation of the structural mitigation measures, a temporary flow restriction device (baffle) that mimics the flow restriction characteristics of BB2, has been designed as an option for the BB2 Removal Project. It is preferable to remove the bridge without installing a baffle to achieve the full benefits of the project. These objectives must be balanced with the District’s ability to do the downstream mitigations required both from a timing and funding perspective.

The District was able to secure a grant extension to 2024. The District’s design team prepared 95% design plans and the required FEMA application package last year for a BB2 alternative with a baffle, and is currently preparing 100% design plans, specifications and estimate for the removal of the building bridge (BB2) for a no-baffle alternative. An additional FEMA application is being prepared for the no-baffle alternative. The specific approach for this application depends on the upcoming discussions with FEMA. It is not yet known whether BB2 removal will require a baffle or not.

Summary of Next Steps and Schedule:

<p><i>FEMA Coordination</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- District to prepare FEMA application</li> <li>- District &amp; FEMA review mitigation approach</li> <li>- FEMA approve model</li> </ul>	<p>Estimated Timeline March 2023 through March 2024+</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- FEMA Floodplain Remapping Public outreach (independent of BB2 project)</li> </ul>	<p>18+for months</p>
<p><i>Permits and Environmental</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Update Natural Resources Permits</li> <li>- CEQA Addendum</li> <li>- Building Permits – San Anselmo</li> </ul>	<p>Estimated Timeline June 2023 through March 2024</p>
<p><i>Private Property Mitigation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Review Survey &amp; Update as needed</li> <li>- Private Property Outreach</li> <li>- Work with Property Owners to Mitigate</li> </ul>	<p>Estimated Timeline June 2023 through October 2024</p>
<p><i>Design and Construction</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Finalize Design</li> <li>- Bidding and Contract Award</li> <li>- Construction (Summer 2024)</li> </ul>	<p>Estimated Timeline March 2023 through October 2024</p>

The following table is a summary of SAFRR project costs to date and anticipated future costs and funding needs.

Task Description	Total Cost Estimate	Encumbered + Spent	Remaining Cost
FDS Construction Cost	\$6.1M	\$6.1M	\$0
FDS Construction Management	\$300k	\$300k	\$0
FDS Design Costs	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	\$0
BB2 Construction Cost	\$3.1M	\$100k	\$3.0M
BB2 Design Costs	\$1M	\$1M	\$0
BB2 Construction Management	\$200k	\$0	\$200k
SAFRR Real Estate Cost	\$6M	\$5.6M	\$400k
SAFRR Staff Labor Costs	\$2M	\$1.4M	\$600k
SAFRR Environmental Costs	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$0
SAFRR Offsite Environmental Mitigation Costs	\$200k	\$0	\$200k
Other* Costs	\$100k	\$100k	\$0
SAFRR Structural Mitigation	\$3M	\$0	\$3M
<i>Total:</i>	<i>\$26.1M</i>	<i>\$18.7M</i>	<i>\$7.4M</i>

\*e.g. permits, utilities

Existing Revenue:

\$8,720,500	State Department of Water Resources (DWR) Grant
\$8,720,500	Flood Zone 9 Fee (Required DWR Grant Match)
\$6M	Additional Zone 9 contribution (portion of fee revenue FY 2023-2027, the rest needed for Corte Madera Creek Flood Risk Management Project contribution)
<i>\$23,441,000</i>	<i>Total</i>

Anticipated Additional Revenue Needs: \$2.7M

District staff are working on a grant application for the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program to close the funding gap.

### Corte Madera Creek Flood Risk Management Project

The project includes elements that would increase flow conveyance capacity, provide flood protection, and/or enhance habitat within Corte Madera Creek. District staff have been working with the Town of Ross, Friends of Corte Madera Creek, and other stakeholders on project design, permitting, and Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The EIR was certified by the District Board of Supervisors on August 17, 2021. The project has funding from a CA Department of Water Resources Grant. The expiration for that grant has been extended by three years to March 31, 2026. The project is being bid as multiple contracts spanning several years. Construction in the Granton Park neighborhood of the new stormwater pump station is underway and the maintenance access ramp is complete.

