GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION 240 & 250 CASA GRANDE ROAD PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA

PREPARED FOR:

DOYLE HEATON DOYLE@DRGBUILDERS.com C/O STEVE LAFRANCHI STEVE@SJLA.COM

PREPARED BY:

PJC & ASSOCIATES, INC. 600 MARTIN AVENUE, SUITE 210 ROHNERT PARK, CA 94928

JOB NO. 9158.01



PJC & Associates, Inc.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists

September 27, 2019

Job No. 9158.01

Doyle Heaton doyle@drgbuilders.com c/o Steve Lafranchi <u>steve@sjla.com</u> <u>bobbi@sjla.com</u>

Subject: Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Subdivision 240 & 250 Casa Grande Road Petaluma, California APN: 017-040-020 & 059

Dear Doyle:

PJC & Associates, Inc. (PJC) is pleased to submit this report presenting the results of our geotechnical investigation for the proposed residential subdivision located at 240 & 250 Casa Grande Road in Petaluma, California. The location of the site is shown on the Site Location Map, Plate 1. The site corresponds to the geographic coordinates of 38.24° N and 122.60° W, according to Google Earth Imagery. Our services were completed in accordance with our proposal for geotechnical engineering services, dated April 11, 2019, and your authorization to proceed with the work, dated April 15, 2019. This report presents opinions and recommendations regarding the geotechnical engineering aspects of the design and construction of the proposed project. Based on the results of this study, we judge that the project is feasible from a geotechnical engineering standpoint provided the recommendations and criteria presented in this report are incorporated in the design and carried out through construction.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. If you have any questions concerning the content of this report, please contact us.

Sincerely, ASSOCIATES PJC & INC. J. Conway Geotechnical Engineer GE 2303, California PJC:bc





TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

1.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
2.	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES	1
3.	SITE CONDITIONS	2
4.	GEOLOGIC SETTING	3
5.	FAULTING	3
6.	SEISMICITY	4
7.	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	4
8.	GEOLOGIC HAZARDS AND SEISMIC CONDITIONS	5
9.	CONCLUSIONS	7
10.	GRADING AND EARTHWORK	8
11.	LIME TREATMENT OPTION	.11
12.	FOUNDATION: POST-TENSION SLAB-ON-GRADE	12
13.	DRILLED PIERS – RETAINING WALLS	.13
14.	NON-STRUCTURAL CONCRETE SLABS-ON-GRADE	_14
15.	SEISMIC DESIGN	15
16.	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE PAVEMENTS	15
17.	UTILITY TRENCHES	17
18.	RETAINING WALLS	17
19.	DRAINAGE	. 19
20.	LIMITATIONS	_19
21.	ADDITIONAL SERVICES	_20

APPENDIX A: FIELD INVESTIGATION	21
APPENDIX B: LABORATORY INVESTIGATION	22
APPENDIX C: REFERENCES	24

GEOTECHNCIAL INVESTIGATION PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION 240 & 250 CASA GRANDE ROAD PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA

1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project was in the preliminary development stages at the time of this report. Based on a preliminary site development plan dated March 6, 2019 and information provided by Steve Lafranchi & Associates, it is our understanding that the project will consist of improving the site and constructing 34 singlefamily residences. We anticipate that the proposed residences will consist of one or two-story, wood-frame structures with concrete slab-on-grade floors. The project will also include the construction of new asphaltic concrete paved roadways, concrete sidewalks, driveways and a floodwater retention basin that will be serviced by underground municipal utilities.

Structural loading information was not available at the time of this report. For our analysis, we assume that structural loads for the buildings will be relatively light, with dead plus live continuous wall loads less than two kips per lineal foot, and dead plus live isolated column loads less than 50 kips. If these assumed loads vary significantly from the actual loads, we should be consulted to review the actual loading conditions, and if necessary, revise the recommendations of this report.

The project site is situated on nearly level terrain. Based on the site topography, we anticipate that site grading and earthwork will consist of cuts and fills of approximately three feet and less to upgrade the existing site soils, achieve the desired finish pad and roadway grades and to provide adequate gradients for site drainage. However, grading for the detention pond is shown to consist of cuts and fills up to six feet and less. Furthermore, it is our understanding that retaining walls will be required to raise the lot grades, in order to achieve finish floor elevations above the flood plain.

2. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the subsurface conditions at the site and develop geotechnical criteria for design and construction of the proposed project as described above. Specifically, the scope of our services consisted of the following:

a. Drilling eight exploratory boreholes to depths up to 50 feet below the existing ground surface with a truck mounted drill rig to characterize the soil and groundwater conditions underlying the site. Our project engineer was on site to observe the drilling, log the materials encountered in the

boreholes, and obtain representative samples for visual classification and laboratory testing.

- b. Laboratory observation and testing were performed on representative soil samples obtained during the course of the field investigation to assist in the evaluation of the engineering properties of the soils underlying the site.
- c. Review seismological and geologic literature on the site area, discuss site geology and seismicity, and evaluate potential geologic hazards and earthquake effects (i.e., liquefaction, fault ground rupture, settlement, lurching and lateral spreading, densification, expansive soils, etc.).
- d. Perform engineering analyses to develop geotechnical recommendations for site preparation and grading, foundation type(s) and design criteria, support of concrete slabs-on-grade, preliminary pavement design criteria, site surface and subsurface drainage and construction considerations.
- e. Preparation of this formal report summarizing our work on the project.

3. SITE CONDITIONS

- a. <u>General</u>. The subject property is located southeast of downtown Petaluma in a fully developed residential area comprised of single-family residences, Casa Grande High School and isolated open fields. The project site is located on the southeast side of Casa Grande Road on a partially developed lot. At the time of our investigation on June 18, 2019, the site was occupied by an existing single-family residence, an existing abandoned residence, a large shop building, farming equipment and open grassland. The site is bounded by Casa Grande Road to the west, singlefamily residences to the south, Adobe Creek to the east, and a field and single-family residence to the north.
- b. <u>Topography</u>. The site is located near the southern end of Petaluma Valley. The project site is located on nearly level terrain. According to the USGS Petaluma River California 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, the site is located near an elevation of 45 feet above mean sea level (MSL).
- c. <u>Drainage</u>. Site drainage consists of sheet flow and surface infiltration which migrates in an easterly direction towards Adobe Creek which borders the eastern margin of the property. An evaluation of the flood potential of Adobe Creek is beyond the scope of this report and is being performed by others.

4. GEOLOGIC SETTING

The site is located in the Coast Ranges Geomorphic Province of California. This province is characterized by northwest trending topographic and geologic features, and includes many separate ranges, coalescing mountain masses and several major structural valleys. The province is bounded on the east by the Great Valley and on the west by the Pacific Ocean. It extends north into Oregon and south to the Transverse Ranges in Ventura County.

The structure of the northern Coast Ranges region is extremely complex due to continuous tectonic deformation imposed over a long period of time. The initial tectonic episode in the northern Coast Ranges was a result of plate convergence which is believed to have begun during late Jurassic time. This process involved eastward thrusting of oceanic crust beneath the continental crust (Klamath Mountains and Sierra Nevada) and the scraping off of materials that were accreted to the continent (northern Coast Ranges). East-dipping thrust and reverse faults were believed to be the dominant structures formed.

Right lateral, strike slip deformation was superimposed on the earlier structures beginning in mid-Cenozoic time, and has progressed northward to the vicinity of Cape Mendocino in Southern Humboldt County. Thus, the principal structures south of Cape Mendocino are northwest-trending, nearly vertical faults of the San Andreas system.

According to the Geologic Map of the Petaluma River Quadrangle prepared by the California Geologic Survey (CGS), the site is underlain by Holocene aged alluvial fan deposits (Qhf). These deposits consist of sand, gravel, silt and clay deposited by streams and canyons emanating onto alluvial valley floors. Our subsurface investigation confirmed the project site is underlain by alluvial fan deposits.

5. FAULTING

Geologic structures in the region are primarily controlled by northwest trending faults. No known active fault passes through the site. Based on published Geologic Maps reviewed, the site is not located in the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Studies Zone. Based on our review of the geologic map of the Petaluma River California 7.5 Minute quadrangle by the California Geologic Survey, a trace of the Tolay Fault exists approximately one mile east of the site. However, the State of California has not classified this particular fault as an active fault source during Holocene time (the past 11,000 years).

According to the USGS National Seismic Hazard Map (2008), the three closest known active faults to the site are the Rodgers Creek, the West Napa and the San Andreas faults. The Rodgers Creek fault is located 2.3 miles to the northeast, the West Napa fault is located 15.6 miles to the east and the San Andreas fault is

located 16.7 miles southwest of the site. Table 1 outlines the nearest known active faults and their associated maximum magnitudes.

	Distance from	Maximum Earthquakes				
Fault Name	Site (Miles)	(Moment Magnitude)				
Rodgers Creek	2.3	7.33				
West Napa	15.6	6.70				
San Andreas	16.7	8.05				

TABLE 1CLOSEST KNOWN ACTIVE FAULTS

Reference: USGS National Seismic Hazard Map (2008).

6. SEISMICITY

The site is located within a zone of high seismic activity related to the active faults that transverse through the surrounding region. Future damaging earthquakes could occur on any of these fault systems during the lifetime of the proposed project. In general, the intensity of ground shaking at the site will depend upon the distance to the causative earthquake epicenter, the magnitude of the shock, the response characteristics of the underlying earth materials and the quality of construction. Seismic considerations and geologic hazards are discussed in Section 8 of this report.

7. SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

a. <u>Soils</u>. The subsurface conditions at the project site were investigated by drilling eight exploratory boreholes (BH-1 through BH-8) to depths up to 50 feet below the existing ground surface. The approximate borehole locations are shown on the Borehole Location Plan, Plate 2. The boreholes were drilled to observe the soil and groundwater conditions underlying the site and collect samples for visual classification and laboratory testing. Complete lithologic descriptions of the subsurface conditions encountered and approximate contacts are presented on the log of the boreholes, Plates 3 through 10. The soils were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System, as explained on Plate 11. The drilling and sampling procedures and descriptive borehole logs are included in Appendix A of this report. The laboratory procedures are included in Appendix B.

The exploratory boreholes generally encountered fine grained alluvial deposits which extended to the maximum depths explored. The heterogeneous alluvial deposits consisted of sandy clays, gravelly clays, clayey gravels and clayey sands. The cohesive alluvium appeared moist to saturated, medium stiff to hard, exhibited medium to high plasticity characteristics and included intermittent gravel lenses. The clayey sands and gravels appeared saturated, loose to dense and fine to coarse grained.

An isolated gravel fill was encountered in the area of the large shop building. Complete lithologic descriptions of the strata encountered are presented on the Logs of the Boreholes, Plates 3 through 10.

- b. <u>Groundwater</u>. The phreatic groundwater was encountered at a depth of 18.0 feet below the ground surface in BH-1, rising to 17.5 feet after drilling and 12.0 feet in BH-5, rising to 11.0 feet after drilling on June 18, 2019. Groundwater was not encountered in the other boreholes. The phreatic groundwater rises and falls by several feet during the year due to seasonal rainfall and should not impact the project. Perched groundwater zones near the surface are common in the area due to seasonal rainfall, but usually dissipates following seasonal rainfall.
- c. <u>Hydrologic Soil Group</u>. Based on our subsurface findings, we judge that the surface and near surface site soils have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly saturated. According to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) guidelines, we judge the site soils should be designated as the NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group D.

8. GEOLOGIC HAZARDS AND SEISMIC CONDITIONS

The site is located within a region subject to a high level of seismic activity. Therefore, the site could experience strong seismic ground shaking during the designed lifetime of the project. The following discussion reflects the possible geologic hazards and earthquake effects which could result in damage to the proposed structures and improvements at the site.

- a. <u>Fault Rupture</u>. Rupture of the ground surface could occur along known active fault traces. No evidence of existing faults or previous ground displacement on the site due to fault movement is indicated in the geologic literature or field exploration. Therefore, the likelihood of ground rupture at the site due to faulting is considered to be low.
- b. <u>Ground Shaking</u>. The site has been subjected in the past to ground shaking by earthquakes on the active fault systems that traverse the region. It is believed that earthquakes with significant ground shaking will occur in the region within the next several decades. Therefore, it must be assumed that the site will be subjected to strong ground shaking during the design life of the project. This should be taken into account in the design and construction of the project.
- c. <u>Liquefaction</u>. The project site is not located in the State Designated seismic hazard liquefaction zone (Green Zone). Based on our review of the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), interactive liquefaction susceptibility map, the site is considered to have moderate

susceptibility to liquefaction during or immediately following a significant seismic event.

Liquefaction is a seismic hazard that occurs in saturated, low density, predominantly granular soils found below the phreatic groundwater. In general, these loose materials experience a rapid, temporary loss in shear strength due to an increase in pore water pressure in response to strong earthquake ground shaking. Upon dissipation of pore water pressures following shaking, there is reduction in the void ratio of the impacted soil particles that can cause differential ground settlement and lateral spreading. Low density, fine-grained sandy soils below the phreatic ground water are most susceptible to liquefaction. However, recent case studies have shown that soft silts, low plasticity clays and loose gravels with limited drainage paths are also susceptible to liquefaction. Bedrock materials and plastic clayey soils with a liquid limit (LL) greater than 37 are generally not known to be prone to liquefaction. In addition, soil deposits older than Holocene time (11,000 years) are generally not prone to liquefaction.

The occurrence of this phenomenon is dependent on many complex factors including the intensity and duration of ground shaking, groundwater elevation at time of shaking, particle size distribution, consistency/relative density of the soil, overburden stress, age of deposit, and many other factors.

In order to evaluate liquefaction potential at the site, our borehole designated BH-1 was drilled to a depth of 50 feet below existing grade. We analyzed the potential for liquefaction of the strata using the simplified method by Seed and Idriss (1971). Based on the results of our analyses, we judge that the strata at the site is not prone to liquefaction due to high relative densities of the granular soils and high plasticities of the clay soils.

- d. <u>Densification</u>. The soils encountered in our exploratory boreholes appear to have relatively low densification potential. Therefore, based on the results of our investigation we judge that the risk of soil densification at the site is low.
- e. <u>Lateral Spreading and Lurching</u>. Lateral spreading is normally induced by vibration of near-horizontal alluvial soil layers adjacent to an exposed face. Lurching is an action, which produces cracks or fissures parallel to streams or banks when the earthquake motion is at right angles to them. There are no overly-steep exposed faces or banks in close proximity to the project site. Therefore, we judge that the risk of the proposed project being impacted by lateral spreading or lurching is low.

- g. <u>Stability and Erosion</u>. The project site is not located in the State Designated earthquake induced landslide zone (Blue Zone). According to the Special Report 120 Regional Stability Map, the project site is located in a relatively stable area due to low slope inclinations (Area A). Terrain at the project is nearly level and is not considered to be prone to landsliding. No areas experiencing significant erosion or sediment transport were observed at the project site.
- h. <u>Corrosion</u>. Based on our corrosion laboratory testing, it appears that the site soils are very mildly alkaline with moderate chlorides, very poor resistivity, mildly elevated sulfates and redox is moderately reduced. A detailed discussion and recommendations for extending the longevity of building materials and conduits buried in the site soils are presented on Plate 4a.

9. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of our geotechnical investigation, it is our professional opinion that the project is feasible from a geotechnical engineering standpoint provided the recommendations contained in this report are incorporated into the design and carried out through construction. The primary geotechnical concerns in design and construction of the project are the presence of weak and compressible surface soils and highly expansive surface soils.

The top two feet of surface soils are weak and compressible. Weak and compressible soils appear hard and strong when dry but can lose their strength rapidly and collapse from the loads of fills, foundations or slabs-on-grade as their moisture increases and approaches saturation. The moisture content of these soils can increase as a result of rainfall or when the natural upward migration of water vapor through the pores of the soils is impeded by fills, pavements, slabs-on-grade or foundations. Foundations, concrete slabs and pavements could experience intolerable differential settlement, distress and cracking if constructed on this material in its existing state. Furthermore, the differential settlement could cause architectural distress to the structures. This condition could be mitigated by engineering techniques consisting of subexcavation and replacement with a uniform layer of compacted engineered fill.

Based on field observations, laboratory testing and our experience, the surface soils are highly expansive. Shrinking and/or swelling of expansive soils due to loss and increase in moisture content can cause distress and damage to concrete elements and architectural features of structures.

To reduce the detrimental effects of these soils to within tolerable limits, we recommend the following geotechnical criteria for foundation support of the structures and support of exterior flatwork and pavements:

- a. The proposed residential structures should be supported on a post-tension slab foundation designed to resist differential movement. The upper 12 inches of soils beneath the structures should be scarified and compacted in accordance with the earthwork and grading section of this report.
- b. The top 18 inches of soil beneath exterior flatwork, such as driveways and sidewalks, should consist of an imported low to non-expansive compacted engineered fill. If desired, asphaltic concrete pavements could be supported by at least 18 inches of imported low to non-expansive compacted engineered fill. By importing low to non-expansive engineered fill, the exterior flatwork may consist of non-structural slabs-on-grade. If the implementation of this method is not performed, then heave and cracking, which could be severe, should be expected.
- c. If importing low to non-expansive fill material is undesirable for asphaltic concrete pavements and flatwork the upper 18 inches of soils beneath asphaltic concrete and exterior flatwork could be lime treated. Additionally, a moisture cut off wall could be constructed for the sidewalk curbs to prevent the infiltration of water into the subgrade material and reduce cyclic moisture variation as described in section 15 of this report. If the implementation of this method is not performed, then heave and cracking of these structures should be expected.

The following sections present geotechnical recommendations and criteria for design and construction of the project.

10. GRADING AND EARTHWORK

a. <u>Demolition and Stripping</u>. The existing structures at the site should be demolished and removed off site. Following demolition and removal of the existing undesired structures, structural areas should be stripped of surface vegetation, old fills, debris, underground utilities, etc. These materials should be removed from the site. Some of the stripped soils, if suitable, could be stockpiled for later use in landscape areas. If underground utilities pass through the site, they should be removed in their entirety or rerouted where they exist outside an imaginary plane sloped two horizontal to one vertical (2H:1V) from the outside bottom edge of the nearest foundation element. Any existing wells, septic systems and leach fields should be abandoned according to regulations set forth by the Sonoma County Health Department. Voids left from the removal of

utilities or other obstructions should be replaced with compacted engineered fill under the observation of the project geotechnical engineer.

b. <u>Excavation and Compaction</u>. Following site stripping, excavation should be performed to achieve finish grades and/or to prepare areas to receive fill. Where imported fill is proposed for exterior flatwork and/or pavements, we recommend the upper 18 inches of expansive site soils be removed and replaced with low to non-expansive engineered fill. For the residential structures, we recommend that the weak surface soils within the proposed building envelopes be scarified to a depth of 12 inches moisture conditioned to four percent over optimum moisture content and recompacted. All desiccation cracks should be closed. The lateral extent of the subexcavation/scarification should extend at least five feet beyond perimeter foundations of the structures and three feet beyond exterior flatwork and pavements.

