

ADUs on hillside streets Agenda item 7.b. for the November 15th City Council meeting.

Liza <[REDACTED]>

Sat 11/13/2021 8:21 PM

To: Lindsay Lara <Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org>

To the City Council:

Re: Agenda item 7.b. ADU regulations, for the November 15th City Council meeting

I was shocked to read the Council was planning to eliminate the prohibition on ADUs on narrow hillside streets. I live on Marquard Ave. downhill from Fremont and Upper Fremont Sts., narrow one lane streets with no room for "parking boxes" nor even room to pull over. If a vehicle is coming in the opposite direction, you must back down the hill to get out of its way. Yet the City Council wants to allow an ADU (with no onsite parking) on this hill? Where do you propose that the residents park, in their neighbors' driveways? The ADU is proposed to be 1,100 sq. ft.- as large as many houses in the West End! They will be forced to block the street, pinning in their neighbors and preventing emergency access.

The corporation that owns the Fremont properties is already proposing mansions of 3,000 ft. each on the hill; addition of an ADU as well, is pure greed.

San Rafael needs housing - but not on narrow hillside streets, with no parking and poor drainage! Fremont and Marquard were built too densely and narrowly in the first place, and residents already suffer from flooding, erosion and blocked roads. Please don't compound the difficulties we already live with by stacking ADUs onto the hill!

Thanks for your attention to my comment.

Liza Ryan

[REDACTED]
San Rafael

Lindsay Lara

From: Susan Bradford <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 12:51 PM
To: Lara Lindsay
Subject: My comments are for agenda item 7.b. ADU regulations, for the November 15th City Council meeting.

Please send me receipt of being received thank you

November 14, 2021

Mayor Kate Colin and City Council members

City of San Rafael

1400 Fifth Ave

San Rafael, CA

via email: Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org

RE: ADU Regulations, agenda item 7.b.

Dear Mayor Collin and City Council Members,

Regarding the ADU Ordinance and proposing eliminating the prohibition of ADUs on narrow hillside streets.

First of all I want to say that, in general, I am in favor of ADU's but only when they are located in safe areas and where there is adequate parking and fire truck access. However, ADU's located on hillsides where there are situations like 1. narrow streets 2. no room for access and turnarounds for firetrucks 3. in already congested areas with difficult parking issues for existing residents 4. are in WUI's - then I am completely and adamantly opposed!

This dangerous ordinance would affect every person who lives in a neighborhood that has an ADU on narrow hillside streets with challenging fire vehicle access and that requires additional parking. If there was a fire in this area the whole neighborhood would be seriously at risk of a fire spreading beyond that house into a full-on neighborhood fire if fire truck access was limited or inadequate or blocked especially during our 6 month long high-fire season. My neighborhood already experienced a situation where firetrucks were not able to reach a burning house and the house was destroyed a number of years ago.

You must realize that 99% of every ADU renter will have a car that will need to be parked, and they should be afforded a designated parking space near to where they live. And we all know as well, that every narrow hillside street is already having significant parking problems.

I contacted Mr Ray Moritz, a reputable arborist and Fire Ecologist - he said that I can give his name and share what he said about a proposed ADU on Fremont Road in my neighborhood... in short he said... "It's a shame that the City of San Rafael and their fire department would continue to place its citizens in harm's way. Have they learned nothing in the past ten years? There is almost no structural engine access for it. The hydrant at the intersection has poor access and no place for a pumper to park next to it without blocking the road. There is no turnaround at the end of Fremont. It is flat out crazy and an enormous risk to people and property. They should not add more people and property to this fire hazard...it is crazy. The State has said we need to stop building in the WUI, particularly on roads that provide only one-way-in-one-way-out."

He went on to say.... "CEQA requires a "Risk Assessment" for projects in the WUI. A fire risk assessment is not a "Fire Hazard Assessment". A hazard assessment analyzes the fire behavior hazard of fuels, topography (slope, aspect, ascending drainages, etc.) emergency access/evacuation conditions, including road width, parking issues, etc.) "

And he explained... "Risk Assessment is the analysis of the risk the proposed development creates for the surrounding community (parking, road crowding, hydrant access, lot size and separation between homes, etc.). A 1,600 squared foot home contains 396,000,000 BTUs of energy (not counting the contents of the home (rugs, furnishings, carpeting, drapes, cloths, books, etc., etc.). A house fire, emitting prolonged radiant and convective heat, ignition embers and perhaps direct flame impingement, is major threat to adjacent homes, particularly if it is on a small lot that does not have the room for the required 100 feet of defensible space required for new WUI properties. Has the SR Fire Department signed off on this?"