The following table is a summary of Corte Madera Creek Flood Risk Management Project costs to date and anticipate future costs and funding needs.

Task Description	Total Cost Estimate	Encumbered + Spent	Remaining Cost
Maintenance Access Ramp & Stormwater Pump Station Construction Cost	\$4.1M	\$4.1M	\$0
Unit 4 Transition into Natural Channel (Fish Ladder Removal) Construction Cost	\$3.8M	\$0	\$3.8M
Fish Resting Pool Improvements Construction Cost	\$1.7M	\$0	\$1.7M
Lower College of Marin (COM) Restoration Construction Cost	\$3.5M	\$0	\$3.5M
Design Costs	\$2.3M	\$2.2M	\$100k
Environmental* Costs	\$1.3M	\$940k	\$360k
Real Estate Costs	\$180k	\$180k	\$0
Staff Labor Costs	\$1.2M	\$415k	\$800k
Total:	\$18.1M	\$7.9M	\$10.2M

\*Includes CEQA, permitting, and Lower COM Restoration design

Existing Revenue:

\$7,030,377	State Department of Water Resources (DWR) Grant
\$7,030,377	Flood Zone 9 Fee (Required DWR Grant Match)
\$1,410,600	SF Bay Restoration Authority Round 6 (Managed by Coastal Conservancy)
\$750,000	County Sea Level Rise Funds (for Lower COM Restoration construction)
\$16,221,354	Total

Anticipated Additional Revenue Needs: \$2 million

District staff are working on grant applications for the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, National Estuary Program, U.S. Fish & Wildlife, and National Marine Fisheries grants to close the funding gap. For some of these grants we heard the project is highly ranked and are optimistic that more funding will come through in the next couple years. This could in turn free up funding for BB2 and private structure mitigations.

Some of the in-channel improvements that are part of this project would cause a minor rise in water surface elevation within the existing concrete channel, and therefore need to be approved by FEMA and the Town of Ross and the County’s respective floodplain administrators. The projects will follow a Conditional Letter of Map Revision process similar to the SAFRR project which is expected to take from 6 to 9 months and therefore construction of these project components is scheduled for the 2024-2025 construction seasons. These components include removal of the existing wooden fish ladder structure, and replacement of the transition structure between the upstream natural channel and the existing concrete channel plus any work to raise the height of the existing concrete channel walls downstream.

The CMC FRM EIR includes the removal of a portion of the concrete channel and restoration that the Friends of CMC have been supporting, with funding from a State of CA Coastal Conservancy grant, at the downstream end of the concrete channel next to College of Marin. A No-Rise certification for this portion of the project has been approved by the County’s floodplain administrator. Information on the project can be found on the Friends of Corte Madera Creek’s website: [https://friendsofcortemaderacreek.org/new\\_site/](https://friendsofcortemaderacreek.org/new_site/)

## Item 5. Proposed Fiscal Year 2023 – 2024 Baseline Budget & Historic Revenue and Expense Summary

### Proposed Fiscal Year 2023 – 2024 Baseline Budget

The County Administrator’s Office requested that the zones recommend a baseline budget for the beginning of each fiscal year that does not include major project expenses. Baseline budgets are intended to be relatively consistent year to year. Major project expenditures will require separate actions from the Advisory Board and District BOS to adjust the budget as needed. As usual, the approved budget may always be adjusted as necessary as priorities and cost estimates for projects and studies planned for this coming fiscal year are more clearly identified. The Proposed Flood Zone 9 Baseline Budget for FY 2023-2024 can be viewed at:

<https://marinflooddistrict.org/documents/flood-zone-9-proposed-fy-2023-2024-baseline-budget/>

