

## Executive Board Meeting Agenda

## October 8, 2021 3:00 PM / Virtual Meeting via Zoom

Register for Zoom webinar [HERE] | Meeting also livestreamed on YouTube [LINK]

More info on public comment and accessibility given at the end of the agenda

#### **Board Members**

Mayor Marico Sayoc	Town of Los Gatos	Chair
Vice Mayor Chappie Jones	City of San Jose	1 <sup>st</sup> Vice President
Councilmember Margaret Abe-Koga	City of Mountain View	2 <sup>nd</sup> Vice President
Mayor Neysa Fligor	City of Los Altos	Secretary-Treasurer
Mayor Rich Constantine	City of Morgan Hill	Legislative Action Committee Chair
Mayor Larry Klein	City of Sunnyvale	Past President
Town Manager Laurel Prevetti,	Town of Los Gatos	SCCCMA Representative (Ex-Officio)
Andi Jordan		Executive Director (Ex-Offico)

Discussion & action may be taken on any of the items below. Times are approximate.

#### WELCOME AND ROLL CALL - (Sayoc, 3:00 PM)

#### 1. **Consent Agenda** Approval of September Executive Board of Directors Meeting Minutes 1a. **Attachment:** Minutes 1b. Receive September financial report Attachment: Report 1c. Approval of invoices to be paid • Environmental Science Associates: \$8,660.00 for YTD total of \$162,702.50 Logan & Powell: \$4,620.00 (invoice available to Board members upon request) Kramer Workplace Investigations: \$1175.00 (invoice available to Board upon request) Attachment: ESA Invoice 2. **Racial Justice Committee** Update on progress or changes to report presented to Board of Directors in June. Attachments: • June Committee Report Matrix of Public Safety Reform Efforts Summary of Findings: Public Safety Reform Efforts Campbell Staff Report 2a. Affordable Housing Recommendations for Consideration Public Safety Reform actions for consideration: Rebuilding Trust with the 2b. Community in collaboration with the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association 3. **Committee Updates** Housing (Klein) Transportation (Abe-Koga) COVID-19 (Sayoc) Nominating Committee (Sayoc)

#### 4. Future Meeting Format

Consideration of holding future meetings of the Cities Association utilizing Zoom and following the procedure in recently passed AB 361 starting at the November 2021 meeting.

**Attachment:** Staff Report

#### 5. Status of FY22 Budget and Consideration of Budget Amendment

#### Attachments:

- Staff Report
- Proposed Budget Amendment
- LCC vs CASCC Dues Comparison
- CASCC Dues 2018-22

#### 6. October Board of Directors Meeting Agenda

Discuss and approve agenda for the October Board of Directors meeting Proposed agenda:

- 1. Consent Agenda
  - a. Adoption of remote meeting procedure re: AB361
  - b. Minutes
  - c. Financial report
  - d. Invoices
- 2. Racial Justice Committee
- 3. Planning Collaborative/Housing Committee: recap of let's talk housing, next steps, REAP grant/SCOPE of work
- 4. CSC appointee presentations
- 5. Nominating Committee Announcement
- 6. FY 22 Budget Update and possible amendment
- 7. SCCCMA Update
- 8. Executive Director Update

- 5. Santa Clara County City Managers Association (SCCCMA) Update (Prevetti)
- 6. Executive Director Update (Jordan)

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Members of the public wishing to comment on an item on the agenda may do so in the following ways:

- 1. Email comments to audin@citiesassociation.org
- Emails will be forwarded to the Executive Board of Directors
- IMPORTANT: identify the Agenda Item number in the subject line of your email. All emails received will be entered into the record for the meeting.
- 2. Provide oral public comments during the meeting:
  - When the Chair announces the item on which you wish to speak, click the "raise hand" feature in Zoom. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called to speak.
  - When called to speak, please limit your comments to the time allotted (up to 3 minutes, at the discretion of the Chair).
  - Phone participants:
    - \*6 Toggle mute/unmute
    - \*9 Raise hand

#### **ACCESSIBILITY**

We strive for our meetings and materials to be accessible to all members of the public. Those requiring accommodations to participate in this meeting may contact our Office Assistant at <a href="mailto:audin@citiesassociation.org">audin@citiesassociation.org</a>. Notification at least three business days prior to the meeting will allow us to best meet your needs.



## Executive Board Special Meeting Agenda

#### September 3, 2021 11:30 AM / Virtual Meeting via Zoom

Meeting recording available on YouTube [LINK]

Agenda in black / Minutes in blue

#### **Board Members**

Mayor Marico Sayoc Town of Los Ga	tos <i>Chai</i>	r
-----------------------------------	-----------------	---

Vice Mayor Chappie Jones City of San Jose 1<sup>st</sup> Vice President

Councilmember Margaret Abe-Koga City of Mountain View 2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President

Mayor Neysa Fligor City of Los Altos Secretary-Treasurer

Mayor Rich Constantine City of Morgan Hill Legislative Action Committee Chair

Mayor Larry Klein City of Sunnyvale Past President

Town Manager Laurel Prevetti, Town of Los Gatos SCCCMA Representative (Ex-Officio)

Andi Jordan Executive Director (Ex-Offico)

Discussion & action may be taken on any of the items below. Times are approximate.

#### WELCOME AND ROLL CALL – (Sayoc, 3:00 PM)

**Members Present:** Sayoc, Jones, Abe-Koga, Fligor, Constantine, Klein, Prevetti, Jordan **Others in Attendance:** 

- Audin Leung, Board Clerk
- Gary Baum, Legal Counsel
- Kristine Zanardi, Policy Director, Office of Supervisor Joe Simitian, County of Santa Clara
   District 5
- Raania Mohsen, Office of Vice Mayor Chappie Jones, City of San José



#### Open Session

#### REPORT OUT FROM CLOSED SESSION

No action to report.

1.	Consent Agenda
	Motion to approve consent agenda by Jones. Seconded by Fligor. Motion adopted 6-0-0-0.

- 1a. Approval of August Executive Board of Directors Meeting Minutes
- 1b. Approval of invoice from Environmental Science Associates: \$20,295.00 for a total to date billing of \$154,042.50

#### 2. December General Membership Event

Discussion and consideration of holding December Event virtually or in-person.

#### 3. Committee Reports

- Transportation (Abe-Koga)
- Housing (Klein)
- Racial Justice (Jones)
- Ad Hoc Committee for SCSC Roundtable (Jones/Klein)
- COVID-19 (Sayoc)

#### 4. September Meeting Agendas

Discuss and approve agenda for the September City Selection Committee and Board of Directors meeting

City Selection Committee

- 1. Appointment of Sourcewise representative
- 2. Nomination of representative and alternate for OAC Central County Cities; South County and West Valley Cities

Legislative Action Committee – no meeting, legislative session ends next week

#### Requests to present:

- Pano (start-up using GPS and panoramic video to quickly geolocate fires.)
- Supervisor Susan Ellenberg

#### Possible Committee Presentations:

- Housing (Klein)
- Racial Justice (Jones)
- Transportation (Abe-Koga)
- Ad Hoc Committee/SCSC Roundtable (Jones/Klein)
- LAC (Constantine)
- Planning Collaborative Let's Talk Housing update

Reports from City Selection Committee Members:

- MTC (Abe-Koga)
- ABAG (Gibbons/Fligor)
- RWRC (Watanabe/Klein/Chao)
- OAC (Gibbons)
- ALUC
- 5. Santa Clara County City Managers Association (SCCCMA) Update (Prevetti)
- 6. Executive Director Update (Jordan)

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

Respectfully submitted,

Audin Leung Board Clerk

## Management Report

Cities Association of Santa Clara County For the period ended September 30, 2021



Prepared by

BestBooks4U Bookkeeping & QuickBooks Consulting

Prepared on

October 4, 2021

For management use only

### **Table of Contents**

Profit and Loss by Class	3
Balance Sheet	5
Statement of Cash Flows	6
Monthly Reports:Monthly Summary VENDOR Expenses	7
Monthly Reports:MONTHLY Transaction Detail by Account	8
Profit and Loss by Class % Change	.13

NOTE: August payroll ran only once instead of twice. Therefore, an additional payroll appears in September.

	CASCC	PC	Roundtable	TOTAL
NET OPERATING INCOME	96,193.96	-13,135.00	-67,606.25	15,452.71
NET INCOME	\$96,193.96	\$ -13,135.00	\$ -67,606.25	\$15,452.71

	Total
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Bank Accounts	
1001 Checking - Union Bank	59,765.67
Total Bank Accounts	59,765.67
Accounts Receivable	
1200 Accounts Receivable	58,693.21
Total Accounts Receivable	58,693.21
Other Current Assets	
1300 LAIF Funds	124,393.36
1310 Venue Prepaid Deposit	1,000.00
1395 Accrued Interest	44.60
Total Other Current Assets	125,437.96
Total Current Assets	243,896.84
Fixed Assets	
1500 Machinery and Equipment	2,203.41
1700 Accumulated Depreciation	-1,926.59
Total Fixed Assets	276.82
TOTAL ASSETS	\$244,173.66
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	
2000 Accounts Payable	10,488.00
Total Accounts Payable	10,488.00
Credit Cards	
2200 First National Bank of Omaha	320.73
FNBO_2nd	107.00
Total Credit Cards	427.73
Total Current Liabilities	10,915.73
Total Liabilities	10,915.73
Equity	
1110 Unrestricted Fund Balance	182,837.30
3000 Opening Bal Equity	-34.00
3010 Reserves	0.00
3013 Reserve for New Equip.	1.92
3014 Reserve for Operations	35,000.00
Total 3010 Reserves	35,001.92
Net Income	15,452.71
Total Equity	233,257.93

### Statement of Cash Flows

July - September, 2021

	Total
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
Net Income	15,452.71
Adjustments to reconcile Net Income to Net Cash provided by operations:	
1200 Accounts Receivable	-58,693.21
2000 Accounts Payable	-5,786.00
2200 First National Bank of Omaha	-10.17
FNBO_2nd	-107.00
Total Adjustments to reconcile Net Income to Net Cash provided by operations:	-64,596.38
Net cash provided by operating activities	-49,143.67
NET CASH INCREASE FOR PERIOD	-49,143.67
Cash at beginning of period	108,909.34
CASH AT END OF PERIOD	\$59,765.67

## Monthly Reports: Monthly Summary VENDOR Expenses

September 2021

	Total
Adobe	33.98
Aiden - Kristen Leung	1,393.50
Andi Jordan	13,631.08
AP Intego	47.81
Bestbooks4U	522.50
Canva	12.95
Environmental Science Associates	8,660.00
Great American Grill	150.17
Gusto	1,271.88
Intuit	105.00
Kramer Workplace Investigations	2,050.00
Law Office of Gary M Baum	1,953.00
Logan & Powell LLP	3,905.00
Microsoft	25.00
Union Bank	3.00
Verizon	30.30
Zoom.us	113.50
TOTAL	\$33,908.67

# Monthly Reports: MONTHLY Transaction Detail by Account September 2021

1001 Checking - Union	on Bank							
						6565 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Payroll		
09/02/2021	Expense		No	Gusto		Service Fees	-111.00	-111.00
09/02/2021	Expense		No	Great American Grill	andi used wrong cc	6162 GENERAL OFFICE:Hospitality	-150.17	-261.17
					FW: Invoice 534 from BestBooks4U Bookkeeping & QuickBooks	6310 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal &		
09/08/2021	Expense	534	No	Bestbooks4U	Consulting	Professional Fees:Accounting Services	-522.50	-783.67
09/09/2021	Deposit		No	Mountain View		1499 Undeposited Funds	10,856.89	10,073.22
09/09/2021	Deposit	78758259	No	Andi Jordan	reimbursement of Andi using wrong card for great american grille	6162 GENERAL OFFICE:Hospitality	150.17	10,223.39
09/09/2021	Deposit		No	City of Gilroy		1499 Undeposited Funds	10,856.89	21,080.28
09/10/2021	Deposit		No	Los Gatos Town		1499 Undeposited Funds	8,697.51	29,777.79
09/10/2021	Deposit		No	Los Altos Hills		1499 Undeposited Funds	6,523.13	36,300.92
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Law Office of Gary M Baum		2000 Accounts Payable	-3,433.50	32,867.42
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Environmental Science Associates	Project: D181353.00	2000 Accounts Payable	-20,295.00	12,572.42
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Law Office of Gary M Baum		2000 Accounts Payable	-1,953.00	10,619.42
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Kramer Workplace Investigations		2000 Accounts Payable	-2,050.00	8,569.42
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Logan & Powell LLP		2000 Accounts Payable	-3,905.00	4,664.42
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Kramer Workplace Investigations		2000 Accounts Payable	-3,775.00	889.42
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 09-10	No		Debit net pay	-Split-	-3,627.57	-2,738.15
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 09-10	No		Debit tax	-Split-	-2,016.24	-4,754.39
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 08-26	No		Debit tax	-Split-	-1,984.29	-6,738.68
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 08-26	No		Debit net pay	-Split-	-3,554.04	-10,292.72
	_					6568 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Workers		
	Expense		No	AP Intego		Compensation	-47.81	-10,340.53
	Credit Card Payment		No			FNBO_2nd	-107.00	-10,447.53
	Credit Card Payment		No			2200 First National Bank of Omaha	-320.73	-10,768.26
	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Baird + Driskell Community Planning		2000 Accounts Payable	-13,135.00	-23,903.26
	Journal Entry	PR 09-30 period 09-25	No		debit net pay	-Split-	-176.37	-24,079.63
	Journal Entry	PR 09-30 period 09-25	No		Debit net pay	-Split-	-3,054.59	-27,134.22
09/30/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-30 period 09-25	No		Debit tax	-Split-	-1,922.53	-29,056.75
09/30/2021	Expense		No	Union Bank		6120 GENERAL OFFICE:Bank Service Charges	-3.00	-29,059.75
Total for 1001 Checking	ng - Union Bank						\$ -29,059.75	
1200 Accounts Receiv	vable							
09/08/2021	Payment	check 198686	No	City of Gilroy		1499 Undeposited Funds	-10,856.89	-10,856.89

