

Board of Zoning Appeals Agenda January 24, 2023 City Hall 6:00 p.m.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. Pledge of Allegiance
- 4. Open Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting / Swearing in of Witnesses
- 5. Guests and Residents
- 6. Other Business
 - 1. Legal Training provided by Terry Donnellon, Law Director
- 7. Approval of Minutes
- 8. Adjournment

	CITY OF MONTGON	IERY	
BOARD OF	ZONING APPEALS RE	GULAR MEETING	
CITY HALL · 10	101 montgomery road \cdot	MONTGOMERY, OH 45242	
	<i>November 22, 202</i>	2	
	PRESENT		
GUESTS & RES	IDENTS	<u>STAFF</u>	
Chris Lenahan 8755 Monte Dr., 45242		Melissa Hays, Zoning ar Compliance Officer	nd Coo
		Karen Bouldin, Secretar ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRES	-
		Mary Jo Byrnes, Chairn Richard White, Vice-Ch Catherine Mills Reynold	airma
		Tom Molloy Bob Saul	19
		Jade Stewart Steve Uckotter	

7

9

8 Chairman Byrnes called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

10 Roll Call

11

13

12 The roll was called and showed the following responses / attendance:

14	PRESENT: Ms. Mills Reynolds, Mr. Uckotter, Mr. White, Mr. Saul, Mr. Molloy,	
15	Ms. Stewart, Chairman Byrnes	(7)
16	ABSENT:	(0)
17		

18 All members were present.

1920 Pledge of Allegiance

- 21 All of those in attendance stood and recited the Pledge of Allegiance.
- 22
- 23 Chairman Byrnes gave a brief explanation of tonight's proceedings: She stated that tonight the
- 24 Board will be conducting one public hearing. A public hearing is a collection of testimony from
- 25 City Staff, the applicant, and anyone wishing to comment on the case. All discussions by the
- 26 Board of Zoning Appeals and all decisions will take place within the business session of this
- 27 meeting, which immediately follows the public hearing. Everyone is welcome to stay for the

Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting

November 22, 2022

- 28 business session of the meeting, however, the Board will not take any further public comment
- 29 during that portion of the meeting, unless clarification is needed by a Board member.
- 30 Chairman Byrnes noted that anyone not agreeing with the Board's decision has the option of
- 31 appealing to Hamilton County Common Pleas Court, under the procedures established by that
- 32 court.
- 33
- 34 She asked all guests to turn off their cell phones.
- 35
- 36 Chairman Byrnes asked that anyone planning to speak to the Board please stand to be sworn in
- 37 (which includes the applicant). Chairman Byrnes swore in everyone planning to speak.
- 38

39 Guests and Residents

- 40 Chairman Byrnes asked if there were any guests or residents who wished to speak about items
- 41 that were not on the agenda. There were none.
- 42

45

43 Old Business

44 There was no old business to discuss.

46 New Business

- 47 A request for a variance from Chris Lenahan, property owner, 8755 Monte Drive,
- 48 Montgomery, OH 45242 to allow for fencing four feet in height in the front yard area, along
- 49 Coopermeadow Lane, where Section 151.1009(I)(1) of the Montgomery Zoning Code does not
- 50 permit fences over two feet in height in the front yard.

5152 Staff Report

- 53 Ms. Hays reviewed the Staff Report dated November 22, 2022, "Application for Variance:
- 54 Chris Lenahan".
- 55
- 56 She showed drawings on the wide screen for all to see, to provide more understanding of the
- 57 Staff Report. She indicated that there had been emails received, in support of this application.
- 58
- 59 Mr. Molloy pointed out that the house just to the south of them, which is legal, non-conforming, 60 and set back 25 feet, was constructed prior to 2002. Ms. Hays confirmed.
- 61

Chris Lenahan, 8755 Monte Drive, Montgomery, OH 45242 handed out several photos to the
 Board, showing various views of the yard, and spoke to each one of them. He showed them a