As recommended by the Advisory Board on April 25, 2022, a loan was requested from Marin County Department of Finance on May 17, 2022, to fund project construction costs and any related construction support services. The loan was in the amount of \$5,000,000 for a two-year term with an annual interest rate of 4.25%. The loan will be repaid in full, including interest in the amount of \$339,976, by June 15, 2024. See the Staff Report to the Board of Supervisors here:

[http://marin.granicus.com/DocumentViewer.php?file=marin\\_de8fed7ad764602d291e51bcd7fc7d4.pdf](http://marin.granicus.com/DocumentViewer.php?file=marin_de8fed7ad764602d291e51bcd7fc7d4.pdf)

**Recommended Action:** Recommend the District Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed Zone 9 Baseline Budget for FY 2023-24.

### Ross Valley Program: Revenue and Expense Summary

In response to the severe flooding that occurred in 2005 voters approved an annual Storm Drainage Fee in 2007 to provide funding for flood protection in Ross Valley. In the 16 years since program initiation, the District has worked with the community to develop and implement flood reduction projects in the Ross Valley. An overview of Ross Valley Program Expenditures and Revenues is provided below.

#### Expenditures

Since the fee measure was passed in 2007, the District has undertaken a variety of efforts including watershed wide flood risk identification and reduction studies, public outreach, administration, engineering and feasibility studies, capital improvement projects, coordination with the Cities and Towns on joint projects to enhance creeks and remove obstructions like bridges. **Expenditures for the program total approximately \$47M to date.** A summary of projects and program activities is provided below.

#### *Summary of Ross Valley Program Activities and Associated Expenditures*

##### Watershed Planning, Outreach, and Administration

- Ross Valley Watershed Program \$2 million
- Outreach and Administration \$3 million

### Engineering and Feasibility Studies

- Corte Madera Creek Units 2, 3, and 4 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) \$2 million
- Phoenix Lake IRWM Project \$3.3 million
- Detention Basin Studies (Lefty Gomez, Loma Alta Preserve, Deer Park, and Memorial Park) \$1 million
- Sleepy Hollow Creek/Morningside Feasibility Study (within Town of San Anselmo) \$100,000

### Capital Improvement Projects

- San Anselmo Flood Risk Reduction- Sunnyside & Building Bridge 2 (see Item 5 for scope) \$18.7 million
- Corte Madera Creek Flood Risk Management Project (see Item 6 for scope) \$7.9 million
- Lower Corte Madera Creek Project (includes Local Levee Evaluation and dredging studies in Larkspur) \$4.3 million
- Hillview Pump Station and Storm Drain Project (within City of Larkspur) \$1 million

### Coordination with Cities and Towns on Creek and Bridge Improvements

- Creek Maintenance \$1.5 million
- Culvert Inspections, Replacements and Repairs \$250,000
- Bridge Projects with City and Town Partners \$2 million
- Flood Preparedness Pilot Program – Installed gauges \$100,000

### Revenues

The majority of funding for the Ross Valley program comes from 2 sources, fee revenues and grants. As of April 2023, approximately \$35.1 million in Fee Revenue has been collected and \$20.2 million in grant revenues have been awarded. In addition, assuming there are no fee increases or changes in the number of parcels as part of our annual evaluation in Flood Zone 9, the Projected Future Fee Revenue to be collected between FY 23/24 through FY 26/27 is \$10.5M. This results in a Projected Total Program Funding of \$65.8M.

### *Projected Total Program Funding \$65.8M*

- *Fee Revenue Collected - \$35.1M*
- *Grants Awarded - \$20.2M*
- *Future Fee Revenue - \$10.5M*

## Item 6. Open Time for Items Not on the Agenda

Comments will be heard for items not on the agenda (limited to three minutes per speaker). While members of the public are welcome to address the Advisory Board, under the Brown Act Board members may not deliberate or take action on items not on the agenda, and generally may only listen.

