GENERAL PLAN 2025 FINAL EIR ERRATA #1 and Planning Commission Recommendations March 24, 2008

The preparation, printing and publication of the Final EIR slightly preceded that of the General Plan 2025 final draft document. Minor edits done within the General Plan to improve internal consistency and clarity resulted in a number of policy and program numbering changes. In one case, a program was found, which had been dropped while compiling mitigations into the General Plan (see Policy 4-P-33).

The following table is provided to provide the corrections between the Final EIR reference to a policy or program number and the final Draft of the General Plan 2025 document. If not listed within this Errata document, the numbers and text remain matched between the two documents. These corrections did not cause any significant change in content, identification of environmental impacts, mitigation measures or General Plan direction.

This report also reflects Planning Commission input and staff recommendations from Commission discussions. In a few instances, minor text modifications were made, which are shown by underlining additions or over-striking deletions.

| Final EIR Page Number | FEIR Policy/Program Number |
|-----------------------|---|
| | or Text Modification |
| ES5-1 | 1-P- 16 17 |
| ES5-7 | 5-P- 40 42 |
| ES5-7 | 5-P- 41 43 |
| ES5-7 | 5-P-4 2 44 |
| ES5-7 | 5-P-4 3 45 |
| ES5-7 | 5-P-44 46 |
| ES5-7 | Per Page 118 of FEIR, add Impact 3.1-2 and applicable |
| | mitigation to Summary Table ES5 to conform to FEIR |
| | text: |
| | Impact: <u>3.1-2 Development proposed under the General</u> |
| | Plan would comply with all development standards in the |
| | Sonoma County Comprehensive Airport Land Use Plan. |
| | Mitigation: Program 5-P-52 B. Future land uses in the |
| | airport area are to be compatible with airport use, |
| | including compliance with the County's Comprehensive |
| | Airport Land Use Plan for Sonoma County. |

| ES5-23 | To comply with General Plan text, amend: |
|---------------------|--|
| | 8-P-11 The City may Continue to work with agricultural |
| | users to reuse secondary recycled water |
| ES5-29 | |
| E3J-29 | 4-P-22 Require future waste contract negotiations to |
| | include the following: |
| | D. Hazardous waste collection and public education. |
| Page 62 of FEIR | Response to 36B, correct reference: Addition of General |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | Policy Program 4-P-23 22 per mitigation measure above. |
| Page 63 of FEIR | Response to Comment 39:see Program 4-P- 28 <u>27</u> . |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | |
| ES5-29 | 8-P- 39 38 |
| ES5-31 | Amend 8-P-29 B. Within a 200' setback from centerline |
| | of the River (outside of City limits) and Willowbrook, |
| | Marin, and Liberty Creeks the City shall wWork with |
| | Sonoma County to create |
| | [note: Deleted wording moved to Policy but not removed |
| | from program] |
| Page 130 of FEIR | Response to 93 F.1, reference to 8-P-29 B. See correction |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | above and amend text accordingly. |
| Page 131 of FEIR | Response to 93 F.1, amend 8-P-30 and 8-P-32 to reflect |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | correct policy and program text contained in Summary |
| | Table ES5, pages 32 through 34. |
| Page 137 of FEIR | Response to 96K, correct reference to Policy 8-P-31A B |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | Response to 96L, correct reference to Policy 8-P- 36 35 |
| ES5-34 | 8-P- 36.A. 35.A. |
| ES5-35 | 8-P- 36.C. 35.D. |
| ES5-35 | 8-P- 36.D and E 35.E. and F. |
| ES5-35 | Insert: |
| | 8-P-33 The City shall continue to implement zero-net fill |
| | and when appropriate, zero-net runoff, to assess site- |
| | specific impacts and identification of mitigations. |
| | A. The Development Code shall be amended to |
| | include the dirt, existing or fill, between the |
| | regulatory 100-year flood elevation and the first |
| | floor finished floor elevation in the calculation of |
| | zero-net fill displacement or placement. |
| | To conform to FEIR text on page 173 (Response to 114 B), |
| | add: |
| | 8-P-36 Require development on sites greater than 1/4 acre |
| | in size to demonstrate no net increase in peak day |
| | In size to demonstrate no net increase in peak day |