Ray Moritz- Urban Forestry Associates, Inc. [REDACTED] San Anselmo, CA

So I ask you City Council members :

Why are you taking the risks involved and placing your residents in potential danger by allowing ADU's in the WUI and on hillsides with narrow roads?

Will you have the CEQA required Risk Assessments done on every ADU in a WUI?

How can we residents be assured and feel safe that the SR Fire Dept will have adequate access to all of these hillside ADU's?

How can it be that the the SR Fire Dept would agree with this ordinance?

For the safety of all neighborhoods living on or near hillsides with narrow roads and/or reduced fire vehicle access, and/or in WUI's, and as a resident that lives in exactly that situation, I want the city to prohibit ADUs that have any of those issues.

Thank you,

Susan Bradford

San Rafael

Agenda item 7.b. ADU regulations, Nov. 15 City Council Meeting

Tom Heinz <[REDACTED]>

Sun 11/14/2021 4:21 PM

To: Lindsay Lara <Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org>

Dear City Council,

I am writing in opposition to the current proposal to allow ADUs on steep hillside lots such as on Fremont St. These areas are at the wilderness-urban interface (WUI) which puts them at high risk in case of fire, especially in these days of drought and climate warming. They also have only one outlet for emergency exit. The city should not allow more people to cram into these spaces which puts them and emergency crews at increased danger in case of fire or extreme events such as earthquakes.

Please do not allow a change to the previously proposed prohibition of ADUs on steep hillsides with narrow streets.

Sincerely,

Tom Heinz

[REDACTED] San Rafael
Gerstle Park Neighborhood

November 15, 2021

Mayor Kate Colin and City Council members
City of San Rafael
1400 Fifth Ave
San Rafael, CA
via email: Lindsay.Lara@cityofsanrafael.org

RE: ADU Regulations, agenda item 7.b.

Honorable Mayor Kate Colin and City Council members:

The staff report states that a prohibition of ADUs/JADUs on certain narrow hillside streets, such as Fremont Road, were found to be unnecessary because of the implementation and enforcement of the City's new parking box program, which will reduce on-street parking to specific marked areas ensuring adequate fire truck access on narrow hillside streets.

I would like to point out some problems with this analysis:

1). "Parking boxes" do not solve emergency access problems on all narrow hillside streets. Some hillside streets are so narrow that there is no street parking and nowhere to paint "parking boxes" so the "parking box" program is useless on these streets. Was that considered in the analysis?

Fremont Road varies in width from 9' to 12', less than the minimum 14' required for fire access vehicles. It is the street itself that impedes emergency access due to the narrow width, steep incline, hair-pin turns, and lack of turn-around capability that impedes response time from emergency vehicles and threatens public safety. The "parking box" program cannot be implemented on Fremont Road and so this program does not provide any improvement to fire truck access.

The Fire Marshall has provided the following assessment for this hillside:

"The Fire Department is unable to provide emergency fire or EMS services that meets NFPA Standard 1710 response time criteria because the existing public roadway does not accommodate fire apparatus vehicles and does not meet CFC provisions for Fire Apparatus Access Roads. San Rafael Fire vehicles are unable to maneuver to this property due to unusual topographical conditions, substandard roadway width, and hairpin type curves that do not meet CFC turning radius provisions. Additionally, there is no existing provision on Upper Fremont Drive to accommodate the turning around of fire apparatus as required by CFC Appendix D."

There is no provision on either Upper Fremont Drive or Fremont Road to accommodate the turning around of fire apparatus. Both streets are one-lane, dead-end streets with one-way-in and one-way-out which is the most deadly situation, like the Oakland hills fire, where residents will be trapped in gridlock as they try to escape down the hill at the same time fire fighters are coming up the hill.

2). **The ADU regulations, as proposed, do not comply with General Plan programs and policies,** referenced below:

Program LU-2.12D: Accessory Dwelling Units. Continue to support the conversion of underutilized residential space into accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADUs), as well as the development of new ADUs and JADUs in residential areas, except where access difficulties for fire and emergency vehicles pose risks to public health and safety.

