Attachment A Conceptual Design Drawings

CITY OF PETALUMA ELLIS CREEK WATER OUTFALL PROJECT **PROJECT # 11152197** Petaluma, CA Replace with City's **March 2021**

C number





SHEET INDEX

| SHEET NO. | DRAWING NO. |
|-----------|-------------|
| 1 | G-001 |
| 2 | G-002 |
| 3 | C-101 |
| 4 | C-102 |
| 5 | C-103 |

DRAWING TITLE

TITLE SHEET, VICINITY MAP, AND LOCATION MAP GENERAL NOTES, ABBREVIATIONS, AND LEGEND EXISTING SITE AND DEMOLITION PLAN OUTFALL IMPROVEMENT PLAN AND PROFILE OUTFALL AND JUNCTION STRUCTURE DETAILS



his document and the ideas and designs incorporated herein, as an instrument of professional service, is the property of GHD and shall not be reused in whole or in part for any other project without GHD's written authorization. © 2021 GHD





GHD Inc. 4747 North 22nd Street Suite 200 Phoenix Arizona 85016 USA **T** 1 602 216 7200 **F** 1 602 216 7201 **W** www.ghd.com

| Drawn PE | Designer | VF |
|---|-----------------|------------|
| Drafting Check VF | Design Check | SD |
| Project Manager | Date | MARCH 2021 |
| This document shall not be used for construction unless signed and sealed for construction. | Scale | AS SHOWN |



Sheet 1 of 5

| GENERAL NUTES | | |
|--|---------------------------|---|
| 1. CONTRACTOR SHALL POSSESS A CLASS "A" LICENSE. | | APPROXIMATE PAR |
| EXCAVATIONS OVER FIVE FEET (5') DEEP REQUIRE AN EXCAVATION PERMIT FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL SAFETY. | | |
| CONTRACTOR SHALL CALL "UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT" AT (800) 227-2600 AT LEAST ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION FOR LOCATING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. NOTE THAT WATER | | CONTRACTOR ACCE |
| SERVICES, SEWER LATERALS, AND OTHER UTILITIES MAY NOT BE FIELD MARKED NOR IDENTIFIED ON THE PLANS. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. | | MINOR CONTOUR |
| UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES AND USES: THE ENGINEER PREPARING THESE PLANS WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR, OR LIABLE FOR, UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES TO OR USES OF THESE PLANS. ALL | | MAJOR CONTOUR |
| CHANGES TO THE PLANS MUST BE IN WRITING AND MUST BE APPROVED BY THE PREPARER OF THESE PLANS. | | (E) WOOD FENCE |
| 5. CONTRACTOR AGREES THAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES, CONTRACTOR WILL BE REQUIRED TO ASSUME SOLE AND COMPLETE RESPONSIBILITY FOR JOB SITE | | REMOVE OR AB |
| PERSONS AND PROPERTY: THAT THIS REQUIREMENT SHALL BE MADE TO APPLY CONTINUOUSLY AND | | (E) STORM DRAIN |
| TO HOLD HARMLESS, INDEMNIFY AND DEFEND THE CITY OF PETALUMA, AND EACH OF THEIR OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES AND AGENTS | | (N) 1" WATER SERV |
| ALL MATERIAL WORKMANSHIP AND CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO THE CITY OF PETALUMA DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND CONFORM TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE | | |
| STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARD PLANS EXCEPT AS NOTED ON PLANS. | \mathbb{W} | (E) T ^a WATER SERVI METER BOX |
| CONTRACTOR SHALL INDEPENDENTLY REVIEW GROUND & TOPOGRAPHY, AND ASSUME WHOLLY AND UNCONDITIONALLY THE RISK OF COMPLETING THE WORK SET OUT ON THESE PLANS. REGARDLESS OF | W | (N) WATER MAIN |
| ROCK, WATER TABLE, OR OTHER CONDITIONS WHICH CONTRACTOR MAY ENCOUNTER IN THE COURSE OF THE WORK. | W | (E) WATER MAIN |
| ANY EXCESS MATERIALS SHALL BE CONSIDERED THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND BE DISPOSED OF AWAY FROM THE JOB SITE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL | W | CUT AND CAP (E) W |
| REGULATIONS. 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING EXISTING FACILITIES AND IMPROVEMENTS | S S | (E) SANITARY SEWI |
| FROM DAMAGE RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. ANY DAMAGE SHALL BE REPAIRED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY. | | (N) SANITARY SEW |
| 10. NO GUARANTEE IS INTENDED THAT ALL UNDERGROUND OBSTRUCTIONS ARE SHOWN ON THE PLANS. THOSE SHOWN ARE BASED ON THE BEST INFORMATION AVAILABLE AND THE CONTRACTOR IS CAUTIONED | | |
| THAT THE CITY ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY OBSTRUCTIONS EITHER SHOWN OR NOT SHOWN ON THESE PLANS. | 0 C O | (E) SEWER LATERA |
| 11. PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE CITY WITH A TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS. | | (N) SEWER LATERA |
| 12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ACCESS TO ALL DRIVEWAYS DURING CONSTRUCTION. MAIL AND GARBAGE SERVICE SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF THIS PROJECT. | | |
| ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL CONFORM TO PROJECT MITIGATION MEASURES, AS APPLICABLE. PROJECT SITE IS LOCATED ALONG (E) BUS ROUTES. BUS STOPS WITHIN THE PROJECT LIMITS SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUCHOUT THE DUBATION OF CONSTRUCTION. | G | (E) PG&E GAS LINE |
| 15. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY PROPERTY OWNERS AT LEAST 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF DRIVEWAY CLOSURES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF C/G, DRIVEWAY APRONS AND DRIVEWAY TRANSITIONS. DRIVEWAY | —— Е ——— Е ——— | (E) PG&E ELECTRIC |
| CLOSURES ARE LIMITED TO 48 HRS MAXIMUM. CONTRACTOR SHALL CLOSE ONLY ONE DRIVEWAY AT A TIME FOR PROPERTIES WITH MORE THAN ONE DRIVEWAY ACCESS. & SHALL PROVIDE ALTERNATE | C(OH) C(OH) | (E) OVERHEAD CO |
| ACCESS WHERE POSSIBLE FOR SINGLE DRIVEWAY PROPERTIES. | СОМ | (E) TELEPHONE OR |
| | | |
| BENCH LEVEL DATA | H | (E) WATER MAIN, GATE VAI VE AND T |
| | | 0,112 1,1212,1110 1 |
| VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD 88 | ↓ | (N) WATER MAIN, |
| | ↓[†]↓ | GATE VALVE AND T |
| BASIS OF BEARING | | (E) SEWER MAIN, M |
| HORIZONTAL DATUM: NAD83 (CSRC) 2017 5 EPOCH | | CLEANOUT |
| COORDINATE SYSTEM: CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM ZONE 2 | | (N) SEWER MAIN, M |
| | | CLEANOUT WITH FI |
| | | TEMPORARY BLOV |
| POINT # NORTHING EASTING ELEVATION DESCRIPTION | w-Q | STRAIGHT TIE-IN |
| 100 1840884.002 6396226.303 13.064 REBAR&CAP | 6 | (E) GATE VALVE |
| 101 1840587.371 6396488.296 13.442 MAG&TT MAG/TT 101 | \blacksquare | (N) GATE VALVE |
| 102 1840767.279 6396692.782 12.746 REBAR/CAP 102 103 1840696 735 6396527 703 13.668 END MON 103 | ↓ ★ | (N) BLOW OFF |
| 103 1040632.293 6396544.795 14.181 14.181 SET MAG&TT | | |
| | | (II) AIR RELEASE V |
| THEED GOAVET WAS COWN LETED DI TOWNELTAOW DECEMBER 2 THROUGH DECEMBER 10, 2019. | | (N) FIRE HYDRANT CONCRETE PAD |
| | . | (E) GAS VAI VF |
| | × | SET CUT X SURVE |
| | • | BRASS DISK SURV |
| | | |
| | | |