Subexcavations scheduled to receive fill should be scarified to a depth of eight inches, moisture conditioned to a moisture content of two to five percent over optimum moisture content, and recompacted to a minimum of 85 percent of the materials relative maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D-1557 test procedures. All desiccation cracks must be closed. All fill material should be placed and compacted in accordance to the recommendations presented in Table 2. Import fill to be used on site and should be of a low to non-expansive nature and should meet the following criteria:

Plasticity Index	12 or less
Liquid Limit	35 or less
Percent Soil Passing #200 Sieve	between 15% and 35%
Maximum Aggregate Size	4 inches

The excavated material, free of organics, and rock fragments greater than four inches would be suitable for use as engineered fill. In exterior flatwork and pavement areas, the top 18 inches should consist of low to non-expansive material approved by the geotechnical engineer prior to importing to the site.

All fills should be placed in lifts no greater than eight inches in loose thickness and compacted to the general recommendations provided below.

bonnin or					
Area	Compaction Recommendations*				
General Engineered Fill	In lifts, a maximum of eight inches loose thickness,				
(Native)	compact to a minimum of 90 percent at two to four				
	percent over the optimum moisture content.				
	In lifts, a maximum of eight inches loose thickness,				
Import Fill	compact to a minimum of 90 percent relative				
(Low to Non-Expansive)	compaction at or within two percent of the				
	optimum moisture content.				
Trenches	Compact to at least 90 percent relative compaction				
(Import)	at or within two percent of the optimum moisture				
	content.				
Drivowaya and Parking	Compact the top eight inches of subgrade and the				
A room	entire base rock section to at least 95 percent				
(Low to Non Expansive)	relative compaction at or within two percent of				
(Low to Noil-Expansive)	optimum moisture content.				

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF COMPACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

*All compaction requirements stated in this report refer to dry density and moisture content relationships obtained through the laboratory standard described by ASTM D-1557-12.

c. <u>Temporary Slopes.</u> We do not anticipate that a mass excavation will be required for the project. However, temporary slopes may be required for underground utility construction. Based on our findings, we recommend that temporary slopes should not exceed one horizontal to one vertical (1H:1V). If steeper slopes are required, shoring should be used. The geotechnical engineer should observe the excavation to determine if steeper cut slopes are feasible or shoring is necessary during construction. Temporary cut slopes should not be left exposed longer than absolutely necessary.

Permanent cut and fill slopes for the floodwater retention basin should be no steeper than two horizontal to one vertical (2H:1V). Steeper slopes should be retained. The cut slopes will expose highly plastic clays. Over time, due to desiccation, the slopes likely will experience shallow failures unless treated. Therefore, maintenance of these slopes will be necessary. If optimum performance is required, 30 inches of compacted low to nonexpansive fill should be placed over the slopes.

A representative of PJC should observe all site preparation and fill placement. It is important that during the stripping, grading and scarification processes, a representative of our firm should be present to observe whether any undesirable material is encountered in the construction area.

Generally, grading is most economically performed during the summer months when on site soils are usually dry of optimum moisture content. Delays should be anticipated in site grading performed during the rainy season or early spring due to excessive moisture in on-site soils. Special and relatively expensive construction procedures should be anticipated if grading must be completed during the winter and early spring.

11. LIME TREATMENT OPTION

If the importation of low to non-expansive engineered fill material is undesirable for support of asphaltic concrete pavements and/or exterior flatwork, the expansive site soils should be lime treated. If post tension slab-on-grade are used, it would not be necessary to lime treat within building pads.

- a. <u>Subexcavation</u>. The highly expansive site soils beneath pavements and exterior slabs should be subexcavated to a depth of 18 inches below the subgrade elevation. The lateral extent of lime treatment should be a minimum of three feet beyond the edges of exterior concrete slabs and pavements. The bottoms of all of the subexcavations should be scarified to a depth of eight inches, moisture conditioned to a moisture content of two to four percent over optimum moisture content, and recompacted to a minimum of 85 percent of the materials relative maximum dry density.
- b. <u>Staging</u>. A staging area for mixing of the highly expansive site soils and powdered lime should be established at the site. The highly expansive soils should be transported to the staging area and be moisture conditioned and amended with powdered lime. The lime treated site soils should than be transported back to the pad subexcavation and be spread in loose, eight inch thick lifts. We recommend that the lime-treated soils be moisture conditioned to two percent over optimum moisture content, and compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction. With proper mixing equipment, it is possible the bottom lift could be processed within the subexcavation. However this should be evaluated in the field during grading and earthwork by the geotechnical engineer.
- c. <u>Lime Application</u>. The expansive soils should be treated with high calcium or dolomitic quick lime. For preliminary budgeting purposes, we recommend a blend of at least five percent powdered lime (by dry weight) be evenly mixed with the site soils. Laboratory testing should be performed on trial samples to establish the percentage, by dry weight, of lime to be used. Ten days should be allowed to perform the testing prior to bidding and construction. The performance of lime stabilized soil is critically dependent on uniform mixing of the lime into the highly expansive soils and providing a proper curing period following amendment with the lime.
- d. <u>Quality Control</u>. An experienced lime stabilization contractor, along with a comprehensive quality control program, is required to achieve the best

possible stabilized soils. PJC should also perform laboratory testing during and following lime application. The powdered lime purchase order receipts should be provided to PJC to be kept on record.

12. FOUNDATION: POST-TENSION SLAB-ON-GRADE

The structures should be supported on post-tensioned mat slab foundations. The slabs should be designed in accordance with the following recommendations.

a. <u>Vertical Loads</u>. The post-tensioned mat slab should be designed to be rigid and capable of resisting both positive and negative moments in areas of non-uniform support due to differential settlement and the shrink and swell cycles of expansive clay soils. For design purposes, we recommend that the slab be designed to span areas of non-uniform support for full structural loading in both directions.

The post tension slab may be designed according to the following criteria, based on the method developed by the Post-Tensioning Institute (PTI) 2012 Edition and subsequent addendums.

i.	Edge Moisture Variation Distance (center lift) =	9.0 feet
ii.	Edge Moisture Variation Distance (edge lift) =	5.0 feet
iii.	Estimated Differential Shrink (center lift) =	1.50 inches
iv.	Estimated Differential Swell (edge lift) =	2.01 inches
v.	Allowable Bearing Capacity (dead plus live loads) =	1,500 psf
vi.	Soil modulus of subgrade reaction $(K_s) =$	50 pci
vii.	Modulus of elasticity of the soil =	3,000 psi

We recommend a minimum slab thickness of 12 inches. The slab perimeter should be provided with a 12-inch wide and 12-inch deep thicken edge to reduce edge drying and storm water intrusion under the slab. The post tension slab should be underlain by a four-inch layer of three-quarter inch gravel to act as a capillary break. To minimize moisture propagation through the slab, the gravel should be covered by a 15-mil thick vapor retarder. The membrane should be taped at all utility connections through the slabs to reduce the risk of moisture migration.

Concentrated loads within the slab should be supported by thickened beams. The soils within the building pad should be maintained at two to four percent over optimum at all times. The subgrade material should not be allowed to dry out prior to post-tensioned slab construction. If the slab subgrade is allowed to dry, all desiccation cracks should be closed.

Special precautions must be taken during the placement and curing of concrete slabs-on-grade. Excessive slump (high water-cement ratio) of the concrete and/or improper curing procedures and ad mixtures used during either hot or cold weather conditions will lead to excessive shrinkage, cracking or curling of the slabs. High water-cement ratios and/or improper curing also greatly increases water vapor transmission through the concrete. Concrete placement and curing operations should be performed in accordance with the American Concrete Institute (ACI) manual.

- b. <u>Settlement</u>. The majority of elastic settlement is expected to be small and occur during construction and placement of dead loads. Total elastic settlement is expected to be less than one inch. A maximum differential elastic settlement of one-half inch is anticipated.
- c. <u>Lateral Loads</u>. Resistance to lateral forces may be computed by using base friction and passive resistance. A friction factor of 0.30 is considered appropriate between the bottom of the concrete structures and soil. A passive pressure of 250 psf/ft may be used for structural elements embedded in the clay soils. The top 12 inches should be neglected for passive resistance due to desiccation and soil disturbance.

13. DRILLED PIERS – RETAINING WALLS

a. <u>Vertical Loads</u>. It is our understanding that retaining walls will be used for the project. The walls should be supported on drilled, cast-in-place concrete piers a minimum of 12 inches in diameter and spaced at least three pier diameters center to center. The piers will derive their support through peripheral friction. Perimeter and interior piers should extend at least 10 feet below the existing ground surface, regardless of structural loads. The piers should be reinforced and designed by the project structural engineer. Piers carrying continuous loads should be connected by reinforced concrete beams. The beams should be designed to span between the piers in accordance with structural requirements.

The portion of the piers extending at least three feet beneath the finished ground surface may be designed using an allowable dead plus live skin friction of 600 pounds per square foot (psf). This value may be increased by one-third for short duration wind and seismic loads. End bearing should be neglected because of the difficulty of cleaning out small diameter pier holes and the uncertainty of mobilizing end bearing and skin friction simultaneously.

The expansive soil will tend to exert an uplift pressure on the underside of the beams and on the drilled piers. For drilled piers, a value equal to onehalf the downward capacity of the pier may be used to resist uplift forces. An uplift swelling pressure of 1,500 psf on the underside of the beams should be used for the design of grade beams.

- b. <u>Settlement</u>. The maximum settlement for the piers is estimated to be small and within tolerable limits.
- c. <u>Lateral Loads</u>. Lateral loads resulting from wind or earthquakes can be resisted by the piers through a combination of cantilever action and passive resistance of the soils surrounding the pier. A passive pressure of 300 pounds per square foot per foot of depth acting on two pier diameters should be used. The upper three feet should be neglected for passive resistance due to desiccation and soil disturbance.
- d. <u>Pier Drilling</u>. Free groundwater and/or caving-prone soils may be encountered within the planned pier depths. If groundwater is encountered or collects in pier holes, it may be necessary to de-water the holes and/or place the concrete by the tremie method. Furthermore, it may be practical to perform a drill and pour operation where the reinforcing steel and concrete for the caissons are placed immediately after drilling. If caving soils are encountered, it may be necessary to case the holes. Hard drilling may be necessary to achieve the required depths.