Policy CSI-3.2: Mitigating Development Impacts

Engage the Police and Fire Departments in the review of proposed development and building applications to ensure that public health and safety, fire prevention, and emergency access and response times meet current industry standards.

Ironically, on January 4, 2016, after returning home from a City Council meeting about a proposed ADU and JADU ordinance, I heard a Fire Engine siren eerily close to my house. Then I saw firefighters carrying heavy equipment, trudging up the steep street. They had left the Fire Truck at the base of Fremont and had about 800' to climb to reach a house fire at 55 Upper Fremont. According to the Fire incident report, two fire vehicles got stuck and were unable to get off the hill. See attached incident report. Luckily, it was raining that night; otherwise, the outcome could have been very different.

I urge you to modify the proposed ADU regulations to **prohibit** ADUs/JADUs on narrow, hillside streets:

- where access difficulties for fire and emergency vehicles pose risks to public health and safety, per General Plan LU-2.12D
- where the “parking box” program cannot be implemented, and
- where the City cannot provide “equal” fire protection and ensure response times meet current industry standards, per General Plan CSI-3.2.

This prohibition for public safety is allowed by state law, as I understand it, and restrictions like this have been implemented by others cities with similar access problems on hillsides, such as the City of Berkeley.

I urge you NOT to adopt this flawed ordinance, as currently written.

Sincerely,

Victoria DeWitt
Amy Likover
Joe Likover
Elizabeth Ryan
Susan Bradford
Kristen Vyas
Bhavik Vyas

Steve Thomson
Jasmin Thomson
Tom Heinz
Denise Van Horn
Fred Cushing
Emese Wood

att: Fire Incident Report for January 4, 2016, house fire at 55 Upper Fremont (4 pages)



San Rafael Fire Dept.

Station: **51**
Shifts Or Platoon: **B Shift**

Location: 55 UPPER FREMONT DR SAN RAFAEL CA 94901	Incident Type: 111 - Building fire
Lat/Long: N 37° 58' 17.96" W 122° 32' 31.5"	FDID: 21075 Incident #: 2016-000110 Exposure ID: 15880280 Exposure #: 0 Incident Date: 01/04/2016 Dispatch Run #: SF-F16000385
Zone: 5101 - 5101 Location Type: 1 - Street address	

Report Completed by:	deLambert , Robert	ID: 4686	Date: 01/05/2016
Report Reviewed by:	Hamilton , Kyle	ID: 4332	Date: 01/06/2016
Report Printed by:	Sinnott, Robert	ID: 6076	Date: 5/11/2016 Time: 08:44

Structure Type: Enclosed building	Property Use: 419 - 1 or 2 family dwelling
Automatic Extinguishment System Present: <input type="checkbox"/>	Detectors Present: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cause of Ignition: Cause under investigation
Aid Given or Received: None	Primary action taken: 10 - Fire control or extinguishment, other
Additional actions: 12 - Salvage & overhaul , -	
Losses	Pre-Incident Values
Property:	Property:
Contents:	Contents:
Total:	Total:
Civilian Injuries: 0	Fire Service Injuries: 0
Civilian Fatalities: 0	Fire Service Fatalities: 0
Total Casualties: 0	Total Fire Service Casualties: 0
Total # of apparatus on call: 8	Total # of personnel on call: 19

NARRATIVE

E51 to 55 Upper Fremont Drive for a reported structure fire. E51 assigned access from Espalda Court. E51 was assigned "Fire Attack," with E55, which later progressed to salvage and overhaul after extinguishment. E51 relieved E52 from "Fire Watch," then assisted with equipment removal from the incident. E51 briefly assisted the fire investigation team, then turned the building over to homeowners.

E55 responded to structure fire at 55 Upper Fremont Dr as part of first alarm assignment. E55 arrived behind E52 at Fremont Rd and Trost Rd. E55 was left at Trost Rd for access reasons and the crew responded the remaining distance on foot. E55 assisted E52 with water supply by advancing approximately 800' of working line to the fire from E52 at the hydrant. Upon arrival at the structure, E55 was assigned to E51 who was Fire Attack and assisted with interior fire attack. #5471

B52 responded for a Structure fire. I arrived to find smoke and fire coming from the main floor of the unit. With assistance from Officer Sabido I made access to the structure and removed the resident who was attempting to extinguish the fire. I directed the resident to M51 for evaluation. I then assumed command of the fire. We were able to make an interior attack and overhaul the structure while ventilating. Patient signed AMA and was privately transported. No firefighter injuries. E52 stayed through the night for fire watch. Investigators arrived in the AM, see attached report.