| 10% SUBMITTAL |
|---------------|
| FOR AGENCY |
| REVIEW ONLY |

| No. | | <u> </u> |
|---------|----------------------------|----------|
| Plot Da | te: 5 March 2021 - 6:03 PM | |

Issue Plotted By: Aaron Foscato

Drawn Approved Filename: \\ghdnet\ghd\US\Santa Rosa\Projects\111\11152197 Petaluma Environmental Support Services\06-CAD\Sheets\11152197-G002.dwg

Date

| | | | ABBRE | EVIATIONS | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| BOUNDARY T LINE DON (E) UTILITY WITH (E) WITH (E) WITH (E) WITH (E) COPEN TRENCH) ID CLEANOUT ID CLEANOUT ID CLEANOUT ID CLEANOUT INE NICATIONS LINE E OLE AND OLE AND COLE AND COLE AND | | SURVEY CONTROL POINT POTHOLE LOCATION (E) CITY MONUMENT (E) CITY MONUMENT (E) STORM DRAIN MANHOLE (E) TREE (E) HMA / AC PAVEMENT (E) CONCRETE (B) CONCRETE (I) CONCRETE (I) CONCRETE (E) ROCK WALL (E) GRAVEL SURFACE (E) WATER METER (I) WATER METER (E) GAS METER (E) OWER POLE (E) ELECTRIC VAULT (E) FIRE HYDRANT (E) HAIL BOX (E) ELECTRICAL VAULT (E) SEWER MANHOLE (E) SEWER MANHOLE (E) SEWER MANHOLE (E) SEWER MANHOLE (E) SEWER POLE, JOINT POLE (E) SIGN (E) LIGHT POLE (E) SIGN (E) LIGHT POLE (E) STREET LIGHT (E) STREET LIGHT (E) IRRIGATION VALVE (E) IRRIGATION VALVE (E) IRRIGATION VALVE (E) IRRIGATION VALVE | AB AB ACP AGG APN ARV AVE BC BLDG BO BSW COM C&G CDF Q CL CONC CONC CONC CONC CT D DBL DEPT DI DABL DEPT DIA, Ø DIAM DIP DWG WY (E) EC EJ, ELELEV EP EQ EX, EXIST FC FCA FEN FG FH FL G GB GV HDPE HMA HP HZ IC INV IRR KV L | AGGREGATE BASE, ABANDONED ABANDONED ASPHALTIC CONCRETE ASBESTOS CONCRETE PIPE AGGREGATE ASSESSORS PARCL NUMBER AIR RELEASE/VACUUM VALVE AUVENUE BEGINNING OF CURVE BUILDING BLOW-OFF BACK OF SIDEWALK COMMUNICATION CURB & GUTTER CABLE TELEVISION CATCH BASIN CONTROL DENSITY FILL CCATE BASIN CONTROL DENSITY FILL CCATE BASIN CONTROL DENSITY FILL CCATE BASIN CONTROL DENSITY FILL CCASE CLEANOUT COLUMN CATTEL DEMOLISH DOUBLE DEPARTMENT DROP INLET ETER DUCTILE IRON PIPE DRAWING DRIVEWAY EAST, EXISTING ELECTRICAL END OF CURVE ELECTRIC EXISTING GRADE EXPANSION JOINT ELEVATION EOGE OF PAVEMENT EQUAL EXISTING GRADE EXPANSION JOINT ELEVATION EOGE OF PAVEMENT EQUAL EXISTING GRADE EXPANSION JOINT ELEVATION FINISHED SURFACE (AC OR CONCRETE) GAS GRADE BREAK GATE VALVE HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE HORIZONTAL INTERCONNECT INVERT IRRIGATION KILOVOLT LENGTH LINDER LARE EFFT OFFSET FROM CENTERLINE MAXIMUM MALBOX MATCH EXISTING MANHOLE MEAN HIGHER HIGH WATER MINUMENT MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES NEW, NORTH NORTHEAST | P PB PC PCC PED PG&E PI PL PP PRC PT PT PULE. PVC R RC RD RET ROW RPM RT RW S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | PAVEMENT POINT OF BEGINNING POINT OF CURVATURE PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PEDESTRIAN PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC POINT OF INTERSECTION PROPERTY LINE / POWER LINE POWER POLE POINT OF TANGENCY PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT POLYVINYL CHLORIDE RADIUS RELATIVE COMPACTION ROAD RETAINING RIGHT-OF-WAY RAISED PAVEMENT MARKER RIGHT OFFSET FROM CENTERLIN RIGHT OF WAY SLOPE, SEWER SOUTH SCHEDULE STORM DRAIN M DRAIN MANHOLE SHEET STREET LIGHT SEA LEVEL RISE SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER CLEANOUT SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER SANITARY SEWER SOUTH STATION STANDARD SERVICE LATERAL SOUTHEAST SOUTHWEST SIDEWALK PHONE TOP OF CONCRETE TOP OF TOP OF CONCRETE TOP OF C |
| | | | (N) (NE) (NW) No NAVD NTS | NEW, NORTH NORTHEAST NORTHWEST NUMBER NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM NOT TO SCALE | | |
| Bar is one inch on original size sheet 0 1" use of Documents is document and the ideas and designs in rein, as an instrument of professional serv operty of GHD and shall not be reused in whol any other project without GHD's written author 2021 GHD | icorporated ice, is the e or in part ization. | PRELIMINARY | GHD Inc. 4747 North 22nd Str Phoenix Arizona 850 T 1 602 216 7200 | reet Suite 200 016 USA F 1 602 216 7201 W www.ghd.com | Drawn PE Drafting VF Check VF Project Manager This document construction unle construction. | Designer VF Design SD Check SD Date MAF shall not be used for ess signed and sealed for Scale AS \$ |

| E | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|----------------------|---------|------------|-------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| VATION. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | PRE | ELIMI | NAR | RY |
| | Project EL | LIS CREEK | OUTFALL | 6, AND LEG | END | | |
| CH 2021 | Project No. | 11152197 | | | 1 | | |
| HOWN | ANSI D | Sheet No. G-0 | 02 | | Sheet | 2 of | 5 |



| | | | | | 10% SUBMITTAL |
|-----|-------|-------|----------|------|---------------|
| - | | | | | FOR AGENCY |
| | | | | | REVIEW ONLY |
| No. | Issue | Drawn | Approved | Date | |

Plotted By: Aaron Foscato

| Plot Date: | 5 March 2021 - 6:05 PM |
|------------|------------------------|



SHEET KEYNOTES

PRELIMINARY Client CITY OF PETALUMA Project ELLIS CREEK OUTFALL PROJECT **EXISTING SITE AND DEMOLITION PLAN** ïtle Date MARCH 2021 Project No. **11152197** Original Size ANSID Sheet No. C-101 Sheet 3 of 5



| | Client CITY Project ELLIS Title OUTE | OF PETALUMA S CREEK OUTFALL PROJECT FALL IMPROVEMENT PLAN AND PROFILE | | | | |
|---------|--|---|-------|---|----|---|
| CH 2021 | Project No. 111 | 152197 | | | | |
| HOWN | Original Size ANSI D She | eet No. C-102 | Sheet | 4 | of | 5 |