We should be retained to review the pier drilling operations, to review the actual soil conditions exposed, and provide modifications in the field, if necessary. The drilling subcontractor should review this report so he may choose suitable drill rigs to accomplish drilling, and determine the need for casing and de-watering.

14. NON-STRUCTURAL CONCRETE SLABS-ON-GRADE

Non-structural concrete slabs-on-grade may be used for exterior flatwork provided the slabs are underlain by at least 18 inches of a low to non-expansive compacted fill or lime treated soils. The low to non-expansive fill should extend at least three feet beyond exterior slab edges and pavements.

All slab subgrades should be moisture conditioned and rolled to produce a firm and uniform subgrade. The slab subgrade should not be allowed to dry. Nonstructural slabs should be at least five inches thick and underlain with a capillary moisture break consisting of at least four inches of clean, free-draining crushed rock or gravel. The rock should be graded so that 100 percent passes the one-inch sieve and no more than five percent passes the No. 4 sieve.

For slabs-on-grade with moisture sensitive surfacing, we recommend that a vapor retarder at least 15 mils thick be placed over the drain rock to prevent migration

of moisture vapor through the concrete slabs. Control joints should be provided to induce and control cracking. The slabs should be cast and maintained separate of adjacent foundations.

Special precautions must be taken during the placement and curing of concrete slabs-on-grade. Excessive slump (high water-cement ratio) of the concrete and/or improper curing procedures and ad mixtures used during either hot or cold weather conditions will lead to excessive shrinkage, cracking or curling of the slabs. High water-cement ratios and/or improper curing also greatly increases water vapor transmission through the concrete. Concrete placement and curing operations should be performed in accordance with the American Concrete Institute (ACI) manual.

15. SEISMIC DESIGN

Based on criteria presented in the 2016 edition of the California Building Code (CBC) and ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers) STANDARD ASCE/SEI 7-10, the following minimum criteria should be used in seismic design:

a.	Site Class:	D
b.	Mapped Acceleration Parameters:	$S_s = 1.949 g$ $S_1 = 0.790 g$
c.	Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters:	$S_{MS} = 1.949 \text{ g}$ $S_{M1} = 1.184 \text{ g}$
d.	Design Spectral Acceleration Parameters:	$S_{DS} = 1.300 \text{ g}$ $S_{D1} = 0.790 \text{ g}$

16. ASPHALTIC CONCRETE PAVEMENTS

An R-value of 5 was assigned to the site soils for the project. We recommend that the pavement base rock section should be underlain by at least 18 inches of low to non-expansive compacted engineered fill or lime treated soils to reduce the risk of severe cracking. Pavement sections should be constructed according to Table 3 if native soils are used to support the pavement. Table 4 may be used if the subbase consists of import or lime treated soils.

Pavement thicknesses were computed from Chapter 633 of the Caltrans Highway Design Manual and are based on a pavement life of 20 years. The Traffic Indexes (TI) used are judged representative of the anticipated traffic but are not based on actual vehicle counts. The actual traffic indexes should be determined and provided by the project civil engineer.

Prior to placement of the aggregate base material, the top eight inches of the pavement subgrade should be scarified to at least eight inches deep, moisture conditioned to within two percent of the optimum moisture content, and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent relative compaction. Aggregate base material should be spread in thin layers and compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction to form a firm and unyielding base. The subgrade and aggregate base section should visually pass a firm unyielding proof-roll inspection.

The material and methods used should conform to the requirements of the Caltrans Standard Specifications, except that compaction requirements for the soil subgrade and aggregate baserock should be based on ASTM D-1557-12. Aggregate used for the base coarse should comply with the minimum requirements specified in Caltrans Standard Specifications, Section 26, for Class 2 aggregate base.

In general, the pavements should be constructed during the dry season to avoid the saturation of the subgrade and base materials, which often occurs during the wet winter months. If pavements are constructed during the winter and early spring, a cost increase relative to drier weather construction should be anticipated. The geotechnical engineer should be consulted for recommendations at the time of construction.

Where pavements will abut landscaped areas, water can seep below the concrete curb and into the base rock and subgrade within the pavement section. Continued saturation of the base rock leads to permanent wetness towards the lower elevation of the pavement where water ponds. Soft subgrade conditions and pavement damage can occur as a result.

Several precautionary measures can be taken to minimize the intrusion of water into the base rock; however, the cost to install the protective measures should be balanced against the cost of repairing damaged pavement sections. An alternative, which can be taken to extend the life of the pavement, would be to construct a cutoff wall along the perimeter edge of the pavement. The wall should consist of a lean concrete mix. The trench should be four inches wide and extend at least 36 inches deep.

Where trees are located adjacent to pavement areas, we recommend that a suitable impervious root barrier be included to minimize water mitigation into the pavement layer.

TABLE 3 PAVEMENT DESIGN FOR PAVEMENT AREAS (Subgrade R-Value = 5)

Traffic Index	Asphaltic Concrete	Class II Aggregate Base (in)
4.0	2.0	8.5
5.0	2.5	11.0
6.0	3.0	13.5
7.0	3.5	16.5

TABLE 4

PAVEMENT DESIGN FOR 18" LOW TO NON-EXPANSIVE ENGINEERED FILL OR LIME TREATED SOIL (Subgrade P. Value = 50)

Traffic Index	Asphaltic Concrete (in)	Class II Aggregate Base (in)
4.0	2.0	6.0
5.0	2.5	6.0
6.0	3.0	6.0
7.0	3.5	7.0

17. UTILITY TRENCHES

Shallow excavations for utility trenches can be readily made with either a backhoe or trencher; larger earth moving equipment should be used for deeper excavations. We expect the walls of trenches less than five feet deep, excavated into engineered fill or native soils, to remain in a near-vertical configuration during construction provided no equipment or excavated spoil surcharges are located near the top of the excavation. If the trench extends deeper than five feet, then the trench walls may become unstable and may require shoring. All trenches should conform to the current CAL-OSHA requirements for worker safety.

The trenches may be backfilled with import soils and compacted to at least 90 percent of maximum dry density. The backfill soils should be moisture conditioned according to Table 2 of this report before compacting. Jetting should not be used.

Special care should be taken in the control of utility trench backfilling in structural areas. Substandard compaction may result in excessive settlements resulting in damage to structures constructed above them.

18. RETAINING WALLS

a. <u>Static Lateral Earth Pressures</u>. Retaining walls free to rotate on the top should be designed to resist active lateral earth pressures. If walls are restrained by rigid elements to prevent rotation or supporting compacted engineered fill, they should be designed for "at rest" lateral earth pressures.

Retaining walls should be designed to resist the following earth pressures (triangular distribution):

Active Pressure (level backfill) (5H:1V or less)	45 psf/ft
At Rest Pressure (level backfill) (5H:1V or less)	60 psf/ft
Active Pressure (2H:1V maximum slope backfill)	60 psf/ft
At Rest Pressure (2H:1V maximum slope backfill)	75 psf/ft

b. <u>Pseudostatic Force</u>. The horizontal pseudostatic force acting upon retaining walls taller than six feet during a seismic event should be calculated from the following equation:

 $P_E = 15.9 \text{ H}^2$ where, $P_E = P$ seudostatic Force (lbs)

H = retained height (ft)

The location of the pseudostatic force is assumed to act at a distance of 0.33H above the base of the wall.

Static and pseudostatic pressures listed above do not include surcharge loads resulting from adjacent foundations, traffic loads or other loads. If additional surcharge loading is anticipated, we should be consulted to assist in evaluating their effects.

- ¢. Drainage. We recommend that a backdrain be provided behind all retaining walls or that the walls be designed for full hydrostatic pressures. The backdrains should consist of four-inch diameter SDR 35 perforated pipe sloped to drain to outlets by gravity, and of clean, free-draining, Class II permeable material. The Class II permeable material should extend 12 inches horizontally from the back face of the wall and extend from the bottom of the wall to one foot below the finished ground surface. The upper 12 inches should be backfilled with compacted low plasticity finegrained soil to exclude surface water. Highly expansive clays must not be used for wall backfill. We recommend that the ground surface behind retaining walls be sloped to drain. Under no circumstances should surface water be diverted into retaining wall backdrains. Where migration of moisture through walls would be detrimental, the walls should be waterproofed.
- d. <u>Retaining Wall Backfill</u>. New concrete retaining walls should not be backfilled until 67 percent of the concrete compressive strength has been achieved. Low to non-expansive soil should be used to backfill retaining

walls, according to the criteria of the earthwork section of this report. Soils with a Plasticity Index greater than 15 must not be used as retaining wall backfill. The backfill material should be placed in lifts of eight inches or less, moisture conditioned to within two percent of the optimum moisture content and compacted to at least 90 percent of the materials maximum dry density. This procedure should extend until final grade is achieved.

19. DRAINAGE

We recommend that the structures be provided with roof gutters and downspouts. Drainage control design should include provisions for positive surface gradients so that surface runoff is not permitted to pond, particularly adjacent to the building foundations, slabs or pavements. Surface runoff should be directed away from foundations. If the drainage facilities discharge onto the natural ground, adequate means should be provided to control erosion and to create sheet flow. Care must be taken so that discharges from the roof gutter and downspout systems are not allowed to infiltrate the subsurface soils near the structures. Downspouts should be connected to closed conduits and discharged away from structures.

