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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) analyzes the transportation impacts associated with Kaiser Permanente's proposal to add medical office as an allowed use at the existing 1650 Los Gamos Drive office building (henceforth referred to as the "Project") and related parking in a new parking structure and on an existing surface parking lot. The Project is considered an infill development because it does not require new construction on undeveloped land, as the existing office building will not be expanded and the proposed parking structure will be located on the existing parking lot. The Project is located in the City of San Rafael, just west of the US 101 / Lucas Valley Road interchange.

This study analyzes expected transportation conditions with the proposed Project condition in place under Existing, Baseline, and Cumulative conditions. The Project would result in transportation impacts at several intersections. Potential mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the Project's impacts to less than significant with mitigation incorporated, where feasible. Several traffic impacts would remain significant and unavoidable. Potential mitigation measures include improving the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersection, consistent with the improvements identified in the San Rafael General Plan 2020, and a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program to reduce peak hour employee single-occupant vehicle trips. Pedestrian, bicycle, and transit impacts were not identified, thus no mitigation measures were identified. However, the TDM mitigation measure may benefit these sustainable modes of transportation.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Project, located at 1650 Los Gamos Drive would permit the addition of medical office as an allowed use for the existing 148,0001 square foot building ( 1650 Los Gamos Drive), in addition the currently allowed office uses. In addition, the proposed Project includes the construction of an up to 511-space parking structure on the west side of Los Gamos Drive, where there is an existing surface lot associated with the building, and the continued use of 42 parking spaces located on the adjacent property at 1600 Los Gamos Drive.

The building is part of a Planned Development (PD) District which allows up to 150,000 square feet of office uses at 1650 Los Gamos Drive. The Project sponsor does not plan to rebuild or construct the remaining 2,000 square feet; however, for the purpose of this analysis, we have assumed the Project building is 150,000 square feet. The existing 1650 Los Gamos Drive building is currently partially occupied by office uses; however, the Project plans to fully occupy (100-percent) the existing building with medical office.

[^0]
## STUDY APPROACH

The Project analysis evaluated three scenarios: Existing, Baseline, and Cumulative. Existing conditions represents present conditions based on recently collected traffic data. Information for the Baseline volumes, which represents existing traffic, assumes $100 \%$ occupancy at existing buildings, plus approved projects, and the Cumulative volumes, which represent traffic estimates consistent with development patterns proposed in the San Rafael General Plan 2020, were provided by the City of San Rafael. Intersection analysis included five study intersections and two freeway segments in the Project vicinity which were evaluated during the weekday AM and PM peak hour.

## PROJECT TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

Table ES-1 displays the project's expected AM and PM peak hour trip generation for the Project. This table indicates that the Project would generate approximately 150 additional AM peak hour trips and 300 additional PM peak hour trips. Refer to Chapter 2 for a detailed discussion of reasons this occurs.

| TABLE ES-1: PROJECT TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building | Land Use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Size (KSF) ${ }^{1}$ | Daily | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Planned Development <br> (PD) Allowed at 1650 <br> Los Gamos Drive | General Office | 710 | 150 | 1,655 | 234 | 224 |
| Proposed Project | Medical Office | 720 | 150 | 5,420 | 359 | 536 |
| NET NEW PROJECT TRIPS |  |  |  | 3,765 | 161 | 312 |

Notes:

1. $k s f=1,000$ square-feet

Source: Trip Generation (9th Edition), ITE, 2012; Fehr \& Peers, 2017.

## PROJECT IMPACTS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS

The following summarizes key findings from this analysis scenario.

The Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive intersection would degrade below the LOS D threshold, resulting in a significant impact. However, mitigation measures identified reduce the Project's impact to less-thansignificant for the intersection.

In contrast, the US 101 southbound corridor operates under congested conditions and as a result, the Project would contribute 1-percent or more of Project related traffic triggering a significant impact. Feasible
mitigation measures were not identified so the Project's impact was concluded as significant and unavoidable.

## PROJECT IMPACTS UNDER BASELINE CONDITIONS

Under Baseline conditions, the following two intersections would degrade and result in a significant impact:

- Lucas Valley Road / Las Gallinas Avenue
- Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive

The mitigation measure identified for the Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive intersection would reduce the Project's impact to less-than-significant. A feasible mitigation measure was not identified at the Lucas Valley / Las Gallinas Avenue intersection. Thus, the Project's impact to the Lucas Valley / Las Gallinas Avenue intersection was concluded as significant and unavoidable.

The US 101 southbound corridor would continue to operate under congested conditions and as a result, the Project would contribute 1-percent or more of Project related traffic triggering a significant impact. Feasible mitigation measures were not identified so the Project's impact was concluded as significant and unavoidable.

## PROJECT IMPACTS UNDER CUMULATIVE CONDITIONS

Under Cumulative conditions, no study intersection triggers a significant impact during the AM and PM peak hours, except the Lucas Valley Road / Las Gallinas Avenue intersection. Like the Baseline conditions, a feasible mitigation measure was not identified. Thus, the Project's impact to the intersection analysis concluded the impact from this one intersection is significant and unavoidable.

Under the Cumulative scenario, the Southbound US 101 freeway segments would continue to operate unacceptably; however, the Project's contribution to the cumulative traffic is less than 1-percent of the capacity. Therefore, the Project does not result in a significant impact in the southbound direction. In the northbound direction, the Cumulative No Project traffic grows such that mainline freeway operations begin to degrade under Cumulative No Project conditions. As a result, the addition of Project traffic under the Cumulative PM peak hour results in a significant impact because the Project contributes 1-percent or more of traffic. Like the Existing and Baseline conditions, a feasible mitigation measure was not identified so the Project's impact to the freeway study segments is significant and unavoidable.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a transportation impact analysis (TIA) for including medical office as an allowed use by Kaiser Permanente at 1650 Los Gamos Drive in the City of San Rafael, construction of a new parking structure, and the continued use of parking spaces on the adjacent property at 1600 Los Gamos Drive (henceforth referred to as the "Project"). This chapter discusses the TIA purpose, Project area, analysis scenarios, methodology, and criteria to identify significant impacts.

### 1.1 STUDY PURPOSE \& PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the transportation impacts of the Project. The Project site is located at 1650 Los Gamos Drive in San Rafael, California, and is bound by Lucas Valley Road to the north, 1600 Los Gamos Drive to the south, US 101 to the east, and the hillsides to the west of Los Gamos Drive, as illustrated on Figure 1-1. The Project site is owned by Kaiser and includes an existing 148,000 ${ }^{2}$ gross square foot office building, including an open lobby and underground utility space, surrounding surface parking lot, and surface parking lot on the west side of Los Gamos Drive which abuts an undeveloped hillside slope. Kaiser also has the right to use 42 parking spaces at 1600 Los Gamos Drive.

1650 Los Gamos Drive was originally constructed pursuant to a Planned Development (PD) District which allows up to 150,000 square feet of office uses at 1650 Los Gamos Drive and up to 340,000 square feet of office uses at the neighboring property at 1600 Los Gamos Drive. 1600 Los Gamos Drive includes an existing office building, currently owned and partially occupied by the County of Marin. The County currently uses the building for both office and non-office uses, such as staging emergency vehicles, and also leases a portion of the building to other office and warehousing uses. However, for the purpose of this Project, it is assumed that 1600 Los Gamos Drive is 100 -percent ( 340,000 square feet) occupied by office space. Thus, the traffic generated as part of the Project is based on changes to 1650 Los Gamos Drive (no changes to 1600 Los Gamos Drive are evaluated). A potential amendment to the existing PD district would separate 1600 Los Gamos Drive and 1650 Los Gamos Drive into separate PD districts.

The Project would maintain the existing three-story building footprint, which is approximately 150,000 square feet in total. At the time of data collection (November 2015), the building was 34 -percent occupied (50,000 square feet) with office and now it is 7-percent occupied with two-office tenants. However, since the building was constructed, in the late 1970's, through 2006, the building was 100-percent occupied by office. From

[^1]2006 to 2016 the building occupancy ranged from 25-percent to 40 -percent. The Great Recession and building purchase for re-use contributed to a lower occupancy rate than usual in the last ten years. As part of the Project, the Project Sponsor is proposing to add medical office as an allowed use to 100 -percent of the 150,000 square feet of allowed building space at 1650 Los Gamos Drive. The two remaining tenants will remain temporarily in the building through the term of their leases. Eventually, Kaiser anticipates occupying the remaining portion of the building to medical office, for a total of up to 150,000 square feet of total medical office use.

Table 1-1 summarizes the land use assumptions described above.

| Scenario | Land Use Size (KSF¹) ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Office | Medical Office |
| Planned Development Allowed | 150 | -- |
| Proposed Project | -- | 150 |
| Notes: <br> 1. $k s f=1,000$ square-feet <br> 2. 1650 Los Gamos Drive was originally constructed pursuant to a Planned Development (PD) District for 1650 Los Gamos Drive and 1600 Los Gamos Drive. 1600 Los Gamos Drive is currently owned by the County of Marin. The building is permitted to contain up to 340,000 square feet of general office; however, the County of Marin currently uses the building for a mixture of uses, such as emergency services. Although the County's current uses generate significantly less travel than the 340,000 square feet of permitted office use would, the analysis conservatively assumes use of the allowable 340,000 square feet to ensure maximum potential impacts are identified. |  |  |
| Fehr \& Peers, 2017 |  |  |

The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the transportation impacts of the Project. The Project site is located at 1650 Los Gamos Drive in San Rafael, California, and is bound by Lucas Valley Road to the north, 1600 Los Gamos Drive to the south, US 101 to the east, and the hillside to the west of Los Gamos Drive, as illustrated on Figure 1-1.

The proposed Project, located at 1650 Los Gamos Drive, would permit the addition of medical office as an allowed use, in addition to the currently allowed office use for the existing building at 1650 Los Gamos Drive. In addition, the proposed Project includes the construction of an up to 511 -space parking structure on the west side of Los Gamos Drive, where there is an existing surface lot associated with the building, as well as the continued use of 42 parking spaces located on the adjacent property at 1600 Los Gamos Drive. Figure 1-2 shows the proposed site plan with the existing building and proposed parking garage.
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Note: The Project applicant proposes to occupy the existing building located at 1650 Los Gamos Drive and construct a three-level parking garage structure on the west side of Los Gamos Drive.

Figure 1-2

### 1.2 PROJECT STUDY AREA

Intersections are generally the critical capacity-controlling elements of suburban roadway networks. Therefore, the operations of critical intersections surrounding the project site are used as indicators of the adequacy of the vehicular circulation system. Five intersections were selected by City of San Rafael staff as those most likely to be affected by the project and thus warranting analysis.

An analysis for the proposed project focused on the AM and PM peak hour operations at the following intersections (Figure 1-1):

1. Lucas Valley Road and Las Gallinas Avenue
2. Lucas Valley Road and Los Gamos Drive
3. Lucas Valley Road and US 101 Southbound Ramps
4. Lucas Valley Road and Smith Ranch Road and US 101 Northbound Ramps
5. Smith Ranch Road and N Redwood Drive and Redwood Highway

Freeways provide regional access connecting different cities and communities. Near the Project site, US 101 serves as a major regional freeway system and its operations are critical to provide access to the Project site. Two freeway segments were selected as the most likely to be affected by the Project: US 101 between Miller Creek and Lucas Valley Road and US 101 between Lucas Valley Road and Manuel T Freitas Parkway.

Freeway segments are typically divided into four sections: merge, diverge, weave, and basic:

- Merge and diverge segments extend 1,500 feet downstream and upstream, respectively, from the ramp gore (where the freeway mainline and ramp split)
- Weave segments must have a continuous auxiliary lane connecting the on-ramp and the downstream off-ramp
- All other freeway segments not covered by the above are considered basic segments

Additionally, existing pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities within the Project study area were identified and the Project's impacts to these existing facilities were evaluated.

### 1.3 ANALYSIS SCENARIOS

The analysis includes an evaluation of transportation conditions during a typical weekday AM and PM peak hour, occurring between 7:00 to 9:00 AM and 4:00 to 6:00 PM, when the surrounding transportation network is at its most congested. This report presents the analysis of the following scenarios:

- Existing No Project- Based on recently collected traffic counts (in order to calilbrate microsimulation model).
- Existing Plus Project- Traffic volumes from existing conditions plus traffic volume estimates for the proposed Project.
- Baseline No Project- Existing conditions volumes plus traffic estimates for approved, but not yet constructed, developments; background traffic increases due to regional growth expected prior to the proposed Project opening; and approved/funded transportation system improvements expected to be in place when the Project opens.
- Baseline Plus Project- Traffic volumes from Baseline conditions plus traffic volume estimates for the proposed Project.
- Cumulative No Project- Traffic estimates for development patterns as proposed in the San Rafael General Plan 2020 ; background traffic increases due to regional growth expected through year 2020; and approved/funded/proposed transportation system improvements.
- Cumulative Plus Project- Traffic volumes from San Rafael General Plan 2020 conditions plus traffic volume estimates for the proposed Project

As described in the Project description above since the building was constructed in the late 1970's, through 2006, the building was 100-percent occupied by office. From 2006 to 2016 the building occupancy ranged from 25-percent to 40-percent. The Great Recession and building purchase for re-use contributed to a lower occupancy rate than usual in the last ten years; however, historically, the building has been 100-percent occupied. Thus for the purpose of this analysis the Baseline No Project and Cumulative No Project assumes 100-percent office occupancy.

### 1.4 STUDY METHODOLOGY

This section describes the study methodology for evaluating intersection operations, freeways, and vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT); and describes the significance criteria for identifying significant project impacts.

### 1.4.1 Analysis Methods

Intersection and freeway results will be summarized by Level of Service (LOS). LOS is a qualitative description of operations ranging from LOS A, when the roadway facility has excess capacity and vehicles experience little or no delay, to LOS F, where the volume of vehicles exceeds the capacity, resulting in long queues and excessive delays. Typically, LOS E represents "at-capacity" conditions and LOS F represents "over-capacity" conditions. Intersection and freeway LOS were established based on traffic analysis of the study intersections, conducted using a method documented by the Transportation Research Board (TRB) in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

### 1.4.1.1 Study Intersections

The traffic analysis software Synchro/SimTraffic 9.0 was used for this study and was based on the City's existing traffic model. For purposes of modeling the entire network as a "system", micro-simulation (SimTraffic) was used. The primary difference between SimTraffic and HCM is that the HCM analyzes intersections in isolation and does not include the effects of upstream or downstream intersections, which directly affect traffic flow. SimTraffic provides measures of effectiveness that are consistent with the HCM such as movement delay and weighted average delay.

For signalized intersections, the LOS is based on the average delay experienced by all vehicles passing through the intersection. This methodology uses various intersection characteristics (such as traffic volumes, lane geometry, and signal phasing) to estimate the delay per vehicle. The delay is the portion of the total delay attributed to the signal operations and includes initial deceleration, queue move up time, time stopped, and acceleration.

At unsignalized intersections, operations are defined by the average control delay per vehicle (measured in seconds) for each stop-controlled movement. This incorporates delay associated with deceleration, acceleration, stopping, and moving up in the queue. For side-street stop-controlled intersections, LOS is not defined for the intersection as a whole. Instead, the average delay and associated LOS reported in this study is for the worst-case controlled approach. For all-way stop-controlled intersections, the LOS is represented by the average control delay for the whole intersection.

Table 1-2 shows the correlation of average control delays and LOS designations for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

| TABLE 1-2: INTERSECTION LOS CRITERIA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (seconds/vehicle) |  |
|  | Signalized | Unsignalized |
| A | $<10.0$ | $<10.0$ |
| B | $>10.0$ to 20.0 | $>10.0-15.0$ |
| C | $>20.0$ to 35.0 | $>15.0-25.0$ |
| D | $>35.0$ to 55.0 | $>25.0-35.0$ |
| E | $>55.0$ to 80.0 | $>35.0-50.0$ |
| F | $>80.0$ | $>50.0$ |

Source: 2010 Highway Capacity Manual.

### 1.4.1.2 Freeways

Similar to intersection, the operating characteristics of freeway basic, merge, and diverge segments are evaluated using the concept of LOS. Freeway section LOS is based on vehicle density (passenger cars per lane per mile). Table 1-3 shows the correlation of density and LOS. Freeway ramp density was calculated using the methods described in Chapter 13 of the HCM. The inputs to calculate freeway segment densities would be obtained through Caltrans data and field observations.

The purpose of the freeway analysis is to determine the Project's contribution to the available capacity on the freeway; therefore, the Highway Capacity Software (HCS) was used to complete this analysis. HCS is an appropriate analysis tool because it applies the freeway methodologies in the HCM by accounting for the volume demand and available capacity by segment. The HCS tool is a static model which does not account for downstream queues. However, since the purpose of this analysis is to determine the Project's contribution to the regional network, and not to determine or mitigate existing bottlenecks or queues, the static model approach was the most appropriate to account for the Project's contribution. To supplement for existing queues as a result of downstream bottlenecks, field observations were completed and included in analysis findings.

| TABLE 1-3: FREEWAY LOS CRITERIA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| LOS | Density (pc/mi/ln) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| A | $<11$ |
| B | $>11-18$ |
| C | $>18-26$ |
| D | $>26-35$ |
| E | $>35-45$ |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane

Source: 2010 Highway Capacity Manual.

### 1.4.1.3 Vehicle Miles Traveled Analysis

The VMT analysis forecasted the Project employee VMT and compared them to future projected VMT based on the regional transportation and land use model provided by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG). The proposed Project will move employees from existing compressed Kaiser facilities in Marin County, to the building at 1650 Los Gamos Drive. As such, the Project is not expected to generate significant additional regional trips, rather, redistribute them to a new location within the region. The VMT analysis is be based on the California

Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines on VMT developed by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research per SB 743 (Steinberg, 2013). SB 743 mandates a change in the way that public agencies evaluate the transportation impacts of projects under CEQA, away from LOS. The proposed changes to the CEQA Guidelines are not yet adopted; when they are, VMT will be the new metric for transportation analysis.

### 1.4.2 Significance Criteria

The following transportation and circulation significance criteria based on the CEQA Guidelines and the San Rafael General Plan 2020 (City of San Rafael, 2004) are presented below.

The CEQA Guidelines specify that a project would have a significant traffic and circulation impact if it:

- Conflicts with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit.
- Conflicts with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways.
- Results in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks ${ }^{3}$
- Substantially increases hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment).
- Conflicts with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities.


### 1.4.2.1 Signalized Intersections

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 includes traffic LOS standards for signalized intersections and arterials. These criteria and interpretations consistent with the San Rafael General Plan 2020 Environmental Impact Report (City of San Rafael, 2004), are presented below.

The citywide LOS standard from the San Rafael General Plan 2020 is LOS D except as noted below:

[^2]- LOS E
- Downtown

Irwin Street and Grand Avenue between 2nd Street and Mission Avenue
Andersen Drive and West Francisco Boulevard
Andersen Drive and Bellam Boulevard
Freitas at Civic Center/Redwood Highway

- Merrydale at Civic Center Drive
- LOS F
- Mission Avenue and Irwin Street

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 defines the following as significant impacts:

- If a signalized intersection with baseline traffic volumes is operating at an acceptable LOS and deteriorates to an unacceptable operation with the addition of project traffic
- If a signalized intersection with baseline traffic volumes is at an unacceptable LOS and project traffic causes an increase in the delay of five seconds or more

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 states that signalized intersections along US 101 and Interstate 580 are exempt from LOS standards because delay at these locations are affected by regional traffic and not significantly impacted by local measures.

### 1.4.2.2 Unsignalized Intersections

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 does not provide significance thresholds for unsignalized intersections. Therefore, this analysis utilizes the commonly accepted methodology provided in the Highway Capacity Manual (2010) as documented by the Transportation Research Board. For the purposes of this analysis, a significant impact at an unsignalized intersection would be identified based on the following:

- If an unsignalized intersection with baseline traffic volumes is operating at an acceptable LOS (LOS A, B, C, D, or E) and deteriorates to an unacceptable operation (LOS F) with the addition of Project traffic; or
- If an unsignalized intersection with baseline traffic volumes is already operating at LOS F and Project traffic causes an increase in the delay of five seconds or more.


### 1.4.2.3 Freeway

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 and Transportation Authority of Marin Congestion Management Plan (CMP) do not provide significance thresholds for freeway segments. Therefore, this analysis utilizes the commonly accepted methodology consistent with other traffic impact studies completed in the surrounding
area. For the purposes of this analysis, a significant impact at a freeway segment would be identified based on the following:

- If operations on US 101 deteriorate from LOS E or better under conditions without the project to LOS F during the AM or PM peak hour; or
- If operations on US 101 operating at unacceptable LOS F under conditions without the project by causing the freeway volume over capacity ratio $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})$ to increase by 0.01 or more (i.e. 1 percent of the freeway segment capacity) during the AM or PM peak hour.


### 1.4.2.4 Bicycle/Pedestrian

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 includes the following goals for pedestrian and bicycle conditions:

Goal 16: Bikeways. It is the goal of San Rafael to have safe, convenient and attractive bikeways and amenities.

Goal 17: Pedestrian Paths. It is the goal of San Rafael to have safe, convenient and pleasurable pedestrian amenities.

Consistent with these goals, bicycle/pedestrian impacts would be significant if the project:

- Caused a substantial inconvenience or substantial reduction in quality of service for users of existing bicycle or pedestrian travel
- Substantially reduced bicycle or pedestrian access
- Substantially reduced safety for bicyclists or pedestrians


### 1.4.2.5 Transit

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 includes the following goals related to the transit network:

C-14 Transit Network. Encourage the continued development of a safe, efficient, and reliable regional and local transit network to provide convenient alternatives to driving.

Consistent with this goal, transit impacts would be significant if the project:

- Induced substantial growth or concentration of population beyond the capacity of existing or planned public transit facilities.
- Increased demand for public transit service to such a degree that accepted service standards are not maintained.
- Reduced availability of public transit to users, or interfered with existing transit users.


### 1.4.2.6 Parking

While parking is not considered a parking an environmental impact, a parking analysis was completed for information purposes. The San Rafael General Plan 2020 includes the following goal related to vehicle parking:

Goal 18: Adequate Parking. It is the goal of San Rafael to provide parking that is adequate and accessible, with attention to good design.

## 2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing conditions scenario includes present day transportation conditions based on field observations and data collected in the Project vicinity in November 2015. The existing scenario includes quantitative and qualitative analysis for vehicle, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit conditions.

### 2.1 ROADWAY NETWORK

This section describes the regional and local roadway network in the vicinity of the Project site.

### 2.1.1 Regional Access

US 101 is the major north-south freeway in Marin County and provides regional access to the project site. The freeway is located less than a half mile east of the project site and extends southward to San Francisco and beyond and northward to Sonoma County and beyond. The freeway provides three travel lanes in each direction, a high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane in each direction, and occasionally an auxiliary lane in both the northbound and southbound directions. An interchange at Lucas Valley Road/Smith Ranch Road provides access from US 101 to the project site.

Lucas Valley Road is primarily a two-lane road west of US 101 that provides east-west access between US 101 and Lucas Valley to the west. Near the US 101 interchange, Lucas Valley Road becomes a four-lane road, with two lanes in each direction and entrance and exit ramps to US 101. East of US 101, Lucas Valley Road becomes Smith Ranch Road.

### 2.1.2 Local Access

The local circulation system serving the project vicinity is shown on Figure 1-1. The project site is located north of downtown San Rafael and just west of US 101. The following roadways provide local access to the proposed project site.

Los Gamos Drive is a two lane north-south facility with on-street parking and sidewalk on a majority of the corridor and is designated as a Class III bicycle route. The north-south street bisects Lucas Valley Road, just west of US 101, at an unsignalized intersection. The Los Gamos Drive corridor provides access to several buildings fronting the west side of US 101 including the Project site.

Smith Ranch Road is a four-lane road with on-street parking is a major access route from US 101 to the project site. In addition to the unsignalized crosswalk at Silveira Parkway/Smith Ranch Road intersection, there is a marked crosswalk at the Yosemite Road/Smith Ranch Road intersection.

Las Gallinas Avenue is a two-lane road with on-street parking runs north-south, west of US 101. Las Gallinas Avenue also has bicycle lanes running from Nova Albion Way to Miller Creek Road. South of Northgate Drive, Las Gallinas becomes Los Ranchitos Road.

### 2.2 DATA COLLECTION

Weekday morning (7:00 to 9:00 AM) and evening (4:00 to 6:00 PM) peak period intersection turning movement counts were collected at the study intersections, including separate counts of pedestrians and bicyclists, supplemented with field observations within the Project study area in November 2015. Intersection count data was analyzed to identify, the single hour with the highest traffic volumes during the count periods. The weekday AM peak hour in the study area is generally $7: 45$ to $8: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ and the weekday PM peak hour is generally from 5:00 to 6:00 PM. Peak hour intersection volumes are summarized on Figure 2-1 along with existing lane configuration and traffic control. The traffic counts for existing conditions are provided in Appendix A.

### 2.3 VEHICLE OPERATIONS

This section describes existing vehicle operations in the Project vicinity.

### 2.3.1 Intersection Operations

Existing intersection operations were evaluated using the method described in Chapter 1 for the weekday AM and PM peak hours at all study intersections. The existing levels of service of study intersections can be seen in Table 2-1. The existing lane configurations and peak hour traffic volumes are shown on Figure 2-1. Observed global peak hour factors were used at all intersections for the existing analysis. Pedestrian and bicycle activity was also factored into the analysis.

All intersections are operating at an acceptable level of service C or better during the AM and PM peak hour conditions, consistent with field observations conducted in November 2015. Appendix B presents all LOS calculations.
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## TABLE 2-1: INTERSECTION LOS AND DELAY

| Intersection | Intersection Control ${ }^{1}$ | Time Period | LOS $^{2,3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Lucas Valley Road and Las Gallinas Avenue | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Lucas Valley Road and Los Gamos Drive | SSSC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | A (C) <br> A (A) | $\begin{gathered} <10(17) \\ <10(<10) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. Lucas Valley Road and US 101 Southbound Ramps | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road and US 101 Northbound Ramps | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road and Redwood Drive / Redwood Highway | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |

Notes:

1. $\operatorname{SSSC}=$ Side-Street Stop Control
2. Worst approach is noted for side street stop controlled intersections.
3. Bold denotes unacceptable level of service and delay.

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

### 2.3.1.1 Signal Warrants

The Manual of Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD) (Federal Highway Administration 2012) presents eight signal warrants to assess if existing stop-controlled intersections warrant signalization. The Peak Hour Volume Warrant (Warrant 3) was used in this study as a supplemental analysis tool to assess operations at the unsignalized intersection of Los Gamos Drive and Lucas Valley Road. Based on this analysis, existing conditions currently fulfill the peak hour warrant for a signalized intersection, therefore a signal should be considered at this intersection. Signal warrant worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

### 2.3.2 Freeway Operations

Under the guidelines detailed in Chapter 1, the on-ramp merge, off-ramp diverge, and basic segments located near the Project site were analyzed. Table 2-2 summarizes the freeway segment density and LOS results and detailed calculations are included in Appendix D. As shown, all segments operate at acceptable levels during the AM and PM peak hour which is consistent with existing field observations with the exception of the southbound segments during the AM peak hour which operates over capacity and under congested conditions. As described in Chapter 1, the analysis software does not account for downstream bottlenecks and as a result, the software accounts for the number of vehicles that are able to use the facility, not the number of vehicles that want to use the facility and are in queue (demand). Additionally, the methodology accounts for the free-flow speed and does not account for the observed or congested speed based on downstream bottlenecks. The southbound AM peak hour queue through the study area is a result
of a downstream bottleneck located between the San Pedro on-ramp and Mission Avenue off-ramp near Downtown San Rafael.

## TABLE 2-2: EXISTING CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS

| Segment | Segment Type | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Density (pc/mi/ln) ${ }^{1}$ | LOS $^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 19.4 | C | 28.6 | D |
| Manuel T Freitas On / Redwood Highway On | Basic | 15.9 | B | 33.7 | D |
| Redwood Highway On | Merge | 18 | B | 25.5 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road Off | Basic | 16.4 | B | 24.7 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road Off / Lucas Road EB On | Basic | 18 | B | 21.7 | C |
| Lucas Road EB On / Smith Ranch Road WB On | Basic | 14 | B | 22.4 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road WB On | Merge | 16.7 | B | 25.5 | C |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 14.5 | B | 24.1 | C |
| Miller Creek On | Basic | 18.7 | C | 32.9 | D |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 19.8 | $F^{3}$ | 21.1 | C |
| Miller Creek On | Merge | 28.8 | $F^{3}$ | 24.7 | C |
| Lucas Valley Road Off | Basic | 18.3 | $F^{3}$ | 16.5 | B |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | Basic | 21.9 | $F^{3}$ | 20.5 | C |
| Lucas Valley Road On | Merge | 28.6 | $F^{3}$ | 28.5 | D |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | Basic | 25.5 | $F^{3}$ | 24.8 | C |
| Manuel T Freitas Off | Diverge | 31.1 | $F^{3}$ | 30.4 | D |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 21.4 | $F^{3}$ | 21.4 | C |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane
2. Bold = unacceptable LOS
3. The LOS results were revised to match existing observations.

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

### 2.4 BICYCLE \& PEDESTRIAN NETWORK

Bicycle facilities are typically described by four classes:

- Class I (Bicycle Path): These facilities are located off-street and can serve both bicyclists and pedestrians
- Class II (Bicycle Lanes): These facilities provide a dedicated area of bicyclists within the paved street width through the use of striping and appropriate signage.
- Class III (Bicycle Routes): These facilities are found along streets that do no provide sufficient width for dedicated Class II bicycle lanes. The street is designated as a bicycle route through the use of signage informing drivers to expect bicyclists.
- Class IV (Cycletrack): These facilities are for the exclusive use of bicycles and requires a vertical element that separates the bikeway and adjacent vehicular traffic.

Currently, Class II bicycle lanes are provided along Las Gallinas Avenue and Lucas Valley Road, west of Los Gamos Drive. Class III facilities are provided along Los Gamos Drive and Frontage Road. Figure 2-2 illustrates the existing and proposed bicycle facilities in the study area.

Sidewalks are present along Los Gamos Drive and Lucas Valley Road, two roads adjacent to the project site. At the intersection of Los Gamos Drive and Lucas Valley Road there is a crosswalk across Los Gamos Drive serving the east / west direction. Along Lucas Valley Road there is a sidewalk along the south side of the corridor providing access to nearby transit stops; however, there are no pedestrian facilities provided on the north side from Las Gallinas Avenue to North Redwood Drive.

There is a midblock crosswalk on Los Gamos Drive serving the surface parking lot (which is the location of the future parking structure) and 1650 Los Gamos Drive building, as well as sidewalks serving both east and west sides of Los Gamos Drive. Additionally, a narrow sidewalk is provided from the 1650 Los Gamos Drive surface parking lot directly to the Lucas Valley / Southbound US 101 intersection.


Los Gamos Drive at Lucas Valley Road east-west crosswalk

Based on field observations, there are two narrow foot paths located on the south side of Lucas Valley Road to the northbound and southbound transit stops on US 101. The field visit accounted for several pedestrians using the foot path as an alternate route to and from the transit stop to decrease their walk distance.


Sidewalk from Lucas Valley Road to Southbound US 101 Transit Stop


Footpath from Lucas Valley Road to Southbound US 101 Transit Stop


### 2.5 TRANSIT NETWORK

Golden Gate Transit is the primary regional transit provider within Marin and Sonoma Counties. Golden Gate Transit provides extensive bus service to the San Rafael Transit Center in Downtown San Rafael from Marin and Sonoma counties, San Francisco, and Contra Costa County. Marin Transit provides local bus service within Marin County. Bus service in the Project vicinity is provided along Lucas Valley, Smith Ranch Road, Las Gallinas Avenue, and along US 101. Figure 2-3 illustrates the existing Golden Gate Transit and Marin Transit routes in the vicinity of the Project site. Table 2-3 describes the service provided on these routes and the nearest stops to the site. The nearest bus stop is at the US 101 / Lucas Valley Road interchange.

US 101 is an active bus corridor, particularly for regional bus travel. The bus stops at the US 101 / Lucas Valley Road interchange, referred to as the Lucas Valley Bus Pad, allows for nearby access and serves both local and regional routes serving people with origins or destinations outside the immediate vicinity. A Park \& Ride surface lot is provided just east of the US 101 / Lucas Valley Road interchange. Two bus stops located near the Lucas Valley Road and Las Gallinas Avenue intersection, located 0.6 miles west of the Project site, serves routes including the 44,245 , and 257 . Commute routes including route 44,54 , and 58 offer transportation to San Francisco though do not offer weekend services. Marin transit routes including route 35,49 , and 245 offer local service operating each day of the week.

## TABLE 2-3: GOLDEN GATE TRANSIT SERVICE SUMMARY

| Line ${ }^{1}$ | Route | Nearest Stop | Weekday Operations |  | Weekend Operations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Hours of Operation | Frequency | Hours of Operation | Frequency |
| 35 | Canal- <br> Novato | Lucas Valley Bus Pad | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6:05 AM - 8:11 PM (SB) } \\ \text { 6:41 AM - 11:12 PM (NB) } \end{gathered}$ | 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:49 AM - 7:41 PM (SB) } \\ & \text { 7:11 AM - 11:05PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 30 minutes |
| 44 | MarinwoodSan Francisco | Lucas Valley and Las Gallinas | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:41 AM - 9:04 AM (SB) } \\ & \text { 5:05 PM - 7:29 PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 minutes | - | - |
| 49 | San RafaelNovato | Lucas Valley Bus Pad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:11 AM - 8:10 PM (SB) } \\ & \text { 6:15 AM - 9:01 PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:20 AM - 10:55 PM (SB) } \\ & \text { 7:15 AM - 9:41 PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 minutes |
| 54 | Marin-San Francisco | Lucas Valley Bus Pad | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4:40 AM - 10:02 AM (SB) } \\ \text { 2:27 PM - 8:29 PM (NB) } \end{gathered}$ | 30 minutes | - | - |
| 58 | Novato-San Francisco | Lucas Valley Bus Pad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:06 AM - 9:06 AM (SB) } \\ & \text { 4:26 PM - 6:57 PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 30 minutes | - | - |
| 70 | Novato-San Francisco | Lucas Valley Bus Pad | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5:00 AM - 12:38 AM (SB) } \\ \text { 5:00 AM - 1:26 AM (NB) } \end{gathered}$ | 60 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:00 AM - 12:28 PM (SB) } \\ & \text { 5:55 AM - 1:28 AM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 minutes |
| 245 | Gallinas-San Rafael | Las Gallinas Ave/Cedar Hill Dr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:28 AM - 6:55 PM (SB) } \\ & \text { 7:00 AM - 6:26 PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:30 AM - 6:55 PM (SB) } \\ & \text { 7:00 AM - 6:26 PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 minutes |
| 257 | San <br> Rafael/Ignaci o | Las Gallinas Ave/Cedar Hill Dr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:00 AM - 10:25 PM (SB) } \\ & \text { 7:30 AM - 9:22 PM (NB) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 minutes | - | - |

Source: Golden Gate Transit and Marin Transit, 2017


## 3 PROJECT CONDITIONS

This chapter provides an overview of the proposed Project components and summarizes the proposed Project trip generation, distribution, and assignment characteristics, allowing for an evaluation of Project impacts on the surrounding roadway network. The amount of traffic associated with the Project was estimated using a three-step process:

1) Trip Generation - The amount of vehicle traffic entering/existing the Project site was estimated.
2) Trip Distribution - The direction of trips would use to approach and depart the site was projected.
3) Trip Assignment - Trips were then assigned to specific roadway segments and intersection turning movements.

### 3.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

As described in Chapter 1, the Project site is located at 1650 Los Gamos Drive in San Rafael, California, and is bound by Lucas Valley Road to the north, 1600 Los Gamos Drive to the south, US 101 to the east, and the hillside to the west of Los Gamos Drive, as illustrated on Figure 1-1.

The proposed Project is part of an existing office complex. The Project would permit the addition of medical office as an allowed use for the existing $150,000^{4}$ square foot building located at 1650 Los Gamos Drive. The Project applicant also proposes to construct an up to 511-space parking garage structure on the west side of Los Gamos Drive, where there is an existing surface lot associated with the building. The project will also continue to use 42 existing parking spaces located on the adjacent property at 1600 Los Gamos Drive. For purposes of the transportation analysis, the building was assumed to be 150,000 square feet, the maximum amount of development allowed under the existing zoning.

### 3.1.1 Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Considerations

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) are programs or tools that incentivize users to change their transportation mode choice from a single occupant vehicle. As a result, a TDM program can reduce impacts to a transportation system by reducing trip generation, air quality, energy use, and travel costs, while still

[^3]preserving mobility options. As part of the PD District approval, the building and Project is subject to participating in a TDM program that includes:

- A TSM manager who is responsible for but not limited to: developing and disseminating transportation information, aiding employees in the selection of tranpsortation options, communicating available transit alterantives, and informing tenants of the benefits of flexible work schedules;
- A transit information center that describes current public transit, buspools, vabnpools, carpools and shutlle services serving the area;
- A carpool and vanpool matching program

In addition to the above program, the Project developer is considering additional TDM program strategies which are currently implemented at nearby Kaiser Permanente facilities, including:

- Commuter subsidy for transit or vanpool use
- Pre-tax community spending accounts
- Guaranteed Ride Home program
- Internal website that provides information on San Rafael Kaiser Permanente TDM and alternative modes of transportation
- Designated bicycle parking on-site

The Project applicant applies similar strategies at other Bay Area locations to reduce trip generation. However, for the purpose of this analysis, TDM strategies were not applied and trip generation reductions were not assumed; therefore, the Project trip generation is conservative.

### 3.2 PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation refers to the process of estimating the amount of vehicular traffic a project would add to the surrounding roadway system. Estimates are created on a daily basis and for the peak one-hour periods during the morning and evening commute periods when traffic volumes on the adjacent streets are the highest. The Project trip generation was estimated using rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) land use numbers 710 (office building) and 720 (medical office building).

The net new project trips represent the increase in vehicular trips that the building would generate after the 150,000 square feet building is occupied by medical office instead of general office. Table 3-1 shows the Project's daily, weekday AM peak hour, and weekday PM peak hour contribution. . As shown, the Project would contribute 3,765 daily, 125 AM and 312 PM peak hour trips.

TABLE 3-1: PROJECT TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES

| Scenario | Land Use | ITE <br> Code <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ (\text { KSF })^{2,3} \end{gathered}$ | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Planned Development (PD) Allowed | General Office | 710 | 150 | 1,655 | 206 | 28 | 234 | 38 | 186 | 224 |
| Proposed Project | Medical Office | 720 | 150 | 5,420 | 284 | 75 | 359 | 150 | 386 | 536 |
| NET NEW PROJECT TRIPS |  |  |  | 3,765 | 78 | 47 | 125 | 112 | 200 | 312 |

Notes:

1. Trip generated based on Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation (9th Edition) equations for:

General Office (Land Use Code 710):
Daily: 11.03
AM Peak Hour: 1.56; Enter $=88 \%$; Exit $=12 \%$
PM Peak Hour: 1.49; Enter = 17\%; Exit = 83\%
Medical Office (Land Use Code 720):
Daily: 36.13
AM Peak Hour: 2.39; Enter= 79\% Exit= 21\%
PM Peak Hour: 3.57; Enter= 28\% Exit= 72\%
2. $k s f=1,000$ square-feet
3. The existing building is 148,000 square feet; however, the Planned Development District allows up to 150,000 square feet of office space so for the purpose of the analysis, we have assumed a 150,000 square-feet building, though the Project does not plan to rebuild or construct the remaining 2,000 square foot balance.
Source: Trip Generation (9th Edition), ITE, 2012; Fehr \& Peers, 2017.

### 3.3 PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION \& ASSIGNMENT

Trip distribution percentages, as shown in Table 3-2 and Figure 3-1, were developed based on the Project site location, anonymous existing Kaiser Permanente employee and membership data, existing intersection counts, and surrounding land uses. Net new Project-generated trips, as summarized in Table 3-1, were assigned to the roadway system based on the trip distribution patterns shown below. Figure 3-2 illustrates the net new Project trip assignments at the five study intersections compared to the No Project.