ATTACHMENT E







Attachment B Engineers Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

Project: Building, Area: Estimate Type:

| Petaluma WRF | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Outfall Replacement Project | |
| 10% Conceptual Design | |

| | GHD, Inc. |
|--------------|-----------|
| Prepared By: | VRF |

18

 Date:
 3/5/2021

 GHD Proj. No.:
 11152197

Current at ENR:

| Item | | | 1 | Materi | als | Insta | llation | Sub-Co | ontractor | | |
|----------|---|----------|--------|---------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| No. | Description | Qty | Units | \$/Unit | Total | \$/Unit | Total | \$/Unit | Total | | Total |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mobilization | | | | \$0.00 | | \$110,000.00 | | \$4,500.00 | \$ | 114,500.00 |
| | Mobilization | 1 | LS | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 45,000.00 |
| | Demobilization | 1 | LS | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 45,000.00 |
| | Construction Layout Survey | 500 | LF | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$4.00 | \$2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
| | Environmental Site Survey | 625 | LF | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$4.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| | Bond and Pollution Liability Insurance | 1 | EA | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 2 | Water Dellution Provention | | | | \$10,000,00 | | \$20,000,00 | | eo oo | ¢ | 40,000,00 |
| 2 | Water Pollution Prevention | 1 | 10 | ¢10,000,00 | \$10,000.00 | ¢20.000.00 | \$30,000.00 | ¢0.00 | \$0.00 ¢0.00 | ф Ф | 40,000.00 |
| | water Pollution Prevention | 1 | LS | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | Ъ | 40,000.00 |
| 3 | Demolition | | | | \$110 501 08 | | \$50 492 75 | | \$0.00 | \$ | 170 084 73 |
| Ŭ | Existing Outfall Structure Demo & Removal | 1 | 15 | \$5,000,00 | \$5,000,00 | \$30,000,00 | \$30,000,00 | \$0.00 | 00.00 \$0.00 | ¢ ¢ | 35,000,00 |
| | Cofferdam (barge installation) | 625 | SF | | \$5,000.00 | 5 00 | \$9 375 00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 28 125 00 |
| | Abandon Existing Outfall Pipe with | | | Does full | volume | 5.00 | \$0,010.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | Ŷ | 20,120.00 |
| | flowable fill | 1112 | CY | need to b | a fillad? | D.00 | \$11,117.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 100,059.73 |
| | Remove Emergency Outfall | 230 | LF | | e mieu: | 0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 6,900.00 |
| | | Rene | ficial | Re-use? | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Trenching | Dene | noiai | | 4 ,851.77 | | \$26,989.58 | | \$90,000.00 | \$ | 141,841.35 |
| | Excavation | 208 | BCY | \$1.40 | \$291.25 | \$12.00 | \$2,496.39 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 2,787.63 |
| | Shoring | 1183 | SF | \$20.77 | \$24,560.53 | \$4.22 | \$4,990.15 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 29,550.68 |
| | Disposal (Native Soil) | 260 | LCY | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$75.00 | \$19,503.04 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 19,503.04 |
| | Dewatering | 60 | DAY | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$1,500.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$ | 90,000.00 |
| - | Trench Dealifill | | | | RE 604 44 | | £0.465.00 | | ¢0.00 | ¢ | 40 700 00 |
| э | Houling Dine Rodding to Site | 60 | TN | ¢19.00 | \$5,604.47 \$1,000 | ¢25.00 | ⊅8,700.28 ¢1 711 11 | ¢0.00 | \$0.00 ¢0.00 | ф Ф | 13,709.09 |
| | Hauling Pipe Bedding to Site | 196 | | \$18.00 | \$1,232.00 | \$25.00 \$25.00 | \$1,711.11 ¢4.641.00 | \$0.00 ¢0.00 | \$0.00 ¢0.00 | ¢ ¢ | 2,943.11 |
