



AGENDA & NOTICE
CITIES ASSOCIATION OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY – BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

SEPTEMBER 28, 2017 | 7:00 – 9:00 PM
SUNNYVALE CITY HALL | WEST CONFERENCE ROOM
456 W. OLIVE AVE | SUNNYVALE, CA 94086

THIS AGENDA AND PACKET ARE AVAILABLE AT WWW.CITIESASSOCIATION.ORG
IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND THIS MEETING, PLEASE PASS YOUR PACKET TO YOUR ALTERNATE.

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Welcome, Introduction, Roll Call | 7:00 PM |
| 2. Consent Agenda | 7:02-7:05 |
| a. August 14, 2017 BOD meeting minutes | |
| b. Cities Association support for Immigrants & Dreamers | |
| 3. Legislative Action Committee Report (Showalter/Shotwell) | 7:05-7:15 |
| 4. Health Committee: | |
| a. Santa Clara Public Health Presentation | 7:15-7:35 |
| 5. Transportation Committee: | |
| a. <i>Measurable Commute Reduction & the War on Single Occupancy Vehicles</i> , Steve Raney, Smart Mobility Director, Joint Venture Silicon Valley | 7:35-7:55 |
| b. <i>Comparison of Regional Planning</i> , Lynn Peterson, Former Secretary, WA DOT | 7:55-8:25 |
| c. Transportation efforts in Santa Clara County: | |
| i. Tiffany Griego, Stanford Research Institute | 8:25–8:30 |
| ii. Cities Discussion | 8:30-8:50 |
| 6. City Manager’s Report | 8:50 |
| 7. Executive Director Report | 8:52 |
| 8. Joys & Challenges | 8:55 |
| 9. Adjournment | 9:00 PM |



Agenda Report

Meeting Date: September 28, 2017

Subject: Consent a. Board meeting minutes

Initiated by:

Previous Board Consideration: None.

Fiscal Impact: none

Policy Question(s) for Consideration:

Summary:



Draft Board of Directors Meeting Minutes
August 10, 2017 | Sunnyvale City Hall | Sunnyvale, California

Present:

Campbell – Liz Gibbons
Cupertino – Rod Sinks
Gilroy – Peter Leroe - Munoz
Los Altos – Jeannie Bruins,
7:50 – Jan Pepper
Los Altos Hills – Gary Waldeck
Los Gatos – Marico Sayoc
Millpitas – Absent
Monte Sereno – Burton Craig
Morgan Hill – Steve Tate
Mountain View – Ken Rosenberg
Palo Alto – Greg Scharff
San Jose – Charles “Chappie” Jones
Santa Clara – Debi Davis
Saratoga – Manny Cappello
Sunnyvale – Jim Griffith

Also Present:

Mary-Lynne Bernald, Saratoga
Marico Sayoc, Los Gatos
Savita Vaidhyanathan, Cupertino
Jennifer Tasseff, Sunnyvale-Cupertino Airplane
Noise Group
Omar Chatty, citizen (motorist/commuters)
Steve Preminger, County of Santa Clara
Betsy Shotwell, City of San Jose
Kaitlyn Cyr, City of Mountain View
Joanne Benjamin, Interim Executive Director
Andi Jordan, Executive Director

Consent Agenda: The board unanimously approved minutes and budget amendment for administrative costs and registration for the Annual Conference of the League of California Cities for the Executive Director. Motion (Scharff)/Second (Leroe-Muñoz)

Congressional request:

Cities Association received a request from Representatives Eshoo, Khanna, Panetta to coordinate establishment of a South Peninsula Airport/Community Roundtable. Executive Director Andi Jordan gave an overview of communications with various stakeholders, including the Congressional Offices, Select Committee Members, and the San Jose Airport and San Francisco Airport staff.

Public testimony was given by Jennifer Tasseff of Sunnyvale and Councilmember Mary-Lynne Bernald of Saratoga.

After Board discussion, Motion (Scharff) and second (Gibbons) that the Cities Association of Santa Clara County create an Ad Hoc Committee of less than 8 people, who are on least one of the committees of the Association: Legislative Action Committee, City Selection Committee, or

Board of Directors. Motion passed unanimously agrees to form the Ad Hoc Committee. Those volunteering to serve on the Ad Hoc Committee:

- Councilmember Larry Klein, Sunnyvale;
- Mayor Gary Waldeck, Los Altos Hills;
- Mayor Savita Vaidhyanathan, Cupertino;
- Council Member Mary-Lynne Bernald, Saratoga;
- Mayor Greg Scharff, Palo Alto;
- Councilmember Pat Showalter, Mountain View;
- Mayor Steve Tate, Morgan Hill.

