

From: Dave Bonfilio [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:24 AM
To: Lindsay Lara <Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org>
Cc: John Boland [REDACTED]; Charles, Stephany [REDACTED]; Bill Guerin <Bill.Guerin@cityofsanrafael.org>
Subject: City Council Meeting of 7/20/20

Lindsay, I wish to comment on Item 5b of the agenda.

I live on Catalina Blvd but not on any of the lagoons. I have been paying the assessment for the upkeep of the lagoons since 1992.

The lagoons are a community treasure. I walk along part or all of the lagoons everyday, I see families from the Canal on the outer lagoon path enjoying the bay on one side and the lagoon on the other side. I see children pointing out birds excitedly. In the winter, I see birders congregate to view overwintering birds from Alaska. This treasure cannot be allowed to degenerate. The Starkweathers would be disappointed.

I support the staff report and urge the city council to renew the assessment.
Thank You
Dave Bonfilio

From: Stephany Charles [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:53 PM
To: Lindsay Lara <Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org>
Cc: Bill Guerin <Bill.Guerin@cityofsanrafael.org>
Subject: Baypoint Lagoons Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District

At the last Council meeting a group of homeowners wrote to the clerk to say they do not want to see that the funding be eliminated from their property tax. The sentiment was they were happy with how the lagoons is being managed. Please make sure these letters are included in the future discussion of this issue.

Although I'm the Community Manager for Baypoint Lagoons; I am also an owner since 1997. I do not live on the lagoon and yet it provides me with such peace during my walks and when I visit friends who do live on the lagoon. I also manage Bahia de Rafael and Rafael Bay Townehome in the canal and I am aware of the pleasure this lagoon provides to those families.

Thank you for your time.

Stephany Charles, CCAM
Charles Property Services, INC
[REDACTED]
San Rafael, CA 94903

PLEASE NOTE: I check emails twice daily and will respond as soon as possible. Do not leave email messages for issues requiring immediate attention. Email messages are not read after hours, on weekends or holidays.

Disclaimer: This e-mail is intended only for the person addressed. It may contain confidential information and/or privileged material. If you receive this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the information from your computer. Please do not copy or use it for any purpose nor disclose its contents to any other person.

From: KENNETH GHIRINGHELLI [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:47 PM
To: Lindsay Lara <Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org>
Subject: Protest - Assessments Baypoint Lagoons San Rafael

July 19, 202 19:45 Pacific
Lindsay.lara@cityofsanrafael.org

Protest – For the hearing at the City Council meeting on Monday July 20th at 7PM agenda item on whether to assess the 193 Baypoint Lagoons parcel owners for FY 2020/2021; protest under section 22628 of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972.

Succinctly - The assessment has expired and apparently we Baypoint homeowners have been charge for excess years and we expect a refund for the legally allowable three years or, if the City of San Rafael have ethics the city has, for the entire period of the over charge (near 30 years).

Vote - The City must deny the approve of these continued property tax assessments immediately.

Cite: These assessments were only approved for FY 1990, 1991, and 1992 by the signed consent of the developer in 1990. The consent was for the “adoption of the Engineer’s Report and the levy of the assessments stated therein” per Resolution 8224 approved by the City Council on the 20th day of August, 1990. The Engineer’s Report Part B (page 5) clearly and unambiguously shows assessments for the First Year, Second Year, and Third Year for the Assessment district.

City’s opinion: In this year’s Agenda for the Annual Engineering Report the City claims that their intent was, or should have been, to make the assessments (for Baypoint Lagoons) permanent.

Protest: The City’s opinion is not factual. If this is the assumed position it should have been written into the original – not now claimed as the City’s intent or speculation that it (permanent assessment) or that would have been written into the original. The developer did not sign as permanent, but agreed and signed as a three year only assessment. Repeating this is unambiguously stated in writing - the assessment must be stopped and refunded.

Ethics, we question, does the City have any?