| | Hauling Imported Pill to Site | 38 | | \$18.00 | \$3,341.00 \$687.50 | \$25.00 \$25.00 | \$4,041.22 \$057.86 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 \$0.00 | ф Ф | 1,902.91 |
| | Pine Bedding Compaction | 41 | | \$2.00 | \$81.48 | φ25.00 \$5.00 | \$203.70 | 0.00 \$0.00 | 0.00 00.02 | φ ¢ | 285 10 |
| | Imported Fill Compaction | 111 | LCY | \$2.00 | \$221.01 | \$5.00 | \$552.53 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 773 54 |
| | Imported Rock Compaction | 20 | LCY | \$2.00 | \$40.74 | \$5.00 | \$101.85 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 142.59 |
| | ···· | | | += | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Piping | | | | \$69,915.00 | | \$22,300.00 | | \$0.00 | \$ | 92,215.00 |
| | 42" Steel, Cement Mortar Lined & Coated | 170 | LF | \$185.00 | \$31,450.00 | \$60.00 | \$10,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 41,650.00 |
| | 42" Steel, 45 Deg. Bend | 2 | EA | \$8,600.00 | \$17,200.00 | \$1,050.00 | \$2,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 19,300.00 |
| | 42" Rubber Duckbill Check Valve | 1 | EA | \$21,265.00 | \$21,265.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 21,265.00 |
| | Pipe Testing | 1 | EA | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Point of Connection | | | \$ | 11,600.28 | * = | \$12,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 24,100.28 |
| | Connection to Junction Box 2 | 1 | EA | \$1,600.28 \$ | 1,600.28 | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 6,600.28 |
| | Close existing 42" connection | 1 | EA | \$5,000.00 \$ | 5,000.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500.00 \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 7,500.00 |
| | Modifications to Junction Box Well | I | EA | φ5,000.00 φ | 5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | φ0.00 | Φ 0.00 | Ф | 10,000.00 |
| 8 | Outfall Structure | | | | \$36 500 00 | | \$27 000 00 | | \$0.00 | \$ | 63 500 00 |
| Ŭ | New Outfall Structure | 1 | 15 | \$20,000,00 | \$20,000,00 | \$20,000,00 | \$20,000,00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 40,000,00 |
| | Cofferdam (shore installation) | 400 | SF | \$30.00 | \$12,000.00 | \$10.00 | \$4.000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 16,000.00 |
| | Rip Rap | 150 | SY | \$30.00 | \$4,500.00 | \$20.00 | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | 7,500.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotals | | | | \$278,063 | | \$287,448 | | \$94,500 | | \$660,011 |
| | Division1 Costs | @ | 10% | | \$27,806 | | \$28,745 | | \$9,450 | | \$66,001 |
| | Subtotals | | | | \$305,870 | | \$316,192 | | \$103,950 | | \$726,012 |
| <u> </u> | Taxes - Material Costs | 0 | 8.75% | | \$26,763.61 | | A 040.105 | | A 100 C | | \$26,764 |
| | Subtotals | | 0.01 | | \$332,633 | | \$316,192 | | \$103,950 | | \$752,776 |
| <u> </u> | Laxes - Labor Costs | a | 0% | | ¢200.000 | | \$0 | | ¢100.050 | | \$(\$750 770 |
| | Contractor Markup for Sub | 6 | 15% | | | | \$310,192 | | \$103,950 | | \$102,110 \$15 E03 |
| | Subtotals | W | 1370 | | \$332 633 | | \$316 102 | | \$110,593 | | \$768.269 |
| <u> </u> | Contractor OH&P | 0 | 15% | | \$49 895 01 | <u> </u> | \$47 429 | | φττ9,043 | | \$97.324 |
| | Subtotals | <u>w</u> | 1070 | | \$382 528 | | \$363 621 | | \$119 543 | | \$865.692 |
| | Estimate Contingency | 0 | 30% | | <i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i> | | <i>\\</i> 000,021 | | φ110,0 1 0 | | \$259.708 |
| | Subtotals | | | | | | | | | | \$1,125.400 |
| | Escalate to Start of Construction | @ | 5% | | | | | | | | \$85,45 |
| | Estimated Bid Cost | | | | | | | | | | \$1,210,85 |
| | Total Estimate | | | | | | | | | | \$1,211,000 |