February 2017 | Draft

TABLE 3-2: TRIP DISTRIBUTION

| Origin/Destination | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| US 101 North | $48 \%$ |
| US- 101 South | $40 \%$ |
| Lucas Valley Road | $8 \%$ |
| Redwood Highway | $4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2017.
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Note: Project Trip Assignment based on project trips added on top of Planned Development District Allowed (100\% office)

## 4 VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED EVALUATION

A Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) analysis was completed for the Project. Although not currently required, the applicant anticipates the CEQA Guidelines will be revised to include VMT analysis in the near future. Caltrans has released new internal interim intergovernmental review (IGR) guidance to its districts which accepts VMT analysis. While the results of this analysis are for informational purposes only, the methodology and analysis summary is consistent with Caltrans procedures.

This section explains the methodology used to calculate the daily VMT per Kaiser Permanente Employee. The results are presented along with a short discussion below.

### 4.1 ASSUMPTIONS \& METHODOLOGY

Kaiser Permanente is planning to staff the Project site with 315 employees at full build out. Approximately 75-percent (245) of the staff at the Proposed Project will be relocated from three existing Kaiser Permanente facilities in Marin County: Downtown San Rafael, San Rafael medical Center, and Novato. The remaining 25percent (70) are expected to be new employees. The number of existing Kaiser staff at other facilities that are estimated to move to the Proposed Project are presented in Table 4-1.

TABLE 4-1: KAISER EMPLOYEES MOVING FROM EXISTING FACILITIES TO PROPOSED PROJECT

| Existing Facility Name | Existing Facility Location | Estimated Number of <br> Employees Planned to Relocate <br> to 1650 Los Gamos Drive |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| Downtown San Rafael | 1033 3rd St, San Rafael | 53 | $22 \%$ |
| San Rafael Medical Center | 99 Montecillo Rd, San Rafael | 171 | $70 \%$ |
| Novato | 97 San Marin Dr, Novato | 5 | $2 \%$ |
| Other sites | Mill Valley \& Paul Drive (San Rafael) | 16 | $6 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |

Source: Kaiser Permanente, 2017

Kaiser Permanente provided anonymous employee zip code data for existing employees that work at the three main locations which employees are to transfer from nearby Kaiser facilities. Figure 4-1 illustrates the existing employee residential distribution.

VMT per employee was calculated, consistent with methodologies outlined in the IGR for office developments. Using Kaiser Permanente employee data above, the distance between existing employee zip
codes and the three existing Kaiser Permanente facilities near the Project site. The average VMT per employee by existing facility was calculated by using the weighted average of distances between each site and zip based on the number of employees residing in each zip code. The weighted average of the VMT per existing employee was calculate to/from the existing facilities based on the number of staff that are planned to move to the Proposed Project from the existing facilities in order to determine the average VMT per employee at the proposed Project.

The main limitation of this approach are that distances were calculated based on zip codes, which provides an approximate estimate of distance traveled. Additionally, zip code data was available for only three of the five existing Kaiser facilities that plan to move employees to the Proposed Project. However, approximately 93-percent of the 245 existing employees that would move to the Proposed Project currently work at the three sites. As shown in Figure 4-1, the distribution of home locations for the three sites used to estimate average VMT were similar; therefore the analysis assumes that the distribution of home locations for existing Kaiser Permanente employees at the Mill Valley and Paul Drive (San Rafael) site, and new Kaiser Permanente employees as part of the proposed Project would parallel the existing distribution and would not fundamentally alter the results.

Since many of the existing facilities are overcrowded, not all of the employees that will be relocated to 1650 Los Gamos Drive will be backfilled at their current location. However, for a conservative VMT analysis, it was assumed that all of the Project employees will result in new VMT and off-sets from a reduction of trips at the existing Kaiser sites were not incorporated in the analysis. As a result, the actual VMT generated by the Project would likely be less than assumed in the following analysis.

### 4.1.1 Significance Criteria

As noted above, the results of this analysis are for informational purposes because the local agency has yet to adopt VMT thresholds; therefore, there is no formal significance criteria set for the VMT analysis. However, in order to understand the Project's contribution to the transportation network, the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) Technical Advisory recommendations, consistent with guidance presented in Caltrans IGR, were used. In summary, OPR's Technical Advisory states that office developments that would generate vehicle travel exceeding 15-percent below existing VMT per employee for the region may indicate a significant transportation impact.

For this analysis, VMT per employee results were compared to the Project Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regional model. Existing VMT data by TAZ was not available, so the Projected VMT estimates for Year 2020 were used.

Los Gamos Medical Office


Novato Medical Office


Downtown San Rafael Medical Office


## San Rafael Medical Center



Employee Origin
Less than $1 \%$
$1 \%-2 \%$
$3 \%-6 \%$
$7 \%-10 \%$
Greater than $10 \%$

### 4.2 RESULTS

The results of the analysis described above are presented in Table 4-2. A detailed summary of the analysis results and information gathered from the MTC/ABAG model for Baseline (2020) and Cumulative (2040) conditions is presented in Appendix E.

The MTC/ABAG model projects that the 2020 VMT per employee for the Project site TAZ is 32 VMT per employee. A 15-percent reduction of the project VMT per employee would result in 27 VMT per employee. As shown, the average trip length for employees at the proposed Project is estimated to be 20 miles, 40percent under the 2020 projected VMT per employee. Therefore, based on the OPR's Technical Advisory, the Project would not result in a significant impact.

| 2020 MTC/ | BAG Model | Kaise | ermanente |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Average VMT / Employee ${ }^{1}$ | Maximum Average VMT/Employee ${ }^{2}$ | Empirically Derived Estimated Average VMT / Employee ${ }^{3,4}$ | Below Maximum Average VMT / Employee? |
| 32 | 27 | 20 | Yes |

Notes:

1. 2020 VMT/Employee estimates are determined by the MTC regional travel model for the TAZ zone where the facility is located.
2. Maximum average VMT/Employee based on 15-percent reduction from baseline per OPR's Technical Advisory.
3. Average VMT/Kaiser Employee at existing facilities is based on anonymous employee home zip code data provided by Kaiser Permanente.
4. Average VMT/Kaiser Employee at Proposed Project is based on Average VMT/Kaiser Employee at existing facilities and the planned number of employees to be moved to the Proposed Project from each existing facility.
Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

## 5 EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

This chapter evaluates potential traffic impacts under Existing Plus Project conditions.

### 5.1 ASSUMED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

No roadway improvements are anticipated as part of the Project. As such, no additional improvements were included as part of the Existing Plus Project analysis.

### 5.2 VEHICLE OPERATIONS

This section describes the Project's impacts to the transportation network under the Existing Plus Project scenario.

### 5.2.1 Traffic Volumes

Project-generated traffic volumes were added to the existing peak hour traffic volumes to estimate the Existing Plus Project peak hour traffic volumes as shown on Figure 5-1. No roadway improvements were assumed as part of the Project.


| 1. Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd | 2. Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd | 3. US 101 SB On/Off Ramp/Lucas Valley Rd | 4. US 101 NB On/Off Ramp/ Smith Ranch Rd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\longleftarrow \longleftarrow_{437(299)} 387$ <br> Lucas Valley Rd |  |  |
| 5. Redwood Hwy/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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### 5.2.2 Intersection Operations

Existing Plus Project conditions were evaluated using the methods described in Chapter 1. The Existing Plus Project analysis results are presented in Table 5-1 and are based on the traffic volumes shown on Figure 5-1.

## TABLE 5-1: EXISTING INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY RESULTS

| Intersection | Intersection Control ${ }^{1}$ | Time Period | Existing No Project |  | Existing Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{2,3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2,3}$ | LOS ${ }^{\text {2,3 }}$ | Delay ${ }^{2,3}$ |
| 1. Lucas Valley Road and Las Gallinas Avenue | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Lucas Valley Road and Los Gamos Drive | SSSC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A (C) } \\ & \text { A (A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} <10(17) \\ <10(<10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}(\mathbf{E}) \\ & \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15(\mathbf{3 7}) \\ 30(>50) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. Lucas Valley Road and US 101 Southbound Ramps | Signal | AM PM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road and US 101 Northbound Ramps | Signal | AM PM | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road and Redwood Drive / Redwood Highway | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |

Notes:

1. $\quad$ SSSC $=$ Side-Street Stop Control
2. Worst approach is noted for side street stop controlled intersections.
3. Bold denotes unacceptable level of service and delay.

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2016

As shown on Table 5-1, signalized intersections would continue to operate at LOS D or better with the exception of the Lucas Valley Road/Smith Ranch Road/US 101 Northbound Ramps intersection. The intersection operating conditions would worsen from LOS B to LOS E with the Project during the AM peak hour and would continue to operate at the acceptable LOS B during the PM peak hour. The Project's contribution during the AM peak hour would worsen the intersection operations below the LOS D threshold during the AM peak hour which triggers a significant impact. However, the San Rafael 2020 General Plan exempts US 101 interchange intersections from LOS standards because delay at these locations are affected by regional traffic and not significantly impacted by local measures. Therefore, the Project's contribution to the Lucas Valley Road/Smith Ranch Road/US 101 intersection is not considered a significant impact. Though the Project does not result in an impact, a potential improvement was identified for informational purposes. Signal timings should be adjusted at the Lucas Valley Road/Smith Ranch Road/US 101 Northbound Ramps intersection to account for the new intersection demand. With implementation,
operations at the Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road / US 101 Northbound Ramps would reduce the Project's impact to less than significant, if the intersection were subject to the significance criteria, similar to other intersections included in this study.

The addition of Project traffic at the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive side street stop controlled intersection would increase vehicle delay during the AM and PM peak hour. Although the intersection would continue to operate at LOS D or better during Existing Plus Project conditions, average delay and associated LOS for the side-street stop-controlled intersection is reported for the worst-case controlled approach in this study, as defined in Section 1.4.1.1. During Existing Plus Project conditions, Project traffic would worsen the side street stop controlled approach from LOS C to LOS E during the AM peak hour and LOS A to LOS F during the PM peak hour. As a result, the Project's contribution during the PM peak hour would result in a significant impact because the Project's contribution would worsen the intersection operations to an unacceptable LOS. Mitigation Measure TR-1, described below, has been identified to address the impact.

## Mitigation Measure TR-1. Signalize Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive

The Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive intersection should be signalized to mitigate poor operating conditions. Signalizing the intersection is consistent with improvements identified in the San Rafael 2020 General Plan and as discussed in Chapter 2, the Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive intersection fulfills the peak hour signal warrant under existing conditions. The Project sponsor would pay their fair share cost to the City of San Rafael to design and implement the proposed mitigation measure. Signalizing the intersection would mitigate the project impact to a less-than-significant impact.

### 5.2.2.1 Queue Summary

The traffic analysis was completed using SimTraffic software which accounts for vehicle queues and the relationship between adjacent intersections within the study area. A queue summary narrative is provided below to supplement the intersection operations analysis; however, results are not part of the significance criteria and the narrative provided is for informational purposes only. Detailed queue results tables are included in Appendix F.

During Existing Plus Project Conditions, vehicle queues through the study area remain the same or increase as a result of the Project's traffic contribution. During the AM peak period, the average queue for westbound left turning vehicles at the Lucas Valley / Los Gamos Drive intersection, exceed the existing storage capacity and occasionally blocks westbound through vehicles from continuing east on Lucas Valley Road.

At the Lucas Valley Road/US 101 Southbound Ramps intersection, the queue for vehicles traveling from the US 101 Southbound off-ramp increases with the Project during both the AM and PM peak periods. The
southbound off-ramp queue exceeds the available storage length during both the Existing No Project and Existing Plus Project AM peak periods. During the PM peak period, the addition of the Project increases the eastbound queue on Lucas Valley Road such that the queue spills back to the adjacent Lucas Valley Road/US 10 Northbound Ramp intersection.

At the Lucas Valley Road/US 101 Northbound Ramps intersection, queues from the intersection remain within the available storage length during the AM and PM peak hour. Vehicles queues at this intersection do not change substantially under Existing Plus Project conditions during the AM or PM peak period.

In addition, vehicles queues do not change substantially at the Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas Avenue and Smith Ranch Road/N Redwood Drive/Redwood Highway intersections during AM and PM peak periods with the addition of Project-related traffic.

### 5.2.2.2 Signal Warrants

As mentioned in Chapter 2, the existing volumes fulfill the peak hour signal warrants, therefore a signal should be considered at the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersection. The addition of Project traffic would further qualify the intersection for a signal based on Peak Hour Warrant (Warrant 3). Furthermore, implementation of Mitigation Measure TR-2: Signalize Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive would mitigate the project impact during Existing Conditions to a less-than-significant level.

### 5.2.3 Freeway Operations

Existing Plus Project conditions were evaluated using the methods described in Chapter 1. As described in Chapter 4, the Project plans to shift existing employees from existing Kaiser Permanente facilities in Marin County to the proposed Project site; however, the freeway analysis methodology assumes that all Project trips are added to the No Project freeway volumes. Therefore, the approach is conservative and may be double counting vehicle trips that are already accounted for in the No Project volume.

The Existing Plus Project analysis results are presented in Table 5-2 and Table 5-3, for the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. Detailed freeway level of service calculation sheets are provided in Appendix D.

## TABLE 5-2: EXISTING CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - AM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Type | Existing No Project |  | Existing Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Density $(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1}$ | LOS |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 19.4 | C | 20.3 | C |
| Manuel T Freitas On / Redwood Highway On | Basic | 15.9 | B | 16.6 | B |
| Redwood Highway On | Merge | 18 | B | 18.5 | B |
| Smith Ranch Road Off | Basic | 16.4 | B | 17 | B |
| Smith Ranch Road Off / Lucas Road EB On | Basic | 18 | B | 18.5 | C |
| Lucas Road EB On / Smith Ranch Road WB On | Basic | 14 | B | 14.4 | B |
| Smith Ranch Road WB On | Merge | 16.7 | B | 17 | B |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 14.5 | B | 15 | B |
| Miller Creek On | Basic | 18.7 | C | 19.3 | C |

## Southbound

| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 19.8 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 20.2 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miller Creek On | Merge | 28.8 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 29.2 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road Off | Basic | 18.3 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 18.6 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | Basic | 21.9 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 21.9 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road On | Merge | 28.6 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 28.8 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | Basic | 25.5 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 25.6 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Manuel T Freitas Off | Diverge | 31.1 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 31.2 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 21.4 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | 21.6 | $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane
2. Bold = unacceptable LOS
3. The LOS results were revised to match existing observations. (add language from other similar footnote that explains why revised.)
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

## TABLE 5-3: EXISTING CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - PM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Type | Existing No Project |  | Existing Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 28.6 | D | 28.9 | D |
| Manuel T Freitas On / Redwood Highway On | Basic | 33.7 | D | 34.1 | D |
| Redwood Highway On | Merge | 25.5 | C | 25.6 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road Off | Basic | 24.7 | C | 24.9 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road Off / Lucas Road EB On | Basic | 21.7 | C | 21.7 | C |
| Lucas Road EB On / Smith Ranch Road WB On | Basic | 22.4 | C | 23 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road WB On | Merge | 25.5 | C | 25.9 | C |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 24.1 | C | 24.7 | C |
| Miller Creek On | Basic | 32.9 | D | 34.1 | D |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 21.1 | C | 20.8 | C |
| Miller Creek On | Merge | 24.7 | C | 24.4 | C |
| Lucas Valley Road Off | Basic | 16.5 | B | 16.3 | B |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | Basic | 20.5 | C | 20 | C |
| Lucas Valley Road On | Merge | 28.5 | D | 28.8 | D |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | Basic | 24.8 | C | 24.8 | C |
| Manuel T Freitas Off | Diverge | 30.4 | D | 30.4 | D |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 21.4 | C | 21.4 | C |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

During the weekday AM and PM peak hours, all of the freeway segments would operate at LOS D or better, except southbound segments during the AM peak hour. As mentioned in Section 2.3.2 the southbound segments are currently in queue due to a downstream bottleneck between the San Pedro on-ramp and Mission Avenue off-ramp. The addition of Project trips would contribute to the existing failing condition, as such, to understand the Project's impact, the Project's volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio contribution calculation was completed and is summarized in Table 5-4. The purpose of calculating a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio is to understand the Project's contribution to an existing facility. The v/c ratio is based on the estimated or counted volume over the study segment capacity. Segments with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 means the number of vehicles that want to use the facility exceed the available capacity and as a result, delays and queuing are anticipated.

## TABLE 5-4: EXISTING CONDITIONS VOLUME TO CAPACITY (V/C) SUMMARY¹ - AM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment <br> Capacity $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Existing No Project | Exiting Plus Project |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | v/c | Volume $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{v / c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off / Miller Creek On |  | 3,616 | 0.55 | 3,694 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 6}$ |
| Miller Creek On / Lucas Valley Road Off |  | 4,454 | 0.55 | 4,532 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 6}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On |  | 4,002 | 0.61 | 4,002 | 0.61 |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | 6,600 | 4,595 | 0.70 | 4,615 | 0.70 |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | 6,600 | 3,916 | 0.59 | 3,936 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0}$ |

Notes:

1. Summary based on mixed flow lanes only. High Occupancy Vehicles lane not included in analysis.
2. $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ calculation assumes the following capacities:

- Mixed Flow Lanes: 2,200 vehicles per lane
- Auxiliary Lanes: 1,500 vehicles per lane

3. The total volume reported does not account for the HOV volume.
4. Bold $=$ Project contributes greater than at least $0.01 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ to the No Project condition resulting in a significant impact Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2016

As summarized in Chapter 4, the Project's expected VMT / employee is less than the expected VMT / employee for the TAZ. However, because the Project adds less than 2-percent of traffic to the existing corridor, the Project's contribution increases the corridor's v/c ratio by 0.01 , resulting in a significant impact, at the following locations:

- Between Miller Creek Off-Ramp and Miller Creek On-Ramp
- Between Miller Creek On-Ramp and Lucas Valley Road Off-Ramp
- Between Manuel T. Freitas parkway Off-Ramp and Manuel T. Freitas Parkway On-Ramp

Within the Project vicinity, US 101 roadway improvements are neither planned nor funded. Thus, it is infeasible for the Project Sponsor to contribute its fair-share contribution to the regional network. However, Caltrans IGR states that implementation of a TDM program could reduce VMT and the Project's impact to the regional network. The Project description already includes TDM elements based on the TDM program that Project Sponsor already provides at its nearby facilities. The Project Sponsor proposed TDM goes beyond what is required under the existing PD District. In order to further reduce the Project's impact to the regional network and ensure the implementation of the Project Sponsor proposed TDM, Mitigation Measure TR-2 would have the Project Sponsor implement TDM measures which go beyond those already included in the PD District.

## Mitigation Measure TR-2. Project Sponsor shall identify and implement additional TDM measures

The Project Sponsor shall implement TDM measures currently under consideration and implemented at other nearby facilities, as described in the Project Description. These TDM elements would go beyond what is already required as part of the PD District with the goal of reducing employee vehicle trips and reducing the Project's impact on the regional network. The program will be submitted to the City of San Rafael for review, comment, and approval. If coordination is required, the Project Sponsor will work with the City of San Rafael to implement the program.

Since details of the ultimate TDM program have not yet been defined, a quantitative analysis of the TDM program's effectiveness of reducing vehicle trips was not completed. Thus it is unknown if the reduction in vehicle trips would mitigation the Project's contribution to US 101 to less than significant. Therefore, even with implementation, the impact would remain significant and unavoidable.

### 5.2.4 On-Site Vehicle Access and Circulation

While not required under CEQA to avoid a significant impact, on-site vehicle access and circulation were evaluated. Access to the Project would be provided from four unsignalized driveways as well as two additional driveways on either side of the proposed parking structure for fire access, as indicated in Figure 1-2. Three driveways on the east side of Los Gamos Drive, which are existing driveways, would lead to surface parking lots adjacent to the Project building. The existing northern most driveway, located on the west side of Los Gamos Drive, would be moved 100 feet south and provide direct access to the proposed parking garage structure. The relocated driveway would provide a longer storage length between the driveway and vehicles traveling north to the Los Gamos Drive / Lucas Valley Road intersection. The Project is not expected to substantially increase hazards due to a design feature since the Project will use existing driveways, where feasible, and does not propose to change the roadway alignment.

### 5.3 BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN IMPACTS

Bicycling and pedestrian trips in the study area may increase as a result of the proposed Project. Bicycle travel would likely occur along Lucas Valley Road and Los Gamos Drive since they provide direct connections to the Project site and are designated bicycle facilities. Pedestrian travel would likely occur on Lucas Valley Road as it provides direct access to nearby transit facilities. The projected increase in vehicles at the intersections in the vicinity of the Proposed Project may result in an increase in vehicle-bicycle-pedestrian conflicts at intersections in the study area. However, the proposed Project would not create potentially hazardous conditions for bicycles, pedestrians, or otherwise interfere with bicycle and pedestrian
accessibility to the site and adjoining areas because the Project does not remove existing facilities and does not prohibit the construction of proposed future facilities in the Project vicinity. Therefore, the Project's impact to bicycle and pedestrian facilities are considered less than significant and mitigations are not required under Existing Plus Project conditions.

### 5.4 TRANSIT IMPACTS

Transit trips in the study area may increase as a result of the Project. However, Project related transit trips would have no foreseeable impacts to transit operations because the Project would not likely generate enough transit demand to exceed the capacity of existing or planned transit service nor does it interfere with existing or future transit users. Therefore, the Project impacts to transit facilities are considered less than significant and mitigations are not required.

### 5.5 PARKING

While parking is not considered an environmental impact, a parking analysis was completed for information purposes only.

The City of San Rafael parking requirements for off-street parking were reviewed. For medical office uses, 1 space is required for every 225 gross building square feet, resulting in a code requirement of 657 spaces (assuming 148,000 sf medical office building). The Project sponsor is proposing a higher parking ratio based on existing parking demand at nearby medical facilities. The proposed parking plan includes 753 parking spaces which exceeds the City's requirements by 96 spaces. Of the 753 parking spaces, there are 23 ADA parking spaces ( 10 spaces in the parking structure and 13 in the surface lot) which meets the minimum ADA parking requirements from the United States Access Board; and 155 compact spaces, which is under the City's 30 -percent compact parking maximum.

The City of San Rafael Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan Update (2011) includes an objective to provide bicycle parking in employment and commercial areas; and the City of San Rafael Code of Ordinances requires a minimum number of short term and long term bicycle parking spaces equivalent to 5-percent of the requirement for automobile parking spaces, with a minimum of one two-bike capacity rack; therefore, the ordinance requires 38 short term bicycle parking spaces (based on 148,000 sf medical office building). Based on the proposed parking plan, the Project will provide 34 short term parking spaces and 33 long term parking spaces, thereby meeting the minimum number of bicycle parking spaces required.

Based on this review, the total number of parking spaces the Project provides is sufficient and meets the City of San Rafael's parking requirements.

## 6 BASELINE CONDITIONS

The baseline scenario includes existing transportation conditions plus traffic generated from approved developments that are not yet constructed.

### 6.1 ASSUMED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

No roadway improvements are anticipated as part of the Project. As such, no additional improvements were included as part of the Baseline Plus Project analysis.

### 6.2 VEHICLE OPERATIONS

This section describes the Project's impacts to vehicles under the Baseline No Project and Baseline Plus Project scenarios.

### 6.2.1 Traffic Volumes

The City of San Rafael maintains a database of baseline traffic volumes, which assumes the 100-perecent occupied building at 1650 Los Gamos Drive, and provided Synchro files for use in this traffic study. The baseline peak hour intersection volumes, lane configurations, and traffic controls at each intersection for the AM and PM peak hours is summarized on Figure 6-1. Similar to Existing Plus Project conditions, Project traffic volumes were added to the baseline peak hour traffic volumes to estimate the Baseline Plus Project peak hour traffic volumes, summarized on Figure 6-2.
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### 6.2.2 Intersection Operations

Baseline No Project and Baseline Plus Project conditions were evaluated using the same methods described in Chapter 1. The Baseline analysis results are presented in Table 6-1, based on the traffic volumes presented in Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2, respectively.

## TABLE 6-1: BASELINE INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY RESULTS

| Intersection | Intersection Control ${ }^{1}$ | Time Period | Baseline No Project |  | Baseline Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{2,3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2,3,4}$ | LOS ${ }^{2,3}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {2,3, }} 4$ |
| 1. Lucas Valley Road and Las Gallinas Avenue | Signal | AM | F | 94 | F | 182 |
|  |  | PM | C | 34 | D | 38 |
| 2. Lucas Valley Road and | SSSC | AM | E (F) | 44 (67) | E (F) | 41 (>80) |
| Los Gamos Drive |  | PM | F (F) | >80(375) | F (F) | >80 (364) |
| 3. Lucas Valley Road and | Signal | AM | E | 58 | F | 87 |
| US 101 Southbound Ramps |  | PM | F | 156 | F | 156 |
| 4. Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road and | Signal | AM | D | 44 | F | 84 |
| US 101 Northbound Ramps |  | PM | B | 15 | B | 16 |
| 5. Lucas Valley Road / <br> Smith Ranch Road and Redwood Drive / Redwood Highway | Signal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AM | B | 15 | B | 13 |
|  |  |  | C | 35 | D | 51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. $\operatorname{SSSC}=$ Side-Street Stop Control
2. Worst approach is noted for side street stop controlled intersections.
3. Bold denotes unacceptable level of service and delay.
4. Standard industry practice is to summarize delay greater than 80 seconds (LOS F) as " $>80$ ". However, in some instances, the " $>80$ " was over written with the estimated delay to better under the Project's impact to the No Project conditions and its relationship to the significance criteria.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

As shown on Table 6-1, the Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road / Redwood Drive/Redwood Highway signalized intersection operates at LOS D or better during the No Project and Plus Project scenarios, therefore the Project's contribution to this intersection is considered less than significant. However, two intersections trigger a significant impact, as described below.

The Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas Avenue intersection operates below LOS D during the weekday AM and/or PM peak hour, under Baseline No Project and Baseline Plus Project conditions. The proposed Project would contribute to deficient operations by increasing the average delay by more than five seconds, thus resulting in a significant impact and would require mitigation as described in Mitigation Measure TR-3.

With the addition of Project traffic, the side street stop controlled intersection at Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive would experience increased vehicle delay during the AM peak hour. The Project would add 102 trips to the stop-controlled northbound approach during the AM peak period, which would contribute to deficient operations and increase average delay by more than five seconds at the stopped controlled approach compared to Baseline No Project conditions. Therefore, the Project impact to this intersection is significant and would require mitigation, as described in Mitigation Measure TR-4.

The Lucas Valley Road/US 101 Southbound Ramp intersection operates below LOS D during the weekday AM and/or PM peak hour, under Baseline No Project and Baseline Plus Project conditions. The proposed Project would contribute to deficient operations by increasing the average delay by more than five seconds, triggering a significant impact. However, the San Rafael 2020 General Plan exempts US 101 interchange intersections from LOS standards because delay at these locations are affected by regional traffic and not significantly impacted by local measures. Therefore, the Project's contribution to the Lucas Valley Road/Smith Ranch Road/US 101 intersection is not considered a significant impact. Though the Project does not result in an impact, a potential improvement was identified for informational purposes only. Signal timings should be adjusted at the Lucas Valley Road/Smith Ranch Road/US 101 Northbound Ramps intersection to account for the new intersection demand. With implementation, operations at the Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road / US 101 Northbound Ramps would reduce the Project's impact to less than significant, if the intersection were subject to the significance criteria, similar to other intersections included in this study.

The Lucas Valley Road/Smith Ranch Road/US 101 Northbound Ramps intersection operates below the LOS D threshold with the Project during the weekday AM peak hour, thus would result in a significant impact. However, as explained above, the intersection is part of the US 101 interchange, therefore the intersection is exempt from the significance criteria and the Project's impact is not considered a significant impact. A potential improvement was identified for information purposes only. The intersection should reconfigure the eastbound approach to provide two through lanes, which is consistent with improvements identified in the City of San Rafael General Plan 2020. Implementing these intersection improvements would improve intersection operations and reduce the Project's impact to less than significant, if the intersection were subject to the significance criteria, similar to other intersections included in this study.

## Mitigation Measure TR-3. Improve Intersection Operations at Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas Avenue

Intersection improvements have yet to be identified through the City of San Rafael's General Plan 2020; however, several vehicle capacity improvements (such as reconfiguring the intersection or roundabouts) may be considered by the City of San Rafael to mitigate poor operating conditions
at the intersection. Capacity increasing improvements include their fair share of trade-offs, for example adding capacity would facilitate more vehicular traffic; however, could have an adverse impact to pedestrians and bicyclists and result in the diversion of more pass-through traffic along Las Gallinas Avenue and an increase in VMT. The feasibility of potential mitigations will require further study and coordination with the City of San Rafael and local community. Ultimately, the City of San Rafael would be responsible for implementing improvements which the Project Sponsor would pay their fair share; however, since the intersection is not part of a traffic fee program and intersection improvements have yet to be identified, the Project would result in a significant and unavoidable impact.

## Mitigation Measure TR-4. Signalize and Reconfigure Intersection at Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 identifies improvements at the Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive intersection including signalizing the intersection, adding dual westbound left turn lanes, reconfiguring the northbound approach, and removing existing striped channelized islands, as illustrated in Figure 6-3. Implementing these improvements would mitigate the Project's impact to less than significant. Therefore, the Project sponsor will coordinate with the City of San Rafael to pay their fair share cost to implement Mitigation Measure TR-5.


Figure 6-3
Mitigation Measure TR-4 Signalize and Reconfigure Intersection at Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive

### 6.2.2.1 Queue Summary

A queue summary narrative is provided below to supplement the intersection operations analysis; however, results are not part of the significance criteria and the narrative provided is for informational purposes only. Detailed queue results tables are included in Appendix F.

Under Baseline Conditions queue lengths at the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersection during the AM peak period increase as a result of the Project for the following movements going into and out of the site. The 95-percentile vehicle queue for westbound left movements into the project site at the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersection exceeds the queue storage length under both No Project and Plus Project conditions, which impacts vehicles continuing westbound on Lucas Valley Road during the AM peak period.

During the PM peak period, the queue for vehicles traveling northbound left at the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersection extends beyond the proposed parking structure driveway during both the No Project and Plus Project conditions; however, the addition of the Project does not result in a substantial increase in queue lengths. As recommended in Chapter 5, the Project Sponsor should consider striping a "Keep Clear" pavement legend to help accommodate vehicle egress from the proposed parking structure.

At the Lucas Valley Road/US 101 Southbound Ramps intersection, the addition of Project traffic generally contributes to longer queues compared to the No Project. The queue for vehicles traveling from the US 101 Southbound off-ramp increases substantially under Plus Project conditions during the AM peak period and as a result, exceeds the available storage length. During the PM peak period, the addition of Project traffic contributes to longer queues; however, the queue does not spillback past the available storage length. In the eastbound direction of Lucas Valley Road, queue lengths extend past the upstream intersection at Los Gamos Drive during the PM peak hour for both the No Project and Plus Project condition.

At the Lucas Valley Road/US 101 Northbound Ramps intersection, the AM peak hour and PM peak hour queues remain within the available storage length during both the No Project and Plus Project conditions.

At the Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas Avenue intersection, the queue lengths for vehicles traveling eastbound and southbound exceed storage capacity under both No Project and Plus Project conditions during the AM peak period; Plus Project conditions exacerbate these conditions. Vehicles queues do not change substantially at the Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas Avenue during the PM peak period.

Vehicles queues do not change substantially at Smith Ranch Road/N Redwood Drive/Redwood Highway intersections during AM and PM peak periods under plus project conditions.

### 6.2.2.2 Signal Warrants

As mentioned in Chapter 2, the existing volumes fulfill the peak hour signal warrants, therefore a signal should be considered at the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersection. The addition of Baseline growth and Project traffic would further qualify the intersection for a signal based on Peak Hour Warrant (Warrant 3). Furthermore, implementation of Mitigation Measure TR-2: Signalize Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive would mitigate the project impact during Baseline Plus Project conditions to a less-thansignificant level.

### 6.2.3 Freeway Operations

Baseline freeway conditions were evaluated using the same methods described in Chapter 1. The Baseline conditions analysis results are presented in Table 6-2 and Table 6-3, for the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. Detailed freeway level of service calculation sheets are provided in Appendix D.

## TABLE 6-2: BASELINE CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - AM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Type | Baseline No Project |  | Baseline Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS $^{2}$ | Density $(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1}$ | LOS $^{2}$ |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 20.6 | C | 20.7 | C |
| Manuel T Freitas On / Redwood Highway On | Basic | 16.9 | B | 17 | B |
| Redwood Highway On | Merge | 18.8 | B | 18.9 | B |
| Smith Ranch Road Off | Basic | 17.4 | B | 17.4 | B |
| Smith Ranch Road Off / Lucas Road EB On | Basic | 18.9 | C | 19.1 | C |
| Lucas Road EB On / Smith Ranch Road WB On | Basic | 14.7 | B | 14.9 | B |
| Smith Ranch Road WB On | Merge | 17.3 | B | 17.5 | B |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 15.3 | B | 15.5 | B |
| Miller Creek On | Basic | 19.6 | C | 19.9 | C |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 21.7 | $F^{3}$ | 21.9 | $F^{3}$ |
| Miller Creek On | Merge | 30.9 | $F^{3}$ | 31.0 | $F^{3}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road Off | Basic | 19.9 | $F^{3}$ | 20.0 | $F^{3}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | Basic | 23.2 | $F^{3}$ | 23.2 | $F^{3}$ |
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TABLE 6-2: BASELINE CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - AM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Type | Baseline No Project |  | Baseline Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Density (pc/mi/ln) | LOS ${ }^{2}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road On | Merge | 29.9 | $F^{3}$ | 30.0 | $F^{3}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | Basic | 27.0 | $F^{3}$ | 27.1 | $F^{3}$ |
| Manuel T Freitas Off | Diverge | 32.2 | $F^{3}$ | 32.3 | $F^{3}$ |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 22.5 | $F^{3}$ | 22.6 | $F^{3}$ |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane
2. Bold = unacceptable LOS
3. This segment operates in queue; however, the results reported in the analysis software does not match existing condition observations because the methodology accounts for the number of vehicles that are able to use the facility, not the number of vehicles that want to use the facility and are in queue (demand). The LOS results were revised to match existing observations.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

## TABLE 6-3: BASELINE CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - PM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Type | Baseline No Project |  | Baseline Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  | LOS |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 30.6 | D | 31.8 | D |
| Manuel T Freitas On / Redwood Highway On | Basic | 36.7 | E | 38.3 | E |
| Redwood Highway On | Merge | 26.6 | C | 27 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road Off | Basic | 26.1 | D | 26.9 | D |
| Smith Ranch Road Off / Lucas Road EB On | Basic | 22.8 | C | 23.3 | C |
| Lucas Road EB On / Smith Ranch Road WB On | Basic | 23.8 | C | 24.5 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road WB On | Merge | 26.7 | C | 27.2 | C |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 25.8 | C | 26.5 | D |
| Miller Creek On | Basic | 36.1 | E | 37.7 | E |
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## TABLE 6-3: BASELINE CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - PM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Type | Baseline No Project |  | Baseline Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 22 | C | 22.6 | C |
| Miller Creek On | Merge | 25.5 | C | 26.1 | C |
| Lucas Valley Road Off | Basic | 17.2 | B | 17.7 | B |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | Basic | 21.3 | C | 21.8 | C |
| Lucas Valley Road On | Merge | 29.8 | D | 30.4 | D |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | Basic | 26.2 | D | 26.9 | D |
| Manuel T Freitas Off | Diverge | 31.5 | D | 32 | D |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 22.4 | C | 23 | C |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane
2. Bold = unacceptable LOS

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017
During the weekday AM and PM peak hours, all of the freeway segments operate at LOS E or better during the No Project and Plus Project Baseline scenarios, except southbound segments during the AM peak hour. As described in the sections above, the southbound segments operate in congestion under existing conditions due to a downstream bottleneck between the San Pedro on-ramp and Mission Avenue off-ramp. The addition of Project trips would contribute to the existing failing operations. The $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio calculations are summarized in Table 6-4.

## TABLE 6-4: BASELINE CONDITIONS VOLUME TO CAPACITY (V/C) SUMMARY¹ - AM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Capacity ${ }^{1}$ | Baseline No Project |  | Baseline Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume ${ }^{2}$ | v/c | Volume ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | v/c ${ }^{3}$ |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off / Miller Creek On | 6,600 | 3,966 | 0.60 | 3,994 | 0.61 |
| Miller Creek On / Lucas Valley Road Off | 8,100 | 4,847 | 0.60 | 4,875 | 0.60 |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | 6,600 | 4,221 | 0.64 | 4,221 | 0.64 |
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## TABLE 6-4: BASELINE CONDITIONS VOLUME TO CAPACITY (V/C) SUMMARY¹ - AM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment <br> Capacity $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Baseline No Project $^{2}$ |  | Baseline Plus Project |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{v / c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| Freitas Off | 6,600 | 4,826 | 0.73 | 4,840 | 0.73 |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T <br> Freitas On | 6,600 | 4,112 | 0.62 | 4,126 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3}$ |

Notes:

1. Summary based on mixed flow lanes only. High Occupancy Vehicles lane not included in analysis.
2. $\quad \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ calculation assumes the following capacities:

- Mixed Flow Lanes: 2,200 vehicles per lane
- Auxiliary Lanes: 1,500 vehicles per lane

3. The total volume reported does not account for the HOV volume.
4. Bold = Project contributes greater than $0.01 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ to the No Project condition resulting in a significant impact Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

Similar to the existing conditions, the Project's expected VMT per employee is less than the expected VMT per employee for the TAZ. However, because the Project contribution, less than 2-percent of Project-related traffic to the US 101 southbound corridor, increases the Baseline No Project's v/c ratio by 0.01, in the Project results in a significant impact, along the following segments:

- Miller Creek Off / Miller Creek On
- Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On

Roadway improvements along US 101, within the Project vicinity, are neither planned nor funded. Thus, it is infeasible for the Project Sponsor to contribute its fair-share contribution. Caltrans IGR states that implementation of a TDM program could reduce VMT and the Project's impact to the regional network. Therefore, the Project Sponsor could implement a TDM Program, to be reviewed and approved by the City of San Rafael, with the goal of reducing the Project's employee vehicle trips and reducing the Project's impact on the regional network.

## Mitigation Measure TR-5. Project Sponsor shall identify and implement additional TDM measures (MM TR-2).

Implement MM TR-2: The Project Sponsor shall implement TDM measures currently under consideration and implemented at other nearby facilities, as described in the Project Description. These TDM elements would go beyond what is already required as part of the PD District with the goal of reducing employee vehicle trips and reducing the Project's impact on the regional network. The program will be submitted to the City of San Rafael for review, comment, and approval. If
coordination is required, the Project Sponsor will work with the City of San Rafael to implement the program.

Since details of the ultimate TDM program have not yet been defined, a quantitative analysis of the TDM program's effectiveness of reducing vehicle trips was not completed. Thus it is unknown if the reduction in vehicle trips would mitigation the Project's contribution to US 101 to less than significant. Therefore, even with implementation, the impact would remain significant and unavoidable.

### 6.3 BICYCLE \& PEDESTRIAN IMPACTS

Bicycling and pedestrian trips in the study area may increase as a result of the proposed Project and Baseline growth; however, in this scenario bicycle and pedestrian impacts are typically site-specific and generally do not contribute to impacts from other development projects. As indicated in Chapter 5, there is a projected increase in vehicles at the intersections in the vicinity of the Proposed Project, which may result in an increase in vehicle-bicycle-pedestrian conflicts at intersections in the study area. However, the proposed Project would not create potentially hazardous conditions for bicycles, pedestrians, or otherwise interfere with bicycle and pedestrian accessibility to the site and adjoining areas because the Project does not remove existing facilities and does not prohibit the construction of proposed facilities in the Project vicinity. Therefore, the Project's impact to bicycle and pedestrian facilities are considered less than significant and mitigations are not required under Baseline Plus Project conditions.

### 6.4 TRANSIT IMPACTS

Transit trips in the study area may increase as a result of the Project. However, Project related transit trips would have no foreseeable impacts to transit operations because the Project would not likely generate enough transit demand to exceed the capacity of existing or planned transit service nor does it interfere with existing or future transit users. Therefore, the Project impacts to transit facilities are considered less than significant and mitigations are not required.