E52 responded to structure fire. Engine advanced up Upper Fremont to position near hydrant at 31 Upper Fremont, began supply operations using 2.5" line and bundles. E52 pumped fire, assisted with fire attack, overhaul, and firewatch.

T57 responded to structure fire and accessed at the end of Espalda Ct. T57 assisted fire attack with bundles and tools. T57 was assigned ventilation and broke 4 skylights and cut a 4x6" hole in the roof. T57 assisted E51 w/ overhaul. T57 assisted E55 loading 2.5" hose

Narrative from dispatch:

-----01/04/2016 23:32:31-----ENTRY-----HOUSE ON FIRE , THERE IS STILL ONE MALE OCCUPANT INSIDE CMDE5-----


```

--01/04/2016 23:32:40----INCNO---- SF16000110 CMDE5-----01/04/2016 23:32:40----INCNO---- XX16000011 CMDE5-
-----01/04/2016 23:32:40----DISP---- TACE4-----01/04/2016 23:32:40----ASST---- T57-----01/04/2016
23:32:40----ASST----#SF5396 CLARK, NATHAN #SF4470 NETTELMAN, RICH #SF5882 KLEINSCHMIDT, KYLE E51-----
01/04/2016 23:32:40----ASST----#SF4686 DE LAMBERT, ROBERT #SF5631 LEWIS, MIKE #SF5701 MOREL, JOSEPH E52----
-----01/04/2016 23:32:40----ASST----#SF3920 KELLEHER, KEVIN #SF5700 AINSWORTH, RANDALL #SF5983 CESPEDES,
ESTEBAN E55-----01/04/2016 23:32:40----ASST----#SF5471 MINARD, EVAN #SF5699 ENDAYA, JEFF #SF5765
MILLSPAUGH, MELISSA M51-----01/04/2016 23:32:40----ASST----#SF5630 WILLIAMS, GABE #SF6110 BERKEY,
WILLIAM B52-----01/04/2016 23:32:40----ASST----#SF4332 HAMILTON, KYLE -----01/04/2016 23:33:09----SUPP--
---TXT: RP STATED THE FEMALE RESIDENCE RAN DOWN TO HIS HOME , FIRE INSIDE FILLING UP WITH SMOKE AND HER
HUSBAND WAS STILL INSIDE , SRC: 9 E16-----01/04/2016 23:33:36----MOVEUP---- LF16000021 -----01/04/2016
23:33:38----SUPP----TXT: SRPD IS ENROUTE , SRC: 9 E52-----01/04/2016 23:34:53----ENROUT----- E51-----
01/04/2016 23:34:54----ENROUT---- B52-----01/04/2016 23:34:56----ENROUT---- M51-----01/04/2016 23:35:02--
--ENROUT---- T57-----01/04/2016 23:35:56----ENROUT---- E55-----01/04/2016 23:36:04----ENROUT-----
-01/04/2016 23:37:25----SUPP----TXT: PGE ADV WCB W/ETA , SRC: 9 T57-----01/04/2016 23:37:42----AOR---- T57--
-----01/04/2016 23:38:02----ASSTER----[55 UPPER FREMONT DR ,SRP] #SF5396 CLARK, NATHAN #SF4470
NETTELMAN, RICH #SF5882 KLEINSCHMIDT, KYLE T57-----01/04/2016 23:38:10----AOS---- T57-----01/04/2016
23:38:20----ENROUT---- T57-----01/04/2016 23:38:38----AOS---- T57-----01/04/2016 23:39:12----ENROUT-----
T57-----01/04/2016 23:39:15----TRANS----[MGH] T57-----01/04/2016 23:39:22----NEWLOC----[55 UPPER
FREMONT DR ,SRP] E51-----01/04/2016 23:40:09----ONSCNE---- E51-----01/04/2016 23:40:27----MISC----,ON
SPALDA CT MAKING ACCESS TO UPPER FREMONT E51-----01/04/2016 23:41:17----NEWLOC----[SPALDA CT] E52-----
--01/04/2016 23:43:09----AIQ---- E52-----01/04/2016 23:43:21----ASSTER----[55 UPPER FREMONT DR ,SRP]
#SF3920 KELLEHER, KEVIN #SF5700 AINSWORTH, RANDALL #SF5983 CESPEDES, ESTEBAN ,TAKEN OFF CALL IN ERROR
-----01/04/2016 23:44:36----MISC----,PR E51, ONLY M51 AND B52 WILL BE ABLE TO ACCESS VIA FREMONT/UPPER
FREMONT B52-----01/04/2016 23:46:16----ONSCNE----, FIRE IN THE DOWNSTAIRS BEDROOM, RESD INSIDE
ATTEMPTING TO GET IT OUT, WILL NEED TO RESCUE, HAVE E51 GAIN ACCESS AND HAVE PD ASSIST WITH RESCUE E52--
-----01/04/2016 23:48:49----MISC----,WORKING THEIR WAY UP FREMONT, WILL BE A LITTLE BIT E52-----
01/04/2016 23:50:03----NEWLOC----[WORKING UP FREMONT ] T57-----01/04/2016 23:50:14----NEWLOC----[ON