## Item 7. Next Meeting

A special meeting will be held during the summer to review which approach FEMA recommends for BB2. Additional special meetings may be held for other project needs.

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE  
FLOOD CONTROL ZONE 9 ADVISORY BOARD MEETING  
HELD THURSDAY, APRIL 25, 2022, 6:30 PM – 8:00 PM  
LARKSPUR CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

<u>Advisory Board (AB) Members Present</u>	<u>District Staff (Staff) Present</u>
Bruce Ackerman (BA), Chairperson	Hugh Davis, Associate Civil Engineer
Ford Greene (FG)	Joanna Dixon, Associate Civil Engineer
Sandra Guldman (SG), Vice- chairperson	Liz Lewis, Water Resources Manager
Richard Gumbiner (RG)	
Chris Martin (CM)	
<u>Advisory Board (AB) Members Absent</u>	
Dan Hillmer (DH)	
Peter Hogg (PH)	

**Item 1. Approval of Meeting Minutes: March 31, 2022**

**Action by Board:** Deferred approval of meeting minutes until the next AB meeting so that staff can provide additional detail.

**M/S: RG/CM Ayes: All, Nay: None, Absent: DH, PH, Abstain: None**

**Item 2. Open Time For Items Not On The Agenda**

Comments received from AB and members of the public requesting that staff record meetings. Staff will be asked to investigate cost to secure a venues that contain recording equipment.

**Item 3. San Anselmo Flood Risk Reduction Project**

Staff provided a project update presentation. The Advisory Board members (AB) brought up questions about the following topics. Brief responses were provided by District staff.

- FEMA no-rise and FEMA floodplain versus floodway mapping and future development,
- baffle design,
- EIR addendum public review period,
- CA Department of Water Resources grant deadline,
- number of properties impacted by the project and options for implementing the mitigation and timing for removal of baffle,
- What about use of floodwalls? What are technical challenges encountered with floodwalls?

District staff agreed to check with FEMA about AB inquiry posed regarding collapse of existing BB2 foundation into the creek and whether FEMA would allow/require foundation rubble to be removed from the creek without requiring baffle or other flow constricting device.

Public comment was received regarding request for more details of insurance discount breakdown received by Town of San Anselmo and funding methods that the District plans to use to implement private property mitigation.

**Item 4. Corte Madera Creek Flood Risk Management Project**

The Advisory Board members (AB) brought up questions about the overall cost of the project including the proposed modified fish resting pools, and location of the proposed elevated floodwalls near Granton

## **Marin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District**

Park.

Public comment was received regarding assumed liability when implementing 'active' versus 'passive' measures and long-term maintenance revenue sources for the proposed pump station.

**Action by Board:** *Recommend deferring any recommendation by AB on budget for the project until a subsequent AB meeting when District Staff expects to have specific bid results available to share.*

**M/S:** FG/CM **Ayes:** All, **Nay:** None, **Absent:** DH, PH, **Abstain:** None

### **Item 5. Phoenix Lake**

Marin County Public Works Director Rosemarie Gaglione discussed any proposed changes to Phoenix Lake dam operations would first be thoroughly reviewed by Marin Water.

AB commented on potential incentive for Marin Water to setup future additional pumping options from Phoenix Lake to Bon Tempe for augmented water supply treatment capabilities, regardless of any specific District flood risk reduction goal.

Public comment was provided regarding failure maps for Phoenix Lake and how they could be affected when changing loading condition of the reservoir.

### **Item 6. Hillview Pump Station & Storm Drain Improvements**

District staff provided update provided by City staff, see City of Larkspur CIP webpage at

<https://www.ci.larkspur.ca.us/837/Weekly-Project-Updates>.