## 7 CUMULATIVE CONDITIONS

The cumulative scenario includes traffic estimates and transportation infrastructure improvements as proposed in the San Rafael General Plan 2020.

### 7.1 ASSUMED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

The San Rafael General Plan 2020 identifies proposed roadway improvements along Lucas Valley Road and Smith Ranch Road near the Project. Capital improvements include:

- Widening Lucas Valley Road to provide two westbound and two eastbound lanes between Redwood Highway and Los Gamos Drive
- Widening the US 101 northbound and southbound off-ramps with additional right and left turn lanes
- Signalizing the Los Gamos Drive / Lucas Valley Road intersection and coordinating the new signal with adjacent intersections
- Providing the westbound Lucas Valley Road approach at Los Gamos Drive two left turn lanes and two southbound receiving lanes on Los Gamos Drive

The improvements listed above were included in the Cumulative No Project and Cumulative Plus Project scenarios.

The City of San Rafael Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan Update (2011) proposes to continue Class II bicycle lanes along Lucas Valley Road and Smith Ranch Road, to the east. Additionally, a Class I facility is proposed to extend north of Los Gamos Drive and connect to Marinwood Avenue.

The Caltrans approved the Project Study Report (Project Development Support) [PSR(PDS)] for the Route 101/Lucas Valley Interchange Improvement Project in 2003. Caltrans prepared the PSR (PDS) at the request of the City of San Rafael through the Marin County Congestion Management Agency. The PSR/PDS documents consensus between Caltrans and City of San Rafael on the purpose-and-need, scope, and schedule of a project. As part of the PSR(PDS) project development and capital costs are estimated. The next phase of project development is not yet funded. Improvements for this interchange was not identified in the San Rafael General Plan 2020; However, a supplementary cumulative analysis was completed for informational purposes and is summarized in Section 7.2.2.2.

### 7.2 VEHICLE OPERATIONS

This section describes the Project's impacts to vehicles under the Cumulative and Cumulative Plus Project scenario.

### 7.2.1 Traffic Volumes

Similar to the Baseline Condition, the analysis used cumulative traffic volumes from the City of San Rafael's traffic database which is consistent with assumptions developed in the City of San Rafael General Plan 2020. The Cumulative No Project and Cumulative Plus Project peak hour intersection volumes, lane configurations, and traffic controls at each Project intersection is summarized on Figure 7-1 and Figure 7-2, respectively.
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### 7.2.2 Intersection Operations

Cumulative No Project and Cumulative Plus Project conditions were evaluated using the same methods described in Chapter 1. The Cumulative Plus Project analysis results are presented in Table 7-1, based on the traffic volumes presented in Figure 7-1 and Figure 7-2, respectively.

TABLE 7-1: CUMULATIVE INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY RESULTS

| Intersection | Intersection Control | Time <br> Period | Cumulative No Project |  | Cumulative Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{1,2}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{1,2}$ |
| 1. Lucas Valley Road and Las Gallinas Avenue | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. Lucas Valley Road and Los Gamos Drive | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | C | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Lucas Valley Road and US 101 Southbound Ramps | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road and US 101 Northbound Ramps | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road and Redwood Drive / Redwood Highway | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 185 \end{gathered}$ | B | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 185 \end{gathered}$ |

Notes:

1. Bold denotes unacceptable level of service and delay.
2. Standard industry practice is to summarize delay greater than 80 seconds (LOS F) as " $>80$ ". However, in some instances, the " $>80$ " was over written with the estimated delay to better under the Project's impact to the No Project conditions and its relationship to the significance criteria.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017
As shown on Table 7-1, all intersections would operate at an acceptable LOS C or better during the AM peak hour with exception to Lucas Valley Road / Las Gallinas Avenue intersection which operates at LOS F under No Project and Plus Project conditions. The addition of the Project-related traffic would exacerbate the No Project condition and contribute more than 5 seconds of delay, resulting in a significant impact which would require mitigation as described in Mitigation Measure TR-6.

During the PM peak hour, all intersections operate at an acceptable LOS D or better except the Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive and the Lucas Valley Road / Smith Ranch Road / Redwood Drive / Redwood Highway intersections, which operate at LOS E and LOS F, respectively. While the Project would contribute additional traffic to both the intersections, the overall intersection delay would not increase by more than
five seconds compared to the Cumulative No Project conditions. Therefore, the Project impact is considered less-than-significant during the PM peak hour.

## Mitigation Measure TR-6. Improve Intersection Operations at Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas Avenue (MM TR-3)

Intersection improvements have yet to be identified through the City of San Rafael's General Plan 2020; however, several improvements vehicle capacity improvements may be considered to mitigate poor operating conditions at the intersection. The feasibility of the potential projects and its adverse impacts will require further study and coordination with the City of San Rafael and local community. Since the intersection is not part of a traffic fee program and intersection improvements have yet to be identified, the Project would result in a significant and unavoidable impact.

### 7.2.2.1 Queue Summary

A queue summary narrative is provided below to supplement the intersection operations analysis; however, results are not part of the significance criteria and the narrative provided is for informational purposes only. Detailed queue results tables are included in Appendix F.

Under Cumulative Conditions during the AM peak period, 95-percentile queue lengths exceed storage capacity under both Cumulative No Project and Plus Project conditions for the following movements at the Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersection: northbound left, northbound right, westbound left and eastbound through. Under both Cumulative conditions, queues for vehicles traveling eastbound at this intersection extend past upstream driveways. The addition of the Project increases eastbound queue lengths but does not substantially increase northbound or westbound queues during the AM peak period. During the PM peak period, the 95-percentile queue lengths for northbound movements exceed storage capacity under both No Project and Plus Project conditions; however, the addition of the Project does not substantially increase the queue length.

At the Lucas Valley Road/US 101 Southbound Ramps intersection, average queues for vehicles traveling from the off-ramp exceed the available storage capacity under both No Project and Plus Project conditions, thus spilling back onto mainline US 101, during both peak periods. During the AM peak period, average eastbound queues extend past the upstream intersection (i.e. Los Gamos Drive) during both No Project and Plus Project conditions, although the addition of the Project does not substantially increase eastbound queue lengths. During the PM peak period, westbound 95 -percentile vehicle queues extend past the upstream intersection (i.e. US 101 Northbound Ramps) under both No Project and Plus Project conditions; however, the addition of the Project does not substantially increase the queue length.

At the Lucas Valley Road/US 101 Northbound Ramps intersection, the queue for vehicles traveling northbound exceeds the available off-ramp storage length under both No Project and Plus Project conditions during both AM and PM peak periods, thereby spilling onto mainline US 101. During the AM peak period, the queue for vehicles traveling eastbound at this intersection exceeds the storage length under both No Project and Plus Project conditions past the upstream intersection (i.e. US 101 Southbound Ramps). However, the addition of the project does not substantially increase northbound or eastbound queue lengths.

Vehicle queues do not change substantially with the addition of the Project at the Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas Avenue and Smith Ranch Road/N Redwood Drive/Redwood Highway intersections.

### 7.2.2.2 Cumulative Conditions with Interchange Improvements

In 2003, Caltrans approved the PSR(PDS) for the US 101/Lucas Valley Interchange Improvement Project to relieve congestion at the existing interchange. The project defined include modifications to the interchange by replacing the existing southbound loop off-ramp with a new diagonal off-ramp on the north side of Lucas Valley Road, which would meet Los Gamos Drive as the fourth leg of the intersection. Additionally, the project would construct a new southbound US 101 loop on-ramp to serve traffic from westbound Smith Ranch Road, widen Lucas Valley Road the US 101 overpass, and add a second right turn lane at the existing northbound off-ramp. The existing southbound on-ramp on the south side of Lucas Valley Road would remain; however, the existing signal would be removed and the eastbound right turn lane would be changed to a free movement. Figure 7-3 illustrates the proposed interchange improvements.


Note: Conceptual illustration not to scale.
Source: Caltrans Approved Project Study Report (Project Development Support) for the Route 101 / Lucas Valley Interchange Improvement Project (2003)

The PSR(PDS) is not yet funded and was not identified in the San Rafael General Plan 2020; however, a supplementary cumulative analysis was completed for informational purposes, summarized below.

The Cumulative with $\operatorname{PSR}(P D S)$ Interchange Improvements were evaluated using the same methods described in Chapter 1. The Cumulative with $\operatorname{PSR}(P D S)$ Interchange Improvements analysis results are presented in Table 7-2, based on the cumulative traffic volumes presented in Figure 7-1 and Figure 7-2. Detailed LOS calculation worksheets are included in Appendix B and queue summary worksheets in

## Appendix F.

During the AM peak hour, Project traffic would result in an increase of more than five seconds in average delay, the threshold for a Project-related impact, at the Lucas Valley Road/Las Gallinas and Lucas Valley Road/Los Gamos Drive intersections. However, the remaining three intersections would operate at an acceptable LOS C or better. During the PM peak hour, the addition of Project traffic would increase delay; however, intersections would continue to operate at an acceptable LOS D or better.

Since the PSR/PDS is not yet funded and was not identified in the San Rafael General Plan 2020 the Project's impact to the proposed interchange is not assumed to be effected by the Significance Criteria set by the City of San Rafael. If the PSR/PDS is to be further considered, a Project Approval/Environmental Document (PA/ED) and further engineering studies must be completed, in which case the Project's contribution may be considered. However, the PSR/PDS has remained stagnant since the 2003 approval and no further studies have been completed.
TABLE 7-2: CUMULATIVE WITH INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS INTERSECTION LEVEL OF
SERVICE AND DELAY RESULTS

## TABLE 7-2: CUMULATIVE WITH INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY RESULTS

| Intersection | Intersection <br> Control | Time <br> Period | Cumulative No <br> Project |  | Cumulative Plus <br> Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{1}$ | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{1}$ |  |

Notes:

1. Bold denotes unacceptable level of service and delay.
2. The PSR configuration proposes a southbound US 101 diagonal off-ramp which would represent the fourth leg of the Los Gamos Drive / Lucas Valley Road intersection. A new southbound US 101 on-ramp from westbound Lucas Valley Road would be constructed as free loop on-ramp. The existing diagonal on-ramp for eastbound Lucas Valley Road traffic would remain and the intersection would become unsignalized and free.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

### 7.2.2.3 Signal Warrants

As described above, the City of San Rafael General Plan 2020 proposes to signalize the Lucas Valley Road / Los Gamos Drive intersection. Therefore, no study intersection remains unsignalized and needs to be evaluated as part of the signal warrant analysis.

### 7.2.3 Freeway Operations

Cumulative freeway conditions were evaluated using the same methods described in Chapter 1. The Cumulative conditions analysis results are presented in Table 7-3 and Table 7-4, for the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. Detailed freeway level of service calculation sheets are provided in Appendix D.

## TABLE 7-3: CUMULATIVE CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - AM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Segment } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative No Project |  | Cumulative Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 25.4 | C | 25.4 | C |
| Manuel T Freitas On / Redwood Highway On | Basic | 28.4 | D | 28.5 | D |
| Redwood Highway On | Merge | 22 | C | 22 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road Off | Basic | 21.1 | C | 21.2 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road Off / Lucas Road EB On | Basic | 23 | C | 23.3 | C |
| Lucas Road EB On / Smith Ranch Road WB On | Basic | 17.9 | B | 18.1 | C |
| Smith Ranch Road WB On | Merge | 20.1 | C | 20.3 | C |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 18.6 | C | 18.8 | C |
| Miller Creek On | Basic | 24 | C | 24.3 | C |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 26.4 | $F^{3}$ | 26.5 | $F^{3}$ |
| Miller Creek On | Merge | 36.0 | $F^{3}$ | 36.2 | $F^{3}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road Off | Basic | 23.9 | $F^{3}$ | 24.1 | $F^{3}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | Basic | 29.7 | $F^{3}$ | 29.7 | $F^{3}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road On | Merge | 35.7 | $F^{3}$ | 35.8 | $F^{3}$ |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | Basic | 35.9 | $F^{3}$ | 36.0 | $F^{3}$ |
| Manuel T Freitas Off | Diverge | 36.9 | $F^{3}$ | 37.0 | $F^{3}$ |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 28.3 | $F^{3}$ | 28.4 | $F^{3}$ |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane
2. Bold = unacceptable LOS
3. This segment operates in queue; however, the results reported in the analysis software does not match existing condition observations because the methodology accounts for the number of vehicles that are able to use the facility, not the number of vehicles that want to use the facility and are in queue (demand). The LOS results were revised to match existing observations.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017
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## TABLE 7-4: CUMULATIVE CONDITIONS FREEWAY DENSITY AND LOS - PM PEAK HOUR

| Segment | Segment Type | Cumulative No Project |  | Cumulative Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS $^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ (\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | LOS $^{2}$ |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 42.8 | E | 47.5 | F |
| Manuel T Freitas On / Redwood Highway On | Basic | 56.7 | F | 60.1 | F |
| Redwood Highway On | Merge | 31.4 | D | 31.9 | D |
| Smith Ranch Road Off | Basic | 34.3 | D | 35.4 | E |
| Smith Ranch Road Off / Lucas Road EB On | Basic | 28.7 | D | 29.3 | D |
| Lucas Road EB On / Smith Ranch Road WB On | Basic | 30.4 | D | 31.2 | D |
| Smith Ranch Road WB On | Merge | 31.6 | D | 32 | D |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 33.7 | D | 34.6 | D |
| Miller Creek On | Basic | 55.4 | F | 58.4 | F |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off | Basic | 27.4 | D | 28.3 | D |
| Miller Creek On | Merge | 30 | D | 30.6 | D |
| Lucas Valley Road Off | Basic | 20.9 | C | 21.4 | C |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | Basic | 26.4 | D | 27 | D |
| Lucas Valley Road On | Merge | 35.3 | E | 35.8 | E |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | Basic | 34.5 | D | 35.5 | E |
| Manuel T Freitas Off | Diverge | 36.1 | E | 36.5 | E |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | Basic | 28.2 | D | 28.9 | D |

Notes:

1. $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}=$ passenger car per mile per lane
2. Bold = unacceptable LOS

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

During the weekday AM peak hour, all of the freeway study segments operate at LOS E or better across both Cumulative scenarios, except southbound segments during the AM peak hour. As described under Existing conditions, the southbound segments currently operate in congestion due to a downstream bottleneck between the San Pedro on-ramp and Mission Avenue off-ramp. The addition of Project trips would contribute to the existing failing operations. The $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio calculation is summarized in Table 7-5.

| TABLE 7-5: CUMULATIVE CONDITIONS VOLUME TO CAPACITY (V/C) SUMMARY ${ }^{1}$ - AM PEAK HOUR |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment | Segment <br> Capacity ${ }^{1}$ | Cumulative No Project |  | Cumulative Plus Project |  |
|  |  | Volume ${ }^{2}$ | v/c | Volume ${ }^{2}$ | v/c ${ }^{3}$ |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Creek Off / Miller Creek On | 6,600 | 4,729 | 0.72 | 4,757 | 0.72 |
| Miller Creek On / Lucas Valley Road Off | 8,100 | 5,800 | 0.72 | 5,828 | 0.72 |
| Lucas Valley Road Off / Lucas Valley Road On | 6,600 | 5,188 | 0.79 | 5,188 | 0.79 |
| Lucas Valley Road On / Manuel T Freitas Off | 6,600 | 5,871 | 0.89 | 5,886 | 0.89 |
| Manuel T Freitas Off / Manuel T Freitas On | 6,600 | 5,003 | 0.76 | 5,018 | 0.76 |

Notes:

1. Summary based on mixed flow lanes only. High Occupancy Vehicles lane not included in analysis.
2. $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ calculation assumes the following capacities:

- Mixed Flow Lanes: 2,200 vehicles per lane
- Auxiliary Lanes: 1,500 vehicles per lane

3. The total volume reported does not account for the HOV volume.
4. Bold = Project contributes greater than $0.01 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ to the No Project condition resulting in a significant impact

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

As shown in Table 7-5 the Project would not increase the freeway's v/c ratio by 0.01 or more with the Project's contribution. Therefore, the Project results in a less than significant impact during the Cumulative Plus Project AM peak hour.

During the PM peak hour, all freeway study segments operate at LOS E or better across all Cumulative scenarios, except the following northbound segments:

- Manuel T Freitas Off to Manuel T Freitas On (degrades from LOS E to LOS F with the Project)
- Manuel T Freitas On to Redwood Highway On (operates at LOS F across all PM peak hour Cumulative scenarios)
- Miller Creek On (operates at LOS F across all PM peak hour Cumulative scenarios)

The Project's contribution to these segments are summarized in Table 7-6. As shown, the Projects addition to these segment is less than 1-percent of total traffic on the corridor. However, at the Miller Creek segment, the Project's contribution results in a v/c ratio increase of 0.01 , thereby resulting in significant impact, even though the Project's expected VMT per employee is less than the expected VMT per employee for the TAZ.

| TABLE 7-6: CUMULATIVE CONDITIONS VOLUME TO CAPACITY (V/C) SUMMARY¹ - PM PEAK |
| :--- |
| HOUR |

Notes:

1. Summary based on mixed flow lanes only. High Occupancy Vehicles lane not included in analysis.
2. $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ calculation assumes the following capacities:

- Mixed Flow Lanes: 2,200 vehicles per lane
- Auxiliary Lanes: 1,500 vehicles per lane

3. The total volume reported does not account for the HOV volume.
4. Bold = Project contributes greater than $0.01 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ to the No Project condition resulting in a significant impact

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017

Roadway improvements along US 101, within the Project vicinity, are neither planned nor funded. Thus, it is infeasible for the Project Sponsor to contribute its fair-share contribution. Caltrans IGR states that implementation of a TDM program could reduce VMT and the Project's impact to the regional network. Therefore, the Project Sponsor could implement a TDM Program, to be reviewed and approved by the City of San Rafael, with the goal of reducing the Project's employee vehicle trips and reducing the Project's impact on the regional network.

## Mitigation Measure TR-7. Project Sponsor shall identify and implement additional TDM measures (MM TR-2).

Implement MM TR-2: The Project Sponsor shall implement TDM measures currently under consideration and implemented at other nearby facilities, as described in the Project Description. These TDM elements would go beyond what is already required as part of the PD District with the goal of reducing employee vehicle trips and reducing the Project's impact on the regional network. The program will be submitted to the City of San Rafael for review, comment, and approval. If
coordination is required, the Project Sponsor will work with the City of San Rafael to implement the program.

Since details of the ultimate TDM program have not yet been defined, a quantitative analysis of the TDM program's effectiveness for reducing vehicle trips was not completed. Thus it is unknown if the reduction in vehicle trips would mitigate the Project's contribution to US 101 to less than significant. Therefore, even with implementation, the impact would remain significant and unavoidable.

### 7.3 BICYCLE \& PEDESTRIAN IMPACTS

Bicycling and pedestrian trips in the study area may increase as a result of the proposed Project and Cumulative growth, which may result in an increase in vehicle-bicycle-pedestrian conflicts at intersections in the study area. However, the proposed Project would not create potentially hazardous conditions for bicycles, pedestrians, or otherwise interfere with bicycle and pedestrian accessibility to the site and adjoining areas because the Project does not remove existing facilities and does not prohibit the construction of proposed future facilities as documented under the Cumulative Roadway Assumptions. Therefore, the Project's impact to bicycle and pedestrian facilities are considered less than significant and mitigations are not required under Cumulative Plus Project conditions.

### 7.4 TRANSIT IMPACTS

Transit trips in the study area may increase as a result of the Project. However, Project related transit trips would have no foreseeable impacts to transit operations because the Project would not likely generate enough transit demand to exceed the capacity of existing or planned transit service nor does it interfere with existing or future transit users. Therefore, the Project impacts to transit facilities are considered less than significant and mitigations are not required.
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## APPENDIX A: PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION COUNTS



File Name : 101 nb-smitch ranch-a Site Code : 4 Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | US 101 NB ON-RAMP <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD <br> Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 NB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 81 | 0 | 105 | 47 | 0 | 42 | 89 | 11 | 127 | 0 | 138 | 332 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 94 | 0 | 120 | 53 | 0 | 56 | 109 | 22 | 121 | 0 | 143 | 372 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 94 | 0 | 128 | 71 | 0 | 67 | 138 | 20 | 119 | 0 | 139 | 405 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 104 | 0 | 149 | 77 | 0 | 83 | 160 | 23 | 142 | 0 | 165 | 474 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 373 | 0 | 502 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 496 | 76 | 509 | 0 | 585 | 1583 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 83 | 0 | 129 | 92 | 0 | 126 | 218 | 29 | 169 | 0 | 198 | 545 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 79 | 0 | 124 | 106 | 0 | 117 | 223 | 38 | 165 | 0 | 203 | 550 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 75 | 0 | 110 | 105 | 0 | 118 | 223 | 43 | 163 | 0 | 206 | 539 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 72 | 0 | 114 | 121 | 0 | 92 | 213 | 35 | 187 | 0 | 222 | 549 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 309 | 0 | 477 | 424 | 0 | 453 | 877 | 145 | 684 | 0 | 829 | 2183 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 682 | 0 | 979 | 672 | 0 | 701 | 1373 | 221 | 1193 | 0 | 1414 | 3766 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 30.3 | 69.7 | 0 |  | 48.9 | 0 | 51.1 |  | 15.6 | 84.4 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.9 | 18.1 | 0 | 26 | 17.8 | 0 | 18.6 | 36.5 | 5.9 | 31.7 | 0 | 37.5 |  |


|  | US 101 NB ON-RAMP <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 NB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 83 | 0 | 129 | 92 | 0 | 126 | 218 | 29 | 169 | 0 | 198 | 545 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 79 | 0 | 124 | 106 | 0 | 117 | 223 | 38 | 165 | 0 | 203 | 550 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 75 | 0 | 110 | 105 | 0 | 118 | 223 | 43 | 163 | 0 | 206 | 539 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 72 | 0 | 114 | 121 | 0 | 92 | 213 | 35 | 187 | 0 | 222 | 549 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 309 | 0 | 477 | 424 | 0 | 453 | 877 | 145 | 684 | 0 | 829 | 2183 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 35.2 | 64.8 | 0 |  | 48.3 | 0 | 51.7 |  | 17.5 | 82.5 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 913 | . 931 | . 000 | . 924 | . 876 | . 000 | . 899 | . 983 | . 843 | . 914 | . 000 | 934 | . 992 |



File Name : 101 nb-smitch ranch-p
Site Code : 4
Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | US 101 NB ON-RAMP <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 NB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 149 | 0 | 262 | 104 | 0 | 81 | 185 | 67 | 75 | 0 | 142 | 589 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 149 | 0 | 251 | 99 | 0 | 95 | 194 | 32 | 79 | 0 | 111 | 556 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 158 | 0 | 274 | 86 | 0 | 110 | 196 | 49 | 72 | 0 | 121 | 591 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 151 | 0 | 262 | 125 | 0 | 107 | 232 | 40 | 84 | 0 | 124 | 618 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 607 | 0 | 1049 | 414 | 0 | 393 | 807 | 188 | 310 | 0 | 498 | 2354 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 200 | 0 | 344 | 77 | 0 | 114 | 191 | 77 | 76 | 0 | 153 | 688 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 137 | 0 | 237 | 100 | 0 | 108 | 208 | 55 | 83 | 0 | 138 | 583 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 147 | 0 | 241 | 88 | 0 | 93 | 181 | 51 | 59 | 0 | 110 | 532 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 104 | 0 | 179 | 91 | 0 | 104 | 195 | 42 | 70 | 0 | 112 | 486 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 413 | 588 | 0 | 1001 | 356 | 0 | 419 | 775 | 225 | 288 | 0 | 513 | 2289 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 855 | 1195 | 0 | 2050 | 770 | 0 | 812 | 1582 | 413 | 598 | 0 | 1011 | 4643 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0 |  | 48.7 | 0 | 51.3 |  | 40.9 | 59.1 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 25.7 | 0 | 44.2 | 16.6 | 0 | 17.5 | 34.1 | 8.9 | 12.9 | 0 | 21.8 |  |


|  | US 101 NB ON-RAMP <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 NB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1

| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 158 | 0 | 274 | 86 | 0 | 110 | 196 | 49 | 72 | 0 | 121 | 591 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 151 | 0 | 262 | 125 | 0 | 107 | 232 | 40 | 84 | 0 | 124 | 618 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 200 | 0 | 344 | 77 | 0 | 114 | 191 | 77 | 76 | 0 | 153 | 688 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 137 | 0 | 237 | 100 | 0 | 108 | 208 | 55 | 83 | 0 | 138 | 583 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 471 | 646 | 0 | 1117 | 388 | 0 | 439 | 827 | 221 | 315 | 0 | 536 | 2480 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 42.2 | 57.8 | 0 |  | 46.9 | 0 | 53.1 |  | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 818 | . 808 | . 000 | . 812 | . 776 | . 000 | . 963 | . 891 | . 718 | . 938 | . 000 | . 876 | . 901 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 16:30 <br> Vehicles Only |  |

mietekm@comcast.net
925.305.4358

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL
File Name : 101 sb-lucas valley-a Site Code : 3 Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | $0$ <br> Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 SB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 71 | 118 | 97 | 0 | 20 | 117 | 91 | 36 | 0 | 127 | 362 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 69 | 75 | 149 | 92 | 0 | 16 | 108 | 116 | 48 | 0 | 164 | 421 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 79 | 156 | 84 | 0 | 18 | 102 | 138 | 58 | 0 | 196 | 454 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 82 | 186 | 93 | 0 | 15 | 108 | 145 | 69 | 0 | 214 | 508 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 297 | 307 | 609 | 366 | 0 | 69 | 435 | 490 | 211 | 0 | 701 | 1745 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 68 | 206 | 114 | 0 | 32 | 146 | 127 | 89 | 0 | 216 | 568 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 74 | 207 | 112 | 0 | 28 | 140 | 131 | 87 | 0 | 218 | 565 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 66 | 203 | 124 | 0 | 22 | 146 | 141 | 75 | 0 | 216 | 565 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 62 | 159 | 127 | 0 | 36 | 163 | 119 | 94 | 0 | 213 | 535 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 505 | 270 | 775 | 477 | 0 | 118 | 595 | 518 | 345 | 0 | 863 | 2233 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 802 | 577 | 1384 | 843 | 0 | 187 | 1030 | 1008 | 556 | 0 | 1564 | 3978 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.4 | 57.9 | 41.7 |  | 81.8 | 0 | 18.2 |  | 64.5 | 35.5 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 20.2 | 14.5 | 34.8 | 21.2 | 0 | 4.7 | 25.9 | 25.3 | 14 | 0 | 39.3 |  |


|  | Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 SB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 68 | 206 | 114 | 0 | 32 | 146 | 127 | 89 | 0 | 216 | 568 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 74 | 207 | 112 | 0 | 28 | 140 | 131 | 87 | 0 | 218 | 565 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 66 | 203 | 124 | 0 | 22 | 146 | 141 | 75 | 0 | 216 | 565 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 62 | 159 | 127 | 0 | 36 | 163 | 119 | 94 | 0 | 213 | 535 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 505 | 270 | 775 | 477 | 0 | 118 | 595 | 518 | 345 | 0 | 863 | 2233 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 65.2 | 34.8 |  | 80.2 | 0 | 19.8 |  | 60 | 40 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 915 | . 912 | . 936 | . 939 | . 000 | . 819 | . 913 | . 918 | . 918 | . 000 | . 990 | . 983 |


mietekm@comcast.net
925.305.4358

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL
File Name : 101 sb-lucas valley-p Site Code : 3 Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | $0$ <br> Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 SB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 106 | 220 | 51 | 0 | 22 | 73 | 87 | 93 | 0 | 180 | 473 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 103 | 210 | 45 | 0 | 24 | 69 | 71 | 59 | 0 | 130 | 409 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 109 | 261 | 42 | 0 | 36 | 78 | 142 | 78 | 0 | 220 | 559 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 116 | 266 | 50 | 0 | 37 | 87 | 117 | 68 | 0 | 185 | 538 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 523 | 434 | 957 | 188 | 0 | 119 | 307 | 417 | 298 | 0 | 715 | 1979 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 169 | 329 | 61 | 0 | 28 | 89 | 100 | 101 | 0 | 201 | 619 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 118 | 249 | 53 | 0 | 40 | 93 | 86 | 73 | 0 | 159 | 501 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 118 | 243 | 32 | 0 | 42 | 74 | 110 | 79 | 0 | 189 | 506 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 79 | 226 | 49 | 0 | 29 | 78 | 102 | 51 | 0 | 153 | 457 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563 | 484 | 1047 | 195 | 0 | 139 | 334 | 398 | 304 | 0 | 702 | 2083 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1086 | 918 | 2004 | 383 | 0 | 258 | 641 | 815 | 602 | 0 | 1417 | 4062 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 54.2 | 45.8 |  | 59.8 | 0 | 40.2 |  | 57.5 | 42.5 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.7 | 22.6 | 49.3 | 9.4 | 0 | 6.4 | 15.8 | 20.1 | 14.8 | 0 | 34.9 |  |


|  | 0Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | US 101 SB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 109 | 261 | 42 | 0 | 36 | 78 | 142 | 78 | 0 | 220 | 559 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 116 | 266 | 50 | 0 | 37 | 87 | 117 | 68 | 0 | 185 | 538 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 169 | 329 | 61 | 0 | 28 | 89 | 100 | 101 | 0 | 201 | 619 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 118 | 249 | 53 | 0 | 40 | 93 | 86 | 73 | 0 | 159 | 501 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 512 | 1105 | 206 | 0 | 141 | 347 | 445 | 320 | 0 | 765 | 2217 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 53.7 | 46.3 |  | 59.4 | 0 | 40.6 |  | 58.2 | 41.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 927 | .757 | . 840 | . 844 | . 000 | . 881 | . 933 | 783 | . 792 | . 000 | . 869 | 895 |



File Name : las gallinas-lucas valley-a Site Code : 1 Start Date : 11/19/2015 Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 1 | 51 | 35 | 87 | 7 | 25 | 7 | 39 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 24 | 10 | 85 | 2 | 97 | 247 |
| 07:15 | 1 | 81 | 35 | 117 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 30 | 32 | 18 | 12 | 62 | 21 | 107 | 10 | 138 | 347 |
| 07:30 | 1 | 83 | 32 | 116 | 22 | 39 | 7 | 68 | 27 | 71 | 10 | 108 | 68 | 130 | 9 | 207 | 499 |
| 07:45 | 9 | 97 | 38 | 144 | 33 | 52 | 12 | 97 | 35 | 61 | 22 | 118 | 46 | 123 | 3 | 172 | 531 |
| Total | 12 | 312 | 140 | 464 | 70 | 133 | 31 | 234 | 106 | 157 | 49 | 312 | 145 | 445 | 24 | 614 | 1624 |
| 08:00 | 2 | 84 | 31 | 117 | 8 | 93 | 10 | 111 | 37 | 48 | 49 | 134 | 56 | 142 | 3 | 201 | 563 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 64 | 30 | 94 | 7 | 59 | 10 | 76 | 36 | 12 | 22 | 70 | 63 | 151 | 8 | 222 | 462 |
| 08:30 | 3 | 80 | 34 | 117 | 9 | 61 | 14 | 84 | 26 | 12 | 22 | 60 | 33 | 126 | 1 | 160 | 421 |
| 08:45 | 4 | 63 | 48 | 115 | 9 | 71 | 13 | 93 | 29 | 11 | 15 | 55 | 41 | 127 | 5 | 173 | 436 |
| Total | 9 | 291 | 143 | 443 | 33 | 284 | 47 | 364 | 128 | 83 | 108 | 319 | 193 | 546 | 17 | 756 | 1882 |
| Grand Total | 21 | 603 | 283 | 907 | 103 | 417 | 78 | 598 | 234 | 240 | 157 | 631 | 338 | 991 | 41 | 1370 | 3506 |
| Apprch \% | 2.3 | 66.5 | 31.2 |  | 17.2 | 69.7 | 13 |  | 37.1 | 38 | 24.9 |  | 24.7 | 72.3 | 3 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.6 | 17.2 | 8.1 | 25.9 | 2.9 | 11.9 | 2.2 | 17.1 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 18 | 9.6 | 28.3 | 1.2 | 39.1 |  |


|  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 | 1 | 83 | 32 | 116 | 22 | 39 | 7 | 68 | 27 | 71 | 10 | 108 | 68 | 130 | 9 | 207 | 499 |
| 07:45 | 9 | 97 | 38 | 144 | 33 | 52 | 12 | 97 | 35 | 61 | 22 | 118 | 46 | 123 | 3 | 172 | 531 |
| 08:00 | 2 | 84 | 31 | 117 | 8 | 93 | 10 | 111 | 37 | 48 | 49 | 134 | 56 | 142 | 3 | 201 | 563 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 64 | 30 | 94 | 7 | 59 | 10 | 76 | 36 | 12 | 22 | 70 | 63 | 151 | 8 | 222 | 462 |
| Total Volume | 12 | 328 | 131 | 471 | 70 | 243 | 39 | 352 | 135 | 192 | 103 | 430 | 233 | 546 | 23 | 802 | 2055 |
| \% App. Total | 2.5 | 69.6 | 27.8 |  | 19.9 | 69 | 11.1 |  | 31.4 | 44.7 | 24 |  | 29.1 | 68.1 | 2.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 333 | . 845 | . 862 | . 818 | . 530 | . 653 | . 813 | . 793 | . 912 | . 676 | . 526 | . 802 | . 857 | . 904 | . 639 | . 903 | 913 |



File Name : las gallinas-lucas valley-p Site Code : 1
Start Date : 11/19/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 5 | 17 | 8 | 30 | 11 | 89 | 21 | 121 | 18 | 21 | 31 | 70 | 16 | 75 | 5 | 96 | 317 |
| 16:15 | 1 | 20 | 12 | 33 | 8 | 78 | 17 | 103 | 23 | 42 | 27 | 92 | 25 | 82 | 8 | 115 | 343 |
| 16:30 | 8 | 37 | 16 | 61 | 12 | 91 | 21 | 124 | 18 | 39 | 34 | 91 | 12 | 59 | 7 | 78 | 354 |
| 16:45 | 12 | 26 | 14 | 52 | 21 | 107 | 18 | 146 | 22 | 21 | 25 | 68 | 31 | 82 | 5 | 118 | 384 |
| Total | 26 | 100 | 50 | 176 | 52 | 365 | 77 | 494 | 81 | 123 | 117 | 321 | 84 | 298 | 25 | 407 | 1398 |
| 17:00 | 5 | 23 | 5 | 33 | 8 | 84 | 22 | 114 | 24 | 31 | 35 | 90 | 19 | 99 | 5 | 123 | 360 |
| 17:15 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 34 | 17 | 103 | 27 | 147 | 27 | 27 | 24 | 78 | 34 | 88 | 4 | 126 | 385 |
| 17:30 | 7 | 22 | 7 | 36 | 11 | 94 | 38 | 143 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 72 | 20 | 84 | 5 | 109 | 360 |
| 17:45 | 10 | 19 | 9 | 38 | 12 | 81 | 22 | 115 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 73 | 17 | 48 | 2 | 67 | 293 |
| Total | 30 | 80 | 31 | 141 | 48 | 362 | 109 | 519 | 96 | 106 | 111 | 313 | 90 | 319 | 16 | 425 | 1398 |
| Grand Total | 56 | 180 | 81 | 317 | 100 | 727 | 186 | 1013 | 177 | 229 | 228 | 634 | 174 | 617 | 41 | 832 | 2796 |
| Apprch \% | 17.7 | 56.8 | 25.6 |  | 9.9 | 71.8 | 18.4 |  | 27.9 | 36.1 | 36 |  | 20.9 | 74.2 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 11.3 | 3.6 | 26 | 6.7 | 36.2 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 22.7 | 6.2 | 22.1 | 1.5 | 29.8 |  |


|  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  | LAS GALLINAS AVE Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:45 | 12 | 26 | 14 | 52 | 21 | 107 | 18 | 146 | 22 | 21 | 25 | 68 | 31 | 82 | 5 | 118 | 384 |
| 17:00 | 5 | 23 | 5 | 33 | 8 | 84 | 22 | 114 | 24 | 31 | 35 | 90 | 19 | 99 | 5 | 123 | 360 |
| 17:15 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 34 | 17 | 103 | 27 | 147 | 27 | 27 | 24 | 78 | 34 | 88 | 4 | 126 | 385 |
| 17:30 | 7 | 22 | 7 | 36 | 11 | 94 | 38 | 143 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 72 | 20 | 84 | 5 | 109 | 360 |
| Total Volume | 32 | 87 | 36 | 155 | 57 | 388 | 105 | 550 | 93 | 104 | 111 | 308 | 104 | 353 | 19 | 476 | 1489 |
| \% App. Total | 20.6 | 56.1 | 23.2 |  | 10.4 | 70.5 | 19.1 |  | 30.2 | 33.8 | 36 |  | 21.8 | 74.2 | 4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 667 | . 837 | . 643 | . 745 | . 679 | . 907 | . 691 | . 935 | . 861 | . 839 | . 793 | . 856 | 765 | . 891 | . 950 | 944 | 967 |



## TRAFFIC COUNTS PLUS

mietekm@comcast.net
925.305.4358

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL
File Name : los gamos-lucas valley-a
Site Code : 2
Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | 0 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | LOS GAMOS DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | U-turn | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 31 | 0 | 64 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 8 | 107 | 0 | 115 | 205 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 1 | 85 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 16 | 150 | 0 | 166 | 272 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 32 | 0 | 105 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 15 | 163 | 0 | 178 | 313 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 30 | 0 | 121 | 26 | 0 | 7 | 33 | 19 | 197 | 0 | 216 | 370 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 129 | 1 | 375 | 89 | 0 | 21 | 110 | 58 | 617 | 0 | 675 | 1160 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 60 | 1 | 177 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 21 | 183 | 0 | 204 | 408 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 62 | 0 | 155 | 25 | 0 | 6 | 31 | 30 | 197 | 0 | 227 | 413 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 61 | 0 | 153 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 19 | 194 | 0 | 213 | 396 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 56 | 0 | 142 | 32 | 0 | 6 | 38 | 31 | 186 | 0 | 217 | 397 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 387 | 239 | 1 | 627 | 107 | 0 | 19 | 126 | 101 | 760 | 0 | 861 | 1614 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 632 | 368 | 2 | 1002 | 196 | 0 | 40 | 236 | 159 | 1377 | 0 | 1536 | 2774 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 63.1 | 36.7 | 0.2 |  | 83.1 | 0 | 16.9 |  | 10.4 | 89.6 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.8 | 13.3 | 0.1 | 36.1 | 7.1 | 0 | 1.4 | 8.5 | 5.7 | 49.6 | 0 | 55.4 |  |


|  | 0 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | LOS GAMOS DR Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | U-turn | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45-Peak 1 of 1


mietekm@comcast.net
925.305.4358

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL
File Name : los gamos-lucas valley-p
Site Code : 2
Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | 0 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | LOS GAMOS DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | U-turn | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 31 | 1 | 137 | 51 | 0 | 8 | 59 | 8 | 121 | 0 | 129 | 325 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 31 | 0 | 131 | 44 | 0 | 8 | 52 | 7 | 109 | 0 | 116 | 299 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 53 | 1 | 190 | 59 | 0 | 6 | 65 | 3 | 141 | 0 | 144 | 399 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 47 | 0 | 186 | 55 | 0 | 9 | 64 | 5 | 137 | 0 | 142 | 392 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 162 | 2 | 644 | 209 | 0 | 31 | 240 | 23 | 508 | 0 | 531 | 1415 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 37 | 0 | 186 | 77 | 0 | 16 | 93 | 10 | 122 | 0 | 132 | 411 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 35 | 0 | 170 | 58 | 0 | 14 | 72 | 9 | 105 | 0 | 114 | 356 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 26 | 0 | 160 | 58 | 0 | 3 | 61 | 5 | 113 | 0 | 118 | 339 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 35 | 0 | 173 | 45 | 0 | 9 | 54 | 9 | 101 | 0 | 110 | 337 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 556 | 133 | 0 | 689 | 238 | 0 | 42 | 280 | 33 | 441 | 0 | 474 | 1443 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1036 | 295 | 2 | 1333 | 447 | 0 | 73 | 520 | 56 | 949 | 0 | 1005 | 2858 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 77.7 | 22.1 | 0.2 |  | 86 | 0 | 14 |  | 5.6 | 94.4 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.2 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 46.6 | 15.6 | 0 | 2.6 | 18.2 | 2 | 33.2 | 0 | 35.2 |  |


|  | 0 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | LOS GAMOS DR Northbound |  |  |  | LUCAS VALLEY RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | U-turn | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45 - Peak 1 of 1