City Selection Committee Appointees Updates:

- **Association of Bay Area Government:** Update from Campbell Mayor Liz Gibbons and Cities Association President, Mayor Greg Scharff. Campbell Mayor Gibbons presented information regarding Plan Bay Area 2040. Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) – voted to adopt an **Action Plan** that commits them to a workplan of concrete, near-term actions to promote housing affordability, access to middle-wage jobs, and community resilience. The regional long-range plan directs over \$300 billion in infrastructure funds and sets a framework for how the Bay Area will grow through 2040. In that timeframe, Santa Clara County is projected to experience 31% of the region's population growth, more than any other Bay Area county. President Scharff discussed the merger of MTC and ABAG
- **Metropolitan Transportation Committee (MTC)** – Update from Los Altos Council Member and MTC appointee Jeannie Bruins. Bruins discussed the integration of MTC and ABAG, BATA (Bay Area Toll Authority) bridge toll increases, Express Lanes Expansion, Caltrain 1/8 cent tax Initiative, and SB 595 (Bell), VTA.
- **Bay Area Quality Management District** 1st Vice President and Cupertino Council Member Rod Sinks presented the update on behalf of himself and Council Member Liz Kniss on the Clean Air Plan, Improving Human Health, Protecting Climate, Reducing Refinery Emissions, Impact of California's Climate (AB 398 & AB 617).

Legislative Action Committee: Pat Showalter stated the Legislation Action Committee had met just prior to the Board meeting and discussed several bills that had been reviewed SB 35 oppose AB 574 support, SB 611 support. Motion (Scharff)/Second (Sinks). Motion carried 13- 1 absent (Tran) -1 abstention (Jones)

The legislation reviewed and the recommended actions are below:

Bill Number & Name	Brief description	Action Taken
SB 35	Planning and zoning. Affordable housing: Cities Association previously opposed. Bill has been significantly amended and now affects all cities over 2500 people. streamlined approval process	Motion and second to oppose & send letter
AB 574 (Quirk)	Potable Water	Support, send letter
SB 611 (Hill and Allen)	Vehicles: (DMV to issue special license plates or distinguishing Placards to disabled people – DMV to conduct quarterly random audit;etc.)	Support, send letter
SB 797 (Hill, Beall, Wieckowski, Weiner)	Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board: transactions and use tax. (1/8-cent sales tax to be used by the board for operating and capital purposes of the Caltrain rail service	Place on Sept. LAC Agenda
SB 595 (Beall)	Metropolitan Transportation Commission: Toll Bridge Revenues (Increase in tolls)	Place on Sept. LAC Agenda
SB 540 (Roth)	Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone: streamline the housing approval process by having cities identify Workforce Housing Opportunity Zones	Place on Sept. LAC Agenda

Betsy Shotwell urged members to continue opposing SB 649 (Wireless Telecommunications). Encourage others to oppose as well.

City Managers' Report: Ed Shikada, Assistant City Manager, Palo Alto, reported the City Managers did not meet in July and therefore there is no report.

Joys & Challenges:

- Los Gatos: Mayor Marico Sayoc reported that Los Gatos' 130th birthday is today, August 10,
- Gilroy: Peter Leroe – Muñoz reported that Gilroy held National Night Out last Tuesday. The new police chief is really invested in working with the community through community policy.
- Campbell: Mayor Liz Gibbons reported that the City of Campbell received [Age Friendly Cities](#) designation. There will be two “ [A Dinner to Die For](#)” murder mystery fundraisers in downtown Campbell, September 15 & 16, benefitting the Campbell Museum Foundation and Kiwanis.
- Cupertino: Council Member Rod Sinks reported that Cupertino receiving Beacon Award from the Institute for Local Government for greenhouse gas emissions reduction
- Monte Sereno: Vice Mayor Burton will be attending legislative visits with Youth Commissioners and Assemblyman Evan Low.
- Morgan Hill: Mayor Steve Tate reported that Morgan Hill is looking for a new city manager as Steve Rymer is leaving for Rochester, MN. The new downtown parking structure is receiving the 2017 APWA Silicon Valley Project Award for design.
- Palo Alto: Mayor Greg Scharff reported that from the 1990s - 2017, Palo Alto has had a 60% greenhouse gas emission reduction.
- Sunnyvale: Council Member Jim Griffith reported that [San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara are the number one](#) most sustainable region in the Country by CityLab.com

Public Comment was received by Omar Chatty regarding Transportation.

The meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Andi Jordan

Executive Director

Cities Association of Santa Clara County



Agenda Report

Meeting Date:	September 28, 2017
Subject:	Consent b. Cities Association support for Immigrants & Dreamers
Initiated by:	Board Member Liz Gibbons & Executive Committee
Previous Board Consideration:	Board Priority, created policy for adoption by all cities
Fiscal Impact:	none
Policy Question(s) for Consideration:	Would the Board like to re-iterate their priority for Equitable Communities?
Summary:	Board Member Liz Gibbons contacted President Greg Scharff and Executive Director Andi Jordan following Charlottesville and asked if the Cities Association could also make a statement reaffirming our priority of Equitable Communities. The Executive Board concurred but asked that the statement also include language about the diversity within Santa Clara County/Silicon Valley and the effect on businesses. The following statement is language from our draft resolution of which has been adopted by 9 of our cities. Although 11 cities are actively working in support.