Date	Transaction Type	Num	Adj	Name	Class	Memo/Description	Split	Amount	Balance
09/08/2021	Payment	check 00224373	No	Mountain View			1499 Undeposited Funds	-10,856.89	-21,713.78
09/08/2021	Payment	check 19159	No	Los Altos Hills			1499 Undeposited Funds	-6,523.13	-28,236.91
09/08/2021	Payment	check 145305	No	Los Gatos Town			1499 Undeposited Funds	-8,697.51	-36,934.42
Total for 1200 Acc	counts Receivable							\$ -36,934.42	
1499 Undeposited	d Funds								
09/08/2021	Payment	check 00224373	No	Mountain View		received 9/8/2021, check 00224373	1200 Accounts Receivable	10,856.89	10,856.89
09/08/2021	Payment	check 145305	No	Los Gatos Town		received 9/8/202 Check number 145305	1200 Accounts Receivable	8,697.51	19,554.40
09/08/2021	Payment	check 198686	No	City of Gilroy		received 9/8/2021, check number 198686	1200 Accounts Receivable	10,856.89	30,411.29
09/08/2021	Payment	check 19159	No	Los Altos Hills		received 9/8/2021, check # 19159	1200 Accounts Receivable	6,523.13	36,934.42
09/09/2021	Deposit		No	City of Gilroy		received 9/8/2021, check number 198686	1001 Checking - Union Bank	-10,856.89	26,077.53
09/09/2021	Deposit		No	Mountain View		received 9/8/2021, check 00224373	1001 Checking - Union Bank	-10,856.89	15,220.64
09/10/2021	Deposit		No	Los Gatos Town		received 9/8/202 Check number 145305	1001 Checking - Union Bank	-8,697.51	6,523.13
09/10/2021	Deposit		No	Los Altos Hills		received 9/8/2021, check # 19159	1001 Checking - Union Bank	-6,523.13	0.00
Total for 1499 Un	deposited Funds							\$0.00	
2000 Accounts Pa	ayabie					FW: Kramer Workplace Investigations	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable		
09/02/2021	Bill	579	No	Kramer Workplace Investigations		Invoice	consultant and technical services 6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable	2,050.00	2,050.00
09/02/2021	Bill	001535	No	Law Office of Gary M Baum		invoice - bill - Gary Baum Law	consultant and technical services 6320 OFFICE	1,953.00	4,003.00
09/13/2021	Bill		No	Logan & Powell LLP		Fw: outstanding invoices	PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Attorney Services	3,905.00	7,908.00
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Kramer Workplace Investigations			1001 Checking - Union Bank	-3,775.00	4,133.00
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Law Office of Gary M Baum			1001 Checking - Union Bank	-3,433.50	699.50
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Environmental Science Associates			1001 Checking - Union Bank	-20,295.00	-19,595.50
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Law Office of Gary M Baum			1001 Checking - Union Bank	-1,953.00	-21,548.50
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Kramer Workplace Investigations			1001 Checking - Union Bank	-2,050.00	-23,598.50
09/15/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Logan & Powell LLP			1001 Checking - Union Bank	-3,905.00	-27,503.50
						FW: Invoice for Project SCSC	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable		
09/15/2021	Bill	167281	No	Environmental Science Associates		Roundtable Facilitation-FY 2020-20	consultant and technical services	8,660.00	-18,843.50
09/23/2021	Bill Payment (Check)		No	Baird + Driskell Community Planning			1001 Checking - Union Bank	-13,135.00	-31,978.50
Total for 2000 Acc	counts Payable							\$ -31,978.50	

2200 First National Bank of Omaha

Date	Transaction Type	Num	Adj	Name	Class	Memo/Description	Split	Amount	Balance
09/03/2021	Expense		No	Adobe			6620 GENERAL OFFICE:Software Licenses	33.98	33.98
09/13/2021	Expense		No	Verizon			6880 Office:Telephone	30.30	64.28
09/13/2021	Expense		No	Zoom.us			6620 GENERAL OFFICE:Software Licenses	113.50	177.78
09/17/2021	Expense		No	Intuit			6620 GENERAL OFFICE:Software Licenses	25.00	202.78
09/20/2021	Expense		No	Microsoft			6620 GENERAL OFFICE:Software Licenses	25.00	227.78
09/20/2021	Expense		No	Intuit			6620 GENERAL OFFICE:Software Licenses	80.00	307.78
09/20/2021	Expense		No	Canva			6620 GENERAL OFFICE:Software Licenses	12.95	320.73
09/22/2021	Credit Card Payment		No				1001 Checking - Union Bank	-320.73	0.00
Total for 2200 First	National Bank of Omaha							\$0.00	
FNBO_2nd									
09/22/2021	Credit Card Payment		No				1001 Checking - Union Bank	-107.00	-107.00
Total for FNBO_2n	d							\$ -107.00	
6000 GENERAL O	FFICE								
6120 Bank Service	e Charges								
09/30/2021	Expense		No	Union Bank	CASCC	image fee	1001 Checking - Union Bank	3.00	3.00
Total for 6120 Bar	nk Service Charges							\$3.00	
6162 Hospitality									
09/02/2021	Expense		No	Great American Grill	CASCC	andi used wrong cc	1001 Checking - Union Bank	150.17	150.17
09/09/2021	Deposit	78758259	No	Andi Jordan	CASCC	reimbursement of Andi using wrong card for great american grille	1001 Checking - Union Bank	-150.17	0.00
Total for 6162 Hos								\$0.00	
6620 Software Lic								Ψ0.00	
0020 Sollware Lic	:0115 <b>0</b> 5					ADOBE ACROPRO SUBS -			
09/03/2021	Expense		No	Adobe	CASCC	8004438158, CA	2200 First National Bank of Omaha	33.98	33.98
09/13/2021	Expense		No	Zoom.us	CASCC	ZOOM.US 888-799-9666 - SAN JOSE, CA	2200 First National Bank of Omaha	113.50	147.48
09/17/2021	Expense		No	Intuit	CASCC	INTUIT *QuickBooks Onl - CL.INTUIT.COM, CA	2200 First National Bank of Omaha	25.00	172.48
09/20/2021	Expense		No	Canva	CASCC	CANVA* 103181-31969045 - CAMDEN, DE	2200 First National Bank of Omaha	12.95	185.43
09/20/2021	Expense		No	Microsoft	CASCC	MSFT * E0100FUR02 - MSBILL.INFO, WA	2200 First National Bank of Omaha	25.00	210.43
09/20/2021	Expense		No	Intuit	CASCC	INTUIT *QuickBooks Onl - CL.INTUIT.COM, CA	2200 First National Bank of Omaha	80.00	290.43
Total for 6620 Sof	tware Licenses							\$290.43	
Total for 6000 GEN	NERAL OFFICE							\$293.43	

10/13

Date	Transaction Type	Num	Adj	Name	Class	Memo/Description	Split	Amount	Balance
Office									
6880 Telephone									
00/12/2021	Evnonco		No	Verizon	CASCC	VZWRLSS*PRPAY AUTOPAY - 888- 294-6804, FL	2200 First National Bank of Omaha	30.30	20.20
09/13/2021	Expense		No	venzon	CASCC	294-0004, FL	2200 Filst National Bank of Offiana		30.30
Total for 6880 Te	elepnone							\$30.30	
Total for Office								\$30.30	
OFFICE PERSON	NNEL_CONSULTANTS								
6300 Legal & Pro	ofessional Fees								
6310 Accounting	g Services								
09/08/2021	Expense	534	No	Bestbooks4U	CASCC	bestbooks4	1001 Checking - Union Bank	522.50	522.50
Total for 6310 A	accounting Services							\$522.50	
6320 Attorney S	Services								
09/13/2021	Bill		No	Logan & Powell LLP	Roundtable	Logan & Powell Llp	2000 Accounts Payable	3,905.00	3,905.00
Total for 6320 A	attorney Services							\$3,905.00	
6350 Roundtabl	le consultant and technical services								
09/02/2021	Bill	579	No	Kramer Workplace Investigations	CASCC	Kramer Workplace Investigations	2000 Accounts Payable	2,050.00	2,050.00
09/02/2021	Bill	001535	No	Law Office of Gary M Baum	CASCC	Law Offices of Gary	2000 Accounts Payable	1,953.00	4,003.00
09/15/2021	Bill	167281	No	Environmental Science Associates	Roundtable	Environmental Science Associates	2000 Accounts Payable	8,660.00	12,663.00
Total for 6350 R	Roundtable consultant and technical	services						\$12,663.00	
Total for 6300 Le	egal & Professional Fees							\$17,090.50	
6565 Payroll Ser								<u> </u>	
						GUSTO FEE 087812 CCD 6sem			
09/02/2021	Expense		No	Gusto	CASCC	GUSTO FEE 087812 CCD 6semjqa8psc	1001 Checking - Union Bank	111.00	111.00
	ayroll Service Fees					7		\$111.00	
6568 Workers Co								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	<b>-</b>					APIntego ACHTRANS CCD 834			
09/20/2021	Expense		No	AP Intego	CASCC	APIntego ACHTRANS CCD 83496569	1001 Checking - Union Bank	47.81	47.81
Total for 6568 W	orkers Compensation							\$47.81	
6575 Payroll Wa	ges/Salary								
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 09-10	No		CASCC	regular wages	-Split-	648.99	648.99
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 09-10	No		CASCC	Regular Wages	-Split-	4,593.75	5,242.74
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 08-26	No		CASCC	Regular Wages	-Split-	4,593.75	9,836.49
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 08-26	No		CASCC	regular wages	-Split-	551.01	10,387.50
09/30/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-30 period 09-25	No		CASCC	regular wages	-Split-	193.50	10,581.00

Date	Transaction Type	Num	Adj	Name	Class	Memo/Description	Split	Amount	Balance
09/30/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-30 period 09-25	No		CASCC	Regular Wages	-Split-	4,593.75	15,174.75
Total for 6575 Pa	ayroll Wages/Salary							\$15,174.75	
6580 Payroll Tax	(es								
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 09-10	No		CASCC	Employer Taxes	-Split-	401.07	401.07
09/15/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-15 period 08-26	No		CASCC	Employer Taxes	-Split-	393.57	794.64
09/30/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-30 period 09-25	No		CASCC	Employer Taxes	-Split-	366.24	1,160.88
Total for 6580 Pa	ayroll Taxes							\$1,160.88	
Total for OFFICE	PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS							\$33,584.94	
Not Specified									
09/30/2021	Journal Entry	PR 09-30 period 09-25	No			debit net pay	-Split-	0.00	0.00
Total for Not Spec	cified							\$0.00	
									-

# Profit and Loss by Class % Change July - September, 2021

			CASCC			PC			Roundtable			TOTAL
	Jul - Sep, 2021	Jul - Sep, 2020 (PY)	% Change	Jul - Sep, 2021	Jul - Sep, 2020 (PY)	% Change	Jul - Sep, 2021	Jul - Sep, 2020 (PY)	% Change	Jul - Sep, 2021	Jul - Sep, 2020 (PY)	% Change
INCOME												
4000 INCOME										0.00	0.00	0.00%
4010 Membership Dues	149,957.07	149,957.07	0.00 %							149,957.07	149,957.07	0.00 %
4020 Roundtable Income								187,597.78	-100.00 %	0.00	187,597.78	-100.00 %
4060 LAIF INCOME		450.07	-100.00 %							0.00	450.07	-100.00 %
Total 4000 INCOME	149,957.07	150,407.14	-0.30 %					187,597.78	-100.00 %	149,957.07	338,004.92	-55.63 %
Total Income	149,957.07	150,407.14	-0.30 %	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	187,597.78	-100.00 %	149,957.07	338,004.92	-55.63 %
GROSS PROFIT	149,957.07	150,407.14	-0.30 %	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	187,597.78	-100.00 %	149,957.07	338,004.92	-55.63 %
EXPENSES												
6000 GENERAL OFFICE										0.00	0.00	0.00%
6120 Bank Service Charges	9.00	9.00	0.00 %							9.00	9.00	0.00 %
6162 Hospitality	150.17									150.17	0.00	0.00%
6180 Insurance	150.17	450.51	-66.67 %							150.17	450.51	-66.67 %
6220 Dues and Subscriptions		400.00	-100.00 %							0.00	400.00	-100.00 %
6550 Supplies and Equipment		1,422.99	-100.00 %							0.00	1,422.99	-100.00 %
6610 Postage and Delivery	1.05	61.75	-98.30 %							1.05	61.75	-98.30 %
6615 Office/General Administrative Expenses	214.00									214.00	0.00	0.00%
6620 Software Licenses	911.28	532.44	71.15 %							911.28	532.44	71.15 %
Total 6000 GENERAL OFFICE	1,435.67	2,876.69	-50.09 %							1,435.67	2,876.69	-50.09 %
6700 Reimbursable Expense		437.50	-100.00 %							0.00	437.50	-100.00 %
Office										0.00	0.00	0.00%
6880 Telephone	90.90									90.90	0.00	0.00%
Total Office	90.90									90.90	0.00	0.00%
OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS										0.00	0.00	0.00%
6153 Contractors					24,975.00	-100.00 %				0.00	24,975.00	-100.00 %
6300 Legal & Professional Fees										0.00	0.00	0.00%
6310 Accounting Services	1,265.00	6,981.25	-81.88 %							1,265.00	6,981.25	-81.88 %
6320 Attorney Services	4,095.00	6,202.00	-33.97 %				13,392.50	950.00	1,309.74 %	17,487.50	7,152.00	144.51 %
6350 Roundtable consultant and technical services	13,632.50			13,135.00			54,213.75	16,503.75	228.49 %	80,981.25	16,503.75	390.68 %
Total 6300 Legal & Professional Fees	18,992.50	13,183.25	44.07 %	13,135.00			67,606.25	17,453.75	287.35 %	99,733.75	30,637.00	225.53 %
6565 Payroll Service Fees	285.00	165.00	72.73 %							285.00	165.00	72.73 %
6568 Workers Compensation	159.45	150.12	6.22 %							159.45	150.12	6.22 %
6575 Payroll Wages/Salary	30,468.72	26,250.00	16.07 %							30,468.72	26,250.00	16.07 %
6580 Payroll Taxes	2,330.87	2,008.13	16.07 %							2,330.87	2,008.13	16.07 %
Total OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS	52,236.54	41,756.50	25.10 %	13,135.00	24,975.00	-47.41 %	67,606.25	17,453.75	287.35 %	132,977.79	84,185.25	57.96 %
Total Expenses	53,763.11	45,070.69	19.29 %	13,135.00	24,975.00	-47.41 %	67,606.25	17,453.75	287.35 %	134,504.36	87,499.44	53.72 %
NET OPERATING INCOME	96,193.96	105,336.45	-8.68 %	-13,135.00	-24,975.00	47.41 %	-67,606.25	170,144.03	-139.73 %	15,452.71	250,505.48	-93.83 %
NET INCOME	\$96,193.96	\$105,336.45	-8.68 %	\$ -13,135.00	\$ -24,975.00	47.41 %	\$ -67,606.25	\$170,144.03	-139.73 %	\$15,452.71	\$250,505.48	-93.83 %

9/a7/a1





**Environmental Science Associates** 550 Kearny Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 896-5900

Andi Jordan

Executive Director

Cities Association of Santa Clara County DO Boy 2144

September 15, 2021

Invoice No:

167281

Project Manager:

Evan Wasserman

Los Altos,	CA 94024				
Project <b>Professio</b> nal	D201801353.0: Services from Augu	2 SCSC Roundtable Facili st 1, 2021 to August 31, 2021	ation-FY 2020-202	1	
Task	0000001	Prepare for, facilitate, and Follow			
Professional I	Personnel	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ap /= ///com/go		
		Hou	s Rate	Amount	
Senior Ass					
vvass	erman, Evan	18.5		2,775.00	
	Totals Total Labor	18.5	O	2,775.00	
<b>S</b> illia or 1 i	rotal Labor				2,775.00
Billing Limits		Current	Prior	To-Date	
Total Billin Limit	gs	2,775.00	84,350,00	87,125.00	
Remai	ining			90,000.00	
:\cina	ming			2,875.00	
			TOTAL THIS	S TASK:	\$2,775.00
<b>– – – – –</b> Task	0000002	Roundtable Meeting Planning			
Billing Limits		Current	Prior	To-Date	
Total Billing	gs	0.00	5,930.00	5,930.00	
Limit				6,000.00	
Remai	ning			70.00	
			TOTAL THIS	TASK:	0.00
— — — — — Task	0000003	Support Work Plan Assignments			
Billing Limits		Current	Prior	To-Date	
Total Billing	gs	0.00	44,976.25	44,976.25	
Limit				45,000.00	
Remai	ning			23.75	
			TOTAL THIS	TASK:	0.00
- <b></b> Fask	0000004	Respond to Inquiries			
Professional P					
		Hours	Rate	Amount	
Senior Dire					
	on, Steven	2.00	300.00	600.00	
Director III Cook, .	luction		240.00	***	
	Associate III	1.00	240.00	240.00	
Managing 4					

1 of 5

3.02 SCSC Roundtal	ble Facilitation-FY 2	2020-20	Invoice	167281
	***************************************			
	5.00	150.00	750.00	
ls	14.50		2,922.50	
l Labor				2,922.50
	Current	Prior	To-Date	
	2.922.50	8,018.75	10,941.25	
	-,		13,000.00	
			2,058.75	
		TOTAL THIS	TASK.	\$2,922.50
		TOTAL TINO	TACK!	42,522.00
NE Propaga/Post Web	seite Content			
70 Flebalon Dat Mer	isite content			
	Hours	Rate	Amount	
			7 11110 4111	
	4.00	150.00	600.00	
	22.50	105.00	2,362.50	
ls	26.50		2,962.50	
Labor				2,962.50
	Current	Prior	To-Date	
	-			
	£,502.00	0,751.00		
		TOTAL TIPO	,	<b>6</b> 2 062 50
		IUIAL IHIS	IASK:	\$2,962.50
Other Direct Cost		nnort Letter Tra		
O Other Direct Costs	•		•	
	0.00	2,300.00	·	
			·	
			5,700.00	
		TOTAL THIS	TASK:	0.00
	тот	AL INVOICE AM	OUNT:	\$8,660.00
<b>n</b>	B-1			
\$/19/2021				
	20,295.00			
Current	Prior	Total		
	154,042.50	162,702.50		
-,	<b>,</b> -	,		
209				
	Date 8/19/2021  Current 8,660.00	S	S	Sample   14.50   2,922.50   1

Project	D201801353.02	SCSC Roundtable F	acilitation-FY 20	20-20	Invoice	167281
3illina	Backup				Wednesday, Septer	mber 15, 2021
_	ntal Science Associates	Invoid	ce 167281 Date	d 9/15/2021		11:54:31 AM
	D201801353.02	SCSC Roundt	able Facilitation	-EY 2020-202	21	
Project						
ask .		epare for, facilitate, a	and Follow-up 1	2 Meetings		
Profession	al Personnel			<b>B</b> -4-		
			Hours	Rate	Amount	
Senior.  1165	Associate I Wasserman, Evan	8/2/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
1100	2 hrs for meeting recap re			(00.00	555.55	
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/3/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
	2 hrs for the meeting reca	p review and commu	inications abotu	NES letter		
	edits _			450.00	200.00	
11165	Wasserman, Evan	8/4/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
	2 hrs for review of meeting Advisory Circular commen		ncations regard	ing FAA		
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/5/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
	2 hrs for communications		ents for FAA Ac	lvisory		
	Circular submittal				200.00	
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/6/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
	2 hrs for the communication Advisory Circular submitted	ans regarding review	th MLB of Ad Ho	)C		
	Committee/SCSC Roundt	able/Cities Associati	on framework			
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/19/2021	1.00	150.00	150.00	
	1 hr for discussion of poss			450.00	150.00	
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/24/2021	1.00	150.00	150.00	
	1 hr for developing agend general coordination	a for special meeting	and other mate	enais anu		
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/25/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
1100	2 hrs for special meeting i		nation			
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/26/2021	1.00	150.00	150.00	
	1 hr for special meeting m					
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/30/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
	2 hrs for communications			pment 150.00	225.00	
1165	Wasserman, Evan	8/31/2021	1.50		225.00	
	1.5 hr for communications Totals	and special meeting	g agenda devek 18.50	אווסוועב	2,775.00	
	Total Labor		10.00		<b>—</b> (* * • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2,775.00
	,000,2000			TOTAL TH	IID TACK:	\$2,775.00
				TOTAL III	iio IAON.	<b>4</b> 2,, , 0.00
 Task	0000004 R	espond to Inquiries				
	nal Personnel	, ,				
riolessioi	idi i Ci 30illici		Hours	Rate	Amount	
Senior	Director III					
358	Alverson, Steven	8/17/2021	.50	300.00	150.00	
	Participated in an MS Tea					
	with Chris Jones to review SCSC Roundtable's Draft					
	congressional representa					
358	Alverson, Steven	8/19/2021	1.25	300.00	375.00	
•	Participated in a Zoom m					
	ESA staff, Mary-Lynne Be Kirsten Powell to discuss					
	SCSC Roundtable meetir					
	prepare a meeting agend					

Firefox about:blank

Project	D201801353.02	SCSC Roundtable F	acilitation-FY 2	020-20	Invoice	167281
358	Alverson, Steven	8/31/2021	.25	300.00	75.00	
	Participated in an MS T	eams meeting				
	with Chris Jones to disc					
	edits to the NES letter t representatives.	o congressional				
Direct						
11573	Cook, Justin	8/19/2021	1.00	240.00	240.00	
. 10/0	Meeting Preparation Ca			2.0.00	2.0,00	
Mana	ging Associate III					
10452	Jones, Christian	8/5/2021	2.50	205.00	512.50	
	AC Comments					
10452	Jones, Christian	8/6/2021	2.00	205.00	410.00	
	AC Comments and coo	ordination				
10452	Jones, Christian	8/17/2021	.75	205.00	153.75	
	NES letter call					
10452	Jones, Christian	8/19/2021	1.25	205.00	256.25	
	Planning meeting and f	ollow up				
Senio	r Associate I					
11165	Wasserman, Evan	8/18/2021	1.00	150.00	150.00	
	1 hr for NES Letter revi					
11165	Wasserman, Evan	8/19/2021	1.00	150.00	150.00	
	1 hr for communication			450.65	000.00	
11165	Wasserman, Evan	8/20/2021	2.00	150.00	300.00	
	2 hrs for special meeting	ig agenda, budget plai	nning, and plani	ning for		
11165	future meeting Wasserman, Evan	8/24/2021	1.00	150.00	150.00	
11100	1 hr for material coordi			100.00		
	Totals	14.50		2,922.50		
	Total Labor				, ==	2,922.50
				TOTAL THIS	TACK	\$2,922.50
				TOTAL TITIS	IAOK.	42,322.00
— — — — Task	0000005	Prepare/Post Website	Content			
		1 1000,011 001 11223112				
Professio	nal Personnel		Harra	Bata	Amount	
C:-	- 6:		Hours	Rate	Amount	
	r Associate I	8/18/2021				
11165	Wasserman, Evan		ን ሰብ	150.00	300.00	
	2 hrs for review of reco		2.00 mendations an	150.00 d Doodle	300.00	
	2 hrs for review of reca Poll coordination				300.00	
11165					300.00 150.00	
11165	Poll coordination	p for NES Letter recom 8/19/2021	mendations an	d Doodle 150.00		
11165	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan	p for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 ofor NES Letter recomm	nmendations an 1.00 mendations and	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll	150.00	
	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan	p for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 for NES Letter recomr 8/24/2021	nmendations and 1.00 mendations and 1.00	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00		
	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination	p for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 for NES Letter recomr 8/24/2021	nmendations and 1.00 mendations and 1.00	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00	150.00	
11165 Assoc	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication	p for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 o for NES Letter recomm 8/24/2021 as and review about pos	nmendations and 1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on wel	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 osite	150.00 150.00	
11165 Assoc	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication ciate I Weiman, Phoebe	p for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 of or NES Letter recomm 8/24/2021 is and review about pos 8/2/2021	nmendations and 1.00 mendations and 1.00	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00	150.00	
11165 Assoc 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication ciate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review	p for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 for NES Letter recomr 8/24/2021 is and review about pos 8/2/2021 v and emails	1.00 nendations and 1.00 sting info on wel	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 osite 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00	
11165 Assoc 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication ciate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe	p for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 I for NES Letter recomm 8/24/2021 Is and review about pos 8/2/2021 V and emails 8/3/2021	nmendations and 1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on wel	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 osite	150.00 150.00	
11165 Assoc 11307 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication ciate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks	sp for NES Letter recommendation of the NeS Letter recommendation	1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on wel 4.00 2.00	150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 Dosite 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00 210.00	
11165 Assoc 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication ciate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks Weiman, Phoebe	sp for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 of or NES Letter recomm 8/24/2021 is and review about pos 8/2/2021 v and emails 8/3/2021 s. 8/5/2021	1.00 nendations and 1.00 sting info on wel	d Doodle 150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 osite 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00	
11165 Assoc 11307 11307 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication tiate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes and N	sp for NES Letter recommendation of the NES Letter section of the NeS Lette	1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on well 4.00 2.00 4.00	150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 Dosite 150.00 105.00 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00 210.00 420.00	
11307 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication ciate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes and N Weiman, Phoebe	sp for NES Letter recorr 8/19/2021 of or NES Letter recomm 8/24/2021 is and review about pos 8/2/2021 v and emails 8/3/2021 s. 8/5/2021	1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on wel 4.00 2.00	150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 Dosite 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00 210.00	
11165 Assoc 11307 11307 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication ciate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes and N Weiman, Phoebe NES Letter	sp for NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter and review about post 8/2/2021  where we will also an arrow of the second new also and review about post 8/2/2021  where we will also a second new also arrow of the second new also are als	1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on wel 4.00 2.00 4.00 2.00	150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 Dosite 105.00 105.00 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00 210.00 420.00 210.00	
11165 Assoc 11307 11307 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication tiate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes and N Weiman, Phoebe NES Letter Weiman, Phoebe	8/19/2021  9 for NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter 8/6/2021  8/17/2021	1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on well 4.00 2.00 4.00	150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 Dosite 150.00 105.00 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00 210.00 420.00	
Association 11307 11307 11307 11307 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication tiate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes and N Weiman, Phoebe NES Letter Weiman, Phoebe Special meeting planni	8/19/2021  9 for NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter recommendation NES Letter section NES Letter and review about post 8/2/2021  9/5/2021  9/5/2021  8/6/2021  8/17/2021	1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on well 4.00 2.00 4.00 2.00 1.00	150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 Dosite 105.00 105.00 105.00 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00 210.00 420.00 210.00 105.00	
Association 11307 11307 11307 11307	Poll coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for review of recap coordination Wasserman, Evan 1 hr for communication tiate I Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes review Weiman, Phoebe SCSC roundtable tasks Weiman, Phoebe Meeting minutes and N Weiman, Phoebe NES Letter Weiman, Phoebe	8/19/2021  If or NES Letter recommodified in the second state of t	1.00 mendations and 1.00 sting info on wel 4.00 2.00 4.00 2.00	150.00 Doodle Poll 150.00 Dosite 105.00 105.00 105.00	150.00 150.00 420.00 210.00 420.00 210.00	

PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT Page 4

Special Meeting planning

Firefox about:blank

Project	D201801353.02	SCSC Roundtable Fac	ilitation-FY 2	020-20	Invoice	167281
11307	Weiman, Phoebe	8/23/2021	.50	105.00	52.50	
	Roundtable meeting e	emails				
11307	Weiman, Phoebe	8/27/2021	2.00	105.00	210.00	
	emails and correspon	dence packet				
11307	Weiman, Phoebe	8/30/2021	4.00	105.00	420.00	
	Agenda packet					
11307	Weiman, Phoebe	8/31/2021	2.00	105.00	210.00	
	Agenda packet, email	s, and website postings				
	Totals		26.50		2,962.50	
	Total Labor					2,962.50
				TOTAL THIS	TASK:	\$2,962.50
				Total this Project		\$8,660.00
				Total this	Report	\$8,660.00

PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT

Page 5



#### Racial Justice Committee Report & Update to Board of Directors

#### Members:

Vice Mayor Chappie Jones, City of San Jose, Chair
Council Member Margaret Abe-Koga, City of Mountain View
Mayor Rich Constantine, City of Morgan Hill
Mayor Neysa Fligor, City of Los Altos
Mayor Larry Klein, City of Sunnyvale
Mayor Marico Sayoc, Town of Los Gatos
Steve Preminger, Santa Clara County Executive's Office

#### **Background**

While simultaneously navigating the COVID-19 pandemic, the Cities Association of Santa Clara County (CASCC) formed the Racial Justice Committee in response to the murder of George Floyd and the civil unrest that followed. The events of 2020 created unprecedented health and social challenges affecting all of our communities, and disproportionately affecting those of Black people, Latinx people, Indigenous people, other people of color, immigrants, and the incarcerated.

We can no longer ignore the systems that are failing our communities of color because they are failing all of us. Despite these challenges, we recognize that the Bay Area thrives because of the inherent diversity of our communities. Rising rents and home prices affect everyone, and they have an especially hard impact on people of color due to generations of marginalization and racist policies and those who work lower-paid jobs, many of whom are being forced out of the region.

We need to act so that race no longer predicts life outcome, and we can continue to stay in this unique place we all love. As elected leaders across the County, we have a responsibility to advance opportunities for all and achieve racial equity through partnerships with others. More importantly, there is an opportunity for each of the 15 cities in Santa Clara County to have a greater impact if we take action together. In that spirit, the committee found consensus to focus on three priorities:

- **1. Affordable Housing** Policy recommendations as an effort to expand housing opportunities for underserved populations
- 2. Public Safety Reform Rebuilding trust with the community in collaboration with the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association
- 3. Hate Crimes Against Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI) Community in collaboration with the Santa Clara County Task Force on Hate Crimes

CASCC Racial Justice Committee Draft Recommendations October 4, 2021 Page 2 of 8

It is understood that each jurisdiction and community is unique. Some of our member cities may already have some of the proposed policies in place and some may not. Though it is not expected that all jurisdictions will support or adopt every one of the recommendations listed, they are suggested for consideration and potential action as concrete steps the region can take to further advance racial justice.

#### 1. Affordable Housing Recommendations for Consideration

Historical legacies and policies have created racial inequities in housing long before COVID-19. There is an opportunity for local leaders to boldly tackle systemic racism in their housing systems and repair the harms that have resulted in racial segregation, displacement, and inequitable communities. The following potential actions are recommended as an effort to:

- ⇒ Expand housing policies and create housing opportunities for diverse communities and people of color.
- ⇒ Increase affordable housing outreach, access, and occupancy in historically underserved communities.