## TRAFFIC COUNTS PLUS

mietekm@comcast.net
925.305.4358

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL
File Name : redwood-smith ranch-a Site Code : 5 Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Westbound |  |  |  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 5 |  | 0 | 6 | 1 | 84 | 19 | 104 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 19 | 99 | 43 | 29 | 171 | 300 |
| 07:15 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 101 | 12 | 115 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 21 | 95 | 42 | 32 | 169 | 308 |
| 07:30 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 106 | 24 | 132 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 17 | 82 | 63 | 38 | 183 | 342 |
| 07:45 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 114 | 34 | 151 | 4 | 5 | 31 | 40 | 107 | 67 | 37 | 211 | 406 |
| Total | 15 | 7 | 1 | 23 | 8 | 405 | 89 | 502 | 14 | 6 | 77 | 97 | 383 | 215 | 136 | 734 | 1356 |
| 08:00 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 100 | 15 | 116 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 31 | 128 | 79 | 36 | 243 | 398 |
| 08:15 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 89 | 20 | 112 | 7 | 1 | 26 | 34 | 128 | 91 | 44 | 263 | 418 |
| 08:30 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 75 | 18 | 94 | 7 | 2 | 26 | 35 | 114 | 86 | 43 | 243 | 382 |
| 08:45 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 80 | 21 | 106 | 10 | 3 | 19 | 32 | 149 | 116 | 39 | 304 | 462 |
| Total | 32 | 9 | 6 | 47 | 10 | 344 | 74 | 428 | 32 | 6 | 94 | 132 | 519 | 372 | 162 | 1053 | 1660 |
| Grand Total | 47 | 16 | 7 | 70 | 18 | 749 | 163 | 930 | 46 | 12 | 171 | 229 | 902 | 587 | 298 | 1787 | 3016 |
| Apprch \% | 67.1 | 22.9 | 10 |  | 1.9 | 80.5 | 17.5 |  | 20.1 | 5.2 | 74.7 |  | 50.5 | 32.8 | 16.7 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 24.8 | 5.4 | 30.8 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 7.6 | 29.9 | 19.5 | 9.9 | 59.3 |  |


|  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Westbound |  |  |  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45 - Peak 1 of 1


mietekm@comcast.net
925.305.4358

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL
File Name : redwood-smith ranch-p Site Code : 5 Start Date : 11/18/2015
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Vehicles Only

|  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Westbound |  |  |  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 55 | 3 | 1 | 59 | 7 | 100 | 13 | 120 | 21 | 1 | 103 | 125 | 49 | 116 | 13 | 178 | 482 |
| 16:15 | 54 | 1 | , | 56 | 8 | 121 | 8 | 137 | 13 | 1 | 74 | 88 | 37 | 113 | 20 | 170 | 451 |
| 16:30 | 53 | 2 | 4 | 59 | 3 | 129 | 6 | 138 | 21 | 3 | 90 | 114 | 40 | 90 | 24 | 154 | 465 |
| 16:45 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 129 | 14 | 145 | 16 | 1 | 93 | 110 | 51 | 133 | 20 | 204 | 505 |
| Total | 205 | 9 | 6 | 220 | 20 | 479 | 41 | 540 | 71 | 6 | 360 | 437 | 177 | 452 | 77 | 706 | 1903 |
| 17:00 | 46 | 2 | 3 | 51 | 3 | 160 | 5 | 168 | 24 | 5 | 139 | 168 | 29 | 116 | 11 | 156 | 543 |
| 17:15 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 112 | 6 | 121 | 21 | 1 | 92 | 114 | 34 | 131 | 13 | 178 | 443 |
| 17:30 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 4 | 120 | 7 | 131 | 13 | 0 | 69 | 82 | 26 | 114 | 7 | 147 | 406 |
| 17:45 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 95 | 6 | 104 | 16 | 1 | 59 | 76 | 37 | 98 | 14 | 149 | 349 |
| Total | 139 | 4 | 4 | 147 | 13 | 487 | 24 | 524 | 74 | 7 | 359 | 440 | 126 | 459 | 45 | 630 | 1741 |
| Grand Total | 344 | 13 | 10 | 367 | 33 | 966 | 65 | 1064 | 145 | 13 | 719 | 877 | 303 | 911 | 122 | 1336 | 3644 |
| Apprch \% | 93.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 |  | 3.1 | 90.8 | 6.1 |  | 16.5 | 1.5 | 82 |  | 22.7 | 68.2 | 9.1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 9.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 26.5 | 1.8 | 29.2 | 4 | 0.4 | 19.7 | 24.1 | 8.3 | 25 | 3.3 | 36.7 |  |


|  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Southbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD Westbound |  |  |  | REDWOOD HWY <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SMITH RANCH RD <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:15

| 16:15 | 54 | 1 | 1 | 56 | 8 | 121 | 8 | 137 | 13 | 1 | 74 | 88 | 37 | 113 | 20 | 170 | 451 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:30 | 53 | 2 | 4 | 59 | 3 | 129 | 6 | 138 | 21 | 3 | 90 | 114 | 40 | 90 | 24 | 154 | 465 |
| 16:45 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 129 | 14 | 145 | 16 | 1 | 93 | 110 | 51 | 133 | 20 | 204 | 505 |
| 17:00 | 46 | 2 | 3 | 51 | 3 | 160 | 5 | 168 | 24 | 5 | 139 | 168 | 29 | 116 | 11 | 156 | 543 |
| Total Volume | 196 | 8 | 8 | 212 | 16 | 539 | 33 | 588 | 74 | 10 | 396 | 480 | 157 | 452 | 75 | 684 | 1964 |
| \% App. Total | 92.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 |  | 2.7 | 91.7 | 5.6 |  | 15.4 | 2.1 | 82.5 |  | 23 | 66.1 | 11 |  |  |
| PHF | . 907 | . 667 | . 500 | . 898 | . 500 | . 842 | . 589 | . 875 | . 771 | . 500 | . 712 | . 714 | . 770 | . 850 | . 781 | . 838 | . 904 |



## APPENDIX B: DETAILED INTERSECTION LOS RESULTS



SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Existing
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 108 | 109 | 100.9\% | 31.1 | 5.4 | C |
|  | Through | 83 | 82 | 98.2\% | 28.1 | 6.2 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 128 | 130 | 101.2\% | 3.0 | 1.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 319 | 320 | 100.3\% | 18.5 | 2.4 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 143 | 146 | 102.4\% | 37.7 | 10.2 | D |
|  | Through | 291 | 293 | 100.6\% | 28.9 | 8.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 9 | 10 | 110.0\% | 5.2 | 6.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 443 | 449 | 101.4\% | 31.3 | 8.3 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 17 | 16 | 92.9\% | 34.3 | 9.5 | C |
|  | Through | 546 | 556 | 101.8\% | 25.9 | 2.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 193 | 192 | 99.5\% | 8.7 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 756 | 763 | 101.0\% | 21.5 | 2.1 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 47 | 47 | 98.9\% | 37.4 | 6.2 | D |
|  | Through | 284 | 297 | 104.4\% | 14.7 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 33 | 33 | 99.1\% | 2.2 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 364 | 376 | 103.2\% | 16.2 | 2.9 | B |
| Total |  | 1,882 | 1,908 | 101.4\% | 22.4 | 2.7 | C |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 19 | 20 | 103.7\% | 67.5 | 87.4 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 107 | 107 | 99.9\% | 2.3 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 126 | 127 | 100.5\% | 17.3 | 28.3 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 760 | 772 | 101.6\% | 3.9 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 101 | 105 | 104.0\% | 3.0 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 861 | 877 | 101.8\% | 3.8 | 0.5 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 239 | 248 | 103.8\% | 13.0 | 3.7 | B |
|  | Through | 387 | 405 | 104.7\% | 1.9 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 626 | 653 | 104.3\% | 6.4 | 2.0 | A |
| Total |  | 1,613 | 1,657 | 102.7\% | 5.7 | 2.4 | A |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 118 | 117 | 98.8\% | 31.1 | 6.4 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 480 | 478 | 99.6\% | 16.5 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 598 | 595 | 99.5\% | 19.4 | 2.3 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 349 | 350 | 100.3\% | 31.7 | 6.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 518 | 529 | 102.1\% | 15.4 | 3.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 867 | 879 | 101.4\% | 22.1 | 3.0 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 266 | 251 | 94.4\% | 17.2 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Through | 508 | 539 | 106.0\% | 2.6 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 774 | 790 | 102.1\% | 7.3 | 1.3 | A |
| Total |  | 2,239 | 2,264 | 101.1\% | 16.3 | 2.1 | B |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 460 | 471 | 102.4\% | 51.8 | 17.6 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 424 | 425 | 100.3\% | 9.1 | 7.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 884 | 896 | 101.4\% | 32.1 | 13.9 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 684 | 686 | 100.3\% | 15.7 | 5.8 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 145 | 141 | 97.4\% | 9.4 | 3.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 829 | 827 | 99.8\% | 14.7 | 5.4 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 314 | 320 | 101.9\% | 7.4 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 168 | 171 | 101.7\% | 0.6 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 482 | 491 | 101.8\% | 5.1 | 1.1 | A |
| Total |  | 2,195 | 2,214 | 100.9\% | 19.7 | 6.8 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| Direction |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 94 | 93 | 99.0\% | 14.3 | 3.0 | B |
|  | Through | 6 | 6 | 103.3\% | 7.6 | 7.8 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 32 | 34 | 105.9\% | 0.2 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 132 | 133 | 100.9\% | 11.0 | 2.4 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 6 | 5 | 88.3\% | 14.5 | 13.8 | B |
|  | Through | 9 | 9 | 98.9\% | 20.6 | 8.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 32 | 34 | 105.9\% | 0.3 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 47 | 48 | 102.3\% | 6.2 | 3.2 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 162 | 163 | 100.6\% | 16.0 | 3.4 | B |
|  | Through | 372 | 380 | 102.1\% | 8.8 | 2.0 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 519 | 516 | 99.5\% | 5.2 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,053 | 1,059 | 100.6\% | 8.1 | 1.3 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 74 | 69 | 93.4\% | 17.5 | 4.5 | B |
|  | Through | 356 | 363 | 101.9\% | 12.9 | 1.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 10 | 11 | 107.0\% | 4.9 | 3.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 440 | 443 | 100.6\% | 13.4 | 1.4 | B |
| Total |  | 1,672 | 1,683 | 100.7\% | 9.7 | 1.1 | A |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 118 | 120 | 101.8\% | 18.1 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Through | 118 | 117 | 98.9\% | 16.1 | 3.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 91 | 92 | 100.7\% | 1.9 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 327 | 328 | 100.4\% | 12.8 | 2.2 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 45 | 44 | 98.7\% | 21.9 | 3.7 | C |
|  | Through | 102 | 100 | 98.0\% | 18.8 | 2.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 33 | 33 | 99.7\% | 1.4 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 180 | 177 | 98.5\% | 16.3 | 1.8 | B |
| EB | Left Turn | 21 | 18 | 87.1\% | 23.9 | 9.1 | C |
|  | Through | 337 | 336 | 99.7\% | 16.6 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 96 | 90 | 93.8\% | 3.4 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 454 | 444 | 97.9\% | 14.3 | 1.7 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 88 | 90 | 102.4\% | 23.1 | 5.4 | C |
|  | Through | 385 | 389 | 101.0\% | 12.7 | 1.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 58 | 59 | 102.4\% | 2.6 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 531 | 538 | 101.4\% | 13.1 | 1.6 | B |
| Total |  | 1,492 | 1,488 | 99.8\% | 13.8 | 1.4 | B |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 45 | 47 | 105.1\% | 29.0 | 10.7 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 257 | 255 | 99.1\% | 3.6 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 302 | 302 | 100.0\% | 8.3 | 2.5 | A |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 514 | 519 | 101.0\% | 2.4 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 27 | 28 | 102.2\% | 1.9 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 541 | 547 | 101.0\% | 2.3 | 0.2 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 173 | 171 | 98.8\% | 6.7 | 1.6 | A |
|  | Through | 559 | 562 | 100.6\% | 1.3 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 732 | 733 | 100.2\% | 2.6 | 0.6 | A |
| Total |  | 1,575 | 1,582 | 100.4\% | 3.5 | 0.7 | A |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 141 | 135 | 95.5\% | 31.6 | 4.5 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 210 | 213 | 101.2\% | 8.0 | 3.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 351 | 347 | 98.9\% | 17.6 | 3.8 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 326 | 327 | 100.2\% | 33.5 | 9.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 445 | 446 | 100.2\% | 19.8 | 2.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 771 | 773 | 100.2\% | 25.7 | 5.0 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 512 | 521 | 101.7\% | 39.9 | 3.6 | D |
|  | Through | 591 | 600 | 101.5\% | 3.5 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,103 | 1,120 | 101.6\% | 19.8 | 2.5 | B |
| Total |  | 2,225 | 2,240 | 100.7\% | 21.6 | 2.1 | C |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 446 | 440 | 98.6\% | 28.1 | 6.5 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 388 | 388 | 99.9\% | 4.1 | 2.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 834 | 828 | 99.2\% | 17.2 | 5.2 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 315 | 322 | 102.2\% | 9.0 | 1.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 221 | 217 | 98.4\% | 5.9 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 536 | 539 | 100.6\% | 7.8 | 1.0 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 657 | 678 | 103.2\% | 15.0 | 4.2 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 471 | 462 | 98.0\% | 1.1 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,128 | 1,140 | 101.0\% | 9.6 | 2.7 | A |
| Total |  | 2,498 | 2,507 | 100.3\% | 11.6 | 3.1 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 419 | 412 | 98.4\% | 13.7 | 1.6 | B |
|  | Through | 10 | 12 | 121.0\% | 9.7 | 7.2 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 82 | 82 | 100.2\% | 0.7 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 511 | 506 | 99.1\% | 11.5 | 1.5 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 7 | 7 | 97.1\% | 23.8 | 18.7 | C |
|  | Through | 9 | 10 | 112.2\% | 22.3 | 10.2 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 170 | 167 | 98.1\% | 1.1 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 186 | 184 | 98.7\% | 3.4 | 1.8 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 68 | 66 | 96.5\% | 23.4 | 4.2 | C |
|  | Through | 470 | 474 | 100.9\% | 12.2 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 154 | 160 | 104.2\% | 3.0 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 692 | 700 | 101.2\% | 11.2 | 1.4 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 31 | 31 | 101.3\% | 27.3 | 5.7 | C |
|  | Through | 539 | 560 | 103.8\% | 16.0 | 2.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 11 | 11 | 103.6\% | 10.7 | 7.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 581 | 602 | 103.7\% | 16.4 | 2.2 | B |
| Total |  | 1,970 | 1,992 | 101.1\% | 12.2 | 1.1 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 108 | 104 | 96.4\% | 29.4 | 8.1 | C |
|  | Through | 83 | 83 | 100.4\% | 27.6 | 8.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 132 | 134 | 101.7\% | 3.0 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 323 | 322 | 99.6\% | 18.2 | 5.2 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 145 | 147 | 101.1\% | 36.3 | 8.1 | D |
|  | Through | 291 | 287 | 98.7\% | 28.7 | 6.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 9 | 8 | 91.1\% | 5.4 | 7.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 445 | 442 | 99.3\% | 30.8 | 7.0 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 17 | 17 | 101.2\% | 44.6 | 17.0 | D |
|  | Through | 557 | 562 | 100.8\% | 25.6 | 2.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 193 | 187 | 97.0\% | 8.6 | 2.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 767 | 766 | 99.9\% | 21.5 | 2.8 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 48 | 45 | 94.0\% | 34.1 | 7.9 | C |
|  | Through | 287 | 289 | 100.7\% | 13.9 | 2.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 34 | 33 | 96.5\% | 2.1 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 369 | 367 | 99.4\% | 16.1 | 3.7 | B |
| Total |  | 1,904 | 1,897 | 99.6\% | 22.1 | 3.3 | C |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 24 | 22 | 91.7\% | 200.2 | 152.5 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 168 | 158 | 94.0\% | 9.2 | 18.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 192 | 180 | 93.8\% | 36.5 | 40.1 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 760 | 769 | 101.2\% | 4.7 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 118 | 121 | 102.1\% | 3.4 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 878 | 890 | 101.4\% | 4.5 | 0.5 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 437 | 440 | 100.6\% | 31.9 | 13.4 | D |
|  | Through | 387 | 383 | 99.0\% | 7.6 | 7.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 824 | 823 | 99.9\% | 20.6 | 11.1 | C |
| Total |  | 1,894 | 1,893 | 99.9\% | 14.7 | 6.7 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 221 | 227 | 102.5\% | 57.3 | 18.1 | E |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 480 | 491 | 102.3\% | 36.4 | 16.5 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 701 | 717 | 102.3\% | 42.8 | 17.4 | D |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 384 | 387 | 100.7\% | 31.2 | 3.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 544 | 541 | 99.4\% | 15.8 | 1.5 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 928 | 928 | 99.9\% | 22.6 | 1.7 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 266 | 250 | 94.1\% | 18.3 | 5.6 | B |
|  | Through | 603 | 595 | 98.7\% | 6.7 | 8.6 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 869 | 845 | 97.3\% | 10.3 | 7.4 | B |
| Total |  | 2,498 | 2,490 | 99.7\% | 24.2 | 5.9 | C |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 546 | 524 | 96.0\% | 142.0 | 35.1 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 424 | 389 | 91.6\% | 94.4 | 34.8 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 970 | 912 | 94.1\% | 122.1 | 35.1 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 687 | 702 | 102.2\% | 19.2 | 3.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 177 | 176 | 99.3\% | 12.2 | 2.6 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 864 | 878 | 101.6\% | 17.7 | 3.5 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 323 | 323 | 99.9\% | 7.1 | 1.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 168 | 174 | 103.7\% | 0.5 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 491 | 497 | 101.2\% | 5.1 | 0.8 | A |
| Total |  | 2,325 | 2,287 | 98.4\% | 55.9 | 13.3 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 94 | 92 | 98.1\% | 12.2 | 2.8 | B |
|  | Through | 6 | 6 | 101.7\% | 10.4 | 8.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 32 | 37 | 115.0\% | 0.2 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 132 | 135 | 102.3\% | 9.5 | 1.7 | A |
| SB | Left Turn | 6 | 7 | 108.3\% | 17.8 | 17.5 | B |
|  | Through | 9 | 9 | 103.3\% | 22.6 | 10.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 32 | 34 | 104.7\% | 0.4 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 47 | 49 | 104.9\% | 8.1 | 3.9 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 162 | 155 | 95.4\% | 15.7 | 3.0 | B |
|  | Through | 375 | 370 | 98.8\% | 8.4 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 519 | 505 | 97.3\% | 6.0 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,056 | 1,030 | 97.5\% | 8.3 | 0.9 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 74 | 69 | 93.0\% | 18.8 | 3.0 | B |
|  | Through | 365 | 370 | 101.5\% | 12.6 | 1.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 10 | 10 | 97.0\% | 5.3 | 7.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 449 | 449 | 100.0\% | 13.3 | 1.7 | B |
| Total |  | 1,684 | 1,663 | 98.8\% | 9.8 | 1.1 | A |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 118 | 120 | 101.7\% | 18.3 | 3.5 | B |
|  | Through | 118 | 119 | 101.0\% | 17.1 | 4.1 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 94 | 95 | 100.5\% | 2.0 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 330 | 334 | 101.1\% | 13.2 | 2.5 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 46 | 45 | 97.8\% | 23.0 | 4.1 | C |
|  | Through | 102 | 105 | 102.9\% | 19.4 | 3.2 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 33 | 32 | 96.1\% | 1.5 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 181 | 182 | 100.4\% | 17.4 | 2.3 | B |
| EB | Left Turn | 21 | 22 | 103.8\% | 26.7 | 5.5 | C |
|  | Through | 344 | 344 | 100.0\% | 16.1 | 2.2 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 96 | 99 | 103.1\% | 3.6 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 461 | 465 | 100.8\% | 13.9 | 1.8 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 94 | 92 | 97.3\% | 22.9 | 2.8 | C |
|  | Through | 401 | 411 | 102.5\% | 12.4 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 61 | 61 | 100.7\% | 2.5 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 556 | 564 | 101.4\% | 12.9 | 1.7 | B |
| Total |  | 1,528 | 1,544 | 101.1\% | 13.8 | 1.6 | B |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 71 | 65 | 91.7\% | 101.4 | 107.2 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 555 | 539 | 97.2\% | 59.2 | 101.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 626 | 604 | 96.5\% | 64.1 | 101.2 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 514 | 508 | 98.9\% | 34.2 | 61.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 38 | 37 | 97.6\% | 20.6 | 44.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 552 | 545 | 98.8\% | 33.4 | 60.5 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 299 | 306 | 102.2\% | 11.2 | 2.8 | B |
|  | Through | 559 | 577 | 103.2\% | 1.7 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 858 | 882 | 102.8\% | 5.0 | 1.0 | A |
| Total |  | 2,036 | 2,032 | 99.8\% | 30.1 | 43.5 | D |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3
US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 207 | 216 | 104.3\% | 37.3 | 9.4 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 210 | 209 | 99.4\% | 11.1 | 4.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 417 | 425 | 101.8\% | 24.5 | 7.7 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 494 | 479 | 96.9\% | 120.0 | 88.2 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 575 | 569 | 99.0\% | 80.9 | 73.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,069 | 1,048 | 98.1\% | 98.9 | 80.8 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 512 | 502 | 98.0\% | 38.6 | 4.1 | D |
|  | Through | 651 | 666 | 102.2\% | 3.8 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,163 | 1,168 | 100.4\% | 19.3 | 2.2 | B |
| Total |  | 2,649 | 2,640 | 99.7\% | 50.8 | 29.2 | D |


| Intersection 4 |  | US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 501 | 499 | 99.5\% | 24.3 | 4.0 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 388 | 390 | 100.5\% | 3.4 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 889 | 889 | 99.9\% | 14.8 | 3.1 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 328 | 325 | 98.9\% | 10.3 | 1.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 377 | 364 | 96.4\% | 7.1 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 705 | 688 | 97.6\% | 8.7 | 0.9 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 662 | 670 | 101.3\% | 20.4 | 5.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 471 | 474 | 100.5\% | 1.2 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,133 | 1,144 | 101.0\% | 12.5 | 3.2 | B |
| Total |  | 2,727 | 2,720 | 99.8\% | 12.3 | 1.4 | B |

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 419 | 425 | 101.5\% | 13.3 | 1.1 | B |
|  | Through | 10 | 8 | 84.0\% | 8.3 | 6.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 82 | 84 | 102.7\% | 0.7 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 511 | 518 | 101.3\% | 11.2 | 1.0 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 7 | 9 | 121.4\% | 20.6 | 14.9 | C |
|  | Through | 9 | 9 | 95.6\% | 17.9 | 13.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 170 | 174 | 102.1\% | 1.8 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 186 | 191 | 102.5\% | 3.7 | 1.9 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 68 | 66 | 97.2\% | 22.1 | 3.3 | C |
|  | Through | 483 | 478 | 99.0\% | 12.1 | 2.2 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 154 | 156 | 101.0\% | 3.1 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 705 | 700 | 99.3\% | 11.0 | 1.6 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 31 | 31 | 98.4\% | 27.8 | 3.5 | C |
|  | Through | 544 | 544 | 100.0\% | 17.0 | 4.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 11 | 11 | 101.8\% | 13.1 | 10.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 586 | 586 | 100.0\% | 17.5 | 3.9 | B |
| Total |  | 1,988 | 1,994 | 100.3\% | 12.2 | 1.9 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 97 | 94 | 97.0\% | 34.8 | 4.7 | C |
|  | Through | 189 | 188 | 99.2\% | 28.1 | 4.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 138 | 140 | 101.4\% | 6.6 | 2.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 424 | 422 | 99.4\% | 22.9 | 2.9 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 208 | 150 | 71.9\% | 223.4 | 29.6 | F |
|  | Through | 395 | 282 | 71.4\% | 200.6 | 18.0 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 20 | 13 | 67.0\% | 178.9 | 72.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 623 | 445 | 71.4\% | 208.8 | 22.5 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 32 | 81.0\% | 123.7 | 19.2 | F |
|  | Through | 729 | 596 | 81.8\% | 107.7 | 15.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 366 | 310 | 84.6\% | 88.0 | 12.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,135 | 938 | 82.7\% | 101.7 | 14.4 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 67 | 55 | 81.9\% | 46.5 | 10.0 | D |
|  | Through | 290 | 253 | 87.2\% | 16.5 | 3.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 82 | 74 | 90.1\% | 2.4 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 439 | 382 | 87.0\% | 17.6 | 3.1 | B |
| Total |  | 2,621 | 2,186 | 83.4\% | 94.4 | 7.4 | F |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 19 | 20 | 103.7\% | 240.7 | 185.1 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 123 | 121 | 98.7\% | 47.4 | 99.6 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 142 | 141 | 99.4\% | 66.5 | 111.2 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 900 | 736 | 81.8\% | 48.6 | 41.9 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 214 | 177 | 82.6\% | 43.7 | 38.1 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 1,114 | 913 | 81.9\% | 47.6 | 41.2 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 494 | 428 | 86.6\% | 43.7 | 28.0 | E |
|  | Through | 477 | 404 | 84.7\% | 17.4 | 16.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 971 | 832 | 85.6\% | 31.1 | 22.4 | D |
| Total |  | 2,227 | 1,885 | 84.7\% | 44.1 | 24.5 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 196 | 173 | 88.1\% | 76.7 | 28.6 | E |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 631 | 558 | 88.4\% | 52.7 | 10.2 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 827 | 730 | 88.3\% | 58.9 | 15.1 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 471 | 383 | 81.3\% | 129.3 | 72.6 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 552 | 466 | 84.3\% | 68.0 | 47.4 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 1,023 | 849 | 83.0\% | 97.1 | 60.1 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 247 | 244 | 98.7\% | 20.4 | 8.1 | C |
|  | Through | 775 | 661 | 85.3\% | 17.2 | 22.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,022 | 904 | 88.5\% | 17.9 | 17.8 | B |
| Total |  | 2,872 | 2,484 | 86.5\% | 57.5 | 25.1 | E |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 630 | 513 | 81.4\% | 88.6 | 17.3 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 411 | 328 | 79.8\% | 33.5 | 6.6 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,041 | 841 | 80.8\% | 67.2 | 12.8 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 977 | 841 | 86.1\% | 47.3 | 15.8 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 125 | 99 | 79.0\% | 34.2 | 14.6 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,102 | 940 | 85.3\% | 45.8 | 15.9 | D |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 392 | 396 | 101.0\% | 11.2 | 4.8 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 183 | 184 | 100.8\% | 0.5 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 575 | 580 | 100.9\% | 7.9 | 3.4 | A |
| Total |  | 2,718 | 2,361 | 86.9\% | 43.9 | 3.7 | D |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

| Direction | Movement | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Demand | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  | Volume (vph) | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 135 | 134 | 99.6\% | 15.1 | 3.9 | B |
|  | Through | 12 | 14 | 113.3\% | 18.0 | 6.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 59 | 63 | 107.3\% | 0.4 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 206 | 211 | 102.6\% | 11.5 | 3.2 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 6 | 6 | 105.0\% | 22.2 | 10.2 | C |
|  | Through | 62 | 63 | 101.0\% | 22.2 | 4.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 106 | 106 | 99.9\% | 1.0 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 174 | 175 | 100.5\% | 9.0 | 2.2 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 123 | 102 | 83.1\% | 20.5 | 3.5 | C |
|  | Through | 411 | 356 | 86.6\% | 14.6 | 2.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 779 | 656 | 84.2\% | 13.5 | 3.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,313 | 1,114 | 84.8\% | 14.4 | 2.8 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 139 | 138 | 99.6\% | 24.7 | 5.4 | C |
|  | Through | 334 | 341 | 102.2\% | 15.2 | 2.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 12 | 12 | 102.5\% | 5.0 | 3.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 485 | 492 | 101.4\% | 17.7 | 2.7 | B |
| Total |  | 2,178 | 1,992 | 91.5\% | 14.5 | 2.0 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 158 | 146 | 92.5\% | 27.7 | 6.0 | C |
|  | Through | 153 | 161 | 105.0\% | 25.5 | 3.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 147 | 148 | 100.9\% | 5.7 | 4.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 458 | 455 | 99.4\% | 20.0 | 4.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 88 | 82 | 93.3\% | 77.5 | 54.1 | E |
|  | Through | 97 | 92 | 95.3\% | 42.9 | 21.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 23 | 105.9\% | 18.6 | 25.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 207 | 198 | 95.6\% | 52.6 | 32.3 | D |
| EB | Left Turn | 13 | 14 | 108.5\% | 62.5 | 34.6 | E |
|  | Through | 357 | 344 | 96.2\% | 75.4 | 45.0 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 74 | 78 | 105.3\% | 33.4 | 26.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 444 | 436 | 98.1\% | 66.3 | 39.1 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 110 | 108 | 97.7\% | 30.9 | 7.1 | C |
|  | Through | 383 | 371 | 97.0\% | 13.0 | 2.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 110 | 111 | 100.7\% | 2.4 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 603 | 590 | 97.8\% | 13.8 | 1.7 | B |
| Total |  | 1,712 | 1,678 | 98.0\% | 33.8 | 13.7 | C |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 74 | 53 | 70.9\% | 338.4 | 57.3 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 594 | 405 | 68.1\% | 378.9 | 21.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 668 | 457 | 68.4\% | 374.6 | 22.9 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 579 | 499 | 86.1\% | 186.9 | 31.7 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 79 | 69 | 86.7\% | 156.1 | 34.8 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 658 | 567 | 86.2\% | 183.8 | 31.3 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 213 | 216 | 101.6\% | 9.4 | 1.6 | A |
|  | Through | 614 | 616 | 100.4\% | 1.6 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 827 | 833 | 100.7\% | 3.7 | 0.4 | A |
| Total |  | 2,153 | 1,857 | 86.2\% | 150.0 | 9.0 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 166 | 163 | 98.1\% | 28.6 | 5.4 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 255 | 254 | 99.6\% | 6.5 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 421 | 417 | 99.0\% | 15.3 | 2.5 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 537 | 431 | 80.2\% | 462.4 | 94.2 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 636 | 468 | 73.6\% | 379.0 | 93.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,173 | 899 | 76.6\% | 419.3 | 93.1 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 629 | 628 | 99.8\% | 39.1 | 5.6 | D |
|  | Through | 661 | 670 | 101.3\% | 5.5 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,290 | 1,297 | 100.6\% | 22.1 | 3.5 | C |
| Total |  | 2,884 | 2,613 | 90.6\% | 156.1 | 29.8 | F |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 418 | 419 | 100.1\% | 28.1 | 7.8 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 442 | 433 | 98.0\% | 4.1 | 1.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 860 | 852 | 99.0\% | 15.9 | 4.3 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 439 | 402 | 91.5\% | 9.3 | 1.1 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 353 | 284 | 80.4\% | 6.6 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 792 | 685 | 86.5\% | 8.3 | 0.7 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 872 | 878 | 100.7\% | 28.9 | 7.4 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 682 | 673 | 98.7\% | 2.0 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,554 | 1,551 | 99.8\% | 17.0 | 4.8 | B |
| Total |  | 3,206 | 3,088 | 96.3\% | 14.6 | 2.4 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 675 | 684 | 101.3\% | 27.7 | 11.4 | C |
|  | Through | 35 | 36 | 103.7\% | 30.1 | 14.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 146 | 156 | 106.6\% | 3.2 | 3.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 856 | 876 | 102.3\% | 23.3 | 9.8 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 16 | 13 | 82.5\% | 35.3 | 17.1 | D |
|  | Through | 47 | 49 | 104.5\% | 36.2 | 12.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 249 | 253 | 101.8\% | 5.9 | 2.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 312 | 316 | 101.2\% | 11.5 | 3.9 | B |
| EB | Left Turn | 140 | 132 | 94.6\% | 30.7 | 5.2 | C |
|  | Through | 500 | 474 | 94.8\% | 21.3 | 3.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 225 | 207 | 92.0\% | 3.6 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 865 | 814 | 94.1\% | 18.1 | 2.8 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 76 | 73 | 96.3\% | 78.2 | 65.7 | E |
|  | Through | 630 | 618 | 98.1\% | 78.8 | 65.7 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 14 | 13 | 92.1\% | 59.9 | 72.0 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 720 | 704 | 97.8\% | 78.2 | 65.7 | E |
| Total |  | 2,753 | 2,710 | 98.4\% | 35.0 | 20.5 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Los Gamos Kaiser
Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 97 | 99 | 102.1\% | 40.8 | 12.8 | D |
|  | Through | 189 | 180 | 95.4\% | 27.3 | 3.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 135 | 133 | 98.1\% | 5.4 | 1.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 421 | 412 | 97.8\% | 24.1 | 4.6 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 207 | 146 | 70.7\% | 218.5 | 22.4 | F |
|  | Through | 395 | 278 | 70.4\% | 194.1 | 25.2 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 20 | 14 | 71.0\% | 197.9 | 46.9 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 622 | 439 | 70.5\% | 202.2 | 24.7 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 33 | 83.3\% | 115.8 | 16.7 | F |
|  | Through | 722 | 603 | 83.6\% | 99.7 | 6.8 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 366 | 320 | 87.5\% | 80.4 | 4.1 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,128 | 957 | 84.8\% | 93.9 | 5.6 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 67 | 64 | 95.1\% | 48.8 | 12.5 | D |
|  | Through | 289 | 273 | 94.6\% | 15.3 | 4.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 82 | 82 | 99.8\% | 2.9 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 438 | 419 | 95.6\% | 17.8 | 2.2 | B |
| Total |  | 2,609 | 2,226 | 85.3\% | 89.6 | 4.2 | F |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 17 | 17 | 98.2\% | 103.2 | 119.9 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 106 | 106 | 100.0\% | 2.4 | 0.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 123 | 123 | 99.8\% | 24.1 | 28.8 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 900 | 751 | 83.4\% | 31.7 | 29.4 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 203 | 175 | 86.0\% | 27.5 | 26.0 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,103 | 925 | 83.9\% | 30.9 | 28.7 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 368 | 351 | 95.3\% | 26.4 | 13.2 | D |
|  | Through | 477 | 453 | 95.0\% | 7.0 | 6.8 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 845 | 804 | 95.1\% | 15.8 | 9.8 | C |
| Total |  | 2,071 | 1,852 | 89.4\% | 23.6 | 15.1 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Los Gamos Kaiser
Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project
AM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 130 | 131 | 101.1\% | 46.1 | 5.0 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 631 | 635 | 100.6\% | 37.0 | 5.9 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 761 | 767 | 100.7\% | 38.4 | 5.5 | D |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 461 | 391 | 84.9\% | 111.9 | 59.5 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 545 | 462 | 84.8\% | 49.9 | 38.0 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,006 | 854 | 84.9\% | 78.1 | 48.0 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 247 | 245 | 99.2\% | 17.2 | 3.6 | B |
|  | Through | 715 | 672 | 94.0\% | 5.8 | 7.0 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 962 | 917 | 95.4\% | 8.9 | 5.9 | A |
| Total |  | 2,729 | 2,537 | 93.0\% | 40.7 | 16.3 | D |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 575 | 526 | 91.5\% | 78.6 | 7.3 | E |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 411 | 365 | 88.8\% | 27.7 | 3.7 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 986 | 891 | 90.4\% | 57.9 | 7.3 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 976 | 925 | 94.8\% | 47.2 | 2.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 116 | 96 | 82.6\% | 36.0 | 3.6 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,092 | 1,021 | 93.5\% | 46.2 | 2.4 | D |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 387 | 393 | 101.5\% | 8.6 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 183 | 194 | 105.8\% | 0.6 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 570 | 586 | 102.9\% | 6.0 | 1.3 | A |
| Total |  | 2,648 | 2,498 | 94.4\% | 40.5 | 2.6 | D |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project

AM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| Direction |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 135 | 139 | 103.0\% | 16.1 | 3.8 | B |
|  | Through | 12 | 12 | 101.7\% | 16.2 | 6.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 59 | 58 | 98.3\% | 0.4 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 206 | 209 | 101.6\% | 11.6 | 3.3 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 6 | 6 | 91.7\% | 15.5 | 12.4 | B |
|  | Through | 62 | 61 | 98.5\% | 22.8 | 4.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 106 | 109 | 102.9\% | 1.0 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 174 | 176 | 101.0\% | 9.4 | 2.5 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 123 | 115 | 93.7\% | 22.6 | 2.5 | C |
|  | Through | 410 | 378 | 92.1\% | 19.9 | 2.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 779 | 726 | 93.2\% | 19.4 | 6.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,312 | 1,219 | 92.9\% | 19.9 | 4.9 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 139 | 142 | 102.1\% | 22.0 | 3.5 | C |
|  | Through | 329 | 341 | 103.6\% | 14.8 | 3.2 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 12 | 13 | 108.3\% | 4.7 | 2.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 480 | 496 | 103.3\% | 16.6 | 2.4 | B |
| Total |  | 2,172 | 2,100 | 96.7\% | 17.4 | 3.3 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Los Gamos Kaiser
Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 158 | 155 | 98.2\% | 29.9 | 8.1 | C |
|  | Through | 153 | 162 | 105.6\% | 26.6 | 7.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 146 | 142 | 97.4\% | 4.8 | 3.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 457 | 459 | 100.4\% | 21.2 | 4.7 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 88 | 83 | 94.1\% | 72.7 | 59.1 | E |
|  | Through | 97 | 97 | 99.9\% | 50.6 | 53.2 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 19 | 88.2\% | 17.2 | 30.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 207 | 199 | 96.2\% | 57.9 | 54.8 | E |
| EB | Left Turn | 13 | 13 | 103.1\% | 110.4 | 88.2 | F |
|  | Through | 356 | 343 | 96.3\% | 117.4 | 92.4 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 74 | 76 | 103.2\% | 85.8 | 84.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 443 | 433 | 97.7\% | 111.1 | 90.2 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 108 | 106 | 98.1\% | 30.0 | 7.5 | C |
|  | Through | 377 | 365 | 96.9\% | 11.6 | 0.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 109 | 116 | 106.1\% | 3.0 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 594 | 587 | 98.8\% | 13.3 | 1.8 | B |
| Total |  | 1,701 | 1,678 | 98.6\% | 46.6 | 30.2 | D |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 64 | 52 | 80.9\% | 369.4 | 67.9 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 480 | 396 | 82.5\% | 361.5 | 28.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 544 | 448 | 82.3\% | 362.0 | 30.6 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 579 | 496 | 85.6\% | 200.1 | 17.6 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 77 | 64 | 82.5\% | 182.0 | 21.1 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 656 | 559 | 85.2\% | 198.0 | 17.4 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 190 | 187 | 98.3\% | 8.8 | 1.1 | A |
|  | Through | 614 | 625 | 101.8\% | 2.0 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 804 | 812 | 101.0\% | 3.6 | 0.5 | A |
| Total |  | 2,004 | 1,819 | 90.8\% | 151.5 | 8.4 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Los Gamos Kaiser
Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 154 | 157 | 101.7\% | 27.9 | 4.5 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 255 | 252 | 99.0\% | 9.7 | 4.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 409 | 409 | 100.0\% | 16.9 | 4.8 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 473 | 433 | 91.5\% | 492.4 | 24.1 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 586 | 457 | 78.1\% | 410.1 | 20.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,059 | 890 | 84.1\% | 450.1 | 22.7 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 629 | 619 | 98.4\% | 38.9 | 2.9 | D |
|  | Through | 650 | 654 | 100.6\% | 5.8 | 1.4 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,279 | 1,273 | 99.5\% | 22.4 | 2.5 | C |
| Total |  | 2,747 | 2,572 | 93.6\% | 168.0 | 9.0 | F |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 408 | 408 | 100.1\% | 25.3 | 3.7 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 442 | 449 | 101.5\% | 4.3 | 1.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 850 | 857 | 100.8\% | 14.4 | 2.9 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 434 | 414 | 95.3\% | 10.8 | 1.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 294 | 272 | 92.5\% | 8.0 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 728 | 686 | 94.2\% | 9.7 | 1.0 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 871 | 867 | 99.5\% | 30.0 | 5.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 682 | 677 | 99.3\% | 2.0 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,553 | 1,544 | 99.4\% | 17.3 | 3.3 | B |
| Total |  | 3,131 | 3,087 | 98.6\% | 14.8 | 2.1 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project

PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 675 | 665 | 98.5\% | 25.1 | 5.0 | C |
|  | Through | 35 | 35 | 100.0\% | 23.6 | 7.8 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 146 | 145 | 99.0\% | 2.5 | 2.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 856 | 845 | 98.7\% | 21.2 | 4.6 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 16 | 17 | 108.8\% | 39.3 | 15.7 | D |
|  | Through | 47 | 49 | 105.1\% | 39.3 | 8.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 249 | 248 | 99.4\% | 8.5 | 3.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 312 | 314 | 100.8\% | 14.9 | 2.9 | B |
| EB | Left Turn | 140 | 133 | 95.1\% | 32.8 | 3.8 | C |
|  | Through | 495 | 487 | 98.4\% | 22.5 | 3.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 225 | 227 | 101.0\% | 5.1 | 1.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 860 | 848 | 98.6\% | 19.5 | 2.3 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 76 | 72 | 94.7\% | 90.0 | 57.5 | F |
|  | Through | 629 | 632 | 100.5\% | 84.4 | 57.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 14 | 13 | 93.6\% | 61.4 | 65.5 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 719 | 717 | 99.7\% | 84.8 | 57.7 | F |
| Total |  | 2,747 | 2,724 | 99.2\% | 36.1 | 14.6 | D |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 97 | 89 | 92.0\% | 39.6 | 9.1 | D |
|  | Through | 189 | 187 | 99.2\% | 35.0 | 4.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 140 | 144 | 103.0\% | 9.9 | 4.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 426 | 421 | 98.8\% | 27.6 | 3.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 209 | 179 | 85.5\% | 318.7 | 65.4 | F |
|  | Through | 395 | 342 | 86.6\% | 313.5 | 58.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 20 | 18 | 89.5\% | 283.6 | 80.1 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 624 | 538 | 86.3\% | 314.6 | 61.2 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 38 | 95.0\% | 263.1 | 54.3 | F |
|  | Through | 733 | 662 | 90.3\% | 245.9 | 38.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 366 | 333 | 90.8\% | 233.9 | 36.9 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,139 | 1,033 | 90.7\% | 242.8 | 37.3 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 68 | 54 | 78.8\% | 44.2 | 11.3 | D |
|  | Through | 292 | 235 | 80.5\% | 17.9 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 82 | 69 | 83.9\% | 2.3 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 442 | 358 | 80.9\% | 18.9 | 3.3 | B |
| Total |  | 2,631 | 2,349 | 89.3\% | 182.3 | 23.2 | F |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 23 | 10 | 41.3\% | 662.0 | 176.3 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 166 | 109 | 65.8\% | 519.1 | 235.1 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 189 | 119 | 62.8\% | 463.1 | 279.3 | F |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn Through | $901$ | $808$ | 89.7\% | 25.8 | 40.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 220 | 202 | 92.0\% | 24.2 | 41.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,121 | 1,011 | 90.1\% | 25.4 | 40.7 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 566 | 472 | 83.4\% | 51.9 | 12.3 | F |
|  | Through Right Turn | 476 | 386 | 81.1\% | 16.5 | 7.3 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,042 | 858 | 82.3\% | 35.6 | 9.7 | E |
| Total |  | 2,352 | 1,987 | 84.5\% | 41.1 | 19.7 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

| Intersection 3 |  | US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd |  |  |  |  | Signa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 233 | 198 | 85.2\% | 202.4 | 44.0 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 631 | 518 | 82.1\% | 182.5 | 26.5 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 864 | 717 | 82.9\% | 188.3 | 31.0 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 496 | 399 | 80.4\% | 94.4 | 95.0 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 571 | 516 | 90.4\% | 59.2 | 73.4 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 1,067 | 915 | 85.7\% | 75.4 | 85.9 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 247 | 235 | 95.3\% | 22.0 | 3.5 | C |
|  | Through | 809 | 660 | 81.6\% | 13.5 | 10.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,056 | 895 | 84.8\% | 15.7 | 8.4 | B |
| Total |  | 2,987 | 2,527 | 84.6\% | 87.1 | 33.3 | F |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 661 | 509 | 77.0\% | 213.7 | 40.6 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 411 | 319 | 77.7\% | 162.2 | 21.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,072 | 829 | 77.3\% | 193.8 | 33.3 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 979 | 805 | 82.2\% | 35.2 | 9.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 148 | 114 | 77.0\% | 26.5 | 8.2 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,127 | 919 | 81.5\% | 34.1 | 9.4 | C |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 395 | 386 | 97.7\% | 14.1 | 10.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 183 | 195 | 106.3\% | 0.4 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 578 | 581 | 100.4\% | 9.6 | 6.6 | A |
| Total |  | 2,777 | 2,328 | 83.8\% | 84.1 | 5.4 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour


SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 158 | 158 | 100.0\% | 22.6 | 5.7 | C |
|  | Through | 153 | 155 | 101.0\% | 24.0 | 6.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 149 | 148 | 99.6\% | 4.7 | 2.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 460 | 461 | 100.2\% | 17.5 | 4.4 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 89 | 83 | 93.6\% | 118.9 | 159.2 | F |
|  | Through | 97 | 87 | 90.0\% | 90.2 | 129.1 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 21 | 95.5\% | 44.4 | 83.0 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 208 | 192 | 92.1\% | 100.5 | 143.2 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 13 | 11 | 86.9\% | 83.9 | 102.3 | F |
|  | Through | 363 | 353 | 97.4\% | 89.4 | 97.8 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 74 | 70 | 94.7\% | 68.8 | 100.9 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 450 | 435 | 96.6\% | 85.7 | 97.7 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 114 | 105 | 92.2\% | 30.4 | 8.4 | C |
|  | Through | 393 | 383 | 97.4\% | 11.7 | 1.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 112 | 107 | 95.6\% | 3.0 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 619 | 595 | 96.1\% | 13.5 | 2.4 | B |
| Total |  | 1,737 | 1,682 | 96.8\% | 38.4 | 31.4 | D |

Intersection 2
Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 90 | 49 | 54.6\% | 374.4 | 31.1 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 778 | 410 | 52.8\% | 363.2 | 12.2 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 868 | 460 | 52.9\% | 364.5 | 11.6 | F |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 579 | 501 | 86.5\% | 195.1 | 13.1 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 88 | 76 | 86.1\% | 170.7 | 16.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 667 | 577 | 86.4\% | 191.9 | 12.6 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 316 | 314 | 99.4\% | 11.2 | 1.9 | B |
|  | Through | 614 | 623 | 101.5\% | 2.8 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 930 | 937 | 100.8\% | 5.6 | 1.0 | A |
| Total |  | 2,465 | 1,973 | 80.0\% | 143.8 | 6.7 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 220 | 227 | 103.0\% | 36.4 | 7.6 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 255 | 259 | 101.5\% | 14.9 | 8.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 475 | 485 | 102.2\% | 25.0 | 9.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 641 | 426 | 66.4\% | 472.5 | 59.8 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 716 | 483 | 67.4\% | 387.0 | 54.9 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,357 | 908 | 66.9\% | 426.7 | 56.8 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 629 | 610 | 97.0\% | 36.3 | 3.6 | D |
|  | Through | 710 | 712 | 100.2\% | 5.7 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,339 | 1,322 | 98.7\% | 20.0 | 1.8 | B |
| Total |  | 3,171 | 2,716 | 85.6\% | 155.6 | 16.3 | F |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 463 | 461 | 99.5\% | 23.4 | 7.2 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 442 | 439 | 99.3\% | 4.8 | 2.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 905 | 899 | 99.4\% | 14.2 | 4.7 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 447 | 386 | 86.4\% | 10.0 | 1.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 449 | 300 | 66.8\% | 7.9 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 896 | 686 | 76.6\% | 9.1 | 0.8 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 876 | 862 | 98.4\% | 36.4 | 3.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 682 | 684 | 100.2\% | 2.1 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,558 | 1,546 | 99.2\% | 20.9 | 2.2 | C |
| Total |  | 3,359 | 3,131 | 93.2\% | 16.3 | 1.1 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 675 | 676 | 100.2\% | 38.7 | 25.6 | D |
|  | Through | 35 | 35 | 99.7\% | 35.4 | 24.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 146 | 149 | 101.8\% | 7.3 | 10.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 856 | 860 | 100.4\% | 33.1 | 22.6 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 16 | 17 | 108.8\% | 34.7 | 10.5 | C |
|  | Through | 47 | 46 | 97.0\% | 38.4 | 6.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 249 | 248 | 99.6\% | 9.5 | 5.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 312 | 311 | 99.7\% | 15.4 | 5.9 | B |
| EB | Left Turn | 140 | 131 | 93.8\% | 32.7 | 5.2 | C |
|  | Through | 508 | 464 | 91.4\% | 23.2 | 3.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 225 | 213 | 94.5\% | 5.0 | 1.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 873 | 808 | 92.6\% | 19.9 | 1.6 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 76 | 71 | 93.4\% | 138.1 | 88.3 | F |
|  | Through | 634 | 624 | 98.5\% | 131.1 | 82.3 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 14 | 14 | 99.3\% | 115.9 | 74.2 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 724 | 709 | 98.0\% | 131.2 | 81.4 | F |
| Total |  | 2,765 | 2,688 | 97.2\% | 51.1 | 23.6 | D |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Cumulative No Project
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 151 | 150 | 99.1\% | 53.4 | 24.4 | D |
|  | Through | 224 | 228 | 101.6\% | 32.2 | 5.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 161 | 166 | 102.9\% | 8.8 | 3.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 536 | 543 | 101.3\% | 30.8 | 8.6 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 218 | 137 | 62.7\% | 593.6 | 21.1 | F |
|  | Through | 411 | 263 | 63.9\% | 581.6 | 31.6 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 35 | 19 | 54.3\% | 572.0 | 66.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 664 | 418 | 63.0\% | 584.1 | 23.2 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 29 | 72.8\% | 407.4 | 66.4 | F |
|  | Through | 798 | 633 | 79.3\% | 401.5 | 32.5 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 381 | 309 | 81.2\% | 414.7 | 36.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,219 | 971 | 79.7\% | 405.7 | 32.7 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 72 | 72 | 99.3\% | 51.9 | 6.1 | D |
|  | Through | 385 | 389 | 100.9\% | 16.9 | 2.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 99 | 97 | 97.8\% | 3.5 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 556 | 557 | 100.2\% | 19.3 | 1.9 | B |
| Total |  | 2,975 | 2,489 | 83.7\% | 267.0 | 10.1 | F |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 22 | 25 | 111.8\% | 30.4 | 9.7 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 136 | 145 | 106.3\% | 8.4 | 2.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 158 | 169 | 107.1\% | 11.8 | 2.9 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,019 | 819 | 80.4\% | 16.9 | 1.1 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 203 | 160 | 78.8\% | 14.8 | 1.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,222 | 979 | 80.1\% | 16.6 | 1.1 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 522 | 529 | 101.4\% | 45.2 | 12.6 | D |
|  | Through | 596 | 603 | 101.2\% | 3.0 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,118 | 1,133 | 101.3\% | 23.4 | 7.2 | C |
| Total |  | 2,498 | 2,281 | 91.3\% | 19.7 | 3.7 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Cumulative No Project
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 238 | 237 | 99.5\% | 37.3 | 7.2 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 571 | 566 | 99.2\% | 27.6 | 5.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 809 | 803 | 99.3\% | 30.5 | 5.9 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 590 | 504 | 85.4\% | 16.7 | 1.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 565 | 464 | 82.2\% | 10.4 | 1.5 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,155 | 968 | 83.8\% | 13.7 | 1.4 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 338 | 322 | 95.3\% | 38.4 | 8.4 | D |
|  | Through | 880 | 892 | 101.4\% | 5.7 | 2.6 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,218 | 1,214 | 99.7\% | 14.6 | 4.5 | B |
| Total |  | 3,182 | 2,986 | 93.8\% | 18.7 | 2.7 | B |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 782 | 771 | 98.6\% | 25.7 | 2.9 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 452 | 446 | 98.7\% | 29.6 | 6.9 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,234 | 1,217 | 98.6\% | 27.1 | 4.2 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,041 | 974 | 93.6\% | 25.9 | 14.8 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 101 | 84.5\% | 20.5 | 9.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,161 | 1,075 | 92.6\% | 25.4 | 14.2 | C |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 436 | 439 | 100.6\% | 10.4 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 190 | 185 | 97.5\% | 0.6 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 626 | 624 | 99.7\% | 7.3 | 1.1 | A |
| Total |  | 3,021 | 2,916 | 96.5\% | 22.5 | 6.7 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 156 | 149 | 95.6\% | 18.2 | 3.2 | B |
|  | Through | 15 | 15 | 102.0\% | 19.9 | 3.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 79 | 76 | 96.3\% | 3.0 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 250 | 241 | 96.2\% | 13.5 | 2.1 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 13 | 11 | 86.9\% | 20.8 | 8.8 | C |
|  | Through | 59 | 59 | 99.5\% | 22.0 | 3.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 125 | 130 | 103.9\% | 2.4 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 197 | 200 | 101.5\% | 9.4 | 2.3 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 135 | 130 | 96.2\% | 20.7 | 3.0 | C |
|  | Through | 458 | 425 | 92.8\% | 13.6 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 825 | 786 | 95.3\% | 18.5 | 6.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,418 | 1,341 | 94.6\% | 17.4 | 3.9 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 122 | 123 | 101.1\% | 26.7 | 5.0 | C |
|  | Through | 345 | 341 | 98.9\% | 14.9 | 1.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 12 | 15 | 127.5\% | 11.5 | 13.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 479 | 480 | 100.2\% | 17.8 | 2.8 | B |
| Total |  | 2,344 | 2,262 | 96.5\% | 16.3 | 2.4 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 170 | 168 | 98.7\% | 33.1 | 14.6 | C |
|  | Through | 240 | 240 | 100.0\% | 27.2 | 5.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 149 | 145 | 97.0\% | 7.7 | 4.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 559 | 552 | 98.8\% | 24.0 | 8.1 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 98 | 101 | 102.9\% | 43.6 | 28.2 | D |
|  | Through | 111 | 114 | 102.5\% | 28.5 | 6.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 24 | 108.6\% | 13.9 | 15.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 231 | 239 | 103.2\% | 34.1 | 17.3 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 22 | 22 | 97.7\% | 48.2 | 18.9 | D |
|  | Through | 396 | 399 | 100.7\% | 47.2 | 44.8 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 141 | 138 | 97.6\% | 28.2 | 36.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 559 | 558 | 99.8\% | 43.0 | 42.3 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 153 | 146 | 95.1\% | 95.7 | 75.5 | F |
|  | Through | 477 | 438 | 91.9\% | 38.7 | 46.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 174 | 166 | 95.4\% | 24.9 | 46.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 804 | 750 | 93.3\% | 47.6 | 53.6 | D |
| Total |  | 2,153 | 2,099 | 97.5\% | 38.2 | 32.0 | D |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 91 | 63 | 69.7\% | 30.9 | 13.4 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 606 | 441 | 72.8\% | 63.9 | 38.4 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 697 | 505 | 72.4\% | 60.2 | 35.6 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 643 | 600 | 93.3\% | 131.3 | 72.7 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 66 | 63 | 95.2\% | 134.0 | 76.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 709 | 663 | 93.5\% | 131.6 | 73.0 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 215 | 214 | 99.4\% | 53.7 | 25.9 | D |
|  | Through | 798 | 769 | 96.4\% | 23.5 | 25.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,013 | 983 | 97.0\% | 30.3 | 25.2 | C |
| Total |  | 2,419 | 2,150 | 88.9\% | 66.7 | 30.0 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 201 | 198 | 98.4\% | 56.5 | 61.6 | E |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 425 | 428 | 100.7\% | 37.3 | 57.7 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 626 | 626 | 100.0\% | 43.3 | 58.9 | D |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 316 | 441 | 139.4\% | 41.6 | 13.4 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 933 | 594 | 63.6\% | 64.7 | 31.6 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 1,249 | 1,034 | 82.8\% | 57.2 | 26.2 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 827 | 681 | 82.3\% | 73.9 | 16.0 | E |
|  | Through | 812 | 787 | 96.9\% | 20.0 | 20.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,639 | 1,467 | 89.5\% | 45.8 | 14.9 | D |
| Total |  | 3,514 | 3,128 | 89.0\% | 46.5 | 13.5 | D |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 590 | 595 | 100.8\% | 26.2 | 2.2 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 559 | 560 | 100.1\% | 23.5 | 3.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,149 | 1,154 | 100.5\% | 24.9 | 2.4 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 397 | 458 | 115.3\% | 16.9 | 5.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 344 | 413 | 120.0\% | 9.6 | 2.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 741 | 871 | 117.5\% | 13.7 | 3.4 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,049 | 872 | 83.1\% | 69.8 | 10.1 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 721 | 593 | 82.2\% | 3.9 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,770 | 1,465 | 82.7\% | 43.3 | 6.2 | D |
| Total |  | 3,660 | 3,489 | 95.3\% | 29.5 | 1.4 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Cumulative No Project

PM Peak Hour


SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 151 | 154 | 101.7\% | 59.6 | 26.6 | E |
|  | Through | 224 | 222 | 98.9\% | 35.8 | 4.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 158 | 154 | 97.7\% | 9.4 | 3.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 533 | 529 | 99.3\% | 35.2 | 9.1 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 217 | 136 | 62.7\% | 581.8 | 34.3 | F |
|  | Through | 411 | 259 | 63.1\% | 574.4 | 34.0 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 35 | 19 | 55.1\% | 643.9 | 74.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 663 | 415 | 62.5\% | 578.1 | 32.9 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 29 | 72.0\% | 422.5 | 54.8 | F |
|  | Through | 791 | 625 | 79.0\% | 408.6 | 43.8 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 381 | 309 | 81.2\% | 409.5 | 52.2 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,212 | 963 | 79.5\% | 409.3 | 44.7 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 72 | 77 | 106.5\% | 54.9 | 15.6 | D |
|  | Through | 384 | 393 | 102.3\% | 16.4 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 99 | 98 | 98.5\% | 3.7 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 555 | 567 | 102.2\% | 19.3 | 3.9 | B |
| Total |  | 2,963 | 2,474 | 83.5\% | 266.2 | 17.7 | F |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 20 | 24 | 119.5\% | 31.4 | 8.6 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 119 | 118 | 99.2\% | 9.5 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 139 | 142 | 102.1\% | 13.6 | 2.8 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,019 | 806 | 79.1\% | 16.2 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 192 | 153 | 79.5\% | 14.2 | 2.2 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,211 | 959 | 79.2\% | 15.8 | 2.0 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 396 | 403 | 101.7\% | 30.1 | 4.1 | C |
|  | Through | 596 | 608 | 102.0\% | 3.0 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 992 | 1,011 | 101.9\% | 14.0 | 2.0 | B |
| Total |  | 2,342 | 2,112 | 90.2\% | 14.8 | 1.4 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 172 | 168 | 97.4\% | 33.5 | 15.8 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 571 | 577 | 101.0\% | 24.6 | 18.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 743 | 744 | 100.2\% | 26.8 | 17.7 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 580 | 480 | 82.8\% | 17.4 | 3.4 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 558 | 446 | 80.0\% | 10.2 | 1.2 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,138 | 926 | 81.4\% | 13.9 | 2.3 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 338 | 318 | 94.0\% | 34.1 | 9.0 | C |
|  | Through | 820 | 838 | 102.2\% | 3.7 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,158 | 1,156 | 99.8\% | 12.1 | 4.1 | B |
| Total |  | 3,039 | 2,826 | 93.0\% | 16.8 | 5.9 | B |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 727 | 722 | 99.4\% | 25.3 | 3.6 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 452 | 458 | 101.3\% | 32.6 | 10.3 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,179 | 1,180 | 100.1\% | 28.4 | 6.2 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,040 | 974 | 93.7\% | 24.5 | 14.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 111 | 87 | 78.7\% | 20.2 | 11.5 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,151 | 1,062 | 92.2\% | 24.2 | 14.5 | C |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 431 | 428 | 99.3\% | 9.8 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 190 | 182 | 95.7\% | 0.5 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 621 | 610 | 98.2\% | 6.8 | 1.3 | A |
| Total |  | 2,951 | 2,852 | 96.6\% | 22.3 | 7.6 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Los Gamos Kaiser
Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 156 | 155 | 99.2\% | 17.3 | 2.6 | B |
|  | Through | 15 | 14 | 92.0\% | 25.1 | 12.8 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 79 | 76 | 96.3\% | 3.5 | 1.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 250 | 245 | 97.9\% | 13.4 | 2.4 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 13 | 12 | 93.8\% | 19.0 | 11.0 | B |
|  | Through | 59 | 60 | 101.0\% | 21.6 | 6.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 125 | 126 | 100.6\% | 2.3 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 197 | 198 | 100.3\% | 9.2 | 2.5 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 135 | 130 | 96.3\% | 23.7 | 4.8 | C |
|  | Through | 457 | 435 | 95.3\% | 14.2 | 2.1 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 825 | 789 | 95.6\% | 19.5 | 7.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,417 | 1,354 | 95.6\% | 18.2 | 4.8 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 122 | 126 | 103.2\% | 25.3 | 4.6 | C |
|  | Through | 340 | 327 | 96.0\% | 16.1 | 2.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 12 | 12 | 103.3\% | 7.5 | 7.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 474 | 465 | 98.1\% | 18.7 | 2.6 | B |
| Total |  | 2,338 | 2,261 | 96.7\% | 17.0 | 3.2 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 170 | 169 | 99.6\% | 30.6 | 8.1 | C |
|  | Through | 240 | 242 | 100.8\% | 26.7 | 6.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 148 | 150 | 101.6\% | 6.9 | 3.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 558 | 562 | 100.7\% | 22.4 | 5.5 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 98 | 94 | 96.3\% | 55.2 | 62.1 | E |
|  | Through | 111 | 109 | 98.5\% | 47.1 | 61.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 22 | 101.8\% | 17.2 | 18.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 231 | 226 | 97.9\% | 48.8 | 62.4 | D |
| EB | Left Turn | 22 | 23 | 102.3\% | 48.2 | 19.3 | D |
|  | Through | 395 | 390 | 98.8\% | 35.2 | 18.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 141 | 141 | 99.9\% | 17.0 | 8.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 558 | 554 | 99.2\% | 31.2 | 15.4 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 151 | 142 | 94.2\% | 92.6 | 69.8 | F |
|  | Through | 474 | 439 | 92.7\% | 44.3 | 42.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 173 | 161 | 93.1\% | 32.3 | 46.6 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 798 | 743 | 93.1\% | 51.2 | 49.4 | D |
| Total |  | 2,145 | 2,084 | 97.2\% | 37.5 | 26.0 | D |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 81 | 70 | 86.0\% | 33.0 | 10.4 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 492 | 409 | 83.2\% | 60.7 | 32.1 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 573 | 479 | 83.6\% | 56.5 | 28.7 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 643 | 600 | 93.3\% | 113.1 | 74.5 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 64 | 63 | 98.3\% | 118.0 | 80.5 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 707 | 663 | 93.8\% | 113.3 | 74.9 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 192 | 188 | 97.9\% | 45.5 | 9.3 | D |
|  | Through | 801 | 769 | 96.0\% | 17.3 | 8.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 993 | 957 | 96.4\% | 22.5 | 8.6 | C |
| Total |  | 2,273 | 2,099 | 92.3\% | 57.9 | 30.3 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection $3 \quad$ US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 189 | 187 | 99.1\% | 36.6 | 4.6 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 425 | 428 | 100.8\% | 18.9 | 5.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 614 | 616 | 100.2\% | 24.4 | 5.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 252 | 408 | 161.9\% | 45.1 | 12.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 883 | 592 | 67.0\% | 70.3 | 22.4 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 1,135 | 1,000 | 88.1\% | 61.3 | 19.5 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 824 | 683 | 82.9\% | 67.5 | 11.3 | E |
|  | Through | 804 | 772 | 96.0\% | 12.5 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,628 | 1,455 | 89.4\% | 38.7 | 5.8 | D |
| Total |  | 3,377 | 3,070 | 90.9\% | 42.0 | 3.0 | D |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 580 | 562 | 96.9\% | 28.2 | 2.1 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 559 | 553 | 99.0\% | 21.2 | 2.9 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,139 | 1,115 | 97.9\% | 24.7 | 1.6 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 392 | 450 | 114.8\% | 13.2 | 3.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 285 | 385 | 135.2\% | 9.8 | 1.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 677 | 836 | 123.4\% | 11.6 | 2.3 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,048 | 893 | 85.2\% | 69.2 | 16.9 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 721 | 622 | 86.3\% | 3.8 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,769 | 1,515 | 85.7\% | 42.7 | 10.1 | D |
| Total |  | 3,585 | 3,466 | 96.7\% | 28.5 | 3.5 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Los Gamos Kaiser
Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 720 | 643 | 89.3\% | 216.3 | 97.8 | F |
|  | Through | 37 | 36 | 96.2\% | 225.5 | 90.1 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 149 | 132 | 88.9\% | 187.5 | 99.8 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 906 | 811 | 89.5\% | 212.2 | 98.3 | F |
| SB | Left Turn | 14 | 14 | 100.7\% | 32.2 | 10.3 | C |
|  | Through | 49 | 47 | 95.9\% | 44.5 | 13.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 284 | 284 | 100.1\% | 16.5 | 10.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 347 | 345 | 99.5\% | 20.8 | 9.6 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 143 | 151 | 105.7\% | 40.7 | 6.2 | D |
|  | Through | 548 | 576 | 105.2\% | 21.9 | 3.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 244 | 260 | 106.6\% | 5.6 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 935 | 988 | 105.6\% | 20.2 | 3.0 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 88 | 66 | 74.5\% | 384.2 | 125.0 | F |
|  | Through | 765 | 603 | 78.8\% | 402.6 | 143.8 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 16 | 12 | 76.9\% | 396.0 | 196.5 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 869 | 681 | 78.4\% | 401.0 | 142.4 | F |
| Total |  | 3,057 | 2,825 | 92.4\% | 168.2 | 59.2 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Cumulative Plus Project
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 151 | 147 | 97.2\% | 56.1 | 20.0 | E |
|  | Through | 224 | 230 | 102.5\% | 34.6 | 6.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 163 | 162 | 99.5\% | 9.7 | 3.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 538 | 539 | 100.1\% | 33.5 | 8.4 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 219 | 136 | 62.3\% | 597.7 | 35.5 | F |
|  | Through | 411 | 257 | 62.6\% | 584.4 | 32.0 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 35 | 23 | 64.9\% | 573.2 | 76.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 665 | 416 | 62.6\% | 588.1 | 32.3 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 32 | 81.0\% | 425.1 | 63.9 | F |
|  | Through | 802 | 630 | 78.6\% | 436.3 | 20.3 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 381 | 300 | 78.7\% | 422.5 | 24.1 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,223 | 962 | 78.7\% | 431.7 | 18.4 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 73 | 73 | 99.6\% | 66.0 | 21.0 | E |
|  | Through | 387 | 393 | 101.5\% | 19.3 | 7.2 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 99 | 100 | 101.1\% | 5.1 | 4.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 559 | 566 | 101.2\% | 22.8 | 8.0 | C |
| Total |  | 2,985 | 2,483 | 83.2\% | 278.1 | 10.5 | F |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 26 | 26 | 100.0\% | 32.4 | 5.7 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 179 | 182 | 101.8\% | 9.2 | 2.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 205 | 208 | 101.6\% | 12.1 | 2.1 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,019 | 804 | 78.9\% | 17.0 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 209 | 168 | 80.5\% | 14.7 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,228 | 972 | 79.1\% | 16.6 | 1.2 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 594 | 605 | 101.8\% | 61.6 | 12.2 | E |
|  | Through | 595 | 603 | 101.3\% | 4.1 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,189 | 1,208 | 101.6\% | 32.9 | 6.6 | C |
| Total |  | 2,622 | 2,388 | 91.1\% | 24.7 | 3.7 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 275 | 268 | 97.3\% | 51.9 | 17.3 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 571 | 583 | 102.0\% | 39.8 | 16.4 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 846 | 850 | 100.5\% | 43.7 | 16.7 | D |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 614 | 529 | 86.2\% | 17.7 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 584 | 461 | 79.0\% | 10.2 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,198 | 990 | 82.7\% | 14.2 | 1.6 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 338 | 328 | 97.1\% | 36.6 | 8.3 | D |
|  | Through | 914 | 941 | 102.9\% | 7.1 | 3.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,252 | 1,269 | 101.3\% | 14.6 | 3.6 | B |
| Total |  | 3,296 | 3,109 | 94.3\% | 22.7 | 4.9 | C |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 813 | 818 | 100.7\% | 26.7 | 3.6 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 452 | 457 | 101.1\% | 30.8 | 5.7 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,265 | 1,275 | 100.8\% | 28.2 | 4.2 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,043 | 995 | 95.4\% | 30.0 | 10.4 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 142 | 121 | 85.2\% | 25.1 | 9.2 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,185 | 1,116 | 94.2\% | 29.4 | 10.2 | C |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 439 | 442 | 100.6\% | 9.3 | 1.1 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 190 | 180 | 94.9\% | 0.6 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 629 | 622 | 98.9\% | 6.8 | 1.0 | A |
| Total |  | 3,079 | 3,013 | 97.9\% | 24.4 | 4.0 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Cumulative Plus Project

AM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand | Served V | me (vph) |  | elay (sec/ |  |
|  |  | Volume (vph) | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 156 | 152 | 97.4\% | 18.1 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Through | 15 | 16 | 106.7\% | 18.0 | 3.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 79 | 81 | 102.5\% | 3.4 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 250 | 249 | 99.6\% | 13.1 | 1.6 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 13 | 14 | 106.9\% | 16.5 | 7.6 | B |
|  | Through | 59 | 61 | 103.7\% | 21.2 | 5.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 125 | 125 | 100.2\% | 2.6 | 0.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 197 | 200 | 101.7\% | 9.3 | 1.9 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 135 | 125 | 92.8\% | 22.2 | 3.1 | C |
|  | Through | 460 | 449 | 97.6\% | 14.5 | 3.1 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 825 | 799 | 96.9\% | 19.8 | 5.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,420 | 1,373 | 96.7\% | 18.4 | 2.8 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 122 | 120 | 98.0\% | 23.8 | 5.0 | C |
|  | Through | 348 | 342 | 98.2\% | 14.5 | 1.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 12 | 11 | 92.5\% | 4.3 | 3.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 482 | 473 | 98.0\% | 16.6 | 2.0 | B |
| Total |  | 2,349 | 2,295 | 97.7\% | 16.7 | 1.6 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Cumulative Plus Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 170 | 168 | 98.7\% | 33.1 | 14.6 | C |
|  | Through | 240 | 240 | 100.0\% | 27.2 | 5.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 151 | 145 | 95.7\% | 7.7 | 4.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 561 | 552 | 98.4\% | 24.0 | 8.1 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 99 | 101 | 101.8\% | 43.6 | 28.2 | D |
|  | Through | 111 | 114 | 102.5\% | 28.5 | 6.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 24 | 108.6\% | 13.9 | 15.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 232 | 239 | 102.8\% | 34.1 | 17.3 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 22 | 22 | 97.7\% | 48.2 | 18.9 | D |
|  | Through | 402 | 399 | 99.2\% | 47.2 | 44.8 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 141 | 138 | 97.6\% | 28.2 | 36.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 565 | 558 | 98.7\% | 43.0 | 42.3 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 157 | 146 | 92.7\% | 95.7 | 75.5 | F |
|  | Through | 487 | 438 | 90.0\% | 38.7 | 46.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 176 | 166 | 94.3\% | 24.9 | 46.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 820 | 750 | 91.5\% | 47.6 | 53.6 | D |
| Total |  | 2,178 | 2,099 | 96.3\% | 38.2 | 32.0 | D |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 107 | 63 | 59.3\% | 30.9 | 13.4 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 790 | 441 | 55.8\% | 63.9 | 38.4 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 897 | 505 | 56.2\% | 60.2 | 35.6 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 643 | 600 | 93.3\% | 131.3 | 72.7 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 75 | 63 | 83.7\% | 134.0 | 76.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 718 | 663 | 92.3\% | 131.6 | 73.0 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 318 | 214 | 67.2\% | 53.7 | 25.9 | D |
|  | Through | 798 | 769 | 96.4\% | 23.5 | 25.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,116 | 983 | 88.1\% | 30.3 | 25.2 | C |
| Total |  | 2,731 | 2,150 | 78.7\% | 66.7 | 30.0 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 255 | 198 | 77.6\% | 56.5 | 61.6 | E |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 425 | 428 | 100.7\% | 37.3 | 57.7 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 680 | 626 | 92.0\% | 43.3 | 58.9 | D |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 420 | 441 | 104.9\% | 41.6 | 13.4 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 1,013 | 594 | 58.6\% | 64.7 | 31.6 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 1,433 | 1,034 | 72.2\% | 57.2 | 26.2 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 827 | 681 | 82.3\% | 73.9 | 16.0 | E |
|  | Through | 861 | 787 | 91.4\% | 20.0 | 20.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,688 | 1,467 | 86.9\% | 45.8 | 14.9 | D |
| Total |  | 3,801 | 3,128 | 82.3\% | 46.5 | 13.5 | D |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 635 | 595 | 93.6\% | 26.2 | 2.2 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 559 | 560 | 100.1\% | 23.5 | 3.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,194 | 1,154 | 96.7\% | 24.9 | 2.4 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 405 | 458 | 113.0\% | 16.9 | 5.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 440 | 413 | 93.8\% | 9.6 | 2.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 845 | 871 | 103.0\% | 13.7 | 3.4 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,053 | 872 | 82.8\% | 69.8 | 10.1 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 721 | 593 | 82.2\% | 3.9 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,774 | 1,465 | 82.6\% | 43.3 | 6.2 | D |
| Total |  | 3,813 | 3,489 | 91.5\% | 29.5 | 1.4 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Cumulative Plus Project

PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 720 | 606 | 84.1\% | 303.6 | 94.6 | F |
|  | Through | 37 | 30 | 80.0\% | 290.2 | 102.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 149 | 132 | 88.5\% | 272.8 | 100.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 906 | 767 | 84.7\% | 297.6 | 94.5 | F |
| SB | Left Turn | 14 | 13 | 90.7\% | 47.4 | 18.4 | D |
|  | Through | 49 | 50 | 102.7\% | 47.8 | 10.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 284 | 282 | 99.3\% | 18.4 | 8.6 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 347 | 345 | 99.4\% | 24.0 | 8.3 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 143 | 148 | 103.6\% | 37.5 | 5.6 | D |
|  | Through | 561 | 583 | 103.8\% | 23.3 | 3.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 244 | 266 | 109.0\% | 6.8 | 1.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 948 | 997 | 105.1\% | 20.9 | 2.2 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 88 | 67 | 76.4\% | 393.7 | 133.1 | F |
|  | Through | 770 | 590 | 76.6\% | 398.1 | 133.1 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 16 | 14 | 85.0\% | 402.5 | 146.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 874 | 670 | 76.7\% | 398.1 | 133.0 | F |
| Total |  | 3,075 | 2,779 | 90.4\% | 184.5 | 36.2 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Cumulative No Project

AM Peak Hour PSR/PDS

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 151 | 140 | 92.6\% | 193.3 | 95.4 | F |
|  | Through | 224 | 225 | 100.5\% | 76.1 | 49.3 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 161 | 160 | 99.5\% | 48.8 | 56.5 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 536 | 525 | 98.0\% | 101.5 | 59.4 | F |
| SB | Left Turn | 218 | 171 | 78.6\% | 458.4 | 45.3 | F |
|  | Through | 411 | 309 | 75.3\% | 447.3 | 53.1 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 35 | 28 | 79.4\% | 409.0 | 78.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 664 | 509 | 76.6\% | 449.3 | 48.8 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 28 | 71.0\% | 324.3 | 70.0 | F |
|  | Through | 798 | 679 | 85.0\% | 344.5 | 37.3 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 381 | 323 | 84.8\% | 331.9 | 39.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,219 | 1,030 | 84.5\% | 339.9 | 37.6 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 72 | 71 | 98.5\% | 66.5 | 31.6 | E |
|  | Through | 385 | 393 | 102.1\% | 18.0 | 5.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 99 | 102 | 103.4\% | 3.6 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 556 | 566 | 101.8\% | 22.0 | 7.4 | C |
| Total |  | 2,975 | 2,630 | 88.4\% | 246.2 | 27.4 | F |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 22 | 21 | 94.1\% | 41.6 | 12.0 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 136 | 137 | 100.9\% | 23.4 | 2.0 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 158 | 158 | 99.9\% | 25.8 | 2.5 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 571 | 547 | 95.8\% | 143.3 | 81.7 | F |
|  | Through | 111 | 112 | 101.3\% | 90.3 | 68.8 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 127 | 124 | 97.7\% | 62.3 | 65.1 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 809 | 783 | 96.8\% | 122.9 | 78.7 | F |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,019 | 855 | 83.9\% | 126.0 | 55.5 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 203 | 169 | 83.3\% | 101.3 | 54.6 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,222 | 1,024 | 83.8\% | 121.3 | 55.5 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 411 | 402 | 97.8\% | 76.1 | 43.2 | E |
|  | Through | 469 | 484 | 103.2\% | 31.4 | 18.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 880 | 886 | 100.7\% | 52.2 | 29.6 | D |
| Total |  | 3,069 | 2,851 | 92.9\% | 95.1 | 29.4 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
AM Peak Hour
PSR/PDS
Intersection 3
US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Uncontrolled

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,161 | 1,055 | 90.9\% | 4.7 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 565 | 484 | 85.6\% | 8.4 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,726 | 1,539 | 89.2\% | 5.8 | 0.4 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 880 | 889 | 101.0\% | 18.5 | 15.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 338 | 318 | 94.1\% | 10.7 | 11.1 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,218 | 1,207 | 99.1\% | 16.6 | 14.5 | C |
| Total |  | 2,944 | 2,745 | 93.3\% | 10.6 | 6.7 | B |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 782 | 781 | 99.8\% | 22.9 | 2.7 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 452 | 440 | 97.3\% | 24.1 | 4.9 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,234 | 1,220 | 98.9\% | 23.3 | 2.5 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,041 | 937 | 90.0\% | 25.6 | 5.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 115 | 96.2\% | 21.4 | 5.2 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,161 | 1,052 | 90.6\% | 25.1 | 5.3 | C |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 436 | 429 | 98.3\% | 14.1 | 1.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 190 | 192 | 100.9\% | 3.1 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 626 | 620 | 99.1\% | 10.6 | 1.3 | B |
| Total |  | 3,021 | 2,893 | 95.7\% | 21.3 | 2.3 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Intersection 5
Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 156 | 158 | 101.2\% | 18.4 | 4.5 | B |
|  | Through | 15 | 15 | 97.3\% | 21.6 | 10.4 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 79 | 75 | 94.4\% | 3.0 | 1.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 250 | 247 | 98.8\% | 14.4 | 4.0 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 13 | 13 | 99.2\% | 18.2 | 8.0 | B |
|  | Through | 59 | 62 | 105.1\% | 20.4 | 4.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 125 | 126 | 100.4\% | 1.9 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 197 | 200 | 101.7\% | 9.5 | 1.9 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 135 | 122 | 90.6\% | 20.0 | 4.1 | B |
|  | Through | 458 | 424 | 92.5\% | 15.2 | 2.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 825 | 755 | 91.5\% | 15.0 | 3.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,418 | 1,301 | 91.7\% | 15.6 | 2.2 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 122 | 121 | 99.3\% | 25.2 | 10.9 | C |
|  | Through | 345 | 335 | 97.0\% | 16.5 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 12 | 13 | 108.3\% | 10.7 | 8.2 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 479 | 469 | 97.9\% | 18.6 | 3.8 | B |
| Total |  | 2,344 | 2,217 | 94.6\% | 15.5 | 1.5 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Los Gamos Kaiser