20. LIMITATIONS

The data, information, interpretations and recommendations contained in this report are presented solely as bases and guides to the preliminary geotechnical design of the proposed residential subdivision located at 240 & 250 Casa Grande Road in Petaluma, California. The conclusions and professional opinions presented herein were developed by PJC in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. No warranty, either expressed or implied, is intended.

This report has not been prepared for use by parties other than the designers of the project. It may not contain sufficient information for the purposes of other parties or other uses. If any changes are made in the project as described in this report, the conclusions and recommendations contained herein should not be considered valid, unless the changes are reviewed by PJC and the conclusions and recommendations are modified or approved in writing. This report and the figures contained herein are intended for design purposes only. They are not intended to act by themselves as construction drawings or specifications.

Soil deposits may vary in type, strength, and many other important properties between points of observation and exploration. Additionally, changes can occur in groundwater and soil moisture conditions due to seasonal variations or for other reasons. Therefore, it must be recognized that we do not and cannot have complete knowledge of the subsurface conditions underlying the subject site. The criteria presented are based on the findings at the points of exploration and on interpretative data, including interpolation and extrapolation of information obtained at points of observation.

21. ADDITIONAL SERVICES

Upon completion of the project plans, they should be reviewed by our firm to determine that the design is consistent with the recommendations of this report. During the course of this investigation, several assumptions were made regarding building loads and development concepts. Should our assumptions differ significantly from the final intent of the project designers, our office should be notified of the changes to assess any potential need for revised recommendations. Observation and testing services should also be provided by PJC to verify that the intent of the plans and specifications is carried out during construction; these services should include observation of grading and earthwork, approving slab subgrade, approving pavement sections, and observing the installation of drainage provisions. These services will be performed only if PJC is provided with sufficient notice to perform the work. PJC does not accept responsibility for items we are not notified to observe.

It has been a pleasure working with you on this project. Please call if you have any questions regarding the content of this report or if we may be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

PJC & ASSOCIATES, INC.

APPENDIX A FIELD INVESTIGATION

1. INTRODUCTION

The field program performed for this study consisted of drilling eight exploratory boreholes (BH-1 through BH-8) within the project area. The exploration was completed on June 18, 2019. The approximate borehole locations are shown on the Borehole Location Plan, Plate 2. Descriptive logs of the boreholes are presented in this appendix as Plates 3 through 10.

2. BOREHOLES

The boreholes were advanced using truck mounted drill rigs with hollow and solid stem flight augers. The drilling subcontractors on the project were Pearson Drilling of Forestville, California. The drilling was performed under the observation of a project engineer of PJC who maintained a continuous log of the soil conditions and obtained samples suitable for laboratory testing. The soils were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System, as explained on Plate 11.

Relatively undisturbed and disturbed samples were obtained from the exploratory boreholes. A 2.43 in I.D. California Modified Sampler was driven into the underlying soil using a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches to obtain an indication in the field of the density of the soil and to allow visual examination of at least a portion of the soil column. A standard penetration sampler was used in the granular soils. Soil samples obtained with the split-spoon sampler were retained for further observation and testing. The number of blows required to drive the sampler at six-inch increments was recorded on the borehole logs. All samples collected were labeled and transported to PJC's office for examination and laboratory testing.



	240 & Pl	ETALUMA, CALIF	ORNIA	2				
PJC & Associates, Inc. Consulting Engineers & Geologists	BC _ PF 240.8	REHOLE LOCATIO	ON PLAN VISION	PLATE				

P.	JC	& Associates, Inc.			E	OR	ING	S NU	JME	BER	BH	I-1
Cor	sulting	Engineers & Geologists		ŝ						PAGE	E 1 C)F 2
CLIE	NUMBE	PROJE R 9158.01 LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa Grande Road		Prop	osed Subd	vision						
DAT	E STAR	TED _6/18/19 COMPLETED _6/18/19 GROUT		TION	43 ft		HOLE	SIZE	8			
DRI	LING C	ONTRACTOR Pearson Drilling GROUN			LS:							
DRI	LING M	ETHOD _B-53 Hollow Stem Auger with 140lb hammer A		DRIL	LING 18.0	00 ft / I	Elev 2	5.00 ft				
LOG	GED BY	A B.C. CHECKED BY PJC A	T END OF	DRILL	.ING		_	_			_	
	ES	¥.A	FTER DRI	LLING	17.50 ft /	Elev 2	25.50 1	ť			_	_
EPTH	APHIC	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	LE TYPE MBER	VERY % (QD)	LOW UNTS ALUE)	ET PEN. tsf)	JNIT WT. pcf)	STURE ENT (%)				CONTENT %)
	в_		SAMP	RECO (F	BO>	POCK	DRY L	CONT	LIAU	PLAS	INDE	INES (
		(CH) 0.0'-8.0'; SANDY CLAY; brownish black to blackish gray,			-		-		-		<u>n</u>	<u> </u>
	-///	moist, very stiff, high plasticity, few gravels, trace rootlets. (Qal)										
	-///		M		12	2.0	06	10	<u> </u>	00	45	ł
Yer-			IVIC		12	3.0	90	19	68	23	45	
5			МС		15	2.5	93	27				
-			M MC		21	3.0	92	29				
				1								
		(CL) 8.0'-12.0'; SANDY CLAY; yellowish gray, moist, hard, medium plasticity, with gravels and cobbles. (Qal)										
							- 0					
	-////		M		47	4.5	110	44				
					47	4.5	110	14				
		(CL) 12.0'-18.0'; GRAVELLY CLAY; tannish gray, very moist, very stiff, medium plasticity, with many rounded to angular gravels. (Qal)										
15												
1			MC		51	3.0	108	15				
200		Ž.									0	
		(SW-SC) 18.0'-25.0'; CLAYEY SAND; tannish gray, medium										
	10	dense, nne to coarse granned, with some graver. (dai)										
20	- 12											
	- 12		SPT		29			16				11
	22											
	112											
	- 12											
25	1.12											

PJC & Associates, Inc. PAGE 2 OF 2 Consulting Engineers & Geologists **CLIENT** Doyle Heaton PROJECT NAME Proposed Subdivision **JOB NUMBER** 9158.01 LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa Grande Road ATTERBERG FINES CONTENT (%) SAMPLE TYPE POCKET PEN. (tsf) % DRY UNIT WT. (pcf) MOISTURE CONTENT (%) LIMITS GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY 9 (RQD) BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE) DEPTH (ft) NUMBER PLASTICITY INDEX MATERIAL DESCRIPTION LIQUID PLASTIC LIMIT 25 (GC) 25.0'-28.0'; CLAYEY GRAVEL; tannish gray, saturated, dense, fine to coarse grained. (Qal) SPT 37 9 13 (CH) 28.0'-40.0'; SANDY CLAY; yellowish gray, saturated, very ORIGINAL GEOTECH BH COLUMNS - GINT STD US.GDT - 9/26/19 17:32 - C:USERSIPUBLIC/DOCUMENTS/BENTLEY/GINT/PROJECTS/9/58:01 240 & 250 CASA GRANDE ROAD.GPJ stiff, high plasticity, few gravels. (Qal) 30 SPT 14 20 M MC 17 3.5 97 26 (SC) 40.0'-43.0'; CLAYEY SAND; tannish gray, saturated, loose, fine to medium grained. (Qal) MC 8 101 24 (CH) 43.0'-50.0'; SANDY CLAY; yellowish gray, saturated, stiff, high plasticity. (Qal) SPT 15 26 MC 21 1.5 97 25 Bottom of borehole at 50.0 feet.

PLATE 3

BORING NUMBER BH-1

PJC & Associates, Inc.							B	OR	ING	IN U	JME	BER	BH	I-2
C	ons	ulting	Engineers & Geologists									PAGE	10	F 1
	IEN	T_Do	yle Heaton PRO	OJECT N	AME	Propo	sed Subdi	vision						
JO	BN	UMBE	R 9158.01 LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa Grande R	Road										
DA	TE	STAR	TED _6/18/19 COMPLETED _6/18/19 GRO	OUND EL	EVA		42 ft	;	HOLE	SIZE	6			
DF	RILL	ING C	ONTRACTOR Pearson Drilling GRO	GROUND WATER LEVELS:										
DF	RILL	ING M	ETHOD B-53 Solid Stem Auger with 140lb Hammer		TIME OF DRILLING Not Encountered									
LC	GG	ED BY	B.C. CHECKED BY _PJC	AT EN	d of	DRILL	ING	_						
NC	DTE	5		AFTER DRILLING										
DEPTH	(#)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPI E TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				INES CONTENT (%)
	0		(CH) 0.0'-8.5'; SANDY CLAY; brownish black to blackish grav.										₽	μ
	-		(Qal)	ets.										
Tet	1			H	мс		15	2.5	92	17				
2	5													
	-													
0.001	_													
-	_			M	мс		19	4.5	90	27				
	_													
5.	0													
	4													
	-				мс		20	3.5	90	30				
	+													
3-	-													
7.	5													
	-													
- 40	-		(CI) 8 5' 11 0' SANDY CLAY tennich and maint had and											
	+		plasticity, with many gravels and cobbles. (Qal)	um										
	-													
10	.0													
	_													
				N	мс		67	4.5	112	17				
			Bottom of borehole at 11.0 feet.											
5														
Ď K														

