

Oakwood, Ohio
March 1, 2023

The Planning Commission of the city of Oakwood met in a regular session at 4:30 p.m. in the council chambers of the city of Oakwood, 30 Park Avenue, Oakwood, Ohio 45419.

The Chair, Mr. Andy Aidt, presided and the Clerk, Ms. Lori Stacel, recorded.

Upon call of the roll, the following members responded to their names:

MR. ANDREW AIDT	PRESENT
MRS. HARRISON GOWDY	PRESENT
MRS. E. HEALY JACKSON	ABSENT
MR. GREG LAUTERBACH.....	ABSENT
MR. STEVE BYINGTON	PRESENT

Officers of the city present were the following:

Mr. Robert F. Jacques, City Attorney
Mrs. Jennifer S. Wilder, Personnel and Properties Director
Mr. Ethan M. Kroger, Code Enforcement Officer
Ms. Lori L. Stacel, Clerk of Council

The following in-person visitors registered:

Mr. Andrew Kummerer, 417 Schenck Avenue

There were no Zoom visitors in attendance.

Mr. Aidt called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m. He shared that Mrs. Jackson and Mr. Lauterbach were unable to attend the meeting and asked to be excused. It was moved by Mrs. Gowdy and seconded by Mr. Byington that their absences be excused; the motion was approved by viva voce vote.

Mr. Aidt conducted a brief organizational meeting to select the chair and vice chair for 2023. Mrs. Gowdy moved to retain Mr. Aidt as chair, seconded by Mr. Byington. Upon a viva voce vote on the question, the motion passed unanimously and it was so ordered. Mr. Aidt moved to retain Mrs. Gowdy as vice chair, seconded by Mr. Byington. Upon a viva voce vote on the question, the motion passed unanimously and it was so ordered.

Mr. Aidt asked if there were any questions or concerns with the minutes from the October 5, 2022 meeting. There being none, it was moved by Mrs. Gowdy and seconded by Mr. Byington that the minutes be approved as submitted and the reading thereof be dispensed with at this session. Upon a viva voce vote on the question of the motion, the same passed unanimously and it was so ordered.

Mr. Aidt explained that the only agenda item is Application #23-1, which is the review of a preliminary and final plat of 417 Schenck Avenue. He then asked Code Enforcement Officer Ethan Kroger to provide the staff report.

Mr. Kroger shared that the property owner of 417 Schenck Avenue desires to renovate the rear yard of the property, including a new rear yard deck as well as a pool and patio. Due to the proposed rear yard improvements crossing into a second lot owned by the applicants, the three lots must be combined into one prior to approval of a zoning/building permit. No public hearing is required for this type of agenda item; therefore, no legal notice was required to be published in advance.

Mr. Kroger explained that the Planning Commission's role is to check the new parcel as to its conformity with the principles, standards, and requirements of the city's Subdivision Code. He noted that this is a simple lot consolidation that does not involve construction of new streets or public infrastructure.

Mr. Aidt asked if an additional right-of-way is needed on lot three to the east.

Mr. Jacques answered that it did not.

The Planning Commission did not have any other questions or concerns.

Therefore, it was moved by Mrs. Gowdy and seconded by Mr. Byington that application #23-1, the review of a preliminary and final plat of 417 Schenck Avenue for conformity with the principles, standards and requirements set forth in Section 1171.02(B), be approved.

Upon call of the roll on the question of the motion, the following vote was recorded:

- MR. ANDREW AIDTYEA
- MRS. HARRISON GOWDYYEA
- MR. STEVE BYINGTONYEA

There being three (3) YEA votes, thereon, said motion carried.

Mr. Jacques shared that City Staff is looking to modify special uses in the business districts to provide for general categories and to edit the existing uses that need minor adjustments. The information may be presented to the Planning Commission as early as April.

Mrs. Gowdy asked if there are any business categories that are currently prohibited.

Mr. Jacques explained that only listed business categories are permitted, and anything not listed is not permitted even though it may be perfectly compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. This is why the update is needed.

There being no further business, the Planning Commission adjourned. The public meeting concluded at 4:40 p.m.



 CHAIR

ATTEST:



 CLERK