Volume and Delay by Movement

## Cumulative No Project

PM Peak Hour PSR/PDS

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 170 | 173 | 101.8\% | 53.5 | 35.2 | D |
|  | Through | 240 | 245 | 102.2\% | 28.3 | 8.8 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 149 | 152 | 102.1\% | 7.6 | 6.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 559 | 570 | 102.0\% | 30.6 | 16.7 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 98 | 96 | 97.8\% | 35.3 | 6.2 | D |
|  | Through | 111 | 108 | 97.6\% | 29.0 | 4.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 23 | 104.1\% | 9.6 | 8.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 231 | 227 | 98.3\% | 29.8 | 4.4 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 22 | 19 | 86.4\% | 42.1 | 14.0 | D |
|  | Through | 396 | 393 | 99.3\% | 27.6 | 3.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 141 | 141 | 100.1\% | 12.8 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 559 | 554 | 99.0\% | 24.6 | 3.5 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 153 | 147 | 95.9\% | 58.4 | 26.3 | E |
|  | Through | 477 | 478 | 100.2\% | 18.8 | 3.2 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 174 | 180 | 103.3\% | 5.9 | 1.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 804 | 805 | 100.1\% | 22.5 | 6.3 | C |
| Total |  | 2,153 | 2,155 | 100.1\% | 25.8 | 5.2 | C |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 91 | 92 | 100.9\% | 46.1 | 7.4 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 606 | 611 | 100.8\% | 31.0 | 4.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 697 | 703 | 100.8\% | 33.0 | 4.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 425 | 422 | 99.2\% | 45.8 | 9.4 | D |
|  | Through | 43 | 45 | 103.5\% | 34.7 | 9.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 158 | 162 | 102.2\% | 8.7 | 2.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 626 | 628 | 100.3\% | 36.1 | 6.9 | D |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 643 | 642 | 99.8\% | 70.9 | 25.5 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 66 | 63 | 94.7\% | 35.0 | 21.5 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 709 | 704 | 99.3\% | 67.6 | 24.9 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 172 | 169 | 98.3\% | 49.9 | 2.8 | D |
|  | Through | 640 | 637 | 99.6\% | 28.5 | 3.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 812 | 806 | 99.3\% | 33.1 | 2.8 | C |
| Total |  | 2,844 | 2,841 | 99.9\% | 43.1 | 7.7 | D |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour PSR/PDS

Intersection 3
US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Uncontrolled

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 741 | 742 | 100.1\% | 3.5 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 933 | 932 | 99.9\% | 10.6 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,674 | 1,674 | 100.0\% | 7.4 | 1.0 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 812 | 810 | 99.8\% | 12.6 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 827 | 828 | 100.1\% | 9.4 | 1.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,639 | 1,638 | 99.9\% | 11.0 | 1.8 | B |
| Total |  | 3,313 | 3,311 | 100.0\% | 9.2 | 1.1 | A |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 590 | 580 | 98.3\% | 25.0 | 2.7 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 559 | 561 | 100.4\% | 17.2 | 4.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,149 | 1,141 | 99.3\% | 21.2 | 2.7 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 397 | 391 | 98.6\% | 21.4 | 3.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 344 | 352 | 102.2\% | 19.9 | 3.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 741 | 743 | 100.3\% | 20.7 | 3.4 | C |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,049 | 1,057 | 100.7\% | 23.7 | 2.4 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 721 | 719 | 99.8\% | 9.3 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,770 | 1,776 | 100.4\% | 17.8 | 1.6 | B |
| Total |  | 3,660 | 3,660 | 100.0\% | 19.5 | 1.7 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Intersection 5
Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 720 | 719 | 99.8\% | 36.7 | 5.6 | D |
|  | Through | 37 | 39 | 106.5\% | 38.4 | 9.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 149 | 151 | 101.1\% | 11.7 | 2.1 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 906 | 909 | 100.3\% | 32.5 | 5.3 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 14 | 15 | 104.3\% | 37.9 | 9.6 | D |
|  | Through | 49 | 47 | 95.9\% | 33.8 | 6.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 284 | 282 | 99.3\% | 15.1 | 6.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 347 | 344 | 99.0\% | 18.4 | 6.2 | B |
| EB | Left Turn | 143 | 149 | 104.3\% | 35.1 | 3.9 | D |
|  | Through | 553 | 546 | 98.6\% | 22.7 | 2.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 244 | 243 | 99.4\% | 4.4 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 940 | 937 | 99.7\% | 20.0 | 2.1 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 88 | 79 | 89.3\% | 48.6 | 8.8 | D |
|  | Through | 766 | 776 | 101.3\% | 36.7 | 5.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 16 | 18 | 112.5\% | 32.7 | 7.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 870 | 872 | 100.3\% | 37.9 | 4.8 | D |
| Total |  | 3,063 | 3,062 | 100.0\% | 28.7 | 2.7 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Los Gamos Kaiser
Cumulative Plus Project
AM Peak Hour
PSR/PDS
Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 151 | 146 | 96.8\% | 80.2 | 53.0 | F |
|  | Through | 224 | 229 | 102.4\% | 37.1 | 6.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 163 | 161 | 98.8\% | 11.1 | 3.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 538 | 537 | 99.7\% | 41.3 | 16.6 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 219 | 117 | 53.2\% | 613.7 | 39.9 | F |
|  | Through | 411 | 217 | 52.7\% | 614.8 | 61.2 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 35 | 18 | 51.1\% | 603.2 | 65.4 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 665 | 351 | 52.8\% | 612.8 | 52.1 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 40 | 34 | 85.0\% | 371.4 | 53.0 | F |
|  | Through | 802 | 657 | 81.9\% | 384.2 | 40.5 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 381 | 310 | 81.3\% | 384.5 | 51.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,223 | 1,001 | 81.8\% | 384.0 | 42.6 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 73 | 71 | 97.0\% | 60.4 | 14.1 | E |
|  | Through | 387 | 388 | 100.2\% | 19.4 | 5.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 99 | 101 | 102.1\% | 4.8 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 559 | 560 | 100.1\% | 21.7 | 5.5 | C |
| Total |  | 2,985 | 2,448 | 82.0\% | 259.7 | 21.8 | F |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 26 | 27 | 102.3\% | 46.1 | 15.7 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 179 | 175 | 97.9\% | 21.3 | 2.3 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 205 | 202 | 98.4\% | 24.1 | 2.9 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 571 | 559 | 97.9\% | 161.1 | 82.9 | F |
|  | Through | 148 | 150 | 101.3\% | 124.6 | 80.4 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 127 | 125 | 98.2\% | 76.6 | 73.9 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 846 | 834 | 98.5\% | 141.4 | 80.4 | F |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,019 | 813 | 79.8\% | 97.3 | 51.4 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 209 | 160 | 76.7\% | 75.4 | 51.7 | E |
|  | Subtotal | 1,228 | 973 | 79.3\% | 93.9 | 51.1 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 445 | 438 | 98.4\% | 131.2 | 58.1 | F |
|  | Through | 469 | 472 | 100.6\% | 68.6 | 49.2 | E |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 914 | 910 | 99.6\% | 99.1 | 53.3 | F |
| Total |  | 3,193 | 2,919 | 91.4\% | 104.5 | 33.4 | F |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Cumulative Plus Project
AM Peak Hour PSR/PDS

Intersection 3
US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Uncontrolled

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 1,185 \\ 584 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,069 \\ 478 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.2 \% \\ & 81.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Subtotal | 1,769 | 1,547 | 87.5\% | 5.3 | 0.4 | A |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{aligned} & 914 \\ & 338 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 921 \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.8 \% \\ 96.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.9 \\ & 26.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.6 \\ & 25.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Subtotal | 1,252 | 1,246 | 99.5\% | 32.5 | 29.0 | D |
| Total |  | 3,021 | 2,793 | 92.4\% | 17.7 | 13.3 | C |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 813 | 813 | 100.0\% | 38.0 | 27.0 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 452 | 451 | 99.8\% | 33.5 | 11.9 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,265 | 1,264 | 99.9\% | 36.7 | 20.5 | D |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,043 | 936 | 89.8\% | 20.0 | 2.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 142 | 132 | 93.0\% | 18.2 | 3.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,185 | 1,068 | 90.1\% | 19.7 | 2.2 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 439 | 441 | 100.4\% | 29.0 | 27.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 190 | 196 | 103.2\% | 3.9 | 1.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 629 | 637 | 101.3\% | 21.7 | 20.1 | C |
| Total |  | 3,079 | 2,969 | 96.4\% | 27.3 | 12.6 | C |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Los Gamos Kaiser
Cumulative Plus Project

Intersection 5

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 156 | 163 | 104.2\% | 18.1 | 3.2 | B |
|  | Through | 15 | 16 | 104.0\% | 21.4 | 7.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 79 | 78 | 98.4\% | 3.4 | 1.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 250 | 256 | 102.3\% | 13.7 | 2.4 | B |
| SB | Left Turn | 13 | 12 | 93.8\% | 20.3 | 10.7 | C |
|  | Through | 59 | 57 | 96.6\% | 20.9 | 4.4 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 125 | 130 | 103.8\% | 2.0 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 197 | 199 | 101.0\% | 8.4 | 2.2 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 135 | 127 | 94.0\% | 22.2 | 3.9 | C |
|  | Through | 460 | 419 | 91.1\% | 14.2 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 825 | 766 | 92.8\% | 17.9 | 4.2 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,420 | 1,312 | 92.4\% | 17.1 | 3.0 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 122 | 122 | 100.2\% | 22.7 | 5.2 | C |
|  | Through | 348 | 346 | 99.3\% | 15.5 | 3.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 12 | 14 | 114.2\% | 8.1 | 6.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 482 | 481 | 99.9\% | 17.1 | 3.1 | B |
| Total |  | 2,349 | 2,248 | 95.7\% | 15.9 | 2.4 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Los Gamos Kaiser Cumulative Plus Project

PM Peak Hour PSR/PDS

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 170 | 169 | 99.1\% | 48.9 | 19.7 | D |
|  | Through | 240 | 253 | 105.4\% | 26.8 | 5.9 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 151 | 157 | 103.6\% | 6.0 | 3.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 561 | 578 | 103.0\% | 28.0 | 7.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 99 | 95 | 95.6\% | 33.7 | 6.0 | C |
|  | Through | 111 | 108 | 97.2\% | 27.0 | 4.8 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 22 | 23 | 106.4\% | 9.2 | 5.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 232 | 226 | 97.4\% | 28.3 | 4.0 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 22 | 24 | 107.3\% | 44.8 | 11.0 | D |
|  | Through | 402 | 407 | 101.2\% | 28.7 | 1.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 141 | 140 | 99.2\% | 13.5 | 1.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 565 | 570 | 100.9\% | 26.0 | 1.0 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 157 | 157 | 99.7\% | 102.2 | 50.7 | F |
|  | Through | 487 | 485 | 99.5\% | 35.1 | 27.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 176 | 179 | 101.4\% | 21.8 | 27.7 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 820 | 820 | 100.0\% | 45.4 | 32.6 | D |
| Total |  | 2,178 | 2,194 | 100.7\% | 34.1 | 11.7 | C |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 107 | 103 | 96.4\% | 52.0 | 19.3 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 790 | 781 | 98.8\% | 50.3 | 27.8 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 897 | 884 | 98.5\% | 50.5 | 26.8 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 425 | 423 | 99.6\% | 28.3 | 4.0 | C |
|  | Through | 97 | 95 | 97.6\% | 25.1 | 4.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 158 | 157 | 99.4\% | 11.5 | 1.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 680 | 675 | 99.3\% | 23.8 | 2.6 | C |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 643 | 640 | 99.6\% | 98.5 | 52.2 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 75 | 75 | 100.1\% | 54.8 | 43.7 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 718 | 715 | 99.6\% | 94.1 | 52.0 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 221 | 228 | 102.9\% | 34.9 | 3.8 | C |
|  | Through | 640 | 653 | 102.0\% | 17.4 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 861 | 881 | 102.3\% | 22.0 | 2.9 | C |
| Total |  | 3,156 | 3,155 | 100.0\% | 47.3 | 16.4 | D |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour PSR/PDS

Intersection 3
US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Uncontrolled

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 845 \\ 1,013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 845 \\ & 996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 100.0\% } \\ 98.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ 10.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,858 | 1,841 | 99.1\% | 7.3 | 0.7 | A |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{aligned} & 861 \\ & 827 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 875 \\ & 811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 101.7\% } \\ 98.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6 \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,688 | 1,687 | 99.9\% | 11.4 | 2.7 | B |
| Total |  | 3,546 | 3,528 | 99.5\% | 9.3 | 1.2 | A |

Intersection $4 \quad$ US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 635 | 624 | 98.3\% | 19.3 | 2.8 | B |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 559 | 547 | 97.9\% | 16.0 | 2.5 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,194 | 1,172 | 98.1\% | 17.8 | 1.9 | B |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 405 | 407 | 100.4\% | 14.0 | 1.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 440 | 448 | 101.8\% | 12.4 | 1.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 845 | 855 | 101.1\% | 13.1 | 1.5 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,053 | 1,065 | 101.2\% | 20.3 | 2.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 721 | 716 | 99.3\% | 9.0 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,774 | 1,781 | 100.4\% | 15.8 | 2.0 | B |
| Total |  | 3,813 | 3,808 | 99.9\% | 15.8 | 0.7 | B |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Los Gamos Kaiser

Volume and Delay by Movement

## Cumulative Plus Project

Intersection 5
Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 720 | 712 | 98.8\% | 35.5 | 3.9 | D |
|  | Through | 37 | 37 | 100.5\% | 37.8 | 9.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 149 | 147 | 98.3\% | 12.0 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 906 | 895 | 98.8\% | 31.8 | 3.5 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 14 | 14 | 102.1\% | 43.3 | 29.3 | D |
|  | Through | 49 | 49 | 99.0\% | 43.7 | 12.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 284 | 286 | 100.5\% | 26.0 | 20.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 347 | 348 | 100.4\% | 29.2 | 18.9 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 143 | 142 | 99.3\% | 40.5 | 7.8 | D |
|  | Through | 561 | 549 | 97.9\% | 21.5 | 2.2 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 244 | 244 | 100.1\% | 4.1 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 948 | 936 | 98.7\% | 19.7 | 2.1 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 88 | 89 | 101.6\% | 53.5 | 7.6 | D |
|  | Through | 770 | 776 | 100.7\% | 38.8 | 12.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 16 | 18 | 112.5\% | 31.4 | 21.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 874 | 883 | 101.0\% | 40.3 | 11.4 | D |
| Total |  | 3,075 | 3,062 | 99.6\% | 30.5 | 6.5 | C |

## APPENDIX C: PEAK HOUR SIGNAL WARRANTS



## FEHRケPEERS

|  |  | Project | Los Gamos Kaiser |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Lucas Valley Rd | Scenario | Existing Conditions |
| Minor Street | Los Gamos Dr | Peak Hour | AM |

Turn Movement Volumes

|  | NB | SB | EB | WB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | 19 | 0 | 0 | 239 |
| Through | 0 | 0 | 760 | 387 |
| Right | 107 | 0 | 101 | 0 |
| Total | 126 | 0 | 861 | 626 |

## Major Street Direction

|  | North/South |
| :--- | :--- |
| x | East/West |



|  | Major Street | Minor Street | Warrant Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lucas Valley Rd | Los Gamos Dr |  |
| Number of Approach Lanes | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | YES |
| Traffic Volume (VPH) * | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |  |
| * Note: <br> Traffic Volume for Major Street is Total Volume of Both Approches. <br> Traffic Volume for Minor Street is the Volume of High Volume Approach. |  |  |  |

## FEHRケPEERS

|  |  | Project <br> Major Street | Lucas Valley Rd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minor Street | Scenario | Los Gamos Dr Kaiser |  |
|  |  | Peak Hour | Existing Conditions |

Turn Movement Volumes

|  | NB | SB | EB | WB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | 45 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| Through | 0 | 0 | 514 | 559 |
| Right | 257 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| Total | 302 | 0 | 541 | 732 |

Major Street Direction
$\qquad$ North/South East/West


|  | Major Street | Minor Street | Warrant Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lucas Valley Rd | Los Gamos Dr |  |
| Number of Approach Lanes | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | YES |
| Traffic Volume (VPH) * | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 2}$ |  |
| * Note: <br> Traffic Volume for Major Street is Total Volume of Both Approches. <br> Traffic Volume for Minor Street is the Volume of High Volume Approach. |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D: DETAILED FREEWAY LOS RESULTS



Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3540 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 922 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1260 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

19.4

C
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on/Redwood on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3882 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1011 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1036 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 15.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

3882 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 101 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane | ft |  |
|  |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3882 |  | 101 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1011 |  | 26 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
1.00 4145 1.00 108
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1766 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence B
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.331$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=62.3$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S_{0}=60.2$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Road off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3983 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1037 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

- ft
- ft
- ramps/mi

4
Measured
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

- $\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1063 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 16.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

16.4

B
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln
ft

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3284 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 855 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1169 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3400 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 885 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | \% |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 908 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 14.0 |  |

14.0

B
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
ft
f
ramps/mi
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
mi/h
mi/h
mi/h
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | 9/21/2016 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

## Merge

4
65.0 mph

3400 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 134 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3400 |  | 134 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 885 |  | 35 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable 1595 4600

Violation?
No
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=16.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $B$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.327$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=62.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S_{0}=60.5$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3534 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 920 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 943 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 14.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3407 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 887 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1213 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 18.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3616 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 942 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1287 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 19.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 3616 | vph |


|  | On Ramp |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 838 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 110 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |



```
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
    0.976
Driver population factor, fP
1.00
    1.00
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
v
3137
12A
Level of Service Determination (if not F) \(\qquad\)

Density, \(D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=28.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(D\)
Speed Estimation

```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Lucas Valley Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4454 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1160 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \(\%\) \\
Terrain type: & Level & \\
Grade & - & \(\%\) \\
Segment length & - & mi \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 4 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1189 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 4 & \\
Density, D & 18.3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Lucas Valley Rd on/off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4002 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1042 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \% \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1424 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 21.9 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Lucas Valley On \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
3
65.0 mph

3999 vph
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 596 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 150 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
& &
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas \(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable
3120 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=28.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.399\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=55.8\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.4\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=57.4\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitas0FF \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4595 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1197 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1635 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 64.2 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 25.5 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}

Diverge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Manuel T Freitas off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
65.0 mph

4595 vph

Off Ramp Data \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-Flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 679 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 120 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
& & \\
& No & \\
Does adjacent ramp exist? & & vph \\
Volume on adjacent ramp & & \\
Position of adjacent ramp & Ramp & Data \\
Type of adjacent ramp & & \\
Distance to adjacent ramp & &
\end{tabular}


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area

Actual 3250

Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
\(\qquad\) Level of Service Determination (if not F)
\(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=31.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation \(\qquad\)
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on / off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3916 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1020 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1394 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
21.4

C
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas off-on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5040 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1313 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1794 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
62.8 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \\
28.6 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
28.6
pc/mi/ln
D

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5654 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1472 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
2012 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
59.7 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
33.7
pc/mi/ln
D
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Redwood Highway on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

5654 vph
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 301 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 190 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 5654 & & 301 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1472 & & 78 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas \(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2735 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.368\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.5\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.3\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.6\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5955 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1551 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \(\%\) \\
Terrain type: & Level & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & - & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 0.976 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\) Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1590 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
64.5 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
24.7 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

C
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5274 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1373 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1408 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
21.7 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5456 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1421 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \(\%\) \\
Terrain type: & Level & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & - & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 0.976 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
-
4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- mi/h
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1456 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
22.4 &
\end{tabular}
22.4

C
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln
ft
ft
ramps/mi
i/h
\(i / h\)
\(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Smith Ranch Rd WB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

5456 vph
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 388 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 190 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
& &
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 5456 & & 388 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1421 & & 101 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2744 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.368\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.5\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.5\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.7\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5844 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1522 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
-
4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1560 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
64.6 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
24.1 & \\
C &
\end{tabular}

1560
65.0
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln
C
ft
ft
ramps/mi

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5567 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1450 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1981 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 60.2 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Density, D & 32.9 &
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3849 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1002 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1370 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
21.1
pc/mi/ln

C
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Miller Creek on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
3
65.0 mph

3849 vph
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 164 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 110 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 3849 & & 164 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1002 & & 43 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00

4110 1.00 175
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2561 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=24.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.364\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.6\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.6\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.2\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Lucas Valley Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4013 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1045 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\) Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1071 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
16.5 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
16.5
pc/mi/ln

B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyRd off/on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3745 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 975 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1333 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

C
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln

Fax:
E-mail:
Merge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & LucasValleyON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
\(\qquad\) On Ramp Data
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 740 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 150 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
& & \\
& Adjacent Ramp & Data \\
& (if one exists) & \\
Does adjacent ramp exist? & & \\
Volume on adjacent Ramp & vph \\
Position of adjacent Ramp & & \\
Type of adjacent Ramp & & ft
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 3745 & & 740 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 975 & & 193 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas \(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable
3116 4600

Violation?
No
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=28.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.398\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=55.8\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.8\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=57.5\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitas0FF \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4485 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1168 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1596 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
64.5 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \\
24.8 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
24.8
pc/mi/ln

C

Diverge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Manuel T Freitas off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\) Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
65.0 mph

4485 vph

Off Ramp Data \(\qquad\)

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-Flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

584 vph
120 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual 3171 Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
\(\qquad\) Level of Service Determination (if not F)
\(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=30.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation \(\qquad\)
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(D=0.484\) & \\
\(S\) & \\
\(S=53.9\) & mph \\
\(R\) & \(=68.9\) \\
\(S^{0}\) & mph \\
\(S^{0}=58.2\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on / off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3901 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1016 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1388 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
21.4

C
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas off-on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3702 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 964 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & m \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1318 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
20.3
pc/mi/ln

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on/Redwood on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4044 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1053 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
-
4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- mi/h
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1079 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
16.6 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
16.6
pc/mi/ln
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
po/mi/ln

B
ft
ft
ramps/mi
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Redwood Highway On \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 4 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 4044 & vph \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

101 vph
190 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 4044 & & 101 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1053 & & 26 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
1835 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(B\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.332\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=57.4\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=62.1\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=60.1\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Road off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4145 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1079 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1106 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
17.0 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3378 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & v \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 880 & \(\%\) \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1202 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, s & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Density, D & 18.5 &
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3519 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 916 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
939 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
14.4

B
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln\)
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
ft
f
ramps/mi
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
mi/h
mi/h
mi/h
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Smith Ranch Rd WB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

3519 vph
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 134 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 190 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 3519 & & 134 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 916 & & 35 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual
1645
Max Desirable 4600
Violation?
No
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=17.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(B\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.328\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=57.5\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=62.7\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S_{0}=60.4\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3653 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 951 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
975 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
15.0 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
15.0
pc/mi/ln

B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3526 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 918 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1255 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
19.3
pc/mi/ln

C
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3694 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 962 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & o \\
Terrain type: & Level & \\
Grade & - & o \\
Segment length & - & mi \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 0.976 & 1.00 \\
Driver population factor, fp & 1315 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1315 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 20.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:
Merge Analysis
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Miller Creek on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & 3694
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Side of freeway & Right & \\
\hline Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
\hline Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
\hline Volume on ramp & 838 & vph \\
\hline Length of first accel/decel lane & 110 & ft \\
\hline Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Does adjacent ramp exist? & No \\
Volume on adjacent Ramp & \\
Position of adjacent Ramp & \\
Type of adjacent Ramp & \\
Distance to adjacent Ramp & ft
\end{tabular}


\(\qquad\)

Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
31854600 No

12A
Level of Service Determination (if not \(F\) ) \(\qquad\)

Density, \(D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=29.2 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(D\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Intermediate speed variable, & \[
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.408
\] & \\
\hline Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \[
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}=55.6
\] & mph \\
\hline Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \[
S_{0}=60.8
\] & mph \\
\hline Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S=57.3\) & mph \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Lucas Valley Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4532 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1180 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \% \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & o \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 4 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1210 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 4 & \\
Density, D & 18.6 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Lucas Valley Rd on/off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4002 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1042 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \% \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & o \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1424 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 21.9 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:
Merge Analysis
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Lucas Valley On \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 4002 & vph
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Side of freeway & Right & \\
\hline Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
\hline Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
\hline Volume on ramp & 616 & vph \\
\hline Length of first accel/decel lane & 150 & ft \\
\hline Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Does adjacent ramp exist? & No \\
Volume on adjacent Ramp & \\
Position of adjacent Ramp & \\
Type of adjacent Ramp & \\
Distance to adjacent Ramp & ft
\end{tabular}

```

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976
Driver population factor, fP1.00
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
v
3144 4600

No
12A
Level of Service Determination (if not F) $\qquad$

Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=28.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$

Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.401$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}=55.8$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S_{0}=60.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S=57.4$ | mph |

```
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitasOFF \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Existing Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
```

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4615 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1202 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | 1.5 | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1642 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 25.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

## Phone:

Fax:
E-mail:

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Diverge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 4615 |

Off Ramp Data $\qquad$

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | 679 |
| Volume on ramp | 120 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
No
Volume on adjacent ramp
vph
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp
ft



Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual Max Desirable Violation? 3261 4400

No

Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $\quad \underset{R}{D}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}-0.009 \mathrm{~L} \quad=31.2 \quad \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$

Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$


```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3936 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1025 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1401 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 21.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5085 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1324 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1810 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

1810
62.6
28.9

D
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5699 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1484 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2028 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 59.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

34.1
pc/mi/ln
D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5699 | vph |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

301 vph
190 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2755 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.369$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.6$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6000 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1563 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

- ft
- ft
- 

4
Measured
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

- mi/h
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1602 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 64.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 24.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5274 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1373 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

- ft
- ft
- 

4
Measured
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

- mi/h
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1408 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 21.7 |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5584 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1454 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1491 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 64.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 23.0 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

5584 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 388 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane | ft |  |
|  |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5584 |  | 388 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1454 |  | 101 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2798 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.372$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.6$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5972 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1555 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

- ft
- ft
- 

4
Measured
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

- mi/h
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1594 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.5 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 24.7 |  |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5695 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1483 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2027 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 59.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

34.1
pc/mi/ln
D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3799 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 989 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - | m |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1352 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 3799 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

1
35.0 mph

164 vph
110 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent <br> Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3799 |  | 164 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 989 |  | 43 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2530 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=24.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.362$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.2$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3963 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1032 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

- ft
- ft
- ramps/mi

4
Measured
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

- $\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1058 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 16.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

16.3
pc/mi/ln
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

B
ft

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRd off/on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3644 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 949 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1297 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

20.0
pc/mi/ln
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | LucasValleyon |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 3644 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

841 vph
150 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3644 |  | 841 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 949 |  | 219 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable
3161 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=28.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.403$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=55.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=57.4$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitas0FF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4485 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1168 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1596 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 64.5 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 24.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Diver |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4485 | vph |
| Off Ramp Data |  |  |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 584 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 120 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual 3171 Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
$\qquad$ Level of Service Determination (if not F)
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=30.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.484$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S=53.9$ | mph |
| $R$ | $=68.9$ |
| $S^{0}$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=58.2$ | mph |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Existing Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3901 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1016 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1388 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

21.4

C
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3764 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 980 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1340 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 20.6 |  |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on/Redwood on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% 0cc No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4123 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1074 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | - |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

- ft
- ft
- 

4
Measured
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

- $\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1101 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 16.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

16.9
pc/mi/ln
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
po/mi/ln

B
ft
ft
ramps/mi
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | 9/16/2016 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Mereeway |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway |  |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 6 |  |
| Volume on freeway | 4123 | mph |
|  |  | vph |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

106 vph
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4123 |  | 106 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1074 |  | 28 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual
1873
Max Desirable 4600
Violation?
No

V 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence B
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.333$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.3$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=62.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=60.0$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Road off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4229 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1101 | V |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | \% |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1129 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1129 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 17.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% 0cc No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3449 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 898 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1228 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 18.9 |  |

18.9
pc/mi/ln
C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3578 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 932 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 955 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 14.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

14.7

B
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 141 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp |  | ft |



Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable 1679 4600

Violation?
No
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=17.3 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $B$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.329$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=62.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=60.4$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3719 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 968 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | \% |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 993 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 15.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3586 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 934 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1276 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 19.6 |  |

19.6
pc/mi/ln

C

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3966 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1033 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1412 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 21.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

Type of analysis

Merge
3
65.0 mph
$3966 \quad$ vph

Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

```
Phone:
Fax:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
-mail:
$\qquad$



$\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
34004600 No

V 12 A
Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=30.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M$ | $=0.430$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | S | $=55.1$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | S | $=60.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | 0 | S | $=56.8$ |
|  | mph |  |  |

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4847 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1262 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1294 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 19.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd on/off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4221 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1099 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1502 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Lucas Valley On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline 100\% Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

Type of analysis

Merge
3
65.0 mph

4221 vph

On Ramp Data $\qquad$



```
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
    0.976
Driver population factor, fP1.00
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area \(\qquad\)
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
3298 4600

No
v
12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F) \(\qquad\)

Density, \(D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=29.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(D\)

Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Intermediate speed variable, & \[
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.416
\] & \\
\hline Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \[
\underset{R}{S}=55.4
\] & mph \\
\hline Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \[
S_{0}=60.0
\] & mph \\
\hline Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S=57.0\) & mph \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValley0N/ManuelFreitas0FF \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% 0cc No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4826 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1257 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1718 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
63.6 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

18
63.6
pc/mi/ln
D

Diverge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & 9/22/2016 \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Manuel Treitas off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
65.0 mph

4826 vph

Off Ramp Data \(\qquad\)

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-Flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

714 vph
120 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area

Actual 3380 Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
v
12

Level of Service Determination (if not F) \(\qquad\)
Density, \(\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=32.2 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation \(\qquad\)
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(D=0.497\) & \\
\(S\) & \\
\(S=53.6\) & mph \\
\(S^{R}=68.3\) & mph \\
\(S^{0}=57.9\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on / off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4112 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1071 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1463 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 64.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 22.5 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas off-on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5302 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1381 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1887 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
61.6 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
30.6 &
\end{tabular}
30.6
pc/mi/ln
D

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5947 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1549 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & m \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
2117 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
57.7 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
36.7 &
\end{tabular}
36.7
pc/mi/ln
E
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Redwood Highway on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

5947 vph
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 316 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 190 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Adjacent \\
Ramp
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 5947 & & 316 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1549 & & 82 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 6350
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2877 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=26.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.377\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.3\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=59.9\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.3\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Volume, V & 6263 & veh/h \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1631 & V \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & \\
\hline Grade & - & \% \\
\hline Segment length & - & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \\
\hline Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 0.976 & \\
\hline Driver population factor, fp & 1.00 & \\
\hline Flow rate, vp & 1672 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
-
4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- mi/h
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1672 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
64.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
26.1 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
D

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5539 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & v \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1442 & \(\%\) \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & m \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc/h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1479 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
64.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
22.8 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5782 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1506 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & m \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1543 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
64.7 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
23.8 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

C
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln\)
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Smith Ranch Rd WB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

5782 vph
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 407 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 190 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 5782 & & 407 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1506 & & 106 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 6173
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2904 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=26.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.379\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.3\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.1\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.4\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 6189 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1612 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1652 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, s & 64.1 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 4 & \\
Density, D & 25.8 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5898 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1536 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
2099 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
58.1 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \\
36.1 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
36.1
pc/mi/ln
E

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4013 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1045 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1428 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \\
22.0 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
22.0
pc/mi/ln
C
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Miller Creek on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
3
65.0 mph

4013 vph
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 172 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 110 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2672 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.370\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.5\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.3\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.0\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Lucas Valley Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4185 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1090 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1117 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
17.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyRd off/on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3893 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1014 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1386 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
21.3
pc/mi/ln

C
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & 9/22/2016 \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & LucasValleyon \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
3
65.0 mph

3893 vph
\begin{tabular}{lcl} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 818 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 150 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 3893 & & 818 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1014 & & 213 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks

\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& Actual & Flow & Max Desirable \\
V & 3291 & 4600 & Violation?
\end{tabular} Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=29.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.415\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=55.4\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.5\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S_{0}=57.1\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValley0N/ManuelFreitas0FF \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% 0cc No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4711 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1227 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1677 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
63.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

1677
65.0
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln
D

Diverge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Manuel T Freitas off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
65.0 mph

4711 vph

Off Ramp Data \(\qquad\)

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-Flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

613 vph
120 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area

Actual 3298 Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
\(\qquad\) Level of Service Determination (if not F)
\(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=31.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation \(\qquad\)
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on / off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4098 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1067 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\) Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1458 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
22.4 &
\end{tabular}
22.4
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas off-on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Existing Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3718 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 968 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1323 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on/Redwood on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4077 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1062 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1088 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
16.7 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
16.7

B
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Redwood Highway On \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 4 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 4077 & vph \\
& & \\
& & \\
& On Ramp Data &
\end{tabular}

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

106 vph
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 4077 & & 106 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1062 & & 28 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 1.00 4353 113
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks

\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& Actual & Flow & Max \\
v & 1854 & 4600 & Viosirable
\end{tabular} Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(B\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.333\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=57.4\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=62.1\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=60.0\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Road off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4183 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1089 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1117 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
17.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
B

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3449 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & v \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 898 & \(\%\) \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\) Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1228 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
18.9 &
\end{tabular}
18.9
pc/mi/ln
C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3570 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 930 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \% \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
953 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
14.7 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

B
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Smith Ranch Rd WB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\) Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

3570 vph
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 141 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 190 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 3570 & & 141 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 930 & & 37 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
1675 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=17.3 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence B
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.329\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=57.4\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=62.7\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=60.4\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3711 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 966 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
991 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
15.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
15.2
pc/mi/ln

B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Existing Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3578 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 932 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1273 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \\
19.6 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
C
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Existing Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3917 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1020 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & o \\
Terrain type: & Level & \% \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & - & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1394 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 21.4 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}
```

Phone:
Fax:

```

E-mail:

Merge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Miller Creek on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & 3917
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Side of freeway & Right & \\
\hline Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
\hline Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
\hline Volume on ramp & 881 & vph \\
\hline Length of first accel/decel lane & 110 & ft \\
\hline Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Does adjacent ramp exist? & No \\
Volume on adjacent Ramp & \\
Position of adjacent Ramp & \\
Type of adjacent Ramp & \\
Distance to adjacent Ramp & ft
\end{tabular}

```

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976
1.001.00
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
33694600 No
12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F) $\qquad$

Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=30.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ R R A 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M$ | $=0.427$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | S | $=55.2$ | mph

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4798 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1249 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | $\%$ |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1281 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 19.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd on/off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4222 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1099 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1503 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Lucas Valley On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 4222 |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 626 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 150 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |




Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area $\qquad$
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
3290
4600 No
v
12A
Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$

Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=29.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$
Speed Estimation


```
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitasOFF \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
```

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4821 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1255 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | o |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1716 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 63.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 27.0 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Diverge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data

| Type of analysis | Diverge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4821 | vph |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 714 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 120 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp | ft |




Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual Max Desirable Violation? 3377 4400

No
v
12

Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $\quad \underset{R}{D}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}-0.009 \mathrm{~L} \quad=\quad 32.2 \quad \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$

Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$


```
Phone: Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Existing Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4107 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1070 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1462 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 22.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas offon |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Existing Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5293 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1378 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1884 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 61.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 30.5 |  |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5938 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1546 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2113 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 57.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 36.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

36.6
pc/mi/ln
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5938 | vph |
|  |  |  |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 316 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
|  |  |  |
|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual
2873
Max Desirable 4600
Violation?
No

V 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, ${\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=26.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.377$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.3$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.3$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6254 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1629 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1669 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 64.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 26.1 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

D

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5539 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1442 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1479 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 64.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 22.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

22.8
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5730 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1492 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

## Lane width

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1529 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 64.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 23.6 |  |
| C |  |

1529
65.