| | stormwater runoff to the extent deemed practical and |
|---------------------|--|
| | stormwater runoff to the extent deemed practical and |
| | feasible. |
| Page 64 of FEIR | Response to 40B:renumbered to 8-P-36 \mathbf{M} . |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | Response to 40C: See Policy 8-P- 36A <u>35B</u> . |
| Page 102 of FEIR | Response to 71 C:additional program has been added |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | to Policy 8-P- 36 <u>33</u> |
| Page 60 of FEIR | Response to 32 B: See Program 8-P- 36I <u>35J</u> . |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | Response to Comment 32 C: Suggested expansion of |
| | Program 8-P- 34B <u>37E</u> has been included |
| ES5-37 | To conform to page 59 of the FEIR, add: |
| | 8-P-35 <u>N. Improve the data available for the XP-SWMM</u> |
| | model. Add stream level gages at the following locations: |
| | <u>Petaluma River at Petaluma Boulevard North</u> |
| | <u>(southbound bridge)</u> |
| | • <u>Petaluma River at the railroad trestle bridge</u> |
| | <u>downstream of Corona Creek</u> |
| | <u>Corona Creek at North McDowell Boulevard</u> |
| | <u>Capri Creek at North McDowell Boulevard</u> |
| | <u>Adobe Creek at Lakeville Highway</u> |
| | Lynch Creek at Maria Drive |
| | Lynch Creek at North McDowell Boulevard or |
| | <u>Highway 101 (northbound)</u> |
| | <u>East Washington Creek at East Washington Street</u> |
| | • <u>Petaluma River at Highway 101 (southbound</u> |
| | <u>bridge)</u> |
| ES5-37 | Correct (H.) and include reference to existing Policy 8-P- |
| | 7, programs I and J: |
| | H. Residential development shall be prohibited on the |
| | first floor of structures within the regulatory floodplain |
| | after remapping of the FEMA floodway/floodplain. |
| | I. After remapping the City should pursue acquisition of |
| | properties in the regulatory Floodway and seek funding |
| | for implementation of surface water improvements and |
| | riparian habitat enhancements. |
| | J. Consider development of a program whereby projects |
| | may acquire property(ies) and construct planned flood |
| | terracing and/or detention/retention facilities as |
| | mitigation for surface water impacts. The result of the |
| | improvements must result in an improvement to the pre- |
| | project conditions by way of a net reduction in storm |
| | water elevations and downstream flows. |

| ES5-37 | The following fifteen policies were reassigned as |
|---------------------|--|
| | programs, and thus renumbered: 10-P- 1 <u>1A.</u> |
| ES5-38 | 10-P-4 1D. |
| ES5-38 | 10-Р- 5 1Е. |
| ES5-38 | 10-P- 6 2 |
| ES5-38 & 39 | 10-Р- 2 1В. |
| ES5-39 | 10-Р- З 1С. |
| ES5-47 | 10-P- 7 3A . |
| ES5-48 | 10-P- 8 3B |
| ES5-48 | 10-Р- 9 3D |
| ES5-48 | 10-P- 10 3E |
| ES5-48 | 10-P-11 3F Discourage the use of sound walls anywhere |
| | except along Highway 101 and/or along the NWPRA |
| | corridor |
| | [note: the table cut off the first two lines of this text] |
| ES5-48 | 10-P- 12 3E |
| ES5-48 | 10-P- 13 3 G |
| ES5-49 | 10-Р- 9 3С |
| ES5-49 | 10-P- 10 3D |
| ES5-51 | To comply with General Plan text, amend: |
| | 4-P-12 Prohibit new and significant expansion of existing |
| | drive-thru food and service facilities and limit expansion |
| | of the drive-thru components of existing facilities which |
| | increase idling vehicles. |
| ES5-51 | 4-P- 14 13 |
| ES5-51 | 4-P- 15 14 |
| ES5-51 | 4-P- 16 15 |
| ES5-58 | Impact 3-10-5 See Policy 3-P-17 4 -P-17 under Impact |
| | 3.10-1. |
| ES5-60 | To conform to FEIR page 201, insert: |
| | 4-P-33 Investigate the feasibility of developing a City- |
| | sponsored program to subsidize or assist home-owners in |
| | purchasing solar water heating or passive solar systems, |
| | or other forms of renewable energy, through low-interest |
| | loans or property tax assessments. |
| Page 201 of FEIR | In Response to 170 A-J correct reference in Mitigation: 4- |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | P- 29 33 |
| ES5-61 | 2-P- 69 70 |