SPALDA] E55-----01/04/2016 23:50:30----ONSCNE----01/04/2016 23:51:44----SUPP----TXT: PT IS OUT, ALL
CLEAR, MAKING DECENT PROGRESS WITH EXISTING HOSE LINE, WILL BE FREMONT IC, SWITCH EVERYONE TO CMD AND
TAC , SRC: 9 B52-----01/04/2016 23:51:52----NEWLOC----[FREMONT IC ] M51-----01/04/2016 23:52:02----
ONSCNE----, UNK OS TIME CMDE5-----01/04/2016 23:52:18----ONSCNE---- TACE4-----01/04/2016 23:52:18----
ONSCNE---- E51-----01/04/2016 23:53:00----NEWLOC----[ESPALDA CT] T57-----01/04/2016 23:53:08----
NEWLOC----[ESPALDA CT ] T57-----01/04/2016 23:53:14----UNAVL---- B52-----01/04/2016 23:55:57----
MISC----,TURNING INTO AN ATTIC FIRE E55-----01/05/2016 00:01:48----NEWLOC----[ W/E52 HOSELAY ] -----
01/05/2016 00:06:07----SUPP----TXT: PGE GAS ETA 10 MIN , SRC: 9 E54-----01/05/2016 00:08:11-----
ASST----#SF4999 BOWERMASTER, PAUL #SF4996 LOCATELLI,MATT #SF5627 SCHIFANDO, ANDREW B21-----
01/05/2016 00:08:11----INCNO---- RV16000034 B21-----01/05/2016 00:08:11----ASST----#RV4612 STASIOSKI,
DAVID E14-----01/05/2016 00:09:24----MOVEUP---- CF16000023 E54-----01/05/2016 00:10:39----ENROUT----
B21-----01/05/2016 00:12:21----ENROUT---- E15-----01/05/2016 00:12:37----MOVEUP---- LF16000021 B52-----
--01/05/2016 00:14:52----MISC----,E54 ACCESS VIA FREMONT // B21 TO THE SCENE // B21-----01/05/2016 00:22:26--
--ONSCNE---- E54-----01/05/2016 00:26:11----ONSCNE---- B21-----01/05/2016 00:33:28----AOR---- B21-----
01/05/2016 00:36:51----ASSTOS----#RV4612 STASIOSKI, DAVID ,ACCIDENTALLY TOOK HIMSELF OFF CALL FBTIB-----
--01/05/2016 01:22:33----INCNO---- TB16000024 FBTIB-----01/05/2016 01:22:33----ASSTER----,FIREBOAT HEADED
IN THAT DIRECTION FBTIB-----01/05/2016 01:23:20----CLEAR-----01/05/2016 01:23:39----SUPP----TXT:
FBTIB ACCIDENTALLY ATTACHED TO THIS CALL , SRC: 9 B52-----01/05/2016 01:36:58----MISC----,FIRE UNDER
CONTROL - RELEASIGN E54 B21 , WE WILL BE EXTENDED FOR OVERHAUL B21-----01/05/2016 01:41:37----
MISC----,RELEASED IT WILL TAKE ME A BIT TO GET DOWN THE HILL B52-----01/05/2016 01:42:08----MISC----,E54
AND B52 ARE STUCK UNABLE TO GET OUT AT THIS TIME B52-----01/05/2016 01:45:28----MISC----,01/05/16 01:45:20
SENT TO: B52PAGEMARINSHERRIFFORG ANY NEED FOR RED CROSS E54-----01/05/2016 01:53:25----MISC----,NO
EMERGENCY E54-----01/05/2016 01:55:53----AOR---- B21-----01/05/2016 01:56:55----AOR-----
01/05/2016 02:21:02----SUPP----TXT: PGE HAD TO DRIVE DOWN HILL - THEY WERE ABLE TO DEENERGIZE THE WIRES
TO TH E HOUSE , SRC: 9 E55-----01/05/2016 02:25:05----MISC----,ACCDIENTALLY M51-----01/05/2016 02:32:56--
--CLEAR---- T57-----01/05/2016 02:54:31----CLEAR---- E55-----01/05/2016 03:02:50----AOR---- B52-----
01/05/2016 03:17:59----AOR----,RELEASE TAC AND CMD E52 FIRE WATCH CMDE5-----01/05/2016 03:18:14----AIQ---
-- TACE4-----01/05/2016 03:18:14----AIQ---- E52-----01/05/2016 03:18:32----NEWLOC----[FIRE WATCH] E55----
-----01/05/2016 03:21:06----MOVEUP---- SF16000110 M55-----01/05/2016 03:21:08----MOVEUP---- SF16000110 E51-
-----01/05/2016 03:24:50----AOR---- E51-----01/05/2016 07:38:59----ASSTER----[FIRE WATCH] E51-----
01/05/2016 07:39:05----CHGLOC----[ ] E51-----01/05/2016 07:46:35----ONSCNE---- E51-----01/05/2016
08:17:42----AOR---- E52-----01/05/2016 10:51:26----CLEAR----,HOME LEFT IN CARE OF HOMEOWNERS, OOS UNTIL
FURTHER NOOTICE E52-----01/05/2016 10:51:26----CLOSE----