P.O. Box 1079
Los Gatos, CA 95031
408-766-9534
www.citiesassociation.org

For Immediate Release:

Andi Jordan, Executive Director
408.766.9534

***Cities Association of Santa Clara County Reaffirms Commitment
to a Diverse, Supportive and Inclusive Community***

(Santa Clara County, CA) With the ongoing events throughout our country, from Charlottesville to the proposed changes in Deferred Action on Childhood Arrivals (DACA), Cities Association of Santa Clara County, an association of the 15 Cities of Santa Clara County, re-commits to its adopted priority for Equitable Communities that value safety, inclusiveness, and protection of the whole community and reaffirms commitment to a diverse, supportive and inclusive community.

“We recognize there is considerable uncertainty in our community due to ongoing events of hatred throughout the country and uncertain policy changes. Immigrants play a key role in our communities and our businesses,” Cities Association President and Mayor of Palo Alto Greg Scharff noted. “Especially, in Santa Clara County, the heart of Silicon Valley.”

Our businesses in Santa Clara County and the Silicon Valley are thriving, and immigrants are vital to that success.

- 51% of \$1 billion startup companies had at least one immigrant founder ([National Foundation for American Policy](#)).
- 37% of Silicon Valley’s population are non-citizens who entered US to fill a specific job in the tech industry ([Silicon Valley Index](#))
- 74% of Silicon Valley employed computer and mathematical workers ages 25-44 are foreign-born ([Silicon Valley Index](#))

“Many immigrants in our community are feeling uncertain about the ongoing events throughout our country,” remarked Manny Cappello, 2nd Vice President and City of Saratoga Councilmember. “We want each individual to know that our cities value them, whether as a business owner, a neighbor, or friend.”

The Cities Association of Santa Clara County reaffirms its priority of Equitable Communities which:

- values a community characterized by diversity, multiculturalism, and unity and acknowledges that the dignity, health, rights and privacy of all our residents must be respected;
- is committed to protecting the constitutional rights of all of our residents;
- each person is naturally and legally entitled to live a life without harassment, discrimination, persecution or assault, whether perpetrated by individuals, groups, businesses or governments;
- reaffirms its commitment to a diverse, supportive and inclusive community;

- rejects bigotry in all its forms, including, but not limited to, Islamophobia, anti-Semitism, racism, nativism, misogyny and homophobia;
- does not tolerate discrimination based on race, national origin, ethnicity, religion, age, gender, sexual orientation, color or disability;
- does not tolerate Hate crimes, harassment, or assault;
- will oppose any attempts to undermine the safety, security, and rights of members of our community and will work proactively to ensure the rights and privileges of everyone in the city, regardless of religion, country of birth, immigration status, disability, gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity;
- will promote safety, a sense of security, and equal protection of constitutional and human rights, leading by example through equitable treatment of all by City officials and departments.

Of the 15 Cities of Santa Clara county, 11 of the cities have adopted specific resolutions or are taking action in support of immigrants: Campbell, Cupertino, Gilroy, Los Altos, Los Gatos, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Saratoga, Sunnyvale. The Cities Association will continue working to promote safety, a sense of security and equal protection.

About the Cities Association of Santa Clara County:

The Cities Association of Santa Clara County was formed in 1990 to represent the mutual interests of the diverse fifteen cities of Santa Clara County. The Cities Association promotes cooperation among the cities and advocates for positive action to enhance the quality of life for the people of our county. Through the Cities Association, city leaders network, collaborate, research policy, and work side by side on issues of mutual local interest.

Resolution No. _____

A Resolution of the City Council of the City/Town of _____
Reaffirming the City's Commitment to a Diverse, Supportive, Inclusive Community
and to Protecting the Constitutional Rights of Its Residents

WHEREAS, The City/Town of _____ values a community characterized by diversity, multiculturalism, and unity and acknowledges that the dignity, health, rights and privacy of all our residents must be respected; and

WHEREAS, the City/Town is committed to protecting the constitutional rights of all of our residents; and

WHEREAS, each person is naturally and legally entitled to live a life without harassment, discrimination, persecution or assault, whether perpetrated by individuals, groups, businesses or governments; and

WHEREAS, there exists significant and growing concern among our residents based upon recent national and regional incidents of hate crimes, intolerance of religious beliefs, discrimination, sexual harassment and assault, and the fear of a trend toward more of these crimes in the future; and

WHEREAS, there also exists considerable concern in our city/town about the potential risks for discrimination, harassment, persecution, assault, and deportation; and

WHEREAS, the city/town and its residents continually reject bigotry and affirm their commitment to a diverse, supportive, inclusive community; and

WHEREAS, all members of the city/town are valued regardless of religion, immigration status, ethnicity, disability, gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of _____ hereby reaffirms its commitment to a diverse, supportive and inclusive community and resolves as follows:

The City/Town of _____ rejects bigotry in all its forms, including, but not limited to, Islamophobia, anti-Semitism, racism, nativism, misogyny and homophobia; and

The City/Town of _____ does not tolerate discrimination based on race, national origin, ethnicity, religion, age, gender, sexual orientation, color or disability: and

The City/Town of _____ does not tolerate Hate crimes, harassment, or assault; and

The City of _____ will oppose any attempts to undermine the safety, security, and rights of members of our community and will work proactively to ensure the rights and privileges of everyone in the city, regardless of religion, country of birth, immigration status, disability, gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity; and

The City of _____ will promote safety, a sense of security, and equal protection of constitutional and human rights, leading by example through equitable treatment of all by City officials and departments.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES;