100	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION of	Ha IIO2	NOMINAL MIN	ELECTRICAL	SULEATE	
SAMPLE	UNIVIE LL	SOIL and/or	SOIL PH		CONDUCTIVITY	SOLFAIL	CHLORIDE
MIMBER	חו	SEDIMENT	loalHal	obm.cm	umbos/cm	304 00m	Ci nom
	10	SCOMENT	-109[11+]	Other-Grit	prinos/cm	ppm	ppm
08152-1	CGR1/P	Native Soil	6.70	534	[1873]	210	220
		BH-4 & BH-6 @ 0-5					
Method	Detection	Limits>		1	0.1	1	1
LAB	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION of	SALINITY	SOLUBLE	SOLUBLE	REDOX	PERCENT
SAMPLE		SOIL and/or	ECe	SULFIDES (S=)	CYANIDES (CN=)		MOISTURE
NUMBER	ID	SEDIMENT	mmhos/cm	ppm	maa	mV	%
08152-1	CGR1/P	Native Soil				+189.3	
		BH-4 & BH-6 @ 0-5'					
A.4. (b4	Butter						
Method	Detection	Limits>		0,1	0.1	1	0.1
200 ppm), elcw on rig itting times e a very m porinciple	and chloride ant for assign s (following L hinor issue fo	e is also mildly eleva and point values and Jhlig) for this soil ard r concrete, cement, ent treatment could	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined i mortar or gro	100 ppm); this soi CalTrans (CT) tir based on pertinen iut; and chloride c	I is moderately reduces nes to perforation of t parameters [see to ould be a very mino ould be a very mino	onate is mildly e liced (@ <200 m of galvanized ste able at left below or issue for reba	alevated (I.e., @ hV); [see table eel and full depti w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p
20.) ppm), elc w on rig itting times e a very m n principle, ng times (a Otherwise, trength co lepth times sing steel a solymer coa	and chloride aht for assign s (following L hinor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations s can be beyo issets can be ating, or use ading of conc	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil and r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. Wher tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r	CalTrans (CT) the CalTrans (CT) the based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca- ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba	I is moderately reduces to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor a practical solution steel upgrading or dispersion ase, cathodic protect ased and/or specia in these results with bly be desirable due	able at left below of galvanized sta able at left below or issue for reba ange would incr depending on c other actions. At les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (I.e., @ hV); [see table eel and full depti w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
20.) ppm), elc w on rig itting times e a very m n principle, ng times (a Otherwise, trength co lepth times ong steel a olymer coa ome upgra	and chloride oht for assign s (following L ninor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations s can be beyo issets can be ating, or use ading of coor minerals sal	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil and r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be netals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content.	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined , mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m wore in this e more in this e r gauge steel e span. When tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r	100 ppm); this soi CalTrans (CT) tir based on pertinen out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba	I is moderately reduces ness to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor a practical solution steel upgrading or the presented examplianes, cathodic protect ased and/or specia in these results with bly be desirable due	and is finitive aced (@ <200 m of galvanized ste able at left below or issue for reba ange would incre depending on c other actions. A les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin a point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (I.e., @ hV); [see table eel and full depti w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
20.) ppm), elc w on rig itting times e a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, itrength cool lepth times bing steel a bolymer coa iome upgra ive of high	and chloride and chloride aft for assign s (following L inor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations can be beyo issets can be ating, or use ading of conor minerals sal CT 18 ga	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil are r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. When tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig)	CalTrans (CT) the CalTrans (CT) the based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba	I is moderately reduces a lis moderately reduces to perforation of the parameters (see the could be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor steel upgrading or the presented examples, cathodic protect ased and/or special in these results with bly be desirable due B1/SR	able at left below of galvanized sta able at left below or issue for reba ange would incr depending on c other actions. A les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin a point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (I.e., (2) hV); [see table eel and full depti w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap ig fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
200 ppm), elc w on rig betting times be a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, strength co depth times bing steel a bolymer co some upgra ive of high SAMPLE ID CGR1/P	and chloride and chloride and for assign s (following L ninor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations can be beyo assets can be ating, or use ading of cond minerals sal CT 18 ga <10 yrs	a is also mildly elevaned point values and hed point values and Jhlig) for this soil are r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. When tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs	CalTrans (CT) the CalTrans (CT) the based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca- ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba	I is moderately reduces is ness to perforation of the parameters (see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor a practical solution steel upgrading or expresented exampliant ased, cathodic protect ased and/or specia in these results with bly be desirable duce B1/SR	able at left below of galvanized sta able at left below or issue for reba ange would incr depending on c other actions. A les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin a point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (I.e., (2) hV); [see table bel and full depti w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
200 ppm), elc w on rig bet a very m n principle, ing times (a Dtherwise, strength co lepth times bing steel a bolymer co some upgra ive of high SAMPLE ID CGR1/P treated	and chloride and chloride and for assign s (following L ninor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations s can be beyo assets can be ading, or use ading of cond minerals sal CT 18 ga <10 yrs -19 yrs	a is also mildly eleval and point values and Jhlig) for this soil and r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. Wher tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs ~13 yrs	CalTrans (CT) the CalTrans (CT) the based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca- ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba- PARAMETER/ID pH Rs	I is moderately reduces is is moderately reduced mes to perforation of the parameters (see the could be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 manager a practical solution steel upgrading or each presented examples, cathodic protect ased and/or special in these results without be desirable due B1/SR 0 10 10	and is finitive aced (@ <200 m of galvanized sta able at left below or issue for reba ange would incr depending on c other actions. A les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (I.e., (2) hV); [see table bel and full dept w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
200 ppm), elc w on rig pitting times be a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, strength co- lepth times bing steel a bolymer co- some upgra ive of high CGR1/P treated	and chloride and chloride an	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil and r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. When tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs ~13 yrs	CalTrans (CT) tir based on pertinent out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba	I is moderately reduces is is moderately reduced mes to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 manager a practical solution steel upgrading or the presented examples, cathodic protect ased and/or specia in these results without be desirable due B1/SR	able at left below of galvanized sta able at left below or issue for reba ange would incr depending on c other actions. At les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (I.e., @ hV); [see table eel and full depti w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
20.0 ppm), elc w on rig itting times e a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, strength co lepth times ong steel a oolymer coa iome upgra ive of high CGR1/P treated	and chloride and chloride an	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil are r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life a one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. When ion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs ~13 yrs	CalTrans (CT) tir based on pertinen out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would probai PARAMETER/ID pH Rs SO4 CI	I is moderately reduces is is moderately reduced mes to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 means a practical solution steel upgrading or each or special and/or special and/or special and these results with bly be desirable due B1/SR 0 10 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-3.5 0 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 0	alitate is finiting e liced (@ <200 m of galvanized ste able at left below or issue for reba ange would incre depending on c other actions. A les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin a point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (I.e., (2) hV); [see table eel and full dept w]. Sulfate coul r or buried steel ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
20.) ppm), elc w on rig itting times e a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, trength co lepth times ong steel a oolymer coa iome upgra ive of high CGR1/P treated	and chloride and chloride an	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil are r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life o ne potential solut of plastic, fiberglas crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or group be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. When tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs ~13 yrs	CalTrans (CT) tip based on pertinent out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba PARAMETER/ID pH Rs SO4 Cl Redox	I is moderately reduces is is moderately reduced mes to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor steel upgrading or each presented examples, cathodic protect ased and/or special in these results without be desirable due 10 B1/SR 0 10 0-1 0-3.5 0-4 10 10 0-4	and is milding e uced (@ <200 m of galvanized ste able at left below or issue for reba ange would incre depending on c other actions. A les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin a point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (i.e., (g hV); [see table eel and full dept w]. Sulfate coul r or buried stee ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
20.0 ppm), elc w on rig itting times e a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, itrength co lepth times ong steel a oolymer coa iome upgra ive of high CGR1/P treated	and chloride and chloride and chlowing L inor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations a can be beyond issets can be ading of condor minerals sal CT 18 ga <10 yrs -19 yrs	a is also mildly eleva hed point values and Jhlig) for this soil ard r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be- metals longevity any may require heavier ond the specified life a one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs	ated (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or gro be of benefit elow). This m more in this e r gauge steel e span. When tion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs ~13 yrs	CalTrans (CT) tir based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba- PARAMETER/ID pH Rs SO4 CI Redox TOTALS	I is moderately reduces is is moderately reduced mes to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor pH to the	able at left below of galvanized sta able at left below or issue for reba ange would incr depending on c other actions. A les such that pe ction along with lized engineerin a point value at t e to low resistivi	alevated (i.e., (g hV); [see table eel and full dept w]. Sulfate coul r or buried stee ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
200 ppm), elc w on rig pitting times be a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, strength con- depth times boing steel a boing steel a boing steel a boing steel a boing steel a boing steel a boing steel a book and the steel ive of high SAMPLE ID CGR1/P treated	And chloride and chloride an	a is also mildly elevane hed point values and hed point values and hig) for this soil ard r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs 	es: extractions	CalTrans (CT) the based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca- ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba- PARAMETER/ID PH Rs SO4 Cl Redox TOTALS by Cal Trans protoc	I is moderately reduces is is moderately reduced mes to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very minor pH to the 7,5-8,5 minor ph to the	17 (SO4), 422 (C	alevated (i.e., (g hV); [see table sel and full dept w]. Sulfate cou r or buried stee ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
200 ppm), elc w on rig pitting times be a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, strength co- depth times bing steel a bolymer co- some upgra ive of high SAMPLE ID CGR1/P treated \\\\NOTES: (pH & resisti 51: Spec. C	And chloride and chloride and chloride and for assign s (following L ninor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations a can be beyo issets can be ading of cond minerals sal CT 18 ga <10 yrs ~19 yrs Methods are ivity); &/or by / ond _ ASTM F	e is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil and r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified lift one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs >42 yrs	es: extractions TM Vol. 11.01 (3TM Q 57 redo	CalTrans (CT) the based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca- ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba- PARAMETER/ID PH Rs SO4 Cl Redox TOTALS by Cal Trans protoc =EPA Methods of Car	I is moderately reduces I is moderately reduces is moderately reduces to parameters [see to ould be a very minor pH to the 7.5-8.5 minor a practical solution steel upgrading or a presented example ase, cathodic protect ased and/or special n these results with bly be desirable duce B1/SR Ø 10 Ø-1 Ø-3.5 Ø-4 10-18.5 tools as per Cal Test 4 Chemical Analysis, or	17 (SO4), 422 (C Standard Method	elevated (i.e., (g hV); [see table eel and full dept w]. Sulfate coul r or buried stee ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic
20.0 ppm), elc w on rig itting times e a very m n principle, ing times (a Otherwise, strength co- lepth times bing steel a bolymer coa ione upgra ive of high SAMPLE ID CGR1/P treated	And chloride and chloride and chloride to rassign s (following L ninor issue fo lime or cem as indicated to increase r nsiderations can be beyon sets can be beyon sets can be beyon sets can be beyon sets can be beyon sets can be beyon sets can be ding of conce minerals sati CT 18 ga <10 yrs ~19 yrs Methods are ivity); &/or by / ond ASTM E	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil are r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be netals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life a one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas- crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs >42 yrs a from following source ASTM Vol. 4.08 & AS 0 1125; resistivity - AS	eted (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or group be of benefit elow). This m more in this er gauge steel e span. When ion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs ~13 yrs es: extractions TM Vol. 11.01 (STM G 57; redo	CalTrans (CT) the based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca- ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba- PARAMETER/ID pH Rs SO4 CI Redox TOTALS by Cal Trans protoc =EPA Methods of C x - Pt probe/ISE; su	I is moderately redu- mes to perforation of the parameters [see the ould be a very mino- pH to the 7.5-8.5 mino- a practical solution steel upgrading or di- e presented exampliant ased, cathodic protect ased and/or specia in these results with bly be desirable due B1/SR Ø 10 Ø-1 Ø-1 Ø-3.5 Ø-4 10-18.5 xols as per Cal Test 4 Chemical Analysis, or olfate - extraction Titles	17 (SO4), 422 (C Standard Method	Alevated (I.e., (0) hV); [see table bel and full dept w]. Sulfate cou r or buried stee ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap g fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic i), and 532/643 ds); pH - ASTM G STM D 516 (=EPA
20 3 ppm), alc w on rig itting times e a very m principle, ng times (a otherwise, trength coi epth times ing steel a olymer coa one upgra ve of high AMPLE ID CGR1/P treated	And chloride and chloride and chloride and chlowing L inor issue for inor issue for as indicated to increase r nsiderations a can be beyond as can be beyond and chloride to increase for a can be beyond a can b	a is also mildly eleva ned point values and Jhlig) for this soil are r concrete, cement, ent treatment could in the table at left be metals longevity any may require heavie ond the specified life a one potential solut of plastic, fiberglas crete (e.g. to ASTM ts content. CT 12 ga <22 yrs >42 yrs >42 yrs >1125; resistivity - AS on Title 22, detection Ju ides - extraction by T	eted (i.e., @ > d ranges]. The e determined if mortar or group be of benefit elow). This m more in this er gauge steel e span. When ion. Other opt s or concrete Type II) and r 2 mm (Uhlig) ~9 yrs ~13 yrs es: extractions TM Vol. 11.01 (STM G 57; redo ASTM D 512 (= ittle 22, and det	CalTrans (CT) tir based on pertinen- out; and chloride c in that raising soil ay or may not be soil would require than is used in the e this is not the ca- ions include incre assests. Based o ebar would proba- PARAMETER/ID pH Rs SO4 CI PARAMETER/ID pH Rs SO4 CI Redox TOTALS by Cal Trans protoc =EPA Methods of Cox - Pt probe/ISE; su EPA 325.3); sulfide ection by ASTM D	I is moderately reduces I is moderately reduces it parameters [see to ould be a very minor pH to the 7,5-8,5 minor a practical solution steel upgrading or the presented examples ased and/or special in these results with bly be desirable duce B1/SR Ø 10 Ø-1 Ø-3,5 Ø-4 10-18,5 cols as per Cal Test 4 Chemical Analysis, or ulfate - extraction by Title 1374 (=FPA 335 2)	17 (SO4), 422 (C Standard Method 22, and detection	Alevated (I.e., (0) hV); [see table bel and full depi w]. Sulfate cour r or buried stee ease perf and p ircumstances. t times, structur rf and pitting to coating or wrap ig fill, use of a en plus points, ty which is indic coating of a en plus points, ty which is indic ty which is indic