<u>Action 1</u>: Support SB 649 (Cortese) Affordable Housing Tenant Preference Bill

<u>Description:</u> Displacement causes lower-income residents, who are disproportionately people of color in many California communities, real tangible problems: physical health problems, mental health problems, and educational deficiencies. SB 649 creates a State policy that supports greater access to affordable housing for underserved populations facing displacement. It aligns anti-displacement tenant preferences with Internal Revenue Code requirements, thereby qualifying affordable housing developments that use tenant preferences for tax credit or bond financing. This allows cities the option to require an anti-displacement tenant preference for affordable housing units, if they desire.

At the Cities Association Racial Justice Committee Meeting of April 9, 2021, this recommendation was referred to the Legislative Action Committee for review. It will be included in their June 10, 2021 meeting.

**Action 2:** Support A Regional Affordable Housing Online Portal

<u>Description</u>: Encourage cities to participate and utilize a single online portal to market all affordable listings in their jurisdictions across the region. This could serve as a single place where all local affordable listings may be found with jurisdiction specific information. Pending the passage of ABSANSIGNATION SECTION OF A SOUTH PAGE 28 of 58

CASCC Racial Justice Committee Draft Recommendations October 4, 2021 Page 3 of 8

platform is an opportunity to share and save costs across jurisdictions, especially for those that have not yet undertaken this effort.

For jurisdictions interested in participating in the Doorway Portal Collaborative, funding may be potentially available through the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (BAHFA), which was established via AB 1487 (2019, Chiu) and aims to offer a powerful new set of financing and policy tools to promote housing affordability and address the region's housing crisis. The Doorway Portal Collaborative seems to align with BAHFA's goal "to develop a comprehensive regional strategy for helping local governments tackle the housing crisis on a larger scale by transcending city and county boundaries." More information on this potential funding mechanism will be provided as it becomes available.

- o City of San José approved on March 18, 2021, the Doorway Portal Collaborative: <a href="https://sanjose.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4854843&GUID=DFFD8618A39E">https://sanjose.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4854843&GUID=DFFD8618A39E</a> <a href="https://sanjose.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4854843&GUID=DFFD8618A39E">https://sanjose.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4854843&GUID=DFFD8618A39E</a> <a href="https://sanjose.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4854843&GUID=DFFD8618A39E">https://sanjose.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4854843&GUID=DFFD8618A39E</a> <a href="https://sanjose.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4854843&GUID=DFFD8618A39E</a> <a href="https://sanjose.le
- o The City of San José, Alameda County, and San Mateo County are part of this regional effort and are in various stages of Doorway implementation. Other agencies, including the Housing Authority of Santa Clara County, are also evaluating current and planned features of the Doorway platform.
- o The City/County of San Francisco has established an affordable housing portal with the same provider, Exygy Inc., known as DAHLIA, and can be viewed at <a href="https://housing.sfgov.org/">https://housing.sfgov.org/</a>. This website lists all affordable housing vacancy opportunities in one place, simplifies the application process, and provides automated tools for property managers to facilitate the processing of applications
- o The mission of the Regional Doorway Portal Collaborative is to (1) improve access to affordable housing by making it easier to find and apply for affordable housing in the Bay Area, (2) generate data on housing demand and placement to facilitate more efficient use of affordable housing resources, (3) help advocate for more resources for these efforts, and (4) facilitate alignment in tenant preferences used in various jurisdictions to the extent possible.
- o The Regional Doorway Portal Collaborative envisions a simple and equitable way for residents to find and apply for affordable housing throughout the Bay Area and is working to create a unified multi-lingual platform with transparent processes from marketing to move in. It will include both an Applicant Portal and a Partners Portal. The Applicant Portal will provide a website for prospective tenants to find all affordable housing vacancy listings in one location. Applicants will be able to submit applications

CASCC Racial Justice Committee Draft Recommendations October 4, 2021 Page 4 of 8

online through the Applicant Portal, and affordable housing property managers will be able to efficiently manage applications for tenancy through the Partners Portal.

The Cities of Morgan Hill, Gilroy, Santa Clara, Campbell, Los Gatos, and Mountain View currently utilize HouseKeys as its Affordable Housing Program Administrator to provide affordable housing opportunities (ownership, rental, and finance programs) to income-eligible households. The City of Morgan Hill has worked with HouseKeys for the past 5 years investing in and building an online portal to market affordable listings in their jurisdiction and collect data to ensure that they are currently marketing to underserved/diverse communities. It is a platform now used by other cities and can be viewed at: https://www.housekeys.org/muni.

Other cities in the County market their affordable housing units via third party administrators on their websites linked below:

- Los Altos Alta Housing
- Palo Alto Alta Housing
- Milpitas
- Cupertino Rise Housing
- Sunnyvale

<u>Action 3</u>: Affirmative Fair Housing – Expand HUD Outreach Policy, Support Countywide Assessment on Fair Housing, Adopt Citywide Fair Chance Housing Policy, Adopt Inclusionary Housing Policy

<u>Description:</u> Advancing Affirmative Fair Housing will create more equitable opportunity for minority populations and advance racial justice in Santa Clara County. According to government code, affirmatively further fair housing means "taking meaningful actions, in addition to combatting discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics." The following are identified as steps each city in Santa Clara County could potentially take, and many have already started taking, to advance fair housing in their city.

Expand HUD Outreach: As of now, affordable housing units that are federally subsidized are required to use affirmative fair marketing. Encourage affirmative fair housing countywide by all cities and require a marketing plan tailored to identify the least likely to apply by using census data to inform that plan. This would be an effort to expand marketing to all affordable projects and not just those that are federally subsidized. The HUD form required by developers using federal HOME funds is linked here for reference: <a href="https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/935-2A.PDF">https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/935-2A.PDF</a>.

CASCC Racial Justice Committee Draft Recommendations October 4, 2021 Page 5 of 8

Support Countywide Assessment on Fair Housing: Supporting the countywide assessment led by the County will highlight the inadequacies and identify clear policy changes that could assist in fair housing across Santa Clara County.

Strengthen or Adopt Citywide Fair Chance Housing Policy: A fair chance ordinance is a law adopted by a local jurisdiction that creates rules that limit the use of criminal records by landlords when they are screening prospective tenants. The purpose of a fair chance housing ordinance is to reduce barriers of entry to housing for those who have criminal backgrounds and low credit scores. Historically, minority populations come from over-policed areas and are given more severe punishments, which perpetuates a system of housing insecurity through generations. In addition, low credit scores take years to build back up and can be a difficult obstacle to overcome for populations seeking housing.

Strengthen or Adopt Inclusionary Housing Policy: Requiring a percentage of new construction to be affordable will demand more diverse and inclusive communities, particularly in communities of opportunity and transit rich areas where lower income residents are vastly out-priced for market-rate rentals. Eleven of fifteen cities in the County have adopted inclusionary housing policies: Campbell, Cupertino, Los Altos, Los Gatos, Milpitas, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale.

<u>Action 4:</u> Proactively engage both communities of color and lower-income residents, homeowners, and renters in the upcoming Housing Element update process.

<u>Description:</u> Historically, some residents of our communities have been underrepresented in public discussions around land use and affordable housing policy and planning. The upcoming Housing Element update process is different from past cycles and now requires explicit engagement with State Law requiring that jurisdictions take steps to affirmatively further fair housing, and more explicit indication of where cities are planning for future affordable housing development. This is a tremendous opportunity to bring the full spectrum of community perspectives into public discussions about the future of their cities. However, experience shows that unless this is made a priority, and steps are taken to specifically engage communities often missing from these discussions, their perspectives will be left out, and opportunities for a sense of collective civic purpose will be missed.

**<u>Action 5:</u>** Develop a statement of support for land use changes that diversify communities.

<u>Description:</u> Finding ways to integrate multifamily housing into communities of opportunity is critical to desegregate Bay Area cities. Exploring policies that will allow more affordable units in higher cost, transit-rich communities of opportunity will make room for more and diverse types of housing and thus advance racial justice in Santa Clara County.

CASCC Racial Justice Committee Draft Recommendations October 4, 2021 Page 6 of 8

#### Action 6: Increase housing education for diverse populations

<u>Description:</u> Increase and promote a countywide campaign on education about home ownership opportunities for marginalized communities that are unaware of the steps needed to qualify for ownership. Partner with current organizations that provide this service, such as HUD approved counseling agencies, e.g. Project Sentinel. Consider collaboration with a community organization for funding of this campaign.

<u>Action 7</u>: Endorse the 2020 Community Plan to End Homelessness and Develop Implementation Plans.

<u>Description:</u> The 2020 Community Plan to End Homelessness is endorsed by the CASCC and seven Santa Clara County jurisdictions with several others in the process of formally endorsing. The Plan will aim to house 20,000 residents over 5 years, prevent future homelessness from occurring for at-risk and housing-insecure individuals, and improve the lives of those individuals residing in shelters and encampments. It is also critical that Cities develop their own individual implementation plans and identify resources to address homelessness consistent with the 2020-2025 Community Plan to End Homelessness.

## 2. Public Safety Reform – Rebuilding Trust with the Community in collaboration with the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association

Following the murder of George Floyd, nationwide protests erupted that called for police reform in the United States. In the past year this has led to new laws, proposals, and public directives at all levels of government to address the key issues of police misconduct, systemic racism, racial bias, and police brutality. In reaching out to the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association, we strive to collaborate on rebuilding trust between our police departments and members of the public through accountability, transparency and community engagement. In doing so, our various police departments shared their police reform efforts, which are displayed in Attachment A. A summary of the efforts is provided as Attachment B.

The law enforcement agencies within Santa Clara County have been diligently working towards police reform that works for the safety of both the community and the officers. Though they have made much progress, there is still much left to do, especially in terms of addressing the crux of the issue that has led to brutal deaths of civilians at the hands of police officers: Use of Force.

CASCC Racial Justice Committee Draft Recommendations October 4, 2021 Page 7 of 8

Thus, as a collaborative effort with the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association, the CASCC strongly supports advancing training for our police officers through the utilization of Virtual Reality (VR) technology for Crisis Intervention Training and encourages all public safety departments within the County to complete it.

This technology is considered new and is being used in Sacramento to recreate real-world police encounters and incorporate lessons learned into the training curriculum, such as cultural competency, de-escalation, critical decision-making, peer intervention and implicit bias training. This technology would allow for controversial police incidents that occur across the country to be turned into virtual reality simulations almost instantaneously after they occur, allowing officers to learn from real life mistakes, and train for real world situations.

VR technology increases efficiency in scenario-based training and allows officers to train with all of their tools – handgun, Taser, rifle, OC, and flashlight. Additional benefits include decreasing the need for instructor's/role players during real life scenario drills, alleviating the need for different training locations, and introducing an array of virtual environments with different environmental factors at play. All equipment needed for this training will be provided by California's Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) with an estimated delivery date of June 2021.

Four departments have applied for utilization of the technology, including Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara County Sheriff, and Sunnyvale. San Jose and Sunnyvale are also willing to host the training of other departments. This new technology is a critical tool that all departments should utilize as an effort to improve the training of our police officers and further reform policing in Santa Clara County.

# 3. Hate Crimes Against Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI) Community in collaboration with the Santa Clara County Task Force on Hate Crimes

Santa Clara County formed a new Task Force on Hate Crimes to address the recent spike in hate crimes across our communities, most recently among Asian Americans. The Task Force was formed in December 2020 and is co-led by San José Council Member Maya Esparza and County Board of Supervisor Cindy Chavez. The Task Force includes a body of voting members and a body of advisory members. President and Los Gatos Mayor Marico Sayoc represents the Cities Association as an Advisory Board Member. Through this collaboration, efforts will be brough forward to the CASCC Board for consideration that will benefit from action by our member cities to address and curb the spike in hate crimes against Asian American members of our communities to create a more inclusive society.

CASCC Racial Justice Committee Draft Recommendations October 4, 2021 Page 8 of 8

The Hate Crimes Task Force is a multisector effort to investigate, educate, prevent, and combat hate incidents and hate crimes inclusive of County of Santa Clara. The Task Force will advise on strategies and areas for improvements and investments, and strategies relative to appropriate services and programs to address, reduce, and prevent hate incidents and hate crimes. The Task Force shall consider the following:

- Facilitating coordinated responses by local, state, and federal investigators and prosecutors;
- Engaging law enforcement's response to the hate crime problem and providing input for improvements;
- Appropriate educational and community outreach programs designed to reduce and address hate incidents and hate crimes;
- · Appropriate services, resources, and programs for victims;
- Collaborating with multisector partners regionally, nationally, and internationally to share information and strategies for ending hate incidents and hate crimes.

A holistic approach will leverage existing efforts to improve and expand data collection, study and evaluate the pathology of hate incidents and hate crimes, educate the community and expand on school programming, implement programs and services for victims, and offer resources and tools to drive the County towards a hate free community.

The meetings are scheduled to take place in the Board of Supervisors' Chambers, County Government Center, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, or by virtual teleconference on the following days:

Friday, February 26, 12pm\*
Friday, March 26, 12pm
Friday, April 23, 12pm
Friday, June 25, 12pm
Friday, August 27, 12pm
Friday, October 29, 12pm
\*Denotes Special Meeting

In addition to collaboration with the Santa Clara County Task Force on Hate Crimes, the committee will explore sponsorship of bystander intervention training for the community members of all of our member jurisdictions. Bystander intervention training has been proven to empower bystanders to intervene when witnessing disrespect or harassment.