NOES;

ABSTENTIONS;

ATTEST:

City Clerk

Mayor

APPROVED: _____

Equitable Communities: Informational Report on Status of Regional, State, and Federal Actions

Jurisdiction	Date	Action
Campbell		➤ On Council agenda for 06/20/2017. Council adopted the Resolution at its June 20, 2017 meeting.
Cupertino	05/02/2017	➤ Passed the Equitable Communities Resolution drafted by the Cities Association.
Gilroy	02/06/2017	➤ Passed Resolution assuring residents that the city embraces and respects people of all ethnicities, national backgrounds and faith, the police department will protect victims and strictly enforce laws against the commitment of hate crimes without regard to the immigration status of the victim or reporting party, and, the City Council is committed to protecting the constitutional rights of its residents.
Los Altos	02/14/2017	➤ Passed Resolution promoting safety, a sense of security, and equal protection of constitutional and human rights through equitable treatment of all; reaffirmed the City's commitment to a diverse, supportive, inclusive, and protective community.
Los Gatos	05/02/2017	➤ Passed the Equitable Communities Resolution with a few minor changes.
Morgan Hill	12/14/2016	➤ Issued Statement of Support and Assurance to Morgan Hill Community and plans to adopt inclusiveness as one of its 2017 strategic priorities and make social responsibility as one of its guiding principles; http://conta.cc/2h2lQ2v .
Mountain View	05/23/2016	➤ Adopted the Resolution on May 23, 2017. Passed Human Rights City Resolution on December 13, 2016 adopting the universal declaration of human rights as guiding principles and declaring Mountain View as a Human Rights City, ensuring the community that discrimination in any form will not be tolerated. Mountain View Police Department has a longstanding policy of not asking about immigration status or helping ICE on issues solely related to immigration status.
Palo Alto	12/12/2016	➤ Passed Resolution promoting safety, a sense of security, and equal protection of constitutional and human rights through equitable treatment of all; reaffirmed the City's commitment to a diverse, supportive, inclusive and protective community. Also on October 15, 2001, City passed resolution declaring Palo Alto a "Hate-Free Zone."
San Jose	12/02/2016	➤ Mayor Liccardo and law enforcement leaders issued statements reaffirming residents' safety and the city's longstanding policy on immigration enforcement; the police department will not become a deportation force under President Trump's administration. "We need to ensure that all residents feel comfortable calling 911, reporting crimes, coming forward as witnesses, and testifying in court to help us keep criminals off the street."

	<p>01/10/2016</p> <p>01/25/2017</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ City Council approved mid-year funding to develop a communications strategy, in coordination with the County of Santa Clara, to ensure accurate and timely information is delivered to the most vulnerable immigrant community. Funding will also support the creation of a pro-bono legal services network that will complement the County's legal services programs. City Council also advised that the City create “safe spaces” in city-owned facilities such as libraries and community centers. ➤ Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín, San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee, San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo and Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf issued statements and vowed to take a regional approach to combat the impacts of any threatened cuts in federal funding that would adversely affect the nearly two and half million residents of diverse backgrounds who reside in their cities. ➤ Through Office of Immigrant Affairs, San Jose is a member of the “Welcoming America” network that supports participating cities efforts to create inclusive, immigrant friendly policies and to engage various sectors in creating welcoming communities.
<p>Saratoga</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The City is working in partnership with the Saratoga Ministerial Association to host a series of events called Community Conversations to encourage discussions within the community focused on inclusiveness and equality. The first of these events will take place on the evening of March 28. The meetings will be facilitated by members of the Ministerial Association, which is a group of leaders from our various faith-based organizations. The City is supporting these events by providing meeting space, helping with outreach and communications, and coordination.
<p>Sunnyvale</p>	<p>02/07/2017</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Issued “Statement on Our City Values and Public Service;” City Council unanimously voted to reaffirm Sunnyvale’s commitment of serving its diverse community in a respectful, equitable, and inclusive manner.
<p>Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association</p>	<p>03/09/2017</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Issued statement reassuring communities that police departments’ longstanding stance on immigration enforcement will not change. Federal immigration laws will not be enforced by local police departments. Departments equally respect both documented and undocumented residents and “recognize mutual trust and respect is the cornerstone in building a solid foundation for our success.” The Association encourages victims and witnesses to contact departments about reporting or witnessing crimes without fear so that public safety departments can continue to serve and protect a safe environment for all.
<p>Santa Clara County</p>	<p>12/13/2016</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Passed Resolution (3 in favor and 2 abstentions) in response to the election of President Trump and increase in hate crimes across the nation and Santa Clara County. <p>Santa Clara County Affirms Defense of Its Immigration Policies</p>