Aura & Kenneth Ghiringhell
[REDACTED]
San Rafael, CA 94901

PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

This e-mail communication including any attachments is confidential and may be protected by privilege. If you are not the intended recipient any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone or e-mail, and permanently delete all copies, electronic or otherwise, that you may have. The foregoing applies even if this notice is embedded in a message that is forwarded or attached. Additionally, this e-mail could contain damaging viruses, worms, etcetera and the receiver is responsible for scanning for viruses et al. Further, specific individuals in the companies must confirm any agreements that amounts to a contract. Lastly, any dealings through e-mail messages, unless otherwise agreed, do not comprise a client relationship.

From: David Olson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:02 AM
To: Lindsay Lara <Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org>
Subject: Baypoint Lagoons Assessment District - Protest July 20 2020

Lindsay Lara,

Please add this written protest for today's hearing on the Baypoint Lagoons Assessment District.

The following facts pertain to Resolution 8224:

3. Owners of all land within the boundaries of the proposed assessment district have filed their consent to the formation for the proposed district without notice of hearing, and to the adoption of the Engineer's Report **and the assessments stated therein.**

5. The City Council hereby confirms the diagram **and assessment contained in the Engineer's Report,** and levies the assessment for the fiscal year 1990-91.

Clearly and unambiguously the assessments stated in the two items above are referring to **Page 5 of the Engineer's Report,** which are also in fact Phase I of the 1989 Water Management Plan.

The Engineer's Report and the Resolutions were written by the City of San Rafael, not the developer. They reflect the agreement between the City and the developer

If the developer is responsible for any funding or assessments beyond the assessments (Page 5) of the Engineer's Report, then it is up to the City to pursue the developer.

If the City would like the benefitting parcel owners within Baypoint Lagoons and Spinnaker Point to fund any continuing maintenance or management of the West Spinnaker Lagoon then the City should propose setting up a new Landscaping and Lighting District, or other type of district or funding source. There should be no rush to do this as there is more than \$200,000 in the City's current fund that could be used over many years if used judiciously.

Furthermore, City Resolution No. 9145 dated July 18th, 1994 was a resolution of intention to order improvements by adding "the PROPOSAL TO CONDUCT 1994 PHASE II BIOLOGICAL MONITORING OF THE SPINNAKER LAGOON" to the existing Baypoint Lagoons Assessment District. This was just a proposal and did not obtain the consent of the parcel owners. In fact, the developer who still owned more than 60% of the parcels wrote a written protest to the proposal, so the City did not pursue the legally required secret ballot/vote that would have been required by the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, California Government Code 53753, and Section 4 of Article XIID of the California Constitution.

Based on the above, please abandon the FY 2020/2021 assessments and dissolve the Assessment District if appropriate.

You may also want to ask for the resignation of members of the SRDPW for potentially making the City Council complicit in this fraudulent effort.

I have attached pertinent pages relating to the above protest

David Olson

[REDACTED]

[San Rafael CA 94901](#)

[REDACTED]

1

BAYOINT LAGOONS ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FORMATION-ENGINEER'S REPORT

Page 5

PART B

SCOPE

ESTIMATE OF COST

DURATION

Phase I Program

First Year	Second Year	Third Year
------------	-------------	------------

Plan review and inspect
 Monitor applications of Rodeo
 Monitor Pickleweed
 Monitor Water quality
 Monitor Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse
 Data analysis and reporting

3850	1512	1583
2000	0	0
2000	2300	2645
8000	8400	8820
3400	3570	3750
<u>9200</u>	<u>9660</u>	<u>10143</u>

Total Phase I Cost

23450	25442	26946
-------	-------	-------

First Year Contingency
 20% of \$28,450

5690

FUNDS

First Year Incidental Expense
 Engineer's Report
 City Engineer - review
 Legal fee
County Auditor - expense
 City - fund management