```


APPARATUS			
Unit	B52	Unit	E51
Type:	Chief officer car	Type:	Engine
Use:	Suppression	Use:	Suppression
Response Mode:	Lights and Sirens	Response Mode:	()
# of People	1	# of People	3
Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31	Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31
Dispatched	01 /04/2016 23:32:40	Dispatched	01 /04/2016 23:34:58
Enroute	01 /04/2016 23:34:56	Enroute	01 /04/2016 23:34:59
Arrived	01 /04/2016 23:46:16	Arrived	01 /04/2016 23:46:35
Cancelled	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	Cancelled	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
Cleared Scene	01 /05/2016 03:17:59	Cleared Scene	01 /05/2016 08:17:42
In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
In Service	01 /05/2016 03:17:59	In Service	01 /05/2016 08:17:42
Unit	E52	Unit	E54
Type:	Engine	Type:	Engine
Use:	Suppression	Use:	Suppression
Response Mode:	Lights and Sirens	Response Mode:	()
# of People	3	# of People	3
Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31	Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31
Dispatched	01 /04/2016 23:32:40	Dispatched	01 /05/2016 00:08:11
Enroute	01 /04/2016 23:34:53	Enroute	01 /05/2016 00:10:39
Arrived	01 /04/2016 23:48:49	Arrived	01 /05/2016 00:26:11
Cancelled	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	Cancelled	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
Cleared Scene	01 /05/2016 10:51:26	Cleared Scene	01 /05/2016 01:55:53
In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
In Service	01 /05/2016 10:51:26	In Service	01 /05/2016 01:55:53
Unit	E55	Unit	M51
Type:	Engine	Type:	ALS unit
Use:	Suppression	Use:	EMS
Response Mode:	()	Response Mode:	()
# of People	3	# of People	2
Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31	Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31
Dispatched	01 /04/2016 23:32:31	Dispatched	01 /04/2016 23:32:40
Enroute	01 /04/2016 23:36:04	Enroute	01 /04/2016 23:35:02
Arrived	01 /04/2016 23:50:30	Arrived	01 /04/2016 23:52:02
Cancelled	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	Cancelled	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
Cleared Scene	01 /05/2016 03:02:50	Cleared Scene	01 /05/2016 02:32:56
In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
In Service	01 /05/2016 03:02:50	In Service	01 /05/2016 02:32:56
Unit	M55	Unit	T57
Type:	ALS unit	Type:	Truck or aerial
Use:	EMS	Use:	Suppression
Response Mode:	()	Response Mode:	()
# of People	1	# of People	3
Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31	Alarm	01 /04/2016 23:32:31
Dispatched	01 /04/2016 23:32:32	Dispatched	01 /04/2016 23:38:02
Enroute	01 /04/2016 23:32:33	Enroute	01 /04/2016 23:39:12
Arrived	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	Arrived	01 /04/2016 23:58:00
Cancelled	01 /04/2016 23:32:34	Cancelled	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
Cleared Scene	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	Cleared Scene	01 /05/2016 01:55:00
In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	In Quarters	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--
In Service	-- /-- /-- -- :-- :--	In Service	01 /05/2016 01:55:00
Number Of People not on apparatus: 0			