- Development of legal strategies that could be used to stop a loss of revenue or protect residents' civil rights.
 - Created a Federal Legislative Advocacy Task Force to keep the Board informed about the impacts of anticipated changes in policies, legislation and regulations, and to propose Board actions.
 - Developed a plan through the Office of Immigrant Relations aimed to provide information to immigrants in multiple languages about their rights and where to seek services. Furthermore, the plan will create a partnership between legal service providers and community based organizations to provide legal representation to immigrant residents who may face deportation.
 - Declaring the month of August as Muslim Awareness and Appreciation Month
 - Resolution for County of Santa Clara Affirming its Commitment to Central American Refugees—June 2016
 - Declaring Immigrant Heritage Month—June 2016
 - Resolution Responding to the 2016 Presidential election and Affirming Santa Clara County's Commitment to its Values
 - National Welcoming Week—September 2016
 - April 2016—Santa Clara County was Designated as a Welcoming County under the White House Task Force on New Americans Welcoming Communities Campaign
- February 2017
- Santa Clara County Joined other Cities and Counties throughout the US in filing an Amicus Curiae asking the US Supreme Court to uphold important constitutional protections for immigrants held in prolonged mandatory detention by the federal Government
 - Filed a Federal Lawsuit against President Trump and members of his administration challenging his January 25, 2017 Executive Order which intended to deny all federal funding to any state and local government that fails to comply with his aggressive immigration enforcement plan.
- March 2017
- Joined the Cities of Chicago, Los Angeles and New York City in filing a friend-of-the-court amicus brief in the Federal district court in Seattle challenging President Trump's renewed attempt at restricting travel into the US from six majority-Muslim nations

		<p>March 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Letter of Support for Various CA Legislative Bills <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ AB 3—provide training and advise in issues relating to the immigration consequences of criminal convictions to public defenders in the state ○ SB 6—Provides funding for universal legal representation for every immigrant detained in California ○ SB 31—protects the safe and free exercise of religion by all Californians by ensuring that state and local agencies and personnel do not participate in or use agency resources to create a registry ○ SB 54—protects the safety and well-being of all Californians by preventing the use of state and local public resources to aid federal immigration and customs enforcement agents in deportation actions ➤ According to the Public Policy Institute of California, more than 180,000 undocumented immigrants reside in Santa Clara County. ➤ Board of Supervisors approved investment of \$3.5 million toward providing community outreach and legal representation to victims of mass deportation in order to ensure due process at low or no cost. Legal Representation Program ➤ Funding will support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ (a) the costs of full-time immigration attorneys, and possibly support staff, from legal services organizations that provide no-cost immigration legal services; and ○ (b) Pro bono programs in which volunteer lawyers, usually from private law firms, provide representation and other assistance under the supervision of experienced immigration lawyers. ➤ Contract with and provide funding to non-profit organizations that are well-equipped to provide immigration-related legal services to those who live or work in the County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The funded legal services should include not only removal defense for individuals who have been formally charged and placed into removal proceedings, but also legal assistance that provides preventative measures against being targeted for removal in the first place. This would include screening to determine if an individual qualifies for legal status or immigration
--	--	---

		<p>relief he or she can proactively seek without waiting to be targeted for removal, such as asylum, citizenship, adjustment of status, or other waivers; assistance in filing applications for these forms of immigration relief; and assistance with post-conviction remedies that could mitigate the immigration consequences of convictions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Support legal assistance for a broad range of immigrants County Counsel recommends that the County program support legal representation for a broad range of individuals who live or work in the County – including individuals with criminal records – for several reasons. First, because the incoming administration intends to focus immediate removal efforts on immigrants with criminal records, these immigrants are likely to face the most immediate and acute need for legal representation. Second, under the federal immigration laws, many low-level offenses, such as joyriding or a single failure to appear in court on a misdemeanor charge, can trigger the initiation of removal proceedings in immigration court, even for green card-holders who have lived in this country for decades. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Santa Clara County has agreed to cooperate with ICE requests when the subject has a record of state-defined “serious or violent” crimes in the past 10 years. These crimes include murder or attempted murder, mayhem, rape and other sex crimes, robbery, arson and extortion, and other crimes. ➤ County filed lawsuit, along with other jurisdictions, against President Donald Trump over his executive orders related to immigration. Trump's newly signed executive orders require compliance with federal Immigration Customs and Enforcement (ICE) officials and other security agencies in order to receive \$300 million in promised federal funds. ➤ Santa Clara County is a member of the “Welcoming America” network that supports participating cities efforts to create inclusive, immigrant friendly policies and to engage various sectors in creating welcoming communities. ➤ Through its Office of Immigration, the County has a website that provides services, resources, and education about citizens’ rights during immigration raids at https://www.sccgov.org/sites/oir.
<p>State of California</p>		<p>The California State Legislature has introduced a number of bills addressing the federal government’s new immigration policies. If passed, California could be designated a sanctuary state.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Senate Bill 54 (Kevin De Leon) would prevent local law enforcement agencies across the state “from