6000
2000
3000
500
<u>500</u>

Total First Year Assessment

\$46140

=====

FY

FIRST YEAR : 1990/1991

SECOND YEAR = 1991/1992

THIRD YEAR = 1992/1993

$$26,946 / 205 = 131.44$$

2
CITY APPROVAL

1990

RESOLUTION NO. 8224

RESOLUTION ORDERING IMPROVEMENTS

BAYPOINT LAGOONS LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING DISTRICT
(Pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972)

The City Council of the City of San Rafael resolves:

1. On this date the City Council adopted its Resolution Initiating Proceedings for the formation of Baypoint Lagoons Landscaping and Lighting District and directed the preparation and filing of an Engineer's Report on the proposed formation.

2. The Engineer for the proceedings has filed an Engineer's Report with the City Clerk.

3. Owners of all land within the boundaries of the proposed assessment district have filed their consent to the formation for the proposed district without notice of hearing, and to the adoption of the Engineer's Report and the levy of assessments stated therein.

4. The City Council hereby orders the improvements and the formation of the assessment district described in the Resolution Initiating Proceedings and in the Engineer's Report.

5. The City Council hereby confirms the diagram and assessment contained in the Engineer's Report, and levies the assessment for the fiscal year 1990-91.

* * *

I, JEANNE M. LEONCINI, Clerk of the City of San Rafael, California, hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly introduced and adopted at a regular meeting of the Council of said City held on the 20th day of August, 1990, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS: Boro, Breiner, Shippey, Thayer & Mayor Mulryan
NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS: None
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS: None

Jeanne M. Leoncini
JEANNE M. LEONCINI, City Clerk

CONSENT

LANDOWNER CONSENT TO FORMATION OF MAINTENANCE DISTRICT

BAYPOINT LAGOONS LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING DISTRICT
CITY OF SAN RAFAEL, MARIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

(Pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972)

1. The undersigned are the owners of the land described as Baypoint Lagoons Landscaping and Lighting District, City of San Rafael, California.


2. The undersigned hereby request and consent to the formation of a maintenance district pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 (Sections 22500 and following, California Streets and Highways Code) for the purpose of providing the following improvements:

Creating, maintaining and monitoring open space habitat in accordance with Phase I of the WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE LAGOON AT SPINNAKER-ON-THE-BAY, SAN RAFAEL, CALIFORNIA, as prepared by Western Ecological Services Company, Inc., dated December 7, 1989.

Phase I
A

3. The undersigned hereby waive the notice of public hearing otherwise required by the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 for the formation of the maintenance district and the adoption of the first annual budget, and the undersigned further consent to the approval by the City Council of the City of San Rafael of the Engineer's Report a copy of which has been reviewed by the undersigned.

Spinnaker Point Development, Inc.

By 
Dennis R. Horne

4

WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN - (1989-12-07)

6.0 IMPLEMENTATION

6.1 FUNDING

A landscaping and lighting district, as provided by the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, will provide funding for the lagoon management program. Implementation of the landscaping and lighting district will take place in the following sequence:

- ✓ 1) An engineer's report will be obtained outlining the boundaries of the district and providing an engineer's cost estimate of the improvement and maintenance of the lagoon.
- ✓ 2) Spinnaker Point Development, Inc. will make a formal offer of dedication of the lagoon to the City of San Rafael.
- ✓ 3) Acceptance of the lagoon will take place on a date to be determined by the City.
- ✓ 4) Necessary documents and resolutions will be prepared, and within 2 weeks a complete package will be submitted to the City.
- ✓ 5) Before the close of escrow of the first Spinnaker-on-the-Bay townhome, the district will be approved by the City Council.