ırisdictions	Campbell Police Department	Gilroy PD	Los Altos Police Department	Los Gatos/Monte Sereno PD**	Milpitas	Morgan Hill	Mountain View
ublic Safety	Implemented:	Department has suspended	Officers are issued	*Updated Department policies related to use of force incorporating the majority of	Believe their practices are	•Procedural Justice in	Utilizes majority of
form Efforts	Ban chokeholds and other	the use of the Carotid hold.	body-worn cameras.	the "#8CANTWAIT" recommendations.	already aligned with #8cantwait,	Policing.	strategies of #8can't wai
	forms of neck restraint.	Gilroy PD has seven	"Recognize the use-of-force	Incorporated the concept of "tactical conduct" as related to the use of force	but understand that some policy	<ul> <li>Initiating Positive</li> </ul>	Had previously banned
	Require de-escalation (taking)	members of the department	policies and utilize them in	scenarios, in which the officers' actions leading up to a use of force are evaluated	adjustments may be needed to	Non-Enforcement	chokeholds &
	no action or passively	scheduled for "train the	policing".	as a part of the use of force review.	support the practices already in	Activities.	strangleholds and
	monitoring the situation may	trainer" principled policing. To		2. Policy changes require the creation of time, space and separation in potential	place.	<ul> <li>Utilizes majority of</li> </ul>	discontinued carotid
	be the most reasonable	be included in in house		use of force situations to facilitate de-escalation tactics.	Implemented Principled Police	#8CANTWAIT policies.	restraint holds in
	response).	training during FY22.		3.Banning the use of chokeholds in the use of force policy.	training in 2018, Procedural	Require Use-Of-Force	December 2020.
	Require warning before	Banned the carotid hold.		4.Require the use of a verbal warning to proceed the use of deadly force and less	Justice training, and Implicit Bias	Continuum (requires	Philosophy of
	shooting.	· Utilizes majority of		lethal force where feasible.	training Banned the use of the	officers to use the	de-escalation is
	Exhaust all alternatives	#8CANTWAIT policies		<ol><li>Review and evaluate the tactical deployment of less lethal use of force options.</li></ol>	carotid	minimum amount of	interwoven within majori
	before shooting.	Requires duty to intercede		6.Prohibit the use of kinetic impact projectiles as measure of crowd control tactics.	Requires all officers/dispatchers	force that reasonably	of department operation
	Duty to intervene: any officer	Requires comprehensive		7.Limit the use of kinetic projectile as a use of force option only to affect an arrest	to attend and complete 40 hours	appears necessary	procedures, and training
	observing another to be using	reporting (Duty to Report		for criminal behavior or to stop an imminent threat to public safety.	of CIT training	given known facts and	City Council meeting or
	unnecessary force must	Excessive Force)		•Reinforced training on the duty to intervene in other officers' use of excessive	Implemented Integrating	the totality of the	June 23, 2020.
	intervene.	Requires de-escalation		force.	Communications, Assessment and	circumstances).	proclaimed that Black
	Ban shooting at moving	Uses body worn cameras		Conducted additional training through Defensive Tactics Cadre and Advanced	Tactics (ICAT) training to increase	Ban Chokeholds and	Lives Matter will be
	vehicles.	Requires reasonable efforts		Officer Training (AOT) on the duty to intercede.	the de-escalation skills of our	Strangleholds.	adopted.
	Require use of force	to warn before shooting and to		Implemented Integrating Communications, Assessment and Tactics (ICAT) training		•Require De-Escalation.	Banning the use of the
	continuum: Campbell Police	exhaust all alternatives		to increase de-escalation skills of officers.		•Require Warning	carotid hold and signing
	officers adhere to US Supreme	•Requires officers to use the		Department personnel sent to ICAT instructor certification training to incorporate		Before Shooting.	onto President Obama
	Court's Graham v. Connor	minimum amount of force that		ICAT training with Defensive Tactics perishable skills training for officers.		•Exhaust All Other	"Mayor's Pledge" to
		reasonably appears necessary		•Requirement of all officers and dispatchers to attend Crisis intervention Team		Means Before Shooting.	
		given the known facts and		(CIT) training within one-year of appointment. This training is incorporated as a part		•Duty to Intervene and	force policies, engage i
		totality of the circumstances at		of newly acquired officers' initial core training plan.		Stop Excessive Force	dialogue with the
	reporting.	the time of the incident		Purchased a virtual reality training simulator funded by a POST Innovations grant		by Other Officers.	community, and report
		•Training: Officers attend a		to facilitate de-escalation of force training to line personnel.		Ban Shooting at	findings back to the
		40-hour POST Crisis		Department requires a comprehensive reporting and supervisory administrative		Moving Vehicles.	community.
	training course based on	Intervention Training course		review each time an officer uses force.		•Require	A Human Relations
	de-escalation and dealing with	with a de-escalation		•In 2020, Town hosted community workshops which included a discussion focused		Comprehensive	Commission roundtable
	mental health crises. Officers	component. Officers		on "Police Reform".		Reporting Each Time an	on unconscious bias ar
		participate in Principled		Introduction of an Independent Police Auditor investigation model, which utilizes a		Officer Uses Force.	other efforts to convene
		Policing Curriculum that		pool of external investigators to investigate citizen complaints and		Participates in	the community.
		combines Implicit Bias		Department-initiated complaints.		City-facilitated	the community.
	Body-worn and in-car	Training and Procedural		Continued collaboration with Santa Clara County Mobile Response Team for		Community Town Hall	
	cameras: all officers equipped	Justice. Officers also attend		mental health follow up and in-field responses for in-progress incidents involving		Meetings addressing	
		POST-approved training in		individuals in acute mental health crisis.		community concerns.	
	police vehicles equipped with	LGBTQ+ awareness.		*Established a Vulnerable Community Response Team (VCRT) as a collateral duty		Piloting Mobile Crisis	
	similar recording systems.	LGB I Q+ awareness.		assignment in which a team of officers coordinate efforts to seek long term		Response Team	
	Officers are expected to record pedestrian contacts.			solutions for those with mental health concerns and the homeless population.		program (Corporal and Behavioral Health	
	interviews, and other events			VCRT will oversee enhanced CIT training for patrol officers, liaison with county		Services Clinician reach	
	when a recording could have			resource services and community based organizations.  In 2021 incorporated the use of part-time Community Service Officer (CSO)		out and connect with	
	value as evidence, to limit			Interns for response to low level crime and non-enforcement calls for service.		residents suffering from	
	liability, to resolve citizen					mental health issues to	
	complaints, or as training tool.					service before they	
						suffer a crisis).	

Jurisdictions	Palo Alto	San Jose Police Department	San Jose State University Police Department	Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office	Santa Clara Police Department	Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety
Public Safety	Any officer present and observing	Working on different ways to		Provided staff with AB 392 training at training days and distributed the POST AB 392 training for use in briefings and	In 2018, established a Chief's Advisory Committee to advise	DPS policies and procedures are
Reform Efforts	another officer using force that is	approach police reform.		roll-calls (Winter 2020)	the Department on issues, matters and public policies	consistent with President Obama's
	clearly beyond that which is	Ban the use of the carotid restraint		Removed the carotid restraint from our Use of Force continuum and no longer train it.	6/4/20, distributed a community letter regarding racial injustice	Task Force on 21st Century Policing
	objectively reasonable under the	for any purpose other than the officers'		Prohibit the hiring or lateral transfers of enforcement and correctional officers/deputies with multiple use of force or	6/5/20, released a video from the Mayor and Chief of Police	guidelines.
	circumstances shall intercede to	self-defense or defense of another		misconduct complaints.	answering the call for Commitment to Action	•Effective June 11, 2020, DPS
	prevent the use of unreasonable	person in life threatening		. Where feasible, a peace officer shall, prior to the use of force, make reasonable efforts to identify themselves as a peace	6/9/20, officers directed to make every reasonable effort to	prohibits the use of the Carotid
	force. An officer who observes	circumstances.		officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the	discontinue the use of the Carotid restraint, unless an officer	Control Hold by any member of the
	another employee use force that	Actively investigating cases with		person is aware of those facts.	can objectively justify its use under deadly force applications	department.
	clearly exceeds the degree of force	police officers that used misconduct.		Deputies have a duty to intercede and report excessive force.	6/11/20, Chief of Police hosted a virtual town hall meeting	<ul> <li>In 2017, DPS worked with an outsid</li> </ul>
	permitted by law shall promptly	Measures to make police more		Comprehensive use of force reporting is required by policy, including all involved deputies and witnesses.	June, 2020, added the Commit to Action webpage on the	consultant to increase transparency
	report these observations to a	accountable.		Continued emphasis on de-escalation training, and verbal communications for deputies (2020)	SCPD website to include: SCPD now, community letter	and help modernize our internal
	supervisor.	Office of Racial Equity will be helping		Established a Psychiatric Emergency Response Team (PERT). This specialized team is comprised of deputies and	regarding racial injustice, pledge from Mayor and Chief of	investigations into misconduct by
	Prohibit the hiring or lateral	with reform process.		licensed Mental Health Clinicians.	Police, hiring process, training summary, policies, crime	sworn officers.
	transfer of enforcement and correctional officers with a history	Ban the use of rubber bullets as a form of crowd control.		Revised and curtailed its policy regarding shooting at vehicles.     Currently working with the county to conduct an enforcement and custody staffing report.	statistics, use of force data, commendation and complaint process, etc.	In 2019, DPS formed a working group to review our use of force
	of excessive force or misconduct	San Jose Police Department seeks to		Body-worn and in-car cameras: all deputies equipped with body-worn cameras and police vehicles equipped with similar	6/16/20. Chief of Police provided feedback to the County of	policy. Led by a Deputy Chief, the
	or excessive force or misconduct complaints.	enhance the public trust by making		<ul> <li>Body-worn and in-car cameras: all deputies equipped with body-worn cameras and police venicles equipped with similar recording systems. Deputies are required by policy to record pedestrian contacts, interviews, and other events that will</li> </ul>	Santa Clara Human Rights Commission roundtable on	working group evaluates our use of
	Restructure school response	comprehensive Use-of-Force data		provide value as evidence, limit liability, resolve citizen complaints, or as training tool.	unconscious bias and other efforts to convene the community	force policy, use of force training
	officers, traffic control, and	available to the public as a written		Provided staff with AB 392 training at training days and distributed the POST AB 392 training for use in briefings and	6/22/20. facilitated a special meeting among the Chiefs	program, and use of force practices
	emergency response: particularly,	report and as an interactive		roll-calls (Winter 2020)	Advisory Committee on Commitment to Action and community	by our officers.
	alternatives for mental health or	dashboard.		Removed the carotid restraint from our Use of Force continuum and no longer train it.	engagement	•All officers are trained in
	behavioral crisis. Ensure response	Council approved a unanimous vote		Prohibit the hiring or lateral transfers of enforcement and correctional officers/deputies with multiple use of force or	6/23/20, multiple actions at the City Council meeting: SCPD	de-escalation techniques, including
	teams are trained and suited to	to search for a consultant to assess		misconduct complaints.	summary of community engagement efforts, adopted Resolution	40-hour Crisis Intervention Training
	handle the situation.	how the City could move internal		Where feasible, a peace officer shall, prior to the use of force, make reasonable efforts to identify themselves as a peace	20-8858 confirming the City's support of the Commit to Action	course.
	Department proposes revising	police-misconduct investigations out of		officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the	Initiative and Resolution 20-8859 condemning racial inequity in	•Nearly 40% of officers have
	deadly force application policy to	SJPD, and to purchase a new property		person is aware of those facts.	all forms and violence against the Black community. At this	enhanced Crisis Intervention Training
	require officers to evaluate each	to house the next training center.		Deputies have a duty to intercede and report excessive force.	meeting, committed to the review and reform use of force	(CIT). Our goal is to have all officers
	situation in each case and to use	<ul> <li>Audit of Police Staffing, Expenditures,</li> </ul>		Comprehensive use of force reporting is required by policy, including all involved deputies and witnesses.		complete this training.
	other available resources when	and Workload: SJPD employs fewer		Continued emphasis on de-escalation training, and verbal communications for deputies (2020)	findings back to the community	•For over 10 years, DPS has
	reasonably safe.  • Department does not recommend	officers today than 20 years ago. This, coupled with budget constraints.		<ul> <li>Established a Psychiatric Emergency Response Team (PERT). This specialized team is comprised of deputies and licensed Mental Health Clinicians.</li> </ul>	7/14/20, City Council took action to establish an Ad Hoc Committee: founding members of the Diversity, Equity and	assigned CIT officers to individuals in crisis after multiple calls for service -
	a revision to the current policy on	growth in retirement, and overtime		Revised and curtailed its policy regarding shooting at vehicles.		to provide individuals with
	shooting at moving vehicles.	costs, has left the department to		Currently working with the county to conduct an enforcement and custody staffing report.	of Committee approved by Council 1/12/21. Task Force will	wrap-around referral services.
	Department policy allows for	struggle to meet response times for		Body-worn and in-car cameras: all deputies equipped with body-worn cameras and police vehicles equipped with similar	review police use of force policies, help with engaging Santa	•Since 2016, all officers have been
	shooting at moving vehicles as a	priority 1 and 2 calls. To better respond		recording systems. Deputies are required by policy to record pedestrian contacts, interviews, and other events that will	Clara's diverse community on equity issues, and make	equipped with body-worn cameras
	last resort only under two	to calls, the department should		provide value as evidence, limit liability, resolve citizen complaints, or as training tool.	recommendations for changes in government policies,	and are required to record
	circumstances in which the vehicle	consider adding more sworn officers,		Provided staff with AB 392 training at training days and distributed the POST AB 392 training for use in briefings and	structures, services and culture	interactions with the public.
	and/or occupant(s) could pose a	reevaluating shift and geographical		roll-calls (Winter 2020)	• 12/1/20, expanded Community Response Team to include two	·It is expected that every member of
	deadly threat to officers or others.	boundaries, as well as utilizing civilian		Removed the carotid restraint from our Use of Force continuum and no longer train it.	Crisis Intervention Specialists. CIS to coodinateand support	the department utilizes de-escalation
	PAPD's force policies limit the	staff for a better run police department.		Prohibit the hiring or lateral transfers of enforcement and correctional officers/deputies with multiple use of force or	beneficial outcomes for persons with mental illness who have	techniques whenever possible, and
	types of force and/or weapons that	SJPD agreed with the		misconduct complaints.	come to the attention of the Department	only use force as necessary. All use
	can be used to respond to specific	recommendations and is implementing		Where feasible, a peace officer shall, prior to the use of force, make reasonable efforts to identify themselves as a peace		of force incidents by our officers are
	types of resistance and only allows	the changes to their department.		officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the		documented and reviewed by a
	for officers to use force reasonably necessary	Adoption of #8cantwait policies.     Expand authority to IPA on		person is aware of those facts.  Deputies have a duty to intercede and report excessive force.	the use of force, make reasonable efforts to identify themselves as a peace officer and to warn that deadly force may be used.	Deputy Chief. •All officers complete "Bias Based
	necessary	Expand authority to IPA on use-of-force allegations.		Deputies have a duty to intercede and report excessive force.     Comprehensive use of force reporting is required by policy, including all involved deputies and witnesses.	as a peace officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe	•All officers complete "Bias Based Policing" training to understand
		use-or-rorce allegations.		Comprehensive use of force reporting is required by policy, including all involved deputies and witnesses.     Continued emphasis on de-escalation training, and verbal communications for deputies (2020)	unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the person is aware of those facts; while policy does not ban	Policing" training to understand personal biases and that it is an
				Stablished a Psychiatric Emergency Response Team (PERT). This specialized team is comprised of deputies and	shooting at moving vehicles, it is only allowed when the driver	officer's duty to remain fair and
				licensed Mental Health Clinicians.	poses a deadly threat)	impartial.
				Revised and curtailed its policy regarding shooting at vehicles.	Training Unit expanding upon opportunities to add	Imparou.
				Currently working with the county to conduct an enforcement and custody staffing report.	de-escalation into multiple training topics	
				Body-worn and in-car cameras: all deputies equipped with body-worn cameras and police vehicles equipped with similar		
				recording systems. Deputies are required by policy to record pedestrian contacts, interviews, and other events that will		
				provide value as evidence, limit liability, resolve citizen complaints, or as training tool.		