		<p>using resources to investigate, interrogate, detain, detect, report, or arrest persons for immigration enforcement purposes.” California’s Trust Act — passed in 2013 — already prevents law enforcement from keeping someone in custody for immigration authorities after he or she is eligible for release. And California’s Truth Act – passed in 2016 –requires inmates to receive consent forms explaining their rights to them before ICE agents talk to them. Te proposed new measures would go further, preventing agencies from collecting information on people’s legal status or from responding to certain requests from federal agents for information, such as phone numbers, work addresses or release dates. California cannot stop federal immigration officers from conducting deportation raids in the Golden State. However, the state can stop local cops and deputies from helping the feds enforce immigration law. If passed, the bill would prohibit the use of state facilities for immigration enforcement purposes. This would essentially prevent access to county-run jails from ICE. California State Sheriff’s Association opposes the bill; while several organizations, such Bill Wilson Center, Asian Law Alliance, California La Raza Lawyers Association, SEIU California and more support the bill. Passed Senate third reading on March 22, 2017 and now currently awaiting review in Assembly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Assembly Bill 3 (Bonta) would create state-funded centers to train public defenders and other defense attorneys on immigration law. This bill has passed hearings in Assembly Committees on Public Safety and Human Services; awaiting hearing in Assembly Committee on Appropriations. ➤ Senate Bill 6 (Hueso) establishes the Due Process for All Act by expanding existing immigrant legal services contracts administered by the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) to include immigrants facing deportation. The bill authorizes CDSS to establish specific contracting priorities, requires the state to consider the use of an umbrella nonprofit organization to administer the contracts and sets criteria for qualifying contractors. It prohibits legal services from being provided to individuals who have been convicted of specified violent crimes. The bill additionally establishes the California Universal Representation Trust Fund to accept donations from private foundations and philanthropic entities in order to serve more people. Passed Senate Third Reading on March 22, 2017.
<p>Federal Government</p>	<p>01/25/2017</p>	<p>President Trump has issued 18 executive orders since he was sworn into office. The orders related to immigration and public safety of citizens include the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Border Security and Immigration Enforcement – President Trump instructed the Department of Homeland Security to begin construction of a 1,900-mile long wall along the southern border with Mexico, using existing federal funds to get it started. The directive also included strengthening the border with an

	01/25/2017	<p>additional 5,000 border protection officers.</p>
		<p>➤ Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States – directs executive departments and agencies to enforce immigration laws of the United States through deportation of undocumented immigrants and tripling resources for enforcement with 10,000 additional immigration officers. This directive is aimed at undocumented immigrants who have committed a crime, or have been charged with a crime, where unresolved, or are suspected as a threat to public safety. It also targets “sanctuary cities,” or municipalities, states, and other entities which can refuse to turnover undocumented immigrants to federal authorities through a variety of policies by withholding funding. “Jurisdictions that willfully refuse to comply with 8 U.S.C. 1373 (sanctuary jurisdictions) are not eligible to receive Federal grants, except as deemed necessary for law enforcement purposes by the Attorney General or the Secretary. The Secretary has the authority to designate, in his discretion and to the extent consistent with law, a jurisdiction as a sanctuary jurisdiction. The Attorney General shall take appropriate enforcement action against any entity that violates 8 U.S.C. 1373, or which has in effect a statute, policy, or practice that prevents or hinders the enforcement of Federal law.” <i>Implications:</i> this directive will likely lead to an increase in the deportation of undocumented immigrants. While it is suspected the administration cannot cut off all federal funding because Congress approves much of it, the President may put pressure on cities to comply. Several legal scholars question whether the executive order is constitutional, based on the 10th Amendment and previous court precedents. If federal funding is in fact threatened, lawsuits are expected, e.g. Santa Clara County and City of San Jose have joined other jurisdictions and filed a law suit against President Trump due to this executive order.</p>
	1/27/2017	<p>➤ Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States - An executive order imposing a 120-day suspension of the refugee program and a 90-day ban on travel to the U.S. from citizens of seven Muslim-majority nations: Iraq, Iran, Syria, Libya, Yemen, Somalia and Sudan. This directive set off widespread chaos and confusion at airports nationwide and for agencies tasked with implementing the order. Due to federal courts response, the travel ban was frozen. As of March 6, 2017, this executive order has been revoked.</p>
	02/09/2017	<p>➤ Task Force on Crime Reduction and Public Safety - An executive order directing the Department of Justice to take the lead on Federal actions to support law enforcement efforts nationwide and to collaborate with State, tribal, and local jurisdictions to restore public safety to all of our communities. The Attorney General (Jeff Sessions) has been directed to establish and fund a Task Force on Crime Reduction and Public Safety. The Task Force will be tasked with developing strategies to reduce crime,</p>

	03/06/2017	<p>including, in particular, illegal immigration, drug trafficking, and violent crime.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States – The revised executive order temporarily suspends immigration into the United States for 90 days from now only six predominantly-Muslim countries — Iran, Somalia, Sudan, Yemen, Syria, and Libya. Iraq was previously listed among those nations, but was removed after assurances from the Iraqi government of increased information sharing with the United States. Visitors with existing visas and current lawful permanent residents and green card holders are exempt from the ban. In addition, no more than 50,000 refugees will be allowed in in 2017 and the order no longer places a blanket ban on Syrian refugees trying to enter the U.S. Instead refugees, including those from Syria, will be subjected to a 120-day suspension of the refugee program. The new order rescinds the previous one was supposed to go into effect on March 16, 2017; however, it has been put on hold due to legal challenges in the federal courts. ➤ HR 83 Mobilizing Against Sanctuary Cities Act, introduced by Congress Member Lou Barletta, proposes to prohibit “a state or local government from receiving federal financial assistance for a minimum of one year if it restricts or prohibits a government entity or official from: (1) sending to or receiving from the responsible federal immigration agency information regarding an individual's citizenship or immigration status, or (2) maintaining or exchanging information about an individual's status.” The bill restores assistance eligibility upon a Department of Justice (DOJ) determination that the jurisdiction no longer restricts or prohibits such actions. DOJ shall report each year to Congress regarding state or local jurisdictions that restrict or prohibit such actions. Judiciary Committee referred bill for review by Subcommittee on Immigration & Border Security.
--	------------	--