### **Item 7. Bridge Projects including Winship Avenue**

Richard Simonitch provided update on the Winship Avenue bridge schedule, see Town of Ross bridge webpage for latest information: <https://www.townofross.org/publicworks/page/winship-bridge-replacement-project>

Public comment was received clarifying with District staff that each individual bridge project must comply with FEMA floodway and floodplain regulations.

### **Item 8. Proposed Fiscal Year 2022-23 Baseline Budgets**

The AB unanimously decided not to recommend approval of the proposed baseline budget and requested to see printout of the budget with expected project CMCFRM Phase 1 construction bid results (Item 4) added for the next fiscal year.

**M/S:** FG/SG **Ayes:** All, **Nay:** None, **Absent:** DH, PH, **Abstain:** None

### **Item 9. Next Meeting**

Staff and AB members discussed Monday April 25<sup>th</sup> at 6:30 PM as the next meeting date assuming District can secure a venue for this date/time.



Record No.	Munis Description	2023 Baseline Budget	2023 Revised* Budget	Encumbrances	2023 Available	2024 Proposed Budget	2023 Actual as of 03/14/2023	2022 Actual	2021 Actual	Notes
1	Property Tax - Current Unsecured	-4,500.00	-4,684.18			-4,500.00	-4,684.18	-4,452.09	-4,457.09	
2	Property Tax - Current Secured	-237,600.00	-237,600.00			-237,600.00	-143,072.92	-242,530.49	-234,902.45	
3	Property Tax - Current Secured - Uni	-2,100.00	-2,100.00			-2,100.00	-1,461.58	-2,574.01	-2,135.61	
4	Zone 9 Watershed Fee	-2,628,500.00	-2,628,500.00			-2,628,500.00	-1,450,712.30	-2,639,631.91	-2,565,743.41	
5	Property Tax - Prior Unsecured	-250.00	-259.81			-250.00	-259.81	-254.38	-133.38	
6	Supplemental Property Tax - Current	-4,700.00	-4,946.27			-4,700.00	-4,946.27	-8,085.12	-4,711.30	
7	Supplemental Property Tax - Current Unsecured	-285.00	-297.27			-285.00	-297.27	-177.95	-89.82	
8	Supplemental Property Tax - PR Redm	-120.00	-177.15			-120.00	-177.15	-134.28	-155.10	
9	Current Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund	-15,900.00	-15,900.00			-15,900.00	-11,203.06	-15,384.04	-5,253.68	
10	Excess ERAF	-100,400.00	-100,400.00			-100,400.00	-58,628.22	-111,787.75	-99,704.02	
11	Road Privileges and Permits	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
12	Investment income - interest pooled	-11,000.00	-23,013.18			-11,000.00	-23,013.18	-5,025.56	-46,475.04	
13	investment income - ERAF interest	-33.00	-33.00			-33.00	-4.83	-32.40	-36.93	
14	Investment income - unrealized gains	0.00	-105,075.25			0.00	-105,075.25	105,075.25	0.00	
15	rents and concessions from properties	-6,100.00	-6,100.00			-6,100.00	-4,000.00	-4,506.29	-4,012.00	
16	Public Assistance Admin	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
17	Homeowner Property Tax Relief	-1,050.00	-1,050.00			-1,050.00	-489.47	-980.46	-997.18	
18	State (typically grants)	0.00	-1,044,659.17			0.00	-1,044,659.17	-1,119,281.84	-2,704,198.04	
19	Federal (typically grants)	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
20	Other Government Agencies	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
21	Tax Collection Admin Fee	19,414.00	19,414.00			19,414.00	18,837.76	25,131.00	25,138.00	
22	SB2557 Admin Fee	1,641.00	1,681.62			1,641.00	1,681.62	3,187.01	3,361.80	
24	Misc. Revenue	-499.00	-999.00			-499.00	-999.00	-499.00	-499.00	
25	Transfers In (\$5M loan 2-yr)	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	-5,000,000.00	0.00	
<b>Total Revenue Budget/Actuals:</b>		<b>-2,991,982.00</b>	<b>-4,154,698.66</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-2,991,982.00</b>	<b>-2,833,164.28</b>	<b>-9,021,944.31</b>	<b>-5,645,004.25</b>	