FIRE			
Acres Burned	None or Less Than One	Acres Burn From Wildland Form	False
Area Of Fire Origin	Undetermined	Heat Source	Undetermined
Item First Ignited	Undetermined	Fire Is Confined To Object Of Origin	
Type Of Material	Undetermined	Cause Of Ignition	Cause under investigation
Factor Contributing To Ignition	Construction deficiency		
Human Factors Contributing	None		
Suppression Factors	Poor or no access for fire department apparatus		

STRUCTURE FIRE			
Structure Type	Enclosed building	Building Status	In normal use
# Of Stories At Above Grade	1	# Of Stories Below Grade	2
Square Feet	500	Length	
Width		Floor Of Origin	1 (Below grade)
Fire Spread	Confined to building of origin		
Minor Damage	1	Significant Damage	1
Heavy Damage	1	Extreme Damage	0
Item Contributing Most To Spread	Undetermined	Type Of Material Contributing Most To Spread	
Presence Of Detectors	Present	Type Of Detection System	Smoke
Detector Power Supply	Battery only	Detector Operation	Detector operated
Detector Effectiveness	Detector alerted occupants, occupants responded		
		Detector Failure Reason	

ARSON		
Agency Name	Agency Address	Agency Phone

PEOPLE -- PERSON 1			
Is Owner	True	Business Name	
Telephone Number	415 302-2530	Involvement	
Name	MR Roger Stanley	Date of Birth	3/6/1939
Address	55 UPPER FREMONT DR SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901-		

CUSTOM FIELDS FORM	
Did this incident appear to involve a homeless person or activities?	No

Member Making Report (Captain Robert deLambert): _____

Supervisor (Battalion Chief Kyle Hamilton): _____

URGENT !!! ADU oversight on Fremont Rd

Steve Thomson <stephenm_45@hotmail.com>

Mon 11/15/2021 1:52 PM

To: Distrib- City Clerk <City.Clerk@cityofsanrafael.org>

Cc: Mayor Kate <Kate.Colin@cityofsanrafael.org>; Rob Epstein <Rob.Epstein@cityofsanrafael.org>; Darin White <Darin.White@cityofsanrafael.org>; Jim Schutz <Jim.Schutz@cityofsanrafael.org>

Hi Kate,

I was shocked to find out last week that you and your council members have apparently decided to push aside the concerns of residents on Fremont road who adamantly oppose ADU's and JADU's in our neighborhood. We were encouraged by the 45-day moratorium on the emergency ordinance that prevents ADU's/JADU's on carefully selected streets identified by the fire department. But for reasons that I and others deserve an answer on -- WHY WOULD YOU DO THIS!

The flimsy, broad-stroke response from the City Council noted that parking boxes would resolve any problems or safety concerns. Clearly, you and your team have no idea what you're talking about because THER IS NO SPACE FOR PARKING BOXES ON FREMONT ROAD!!! Why don't you find out for yourself and take 5 minutes out of your day to drive up here to see for yourself.

You are single handedly putting every resident on Fremont at significant risk of bodily harm and property destruction over your recklessness. Perhaps some streets listed by the fire department can accommodate parking boxes, BUT NOT THIS ONE! Should anything ever happen on a serious note, from a disaster that requires rapid response and access, I will not hesitate to hold you personally accountable.

I strongly suggest you think twice before picking up your pen this evening to ink this ridiculous over-reach of city policy.

Steve Thomson
59 Fremont Rd.

PS: Please be sure include this email of record in correspondence for Agenda item7B: ADU Regulations

Please confirm receipt!