| Estimate Acc | uracy |
|--------------|-------|
| 30% | -20% |

| Γ | Estimated Range of Probable Cost | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Г | 30% | Total Estimate | -20% | | | |
| | \$1,816,500 | \$1,211,000 | \$968,800 | | | |



Memorandum

March 5, 2021

| To Ken Eichstaedt, City of Petaluma | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Copy to | Josh Minshall, City of Petaluma | | | |
| From | Sandie Dudley, PE; Vincent Fiedler | Tel +1 925-849-1004 | | |
| Subject | Ellis Creek WRF Outfall Relocation Project Conceptual Design and Cost Estimate DRAFT | Job no. 11152197 | | |

1 Introduction

GHD was engaged by the City of Petaluma (City) to develop a conceptual (10%) design and planning level opinion of construction costs for the Ellis Creek Water Recycling Facility (WRF) Outfall Relocation Project (Project) in Petaluma, California. The conceptual design and construction costs address construction of a new outfall pipe and structure, modifications to existing facilities to accommodate the new outfall, demolition and removal of the existing permanent outfall structure within the Petaluma River, abandonment of the existing outfall pipe, and demolition and removal of the emergency outfall within the tidal slough adjacent to the WRF.

This memorandum documents assumptions made to support the conceptual design of these facilities based on available information for the surrounding area and other studies currently being conducted. Project specific site investigations are required to inform further detailed design efforts. This memorandum identifies additional consideration required by the City to fully address all factors impacting the design of this project including survey, geotechnical investigations, sea level rise (SLR) assessment, erosion mitigation of tidal sloughs, required agency approvals, design schedule, permitting schedule, and construction schedule.