Jurisdictions	Campbell Police Department	Gilroy PD	Los Altos Police Department	Los Gatos/Monte Sereno PD**	Milpitas	Morgan Hill	Mountain View
Accountability	"Have committed to increased accountability".  - Continues to evaluate their training programs and policies.	- Gilroy PD have begun to review every use of force incident to determine if the force was applied within department guidelines and the law.	- Ensure ongoing relevant training: - All officers are crisis intervention training certific All officers are trained in "Blas-based policing" The department has certified instructors in Blas-based policing, and de-escalation strategies Officers are exposed to a variety of training structured to increase multi-cultural understanding Officers most recently participated in department-wide training: - Preventing and Responding to Anti-Muslim Bigotry All applicable policies were changed to align with AB 392 law and all officers have received necessary training Citizens' Police Task Force provided recommendations to council to initiate following changes: - School resource officer program removed from Los Altos High School Intake of personnel complaints against officers was enhanced: - online options are prominently displayed, complaint forms are available, and complaints can be submitted to an independent intake official: - complaints can be submitted to an independent intake official: - contracts with city of Los Altos.	-Provide continued and ongoing training on crisis Intervention, bias-based policing, fair and impartial policing, de-escalation strategies, mental health/special needs, and multicultural and diversity understanding.	- Accepts written and verbal complaints for policy vollations. Follows up with thorough investigations of each complaint through interviews, reviewing evidence, reviewing adol traffic, reviewing body-worn camera footage.  - Final findings in internal affairs investigations and imposed discipline rests with the chief.  - Ethical situations and antional incidents are discussed with officers in briefing settings regularly  - Officers are required to intervene when another officer clearly uses force outside policy and the law  - Training is at the core of ensuring staff are properly equipped to respond appropriately and to treat all community members fair, equally and with dignity.  - Robust disciplinary process	-Adopted body-worn camera policyProvide crime data in real time and release an Annual Report in SpringCase management software system designed to provide early warning notifications to supervisors and management (to provide intervention for use of force incidents, vehicle pursuit, vehicle collisions, and complaints)Implementing California's Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015 to collect, maintain, and analyze demographic data on all detentions and searchesAll officers are Crisis Intervention Training certifiedThe department strictly prohibits Bias-based policing when not in combination with credible, timely and distinct informationThe department has certified instructors in fair and impartial policing and de-escalation strategiesAll officers have received training in LGBTQ+ AwarenessDuty to intervene and Stop Excessive Force by Other OfficersActively investigate cases alleging of misconduct by officers.	In the wake of the Black Lives Matter movement, the city of Mountain View and the Mountain View Police Department have partnered with Stanford University to hire a research fellow tasked with analyzing local law enforcement data and providing context so officials may better communicate and develop healthy relationships with the community.  Duty to intervene and stop excessive force by other officers.  City Council council created a Public Safety Advisory Board, appointing 7 members from the Mountain View community from a variety of diverse backgrounds. This board, established in 2020, will make recommendations to the City Council, City Manager, and Police Chief on public safety matters.

Cities Association of Santa Clara County EBOD Meeting Packet October 8, 2021 | Page 37 of 58

Jurisdictions	Palo Alto	San Jose Police Department	San Jose State University Police Department	Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office	Santa Clara Police Department	Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety
Accountability	Worked in collaboration with our labor groups to proactively change policies like prohibiting the carolid restraint and emphasizing de-escalation techniques.     Renewed the contract with the IPA for another 3 years.     Safely facilitated more than 30 demonstrations and matches, protecting first amendment rights of those participating without having to make a single arrest.	Police Department Duty Manual Amendments: Create a policy that establishes 12 as the minimum age at which minors may be placed in handcuffs. Establish a policy that prohibits the practice of hining recruits with body art that is considered racist and/or associated with hate groups. Prohibit officers from covering their badge and require them to provide their name and badge number upon request. Prohibit the use of 'no knock' entries where a warrant has been acquired unless there is a reasonable and articulate belief that evidence may be destroyed by knocking. By default, all officers must announce themselves. Restructure hiring protocols and standards with emphasis on hiring well rounded, experienced officers that range in age, have high education attainment, and come from diverse backgrounds. Prohibit the use of kinetic impact projectiles within a dense crowd as measure of crowd control.	Member of the SJSU President's Task Force on Police Reform.     Carolid and chokeholds, duty to intercede, de-escalation and body-worn cameras all included in policy.     De-escalation training of all swom staff conducted in October 2020.     Slas/Racial Profiling Training of all swom staff conducted September/October 2020.     Suicide by Cop training of all swom staff in January 2021.     Arrest Control training incorporated de-escalation techniques.     Mental Health recognition and intervention training for library CSOs conducted in 2020.	Actively investigating cases where there is an allegation of misconduct by deputies. Santa Clara County is working closely with Office of the Correction and Law Enforcement Monitoring (CCLEM). All deputies are required to complete the Crisis Intervention Training. Deputies attend an Implicit Bias Course. Implementing California's Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015 to collect, maintain, and analyze demographic data on all detentions and searches.	Ensure ongoing training on relevant topics, such as:  - Crisis Intervention  - Blas-based policing  - Pair and impartial policing  - De-escalation strategies  - Individuals with special needs  - Multi-cultural understanding	-Duty to Intervene. An officer must intercede when another officer uses force that is clearly beyond that which is necessary and must promptly report these observations to a supervisor.  -Body-Worn Cameras. Since 2016, all officers have been equipped with cameras and are required to record interactions with the public.  -Comprehensive review and reporting. Any use of force incident is documented promptly, completely, and accurately. A Public Safety Captain and a Deputy Chief review all use of force incidents.  -Modernized Internal Affairs Process. In 2017, DPS worked with an outside consultant to increase transparency and help modernize our internal investigations into misconduct by sworn officers.  -KG Policy Revised.

Cities Association of Santa Clara County EBOD Meeting Packet October 8, 2021 | Page 38 of 58

Jurisdictions	Campbell Police Department	Gilroy PD	Los Altos Police Department	Los Gatos/Monte Sereno PD**	Milpitas	Morgan Hill	Mountain View
Community Engagement	Implement various events to engage with the community Summer concess. Boogle on the Ave, National Night Out, Toy Program, Shop with a Cop, Coffee with a Cop, station visits, Bike Giveavey, and more.     Remain in contact through various social media pletforms.	- Community active after death of George Floyd All of the engagement is also being conducted in Spanish (Town hall meetings, and community academies) Farticipates in Cyf-Acalitade community form hall meetings and sess concerns - Partners with Sarta Clara County Behavioral Health's Noble Crisis Response Team for mental health crisis situations	emergency preparedness.  * Citizens' Police Task Force: met with police department and staff to understand policies, practices, and the complaint intake process. The task force provided recommendations to Councit: Council directed PD to initiate changes.  * School resource officer program removed from Los Altos High School.  * Intake of personnel complaints against officers was enhanced: online options are prominently displayed, complaint process is explained, brochures and complaint forms are available, and complaints can be submitted via various platforms.  * Complaints can be submitted to an	Fecently Burched the Special Needs Awareness Program (SNAP), which provides first responders with important information (for response and communication actions) related to individuals that may have a memory desorder, bearing impairment, audism or other behavioral disability. He are supported to individuals that may have a memory desorder, bearing impairment, audism or other behavioral disability. He are supported to individuals that may have a memory disability of the support of the support of the support of the behavioral disability. He are supported to the support of	- Post information to the website and various social media platforms and have discussions with community groups and members.  - Work with public and private schools to develop relationships with youngest community members.  - Citizen and teen police academies allow members of the community face each day and provides a glimpse into the training officers receive.  - Various other events facilitate conversation with the community.  - White the state of the community and the state of	A community survey was conducted in 2012 regarding rating the police department's service.  1/n 2021, the department will establish a baseline to best measure performance in fostering feelings of trust in the department and safety in the community.  **Actively utilizes community engagement events (Citzens' Police Academy, National Night Out, Coffee with a Cop, Special Olympics, Falth & Blue, Shop with a Cop, department tours for youth organizations and groups). **Provides community outreach programs (Volunteers in Policing, Police Explorers, Community Emergency, Response Team Basic Training)  ***Haintains an active social media presence to provide information to community.**  **Participates in City-facilitated Community Town Hall Meetings providing police department updates.  **Helport facilitate safety measures for demonstrations held in the city and ensure the safety of all without arrests being made.	- Re-examined ways in which to connect with their community - Launched MVPDx: partnering for the Future of Policing. It is an 8 week immersive experience that brought in local activists, concerned residents, and community stakeholders to idaopus and kenny more about departnent procedures and practices.  - Reviewed alternative service delivery models, working in collaboration with other regional agencies and community partners to address and achieve better outcomes for community needs such as homelessness, mental health, and substance abuse.  - The creation of a City Council subcommittee on Race, Equity, and inclusion will work to provide opportunities for meaningful dialogue with the community towards a vision of justice and equity in Mountain View.

Cities Association of Santa Clara County EBOD Meeting Packet
October 8, 2021 | Page 39 of 58

			San Jose State University	Santa Clara County Sheriff's		
Jurisdictions	Palo Alto	San Jose Police Department	Police Department	Office	Santa Clara Police Department	Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety
Community Engagement	- Created a "Summary of Race and Equity Efforts" to show the community their efforts in embracing change June 1st. released a Message of Inclusion and Hope co-authored by Chief Jonsen, City Manager Ed Shikada and the pastors of two local churches Launched "Race and Equity" page on the City website Launched "Accountability" page on the Police Department website Launched a series of educational community briefings on Zoom to provide information on police procedures Chief Jonsen participated in an online roundtable to discuss race relations, social injustice and inequality on local cable television Ongoing collaboration with Stanford SPARQ focused on strengthening relations between the police department and the community.	- Create a broad, ranging discussion with the community about how we can reimagine policing in San Jose Actively engage with the community outreach to gather input and questions to be asked during the public Police Chief Forum Interviews The department participates in community walks. The most recent walks occurred at Lion's Plaza, Grand Century Mall and Japantown on March 31, 2021 and April 3, 2021 respectively Regular participation in Coffee with a Cop, Shop with a Cop, and school sports games' practices Walnitain a very active social media presence to push information out to constituents, participate in neighborhood clean-ups, and host ice cream socials at elementary schools.	- Engaging with the families of SJSU incoming students through the Family Advisory Board, meeting with the leaders of all Recognized Student Organizations, as well as with Fraternity and Sorority Organizations, - Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram are utilized to public engagement Alert SJSU Emergency and Timely Warning notification system for campus incidents Police officers have been assigned to each building on campus with the responsibility of maintaining open lines of communication with building occupants and addressing crime and community concerns within the assigned building UPD participation in Shop with a Cop and Special Olympics Run.	Continuously attends and hosts community events to have open conversations regarding policing.	- SCPD has an extensive community engagement program (e.g. Citizens' Police Academy, Chat with the Chief, Coffee with a Cop, DARE, National Night Out, Talk and Tour, etc.), community partnerships (e.g. SCUSD school laison committee, Special Olympics, Sean's K-9's, community non-profit organizations, places of worship, SCU campus security, etc.), participates in city-wide special events (e.g. Egel Hunt, Arbor Day, 4th of July All-City Picnic, Silicon Valley BBQ championships, Art and Wine, Hallowen party, Tree Lighting, etc.) and a solid communication platform with residents, businesses and visitors (e.g. website, Inside Santa Clara, Facebook, GovDelivery, Nextdoor, Nikte, Twitter, etc.) • In 2018, established a Chief's Advisory Committee to advise the Department on issues, matters and public policiles • In 2020, the City Council approved a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Santa Clara University under President Father Kevin O'Brien with the Markkula Center for Applied Ethics (MCAE). MCAE will work as an andvisor to the Task Force on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion and help establish the Mission Statement and Values Statement. MCAE will recommend ways to increase community engagement with Santa Clarans and other stakeholders • In 2020, the City Council took action to establish an Ad Hoc Committee, founding members of the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Task Force approved by Council on 9/29/20, balance of committee approved by Council on 9/29/20, balance of committee, subject of the Committee in the Committee of the State Force will review police use of force policies, help with engaging Santa Clara's diverse community on equity issues, and make recommendations for changes in government policies, structures, services and culture; established a Chief's Advisory Committee to advise the Department on issues, matters and public policies	Sunnyvale Unity community listening sessions: General Listening Session, Dialogue with Youth: Public Safety and Schools, LGBTQ Community Dialogue, Spanish-Language Community Dialogue, Public Safety Roundtable Discussion, AAPI Solidarity Event Engagement programs: Sunnyvale DPS Citizens Academy, Sunnyvale Youth Leadership Academy, CERT Program (Business Emergency Response Program), EISTO Program (CERT program (Business Emergency Response Program), LISTO Program (CERT program for Spanish speakers), and the Sunnyvale DPS Explorer Program - Wide variety of safety, education, and community outreach programs and activities: National Night Out, Car Seat Inspections, Fire Station Tours, Neighborhood Watch Meetings, Neighborhood Association Meetings, Safe Routes to School, Bicycle Safety Rodeo, Digital Safety presentations, Active Shooter Training, Coffee with a Cop, Walk with the Chief -Partnering with community organizations and local businesses like Sunnyvale Community Services -Hosting events such as Rides for Toys, Annual Firefighters Pancake Breakfast, Special Olympics Torch Run and Fill the Boot fundraiser for Muscular DystrophyChallenge Team Sunnyvale brings community members, school administrators, non-profits, and Public Safety staff together to focus on issues affecting youthPollice Activities League (PAL) engages Sunnyvale youth through programs like Bigs in Blue, San Francisco Junior Giants, and the PAL Boxing programActive social media accounts and online presence (Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, NextDoor) -City Manager's biweekly report

Jurisdictions	Campbell Police Department	Gilroy PD	Los Altos Police Department	Los Gatos/Monte Sereno PD**	Milpitas	Morgan Hill	Mountain View
Transparency	- "Have committed to increased transparency".  All use of force incidents go through a rigorous, multi-level review process. The final state of the review entails the division commander reviewing reports and videos and entering the details into a use of force database to ensure that all force complies with established procedures. These recordings are made available to the defendant and their attorney through the standard discovery process.	- Gilroy PD have begun to review every use of force incident which has helped to identify training deficiencies Shares use of force statistics with the community apart of End of Year report.	Prepared a statistical report outlining several key areas of interaction with the community. Stop and arrest data report: comprehensive data collected on every vehicle and pedestrian stops released to the public on a regular basis and available in an annual report.  Made website updates to include a fillable complaint form, training and policies, stance on #8cantwait, and a maintaining transparency page.	-Developed a "Transparency and Accountability" section on the Department's website which will include current and historical statistical data related to use of force, preliminary RIPA stop data, Hate crimes, Hate incidents, mental health, and bi-annual crime analysis and statisticsEngage with the public and provide information over social media platformsContinue monthly neighborhood watch meetings providing quarterly crime statistics, crime trends, and crime prevention methodsPublished department demographics on department transparency webpageDepartment website updated to include department training and most recent department website updated to include department raining and most recent department policy revisionsRecently launched crime incident data and mapping available to the public at light, crimegraphics.com -Established a professional standards unit to collaborate and support the independent police auditorCommendation and complaint forms available in lobby and on websiteAdded to website how to obtain records according to the public records act and streamlined the process through online forms.	Solicit feedback from the community to create dialogue to give the community a voice.     Invite the community to provide feedback on policy changes and updates.     Meetings are open to the public and allow stakeholders to provide feedback.     Relevant information on a critical incident is released in a timely manner to the public without interference in an active investigation.	-Collect data on all use of force incidents, shared annually with the community through the department's websiteAll officers are required to render medical aid training during use of force incidentsPolicy Education Training Review Board to review all critical incidentsDepartment website updated to include training documents and plans, Department's Policy Manual, 21st Century Policing information and plan and mental health resources availableRegular communication with Mayor/City Council and City Manager to provide timely and accurate information to elected officialsValle Calls for Service and Use of Force data posted on Department's websiteDepartment's demographics as well as City's demographics as well as City's demographics as well as City's demographic available on Department's websiteDepartment Employee Compliment and Police Service Complaint and Police Service Complaint Forms available on department's website and in police department's website and in prolice department's lobbyRelevant information on visible and/or critical incidents released to the public as soon as it is safe to do so without interfering with the active situation.	Require comprehensive reporting each time an officer uses force or threatens to do so.

