

PLANNING DIVISION

- I. Project Title:
- II. Project Numbers:_____
- III. Lead Agency Name and Address:
- IV. Contact and Phone Number:_____
- V. Project Location:
- VI. Project Sponsor's Name and Address:
- VII. General Plan Designation:
- VIII. Zoning:
- IX. Description of Project:

.

- X. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting:
- XI. Other agencies whose approval is required.



Mandatory Findings of Significance

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by that project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

0	Aesthetics	0	Mineral Resources
0	Agricultural Resources	0	Noise
0	Air Quality	0	Population and Housing
0	Biological Resources	0	Public Services
0	Cultural Resources	0	Recreation
0	Geology and Soils	0	Transportation and Traffic
0	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	0	Utilities and Service Systems

- **O** Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use and Planning

Environmental Determination

On the basis of this initial evaluation, I find that:

A. The proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

Ο

- **B.** Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions to the project have been made by or agreed to by the applicant. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- **C.** The proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- **D.** Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR (EIR No.) pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the project, nothing further is required.
- E. Pursuant to Section 15164 of the CEQA Guidelines, an EIR (EIR No.) has been prepared earlier and only minor technical changes or additions are necessary to make the previous EIR adequate and these changes do not raise important new issues about the significant effects on the environment. An ADDENDUM to the EIR shall be prepared.
- **F.** Pursuant to Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines, an EIR (EIR No.) has been prepared earlier; however, subsequent proposed changes in the project and/or new information of substantial importance will cause one or more significant effects no previously discussed. A SUBSEQUENT EIR shall be prepared.

Signature

Date

Printed Name



Evaluation of Environmental Impacts:

- I. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- **II.** All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- **III.** Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- IV. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (V) below, may be cross-referenced).
- V. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.

b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.

c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

- VI. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- **VII.** Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- VIII. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- IX. The explanation of each issue should identify:a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; andb) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance



lss	sues &	Supporting Information Sources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I.	Aest	hetics – Would the project:				
	Α.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
	B.	Damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outpourings and historic buildings within a state highway?				
	C.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				
	D.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				

- II. Agricultural Resources In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agricultural farmland. Would the project:
 - Α. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) to non-agricultural use? (The Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program in the California Resources Agency, Department of Conservation, maintains detailed maps of these and other categories of farmland.)
 - В. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Contract?
 - C. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could individually or cumulatively result in loss of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?
- III. Air Quality Where available, the significant criteria established by the applicable air quality management or pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:
 - Α. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of applicable Air Quality Attainment Plan or Congestion Management Plan?
 - Β. Violate any stationary source air quality standard or contribute to an existing or proposed air quality violation?

- \square \square



lss	ues &	Supporting Information Sources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	C.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emission which exceeds quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
	D.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
	E.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				
IV.	Biolo	ogical Resources – Would the project:				
	A.	Have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services?				
	B.	Have a substantial adverse impact on any riparian habitat or natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
	C.	Adversely impact federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) either individually or in combination with the known or probable impacts of other activities through direct removal, filling hydrological interruption, or other means?				
	D.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as tree preservation policy or ordinance?				



lss	ues &	Suppo	orting Information Sources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
V.	Cultu	ural Re	esources – Would the project:				
	A.	signif	se a substantial adverse change in the ficance of a historical resource as defined in on 15064.5?				
	В.	signif	se a substantial adverse change in the ficance of a unique archaeological resource uant to define Section 15064.5?				
	C.		ctly or indirectly disturb or destroy a unique ontological resource or site?				
	D.		urb any human remains, including those red outside of formal cemeteries?				
VI.	Geol	ogy ar	nd Soils – Would the project:				
	A.	adve	ose people or structures to potential substantial rse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or n involving:				
			Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?				
		2.	Strong seismic ground shaking?				
			Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
		4.	Landslides?				
	В.		Id the project result in substantial soil erosion e loss of topsoil?				
	C.		ld the project result in the loss of a unique ogic feature?				
	D.	unsta of the lands	ne project located on strata or soil that is able or that would become unstable as a result e project and potentially result in on- or off-site slide, lateral spreading, subsidence, faction, or collapse?				



Less Than **Issues & Supporting Information Sources** Significant Potentially with Less Than Significant Mitigation Significant No Impact Incorporated Impact Impact Where sewers are not available for the disposal of \square E. wastewater, is the soil capable of supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems? VII. Hazardous and Hazardous Materials - Would the project: Α. Create a significant hazard to the public or the \square environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials? Β. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or \square acutely hazardous materials, substance or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? Π C. Be located on a site which is located on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 659662.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? For a project located within an airport land use plan D. or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles where of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? VIII. Hydrology and Water Quality – Would the project: Α. Violate Regional Water Quality Control Board water \square quality standards or waste discharge requirements? Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or Β. \square \square \square interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (i.e., the production rate of pre-existing nearby

wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which

permits have been granted)?