2 Background

The City operates an existing outfall at the WRF located approximately within the Petaluma River. The existing outfall pipe extends approximately 3,100 feet between the WRF and the Petaluma River. The City is authorized to discharge secondary-treated municipal wastewater effluent to the Petaluma River during the discharge season from October 21st through April 30th. The existing 42-inch-diameter outfall pipe has a capacity to discharge up to 14 million gallons per day (MGD). Average daily discharge since 2016 has been 7.0 MGD; maximum discharge rate has been 13.9 MGD.

During an inspection in September 2016, the City discovered longitudinal cracks along the top and bottom of the pipe, separating pipe joints, and sections of pipe that have been flattened into an oval shape. To address this structural integrity issue, the City is proposing to construct a new outfall pipeline and outfall structure in the tidal slough within and adjacent to the southern corner of the existing WRF. An emergency contingency outfall bypass was installed at this location in 2017, but it has not been used except for testing.

The City is proposing to divert all future wastewater effluent discharges to the tidal slough located in the southeast corner of the WRF via a new outfall pipeline and outfall structure. The new outfall

Do plants only have one outfall? Timing of demo of temp outfall (redundancy) pipe would connect to Junction Box #2 (JB2), with JB2 remaining in service. The outfall pipe would be 42-inch nominal diameter and installed into the adjacent tidal slough. A new outfall structure would also be constructed in the tidal slough. Effluent would discharge from the new pipe into the tidal slough which flows into the Petaluma River just downstream of the existing outfall location.

3 Existing Data

The following documents provided by the City for the project site and adjacent area were used in the development of the conceptual design.

- Topographic Survey of Petaluma Outfall (Towill, December 2019)
- Sewer Outfall Replacement Project Drawings (Carollo, June 2008)
- Integrated Geotechnical Study Lakeville Highway WRF Parcel A (Fugro, April 2005)
- Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade, Record Drawings (Metcalf & Eddy, February 1982)
- Water Pollution Control Facilities 1972, As-Built Drawings (YTO, May 1973)

The following documents related to environmental permitting and sea level rise at the WRF were also evaluated as part of design:

- Wetland Delineation (2018)
- Biological Resources Report (2018)
- Special-status Plant Habitat Memo (2019)
- Cultural Resources Report (2018)
- Sea Level Rise Analysis (not yet finalized)

Ground surface elevations used in the SLR analysis are based on the 2019 Towill survey. It was noted that the topographic survey provided by Towill and the Carollo Outfall Replacement drawings do not appear to use the same vertical survey datum. Based on top of structure elevation for JB2 and the surrounding area, the topographic survey surface is approximately 2.88 ft higher than that used in the Carollo drawings. GHD was unable to obtain confirmation of the vertical data used in the Carollo drawings; however, this discrepancy was investigated by staff evaluating SLR as well and reconciled by adding 2.88 ft to the Carollo surface elevations. For this conceptual design, JB2 elevations provided in the Carollo drawings were increased 2.88 ft. Prior to detailed design, additional survey will be needed to confirm JB2 bottom elevation and pipe inverts.

4 WRF Outfall Conceptual Design Overview

Provide statement if system needs redundancy and if so, what the redundancy is

The WRF Outfall Conceptual Design drawings are provided as Attachment A. The new outfall pipe will exit the southeast wall of JB2 and continue along the northern bank parallel to the slough that runs south of the WRF. The alignment will then turn south by way of two 45-degree bends and discharge into the slough that runs east of the WRF, downstream of the confluence with the southern slough.

Hydraulic analysis of the new outfall and its interaction with plant hydraulics as well as consideration of projected SLR indicate that a 42" pipe will provide adequate capacity to meet permitted discharge flows. The existing JB2 weir wall elevation is sufficient to allow discharge during current mean higher-high water (MHHW) elevation; however, it will not be sufficient to address the current 100-year flood elevation nor most MHHW elevations with anticipated SLR. For this evaluation, it is assumed that the weir will need to be raised to an elevation of 12.7-ft in order to address most future SLR scenarios, the details of which will be further discussed in subsequent sections. A lower weir height may be acceptable, depending on the City's risk tolerance. Supplemental survey will be required to confirm interior structure elevations, pipe invert elevations, and hydraulic calculations during final design.

The proposed location of the new outfall discharge was selected to allow a lower invert elevation with minimal modification to the slough and a discharge orientation designed to parallel the slough banks to reduce the risk of severe bank erosion, though additional slope protection measures are

Geotechnical conditions follow up; what is known and what is not

s interaction with plant hydraulics as well as

pumps and discharge velocity? Maint issue?

> Updated SLR #s

needed. The new outfall pipe will terminate in a duckbill check valve with a timber outlet structure rising above the anticipated 100-year flood elevation. Placement of riprap within the slough at the location of the discharge is assumed in the design to prevent bank erosion at the point of discharge. Further investigations will be required during final design to confirm rip rap sizing and placement.