Notes & Background Information:

- Equitable Communities Subcommittee Members: Manny Cappello (Champion), Ken Rosenberg, Jan Pepper, Marsha Grilli, Marico Sayoc.
- Purpose of Subcommittee: Consider action or recommendation to membership cities in wake of federal government's new policies on immigration enforcement and pledge to protect constituents regardless of race, gender, class, religion, sexual orientation, or disability and advance our commitment to safety and equity across communities.

- Sanctuary Jurisdiction = Jurisdiction that discourages local law enforcement from cooperating with federal immigration officials or simply avoid actively involving themselves in immigration enforcement. A jurisdiction adopting such a policy may do it through laws or merely through practice.
- The modern sanctuary movement started in the 1980's, when U.S. churches, synagogues and other religious institutions began to provide refuge and services to thousands of undocumented immigrants from Guatemala and El Salvador who had fled civil unrest at home but were denied sanctuary in the U.S., largely due to Cold War politics. The effort became known as the "Sanctuary Movement", and as it spread, a number of cities throughout the country joined in solidarity, passing resolutions to overlook the immigration status of residents. Proponents say sanctuary policies encourage members of immigrant communities to work with police without fear of deportation and such policies help authorities improve public safety by helping authorities identify and arrest dangerous criminals who might otherwise go undetected. However, others say sanctuary policies result in safe havens for illegal aliens involved in a variety of criminal enterprises, since their illegal schemes are less likely to be uncovered and face less risk of deportation if caught by local law enforcement. The national debate over sanctuary cities resurfaced in 2015 when an undocumented immigrant with a long criminal history allegedly shot and killed a 32-year-old woman on a San Francisco pier.
- City Managers Association's feedback provided 02/15/17:
 - It would be helpful to have a Cities Association recommended resolution/statement for all cities to consider for adoption
 - Emphasize values
 - Keep it broad, high level
 - Ensure protection of whole community, promoting inclusiveness
 - Avoid term "sanctuary"
 - Identify resources for residents, e.g. What to do if ICE comes to door, ACLU know your rights, FAQ
- Welcoming City V.S. Sanctuary City
 - A Welcoming City or County is one that joins the Welcoming America network and works across multiple sectors, such as government, business, and non-profit, to create inclusive policies and practices such as making it easier for entrepreneurs to start a business or having government documents available in multiple languages. Welcoming Cities are guided by the principles of inclusion and creating communities that prosper because everyone feels welcome, including immigrants and refugees.
 - There is no legal definition for a "Sanctuary city". Nevertheless, a commonality among cities that have adopted sanctuary-type policies is a desire to resist changes in the law that would require local criminal law enforcement agencies to do the federal government's job of enforcing immigration laws. Many do this by preventing local officials from asking people about their immigration status. Other cities refuse to use local resources to detain immigrants. The main purpose for these types of

policies is to comply with constitutional requirements and to protect public safety by maintaining positive relationships between local law enforcement and immigrant communities.

- Studies have shown that immigrants are less likely to report crimes, or cooperate in criminal investigations, if they fear potential deportation as the result of routine interaction with local law enforcement agents. Even if politically contentious, these are policies that are legally sound and are seen by many localities as good public safety policy.
 - While the term is not associated with our programming, some cities in the Welcoming America network have chosen to adopt what could be considered sanctuary-type policies to guide the actions of their law enforcement personnel, others have not. We stand in support of those cities. It is truly up to the city to determine if they should do so. Though they may share similar principles, self-identified “Sanctuary cities” are not necessarily Welcoming Cities and vice versa.
 - Welcoming America’s ultimate goal is to build more inclusive, prosperous communities. Communities create and implement what works best and is needed to achieve this goal; we support their efforts and the policies they choose to enact.
- In order to join the network, a commitment form needs to be signed (e.g. providing an assigned staff member to this effort, confirming participation in 3 teleconferences per year,) and a proclamation/resolution needs to be passed declaring the jurisdiction to be a welcoming one.
 - Benefits of joining the Welcoming America network - Members commit to advancing and institutionalizing welcoming efforts in their community, and they receive benefits including ideas, approaches, training, resources, networking, certification, and leveraged funding. Learn more about commitments and benefits of joining as a local government or nonprofit.



REQUEST TO MAKE A PRESENTATION TO THE CITIES ASSOCIATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NAME OF ORGANIZATION:

Santa Clara County Public Health Department (SCCPHD)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The SCCPHD has been working with the Cities Association for a number of years to partner on policy strategies focused on improving health outcomes for residents and employees.