Spinnaker Point Development, Inc. is responsible for certain costs of improving the lagoon. Prior to the issuance of building permits the developer will bond for these improvements, thus ensuring their completion. The amount to be bonded will have been determined as part of Step 1, above. Spinnaker Point Development, Inc. will bond for the following Phase I costs listed in Table C-1:

- Removal of all pampas grass
- Monitoring first year applications of Rodeo
- Planting of native vegetation
- Staff gage installation

➔ Additionally, if at the end of the 3 year Phase I monitoring program, the City, under advise from the Wetlands Advisor Committee, decides it is necessary to install the diffuser mechanism as outlined by WESCO (Appendix B), the developer will be responsible for all capital costs involved. The developer will be required to post a bond for this improvement consistent with the engineer's estimate.

The wetlands to the east of the lagoon will remain in private ownership. The current owners will fund any necessary maintenance of these wetlands.

6.2 MANAGEMENT

Implementation of the proposed non-capital improvement maintenance and management program will involve coordination and resolution of five potentially conflicting management objectives: 1) flood control; 2) water quality assurance; 3) habitat protection; 4) mosquito control; and 5) visual and aesthetic considerations. Conflicts could potentially arise, for instance, if it was requested that water levels in the lagoon be raised with Bay water for mosquito control. This is likely to result in concerns for waterfowl or flood storage. Consultation and coordination will thus be required among several responsible management agencies, including the City's Department of Public Works, Parks and Recreation and the Marin-Sonoma Mosquito Abatement District. Occasionally, the CDFG or the RWQCB may have to be consulted or notified if, for instance, emergency algicide or herbicide use is necessary. Hopefully these conflicts can be handled through consultation with the Wetlands Advisory Committee which consists of representatives of most of these agencies.

PHASE I
PHASE II

WILDLIFE HABITAT PRESERVATION EFFORTS AT THE SPINNAKER POINT LAGOON AND WETLANDS



The lagoon and wetland areas at Spinnaker Point are located on the edge of San Francisco Bay's shoreline mosaic of marshlands, mudflats, and wetland/upland transition zones. Although the Spinnaker project area has been altered by diking, deposition of dredge material, construction, and other human activities, the fresh/brackish water lagoon and salt marsh areas have retained a high wildlife habitat value. Such habitats are rare adjacent to the Bay, and represent one of the most severely depleted, yet highly valued wetland habitats in the entire Bay system. The wetland areas in San Rafael are particularly important as feeding areas for the heronry on West Marin Island, the largest nesting colony in the Bay, located just off-shore of Spinnaker Point

This pamphlet was prepared to provide the homeowners and residents at Spinnaker Point/Bay Point Lagoons with background information concerning the history, habitat value, and management goals of the lagoon and wetland areas adjacent to the housing development.

Spinnaker Point Site History

The lagoon and diked wetland at Spinnaker Point were created in the early 1960's. Once the levees around the property were completed, the lagoon was dredged from the marsh, using the spoils to form the existing lagoon banks and islands. A pump was also installed as part of the public improvements for a proposed 727 unit residential development. Although the original housing project was never completed, the roads and sidewalks were constructed long before the Spinnaker Point/Baypoint Lagoons residential development was proposed.

As a condition of the Environmental Impact Report for the later project, a Water

5
Management Plan was prepared. Due to the lack of existing water quality data, a Phase I Monitoring Program for 1990 through 1992 was developed. Based on the data collected during this period, adjustments are to be made accordingly and a Phase II Monitoring Program will be initiated in 1993.

The following are the components of the Water Management Plan which are monitored under the Phase I Program:

- Landscaping Plan Development
- Invasive exotic plant species eradication (pampas grass, Scotch broom, French broom, and fennel), including herbicide applications, as necessary
- Salt marsh harvest mouse population assessments
- Vitality evaluations of pickleweed and other important wetland habitat plant species within the diked wetland east of the lagoon
- Regular assessments of the lagoon's water quality

Environmental Importance

Lagoon

The 20 acre lagoon at Spinnaker Point includes approximately 14.8 surface acres of open water and 5.2 acres of islands and shoreline vegetation. The lagoon ranges from 3 to 6 feet in depth (approximately 59 acre-feet of water). The lagoon's inflow is largely freshwater derived as stormwater runoff from the surrounding 108 acre drainage area. Small quantities of fresh groundwater also infiltrate the lagoon.