4
23.6

C
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
mi/h
pc/mi/ln
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

5730 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 407 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane | ft |  |
|  |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5730 |  | 407 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1492 |  | 106 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 6118
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


|  | Actual | Flow Entering Merge Influence | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | Max Desirable | Violation? |  | Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=26.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.377$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.3$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.4$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6137 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1598 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1638 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 64.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 25.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Existing Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5846 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1522 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - | m |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2081 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 58.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 35.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

35.6
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Existing Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4044 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1053 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1439 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 22.1 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Mereeway |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway |  |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 6 |  |
| Volume on freeway | 40.0 | mph |
|  |  | vph |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

172 vph
110 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4044 |  | 172 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1053 |  | 45 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


|  | Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v | 2691 | 4600 | No | Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.371$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.5$ | mph |  |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.3$ | mph |  |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}$ | $=57.9$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4216 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1098 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1125 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 17.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

17.3
pc/mi/ln
B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRd off/on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3934 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1024 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1400 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 21.5 |  |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | LucasValleyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Mreeway |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway |  |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 3 |  |
| Volume on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
|  | 3934 | vph |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 777 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 150 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
|  |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3273 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=29.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.413$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=55.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=60.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=57.1$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitasOFF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4711 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1227 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1677 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

1677
65.0
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln
D

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
65.0 mph

4711 vph

Off Ramp Data $\qquad$

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-Flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

613 vph
120 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area

Actual 3298 Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
$\qquad$ Level of Service Determination (if not F)
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=31.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Existing Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4098 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1067 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1458 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 22.4 |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Basline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3785 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 986 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1347 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 20.7 |  |

20.7
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on/Redwood on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4144 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1079 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - | m |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1106 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 17.0 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Mereeway |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway |  |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 6 |  |
| Volume on freeway | 4144 | mph |
|  |  | vph |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

106 vph
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


|  | Actual | Flow Entering Merge Influence | Area_ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | Max Desirable | Violation? |  | Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $B$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.333$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.3$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=62.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=60.0$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Road off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4250 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1107 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1134 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 17.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
mi/h
pc/mi/ln

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
ft
f
ramps/mi
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
mi/h
mi/h
mi/h

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3492 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 909 | V |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | \% |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1243 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1243 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 19.1 |  |

19.1
pc/mi/ln

C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3632 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 946 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | \% |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 969 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 14.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

3632 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 141 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane | ft |  |
|  |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3632 |  | 141 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 946 |  | 37 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
1702 4600

No
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=17.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $B$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.329$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=62.6$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=60.3$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3773 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 983 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1007 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 15.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3640 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 948 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1295 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 19.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 3994 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1040 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | $\%$ |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1421 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 21.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 3994 |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 881 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 110 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |



$\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3417 | 4600 | No |

12A
3417 No 4600

Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=31.0 \quad \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | M | $=0.432$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | S |  |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | S | $=55.1$ | mph

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4875 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1270 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1301 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 20.0 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd on/off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4221 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1099 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1502 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Merge Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Lucas Valley On \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
```

$\qquad$

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 4221 |


|  | On Ramp |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 641 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 150 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |



```
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
    0.976
1.00
1.00 1.00
Flow rate, vp
4507
684
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
33064600 No

12A
Level of Service Determination (if not F) \(\qquad\)

Density, \(D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=30.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
R R A 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(D\)

Speed Estimation

```

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitasOFF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Baseline Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

```

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Volume, V & 4840 & veh/h \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1260 & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & \\
\hline Grade & - & \% \\
\hline Segment length & - & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \\
\hline Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 0.976 & \\
\hline Driver population factor, fp & 1.00 & \\
\hline Flow rate, vp & 1723 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} /\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1723 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 63.5 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 27.1 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & D &
\end{tabular}

\section*{Phone:}

Fax:
E-mail:

Diverge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Manuel T Freitas off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type of analysis & Diverge \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 4840
\end{tabular}

Off Ramp Data \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & mph \\
Free-Flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & 714 \\
Volume on ramp & 120 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane
\end{tabular}

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
No

Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp
vph
\(\qquad\) Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions \(\qquad\)



Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual Max Desirable Violation? 3387 4400

No

Level of Service Determination (if not \(F\) ) \(\qquad\)

Density, \(\quad D=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}=32.3 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
R 12 D
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(D\)

```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on / off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4126 & \(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1074 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \% \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1468 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 64.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 22.6 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas off-on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5446 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1418 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1938 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
60.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
31.8
pc/mi/ln

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 6091 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1586 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
2168 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
56.6 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
E
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Redwood Highway on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 4 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 6091 & vph \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

316 vph
190 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 6091 & & 316 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1586 & & 82 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2938 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \({\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=27.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.381\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.2\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=59.8\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.2\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 6407 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1668 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
-
4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- mi/h
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1710 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
63.6 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
26.9 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

1710
63.6
pc/mi/ln

D

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5655 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1473 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \% \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
-
4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- mi/h
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1509 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
64.8 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
23.3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
23.3
pc/mi/ln
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

C
ft
ft
ramps/mi
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5925 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1543 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1582 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, s & 64.5 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 4 & \\
Density, D & 24.5 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Smith Ranch Rd WB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Freeway Data \(\qquad\)
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

5925 vph
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
Volume on ramp & 407 & vph \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 190 & ft \\
Length of second accel/decel lane & ft \\
& &
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 5925 & & 407 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1543 & & 106 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 6326
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(\mathrm{L}=\) & & (Equation \(13-6\) or 13-7) \\
EQ & \\
\(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{FM}}=\) & 0.163 & Using Equation 4 \\
\(\left.\mathrm{~V}_{12}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}^{(\mathrm{P}} \underset{\mathrm{FM}}{ }\right)=1034 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2965 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=27.2 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.383\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.2\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.0\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=58.2\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 6332 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1649 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
- ramps/mi

4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- mi/h
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
ft
ft

LOS and Performance Measures
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1690 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 63.8 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 4 & \\
Density, D & 26.5 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Level of service, LOS
D
\(\qquad\)

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 6041 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1573 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
2150 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
57.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
37.7 &
\end{tabular}
37.7
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4127 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1075 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1469 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, s & 64.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Density, D & 22.6 &
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Miller Creek on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Type of analysis & Mergeeway & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 4127 & vph \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

172 vph
110 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable
2742 4600

Violation?
No
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=26.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.374\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=56.4\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.1\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=57.9\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Lucas Valley Rd off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4299 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1120 & \(\%\) \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
- ft
- ft
-
4
Measured
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
- \(\quad \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1148 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
17.7 &
\end{tabular}

B
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
pc/mi/ln
ft
ramps/mi

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyRd off/on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 3975 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1035 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1415 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
21.8
pc/mi/ln
C
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & LucasValleyon \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 3975 & vph \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

839 vph
150 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 3975 & & 839 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1035 & & 218 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas \(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
3365 4600

No
Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=30.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.423\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=55.3\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=60.4\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=56.9\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitas0FF \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4814 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1254 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 1.00 &
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1713 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
63.6 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \\
26.9 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
26.9
pc/mi/ln
D

Diverge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Manuel T Freitas off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Type of analysis & Diver & \\
\hline Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
\hline Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
\hline Volume on freeway & 4814 & vph \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Off Ramp Data} \\
\hline Side of freeway & Right & \\
\hline Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & \\
\hline Free-Flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & mph \\
\hline Volume on ramp & 613 & vph \\
\hline Length of first accel/decel lane & 120 & \(f t\) \\
\hline Length of second accel/decel lane & & ft \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks \(\qquad\)


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual 3352 Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
\(\qquad\) Level of Service Determination (if not F)
\(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=32.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation \(\qquad\)
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}
\] & \[
0.487
\] & \\
\hline S & 53.8 & mph \\
\hline R & & \\
\hline & \(=68.2\) & mph \\
\hline 0 & & \\
\hline & = 58.1 & mph \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas on / off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Baseline Plus Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4201 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1094 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1495 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 64.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 23.0 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & C &
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Manuel T Freitas off-on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4579 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1192 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1630 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, s & 64.2 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Density, D & 25.4 &
\end{tabular}

Operational Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & PM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5016 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1306 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1785 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
62.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

1785
62.9
pc/mi/ln
\(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
mi/h
\(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
28.4

D
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 16 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Redwood Highway on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Type of analysis & Merge & \\
\hline Number of lanes in freeway & 4 & \\
\hline Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
\hline Volume on freeway & 5016 & vph \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

129 vph
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2280 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=22.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.346\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=57.0\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=61.0\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S^{0}=59.3\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Road off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 5145 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1340 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1373 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
21.1 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4196 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1093 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1493 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, s & 64.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Density, D & 23.0 &
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4353 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1134 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & \(\%\) \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 0.976 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1162 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
17.9 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

B
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 NB \\
Junction: & Smith Ranch Rd WB on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Type of analysis & Merge & \\
Number of lanes in freeway & 4 & \\
Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
Volume on freeway & 4353 & vph \\
& & \\
& &
\end{tabular}

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

171 vph
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Junction Components & Freeway & & Ramp & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjacent Ramp} \\
\hline Volume, V (vph) & 4353 & & 171 & & & vph \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & & 0.96 & & & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1134 & & 45 & & & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & & 5 & & & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & & 0 & & & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & & Level & & & \\
\hline Grade & & \% & & \% & & \% \\
\hline Length & & mi & & mi & & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & & 1.5 & & & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & & 1.2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
\(\qquad\)


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Actual & Max Desirable & Violation? \\
2042 & 4600 & No
\end{tabular}
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, \({\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=20.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence \(C\)
Speed Estimation
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Intermediate speed variable, & \(M=0.338\) & \\
Space mean speed in ramp influence area, & \(S^{S}=57.2\) & mph \\
Space mean speed in outer lanes, & \(S^{R}=61.8\) & mph \\
Space mean speed for all vehicles, & \(S_{0}=59.8\) & mph
\end{tabular}

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4524 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1178 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & \(\%\) \\
Terrain type: & Level & \% \\
Grade & - & mi \\
Segment length & - & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
Lane width
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
4 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
```

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1208 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
4 & \\
18.6 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\)
\end{tabular}
C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 NB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}

Flow Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Volume, V & 4362 & veh/h \\
Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1136 & v \\
Trucks and buses & 5 & \(\%\) \\
Recreational vehicles & 0 & Level \\
Terrain type: & - & mi \\
Grade & - & \\
Segment length & 1.5 & \\
Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.2 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, \(N\)
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS
\begin{tabular}{ll}
- & ft \\
- & ft \\
- & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
3 & \\
Measured & \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
- & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1552 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, s & 64.7 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Density, D & 24.0 &
\end{tabular}
```

Phone:
Fax:

```
E-mail:

Operational Analysis
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency or Company: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date Performed: & \(9 / 22 / 2016\) \\
Analysis Time Period: & AM Peak Period \\
Freeway/Direction: & 101 SB \\
From/To: & Miller Creek off / on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Volume, V & 4729 & veh/h \\
\hline Peak-hour factor, PHF & 0.96 & \\
\hline Peak 15-min volume, v15 & 1232 & v \\
\hline Trucks and buses & 5 & \% \\
\hline Recreational vehicles & 0 & \% \\
\hline Terrain type: & Level & \\
\hline Grade & - & \% \\
\hline Segment length & - & mi \\
\hline Trucks and buses PCE, ET & 1.5 & \\
\hline Recreational vehicle PCE, ER & 1.2 & \\
\hline Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV & 0.976 & \\
\hline Driver population factor, fp & 1.00 & \\
\hline Flow rate, vp & 1683 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Speed Inputs and Adjustments \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lane width & - & ft \\
Right-side lateral clearance & - & ft \\
Total ramp density, TRD & - & \(\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Free-flow speed: & Measured & \\
FFS or BFFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lane width adjustment, fLW & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
TRD adjustment & - & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\)
\end{tabular}

LOS and Performance Measures \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Flow rate, vp & 1683 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Free-flow speed, FFS & 65.0 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Average passenger-car speed, S & 63.9 & \(\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}\) \\
Number of lanes, N & 3 & \\
Density, D & 26.4 & \(\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}\) \\
Level of service, LOS & D &
\end{tabular}

\section*{Phone:}

Fax:
E-mail:

Merge Analysis \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Analyst: & LP \\
Agency/Co.: & Fehr \& Peers \\
Date performed: & \(9 / 21 / 2016\) \\
Analysis time period: & AM Peak Hour \\
Freeway/Dir of Travel: & 101 SB \\
Junction: & Miller Creek on \\
Jurisdiction: & San Rafael \\
Analysis Year: & Cumulative 100 Occ No Project \\
Description: 1650 Los Gamos
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Type of analysis & Merge & \\
\hline Number of lanes in freeway & 3 & \\
\hline Free-flow speed on freeway & 65.0 & mph \\
\hline Volume on freeway & 4729 & vph \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Side of freeway & Right & \\
Number of lanes in ramp & 1 & mph \\
Free-flow speed on ramp & 35.0 & vph \\
Volume on ramp & 1071 & ft \\
Length of first accel/decel lane & 110 & ft
\end{tabular}

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) \(\qquad\)

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
No

Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp
vph
ft

```

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976
Driver population factor, fP
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
40754600 No

V12A
Level of Service Determination (if not F) $\qquad$
Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=36.0 \quad \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.543$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $\underset{R}{S}=52.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S_{0}=59.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S=54.6$ | mph |

## Phone:

Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5800 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1510 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1548 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 23.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd on/off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5188 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1351 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | \% |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1846 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} /$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1846 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 62.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 29.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

Phone:
E-mail:

Fax:

Merge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Lucas Valley On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

Type of analysis
Merge
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway 65.0 mph
Volume on freeway 5188 vph

On Ramp Data $\qquad$



$\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
v
12A
40444600 No
evel of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=35.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | M | $=0.533$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $\mathrm{S}=52.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $\mathrm{S}=58.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}=54.7$ |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValley0N/ManuelFreitas0FF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5871 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1529 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2090 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 58.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

35.9

E
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
$\qquad$ Off Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 868 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 120 | $f \mathrm{t}$ |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | $f t$ |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp |  | ft |



Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 6269
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual
v 3922 Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
$\qquad$ Level of Service Determination (if not F)
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=36.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence E
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $0.511$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 53.2 |
|  | 66.1 |
| 0 |  |
|  | 57.4 |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5003 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1303 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| 1781 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |781

62.9
pc/mi/ln
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
28.3

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6451 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1680 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2296 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 53.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

42.8
pc/mi/ln

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7236 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1884 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | - |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 2575 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 45.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 56.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

F
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 7236 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph
$384 \quad \mathrm{vph}$
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 7236 |  | 384 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1884 |  | 100 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3500 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=31.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.437$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=55.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.8$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7620 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1984 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 2034 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 59.3 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 34.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

34.3
pc/mi/ln
D

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6739 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1755 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, $S$
Number of lanes, $N$
Density,
Level of service, LOS

| 1799 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 28.7 |  |

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7035 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1832 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1878 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 61.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 30.4 |  |

1878
61.8
pc/mi/ln

D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 7035 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

495 vph
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


|  | Actual | Mlow Entering Merge Influence | Area_ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Violation? |  |  |  | Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=31.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.441$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=54.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.9$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7530 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1961 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| 2010 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 59.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 33.7 |  |

33.7
pc/mi/ln
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7177 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1869 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | m |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2554 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 46.1 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

55.4
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4882 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1271 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1738 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

27.4
pc/mi/ln
D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Mergeeway |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4882 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

209 vph
110 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3250 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=30.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.414$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=55.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.8$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5091 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1326 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1359 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRd off/on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 0cc No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4736 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1233 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1686 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 63.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 26.4 |  |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | LucasValleyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4736 | vph |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

995 vph
150 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976 1.00 50571062
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4004 | 4600 | No |

${ }^{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{12 \mathrm{~A}}$
Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
,

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=35.3 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation $\qquad$

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.524$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=52.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=59.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValley0N/ManuelFreitas0FF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5731 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1492 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2040 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 59.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

34.5

D
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data

| Type of analysis | Diverge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5731 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Right |  |
| Side of freeway | 1 |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 746 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 120 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 6119
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area

Actual
v 3832

Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
$\qquad$ Level of Service Determination (if not F)
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=36.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence E
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100\% Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4985 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1298 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

## Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1774 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 28.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4523 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1178 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level | \% |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | - |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1610 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 64.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 25.0 |  |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4960 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1292 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | - |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 | $\mathrm{pc/h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

## Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1765 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.1 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

28.0
pc/mi/ln
D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4960 | vph |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

129 vph
190 ft
ft
$f t$

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent <br> Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4960 |  | 129 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1292 |  | 34 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable
2256 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=21.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.345$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.1$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=61.1$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=59.3$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Road off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5089 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1325 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1358 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 20.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

20.9
pc/mi/ln

C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4196 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1093 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1493 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.0 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4344 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1131 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1160 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 17.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

B
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

## Merge

4
65.0 mph

4344 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 171 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane | ft |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4344 |  | 171 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1131 |  | 45 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population factor, fP Flow rate, vp
0.976
0.976
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2038 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, ${\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=20.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.338$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=61.8$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S_{0}=59.8$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4515 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1176 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1205 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 18.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4353 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1134 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1549 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 64.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4679 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1218 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1665 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | $26.0+$ | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 4679 |


|  | On Ramp |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 1071 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 110 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |



$\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4045 | 4600 | No |

V
12 A 4045 Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$

Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=35.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$ Speed Estimation


```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5750 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1497 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1535 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 23.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd on/off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5188 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1351 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | \% |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1846 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} /$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1846 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 62.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 29.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Lucas Valley On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 5188 |


|  | On Ramp |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 150 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | ft |  |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |



$\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
40364600 No

12A
Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=35.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.531$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $\underset{R}{S}=52.8$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S_{0}=58.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S=54.7$ | mph |

## Phone:

Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitasOFF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5866 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1528 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | o |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | 1.00 |
| Driver population factor, fp | 2088 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 2088 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 58.3 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 35.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | E |  |

## Phone:

Fax:
E-mail:

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Diverge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5866 | vph |

Off Ramp Data $\qquad$

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | 868 |
| Volume on ramp | 120 | mph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane | ft |  |

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
No

Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp
vph
$\qquad$ Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$



Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation? 3919 4400

No

Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $\quad \underset{R}{D}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}-0.009 \mathrm{~L} \quad=\quad 36.9 \quad \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$

Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$


```
Phone: Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4998 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1302 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1779 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 63.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 28.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6440 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1677 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 2292 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 53.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 42.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

E

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7225 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1882 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2571 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 45.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

2571
45.6
56.4
pc/mi/ln
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 7225 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway

Right
1
35.0 mph
$384 \quad \mathrm{vph}$
190 ft
ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
3495 4600

No
Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=31.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.436$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=55.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.9$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7609 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1982 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 2031 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 59.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 34.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6739 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1755 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1799 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 28.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6971 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1815 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1861 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 30.0 |  |

D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
4
65.0 mph

6971 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 495 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 190 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
|  |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 6971 |  | 495 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1815 |  | 129 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3506 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=31.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.438$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=54.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.8$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=57.0$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7466 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1944 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, $S$
Number of lanes, $N$
Density,
Level of service, LOS

| 1993 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 60.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 33.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | veh/h |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0113 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 0.96 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 1852 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 5 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level | \% |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | - |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 2532 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 46.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 54.1 |  |

F

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4919 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1281 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1751 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.3 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 27.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Mreeway |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway |  |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 3 |  |
| Volume on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
|  | 4919 | vph |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

209 vph
110 ft
ft
$f t$

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3272 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=30.2 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.416$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=55.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.8$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5128 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1335 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

- ft
- ft
- 

4
Measured
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

- mi/h
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
- $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$65.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1369 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 21.1 |  |

21.1

C
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln
ft
ft
ramps/mi
m/h
i/h
i/h
mi/h

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRd off/on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4785 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1246 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1703 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 26.7 |  |

1703
63.7
pc/mi/ln

D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | LucasValleyon |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4785 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

946 vph
150 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3982 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=35.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.520$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=53.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=59.1$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=55.0$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitasOFF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5731 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1492 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 2040 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 59.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 34.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
$\qquad$ Off Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 746 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 120 | $f \mathrm{t}$ |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | $f t$ |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp |  | ft |



Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 6119
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area

Actual
v 3832

Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
$\qquad$ Level of Service Determination (if not F)
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=36.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence E
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Exist Occupancy NP |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4985 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1298 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1774 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 28.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4590 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1195 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1634 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, s | 64.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D | 25.4 |  |

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative 100 Occ No Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5027 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1309 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1789 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

28.5
pc/mi/ln
D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5027 | vph |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

129 vph
190 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent <br> Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5027 |  | 129 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1309 |  | 34 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2284 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Max Desirable 4600

Violation?
No

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=22.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.346$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=61.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=59.3$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Road off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5156 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1343 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

## Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1376 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 21.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off/Lucas Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4239 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1104 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1509 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 64.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 23.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

23.3
pc/mi/ln

C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Lucas Rd on/Smith Ranch Rd on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V factor, PHF | 4405 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor v15 | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, | 1147 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | $\%$ |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1176 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 18.1 |  |

C
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4405 | vph |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

171 vph
190 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4405 |  | 171 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1147 |  | 45 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 1.00 4703 183
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2064 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, ${\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=20.3 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.338$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=61.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=59.7$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4576 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1192 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1221 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 18.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4414 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1149 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | m |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

## Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1571 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 64.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 24.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

C

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4757 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1239 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | o |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1693 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 63.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 26.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:
Merge Analysis
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 4757 |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 1071 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 110 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |



$\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
40934600 No

V
12 A
Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=36.2 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | M | $=0.547$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | S | $=52.4$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | S | $=59.1$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | 0 | S | $=54.5$ |
|  | mph |  |  |

## Phone:

Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5828 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1518 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | o |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1556 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 64.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 4 |  |
| Density, D | 24.1 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  |

```
Phone:
    Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd on/off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5188 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1351 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | \% |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1846 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} /$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1846 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 62.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 29.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

## Phone:

Fax:
E-mail:

Merge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Lucas Valley On |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | 5188 |


|  | On Ramp |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 150 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | ft |  |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


$\qquad$

Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable Violation?
40514600 No

12A
Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=35.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
R R 12 A
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation


```
Phone:
Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitasOFF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5886 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1533 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | \% |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |
| Driver population factor, fp | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 2095 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} /$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 2095 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 58.2 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 36.0 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | E |  |

## Phone:

Fax:
E-mail:

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Diverge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5886 | vph |

Off Ramp Data $\qquad$

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | 868 |
| Volume on ramp | 120 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane |  | ft |

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
No

Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp
vph
$\qquad$ Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$



Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual Max Desirable Violation? 3929 4400

No

Level of Service Determination (if not $F$ ) $\qquad$
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}-0.009 \mathrm{~L} \quad=\quad 37.0 \quad \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$

Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$


```
Phone: Fax:
```

E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5018 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1307 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | o |
| Terrain type: | Level |  |
| Grade | - | o |
| Segment length | - | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Lane width | - | ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Right-side lateral clearance | - | ft |
| Total ramp density, TRD | - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lane width adjustment, fLW | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| TRD adjustment | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

| Flow rate, vp | 1786 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average passenger-car speed, S | 62.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 28.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 16 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas off-on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6764 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1761 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$
Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2407 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 50.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

47.5
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | ManuelTFreitasON/RedwoodHwyON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

$\qquad$ Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7378 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1921 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2626 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 43.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 60.1 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

60.1
pc/mi/ln
F
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Redwood Highway on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 7378 | vph |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph
$384 \quad$ vph
190 ft
ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 7378 |  | 384 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1921 |  | 100 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

$$
1.00
$$

$$
7878 \quad 410
$$

$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area

| Actual | Max Desirable | Violation? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3561 | 4600 | No |

v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=31.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.445$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=54.8$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.1$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.6$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Smith Ranch Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7762 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 2021 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2072 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 58.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

35.4

E
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | SmithRanchRd off/LucasRdEB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 6855 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1785 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | m |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