PJC & Associates, Inc.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists

CORROSION TEST RESULTS PROPOSED SUBDIVISION 240 & 250 CASA GRANDE ROAD PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA PLATE

4a

Proj. No: 9158.01

Date: 7/19

App'd by: PJC

	PJ	IC	& Associ	ates, Inc.				E	BOR	ING	I NU	JME	BER	BH	1-3
	Cons	sulting	Engineers & Geolo	ogists									PAGE	510)F 1
	CLIE	NT Do	yle Heaton		PROJE		Prop	osed Subd	ivision						
	JOB	NUMBE	R_9158.01	LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa	Grande Road	OTIVALL									
	DATE	STAR	TED 6/18/19	COMPLETED _6/18/19	GROUN	D ELEVA		42 ft		HOLE	SIZE	6			
	DRILI	LING C	ONTRACTOR Pearson	n Drilling	GROUN	GROUND WATER LEVELS:									
	DRILI		ETHOD _B-53 Solid Ste	em Auger with 140lb Hammer	A	T TIME OF	DRIL	LING N	Not En	counte	red				
	LOGO	GED BY	B.C	CHECKED BY _PJC	A	T END OF	DRILL	ING			_				
	NOTE	:s			A	FTER DRI	LLING								
7	o DEPTH	GRAPHIC LOG	Μ	ATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				INES CONTENT (%)
1 COLONNIS - GINI 31D 03-001 - 3/20/31/.22 - C.(OSEKS/F.OBCIC/DOCOMENTS/DENTETION/I/FROJECIS/3130/01 240 & 230 CASA GRANDE ROAD.	2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0		(CH) 0.0'-8.5'; SANI moist, very stiff to h: (Qal) (CL) 8.5'-13.0'; SAN medium plasticity, w	DY CLAY; brownish black to blac ard, high plasticity, few gravels, DY CLAY; yellowish gray, moist ith gravels and cobbles. (Qal)	ckish gray, trace rootlets.	MC MC		16 19 27 59	3.0 3.0 4.5	91 94 95 95	28 25 23				
			Bo	ttom of borehole at 13.0 feet.											

Consi	ulting	Engineers & C	Geologists									PAG	E 1 C)F 1
CLIEN.	T Do	yle Heaton		PROIEC		Prop	osed Subd	ivision						
	UMBE	R 9158.01	LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa G	rande Road										
DATE	STAR	IED_6/18/19	COMPLETED 6/18/19	GROUNE	ELEVA	TION	45 ft		HOLE	SIZE	6			
DRILLI		ONTRACTOR Pe	earson Drilling	GROUNE	WATER	LEVE	LS:							
DRILLI	ING MI	ETHOD B-53 Sol	lid Stem Auger with 140lb Hammer	 	TIME OF	F DRIL	LING 12.0	00 ft / E	Elev 3	3.00 ft				
LOGGI	ED BY	B.C.	CHECKED BY _PJC	AT	END OF	DRILL	ING	_						
NOTES	s			XAFTER DRILLING 11.00 ft / Elev 34.00 ft										
			,		Ы	%	-	z	5	(%			RG S	1
Η	ξg				ĽΥ	¦¥]⊙	NTS UE	La C	15 EG			0	₹	L
ПЩ,	LOI		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		UMI	No.		Η E	Ng	UST I		MIT	E	000
	0				SAN	REC	υz	NG I	DRY	ĭ₹Ö	일크		IAS I	NH N
		(CH) 0.0'-8.0'; moist, stiff to h (Qal)	SANDY CLAY; brownish black to blacki hard, high plasticity, trace gravels, trace	sh gray, rootlets.	MC		11	20	02	24				
2.5								2.0	32	24				
5.0					мс	-	20	4.5	100	19	-			
					мс		16	2.5	99	20	-			
7.5		(CL) 8.0'-14.0'; moist to satura gravels and co	; SANDY CLAY; mottled yellow, brown a ated, hard to stiff, medium plasticity, with bbbles. (Qal)	and orange, n many	MC		46	4.5	119	14	-			
<u>10.0</u>		¥				_		4.0						
<u>-</u> 12.5 -		⊻												
			Dottom of bosokola at 145 feat		мс		36	2.0	97	22				

PJC & Associates, Inc.							В	OR	ING	INU	JMF	PAGE	: BH : 1 0	1-6 F 1
Cons	sulting	Engineers & Ge	ologists											
CLIEN	NT_Do	yle Heaton		_ PROJE	CT NAME	Propo	sed Subdi	vision						
JOB	UMBE	R_9158.01	LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa G	rande Road										_
DATE	STAR	TED_6/18/19	COMPLETED 6/18/19	GROUN			45 ft		HOLE	SIZE	6			
DRILI		ONTRACTOR Pear	rson Drilling	GROUN			LS:							
DRILL	LING M	ETHOD B-53 Solid	Stem Auger with 140lb Hammer	A		DRILI	ING N	lot End	counte	ered				
LOGO	GED BY	B.C.	CHECKED BY _PJC	A	T END OF	DRILL	ING							
	s			A	FTER DRI	LLING								
					1		0	1.	[AT	TERBE	RG	5
DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN (tsf)	DRY UNIT WT (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID		LASTICITY INDEX	INES CONTEN
0.0		(CH) 0.0'-5.0'; Sa plasticity, trace r	ANDY CLAY; brownish black, moist, s ootlets. (Qal)	tiff, high			<u>. </u>						٩.	<u> </u>
		*Bulk sample for	r R-Value and Corrosion testing.											
2.5					AU									
5.0														
			·											