- 64 fence similar to what he proposed black matte. He noted that because there was a row of
- 65 evergreens on the side of the house, the fence would not be seen; it would be inside the tree line,
- and not highly visible from the road. He noted that both of his neighbors, one on the north, the
- other on the west, were supportive of his request. He mentioned that he owned two dogs, and this
- 68 would be helpful. If he were to put the fence in, flush to the side of the house, according to the
- 69 zoning code, and given the current landscaping, the fence would be in the center of his backyard.
- 70
- 71 He noted that the previous fence, 10 years ago, was a split-rail fence; he felt that this fence
- 72 presented a much better appearance. He asked if there were any questions.

Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting

November 22, 2022

73

74 Mr. Molloy referred to the west side, looking at the stakes, and asked if that was the property 75 line. Mr. Lenahan confirmed. Mr. Molloy asked how he would maneuver around the trees that 76 were right in line with the stakes. Mr. Lenahan stated that his neighbor approved of him going 77 into their property, 8 or 10 inches, to avoid the tree roots and keep a straight line.

- 78
- 79 Mr. White asked if he had considered an authorized 2 foot fence, noting that higher shrubbery right behind it could prohibit the dogs from jumping through. Mr. Lenahan did not feel it would
- 80
- 81 82

work.

- 83 Mr. Saul asked if he had looked at the code before he started all of this. Mr. Lenahan stated that 84 he had moved in, unknowing of this zoning code.
- 85
- Mr. Uckotter asked if he had an invisible fence, or if he had considered one. Mr. Lenahan stated 86
- 87 that he was advised not to use it with his Whippet. He was also concerned that coyotes could
- 88 enter more easily with an invisible fence; he noted that his dogs were smaller -30 pounds and
- 89 under. 90

91 Adjournment

- 92 Mr. Saul moved to close the public hearing.
- 93 Mr. Uckotter seconded the motion.
- 94 The public hearing adjourned at 7:30p.m.
- 95
- 96 Chairman Byrnes opened the business session at 7:30p.m. 97
- 98 **Business Session**
- 99 A request for a variance from Chris Lenahan, property owner, 8755 Monte Drive,
- 100 Montgomery, OH 45242 to allow for fencing four feet in height in the front yard area, along
- 101 Coopermeadow Lane, where Section 151.1009(I)(1) of the Montgomery Zoning Code does not
- 102 permit fences over two feet in height in the front yard.
- 103

104 Ms. Stewart noted that the Code was changed 20 years ago in 2002, to specifically prohibit this

- 105 height of fence. She felt that it was important to keep in mind our goal. She could not document
- 106 a practical difficulty due to landscaping. She did not believe there had been an allowance of this
- 107 kind, five feet from the property line, for a fence four feet in height, since the 2002 change.
- 108 Ms. Stewart felt that this would set a precedent.
- 109
- 110 Several of the Board members had the same situation (corner lots), and they understood
- 111 Mr. Lenahan's dilemma, but felt that the Code needed to be adhered to. There was some 112 discussion about past cases that had not been approved.
- 113
- 114 Mr. Saul agreed with Ms. Stewart's comment, and was not in favor of this variance.
- 115
- 116 Ms. Hays pointed out a case in 2017 or 2018, where a fence was in the front yard, and the
- 117 owners had removed it and wanted to replace it. The owner sought to have the code changed.

Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting

November 22, 2022

118 119	She pointed out that there was fairly recent discussion on the code being changed for front ya requirements for fencing. At that time, the Planning Commission decided not to modify it.	rd
120 121 122 123 124 125	Ms. Mills-Reynolds did understand that you would not see the fence at all, if you were drivin by that property, but she could not find a practical difficulty to allow it. There was more discussion, and the Board agreed that they needed to abide by the requirements of the Code. Mr. Saul stated that we did not want to set a precedent.	g
126 127 128 129	The Board suggested that Mr. Lenahan speak with the Planning Commission, as the next step would be to change the code. This Board could not make an exception. Mr. Uckotter noted to Mr. Lenahan could put the fence further in.	
130 131 132 133 134	Mr. Molloy moved to approve the request from Chris Lenahan, property owner, 8755 Mont Drive, Montgomery, OH 45242 for a variance to allow for a front yard fence along Coopermeadow Lane to be four feet in height, where Section 151.1009(1)(1) of the City of Montgomery Zoning Code does not permit fences over two feet in height in the front yard, described in the City of Montgomery Staff Report, dated November 22, 2022.	
135 136 137 138	This approval is in substantial accordance with the survey and Layout Drawing submitted this variance request.	with
130 139 140 141	This approval is justified by criteria #5, 6, and 7, as outlined in Montgomery Codified Ordinance, Chapter 150.2010 (d) for granting variances.	
141 142 143	Mr. Saul seconded the motion.	
144 145	The roll was called and showed the following vote:	
146 147 148	AYE: NAY: Ms. Stewart, Ms. Mills Reynolds, Mr. Uckotter, Mr. White, Mr. Saul, Mr. Molloy Chairman Byrnes	(0) (7)
148 149 150 151	ABSENT: ABSTAINED:	(0) (0)
152 153	This motion is denied.	
154 155 156	Adjournment Mr. Saul moved to close the business session. Ms. Stewart seconded the motion.	
157 158 159	The business session adjourned at 7:45p.m. Chairman Byrnes opened the public hearing at 7:45p.m.	
160 161		

Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting

November 22, 2022

162 Council Report

- 163 There was no business to report.
- 164

165 Minutes

- 166 Mr. Molloy moved to approve the minutes of October 25, 2022, as amended.
- 167 Mr. Uckotter seconded the motion.
- 168 The Board unanimously approved the minutes.
- 169

170 Adjournment

- 171 Mr. White moved to adjourn. Mr. Molloy seconded the motion.
- 172 The meeting adjourned at 7:47p.m.
- 173
- 174
- 175
- 176
- 177
- 178

179 Karen Bouldin, Clerk

Mary Jo Byrnes, Chairman

Date

- 181 /ksb
- 182

180

CITY OF MONTGOMERY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS SPECIAL MEETING

City Hall · 10101 Montgomery Road · Montgomery, OH 45242

November 29, 2022

	PRESENT	
GUESTS & RI	ESIDENTS	<u>STAFF</u>
Lee Ann Bissmeyer	Kathy Saul	Melissa Hays, Zoning and Code
Vice Mayor	7610 Shadowhill Way, 45242	Compliance Officer
City Council		
		Karen Bouldin, Secretary
Brooke Alini		
Atlantic Sign Company		BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT
2328 Florence Avenue		Mary Jo Byrnes, Chairman
Cincinnati, OH 45206		Richard White, Vice-Chairman
		Tom Molloy
		Bob Saul
		- Jade Stewart
		Steve Uckotter
		MEMBERS NOT PRESENT
		Catherine Mills Reynolds

8

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

9 Chairman Byrnes called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

10

14

11 **<u>Roll Call</u>** 12

12	The amount of	una anllad and	Learned Alea	fall and in	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	attan dan an
1.5	петон и	vas called and s	nowea ine	Iottowin	g responses /	allenaance:

15	PRESENT: Mr. Uckotter, Mr. White, Mr. Saul, Mr. Molloy,	
16	Ms. Stewart, Chairman Byrnes	(6)
17	ABSENT: Ms. Mills Reynolds	(1)
18		

19 **Pledge of Allegiance**

20 All of those in attendance stood and recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

21

22 Chairman Byrnes gave a brief explanation of tonight's proceedings: She stated that tonight the

23 Board will be conducting one public hearing. A public hearing is a collection of testimony from

24 City Staff, the applicant, and anyone wishing to comment on the case. All discussions by the

- 25 Board of Zoning Appeals and all decisions will take place within the business session of this
- 26 meeting, which immediately follows the public hearing. Everyone is welcome to stay for the