The lagoon serves as a stormwater retention pond for the development area with excess water pumped to San Rafael Bay. For several years, this pump system was also used to add Bay water to the lagoon for summertime water elevation control and water exchange.

The key water quality parameters for the lagoon are salinity, nutrient loading, petroleum residue contamination, and trash. The aesthetic value of the lagoon is also considered. The control of water salinity is important for the waterfowl which use the lagoon as a drinking water source. Ducklings require fresh water for drinking until their salt glands fully develop. Drinking salt water prior to salt gland development is known to cause mortality and/or reduced growth rates. Adult birds also seek out sources of fresh drinking water even though their salt glands are fully developed.

The other water quality parameters are monitored to aid in the management of the lagoon which receives urban associated contaminants (automobile emissions, spills, trash, etc.). These contaminants are deposited within the drainage area and carried with stormflows to the lagoon.

Diked Wetland Areas

The 16.6 acre diked wetland area immediately east of the lagoon is separated from the lagoon by a small levee. The primary water source for this area is rainfall, although occasional opening of the flapgate installed within the outfall culvert has, at times, allowed the backflow of Bay water into the wetland. This wetland, as well as the wetland perimeters of the lagoon, offer important regional wildlife habitat.

On-going small mammal studies have revealed the presence of the State and Federal listed endangered salt marsh harvest mouse. Suitable habitat for this species covers an estimated 1.8 acres around the lagoon and nearly all of the adjacent diked wetland.

Additionally, the Marin Audubon Society reports that black-necked stilts nest within the diked wetland. Flooding of the wetlands is considered to be detrimental to the survival of both these species, while prolonged inundation adversely impacts the marsh vegetation. Although the restoration of tidal action would greatly enhance the diked wetland habitat, it would be difficult to achieve due to its subsided condition. Given this problem, inflow of Bay water to the wetland must be carefully managed.

Management Goals

Lagoon

The Management under Phase I of the current Water Management Plan is to operate the lagoon as a fresh/brackish water ecosystem. The viability of the lagoon under this management will be evaluated at the end of the Phase I Monitoring Period. The goals of this management practice are as follows:

- Maintain an aesthetic lagoon setting while providing a fresh/brackish water source for local and migratory waterfowl. The extensive growth of aquatic vegetation has been noted; management practices to control such growth will be addressed in the Phase II Program, as needed.

- Provide a feeding, nesting, and resting area for waterfowl by enhancing the native plant habitat along the shores and allowing some growth of natural vegetation within the waters of the lagoon. The natural evaporative reduction of the lagoon water

level during the summer provides feeding areas for wading shore birds. These management practices will also be reviewed for the Phase II Program.

Diked Wetland

The management goals of the diked wetland area are still in the developmental stage. The uncontrolled flooding of the wetland has been curbed, allowing for increased native plant growth, and vigor. Data gathered during the Phase I Program will aid in the management of this area.

Habitat Enhancement

A landscape plan for the lagoon and island areas was prepared in 1990. The intent of this plan is to provide aesthetic value for the lagoon area while enhancing the wildlife habitat. The management goals contained in the landscape plan are as follows:

- Removal of exotic plant species (pampas grass, broom, and fennel) from sensitive habitat areas adjacent to the lagoon and wetlands. This aspect of the plan includes monitoring the type and application of any herbicide used within sensitive habitat areas, insuring lagoon water quality, and animal/native plant protection.
- Revegetate the areas of exotic plant species removal with native plants, which provide a greater habitat value.

This document was prepared by:
Western Ecological Services Company, Inc
Novato, California



for:
Spinnaker Point and Baypoint Lagoons Homeowners Associations, San Rafael California

