1 2 3	City of Montgomery City Council Public Hearing Minutes March 3, 2021	
4		01 0 111 1 D
5	Present	City Council Members Present
6	Brian Riblet, City Manager	Chris Dobrozsi, Mayor
7	Terry Donnellon, Law Director	Craig Margolis, Vice Mayor
8	John Crowell, Police Chief	Lee Ann Bissmeyer - teleconference
9	Gary Heitkamp, Public Works Director	Mike Cappel - teleconference
10	Tracy Henao, Asst. City Manager/Acting Comm. Dev. Dir.	Ron Messer
11	Katie Smiddy, Finance Director	Lynda Roesch - teleconference
12	Matthew Vanderhorst, Community and Information Services Dir.	Ken Suer
13	Paul Wright, Fire Chief	
14	Connie Gaylor, Clerk of Council	
15	•	
16		

City Council convened its Public Hearing for March 3, 2021 at 6:45 p.m. in a hybrid meeting at City Hall and by telephone conference with Mayor Dobrozsi presiding. This remote access meeting was allowed by emergency legislation adopted by the Ohio General Assembly and approved by the Governor. The emergency legislation added language to RC Section 121.22 allowing public meetings by telephone conference during the current pandemic and declared State of Emergency. As required by the statute, notice of the telephonic meeting was publicized more than 24 hours in advance to allow public access through the same conference call in service. The public was also given a backup number to call in the event there were technical difficulties. This Notice was posted on the City's website and a similar Notice was sent to The Cincinnati Enquirer.

Mayor Dobrozsi asked for a roll call.

The roll was called and showed the following:

PRESENT: Cappel, Bissmeyer, Roesch, Suer, Dobrozsi, Messer, Margolis (7)
ABSENT: (0)

Mayor Dobrozsi explained that as this was a Public Hearing he would allow for public comment after the presentation of the new business and Council questions and discussion. He asked that any guests on the phone line hold their comments until after the presentation and Council discussion as well. He stated that all comments will be limited to three minutes in total.

Mayor Dobrozsi explained the process for the Public Hearing to those in attendance and on the phone line. He explained that in a public hearing Council has the following options when considering an application:

- Approve the Recommendation
- · Deny the Recommendation
- Remand the matter to Staff for more specific information or
- Take the matter under advisement and vote at another public meeting within thirty days.

Mayor Dobrozsi explained that if City Council chooses the final option, it is suggested that they announce the date and time of the subsequent hearing when the matter will be discussed and considered for vote.

Mayor Dobrozsi stated that as a reminder, the Code does not allow additional new evidence to be submitted for review during the public hearing. City Council is to limit its consideration to the information presented from the City, and any comments, pro or con, from the public.

Vice Mayor Margolis stated that he would recuse himself from the discussion of the agenda item due to geographical proximity.

 City Council Public Hearing Minutes March 3, 2021 Page 2.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

A request by the Planning Commission to review an application for the expansion of a conditional use and equivalency for the Audi Connection at 9722 Montgomery Road.

Mayor Dobrozsi asked Ms. Henao to present the background of the agenda item.

Ms. Henao explained that this Public Hearing was requested to consider an application from Elevar Design Group on behalf of 9722 Montgomery Road, LLC, for an expansion of a conditional use and an equivalency for the expansion of the Audi Connection on the property formerly used for Star One/Rudino's at 9722 Montgomery Road.

Ms. Henao explained that the Audi Connection is a conditionally permitted use in the 'GB' – General Business District and has been in operation in this location since 2005. The applicant is requesting expansion of the dealership onto the property at 9722 Montgomery Road. The proposed expansion would include additional display and inventory space for the dealership. As part of the project, the existing curb cut on the property at 9722 Montgomery Road will be eliminated. The 9722 Montgomery Road LLC property is owned by members of the Joseph family. It is not the same ownership as the group who own the existing Audi and cannot be consolidated. Generally, the City would ask that lots be consolidated prior to development and in this situation, it would allow the Audi dealership to be conforming to the Zoning Code in terms of lot size. The applicant believes that the Zoning Code allows for development on multiple lots of record without consolidation and that setbacks should be from the project boundary versus the property lines when under common control. There are also some issues that arise from a zoning perspective due to the need to keep the parcels separate, but under common control, that require an equivalency to allow for setbacks to be measured from the project boundary versus the property line.