Issues & Supporting Information Sources			Less Than Significant			
			Potentially Significant Impact	with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	C.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion or sitation on or off-site?				
	D.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on or off- site?				
	E.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted run-off?				
	F.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				
	G.	Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
	H.	Place within a 100-year floodplain structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
	I.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of failure of a levee or dam?				
	J.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				
IX.	Lan	d Use and Planning – Would the project:				
	A.	Physically divide an established community?				
	B.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				



Issues & Supporting Information Sources		Potentially	Less Than Significant with	Less Than		
			Significant Impact	Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	No Impact
	C.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				
Х.	Mine	ral Resources – Would the project:				
	A.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?				
XI.	Noise	e – Would the project result in:				
	A.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
	В.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				
	C.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
	D.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without project?				
	E.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
XII.	Popu	lation and Housing – Would the project:				
	A.	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and business) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
	B.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				



Issues & Supporting Information Sources		Potentially	Less Than Significant with	Less Than		
		Significant Impact	Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	No Impact	
C.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					
XIII. Pub	lic Services					
Α.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service rations, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public service:					
	1. Fire protection?					
	2. Police protection?					
	3. Schools?					
	4. Parks?					
	5. Other public facilities?					
XIV. Re	creation					
Α.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?					
В.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?					



Issu	ies & \$	Supporting Information Sources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV.	Tra	nsportation / Traffic				
	A.	Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ration on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
	B.	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
	C.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
	D.	Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
	E.	Result in inadequate emergency access?				
	F.	Result in inadequate parking capacity?				
	G.	Conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				
XVI.	Utili	ties and Service Systems				
	A.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
	B.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
	C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
	D.	Are sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				



Issues &	Supporting Information Sources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
E.	Result in the determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
F.	Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's sold waste disposal needs?				
G.	Comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
XVII. Ma	ndatory Findings of Significance				
A.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
B.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, effects of other current projects and the effects of probable future projects.)				
C.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				



I. Aesthetics

Would the project

- A. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?
- B. Damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outpourings and historic buildings within a state highway?
- C. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?
- D. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

II. Agricultural Resources

Would the project

- A. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) to non-agricultural use? (The Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program in the California Resources Agency, Department of Conservation, maintains detailed maps of these and other categories of farmland.)
- B. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Contract?
- C. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could individually or cumulatively result in loss of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?

III. Air Quality

- A. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of applicable Air Quality Attainment Plan or Congestion Management Plan?
- B. Violate any stationary source air quality standard or contribute to an existing or proposed air quality violation?
- C. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emission which exceeds quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?
- D. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
- E. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?



IV. Biological Resources

Would the project

- A. Have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services?
- B. Have a substantial adverse impact on any riparian habitat or natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- C. Adversely impact federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) either individually or in combination with the known or probable impacts of other activities through direct removal, filling hydrological interruption, or other means?
- D. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as tree preservation policy or ordinance?

V. Cultural Resources

Would the project

- A. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?
- B. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a unique archaeological resource pursuant to define Section 15064.5?
- C. Directly or indirectly disturb or destroy a unique paleontological resource or site?
- D. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

VI. Geology and Soils

- A. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
 - 1.) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?
 - 2.) Strong seismic ground shaking?
 - 3.) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?
 - 4.) Landslides?



- B. Would the project result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?
- C. Would the project result in the loss of a unique geologic feature?
- D. Is the project located on strata or soil that is unstable or that would become unstable as a result of the project and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?
- E. Where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater, is the soil capable of supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems?

VII. Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Would the project

- A. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?
- B. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substance or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
- C. Be located on a site which is located on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 659662.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?
- D. For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles where of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

VIII. Hydrology and Water Quality

- A. Violate Regional Water Quality Control Board water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?
- B. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (i.e., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?
- C. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion or sitation on or off-site?
- D. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted run-off?



- E. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted run-off?
- F. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?
- G. Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?
- H. Place within a 100-year floodplain structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?
- I. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of failure of a levee or dam?
- J. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

IX. Land Use and Planning

Would the project

- A. Physically divide an established community?
- B. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?
- C. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?

X. Mineral Resources

Would the project

A. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?

XI. Noise

Would the project result in:

- A. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
- B. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?
- **C.** A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?



- D. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without project?
- E. For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

XII. Population and Housing

Would the project

- A. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and business) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?
- B. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
- C. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

XIII. Public Services

- A. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service rations, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public service:
 - 1.) Fire protection?
 - 2.) Police protection?
 - 3.) Schools?
 - 4.) Other public facilities?

XIV. Recreation

- A. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?
- B. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?



XV. Transportation/Traffic

Would the project

- A. Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ration on roads, or congestion at intersections)?
- B. Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?
- C. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?
- D. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?
- E. Result in inadequate emergency access?
- F. Result in inadequate parking capacity?
- G. Conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

XVI. Utilities and Service Systems

- A. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?
- B. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
- C. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
- D. Are sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources or are new or expanded entitlements needed?
- E. Result in the determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?
- F. Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's sold waste disposal needs?
- G. Comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?



XVII. Mandatory Findings of Significance

- A. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
- B. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, effects of other current projects and the effects of probable future projects.)
- C. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

XVIII. References