Jurisdictions	Palo Alto	San jose Police Department	San Jose State University Police Department	Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office	Santa Clara Police Department	Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety
Transparency	Reduced the amount of material redacted from policy manual that is published online for public review. Participated in numerous City Council meetings to provide accurate information to elected leaders and community.	- Moving investigations of police misconduct out of the San Jose Police Department and into the Office of the Independent Police Auditor Removing bureaucratic roadblocks to the decisions to fire bad cops Inject transparency and accountability into arbitration over termination and disciplinary decisions San Jose Police Department has released Body Worn Camera Footage of the protests in downtown: https://www.sjpd.org/records/protest-videos-may-2020.	Policy and Procedure Manual available on website.     IPD training descriptions, Daily Crime Log, and Police Activity Bulletin all on the website.     *All Police Officers, CSO's and Parking Enforcement officers equipped with body-worn cameras (Axon 3 generation).     *Work with Campus Administrator to fulfill Public Records Act requests.	Published our public position on use of force and the "8 Can't Wait" campaign (Summer 2020). Participated in a number of Board of Supervisor and community discussions related to use of force and police reform (2020-2021) Posted a public list of lethal and less lethal weapons currently owned or deployed. Currently working on gathering data regarding types of calls and enforcement activity that our enforcement personnel respond to and perform, to share with stakeholders and the community. Internal Affairs has been moved out of the Headquarter Building to a different location so community members have the ability to file allegations in a neutral environment. Sheriff's Office Policies and Procedures are available online at our website.	- Arrest tog updated on website daily     - Police Blotter distributed weekly on website and social media     - Crime statistics released monthly on website and social media     - Use of force summary shared annually on website (throughout the year, each incident proceeds through a multi-level review process to ensure that all force complies with established procedures)     - Commendation and complaint forms available in lobby and on website     - Customer satisfaction survey available on website     - Policy manual available on website     - Training topics and materials available on website     - Police officers equipped with body worn cameras     - Regular communication with Mayor/Council, City     Manager Offices to provide timely and accurate information to elected officials     - Relevant information on visible and/or critical incidents released to the public as soon as it is safe to do so without interfering with the active situation	- Modernized Internal Affairs Process Body-Worn Cameras Newly created Public Safety - Accountability page on city website Public Safety policy manual - Internal Affairs manual - Use of force data and information on officer-Involved shootings from the past ten years - Discipline and appeal process - Officer investigations process - Summary data on citizen complaints and misconduct investigations from the past six years - Department of process - Course descriptions of required officer training - Information on how to request public records

## Summary of Key Findings Re: Public Safety Reform Efforts of Santa Clara County Law Enforcement Agencies

#### Overview

Following the murder of George Floyd on May 25, 2020, nationwide protests erupted that called for police reform in the United States. In the past 12 months this led to new laws, proposals, and public directives at all levels of government to address the key issues of police misconduct, systemic racism, racial bias, and police brutality. In reaching out to the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association, we strive to collaborate on rebuilding trust between our police departments and members of the public through accountability, transparency and community engagement. In doing so, our various police departments shared their police reform efforts. Two of the most common reforms include the ban of chokeholds and improvements to police procedures. The law enforcement agencies within Santa Clara County County have been diligently working towards police reform that works for the safety of both the community and the officers as an effort to regain trust with the public. Participating agencies include the public safety departments of California Highway Patrol, Campbell, Gilroy, Los Altos, Los Gatos-Monte Sereno, Morgan Hill, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, San Jose State University, Santa Clara, Santa Clara County Sheriff, and Sunnyvale.

The following is a summary of key findings based on the information collected from the various public safety departments (see <a href="Attachment A"><u>Attachment A</u></a>) in Santa Clara County.

## **Highlights & Key Findings:**

- 1. Accountability Police accountability involves holding both individual police officers, as well as law enforcement agencies, responsible for effectively delivering basic services of crime control, while treating constituents fairly and within the bounds of law. Following the death of George Floyd, law enforcement agencies in Santa Clara County have been working to improve their accountability by evaluating training programs and policies within their departments. The departments that have clearly displayed their efforts include Campbell, Los Altos, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale, California Highway Patrol, Los Gatos-Monte Sereno, and Morgan Hill.
  - a. Use of Force All law enforcement agencies have policies that guide their use of force. These policies describe options available an officer may take to control a situation and/or affect an arrest. An officer generally has many force options available and officers are trained to respond with a level of force appropriate to the situation at hand, acknowledging that the officer may move from one option to another in a matter of seconds. The force options include: 1) Officer Presence; 2) Verbalization (Verbal Commands); 3) Empty Hand Control; 4) Less-Lethal Methods; and 5) Lethal Force.

June 10, 2021

Police brutality is the excessive and unwarranted use of force used by law enforcement. This extreme form of police misconduct and violence is a civil rights violation. Following the death of George Floyd at the hands of Minneapolis police officer, Derek Chauvin, around half of the nation's largest police departments banned or greatly restricted the use of neck restraints. We can proudly say that many of our Santa Clara County law enforcement agencies have followed suit including the Departments of: Campbell, Gilroy, Los Gatos-Monte Sereno, Milpitas, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, San Jose, Santa Clara County Sheriff, Palo Alto, San Jose State University, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale, and the California Highway Patrol. Indeed, effective January 1, 2021, chokeholds and carotid control holds are banned in the State of California.

- b. <u>De-escalation</u> De-escalation training is designed to teach officers to rely on communication skills to resolve conflict. Effective communication can reduce the intensity of a particular situation. An example of de-escalation can include slowing down an encounter from immediate intervention or defusing a tense situation before it escalates by being compassionate and firm when communicating with a citizen. These tools are used daily by officers in a variety of situations, from issuing traffic citations to responding to civil unrest. Agencies that have clearly taken steps for de-escalation training include Campbell, Los Altos, Milpitas, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, San Jose, San Jose State University, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale, and the California Highway Patrol.
- c. <u>Bias-free policing</u> –Bias-free policing includes training for fair and impartial policing with de-escalation strategies including multi-cultural understanding and more. Agencies that have clearly taken steps to cultivate bias-free policing include Campbell, Los Altos, Milpitas, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, San Jose State University, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale.
- 2. Community Engagement Community engagement is another reform effort embraced by a majority of our law enforcement agencies. In an effort to show the public that law enforcement is listening and to strengthen the public's trust, agencies took to increasing their community events including but not limited to concerts, National Night Out, Shop with a Cop, Coffee with a Cop, public socially distanced walks, ice cream socials, and community safety meetings. Social media for better information distribution, Crime Prevention Newsletters, community workshops, and community surveys were other common forms of engagement utilized by our departments. In Santa Clara County these efforts were clearly displayed by Campbell, Gilroy, Los Gatos-Monte Sereno, Milpitas, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, San Jose, Santa Clara County Sheriff, Palo Alto, San Jose State University, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale, and the California Highway Patrol.
- **3.** <u>Transparency</u> In addition, use of an oversight body or government agency separate and independent of the jurisdiction's police department is being considered by several

June 10, 2021

departments in Santa Clara County. The cities of Palo Alto and San Jose established Independent Police Auditor (IPA) agencies long before the civil unrest incidents of 2020. In April 2021, the City of Mountain View created the Public Safety Advisory Board with 7 council appointees. Other jurisdictions considering an oversight body include Los Altos, Los Gatos-Monte Sereno, Gilroy, and Morgan Hill. The role of an IPA includes striving to increase confidence in the police department by listening to the community, suggesting good policy changes, and making sure that the Department addresses officer misconduct.

#### **Conclusion & Next Steps**

Santa Clara County law enforcement agencies have taken tremendous initiative to reform public safety for the safety of officers and the public. As noted in <a href="Attachment A">Attachment A</a>, though our County has made much progress in the past 12 months, there is still much left to do, especially in terms of addressing the crux of the issue that has led to brutal deaths of civilians at the hands of police officers nationwide: Use of Force.

Thus, as a collaborative effort with the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association, the Cities Association Racial Justice Committee strongly supports advancing training for our police officers through the utilization of Virtual Reality (VRDT) technology for Crisis Intervention/De-escalation training and encourages all public safety departments within the County to complete it. This technology is being used by the Sacramento Police Department to recreate real-world police encounters and incorporate lessons learned into the training curriculum such as cultural competency, de-escalation, critical decision-making, peer intervention and implicit bias training. This technology would allow for controversial police incidents that occur across the country to be turned into virtual reality simulations almost instantaneously after they occur, allowing officers to learn from real life mistakes, and train for real world situations. VRDT technology increases efficiency in scenario-based training and allows officers to train with all of their tools – handgun, taser, rifle, OC (pepper spray), and flashlight. Additional benefits including decreasing the need for instructor's/role players during real life scenario drills, alleviating the need for different training locations, and introducing an array of virtual environments with various factors at play.

All equipment needed for this training will be provided by California's Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) with an estimated delivery date of October 2021. Four departments have applied for utilization of the technology and include Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara County Sheriff, and Sunnyvale. Both San Jose and Sunnyvale are willing to host training of other departments. This new technology is a critical tool that all departments should utilize as an effort to improve the training of our police officers and further reform policing in Santa Clara County.

The Committee recommends the following actions for consideration by the Cities Association Board of Directors:

June 10, 2021

- 1. Support advancing training for our police officers through the utilization of VRDT technology for Crisis Intervention/De-escalation training;
- 2. Encourage all public safety departments within the County to complete the training.
- 3. Submit letter to member cities encouraging either to participate in VR training, or to obtain the VRDT Simulator if feasible.
- 4. Submit letter to POST advocating for the law enforcement agencies in Santa Clara County that have applied to receive the simulator and any future Santa Clara County law enforcement agencies that may apply in the future.

June 10, 2021 4



City Council Report

Item:

Category: Meeting Date: 9

NEW BUSINESS August 3, 2021

TITLE:

Virtual Reality Technology for Crisis Intervention Training

#### RECOMMENDED ACTION

Provide feedback to the Santa Clara County Cities Association regarding its consideration and recommendation to encourage public safety departments within the County to utilize virtual reality technology for crisis intervention/de-escalation training.

#### BACKGROUND

The Cities Association of Santa Clara County (CASCC) is an association of the 15 cities within Santa Clara County. Each city appoints a member of its City Council to serve as a representative to the Board of Directors. In 2021, Councilmember Bybee was appointed to serve in this capacity. The Board of Directors meets monthly to collaborate on issues that affect each city, in an effort to create a unified voice.

At its June 10, 2021, meeting, the Cities Association received a presentation from Sunnyvale Police Chief Ngo regarding Virtual Reality (VR) technology for Crisis Intervention Training. This presentation was part of the work undertaken by the Cities Association Racial Justice Committee which was formed in response to the murder of George Floyd and the civil unrest that followed. The CASCC found that "As elected leaders across the County, we have a responsibility to advance opportunities for all and achieve racial equity through partnerships with others. More importantly, there is an opportunity for each of the 15 cities within Santa Clara County to have a greater impact if we take action together." The Racial Justice Committee identified three priorities of focus:

- Affordable Housing Policy recommendations as an effort to expand housing opportunities for underserved populations.
- 2. Public Safety Reform Rebuilding trust with the community in collaboration with the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association.
- 3. Hate Crimes Against Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI) Community in collaboration with the Santa Clara County Task Force on Hate Crime.

This report discusses the Public Safety Reform focus area and consideration of supporting utilization of Virtual Reality (VR) technology for Crisis Intervention/Deescalation Training.

## **DISCUSSION**

Packet Pg. 59

Following the death of George Floyd, conversations regarding the use of force employed by public safety departments were held throughout the country. Working with the Santa Clara County Police Chiefs Association, the Cities Association compiled a matrix listing the police reform efforts implemented by the police departments across the County (Attachment 1). Additionally, a "Summary of Key Findings Re: Public Safety Reform Efforts of Santa Clara County Law Enforcement Agencies" was published (Attachment 2). The Racial Justice Committee Public Safety Reform Efforts report concludes by recommending the following actions:

- 1. Support advancing training for police officers through the utilization of VR technology for Crisis Intervention/De-escalation training.
- 2. Encourage all public safety departments within the County to complete the training.
- 3. Submit a letter to member cities encouraging participating in VR training, or to acquire the VR Simulator if feasible.
- 4. Submit a letter to Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) advocating for the law enforcement agencies in Santa Clara County that have applied to receive the simulator and any future Santa Clara County law enforcement agency that may apply in the future.

On June 10, 2021, Sunnyvale Police Chief Ngo and Lieutenant Monge shared a detailed presentation on the use of Virtual Reality (VR) technology for Crisis Intervention/De-escalation at the Cities Association meeting (Attachment 3). VR technology recreates real-world police encounters and incorporates lessons learned into the training curriculum such as cultural competency, de-escalation, critical decision-making, peer intervention and implicit bias training.

VR technology can allow for controversial police incidents that occur across the country to be turned into virtual reality simulations almost instantaneously after they occur, allowing officers to learn from real life mistakes, and train for real world situations. The technology could also increase efficiency in scenario-based training and allows officers to train with all of their tools – handgun, taser, rifle, OC (pepper spray), and flashlight. Additional benefits including decreasing the need for instructor's/role players during real life scenario drills, alleviating the need for different training locations, and introducing an array of virtual environments with various factors at play.

All equipment needed for this training will be provided by POST with an estimated delivery date of October 2021. Four departments have applied for utilization of the technology, including Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara County Sheriff, and Sunnyvale. The Cities of San Jose and Sunnyvale are willing to host training of other departments.