| ES5-62 | 2-P- 70 71 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| ES5-62 | 2-P- 71 72 |
| ES5-62 | 10-P- 3 1C |
| ES5-63 | To conform to General Plan text, amend: |
| | 1-P-41 <u>All dD</u> evelopment on lands affected by the PRC |
| ES5-64 | 2-P- 33 34 |
| ES5-64 | 2-P- 37 38 |
| ES5-64 & 65 | 2-P-4 0 41 |
| ES5-65 | 2-P- 41 42 |
| ES5-65 | 2-P- 33 34 and 2-P- 56 57 |
| ES5-66 & 67 | 2-P- 47 48 |
| ES5-67 | 2-P- 114 115 |
| ES5-70 & 71 | To conform to General Plan text, amend: |
| | 3-P-5 The protection of historic resources shall be a key |
| | consideration and an equal component in the |
| | development review process. Recognize that historical |
| | and archaeological resources are irreplaceable and |
| | consequently their protection shall be a key consideration |
| | in the development review process. |
| | A. <u>Develop procedures to ensure that</u> Encourage |
| | historic resource reports and similar background |
| | materials be submitted to Historic SPARC |
| ES5-71 | 10-P- 13 4A |
| ES5-71 & 72 | 10-Р- 14 4В |
| ES5-72 | 1-P- 15 4C |
| Page 120 of FEIR | Correct reference in response to 77 G: 10-P-14 <u>4B</u> |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | |
| Page 126 of FEIR | Correct reference in response to 90 A to General Plan |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | Policy 6-P-15 D <u>A</u> . |
| Page 131 of FEIR | In response to 98 F.1 amend text in reference to Policies |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | 8-P-30 and 8-P-32 to reflect text in ES5-32, 33 and 34 for |
| | final wording of Mitigations and applicable General Plan |
| | Policies. |
| Page 138 of FEIR | Responses to 97A and 97B, correct two references to |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | Policy 3-P-126 <u>2-P-121</u> . |
| | Response to 97F, correct references to Policies 2-P-16 1- |
| | <u>P-15</u> and 2-P-17 <u>1-P-16</u> . |
| Page 145 of FEIR | Response to 103D, correct references to Policies 4-P-8 7 |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | A and 4-P- 13 <u>17</u> . |
| (+ oranie orig 111 G 2) | |
| Page 146 of FEIR | Response to 106, add reference to renumbering of Policy |

| Page 158 of FEIR | Response to 108 Z, correct reference to -Policies 8-P-33 |
|-------------------------|---|
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | through 8 P 35 <u>Policy 8-P-37</u> . |
| Pages 167, 176 & 189 of | Responses to 110M, 115L and 135B, correct reference to |
| FEIR | Policies 8-P-33 through 8-P-35 Policy 8-P-37. |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | |
| Page 203 of FEIR | Response to 173, correct reference to Policy 4-P-22 26. |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | |
| Page 208 of FEIR | Author generated DEIR modification: Correct references |
| (Volume 6A, TA G-2) | to Policies 4 P 10, 4 P 14, and 4 P 22 and Policies 3 P |
| | 127 and Policy 3 P 128 to Policies 4-P-18, 4-P-19 and 4- |
| | <u>P-33;</u> and <u>Policies 2-P-119, 2-P-120 and 2-P-121</u> , |
| | respectively. |

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS

| FEIR/GP | RECOMMENDATION (<u>additions</u> / deletions) |
|-------------------------------|--|
| ES5-7 / 5-P-4 3 45 | Coordinate transit improvement efforts and schedules |
| | among Petaluma Transit, Sonoma County Transit, Golden |
| | Gate Transit, <u>airport shuttle services</u> , paratransit, <u>taxi</u> |
| | services, commuter rail, and schools. |
| ES5-36 / Amend: 8-P-37 | E. Working with Sonoma County, the city shall pursue |
| | State and Federal develop a plan and identify funding |
| | opportunities to acquire and <u>move, relocate, or</u> demolish |
| | housing, which remain located within the regulatory |
| | Floodway, once remapping occurs. |
| ES5-36 & 37 / | No new inhabited structure or development shall be |
| Amend 8-P-37 | entitled within that portion of properties containing areas |
| | of water depths exceeding one foot as illustrated in Figure |
| | 3.6-5 without mitigations and/or on-site and/or off-site |
| | improvements being constructed to reduce the 100-year |
| | <u>flood depth to less than 1'.</u> |
| ES5-28 & 29 / | Reduce solid waste and increase reduction, reuse and/or |
| Amend 4-P-21 | recycling |
| | B. Require new or remodeled multifamily residential |
| | C. Continue to encourage waste reduction and recycling |
| | at home and in businesses through public education |
| | programs, such as informational handouts, on recycling, |
| | yard waste, wood waste, and hazardous waste <u>collection</u> . |
| ES5-31 | Policy 8-P-31 |
| | A. The Department of Water Resources and |

| Conservation shall work with the SCWA and the |
|---|
| Community Development Department to insure |
| that reduction of the protection afforded by the |
| Payran Corps Flood Protection Project is not |
| compromised or <u>significantly</u> reduced by proposed |
| development. |

S:/GPA/GP Final Document/FEIR\Errata #1 032408.doc