Pipe material is assumed to be concrete mortar lined and coated (CMLC) steel pipe though the City may consider using an alternative material based on geotechnical investigation performed for final design. The new outfall pipe will be approximately 265 LF. Based on available geotechnical information for the WRF, it is assumed that specially designed trench will be sufficient to support the pipe and that deep foundation will not be required. A geotechnical investigation of the proposed alignment will need to be conducted to confirm pipe trench sections and need for foundation. Still true for thrust

The existing outfall structure in the Petaluma River will be demolished and removed. The pilings will be cut 1-ft below the mudline. The existing 42-inch outfall piping will be abandoned in place of removed where in conflict with new construction at JB2. Approximately 3,100 LF of existing 4 is assumed to the be abandoned in-place and filled with grout. The City may decide to use alt means of abandonment that would be less costly and allow for use of the existing pipe later. Additionally, the existing emergency outfall pipe and discharge structure will be removed from the slough.

The same coffer

dams?

Confirm

The slough will require dewatering for both installation of the outfall structure and the slope protection. Coffer dams would be installed upstream and downstream of the work area, then water would be pumped out between the two dams. Depending on the quantity of water, it may be pumped to Pond 10 or below the downstream coffer dam. Coffer dams will also be required to remove and abandon portion of the existing outfall structure and pipeline in the Petaluma River.

5 Sea Level Rise Assessment Considerations

The conceptual design considers projected sea level rise in the project area. Under a separate task, GHD prepared an SLR vulnerability assessment for the City in October 2020 which provided a range of future SLR projections for the WRF. This assessment was based on ground surface elevations from the 2019 Towill survey. GHD calculated the SLR impact on the WRF in 2070 to be:

- A 17% exceedance probability estimates a 2.2-ft SLR.
- A 0.5% exceedance probability estimates a 3.3-ft SLR.
- A worst-case scenario, extreme risk aversion probability estimates 5.2-ft SLR.

GHD also performed a hydrodynamic modelling study for the Petaluma River and found the current MHHW water surface elevation (WSE) to be approximately 6.5ft EL and the 100-year flood WSE to be approximately 9.5 ft EL.

| Probability | SLR (ft) | MHHW EL+ SLR (ft) | 100-year Flood El. + SLR (ft) |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 17% Exceedance Probability | 2.2 | 8.65 | 11.7 |
| 0.5% Exceedance Probability | 3.3 | 9.75 | 12.8 |
| Extreme Risk Aversion (worst case scenario) | 5.2 | 11.65 | 14.7 |

Adding the SLR values to MHHW and 100-year Flood WSE calculates the following WSE:

The WSE information and proposed outfall design were used to calculate the required weir height to allow discharge during the current and future MHHW and 100-yr scenarios. The results of this analysis are presented in the table below.

| Scenario | WSE (ft) | Min Required Weir Elevation (ft) |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Current Conditions MHHW | 6.45 | 7.4 |
| 17% Exceedance Prob. MHHW | 8.65 | 9.6 |
| 0.5% Exceedance Prob. MHHW | 9.75 | 10.7 |
| Extreme Risk MHHW | 11.65 | 12.6 |
| Current Conditions 100-yr | 9.50 | 10.45 |
| 17% Exceedance Prob. 100-yr | 11.7 | 12.65 |
| 0.5% Exceedance Prob. 100-yr | 12.8 | 13.75 |
| Extreme Risk 100-yr | 14.7 | 15.65 |

The JB2 effluent weir, adjusted to the 2019 Towill survey, is approximately 10.13-ft EL which is sufficient to address only the current MHHW and the 17% Exceedance Probability MHHW + SLR scenarios. Though the existing weir can be raised, JB2 is unable to exceed a WSE of 12.99-ft EL without impacting upstream WRF treatment ponds. The top of JB2 elevation of 13.88-ft is an additional limiting factor. A proposed weir elevation of 12.7-ft was selected to address all the MHHW scenarios and the most likely SLR 100-yr scenario while providing a minimum 1-ft freeboard within JB2.

For the scenarios not addressed by raising the weir elevation, there are several water infiltration protective measures to guard the WRF treatment processes against high WSE in the Petaluma River. These include a protective 14-ft EL perimeter berm surrounding the WRF, a slide gate on the JB2 influent pipe, and a duckbill check valve will be installed at the end of the new outfall pipe. These three measures should help keep high WSE from inundating the plant if the WSE remains below 14-ft EL.

The City will need to confirm the design risk scenario and WSE prior to final design. The City should evaluate the JB2 weir and structure if the predicted rate or magnitude of SLR increases from the values used for this design.

Is the only aspect affected by SLR overflow into the WRF?

6 Slough Erosion Mitigation

The tidal slough adjacent to the WRF is at risk of significant erosion once the new outfall is installed and starts discharging. Slope protection improvements, likely rip rap, will need to be installed along the bed and banks of the slough immediately downstream of the proposed outfall structure to protect the slopes from erosion during discharges.

Will need options. Natural, recycled etc.

GHD recommends performing an erosion mitigation analysis to determine the erosion severity from outfall discharges and design mitigation measures to reduce slough bank erosion. GHD has several engineers with erosion mitigation experience from other outfall projects. It is recommended this analysis occur during preliminary design.

7 Required Agency Approvals

As construction of the relocated outfall may impact jurisdictional waters, and special-status species and their habitat, the following permitting and consultation requirements are expected:

- US Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 permit
 - United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Permit Section 7 Consultation

- Regional Board 401 Water Quality Certification
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement
- San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) Administrative Permit.