Board of Zoning Appeals Special Meeting

November 29, 2022

- 27 business session of the meeting, however, the Board will not take any further public comment
- 28 during the portion of the meeting, unless clarification is needed by a Board member.
- 29 Chairman Byrnes noted that anyone not agreeing with the Board's decision has the option of
- 30 appealing to Hamilton County Common Pleas Court, under the procedures established by that
- 31 court.
- 32
- 33 She asked all guests to turn off their cell phones.
- 34

Chairman Byrnes asked that anyone planning to speak to the Board please stand to be sworn in (which includes the applicant). Chairman Byrnes swore in everyone planning to speak.

37

38 Guests and Residents

- 39 Chairman Byrnes asked if there were any guests or residents who wished to speak about items
- 40 that were not on the agenda. There were none.
- 41

42 New Business

- 43 A request from Atlantic Sign Company, 2328 Florence Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45206, for
- 44 the property located at 9689 Montgomery Road, Montgomery, OH 45242, owned by Pond
- 45 Realty, to allow for a wall sign to be located higher than the head of the second story window.
- 46 Section 151.3012(b)(5) of the Montgomery Zoning Code states that wall signs shall not be
- 47 higher than the head of the second story window, as measured from the building grade line to
- 48 the top of the sign.
- 49

50 Staff Report

- 51 Ms. Hays reviewed the Staff Report dated November 29, 2022, "Application for Variance:
- 52 Atlantic Sign Company, 9689 Florence Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45206."
- 53
- 54 She showed drawings on the wide screen for all to see, to provide more understanding of the
- 55 Staff Report. She indicated that there had been no calls or emails received regarding this 56 application.
- 50 apj
- 58 Mr. Saul asked if this was something that came up during the construction. Ms. Hays stated that
- 59 it did not come up during the construction. The sign is actually in place now, but the company is
- 60 merging with Visual Comfort and Co. It is a new name and a different type of sign, internally lit.
- 61
- Ms. Hays noted a correction in the last paragraph, on the last page of her Staff Report: thedrawings dated September 13, 2022 (not May 13, 2022).
- 64
- 65 Mr. Uckotter asked if the only change is that this sign is internally illuminated, because we had 66 approved the unlit sign, previously. Ms. Hays confirmed, noting that this does not require a 67 variance, this is simply a large enough change that it needed to come before the Board.
- 68
- 69 Mr. White stated that the total size of the two signs added up to slightly over 60 square feet, and
- 70 felt this might be a problem. Mr. Molloy stated that this sign was smaller than the sign that was
- 71 approved, but also had concerns about the total signage.

Board of Zoning Appeals Special Meeting

November 29, 2022

72

Ms. Hays stated that the sign is only measured by the lettering, not the entire face of the freestanding sign. The total of both signs did not come near the 60 square feet for total signage.

75

Mr. White asked if the free standing sign required street numbers. Ms. Hays confirmed that it
did, even though it did not show it, in the picture. She noted that it does not count toward the
total sign footage.

79

Mr. White asked if there was any concern about the brightness of the lighting. Ms. Hays stated
that backgrounds were required to be opaque; it will have to adhere to all of the lighting
requirements for wall signage

- 82 requirements for wall signage.83
- 84 Mr. Byrnes asked if the applicant wished to speak.
- 85

86 Brooke Alini, Atlantic Sign Company, 2328 Florence Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45206

87 stated that Circa Lighting got bought out by Visual Comforting Co. They were planning on

- 88 completing the merger later in 2023, but it happened earlier; hence, the need to change the sign.
- 89 The current sign is not illuminated, and they are proposing to replace it with the new internally
- 90 illuminated sign. She pointed out that many other signs in the area were also illuminated.
- 91
- 92 Mr. White asked if they intended to add the street address on the monument sign. Ms. Alini
- 93 confirmed that they would. She noted that the store was not open for business yet.
- 94
- 95 Chairman Byrnes asked if any guests or residents had comments. There were none.96