Ms. Henao added that the property to the north is zoned 'GB' and used for parking Columbia Chevrolet. The property to the east is zoned 'A' Single Family and used for single family residences. The property to the south of the existing Audi is zoned 'GB' and used for a retail center. The properties to the east across Montgomery Road are zoned 'GB' and used for an office building and a vacant retail building.

Ms. Henao explained that the Planning Commission considered the application for the expansion of a conditional use and the General Development Site Plan with an equivalency on February 1, 2021. After hearing testimony and discussing the application, the Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of the expansion of the conditional use permit and approve the General Development Plan with a recommendation to approve the equivalency request. The conditions recommended by the Planning Commission are shown below:

- 1. The applicant must comply with the specific conditions for a conditional use permit listed in Section 151.2007(B) and (W) for auto dealerships and vehicle repair facilities.
- 2. A Final Development Site Plan in compliance with the approved General Development Plan be reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission prior to issuance of any permits.
- 3. Until such time as the parcels are either consolidated or under common ownership, this Application to allow Parcel 1/9722 to be used as Automobile/Truck Sales Agency and Automobile/Truck Rental Agency, Conditional Use, is hereby approved, subject to the following condition: Parcel 1/9722 may be used for Automobile/Truck Sales Agency and Automobile/Truck Rental Agency, so long as both Hamilton County Auditor's Parcel Number 603-0002-0023 (Parcel 1/9722) and Hamilton County Auditor's Parcel Number 603-0002-0039 (Parcel 2/Audi Connection) are used generally as a single Automobile/Truck Sales Agency and Automobile/Truck Rental Agency operating under the same business entity. Parcel 1/ 9722 may not be separated from common control, nor used as a separately approved Conditional Use as an Automobile/Truck Sales Agency and Automobile/Truck Rental Agency without the common control of the Conditional Use by the permit holder of Parcel Hamilton County

 City Council Public Hearing Minutes March 3, 2021 Page 3.

Auditor's Parcel Number 603-0002-0039. Without common control, upon the separate transfer or sale of Parcel 1/9722 from the common operations of the Conditional Use, this approved Conditional Use for Parcel 1/9722 shall automatically terminate. Consistent with the Montgomery Zoning Code the Terms and Duration of the Conditional Use Permit apply to the approved Conditional Use.

- 4. Drive aisles be free of parked vehicles in order to allow for safe access and circulation across to the site for customers and safety services.

5. All vehicles for customers, employees and inventory shall be parked on-site with no additional parking on surrounding properties.

6. No loading/unloading of vehicles on Montgomery Road shall be permitted.

7. Inventory and display vehicles shall be parked on identified paved pads or in identified parking stalls only. Display pads shall be limited to nine motor vehicles as shown on the site plan.

8. No inventory shall be parked in spaces reserved for customer parking.

9. Installation of sidewalk along Montgomery Road in compliance with the Montgomery Road sidewalk standards.

Ms. Henao explained the project is a significant development that will expand the inventory and display space for Audi Connection. The proposed plan will add additional property to the dealership, which will bring the property into compliance with the 3-acre requirement for car dealerships. The proposed expansion will also allow for the elimination of a curb cut along Montgomery Road that should have a positive impact on traffic safety in the area. It will be important to analyze the landscaping and lighting plans closely to ensure that the new building and expanded parking areas comply with the Zoning Code during the Final Development Site Plan approval process.

Ms. Henao stated there are also some issues that arise from a zoning perspective due to the need to keep the parcels separate but under common control, which require an equivalency to allow for setbacks to be measured from the project boundary versus the property line. While this equivalency makes the zoning process more complicated, Staff believes that it is rational, meets the intent of the Zoning Code and can be managed through a condition upon approval. Therefore, Staff is in support of the recommendation of the Planning Commission with the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission.