REQUEST (WHAT WILL BE PRESENTED?):

In preparation for the launch of the 2017 Healthy Cities Initiative, the SCCPHD would like to present the 2017 Healthy Cities Dashboard, outline the process for cities/towns to update or make progress on their dashboard, and solicit suggestions for improvement. Through the Dashboard, the SCCPHD would like to share new and on-going health policy opportunities with the Cities Association for their consideration. In particular, the SCCPHD would like to discuss efforts to curb youth tobacco use through a unique partnership between the County and cities/towns, where cities adopt a replica of the County's Tobacco Retail Permit ordinance but the County handles the administration and compliance monitoring for cities (this model has been successfully achieved in the Town of Los Gatos and is being pursued in the City of Palo Alto). Additionally, due to the passage of Prop 56, a two-dollar tax increase on tobacco products, there are resources available for cities/towns to assist in the adoption and implementation of tobacco prevention policies.

RELEVANCE TO THE CITIES ASSOCIATION:

The policy changes presented by the SCCPHD through the Healthy Cities Dashboard are designed to help cities/towns promote and protect the health of their residents and employees. Resources will be available to assist cities in this effort.

WHAT ACTION IS REQUESTED OF THE CITIES ASSOCIATION?

On-going endorsement of the Healthy Cities Initiative (as needed) and acceptance and consideration of a report related to tobacco prevention policies and feedback on the rollout of Prop 56 resources for cities/towns.

MATERIALS TO BE SENT TO SUPPORT PRESENTATION

As needed, any materials provided by the SCCPHD will be provided at the meeting.



Agenda Report

Meeting Date:	September 28, 2017
Subject:	Agenda 5. Transportation Committee
Initiated by:	Transportation Committee
Previous Board Consideration:	None on this topic
Policy Question(s) for Consideration:	informational
Summary:	<p>5a: Measureable Commute Reduction and the War on Single Occupancy Vehicles by Steve Raney, Smart Mobility Director, Joint Venture Silicon Valley</p> <p>5b: Comparison of Regional Planning, Lynn Peterson, Former Secretary, Washington DOT</p> <p>5c: Transportation efforts in Santa Clara County</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i. Tiffany Griego Stanford Research Instituteii. Cities Discussion of efforts
Would the Board like to take further action on any of these topics?	The Cities Association may want to continue this dialogue and have further Regional conversations hosted by Cities Association, partnering with other jurisdictions, or groups. Would need to be budget neutral as there is no budget for special projects or priorities.

September 28, 2017 Cities Association Board of Directors Meeting
Agenda 5 a. Transportation Measurable Commute Reduction & the War on Single Occupancy
Vehicles

Steve Raney | Executive Director, Smart Mobility



Steve Raney serves as Executive Director for Joint Venture Silicon Valley’s Mobility as a Service (MaaS) Software Ecosystem Acceleration Initiative. MaaS provides a seamless, door-to-door combination of transportation modes — including public and private transit, bikeshare, rideshare, carshare, vanpool, taxi, employer commute benefits, electric scooter/bike lease, pay-by-phone parking, future robo-taxis — to outcompete private auto ownership. MaaS dissolves the boundaries between different transport modes, providing a more customer-centered experience while improving the efficiency of the entire transport system.

Prior to Joint Venture, Steve founded Cities21, a smart mobility transportation consulting firm. With Cities21, Steve led commercialization analysis studies for Nissan and Google self-driving car teams; served as Principal Investigator for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s “Transforming Office Parks into Transit Villages” Study; led BART’s Group Rapid Transit Study; and conceived Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s \$33 million Climate Innovations Grant Program.

Prior to Cities21, Steve led Ultra Global Personal Rapid Transit’s North American operations. At London Heathrow Terminal 5, Ultra became the world’s second PRT electric vehicle transit system. With Ultra, Steve led last mile transit studies for Raleigh, Austin, San Jose Airport, Palo Alto, Pleasanton, Edina, Microsoft, and Oakland Airport, developing the first profitable public private partnership business models. Steve has earned three masters degrees: business, software, and transportation from Columbia, RPI, and Berkeley. He is a member of two national Transportation Research Board committees and has authored 18 transportation papers.



**Lynn Peterson | Peterson Consulting | 1000 Friends of Oregon |
Smartgrowth America | Former Secretary, WA DOT**

Peterson is a nationally recognized transportation and land-use integration expert having worked both as a transportation consultant and as a strategic planning manager for TriMet, Portland, OR's regional transportation agency.

Peterson's public service started as a Lake Oswego City Councilor, and later on the first elected Clackamas County Commission Chair. Her leadership creating great communities has led to senior roles in the administrations of two governors in two states, and Executive Director of 1000 Friends of Oregon.

During her tenure as Secretary of the Washington State Department of Transportation, Peterson oversaw an agency responsible for 18,600 lane miles of highway, 3,700 bridges, airports, passenger- and freight-rail programs, and the Washington State Ferry system (the nation's largest). She brought a renewed focus on agency efficiencies, accountability, and investments to the multimodal system, and supported the agency's partnerships with cities, counties, businesses, transit agencies, and non-motorized transportation groups to cost-effectively build safe and healthy communities everywhere in Washington state.

Lynn believes collaboration is the foundation of for a region's economic growth, vibrant neighborhoods and small towns, and integrated system of parks and transportation.