97 Adjournment

- 98 Mr. Saul moved to close the public hearing.
- 99 Mr. Molloy seconded the motion.
- 100 The public hearing adjourned at 7:15p.m.
- 101
- 102 Chairman Byrnes opened the business session at 7:15p.m.
- 103

104 **Business Session**

- 105 A request from Atlantic Sign Company, 2328 Florence Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45206, for
- 106 the property located at 9689 Montgomery Road, Montgomery, OH 45242, owned by Pond
- 107 Realty, to allow for a wall sign to be located higher than the head of the second story window.
- 108 Section 151.3012(b)(5) of the Montgomery Zoning Code states that wall signs shall not be
- 109 higher than the head of the second story window, as measured from the building grade line to
- 110 the top of the sign.
- 111
- 112 There was no discussion from the Board.
- 113
- 114 Mr. Molloy moved to approve the request from Atlantic Sign Company, 2328 Florence
- 115 Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45206, for the property located at 9689 Montgomery Road,
- 116 Montgomery, OH 45242, owned by Pond Realty, to allow for a wall sign to be located higher

Board of Zoning Appeals Special Meeting November 29, 2022

	100vember 29, 2022		
117 118	than the head of the second story window, as n of the sign, where the height of the sign is limi		-
110	head, per Section 151.3012(b)(5) of the City of		
120	City of Montgomery Staff Report, dated Noven		in ine
120	Cuy of Monigomery Suijf Report, auteu Noven	ider 29, 2022.	
121	This approval is in accordance with the Storefi	rant Flovatian Drawing dated Sontombo	r 13
122	2022.	oni Lievation Drawing, aatea September	, 13,
123	2022.		
124	This approval is justified by criteria # 1, 2, 3, 4	5 6 7 8 9 & 10 as outlined in Montao	mory
125	Codified Ordinance Chapter 150.2010 (d) for g		mery
120	Courfieu Oranance Chapter 150.2010 (a) for g	running variances.	
127	Mr. Saul seconded the motion.		
128	Mr. Suut seconded the motion.		
129	The roll was called and showed the following v	nota.	
130	The foil was called that showed the jollowing v	ote.	
131	AYE: Ms. Stewart, Mr. Uckotter, Mr. White,	Mr Saul Mr Mollov	
132	Chairman Byrnes	Mr. Saut, Mr. Mouby,	(6)
133	NAY:		(0)
134	ABSENT: Ms. Mills Reynolds		(0) (1)
135	ABSENT: WS. Mus Reynolds ABSTAINED:		(1) (0)
130	ADSTAINED.		(0)
137	This motion is approved.		
130	This motion is approved.		
140	Adjournment		
140	Mr. Saul moved to close the business session.		
142	Mr. Uckotter seconded the motion.		
142	The business session adjourned at 7:20p.m.		
144	The business session adjourned at 7.20p.m.		
145	Chairman Byrnes opened the public hearing at 7	1·20n m	
146	Channian Dynes opened the public hearing at h	.20p.m.	
140	Council Report		
148	Ms. Bissmeyer stated that there will be the Chri	stmas tree lighting this Saturday	
149	There was no other business to report.	stillas tree lighting tills Saturday.	
150	There was no other business to report.		
150	<u>Minutes</u>		
151	The November 22, 2022 minutes will be approv	red at the next meeting	
152	The November 22, 2022 minutes will be approv	ed at the next meeting.	
155	Adjournment		
155	Mr. Saul moved to adjourn. Mr. White seconde	d the motion	
155	The meeting adjourned at 7:30p.m.	a me mouon.	
150	The meeting aujourned at 7.50p.m.		
157			
158			
160	Karen Bouldin, Clerk	Mary Jo Byrnes, Chairman	Date
161	/ksb		
	+		