

CITY OF PETALUMA
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF PETALUMA
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
For The Year Ended June 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	1
Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results.....	1
Section II – Financial Statement Findings.....	2
Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs.....	2
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	3
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	5
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	7
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	9

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF PETALUMA

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2016

SECTION I—SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued on whether the financial statements were prepared in accordance with GAAP:

Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?

 Yes X No

Federal Awards

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unmodified

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?

 Yes X No

Identification of major programs:

CFDA#(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
20.500 & 20.507	Federal Transit Cluster

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? X Yes No

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Our audit did not disclose any significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses or instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements. We have also issued a separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated December 17, 2016, which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Our audit did not disclose any findings or questioned costs required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance.

CITY OF PETALUMA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Pass-Through To Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Program				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218		\$302,038	\$343,335
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218			4,235
Total Department of Housing and Urban Development Programs			302,038	347,570
Department of Transportation				
Pass-Through Programs From:				
State of California, Department of Transportation				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205			
Left Turn Signals		HSIPL-5022(047)		270,875
FHWA Washington St Bridge		BHLS-5022(050)		3,018
E Washington to Caulfield		STPL-5022(055)		234,285
Transportation Authority of Marin				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205			
Ride the Bus - MTC Youth on Transit		2013-256		6,524
Subtotal Highway Planning and Construction				514,702
Metropolitan Transportation Commission				
Metropolitan Transportation Planning and				
State and Non-Metropolitan Planning and Research	20.505			
MTC Short Range Transit Plan		SRTP-5303		15,000
State of California, Office of Traffic Safety				
Transit Services Programs				
Job Access - Reverse Commute	20.516			
Night Service		643623		18,767
Latino Outreach		643614		12,465
Subtotal Transit Services Programs				31,232
Highway Safety Cluster				
State and Community Highway Safety				
OTS Step	20.600			
OTS Step		PT1609		65,196
		PT1573		4,322
Subtotal State and Community Highway Safety				69,518
Occupant Protection Incentive Grants				
Every 15 Minutes	20.602			
		CHP 15C061091		5,957
National Priority Safety Program				
OTS Step	20.616			
		PT1573		25,252
Subtotal Highway Safety Cluster				100,727
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated				
Avoid the 13th	20.608			
Avoid the 13th		AL1627		1,112
OTS Step		AL1523		3,611
		PT1609		42,958
Subtotal Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated				47,681
Subtotal Department of Transportation Pass-through Programs				709,342

(Continued)

See Accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

CITY OF PETALUMA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Pass-Through To Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
Direct Programs From:				
Federal Transit Cluster				
Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants	20.500			
SMART Environmental and Design Work			<u>1,387,373</u>	<u>1,387,373</u>
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507			
FY12, FY13 5307, FY12 NF - AVL system fixed rate				21,154
FY14 Transit Signal Priority System				25,436
FY14 2 Vans, FY15 Bus, AVL, ADA Ops				390,484
FY16 FTA 5307				<u>84,261</u>
Subtotal Transit Formula Grants				<u>521,335</u>
Subtotal Federal Transit Cluster			<u>1,387,373</u>	<u>1,908,708</u>
Subtotal Department of Transportation Direct Programs			<u>1,387,373</u>	<u>1,908,708</u>
Total Department of Transportation Programs			<u>1,387,373</u>	<u>2,618,050</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$1,689,411</u>	<u>\$2,965,620</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

CITY OF PETALUMA

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2016**

NOTE 1 -- REPORTING ENTITY

The Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes expenditures of federal awards for the City of Petaluma, California, and its component units as disclosed in the notes to the Basic Financial Statements.

NOTE 2 -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of accounting refers to *when* revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. All governmental funds and agency funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. All proprietary funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures of Federal Awards reported on the Schedule are recognized when incurred.

NOTE 3 -- INDIRECT COST ELECTION

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Petaluma, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the City of Petaluma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 17, 2016. Our report included emphasis of matter paragraphs disclosing the adjustments of certain beginning balances and certain conditions that raise substantial doubt about the Marina Enterprise Fund's ability to continue as a going concern.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Maze & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California
December 17, 2016

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR
FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE;
AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Petaluma, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited City of Petaluma's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of *Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 17, 2016 which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Maze & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California
March 9, 2017

This Page Left Intentionally Blank