	PJ	IC ·	& Associates, Inc.				E	BOR	ING	IN	JME	BER	Bŀ	1-7
	Cons	sulting	Engineers & Geologists									PAGE	: 1 0	DF 1
		NT_Do	yle Heaton PROJ		NE Pr	оро	sed Subd	ivision						
	JOB	UMBE	R 9158.01 LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa Grande Roa	d										
	DATE	STAR	TED _6/18/19 COMPLETED _6/18/19 GROL	IND ELEV		N _	44 ft		HOLE	SIZE	6			
	DRILL	ING C	ONTRACTOR Pearson Drilling GROU	IND WAT	ER LE	VE	LS:							
			HOD B-53 Solid Stem Auger with 140lb Hammer AT TIME OF DRILLING Not Encountered											
	NOTE	S			DF DR		ING		_	_				
ł							1	1		AT	FRBF	RG		
	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	(RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID			INES CONTEN (%)
5-	0.0	****	(GP) 0.0'-1.5'; GRAVEL; roadway gravel.		_	+							ā	<u>ш</u>
K 200 CASA GRANDE RUAL	2.5		(CH) 1.5'-10.5'; SANDY CLAY; brownish black to blackish gray, moist, stiff to hardf, high plasticity, few gravels, trace rootlets. (Qal)	_										
240 0														
0.00				М	с	Ì	12	1.5	97	22	51	16	35	-
	5.0													
2				M	с		13	2.0	91	27				
	7.5					-								
				М	с	Ī	38	4.5	98	26				
1 1 1														
	10.0													
	- - - <u>12.5</u>		(CL) 10.5'-13.5'; SANDY CLAY; tannish gray, moist, hard, mediu plasticity, trace gravels. (Qal)	m										
				М	с		44	4.5	95	27				
			Bottom of borehole at 13.5 feet.											

	PJ	IC	& Associates, Inc.				E	OR	ING	IN	JME	BER	BH	I-8
	Cons	sulting	Engineers & Geologists									PAGE	- 1 0	IF 1
	CLIEN		byle Heaton	PROJEC		Prope	osed Subdi	vision						
	JOB	NUMBE	R 9158.01 LOCATION 240 & 250 Casa Grand	e Road										
	DATE	STAR	TED _6/18/19 COMPLETED _6/18/19	GROUN	ELEVA		44 ft		HOLE	SIZE	6			
	DRILI	ING C	ONTRACTOR Pearson Drilling	GROUND WATER LEVELS:										
	DRILL		IETHOD B-53 Solid Stem Auger with 140lb Hammer	TA .	TIME OF	DRIL	LING M	lot End	counte	ered				
	NOTE	S					.ING				-			
2	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	÷	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID			INES CONTENT (%)
D'NY			(GP) 0.0'-0.75'; GRAVEL; roadway gravel.				1							ш
C CASA GRANDE AC			(CH) 0.75'-9.0'; SANDY CLAY; brownish black to blackish (moist, stiff to very stiff, high plasticity, trace gravels. (Qal)	gray,										
00.UI 240 0 40	2.5				мс		13	20	92	30				
L'AUDI VILLA								2.0						
	<u>5.0</u>													
					мс		19	2.5	91	29				
	7.5													
100110 - 2011			(CL) 9.0'-11.5'; SANDY CLAY; yellowish gray, moist, hard,											
010700-100	<u>10.0</u>		indulin plasticity, trace gravels. (dai)											
					Muc		64	1.5	400					
			Bottom of borehole at 11.5 feet.		MC		64	4.5	100	24				

	MAJOR DIV	ISIONS			TYPICAL NAMES
		CLEAN GRAVELS	GW		WELL GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES
ILS eve	GRAVELS	WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES	GP		POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES
D SO	more than half coarse fraction	GRAVELS	GM		SILTY GRAVELS, POORLY GRADED GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES
AINE ger than	no. 4 sieve size	12% FINES	GC		CLAYEY GRAVELS, POORLY GRADED GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES
GR alf is lan	CANDS	CLEAN SANDS	sw		WELL GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS
ARSE e than h	more than half	OR NO FINES	SP		POORLY GRADED SANDS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES
S №	is smaller than no. 4 sieve size	SANDS	SM		SILTY SANDS, POORLY GRADED SAND-SILT MIXTURES
		12% FINES	SC		CLAYEY SANDS, POORLY GRADED SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
Sieve					INORGANIC SILTS, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS, VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
SOIL		CL		INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS OR LEAN CLAYS	
NED aller tha		OL		ORGANIC CLAYS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY	
SRAII If is sm	SILTS AN	DCLAYS	мн		INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SANDY OR SILTY SOILS, ELASTIC SILTS
INE C	LIQUID LIMIT GR	EATER THAN 50	СН		INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS
More			он.		ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
н	GHLY ORGAI	NIC SOILS	Pt		PEAT AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS
X TO	TECT DAT		Sh	ear Streng	th, psi
	TLST DAT	A	¥	V	Confining Pressure, psf
Liquid Li	mit (in %)	*Tx	320) (260	0) Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial
Plastic L	.imit (in %)		2750) (200	0) Consolidated Drained Direct Shear
Sigura	Gravity	FVS	470)	Field Vane Shear
Sieve A	vialysis	*11C	2000	5	Unconfined Compression
- Uon 	Indisturbed* Sampl	e LVS	700)	Laboratory Vane Shear
] R	ulk or Disturbed Sa	mole Notes: (1)	All streng	th lests o	n 2.8° or 2.4° diameter sample unless otherwise indi
	a Sample Recover	(2)	* Indicate	s 1.4* dia	meter sample

PJC & As Consulting	sociates, Inc. Engineers & Geologists	– USCS – P 240 8	SOIL CLASSIFIC ROPOSED SUBE 250 CASA GRA	CATION KEY DIVISION NDE ROAD	PLATE
		Proi. No: 9158.01	Date: 7/19		

APPENDIX B LABORATORY INVESTIGATION

1. INTRODUCTION

This appendix includes a discussion of the test procedures of the laboratory tests performed by PJC for use in the geotechnical study. The testing was carried out employing, whenever practical, currently accepted test procedures of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM).

Undisturbed and disturbed samples used in the laboratory investigation were obtained from various locations during the course of the field investigation, as discussed in Appendix A of this report. Identification of each sample is by borehole number, sample number and depth. All of the various laboratory tests performed during the course of the investigation are described below.

2. INDEX PROPERTY TESTING

In the field of soil mechanics and geotechnical engineering design, it is advantageous to have a standard method of identifying soils and classifying them into categories or groups that have similar distinct engineering properties. The most commonly used method of identifying and classifying soils according to their engineering properties is the Unified Soil Classification System as described by ASTM D-2487-83. The USCS is based on recognition of the various types and significant distribution of soil characteristics and plasticity of materials.

The index properties tests discussed in this report include the determination of natural water content and dry density, pocket penetrometer, grain-size distribution and Atterberg Limits testing.

- a. <u>Natural Water Content and Dry Density</u>. Natural water content and dry density of the soils were determined, often in conjunction with other tests, on selected undisturbed samples. The samples were extruded and visually classified, trimmed to obtain a smooth flat face, and accurately measured to obtain volume and wet weight. The samples were then dried in accordance with the procedures of ASTM 2216-80 for a period of 24 hours in an oven, maintained at a temperature of 100 degrees C. After drying, the weight of each sample was determined and the moisture content and dry density calculated. The water content and dry density results are summarized on the borehole logs.
- b. <u>Pocket Penetrometer</u>. Pocket Penetrometer tests were performed on all cohesive samples. The test estimates the unconfined compressive strength of a cohesive material by measuring the materials resistance to penetration by a calibrated, spring-loaded cylinder. The maximum capacity of the

cylinder is 4.5 tons per square foot (tsf). The results are summarized on the borehole logs.

- c. <u>Grain-Size Distribution</u>. The gradation characteristics of a selected sample were determined in accordance with ASTM D422-63. The sample was soaked in water until individual soil particles were separated and then washed on the No. 200 mesh sieve. That portion of the material retained on the No. 200 mesh sieve was oven-dried and then mechanically sieved. The results are presented as Plates 12 and 13.
- d. <u>Atterberg Limits Determination</u>. Liquid and plastic limits were determined on selected samples in accordance with ASTM D4318-83. The results of the limits are summarized on the borehole logs.





APPENDIX C REFERENCES

- 1. "Foundations and Earth Structures" Department of the Navy Design Manual 7.2 (NAVFAC DM-7.2), dated May 1982.
- 2. "Soil Dynamics, Deep Stabilization, and Special Geotechnical Construction" Department of the Navy Design Manual 7.3 (NAVFAC DM-7.3), dated April 1983.
- 3. USGS Petaluma River, California Quadrangle 7.5-Minute Topographic Map, photo revised 1978.
- 4. Association of Bay Area Governments, Interactive Liquefaction Susceptibility Map, dated June 2009.
- 5. Geology for Planning in Sonoma County, Special Report 120, California Division of Mines and Geology, 1980.
- 6. California Building Code (CBC), 2016 edition.
- 7. ASCE STANDARD ASCE/SEI 7-10, prepared by the American Society of Civil Engineers.
- 8. USGS National Seismic Hazard Maps, 2008.
- 9. Geologic Map of the Petaluma River 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, prepared by the California Geological Survey, dated 2002.
- 10. Preliminary Site Development Plan, prepared by Steven J. LaFranchi & Associates, Inc., dated March 6, 2019.