```
Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N
Density, D
Level of service, LOS
```

| 1830 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 29.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRdON/SmithRanchRdON |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | veh/h |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0167 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 0.96 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 1866 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 5 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level | \% |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | - |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1913 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 61.3 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 31.2 |  |

31.2
pc/mi/ln
D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 NB |
| Junction: | Smith Ranch Rd WB on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 4 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 7167 | vph |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

495 vph
190 ft
ft
$f t$

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent <br> Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 7167 |  | 495 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1866 |  | 129 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


|  | Actual | Mlow Entering Merge Influence | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Violation? |  |  |  |
| 12 A | 3589 | 4600 | No | Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=32.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.449$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=54.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.5$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.8$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7662 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1995 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, N Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2045 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 59.1 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 |  |
| 34.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

34.6
pc/mi/ln

D

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 21 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 NB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 7309 | $\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1903 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2601 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 44.5 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 58.4 |  |

58.4

F
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Period |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Miller Creek off / on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5004 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1303 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

## Lane width

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| 1781 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |781

pc/mi/ln
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \ln$
mi/h
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
28.3

D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Miller Creek on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5004 | vph |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

209 vph
110 ft
ft
$f t$

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


Flow Entering Merge Influence Area
Actual Max Desirable 3325 4600

Violation?
No
v 12A

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=30.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence D
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.422$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=55.3$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=58.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.6$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Lucas Valley Rd off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5213 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1358 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Terrain type: | Level | \% |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | - |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.976 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 4 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$ Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1391 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| 21.4 |  |

21.4

C
$\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
pc/mi/ln

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:
Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyRd off/on |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 4828 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1257 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | $\%$ |
| Grade | - | mi |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 1.00 |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1718 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 63.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

18
63.6
pc/mi/ln
D
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | LucasValleyon |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4828 | vph |
|  |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

1007 vph
150 ft
ft
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4828 |  | 1007 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1257 |  | 262 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00 1.00 51551075
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks


|  | Actual | Max Desirable | Mrea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | 4074 | 4600 | Nolation? |
| 12A |  |  |  | Level of Service Determination (if not F)

Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=35.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $E$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.540$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=52.6$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=59.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=54.7$ | mph |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | LucasValleyON/ManuelFreitas0FF |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5835 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1520 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 0.976 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 2077 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 58.5 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

35.5
pc/mi/ln

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | 101 SB |
| Junction: | Manuel T Freitas off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |


| Type of analysis | Diver |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5835 | vph |
| Off Ramp Data |  |  |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 746 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 120 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.976
0.976

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$


Flow Entering Diverge Influence Area Actual 3881

Max Desirable 4400

Violation?
No
v
Level of Service Determination (if not F) $\qquad$
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=36.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence E
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.500$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S=53.5$ | mph |
| $S^{R}=66.0$ | mph |
| $S_{0}=57.6$ | mph |

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | LP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency or Company: | Fehr \& Peers |
| Date Performed: | $9 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/Direction: | 101 SB |
| From/To: | Manuel T Freitas on / off |
| Jurisdiction: | San Rafael |
| Analysis Year: | Cumulative Plus Project |
| Description: 1650 Los Gamos |  |

Flow Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 5089 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1325 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 5 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | Level |
| Terrain type: | - | mi |
| Grade | - |  |
| Segment length | 1.5 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER |  |  |

Speed Inputs and Adjustments $\qquad$

Lane width
Right-side lateral clearance
Total ramp density, TRD
Number of lanes, $N$
Free-flow speed:
FFS or BFFS
Lane width adjustment, fLW
Lateral clearance adjustment, fLC
TRD adjustment
Free-flow speed, FFS

| - | ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | ft |
| - | $\mathrm{ramps} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| 3 |  |
| Measured |  |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |

LOS and Performance Measures $\qquad$

Flow rate, vp
Free-flow speed, FFS
Average passenger-car speed, S
Number of lanes, $N$
Density, D
Level of service, LOS

| 1811 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 62.6 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 |  |
| 28.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

1811
62.6
pc/mi/ln

D
p

APPENDIX F:
AVERAGE VMT PER EMPLOYEE BY KAISER LOCATION VS. MTC/ABAG MODEL PROJECTIONS

| Facility Name |  | 2020 MTC / ABAG Model |  | Kaiser Permanente |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maximum Average <br> VMT/Employee | Empirically Derived Estimated <br> Average VMT / Employee | Below 2020 Maximum <br> Average VMT / Employee? |  |
| Downtown San Rafael | 25 | 21 | 21 |  |  |
| Novato | 31 | 26 | 19 | Yes |  |
| San Rafael Medical Center | 30 | 26 | 11 | Yes |  |
| San Rafael Los Gamos | 32 | 27 | 20 | Yes |  |

## Notes

1. $2020 \mathrm{VMT} / E m p l o y e e ~ e s t i m a t e s ~ a r e ~ d e t e r m i n e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~ M T C ~ r e g i o n a l ~ t r a v e l ~ m o d e l ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ T A Z ~ z o n e ~ w h e r e ~ t h e ~ f a c i l i t y ~ i s ~ l o c a t e d . ~$
2. Maximum average VMT/Employee based on 15 -percent reduction from baseline per OPR's Technical Advisory.
3. Average VMT/Kaiser Employee at existing facilities is based on anonymous employee home zip code data provided by Kaiser Permanente.
4. Average VMT/Kaiser Employee at Proposed Project is based on Average VMT/Kaiser Employee at existing facilities and the planned number of employees to be moved to the Proposed Project from each existing facility.
Source: Kaiser Permanente, MTC http://analytics.mtc.ca.gov/foswiki/Main/PlanBayAreaVmtPerWorker (data collected on 11/8/2016)

## APPENDIX F: DETAILED INTERSECTION QUEUE SUMMARY



SimTraffic Post-Processor

## Los Gamos Kaiser <br> Existing

Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 1,080 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 20 | 13 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 140 | 20 | 2 | 60 | 8 | 80 | 14 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,060 | 40 | 2 | 60 | 6 | 80 | 14 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 100 | 6 | 160 | 13 | 180 | 24 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 520 | 20 | 9 | 60 | 68 | 140 | 168 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor

## Los Gamos Kaiser <br> Existing

Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 NB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 520 | 160 | 15 | 260 | 15 | 320 | 37 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 520 | 140 | 11 | 240 | 22 | 280 | 29 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,100 | 180 | 22 | 360 | 52 | 420 | 98 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 140 | 7 | 200 | 5 | 180 | 0 | 20\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 80 | 15 | 160 | 22 | 180 | 36 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 680 | 20 | 3 | 60 | 9 | 60 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 SB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 680 | 240 | 20 | 420 | 53 | 500 | 91 | 11\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 200 | 60 | 20 | 200 | 47 | 240 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 1,520 | 360 | 55 | 640 | 163 | 820 | 310 | 14\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 120 | 35 | 400 | 61 | 380 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 100 | 9 | 180 | 18 | 220 | 33 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 20 | 12 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd


SimTraffic Post-Processor

## Los Gamos Kaiser <br> Existing <br> PM Peak Hour

Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
Signal
Intersection $1 \quad$ Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd $\quad$ Signal

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd $\quad$ Side-street Stop

|  |  | Storage | Average | ueue (ft) | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum | Queue (ft) |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Lane Group | (ft) | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
|  | Through | 1,080 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 20 | 10 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 140 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |
| EB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left Turn | 1,080 | 40 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 100 | 12 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 3 | 80 | 10 | 100 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor

## Los Gamos Kaiser <br> Existing

Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 520 | 180 | 12 | 280 | 43 | 360 | 95 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 520 | 160 | 12 | 280 | 21 | 320 | 79 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,000 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 22 | 240 | 71 | 9\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 80 | 10 | 140 | 18 | 200 | 19 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 260 | 19 | 380 | 29 | 440 | 54 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 680 | 60 | 5 | 140 | 41 | 200 | 138 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through/Right Right Turn | 200 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 200 | 20 | 3 | 20 | 29 | 40 | 85 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,500 | 240 | 22 | 400 | 79 | 540 | 173 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 40 | 23 | 200 | 92 | 360 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 200 | 16 | 340 | 33 | 360 | 22 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 3 | 40 | 11 | 60 | 21 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
Existing
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5
Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | 260 | 40 | 4 | 80 | 8 | 100 | 11 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 400 | 80 | 8 | 140 | 15 | 160 | 42 | 4\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 120 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 19 | 40 | 78 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn Left/Through Right Turn | 260 | 100 | 6 | 160 | 13 | 200 | 36 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 520 | 80 | 9 | 120 | 13 | 140 | 15 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 9 | 40 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 20 | 2 | 40 | 5 | 40 | 7 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 80 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 11 | 80 | 15 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through Through/Right | 120 | 20 | 3 | 40 | 15 | 80 | 50 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 100 | 8 | 180 | 26 | 220 | 40 | 5\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 100 | 10 | 160 | 23 | 200 | 39 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Existing Plus Project
Queue Length

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 40 | 8 | 80 | 32 | 200 | 60 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 220 | 22 | 380 | 49 | 460 | 88 | 16\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 18 | 200 | 32 | 220 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 80 | 7 | 120 | 14 | 160 | 32 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 60 | 7 | 120 | 20 | 180 | 57 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 20 | 6 | 60 | 29 | 120 | 61 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 100 | 4 | 140 | 3 | 120 | 0 | 32\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 200 | 25 | 320 | 54 | 380 | 90 | 43\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 4 | 40 | 31 | 120 | 76 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 40 | 8 | 80 | 16 | 100 | 43 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 100 | 9 | 160 | 24 | 180 | 40 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage$(\mathrm{ft})$ | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 1,080 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 20 | 14 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 140 | 20 | 6 | 60 | 14 | 100 | 30 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,080 | 60 | 14 | 120 | 34 | 140 | 37 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 22 | 40 | 65 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 160 | 15 | 240 | 9 | 220 | 1 | 21\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 520 | 100 | 50 | 360 | 121 | 500 | 70 | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
Existing Plus Project
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 520 | 180 | 16 | 280 | 29 | 340 | 49 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 520 | 140 | 11 | 240 | 20 | 300 | 53 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,020 | 400 | 129 | 700 | 265 | 740 | 239 | 31\% | 3\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 160 | 5 | 200 | 12 | 180 | 0 | 29\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 80 | 14 | 180 | 60 | 260 | 130 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 680 | 40 | 26 | 140 | 123 | 260 | 245 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through |  |  |  |  | 81 |  | 101 | 14\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 200 | 60 | 14 | 220 | 28 | 260 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,500 | 1,100 | 252 | 1,780 | 272 | $\begin{gathered} 1,560 \\ 380 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 32\% |
|  | Right Turn | 320 | 320 | 46 | 500 | 50 |  | 0 |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 20 | 220 | 44 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 40 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Los Gamos Kaiser Existing Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  | 260 | 80 | 6 | 120 | 11 | 140 | 30 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 400 | 60 | 12 | 140 | 45 | 220 | 77 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 120 | 40 | 13 | 120 | 34 | 160 | 8 | 2\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn Left/Through Right Turn | 260 | 40 | 2 | 60 | 4 | 80 | 11 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 520 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 10 | 80 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 20 | 8 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 20 | 2 | 40 | 4 | 40 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 80 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn Through Through/Right | 120 | 40 | 5 | 80 | 16 | 100 | 33 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 80 | 7 | 140 | 13 | 160 | 24 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 12 | 140 | 30 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Existing Plus Project
Queue Length

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 160 | 20 | 4 | 60 | 5 | 60 | 15 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 980 | 120 | 11 | 180 | 27 | 220 | 34 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 160 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 28 | 60 | 75 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 320 | 80 | 5 | 120 | 11 | 140 | 24 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 1,240 | 60 | 4 | 120 | 14 | 140 | 26 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 100 | 20 | 4 | 40 | 31 | 60 | 72 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 980 \\ 120 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ 120 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 100 \\ 140 \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | 23 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \% \\ 13 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% |
|  |  |  | 60 | 7 |  | 15 |  | 26 |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  | 20 | 2 |  | 22 |  | 65 |  | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn Through/Right Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 3 | 100 | 6 | 120 | 12 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 103 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through <br> Right Turn | 1,080 | 200 | 93 | 520 | 237 | 660 | 275 | 27\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 140 | 60 | 18 | 180 | 42 | 220 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,060 | 560 | 197 | 1,200 | 280 | 1,060 | 58 | 14\% | 25\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 160 | 20 | 300 | 9 | 220 | 0 | 49\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn Through | 160 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 15 | 220 | 25 | 4\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 520 |  | 10 | 100 | 69 | 240 | 169 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
Existing Plus Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 520 | 480 | 32 | 660 | 24 | 580 | 11 | 0\% | 24\% |
|  | Right Turn | 520 | 500 | 37 | 740 | 24 | 620 | 0 | 0\% | 48\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,040 | 140 | 18 | 260 | 43 | 320 | 57 | 24\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 100 | 11 | 200 | 19 | 200 | 0 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 280 | 10 | 380 | 22 | 420 | 62 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 680 | 60 | 7 | 120 | 16 | 160 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 680 | 80 | 10 | 160 | 19 | 200 | 38 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 200 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,560 | 260 | 23 | 400 | 65 | 520 | 128 | 4\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 60 | 31 | 200 | 122 | 300 | 152 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 220 | 12 | 360 | 16 | 380 | 6 | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 2 | 40 | 12 | 60 | 29 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Los Gamos Kaiser Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 40 | 6 | 80 | 16 | 120 | 32 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 80 | 9 | 140 | 14 | 180 | 38 | 4\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 3 | 40 | 32 | 120 | 93 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 100 | 7 | 160 | 9 | 200 | 22 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 80 | 5 | 120 | 7 | 140 | 22 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 60 | 21 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 440 | 20 | 2 | 40 | 6 | 60 | 15 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 20 | 4 | 60 | 10 | 100 | 16 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 20 | 3 | 60 | 15 | 80 | 49 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 120 | 7 | 180 | 23 | 220 | 41 | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 100 | 7 | 180 | 11 | 200 | 22 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Baseline No Project
Queue Length

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 10 | 140 | 26 | 180 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 980 | 1,000 | 12 | 1,060 | 44 | 1,040 | 6 | 45\% | 58\% |
|  |  | 160 | 160 | 11 | 240 | 12 | 180 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 320 | 80 | 7 | 140 | 26 | 200 | 79 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 1,240 | 140 | 11 | 260 | 21 | 320 | 33 | 19\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 100 | 60 | 9 | 160 | 10 | 120 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 60 | 80 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 80 | 0 | 60\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 980 | 1,000 | 9 | 1,040 | 48 | 1,020 | 0 | 42\% | 93\% |
|  |  | 120 | 20 | 7 | 100 | 22 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 9 | 120 | 19 | 140 | 39 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 960 | 100 | 6 | 200 | 33 | 260 | 99 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 4 | 40 | 34 | 100 | 101 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95 th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 1,080 | 240 | 158 | 780 | 321 | 1,040 | 86 | 15\% | 2\% |
|  | Right Turn | 140 | 80 | 19 | 160 | 28 | 160 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,000 | 80 | 27 | 160 | 90 | 240 | 168 | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 20 | 10 | 80 | 51 | 120 | 82 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 160 | 8 | 220 | 8 | 180 | 0 | 29\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 520 | 220 | 75 | 540 | 130 | 520 | 6 | 0\% | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser Baseline No Project AM Peak Hour
Queue Length

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 520 | 360 | 27 | 520 | 41 | 520 | 11 | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 12 \% \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 520 | 280 | 42 | 580 | 53 | 560 | 23 | 0\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,000 | 920 | 103 | 1,160 | 110 | 1,040 | 0 | 19\% | 62\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 140 | 3 | 140 | 18 | 140 | 0 | 45\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 100 | 36 | 220 | 112 | 300 | 158 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 680 | 120 | 82 | 380 | 239 | 520 | 262 | 5\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 680 | 600 | 49 | 840 | 61 | 700 | 2 | 38\% | 4\% |
|  |  | 200 | 40 | 16 | 160 | 43 | 220 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,460 | 1,460 | 52 | 1,620 | 109 | 1,520 | 0 | 53\% | 70\% |
|  |  | 320 | 320 | 10 | 400 | 46 | 340 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 140 | 21 | 240 | 53 | 280 | 70 | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn |  | 260 | 60 | 8 | 100 | 17 | 120 | 28 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 200 | 23 | 360 | 34 | 360 | 77 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 100 | 9 | 180 | 4 | 140 | 0 | 14\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 8 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 40 | 3 | 60 | 9 | 80 | 14 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 40 | 6 | 80 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | 26 | 2\%0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 80 | 20 | 4 | 40 | 14 |  | 11 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 60 | 6 | 120 | 16 | 140 | 14 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 80 | 10 | 160 | 21 | 200 | 37 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 60 | 7 | 120 | 18 | 160 | 37 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Baseline No Project
Queue Length

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 160 | 20 | 10 | 100 | 50 | 180 | 102 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 980 | 380 | 176 | 820 | 385 | 900 | 226 | 40\% | 15\% |
|  |  | 160 | 80 | 34 | 240 | 68 | 260 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 320 | 100 | 6 | 160 | 13 | 200 | 26 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 1,240 | 100 | 14 | 180 | 30 | 240 | 57 | 6\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 100 | 20 | 10 | 100 | 36 | 140 | 47 | 2\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 60 | 80 | 11 | 120 | 14 | 120 | 1 | 43\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 980 | 140 | 89 | 360 | 245 | 460 | 270 | 18\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 120 | 20 | 7 | 60 | 46 | 100 | 84 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 160 | 80 | 9 | 120 | 15 | 160 | 40 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 960 | 100 | 8 | 180 | 14 | 220 | 45 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 9 | 40 | 26 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 1,080 | 960 | 65 | 1,360 | 67 | 1,100 | 1 | 84\% | 23\% |
|  |  | 140 | 180 | 14 | 320 | 5 | 220 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 920 | 920 | 47 | 1,040 | 91 | 960 | 0 | 0\% | 90\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 220 | 1 | 220 | 5 | 220 | 0 | 99\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 6 | 120 | 9 | 140 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 160 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser Baseline No Project
Queue Length

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 520 | 520 | 4 | 520 | 5 | 520 | 5 | 0\% | 52\% |
|  | Right Turn | 520 | 580 | 2 | 600 | 4 | 620 | 0 | 0\% | 94\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,080 | 100 | 9 | 180 | 15 | 220 | 31 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 80 | 9 | 160 | 17 | 180 | 21 |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 440 | 320 | 13 | 440 | 34 | 500 | 44 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 100 | 24 | 220 | 109 | 380 | 245 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 680 | 100 | 5 | 160 | 13 | 200 | 25 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 1,480 | 200 | 13 | 340 | 38 | 440 | 99 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 40 | 20 | 140 | 100 | 260 | 174 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 320 | 17 | 440 | 17 | 400 | 12 | 0\% | 10\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 7 | 80 | 24 | 120 | 40 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 80 | 9 | 140 | 18 | 160 | 23 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 120 | 7 | 180 | 17 | 220 | 39 | 14\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 7 | 100 | 35 | 200 | 3 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 180 | 7 | 280 | 14 | 300 | 18 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 180 | 14 | 280 | 29 | 360 | 83 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 9 | 80 | 54 | 200 | 128 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 60 | 10 | 140 | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | 62 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \% \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 80 | 60 | 4 | 120 | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 100 | 21 | 220 | 31 | 220 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 280 | 94 | 500 | 200 | 560 | 157 | 47\% | 3\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 260 | 91 | 480 | 204 | 520 | 146 | 0\% | 3\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project with Existing Occupancy
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 10 | 140 | 20 | 180 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 1,000 | 25 | 1,080 | 80 | 1,040 | 0 | 43\% | 57\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 160 | 11 | 240 | 15 | 180 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 80 | 4 | 140 | 13 | 180 | 57 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 140 | 22 | 220 | 47 | 260 | 56 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 40 | 11 | 140 | 18 | 120 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 2 | 100 | 4 | 80 | 0 | 59\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 1,000 | 8 | 1,020 | 24 | 1,020 | 0 | 45\% | 95\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 7 | 100 | 18 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 4 | 120 | 13 | 160 | 34 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 120 | 14 | 200 | 32 | 260 | 68 | 3\% | 0\% |
| WB | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 9 | 60 | 56 | 120 | 120 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 1,080 | 140 | 250 | 340 | 544 | 380 | 500 | 8\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 140 | 60 | 29 | 120 | 51 | 120 | 36 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 980 | 40 | 7 | 80 | 15 | 100 | 28 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 140 | 17 | 200 | 16 | 180 | 3 | 12\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 520 | 80 | 68 | 260 | 184 | 380 | 166 | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project with Existing Occupancy
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 520 | 280 | 113 | 440 | 166 | 440 | 113 | 0\% | 6\% |
|  |  | 520 | 200 | 120 | 380 | 199 | 400 | 155 | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,100 | 520 | 219 | 820 | 273 | 880 | 208 | 8\% | 4\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 140 | 2 | 140 | 13 | 140 | 0 | 41\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 440 | 80 | 15 | 160 | 65 | 220 | 127 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 60 | 33 | 160 | 145 | 240 | 253 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 680 | 520 | 87 | 760 | 84 | 680 | 18 | 31\% | 2\% |
|  |  | 200 | 20 | 7 | 60 | 48 | 120 | 106 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,480 | 1,160 | 232 | 1,720 | 153 | 1,520 | 0 | 46\% | 42\% |
|  |  | 320 | 300 | 33 | 420 | 61 | 340 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 120 | 12 | 200 | 25 | 260 | 50 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 11 | 40 | 21 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Baseline No Project with Existing Occupancy
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn |  | 260 | 60 | 11 | 120 | 41 | 180 | 72 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 260 | 54 | 480 | 85 | 440 | 22 | 2\% | 5\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 120 | 6 | 180 | 2 | 140 | 0 | 19\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 60 | 6 | 80 | 10 | 120 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 40 | 5 | 60 | 10 | 80 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 9 | 20 | 23 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 40 | 6 | 80 | 11 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | 19 | 2\% | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 80 | 20 | 5 | 40 | 18 |  | 17 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 60 | 10 | 120 | 19 | 140 | 15 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 80 | 7 | 140 | 20 | 180 | 44 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 60 | 9 | 100 | 22 | 140 | 40 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs

## Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project

Queue Length
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 20 | 11 | 80 | 43 | 120 | 73 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 180 | 122 | 340 | 271 | 420 | 268 | 13\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 40 | 32 | 100 | 81 | 140 | 70 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 100 | 17 | 160 | 47 | 200 | 59 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 100 | 38 | 180 | 130 | 240 | 211 | 5\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 20 | 16 | 80 | 37 | 120 | 4 | 2\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 60 | 10 | 100 | 7 | 80 | 0 | 22\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 140 | 173 | 260 | 356 | 260 | 268 | 14\% | 3\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 13 | 60 | 47 | 80 | 52 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 7 | 120 | 17 | 160 | 32 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 27 | 240 | 44 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 3 | 20 | 29 | 60 | 82 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 1,080 | 720 | 195 | 1,280 | 268 | 1,100 | 12 | 67\% | 13\% |
|  |  | 140 | 100 | 20 | 220 | 8 | 160 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 900 | 760 | 110 | 1,160 | 87 | 960 | 0 | 2\% | 65\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 180 | 18 | 220 | 39 | 180 | 0 | 88\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 6 | 120 | 13 | 160 | 27 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 160 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs

## Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project

Queue Length
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 520 | 520 | 16 | 520 | 39 | 520 | 7 | 0\% | 45\% |
|  | Right Turn | 520 | 560 | 23 | 640 | 68 | 600 | 1 | 0\% | 86\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,000 | 120 | 11 | 200 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 280 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | 62 |  | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 100 | 9 | 160 | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 440 | 320 | 22 | 420 | 33 | 440 | 24 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 100 | 20 | 220 | 100 | 340 | 206 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximu | Queue (ft) | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 680 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 23 | 240 | 51 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 200 | 20 | 9 | 80 | 52 | 180 | 86 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 1,520 | 220 | 16 | 360 | 78 | 500 | 256 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 40 | 20 | 160 | 89 | 280 | 125 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 320 | 29 | 440 | 25 | 380 | 2 | 0\% | 9\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 6 | 60 | 23 | 100 | 26 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs

## Baseline with Existing Occupancy No Project

Queue Length
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn |  | 260 | 80 | 9 | 140 | 19 | 180 | 35 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 140 | 11 | 220 | 21 | 280 | 41 | 16\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 60 | 11 | 160 | 12 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 180 | 17 | 260 | 29 | 260 | 21 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 180 | 34 | 320 | 94 | 380 | 138 | 2\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 16 | 100 | 65 | 200 | 103 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 60 | 9 | 140 | 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 54 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \% \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 80 | 60 | 6 | 120 | 5 |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 80 | 10 | 160 | 14 | 160 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 260 | 70 | 400 | 145 | 460 | 146 | 42\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 220 | 64 | 380 | 140 | 420 | 147 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Baseline Plus Project
Queue Length

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 8 | 120 | 23 | 180 | 32 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 3,640 | 3,020 | 265 | 4,440 | 228 | 3,700 | 0 | 45\% | 53\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 160 | 11 | 260 | 5 | 180 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 80 | 8 | 140 | 24 | 200 | 76 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 3,380 | 140 | 18 | 260 | 45 | 320 | 102 | 17\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 60 | 15 | 160 | 16 | 120 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 1 | 100 | 5 | 80 | 0 | 63\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 2,780 | 2,420 | 166 | 3,360 | 133 | 2,820 | 0 | 42\% | 64\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 9 | 100 | 34 | 120 | 40 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 10 | 100 | 23 | 160 | 36 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 100 | 10 | 200 | 25 | 240 | 53 | 2\% | 0\% |
| WB | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 84 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage$(\mathrm{ft})$ | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 1,080 | 220 | 160 | 740 | 425 | 880 | 350 | 14\% | 3\% |
|  |  | 140 | 60 | 17 | 160 | 28 | 160 | 2 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 960 | 220 | 207 | 520 | 451 | 540 | 411 | 23\% | 7\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 40 | 29 | 120 | 82 | 140 | 73 | 4\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 160 | 11 | 220 | 12 | 180 | 0 | 34\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 520 | 240 | 89 | 560 | 94 | 520 | 31 | 0\% | 6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 520 \\ & 520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 340 \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 520 \\ & 540 \end{aligned}$ | 95 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 520 \\ & 540 \end{aligned}$ | 48 | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 10 \% \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 81 |  | 148 |  | 88 | 0\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,000 | 1,020 | 14 | 1,080 | 45 | 1,040 | 0 | 28\% | 83\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 140 | 3 | 160 | 18 | 140 | 0 | 44\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 100 | 35 | 240 | 114 | 320 | 148 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 680 | 120 | 82 | 340 | 217 | 480 | 229 | 4\% | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 680 | 500 | 42 | 820 | 55 | 700 | 4 | 29\% | 3\% |
|  |  | 200 | 60 | 14 | 180 | 30 | 220 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 1,500 | 1,520 | 7 | 1,560 | 20 | 1,560 | 0 | 54\% | 80\% |
|  |  | 320 | 320 | 16 | 380 | 59 | 340 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 120 | 16 | 200 | 28 | 240 | 44 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 11 | 20 | 22 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Los Gamos Kaiser Baseline Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 260 | 60 | 3 | 100 | 8 | 140 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 400 | 160 | 14 | 340 | 33 | 360 | 107 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 120 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 2 | 140 | 0 | 12\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn Left/Through Right Turn | 260 | 60 | 5 | 80 | 6 | 100 | 9 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 1,940 | 40 | 4 | 60 | 7 | 80 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 20 | 12 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 1,020 | 40 | 5 | 80 | 12 | 120 | 29 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 80 | 20 | 4 | 40 | 21 | 80 | 9 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn Through Through/Right | 120 | 60 | 6 | 120 | 14 | 140 | 14 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 80 | 7 | 140 | 18 | 180 | 27 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 60 | 8 | 100 | 21 | 140 | 44 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Baseline Plus Project
Queue Length
Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 26 | 100 | 66 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 220 | 153 | 440 | 347 | 500 | 343 | 18\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 40 | 29 | 140 | 64 | 180 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 100 | 8 | 140 | 12 | 160 | 21 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 100 | 19 | 160 | 31 | 200 | 46 | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 40 | 11 | 100 | 32 | 120 | 3 | 1\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 60 | 6 | 100 | 4 | 80 | 0 | 27\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 120 | 71 | 220 | 198 | 280 | 250 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 9 | 80 | 26 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 5 | 140 | 14 | 180 | 17 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 120 | 7 | 200 | 28 | 260 | 70 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 3 | 40 | 30 | 80 | 83 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Side-street Stop

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 1,080 | 920 | 148 | 1,300 | 134 | 1,100 | 6 | 74\% | 15\% |
|  |  | 140 | 120 | 15 | 220 | 9 | 160 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,100 | 1,120 | 2 | 1,140 | 4 | 1,160 | 0 | 6\% | 99\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 180 | 3 | 200 | 20 | 180 | 0 | 95\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 160 | 100 | 10 | 160 | 14 | 180 | 9 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 520 | 20 | 9 | 60 | 68 | 140 | 180 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Baseline Plus Project
Queue Length
Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 520 | 520 | 3 | 520 | 21 | 520 | 6 | 0\% | 46\% |
|  | Right Turn | 520 | 580 | 5 | 600 | 9 | 620 | 0 | 0\% | 93\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 980 | 200 | 54 | 400 | 136 | 460 | $\begin{gathered} 165 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 120 | 6 | 160 | 5 | 140 |  |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 440 | 320 | 13 | 400 | 24 | 440 | 39 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 100 | 16 | 260 | 77 | 520 | 202 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 680 | 100 | 9 | 160 | 23 | 200 | 64 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 200 | 20 | 4 | 60 | 35 | 120 | 102 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,500 | 260 | 21 | 460 | 73 | 640 | 135 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 80 | 16 | 280 | 34 | 340 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 340 | 24 | 440 | 22 | 380 | 8 | 0\% | 16\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 30 | 120 | 91 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Los Gamos Kaiser Baseline Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 100 | 8 | 160 | 16 | 180 | 20 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 140 | 10 | 220 | 20 | 260 | 33 | 16\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 60 | 12 | 160 | 14 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 200 | 28 | 280 | 32 | 280 | 6 | 4\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 220 | 79 | 380 | 169 | 420 | 126 | 6\% | 5\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 40 | 32 | 160 | 75 | 280 | 2 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 80 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 160 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 60 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \% \\ 13 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 80 | 60 | 5 |  | 3 |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 80 | 12 | 180 | 16 | 160 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 320 | 174 | 540 | 307 | 540 | 224 | 49\% | 9\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 300 | 175 | 520 | 313 | 520 | 233 | 0\% | 7\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 11 Runs Cumulative No Project
Queue Length
Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 12 | 160 | 29 | 180 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 9,040 | 5,160 | 618 | 9,120 | 849 | 8,820 | 504 | 44\% | 7\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 160 | 15 | 260 | 6 | 180 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 160 | 31 | 260 | 41 | 340 | 3 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 200 | 21 | 360 | 53 | 480 | 92 | 26\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 80 | 9 | 160 | 5 | 120 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 2 | 100 | 3 | 80 | 0 | 64\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 6,060 | 4,320 | 341 | 7,080 | 340 | 6,100 | 13 | 44\% | 29\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 40 | 11 | 120 | 27 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 12 | 160 | 19 | 180 | 1 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 160 | 18 | 260 | 38 | 300 | 73 | 7\% | 0\% |
| WB | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 8 | 40 | 40 | 80 | 73 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 1,040 | 80 | 4 | 160 | 12 | 230 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 240 | 140 | 6 | 200 | 15 | 220 | 27 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 220 | 20 | 4 | 60 | 8 | 80 | 15 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 7 | 120 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 160 | 160 | 9 | 200 | 7 | 180 | 1 | 12\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 500 | 100 | 43 | 320 | 101 | 400 | 164 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 11 Runs Cumulative No Project
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour
Intersection 3 US-101 NB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 500 | 120 | 6 | 200 | 24 | 260 | 80 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 220 | 80 | 7 | 160 | 23 | 200 | 34 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 960 | 240 | 44 | 480 | 90 | 640 | 170 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 120 | 6 | 160 | 7 | 140 | 0 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 160 | 11 | 260 | 25 | 340 | 90 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 660 | 60 | 11 | 220 | 49 | 400 | 111 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 SB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 660 | 140 | 25 | 300 | 52 | 420 | 91 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 260 | 33 | 440 | 60 | 480 | 82 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,520 | 180 | 13 | 240 | 48 | 380 | 127 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 180 | 15 | 280 | 23 | 320 | 6 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 80 | 8 | 120 | 12 | 140 | 28 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 60 | 42 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 11 Runs
Queue Length

Los Gamos Kaiser Cumulative No Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 80 | 6 | 120 | 10 | 140 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 220 | 33 | 400 | 37 | 400 | 72 | 2\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 100 | 8 | 180 | 2 | 140 | 0 | 12\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 40 | 4 | 60 | 6 | 80 | 10 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 1,320 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 8 | 120 | 22 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 10 | 40 | 25 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 2,280 | 40 | 5 | 80 | 10 | 120 | 28 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 11 | 100 | 6 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 60 | 5 | 100 | 9 | 120 | 17 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 4,220 | 60 | 7 | 120 | 23 | 160 | 44 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 4,220 | 80 | 8 | 120 | 15 | 140 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project
Queue Length
Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 40 | 9 | 100 | 32 | 180 | 36 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 9,040 | 220 | 131 | 420 | 332 | 540 | 373 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 24 | 180 | 39 | 180 | 3 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 120 | 18 | 200 | 40 | 260 | 78 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 160 | 24 | 280 | 58 | 360 | 115 | 18\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 60 | 13 | 140 | 13 | 120 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 60 | 5 | 100 | 2 | 80 | 0 | 28\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 6,060 | 120 | 20 | 220 | 45 | 300 | 59 | 20\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 7 | 60 | 35 | 100 | 52 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 160 | 120 | 14 | 200 | 16 | 180 | 1 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 220 | 104 | 440 | 253 | 560 | 271 | 7\% | 1\% |
| WB | Right Turn | 260 | 40 | 35 | 180 | 82 | 260 | 58 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 1,040 | 479 | 27 | 864 | 20 | 811 | 14 | 0\% | 10\% |
|  |  | 240 | 220 | 22 | 280 | 16 | 280 | 12 | 0\% | 23\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 940 | 570 | 19 | 1,035 | 17 | 919 | 13 | 1\% | 40\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 180 | 4 | 200 | 9 | 180 | 0 | 54\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 120 | 11 | 180 | 19 | 180 | 7 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 500 | 160 | 26 | 240 | 61 | 300 | 88 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project
Queue Length
PM Peak Hour
Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 500 | 460 | 78 | 640 | 67 | 560 | 20 | 27\% | 17\% |
|  |  | 220 | 240 | 2 | 240 | 4 | 240 | 0 | 47\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 940 | 260 | 63 | 520 | 145 | 640 | 193 | 20\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 120 | 120 | 8 | 160 | 36 | 140 | 47 | 13\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn Through | 440 | 440 | 18 | 480 | 11 | 460 | 0 | 29\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 560 | 96 | 840 | 129 | 720 | 44 | 19\% | 8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 660 | 180 | 19 | 300 | 41 | 380 | 44 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 60 | 32 | 220 | 101 | 380 | 92 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,440 | 140 | 9 | 200 | 16 | 240 | 56 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 160 | 11 | 260 | 23 | 300 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 380 | 5 | 400 | 15 | 400 | 10 | 0\% | 48\% |
|  |  | 360 | 60 | 23 | 240 | 67 | 340 | 42 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length Cumulative No Project

PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 100 | 6 | 180 | 18 | 240 | 33 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 180 | 11 | 260 | 19 | 300 | 40 | 22\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 5 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 260 | 12 | 320 | 16 | 280 | 0 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 1,220 | 1,020 | 161 | 1,600 | 68 | 1,280 | 6 | 50\% | 54\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 160 | 30 | 380 | 23 | 280 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 2,280 | 140 | 36 | 280 | 83 | 360 | 117 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 80 | 6 | 120 | 8 | 100 | 0 | 32\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 100 | 15 | 180 | 9 | 140 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 4,220 | 1,620 | 354 | 3,100 | 786 | 3,000 | 859 | 78\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 4,220 | 1,620 | 348 | 3,080 | 765 | 2,960 | 845 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour
Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 10 | 140 | 24 | 180 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 9,040 | 5,020 | 566 | 9,000 | 913 | 8,500 | 708 | 44\% | 3\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 160 | 16 | 240 | 19 | 180 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 140 | 21 | 240 | 39 | 320 | 48 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 180 | 27 | 320 | 46 | 400 | 53 | 28\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 80 | 10 | 180 | 6 | 120 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 80 | 0 | 65\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 6,060 | 4,320 | 321 | 7,200 | 271 | 6,100 | 0 | 40\% | 31\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 40 | 11 | 140 | 20 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 16 | 140 | 33 | 160 | 38 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 140 | 17 | 240 | 36 | 300 | 66 | 5\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 8 | 60 | 53 | 160 | 131 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage$(\mathrm{ft})$ | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 260 | 80 | 9 | 140 | 21 | 200 | 46 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 140 | 8 | 200 | 15 | 220 | 20 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 200 | 20 | 2 | 40 | 5 | 60 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 4 | 80 | 7 | 100 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 120 | 10 | 180 | 12 | 180 | 3 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 480 | 40 | 13 | 120 | 63 | 280 | 123 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Queue Length
AM Peak Hour
Intersection 3 US-101 NB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 480 | 120 | 11 | 200 | 43 | 240 | 98 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 220 | 80 | 10 | 140 | 23 | 200 | 49 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,080 | 160 | 31 | 300 | 61 | 400 | 93 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 100 | 7 | 160 | 4 | 140 | 0 | 5\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 440 | 160 | 24 | 260 | 54 | 300 | 63 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 80 | 36 | 240 | 101 | 380 | 127 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 SB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal


SimTraffic Post-Processor

## Los Gamos Kaiser Cumulative No Project Ex Occ

Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 260 | 80 | 9 | 120 | 18 | 140 | 39 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 400 | 240 | 24 | 420 | 36 | 400 | 77 | 2\% | 1\% |
|  |  | 120 | 120 | 6 | 180 | 6 | 140 | 0 | 14\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 40 | 3 | 60 | 7 | 80 | 13 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 3,460 | 60 | 3 | 80 | 6 | 120 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 6 | 40 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 2,280 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | 5 | 80 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 46 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 60 | 16 |  | 6 |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 60 | 7 | 100 | 15 | 120 | 20 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 4,220 | 60 | 4 | 120 | 11 | 140 | 36 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 4,220 | 80 | 6 | 120 | 9 | 160 | 27 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Queue Length
PM Peak Hour
Intersection 1 Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 20 | 5 | 80 | 20 | 120 | 59 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 9,040 | 200 | 39 | 340 | 115 | 420 | 176 | 10\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 17 | 180 | 28 | 180 | 2 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 100 | 12 | 180 | 37 | 260 | 85 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 160 | 16 | 260 | 45 | 360 | 93 | 16\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 60 | 10 | 140 | 16 | 120 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 80 | 0 | 24\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 6,060 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 31 | 240 | 71 | 20\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 5 | 40 | 32 | 80 | 63 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 120 | 11 | 200 | 13 | 180 | 0 | 11\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 200 | 42 | 400 | 124 | 560 | 239 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 40 | 21 | 140 | 73 | 240 | 86 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right |  | 1,060 | 580 | 21 | 1,200 | 22 | 1,090 | 6 | 0\% | 16\% |
|  |  | 260 | 240 | 16 | 320 | 15 | 300 | 11 | 0\% | 28\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 880 | 610 | 19 | 1,210 | 10 | 990 | 13 | 2\% | 49\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 180 | 3 | 220 | 6 | 180 | 0 | 63\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn Through | 160 | 100 | 10 | 160 | 17 | 180 | 6 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 480 | 160 | 14 | 240 | 18 | 300 | 50 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Queue Length
PM Peak Hour
Intersection 3 US-101 NB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 480 | 460 | 46 | 660 | 16 | 540 | 13 | 36\% | 25\% |
|  |  | 220 | 240 | 2 | 240 | 6 | 240 | 0 | 58\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,060 | 200 | 32 | 380 | 70 | 460 | 92 | 18\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 120 | 6 | 160 | 20 | 140 | 0 | 10\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 420 | 10 | 500 | 6 | 460 | 0 | 26\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 660 | 520 | 54 | 900 | 47 | 720 | 14 | 15\% | 7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 SB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 660 | 140 | 21 | 260 | 36 | 300 | 55 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 20 | 17 | 140 | 86 | 280 | 166 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 1,500 | 140 | 12 | 240 | 75 | 320 | 205 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 160 | 13 | 260 | 22 | 300 | 28 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 380 | 4 | 400 | 7 | 400 | 9 | 0\% | 45\% |
|  |  | 360 | 60 | 19 | 260 | 56 | 360 | 30 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project Ex Occ
Queue Length
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 100 | 9 | 160 | 12 | 200 | 39 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 180 | 13 | 280 | 22 | 320 | 43 | 22\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 100 | 12 | 180 | 4 | 140 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 280 | 9 | 320 | 23 | 280 | 0 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 3,460 | 2,540 | 377 | 4,420 | 173 | 3,520 | 1 | 49\% | 40\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 180 | 21 | 400 | 10 | 280 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 2,280 | 120 | 21 | 260 | 47 | 320 | 65 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 80 | 3 | 120 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 30\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 100 | 11 | 200 | 8 | 140 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 4,220 | 1,820 | 447 | 3,460 | 738 | 3,200 | 731 | 78\% | 1\% |
|  | Through/Right | 4,220 | 1,820 | 439 | 3,460 | 715 | 3,200 | 728 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 9 | 140 | 24 | 180 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 9,040 | 5,380 | 913 | 9,460 | 1,087 | 8,980 | 233 | 44\% | 14\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 160 | 9 | 260 | 5 | 180 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 140 | 17 | 240 | 36 | 300 | 52 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 180 | 26 | 320 | 58 | 420 | 152 | 26\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 80 | 9 | 160 | 9 | 120 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 1 | 100 | 4 | 80 | 1 | 65\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 6,060 | 4,360 | 377 | 7,020 | 253 | 6,100 | 12 | 41\% | 30\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 40 | 8 | 120 | 18 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 11 | 160 | 25 | 180 | 17 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 160 | 19 | 280 | 62 | 340 | 92 | 7\% | 0\% |
| WB | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 10 | 80 | 59 | 180 | 117 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage(ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 1,040 | 100 | 6 | 170 | 11 | 220 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 240 | 140 | 9 | 220 | 13 | 220 | 26 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 200 | 40 | 3 | 60 | 5 | 80 | 9 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 160 | 80 | 5 | 120 | 9 | 140 | 12 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn Through | 160 | 160 | 8 | 200 | 9 | 180 | 0 | 30\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 500 | 240 | 80 | 520 | 84 | 500 | 60 | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage$(\mathrm{ft})$ | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 500 | 140 | 10 | 220 | 33 | 320 | 94 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 220 | 100 | 14 | 180 | 25 | 240 | 9 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,020 | 380 | 97 | 780 | 221 | 880 | 181 | 23\% | 3\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 120 | 5 | 160 | 6 | 140 | 0 | 10\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn Through | 440 | 160 | 29 | 280 | 69 | 360 | 99 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 100 | 43 | 320 | 129 | 440 | 179 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum | Queue (ft) | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 660 | 220 | 61 | 460 | 125 | 540 | 123 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 340 | 73 | 600 | 124 | 620 | 80 | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,500 | 180 | 10 | 260 | 50 | 360 | 162 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 180 | 17 | 280 | 33 | 320 | 32 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 80 | 8 | 120 | 12 | 160 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 40 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 60 | 4 | 120 | 11 | 160 | 33 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 240 | 35 | 420 | 30 | 400 | 68 | 2\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 120 | 13 | 180 | 16 | 140 | 0 | 13\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 40 | 3 | 60 | 5 | 80 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 1,540 | 60 | 7 | 100 | 11 | 100 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 20 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 2,280 | 40 | 6 | 80 | 18 | 120 | 24 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 14 | 100 | 5 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 60 | 6 | 100 | 12 | 120 | 15 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 4,220 | 60 | 8 | 100 | 16 | 140 | 23 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right |  |  | 6 |  | 12 | 140 | 26 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95 th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 40 | 5 | 80 | 25 | 140 | 57 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 9,040 | 180 | 17 | 300 | 39 | 360 | 53 | 10\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 15 | 180 | 25 | 180 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 120 | 16 | 200 | 39 | 260 | 64 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 160 | 26 | 280 | 53 | 340 | 82 | 20\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 60 | 8 | 160 | 8 | 120 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 4 | 80 | 0 | 24\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 6,060 | 120 | 19 | 220 | 45 | 260 | 71 | 20\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 8 | 60 | 31 | 120 | 39 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 140 | 9 | 220 | 11 | 180 | 0 | 19\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 260 | 97 | 520 | 241 | 640 | 246 | 8\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 60 | 39 | 220 | 85 | 280 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right |  | 1,040 | 410 | 21 | 780 | 11 | 830 | 7 | 0\% | 11\% |
|  |  | 240 | 240 | 17 | 280 | 12 | 280 | 10 | 0\% | 20\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,040 | 990 | 4 | 1,270 | 17 | 1,130 | 14 | 1\% | 42\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 180 | 0 | 180 | 2 | 180 | 0 | 62\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 160 | 160 | 15 | 200 | 9 | 180 | 0 | 23\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 500 | 240 | 34 | 420 | 55 | 480 | 67 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 500 | 400 | 67 | 600 | 58 | 560 | 19 | 9\% | 6\% |
|  |  | 220 | 220 | 4 | 240 | 10 | 240 | 0 | 30\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,000 | 640 | 208 | 1,040 | 250 | 980 | 121 | 39\% | 26\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 140 | 7 | 160 | 15 | 140 | 0 | 23\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 440 | 440 | 9 | 500 | 7 | 460 | 0 | 28\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 660 | 580 | 61 | 880 | 66 | 720 | 15 | 21\% | 10\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 660 | 180 | 30 | 360 | 62 | 460 | 81 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 660 | 120 | 45 | 380 | 99 | 500 | 57 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 1,540 | 140 | 7 | 240 | 32 | 320 | 111 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 160 | 14 | 260 | 33 | 300 | 34 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 380 | 6 | 400 | 17 | 400 | 9 | 0\% | 53\% |
|  |  | 360 | 40 | 16 | 200 | 68 | 320 | 98 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 21 | 200 | 29 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 180 | 14 | 260 | 18 | 280 | 30 | 22\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 80 | 13 | 180 | 7 | 140 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 280 | 8 | 320 | 19 | 280 | 0 | 16\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 1,100 | 960 | 82 | 1,460 | 40 | 1,160 | 6 | 53\% | 55\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 180 | 10 | 380 | 7 | 280 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 2,280 | 160 | 45 | 320 | 101 | 380 | 114 | 8\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 80 | 4 | 120 | 5 | 100 | 0 | 37\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 100 | 11 | 200 | 7 | 140 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 4,220 | 2,360 | 302 | 4,460 | 448 | 4,040 | 357 | 83\% | 10\% |
|  | Through/Right | 4,220 | 2,360 | 300 | 4,440 | 449 | 4,020 | 372 | 0\% | 9\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project
Queue Length

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 9 | 160 | 33 | 200 | 42 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 4,220 | 1,037 | 7,340 | 1,517 | 7,400 | 1,040 | 44\% | 2\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 180 | 9 | 300 | 7 | 220 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 260 | 39 | 420 | 31 | 360 | 0 | 29\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 400 | 185 | 820 | 395 | 900 | 379 | 31\% | 4\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 80 | 14 | 200 | 19 | 160 | 0 | 3\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 120 | 2 | 120 | 7 | 120 | 0 | 70\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 3,080 | 578 | 5,200 | 923 | 5,100 | 713 | 59\% | 3\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 60 | 18 | 160 | 35 | 180 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 11 | 160 | 21 | 220 | 21 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 140 | 13 | 260 | 27 | 300 | 40 | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 6 | 40 | 45 | 100 | 124 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 1,080 | 1,020 | 303 | 1,640 | 399 | 1,660 | 356 | 57\% | 1\% |
|  |  | 140 | 160 | 19 | 260 | 10 | 200 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 2,340 | 20 | 3 | 40 | 9 | 60 | 13 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 160 | 60 | 6 | 100 | 14 | 120 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 2,060 | 480 | 209 | 720 | 280 | 800 | 274 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 280 | 80 | 13 | 140 | 21 | 180 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 280 | 60 | 11 | 120 | 21 | 160 | 35 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 160 | 200 | 10 | 260 | 10 | 220 | 0 | 32\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 1,180 | 380 | 110 | 860 | 204 | 1,020 | 165 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Intersection 3
US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd
Uncontrolled

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 520 | 20 | 19 | 140 | 107 | 380 | 240 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 220 | 40 | 5 | 80 | 11 | 100 | 25 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through/Right | 680 | 100 | 67 | 380 | 164 | 540 | 103 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 680 | 60 | 61 | 240 | 190 | 520 | 108 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd

Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 680 | 160 | 21 | 420 | 47 | 760 | 71 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 240 | 33 | 380 | 64 | 460 | 65 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn | 580 | 200 | 14 | 300 | 42 | 380 | 90 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 320 | 200 | 19 | 320 | 31 | 340 | 34 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through | 360 | 60 | 5 | 120 | 16 | 140 | 30 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 360 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 13 | 40 | 38 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

Los Gamos Kaiser Cumulative No Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5
Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 260 | 60 | 8 | 120 | 18 | 160 | 54 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 400 | 200 | 35 | 380 | 47 | 400 | 86 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 120 | 140 | 11 | 240 | 5 | 180 | 0 | 15\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn Left/Through Right Turn | 260 | 40 | 4 | 60 | 9 | 100 | 16 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 520 | 60 | 3 | 100 | 9 | 120 | 20 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 260 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 6 | 40 | 24 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through Right Turn | 440 | 40 | 4 | 80 | 7 | 100 | 9 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 80 | 20 | 3 | 40 | 12 | 80 | 20 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through Through/Right | 120 | 60 | 6 | 120 | 20 | 140 | 26 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 18 | 140 | 51 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 780 | 80 | 5 | 120 | 8 | 140 | 14 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs Cumulative No Project
Queue Length
Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 40 | 7 | 80 | 36 | 140 | 77 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 160 | 12 | 280 | 33 | 340 | 65 | 8\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 40 | 6 | 160 | 15 | 220 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 140 | 29 | 240 | 68 | 280 | 63 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 160 | 36 | 300 | 142 | 400 | 226 | 15\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 40 | 14 | 140 | 48 | 140 | 47 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 5 | 120 | 7 | 120 | 2 | 21\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 80 | 9 | 140 | 21 | 180 | 37 | 20\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 23 | 60 | 76 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 120 | 14 | 220 | 22 | 220 | 1 | 12\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 180 | 39 | 320 | 120 | 400 | 179 | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 40 | 15 | 120 | 64 | 220 | 118 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 1,080 | 320 | 57 | 560 | 94 | 680 | 96 | 41\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 140 | 80 | 15 | 200 | 25 | 200 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 2,340 | 140 | 21 | 260 | 18 | 240 | 9 | 3\% | 5\% |
|  |  | 160 | 240 | 20 | 400 | 32 | 520 | 38 | 12\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 2,060 | 160 | 14 | 220 | 34 | 260 | 39 | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  | 280 | 40 | 5 | 80 | 10 | 100 | 21 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 280 |  | 5 | 100 | 10 | 120 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn Through | $\begin{gathered} \hline 160 \\ 1,180 \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 6 | 180 | 16 |  | 13 | 4\% | 0\% |
|  |  | $1,180$ | 180 | 20 | 460 | 43 |  | 68 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage$(\mathrm{ft})$ | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through | 520 | 40 | 36 | 180 | 157 | 480 | 250 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 220 | 100 | 8 | 200 | 14 | 240 | 26 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through/Right | 680 | 60 | 16 | 240 | 64 | 420 | 94 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 680 | 20 | 23 | 120 | 118 | 260 | 227 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd
Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage$(\mathrm{ft})$ | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right |  | 680 | 160 | 36 | 400 | 74 | 660 | 82 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 180 | 14 | 280 | 29 | 320 | 43 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 580 | 160 | 6 | 240 | 17 | 280 | 55 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 160 | 16 | 260 | 34 | 300 | 43 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 180 | 12 | 280 | 25 | 320 | 40 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 7 | 100 | 32 | 200 | 82 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length Cumulative No Project

PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 100 | 8 | 160 | 17 | 180 | 27 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 140 | 12 | 200 | 22 | 240 | 41 | 17\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 40 | 9 | 140 | 20 | 180 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 200 | 6 | 280 | 22 | 320 | 13 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 200 | 15 | 320 | 59 | 380 | 115 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 13 | 80 | 61 | 140 | 123 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 440 | 80 | 16 | 180 | 44 | 260 | 67 | 5\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 80 | 7 | 140 | 9 | 140 | 0 | 19\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 80 | 8 | 200 | 15 | 180 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 220 | 16 | 340 | 37 | 360 | 58 | 34\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 220 | 14 | 340 | 33 | 360 | 40 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 60 | 12 | 140 | 28 | 180 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 5,120 | 997 | 8,700 | 1,598 | 8,280 | 1,093 | 42\% | 8\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 160 | 7 | 260 | 5 | 180 | 0 | 1\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 160 | 30 | 280 | 53 | 320 | 43 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 180 | 25 | 340 | 61 | 420 | 110 | 27\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 80 | 11 | 160 | 6 | 120 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 2 | 100 | 2 | 80 | 0 | 58\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 4,620 | 301 | 7,360 | 114 | 6,100 | 13 | 51\% | 43\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 40 | 11 | 120 | 27 | 140 | 4 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 160 | 80 | 11 | 160 | 25 | 180 | 2 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 160 | 9 | 280 | 30 | 380 | 72 | 7\% | 0\% |
| WB | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 13 | 100 | 65 | 200 | 113 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

|  |  | Storage | Average | ueue (ft) | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum | ueue (ft) | Blo | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Lane Group | (ft) | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
|  | Through | 1,080 | 620 | 220 | 1,060 | 343 | 1,140 | 344 | 52\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 140 | 120 | 9 | 200 | 4 | 160 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| EB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left Turn | 2,340 | 40 | 7 | 80 | 24 | 120 | 49 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 60 | 10 | 120 | 19 | 140 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left Turn | 2,060 | 580 | 253 | 880 | 314 | 940 | 291 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 280 | 240 | 30 | 340 | 17 | 320 | 18 | 0\% | 11\% |
| SB | Right Turn | 280 |  | 23 |  | 50 | 260 | 39 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 160 | 160 | 9 | 200 | 7 | 180 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0\% |
|  |  |  | 620 | 259 | 1,000 | 265 | 1,020 180 |  |  | 4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser Cumulative Plus Project
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

| Intersection 3 US-101 SB Ramps/Lucas Valley Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Uncontrolled |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Storage | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| Direction | Lane Group | (ft) | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
|  | Through | 520 | 20 | 16 | 100 | 92 | 220 | 209 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 220 | 20 | 6 | 80 | 11 | 80 | 14 | 0\% | 0\% |
| EB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through/Right | 680 | 260 | 211 | 540 | 242 | 560 | 128 | 0\% | 4\% |
|  | Right Turn | 680 | 220 | 213 | 520 | 267 | 540 | 144 | 0\% | 4\% |
| WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum | Queue (ft) | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right | 680 | 160 | 30 | 360 | 78 | 560 | 93 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 240 | 35 | 380 | 77 | 440 | 88 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 580 | 240 | 76 | 420 | 164 | 520 | 201 | 5\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 200 | 29 | 320 | 44 | 340 | 6 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 80 | 35 | 180 | 84 | 220 | 102 | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 3 | 40 | 28 | 60 | 83 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Los Gamos Kaiser
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length
Intersection 5

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 80 | 5 | 120 | 8 | 140 | 14 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 240 | 30 | 420 | 38 | 400 | 82 | 2\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 120 | 10 | 180 | 7 | 140 | 0 | 12\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 40 | 5 | 60 | 9 | 80 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 8 | 100 | 13 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 10 | 40 | 22 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 440 | 40 | 4 | 80 | 10 | 120 | 25 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 15 | 100 | 11 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 60 | 5 | 120 | 10 | 140 | 11 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 60 | 6 | 120 | 13 | 160 | 30 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 80 | 6 | 140 | 11 | 180 | 29 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 1
Las Gallinas Ave/Lucas Valley Rd
Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95 th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 160 | 40 | 6 | 100 | 33 | 160 | 76 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 180 | 10 | 300 | 26 | 360 | 56 | 9\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 40 | 18 | 140 | 56 | 200 | 48 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 320 | 140 | 20 | 240 | 41 | 340 | 67 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,240 | 160 | 16 | 280 | 47 | 420 | 109 | 18\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 60 | 11 | 160 | 18 | 160 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left Turn | 60 | 80 | 5 | 120 | 7 | 120 | 1 | 21\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 980 | 80 | 10 | 160 | 24 | 200 | 27 | 21\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 16 | 40 | 66 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 140 | 24 | 240 | 23 | 220 | 0 | 25\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 960 | 260 | 149 | 540 | 331 | 640 | 316 | 7\% | 1\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 60 | 47 | 200 | 127 | 240 | 113 | 0\% | 0\% |

Intersection 2 Los Gamos Dr/Lucas Valley Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th | ue (ft) | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Blo | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn | 1,080 | 380 | 166 | 660 | 243 | 720 | 207 | 50\% | 1\% |
|  |  | 140 | 100 | 21 | 220 | 28 | 200 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn Right Turn | 2,340 | 180 | 32 | 320 | 17 | 280 | 14 | 2\% | 11\% |
|  |  | 160 | 360 | 147 | 680 | 233 | 860 | 236 | 23\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,060 \\ 280 \\ 280 \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 8 | 180 | 13 | 200 | 17 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  | 80 | 9 | 140 | 21 | 160 | 28 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 100 | 6 | 140 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn Through | 160 | 100 | 10 | $160$ | 16 | 200 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2\% } \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0\% |
|  |  |  | 120 | 21 |  | 44 | $580 \quad 60$ |  |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Right Turn |  | 520 | 60 | 40 | 220 | 157 | 480 | 252 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 220 | 100 | 9 | 200 | 16 | 220 | 19 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through/Right Right Turn | 680 | 60 | 20 | 240 | 68 | 440 | 55 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 40 | 24 | 180 | 105 | 360 | 182 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection 4 US-101 NB Ramps/Smith Ranch Rd

Signal

| Direction Lane Group |  | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Through Through/Right |  | 680 | 140 | 37 | 380 | 70 | 700 | 75 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 680 | 120 | 10 | 220 | 28 | 300 | 60 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Right Turn | 580 | 140 | 18 | 240 | 95 | 340 | 292 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 320 | 160 | 17 | 260 | 36 | 300 | 60 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Through Right Turn | 360 | 200 | 27 | 380 | 61 | 540 | 86 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 360 | 20 | 7 | 80 | 26 | 140 | 52 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection 5 Redwood Dr/Smith Ranch Rd Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 260 | 100 | 11 | 180 | 25 | 220 | 32 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 400 | 140 | 6 | 200 | 12 | 260 | 28 | 17\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 120 | 40 | 11 | 140 | 26 | 180 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | Left Turn | 260 | 200 | 11 | 280 | 13 | 320 | 12 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 520 | 200 | 16 | 320 | 52 | 420 | 161 | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 260 | 20 | 9 | 80 | 56 | 180 | 128 | 0\% | 0\% |
| SB | Left/Through | 440 | 100 | 35 | 260 | 99 | 340 | 122 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 80 | 100 | 8 | 140 | 8 | 140 | 0 | 25\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn | 120 | 100 | 12 | 200 | 14 | 180 | 1 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 780 | 220 | 25 | 340 | 51 | 380 | 69 | 32\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 780 | 220 | 22 | 340 | 44 | 380 | 56 | 0\% | 0\% |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The existing building is 148,000 square feet; however, the Planned Development District allows up to 150,000 square feet of office space, so for the purpose of the analysis, we have assumed a 150,000 square-feet building. The Project does not plan to rebuild or construct the remaining 2,000 square foot balance.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The existing building is 148,000 square feet; however, the Planned Development District allows up to 150,000 square feet of office space so for the purpose of the analysis, we have assumed a 150,000 square-feet building, though the Project does not plan to rebuild or construct the remaining 2,000 square foot balance.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Air traffic pattern analysis is not part of the scope of work for this Transportation Impact Study and will be addressed elsewhere in the CEQA process.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The existing building is 148,000 square feet; however, the Planned Development District allows up to 150,000 square feet of office space so for the purpose of the analysis, we have assumed a 150,000 square-feet building, though the Project does not plan to rebuild or construct the remaining 2,000 square foot balance.