Record No.	Munis Description	2023 Baseline Budget	2023 Revised Budget	Encumbrances	2023 Available	2024 Proposed Budget	2023 Actual as of 03/14/2023	2022 Actual	2021 Actual	Notes
29	Maintenance of Buildings and Improvements	169,500.00	217,059.75	33,326.76	153,356.83	169,500.00	30,376.16	20,141.77	83,135.61	creek and levee maintenance
31	Miscellaneous Expenses	50,000.00	361,930.63	258,079.45	20,000.44	50,000.00	83,850.74	1,322,049.14	45,654.32	funding agreements with cities and towns
35	Professional Services	100,000.00	1,287,586.19	627,070.96	0.00	100,000.00	563,015.23	2,060,259.61	2,946,671.66	design, environmental consulting, FEMA consulting
36	Construction	100,000.00	6,693,767.27	3,769,696.33	102,890.47	100,000.00	2,921,180.47	1,997,241.43	1,513,454.43	construction of FDS basin, access ramp, Granton pump station
38	Rental of equipment	0.00	645.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	645.84	0.00	0.00	
42	Education/Training	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	115.00	
49	Utilities	0.00	25,973.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25,973.00	4,126.23	13,007.98	
50	Utilities - Electric	8,500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
51	Utilities - Water	8,000.00	8,000.00	0.00	3,138.47	8,000.00	5,911.00	5,925.29	0.00	
52	Land	0.00	116,978.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	116,978.00	0.00	0.00	Corte Madera Creek easements
<b>Total Services &amp; Supplies Expenditure Budget/Actuals:</b>		<b>436,000.00</b>	<b>8,711,940.68</b>	<b>4,688,173.50</b>	<b>279,386.21</b>	<b>436,000.00</b>	<b>3,747,930.44</b>	<b>5,409,743.47</b>	<b>4,602,039.00</b>	

Record No.	Munis Description	2023 Baseline Budget	2023 Revised Budget	Encumbrances	2023 Available	2024 Proposed Budget	2023 Actual as of 03/14/2023	2022 Actual	2021 Actual	Notes
56	Staff Costs	1,268,645.00	1,268,645.00	0.00	908,757.53	1,265,745.00	359,887.47	745,818.52	646,291.58	
61	Landscape Maintenance Staff Costs	38,664.00	38,664.00	0.00	19,332.00	39,823.92	19,332.00	37,721.00	36,622.00	
62	Print Shop Staff Costs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	184.85	518.70	0.00	
67	Indirect Cost Allocation (A87)**	146,662.00	146,662.00	0.00	146,662.00	150,000.00	0.00	52,018.00	25,397.00	
69	Transfer Out (Annual 2-yr Loan Repayment)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,669,988.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

<b>Total Interfund Expenditure Budget/Actuals:</b>		<b>1,453,971.00</b>	<b>1,453,971.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1,074,751.53</b>	<b>4,125,556.92</b>	<b>379,404.32</b>	<b>836,076.22</b>	<b>708,310.58</b>	
		<b>1,889,971.00</b>	<b>10,165,911.68</b>	<b>4,688,173.50</b>	<b>1,354,137.74</b>	<b>4,561,556.92</b>	<b>4,127,334.76</b>	<b>6,245,819.69</b>	<b>5,310,349.58</b>	
<b>Available Fund Balance Actual/Projected:</b>		<b>4,334,782.50</b>				<b>2,765,207.58</b>	<b>10,345,995.52</b>			

\*Baseline budget plus encumbrances carried forward from prior fiscal years plus approved adjustments

\*\*<https://marinflooddistrict.org/california-office-of-management-and-budget-a87/>