COUNTY OF SONOMA
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OFFICE

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

ELECTION DATE: _____ **CONTEST ID:** _____

AUTHOR ELIGIBILITY VERIFIED BY: _____ **MEASURE LETTER DESIGNATION:** _____

JURISDICTION: _____

STATEMENT OF ACCURACY

The undersigned author(s) of the (select one of the following)

☐ **ARGUMENT IN FAVOR (300 WORDS)** ☑ **REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR (250 WORDS)**

☐ **ARGUMENT AGAINST (300 WORDS)** ☐ **REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST (250 WORDS)**

ballot measure U (Letter) at the General (Name of Election) election for the City of Petaluma (Jurisdiction) being held on Nov. 3 (Date of Election), 2020

hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief.

1. [redacted] 8-15-20
Signature/Date (Principal Officer signing of behalf of an Association? ☐ Yes ☑ No)
BRYANT R. MOYNIHAN
Print/type your name or Association's name as it should appear on argument
FORMER PETALUMA COUNCILMEMBER
Title to appear below name on argument (optional-limited to 4 words)

2. [redacted] 8-17-20
Signature/Date (Principal Officer signing of behalf of an Association? ☑ Yes ☐ No)
Cynthia Murray
Print/type your name or Association's name as it should appear on argument
CEO, North Bay Leadership Council
Title to appear below name on argument (optional-limited to 4 words)

3. [redacted] 8-15-20
Signature/Date (Principal Officer signing of behalf of an Association? ☐ Yes ☑ No)
JOHN MILLS
Print/type your name or Association's name as it should appear on argument
[redacted]
Title to appear below name on argument (optional-limited to 4 words)

5. [redacted] 8/25/20
Signature/Date (Principal Officer signing of behalf of an Association? ☑ Yes ☐ No)
Keith Woods
Print/type your name or Association's name as it should appear on argument
NCBE CEO
Title to appear below name on argument (optional-limited to 4 words)

4. [redacted] 8-16-20
Signature/Date (Principal Officer signing of behalf of an Association? ☐ Yes ☑ No)
Pierre Miremont
Print/type your name or Association's name as it should appear on argument
Title to appear below name on argument (optional-limited to 4 words)

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT:
Name: Cynthia Murray / NBLC
Address: 775 Baywood Dr. #101
Petaluma, CA 94954
Phone: 707-283-0028
Email: cmurray@northbayleadership.org

OPTIONAL TITLES SHOULD NOT EXCEED FOUR (4) WORDS.
TITLES WHICH DO NOT FIT IN ALLOTTED SPACE WILL BE ABBREVIATED.

A ballot argument or rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by the name or names of the person(s) submitting it, or, if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization and the name of at least one of its principal officers. **As of May 2020, it is no longer required that signers who are signing as individuals be registered voters in the district.** No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument submitted. Arguments may be changed or withdrawn by their proponents until and including the date fixed by the election official for filing. There is a 10 calendar day review period prior to submitting arguments for printing.
E.C. §§9164, 9190, 9283, 9295, 9380, 9501, 9600

Text of arguments/rebuttal arguments should either be typewritten (see reverse side of form) on the reverse side of this form or a typewritten or computer generated statement may be attached to this form. Statements are electronically scanned for typesetting, therefore handwritten arguments will not be accepted for filing.

AUTHORIZATION FOR ANOTHER PERSON(S) TO SIGN REBUTTAL ARGUMENT

I, DANIEL A. DRUMMOND authorize the following persons 1. KEITH WOODS
(print name of original signer of argument) (print the name of new signer)
2. JOHN MILLS
3. PIERRE MIREMONT
4. CYNTHIA MURRAY
5. BRYANT MOYNIHAN

to sign the rebuttal to the argument ☒ in favor, or ☐ against Measure U for the 11/3/20 election.
(insert letter) (insert election date)

[redacted] 4/26/20

(Signature of original signer of argument) Date

I, ______________________ authorize the following person ______________________
(print name of original signer of argument) (print the name of new signer)

to sign the rebuttal to the argument ☐ in favor, or ☐ against Measure ________ for the ______________ election.
(insert letter) (insert election date)

______________________ ______________________

(Signature of original signer of argument) Date

I, ______________________ authorize the following person ______________________
(print name of original signer of argument) (print the name of new signer)

to sign the rebuttal to the argument ☐ in favor, or ☐ against Measure ________ for the ______________ election.
(insert letter) (insert election date)

______________________ ______________________

(Signature of original signer of argument) Date

I, ______________________ authorize the following person ______________________
(print name of original signer of argument) (print the name of new signer)

to sign the rebuttal to the argument ☐ in favor, or ☐ against Measure ________ for the ______________ election.
(insert letter) (insert election date)

______________________ ______________________

(Signature of original signer of argument) Date

I, ______________________ authorize the following person ______________________
(print name of original signer of argument) (print the name of new signer)

to sign the rebuttal to the argument ☐ in favor, or ☐ against Measure ________ for the ______________ election.
(insert letter) (insert election date)

______________________ ______________________

(Signature of original signer of argument) Date

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure U

Join us in telling Petaluma city officials: "No, Not Now!" to higher taxes in this time of uncertainty and chaos, especially ones that will never end. Vote No on Measure U.

Don't they realize that people who have lost their jobs, businesses that have closed, farmers struggling to survive, seniors, and students all need help, especially financially? They don't need a forever tax.

The pandemic and recession have caused record high unemployment, and tens of thousands of Sonoma County residents can barely pay their monthly living expenses. We taxpayers have to live within our means and so should Petaluma.

Local governments need to tighten their belts, adjust their spending like the rest of us, and not ask for new taxes this year. 2020 is the wrong time to ask voters for more money.

Any new tax should only be considered when people are back to work and businesses have re-opened. We are united and stand strong in our opposition to taxes like Measure U that will push people into poverty and cause more businesses and non-profits to close forever, creating more job losses and higher unemployment.

When we say "No, Not Now," we hear strong agreement from others in the community who want relief for those most impacted by COVID-19. They don't want additional financial burdens brought on by tax measures like this one, which asks for more money from its residents than nearly any other city in Sonoma County.

Send city officials a clear, strong message: Vote No on Measure U on November 3rd.