Fran Barrett, Attorney for the applicant stated that Greg Joseph, Owner, Bob Zielasko of Elevar Design Group, and Tom Tepe, Co-Counsel, were all present and thanked City Council for allowing him time to speak. He explained that the Audi Connection has been extremely successful in Montgomery and has property with two separate ownerships. He stated that his client has worked with the City Engineer and the Fire Department to combine the parcels. He stated that the concept plan was reviewed at the November 2, 2020 Planning Commission. He stated that his client comes to Council with complete agreement from the Planning Commission.

Mr. Donnellon stated that he would reinforce Mr. Barrett's comments adding that it has been a long process with the applicant, and they were very receptive to resolving points of conflict which have arisen through the years, these issues are addressed in the conditions. He stated that having the added display area would eliminate the unloading of vehicles on Montgomery Road as well as add parking for the employees. He stated that the discussion of the conditions resulted in, what he felt, was a good resolution for all parties.

Mr. Suer agreed that the project has been under review for some time. He stated that he felt a thorough job had been done by the Planning Commission and staff. He stated he was glad to hear that there is mutual satisfaction on the final outcome. He added that while there were residents that were opposed to car dealerships, the ones we have are an important part of the economic base in our city and we cannot lose sight of that. He added that he

City Council Public Hearing Minutes March 3, 2021 Page 4.

162 remembers when Audi was first proposed, and the end result of the main dealership tuned out very nice. He stated 163 that substantial modifications were made to the original building. He stated that it is important how things look on 164 our main corridor. He stated that he felt the future new property and its landscaping will also help to maintain the 165 image of the corridor.

166

167 Mr. Cappel stated that he agreed with approving how the two properties will be joined to work with each other. 168 He asked Ms. Henao if there will be periodic touch points through the design and construction.

169 170

Ms. Henao explained that the applicant was still required to come back to Planning Commission for final plan approval.

171 172 173

174

Ms. Roesch stated that she concurred with the previous comments by her fellow Council members. She stated that she appreciated all the work conducted by all parties. She stated that she was concerned with the issues of curb cuts and the sidewalk but that the conditions address those issues.

175 176 177

Mrs. Bissmeyer stated that she also appreciates the time and thought that staff and the Planning Commission gave as a greater portion of the city is car dealerships. She stated that she felt the big issues were addressed in the conditions set by the Planning Commission.

179 180

178

181 Mr. Messer stated that he liked to see the work and progress being made.

182 183

184

185

Mayor Dobrozsi thanked staff and the Planning Commission as well as Mr. Joseph. He stated that he appreciated their team working with the City staff. He stated that he felt the sidewalks and landscaping will help. He stated that it was a true team effort with give and take on both sides. He asked Ms. Henao if staff would continue to monitor the landscaping and the condition of the buildings and maintenance.

186 187 188

Ms. Henao stated that once the final landscaping plan was approved that the applicant would be held to following the plan.

189 190 191

Mike Harbison, Planning Commission Chair, stated that he felt their team worked very well with the Planning Commission.

192 193 194

Mayor Dobrozsi asked if there were any guests on the phone line that wished to speak. There being no response, Mayor Dobrozsi closed the floor for public comments.

195 196

Mayor Dobrozsi restated that the options available to City Council related to this request were:

197 198

199 Approve the Recommendation

Deny the Recommendation

200

201

203

204

Remand the matter to Staff for more specific information or

202 Take the matter under advisement and vote at another public meeting within thirty days.

Mr. Suer moved to approve the Planning Commission's recommendation to review an application for the expansion of a conditional use and equivalency for the Audi Connection at 9722 Montgomery Road. Mr. Cappel seconded.

205 206 207

Mayor Dobrozsi asked for a roll call and the vote was as follows:

208

209 AYE: Suer, Margolis, Cappel, Bissmeyer, Roesch, Dobrozsi, Messer (7) 210 NAY:

211

City Council Public Hearing Minutes
March 3, 2021
Page 5.

Mayor Dobrozsi asked if there was any further business to be heard in the Public Hearing. There being none, he asked for a motion to adjourn from the Public Hearing.

214

Mc. Cappel made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Messer seconded. City Council unanimously agreed. 216

The meeting was adjourned at 7:13 p.m.

218 219 220

217

221

222

Connie Gaylor, Clerk of Council