At this time GHD does not anticipate a Section 2081 Incidental Take Permit from CDFW will be needed. However, this has not been ruled out completely. Overall, 8 to 10 months should be allowed for the permitting and negotiation process.

8 Additional Investigations, Design, and Permit Schedule

Additional site investigations will be required to inform final design of the new outfall; however, permitting requirements detailed above will likely dictate the earliest the project can be constructed.

Project specific field survey and geotechnical investigations will be required to inform final design of the new outfall as shown in the conceptual design drawings. Geotechnical borings will need to be located along the proposed alignment to confirm pipe foundation and trench requirements as well as inform erosion mitigation analysis at the outfall discharge. It is understood the City has a geotechnical consultant under contract that would be able to perform the necessary investigations by way of task order. Depending on availably of drilling equipment it is estimated that it would be possible to complete a geotechnical subsurface investigation and draft geotechnical report within 2 months.

Field survey to confirm JS2 interior elevations and cross sections of the sloughs along the proposed outfall alignment and discharge area may be completed concurrently with the geotechnical investigation.

Design of the new outfall and demolition and abandonment of the existing facilities is estimated to take 2 to 3 months following the receipt of the draft geotechnical report. This schedule assumes one 90% design submittal and a final submittal. Should the City proceed with final design as a task order to an existing consultant contract the investigations and design duration would be 4 to 5 months.

Don't we need 60% for permits?

estimated approval process for the environmental permits listed in the previous section ranges norm 8 to 10 months. Some permitting requires multiple biological surveys within the calendar year of construction. In the anticipation of possible construction in 2021, the City authorized initial surveys to proceed so as not to cause a delay in construction. Portions of the permitting process may begin in advance of and proceed concurrently with final design; however, some permitting processes require complete design documents for review and approval.

9 Preliminary Construction Schedule and Constraints

GHD estimates a 3-month time frame to complete the Project's construction; however, due to various permitting and operational restrictions, the work will likely need to be phased over two construction seasons, with construction of the new outfall occurring the first season and demolition and abandonment of the existing outfall occurring in the second season.

The anticipated construction includes the following tasks:

- Installation of new outfall pipe and structure
- Modifications to slough bed
- Modifications to existing JB2
- Removal of existing emergency outfall
- Demolition of existing outfall structure in Petaluma River
- Abandonment of existing outfall piping

be removed first?

May be a risk.

A summary of known scheduling constraints are as follows:

Due to the presence of Rail species in the project area, construction must be limited to
 October through January. Depending on the findings of bird surveys, the window may be pushed up to include September.

The existing outfall structure will need to be removed between September 1 to October 15 during an appropriate in-water work window to protect special-status species.

- Construction activities in wetland areas will be limited to the dry season from June 1st to October 15th.
- Per City staff, the WRF has storage capacity within its treatment ponds to take the existing outfall and JB2 off-line for approximately 7-10 days during dry weather conditions.

Based on the above task list and constraints, it is assumed that the contractor will install the new outfall pipe and structure, including modifications to JB2, and remove the existing emergency outfall in the initial October through December construction period. The contractor will return the following September to remove the existing outfall structure from the Petaluma River and abandon the existing 3,100 LF of pipeline traversing the wetlands between the WRF and the river.

Factoring the design and permitting schedule into the above constraints it is not likely that this project will bid in time to go to construction in September 2021. For the purposes of estimating probably construction costs, it is assumed that Phase 1 of construction would begin in September 2022 and Phase 2 will be completed in September 2023.



Provide statement on phasing needed

GHD has prepared a planning level opinion of probable construction cost for the project included as Attachment B. The opinion of probable construction cost is AACE International Recommended Practice Class 4 and organized in a bid schedule format based on available bid results for similar recent projects.

The total opinion of probable cost comes to \$1,211,000. This includes a 30% contingency, as the Project is in conceptual design. The contingency will reduce as the design progresses towards 100%. Escalation to the City's estimated start date of construction, assumed to be September 2022, is included.

11 Summary

Based on evaluation of the available existing site information, the construction of a new outfall pipe and structure in the slough southwest of the WRF is feasible as presented in the conceptual design drawings provided. The associated opinion of probable construction cost for this conceptual design is estimated to be \$1,211,000.

This conceptual design addresses the best available information regarding SLR at the site through the year 2070. The City will need to confirm the risk scenario and WSE to be used in final design.

Project specific field survey and geotechnical investigations will be required to inform final design of the new outfall as shown in the conceptual design drawings. These site investigations and final design are anticipated to 4 to 5 months to complete once the City decides to move forward with the project. Permitting efforts for construction are anticipated to take 8 to 10 months.

Environmental and permitting constraints limiting construction activities to the months of September through December will drive overall construction schedule. Construction activities are estimated to take 3 months divided into two phases over two construction seasons. Phase 1 is assumed to take place in Fall 2022 and include installation of the new outfall and removal of the existing emergency

outfall. Phase 2 is assumed to take place in September 2023 and will include demolition and abandonment of the existing outfall.