As the City's representative to the Cities Association Board of Directors, Councilmember Bybee was asked to share the Racial Justice Committees' work regarding public safety reform efforts, specifically the concept of supporting training of police officers through the utilization of VR technology for Crisis Intervention/Deescalation. Each city was asked to provide feedback via its representative to the Board

Packet Pg. 60

of Directors regarding VR and the recommended four actions outlined above related to VR training.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT**

There is no fiscal impact associated with the discussion of this item and provision of feedback to the Cities Association Board of Directors. The Racial Justice Committee report stated that the cities of Palo Alto, San Jose, Sunnyvale, as well as the Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office have applied for utilization of the VR technology and are willing to host training for other departments. Participation in training may require the use of overtime pay for officers to attend. A budget adjustment however, is not being requested at this time for Fiscal Year 2021-22.

Prepared by:

Margarita Mendoza, Administrative Analyst

Approved by:

Brian Loventhal, City Manager

Margarita J. Mardize

#### Attachment:

- a. Public Safety Reform Efforts Across Jurisdictions
- b. Summary of Key Findings re: Public Safety Reform Efforts
- c. Sunnyvale VR Presentation

Packet Pg. 61



# Cities Association of Santa Clara County Executive Board of Directors October 8, 2021

**TO:** Executive Board of Directors

Cities Association of Santa Clara County

**FROM:** Andi Jordan, Executive Director

Gary M. Baum, General Counsel

Cities Association of Santa Clara County

**SUBJECT:** Procedure for Zoom Meetings for Cities Association after Governor's

Order Expires on September 30, 2021

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Direct the Executive Director and General Counsel to:

1. Continue holding future Board of Directors Meetings utilizing Zoom following the procedure in recently passed AB 361 starting at the November 2021 meeting.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

As the Board is aware, the Cities Association Board of Directors and most if not all other public bodies in the County have been meeting remotely, typically via Zoom or Microsoft Teams based upon the Brown Act waiver granted in the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 in about March of 2020 at the start of the pandemic and lockdown. On June 11, 2021, the Governor issued Executive Order N-08-21<sup>1</sup> lifting the waiver of the Brown Act effective September 30, 2021.

The Executive Board has previously expressed an interest in continuing to meet via Zoom or telephonically after the pandemic. The Executive Board considered this issue at their August 6, 2021 meeting and voted to table the conversation to wait for additional orders of the Governor or action by the State Legislature. The Legislature passed AB 361 on September 10, 2021. The bill has been signed by the Governor and as it was an urgency measure it went into effect immediately. The Governor issued another Executive Order

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See pages 9 – 10, Section 42

and suspended AB 361 through September 30, 2021; thus it is now in effect. AB 361 provides CASCC with a potential new approach for Board consideration starting on the November, 2021 meeting. AB 361 removed many of the more difficult provisions from 54953 governing telephonic meetings, while adding additional requirements for local governmental entities to comply with. The Board of Directors will need to determine whether it wants to continue to meet over Zoom or if it would want to change its meetings to in person meetings.

## **LEGAL ANALYSIS:**

AB361 modified Government Code Section 54953 by adding provisions that allow local governmental entities to not comply with certain provisions of the telephonic meeting requirements if there was a declared emergency or an order or recommendation for social distancing.

Specifically, AB 361 **removes** the following requirements:

- The requirement to post an agenda at a private home, business, hotel or wherever the Director is located has been lifted.
- The requirement that individual Director's home, work or current location address be listed on the agenda has been removed.
- The requirement that the location of the Director be ADA accessible has been removed. Of course, there are existing laws that require a public building to be ADA accessible.
- A majority of a quorum is no longer required to be within our jurisdiction, which would be Santa Clara County.

### AB 361 **added** the following requirements:

- The meeting must allow a call-in option **or** an internet-based option for public participation. My interpretation is that it is not necessary to have both options available.
- Should either the call-in option or internet-based option stop working, the Board can take no further action until the access to the public is restored.
- Starting 30 days after the first meeting held under this new approach the Board must pass findings every 30 days, or at a subsequent meeting that an emergency continues to exist or there are requirement or recommendations for social distancing.

• Staff must list the access and method for public participation on the agenda.

Two of the former requirements from 54953 continue:

- All votes taken must be roll call votes. (54953(b)(2))
- The agenda must also be posted at the usual location. (54953(b)(3))

## **BUDGET IMPACT:**

There will be a small amount of additional work and possible minor costs required by staff to continue to utilize Zoom, allow for public access and comment and attempt to maintain its reliability during public meetings.



Agenda Item No: 5

Meeting Date: October 8, 2021

## **Cities Association of Santa Clara County Agenda Report**

FY 2022 Budget Update

Prepared by: Andi Jordan

**Executive Director** 

TOPIC: Fiscal Year 2021-2022 (FY 22) Budget Amendment

SUBJECT: Consideration of Cities Association of Santa Clara County General Fund Budget Amendment

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:** The Board of Directors approved the FY 22 Budget at the June 2021 meeting. Continued expenses associated with the human resources issue require amending the CASCC FY 22 budget.

- The approved budget included spending reserves below the threshold established in the bylaws.
- The approved budget maintained the same membership dues as adopted in FY 20.
- The approved budget Increases legal services line item to complete the human resources investigation and the organizational status change.
- It is currently estimated that reserves and cash on hand will be exhausted before the end of the fiscal year.
- All other line items under direction of staff were within and remain within budget.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends increasing revenues/dues.

## BACKGROUND (as reported in April and June 2021):

- Cities Association Dues in the 1989 were \$2677 per jurisdiction with a budget of \$40,000.
- Dues have remained relatively flat and were reduced at times because of the size of the reserves.
- In 2010, it was observed that Reserves had grown over the previous four fiscal years and exceeded the Cities Association Financial Policy of six to nine months of projected operating expenses.
- The 2010 Subcommittee on Use of Reserves recommended a decrease in dues by 4.77% for three fiscal years in order to attain a level of Reserves consistent with the organization's financial policy.
- FY 2013-14 marked the end of the 3-year budget with reduced dues. FY 2014-15 Budget resumed the original dues schedule, which was an increase of 4.77% of the prior year's dues schedule.
- New for FY 2014-15, the Cities Association started utilizing a virtual office as an effort to reduce expenses; office expenses were reduced by 19% from the expected budget.
- For FY 2015-16, with the continued dues schedule, it was proposed and approved to use
- Reserves to meet operating expenses. It is Cities Association policy to maintain a Reserves fund that covers 6 9 months of operating expenses (\$42,000 \$63,000).
- For FY 2016-17, the dues were increased by 5% in order to resume operations without using increased Reserves to meet expenses. Projected Reserves at the end of the FY 2017-18-budget year is \$53,771.32.

Cities Association of Santa Clara County 2021-2022 Budget Proposal October 8, 2021 Page 2 of 3

- Prior to 2018, General Membership Meetings and dinners were funded by sponsors and donations. We are currently not able to receive sponsorships.
- Article VII, Section 2 of the Cities Association Bylaws states "the dues schedule shall be revised every three
   (3) to four (4) years."
- There was no increase in dues for the FY 2017-18 Proposed Budget.
- 2018-2019 The Board voted for the Executive Director a full-time position, from 30 hours to 40 hours, from \$70,000 to \$100,000.
- 2019-2020 Executive Director salary increased to \$105,000. The Executive Director receives no benefits, no reimbursements, no stipends.
- 2020-2021 Budget included these additions:
  - o Addition of Office Assistant: \$30/hr, 40 hr/ month, \$14,400/year
  - o Addition of Legal Counsel: \$300/hr, 5/hr month, \$18,000/year
  - Ongoing admin cost of software, office supplies: \$1000/year
  - o Computer, printer, backup hard drive, phone: \$5000
  - o (would leave a \$62,592 surplus)
  - Executive Director Salary \$110,250 + \$5,000 bonus (approved fall 2020)
- 2021-2022 Budget
  - o Increase in legal counsel line item to \$50,000

#### ANALYSIS:

The General Fund Budget does not include the Planning Collaborative or the SCSC Roundtable.

The General Fund Budget abides by the principles set forth in the bylaws.

The budget shortfall is because of unanticipated legal fees regarding a human resource issue which the Board directed to be investigated. All other line items under direction of staff were within and remain within budget.

Staff recognizes the desire of the Board to not raise dues. The CASCC dues are less than .01% of the members combined general fund budgets. The CASCC has the ability to provide needed collaboration and cost savings to the members.

## Suggested changes to the FY 22 Budget:

- Cancel December 2021 and May 2022 events, no purchase of equipment.
- Reduce expenses

#### **OPTIONS:**

- 1. Reduce expenses (over 90% of expenses is staff and legal)
- 2. Increase revenues by
  - a. Increase dues
  - b. Special assessment of all CASCC members or just SCSC Roundtable Members
  - c. When approving the formation of a JPA, approve a budget and assess members immediately.

Cities Association of Santa Clara County 2021-2022 Budget Proposal October 8, 2021 Page 3 of 3

## **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

Staff recommends option 2.

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

- 1. FY 2022 Budget Update
- 2. CASCC Dues vs. League Dues
- 3. CASCC Dues from FY1 FY 22

REVENUE: projected resources: starting balance restricted unrestricted total BFB         122,000         91,740         42,315         42,315           Dues         150,290         150,000         150,290         150,290           Non-dues (directories)         (directories)         2,000         2,000         200           Event Tickets (~65people x 2events)         0         10,400         0	Cities Association of Santa Clara County FY 22 General Budget Amendment								
REVENUE: projected resources: starting balance restricted unrestricted unrestricted total BFB  Dues 150,290 150,000 150,290 150,000 150,290 150,290 150,290 150,000 1,045 2,000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2		Side doubley 11 ZZ							
REVENUE: projected resources: starting balance restricted unrestricted unrestricted total BFB  Dues 150,290 150,000 150,290 150,000 150,290 150,290 150,290 150,000 1,045 2,000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2									
REVENUE: projected resources: starting balance restricted unrestricted unrestricted total BFB  Dues 150,290 150,000 150,290 150,000 150,290 150,290 150,290 150,000 1,045 2,000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2		fv 2021 estimate	fv 2021 actual	fy 2022 proposed	fv 2022 amended				
Starting balance   122,000   91,740   42,315   42,315   42,315   12,315	REVENUE:	., ==== ===============================	., ====================================	., p. opcocu	.,				
restricted unrestricted total BFB Dues   150,290   150,000   150,290   150,290   Non-dues (directories)   LAIF interest   2,000   1,045   2,000   200   Event Tickets ("65peple x 2events)   0   10,400   0   Revenue Totals   162,690   150,490   Total Resources   274,290   242,785   205,005   152,805    GENERAL EXPENSES: Office Expenses (Inisurance, printing, meeting supplies, office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc) one time equipment for new hire/ sortium end printing for new for new for new hire/ sortium end printing for new	projected resources:								
Unrestricted total BFB Dues 150,290 150,000 150,290 10,400 0 10,400 0 10,400 0 10,40	-	122,000	91,740	42,315	42,315				
total BFB         Jues         150,290         150,000         150,290         150,020           Non-dues (directories)         (directories)         200 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>									
Dues									
Non-dues (directories)		150 290	150,000	150 290	150 290				
Comparison   Com		130,230	100,000	100,200	100,200				
Event Tickets (*65people x 2events)									
(**GSpeople x 2events)         0         10,400         0           Revenue Totals         162,690         150,490         150,490           Total Resources         274,290         242,785         205,005         192,805           GENERAL EXPENSES:         Office Expenses (insurance, printing, meeting supplies, office supplies, off	LAIF interest	2,000	1,045	2,000	200				
2events   0   10,400   0	Event Tickets								
Revenue Totals	(~65people x								
Total Resources 274,290 242,785 205,005 192,805  GENERAL EXPENSES:  Office Expenses (insurance, printing, meeting supplies, office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)  11,600 10,656 13,000 11,600  one time equipment for new hire/  Priorities for Board  Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project)  attorney 20,000 42,303.00 50,000 50,000  accountantk/bookke eper 13,000 10,187.50 5,000 5,000  Office: Personnel salaries 124,650 123,363.99 124,650 124,650  Payroll expenses 11,000 11,633 12,650 12,650  Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal) 5,000 5,000  EVENT EXPENSES:  Membership Dinner-DECEMBER 2,300 2,068.00 14,000 0  Expense Totals 182,550 200,470 238,000 213,900  Expense Totals			0	·					
GENERAL EXPENSES:  Office Expenses (insurance, printing, meeting supplies, office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)  11,600  10,656  13,000  11,600  10,656  13,000  11,600  10,656  13,000  11,600  10,656  13,000  11,600  10,656  13,000  11,600  10,656  13,000  10,656  13,000  10,656  13,000  10,656  13,000  5,000					150,490				
Office Expenses (insurance, printing, meeting supplies, office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)  one time equipment for new hire/  Priorities for Board Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project)  attorney  accountantk/bookke eper  13,000  10,187.50  5,000  5,0	Total Resources	274,290	242,785	205,005	192,805				
Office Expenses (insurance, printing, meeting supplies, office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)  one time equipment for new hire/  Priorities for Board Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project)  attorney  accountantk/bookke eper  13,000  10,187.50  5,000  5,0	GENERAL EXDENICES								
(insurance, printing, meeting supplies, office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)  one time equipment for new hire/  Priorities for Board Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project)  attorney  accountantk/bookke eper  13,000  10,187.50  5,000  5,000  5,000  5,000  60,000  6									
meeting supplies, office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)	•								
office supplies, ED expenses, software, website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)  one time equipment for new hire/ Priorities for Board Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project) attorney accountantk/bookke eper 13,000 10,187.50 5,000 5,000 Office: Personnel salaries 124,650 123,363.99 124,650 124,650 Payroll expenses 11,000 11,633 12,650 12,650 Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)  EVENT EXPENSES: Membership Dinner-DECEMBER 2,300 2,068.00 14,000 0 Expense Totals 182,550 200,470 238,000 213,900  Expense Totals									
website update, recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)         11,600         10,656         13,000         11,600           one time equipment for new hire/         257         1,200         0           Priorities for Board Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project)         5,000         5,000           attorney         20,000         42,303.00         50,000         50,000           attorney         13,000         10,187.50         5,000         5,000           Office: Personnel salaries         124,650         123,363.99         124,650         124,650           Payroll expenses         11,000         11,633         12,650         12,650           Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner-DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Membership Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900									
recognition, PO Box, postage, etc)  11,600  10,656  13,000  11,600  10,656  13,000  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  11,600  0  0  11,600  0  0  11,600  0  0  11,600  0  0  11,600  0  0  11,600  0  0  10,10	expenses, software,								
postage, etc)	·								
one time equipment for new hire/  Priorities for Board Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project) attorney 20,000 42,303.00 50,000 50,000 attorney 20,000 10,187.50 5,000 5,000 Office: Personnel salaries 124,650 123,363.99 124,650 124,650 126,550 Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal) 5,000 5,000 5,000 EVENT EXPENSES:  Membership Dinner - DECEMBER 2,300 2,068.00 14,000 0 Expense Totals 182,550 200,470 238,000 213,900	<del>-</del>								
for new hire / Priorities for Board   Directed Projects (intern for legislative activities, project)   S,000   S,000   S,000   attorney   20,000   42,303.00   50,000   S0,000   accountantk/bookke eper   13,000   10,187.50   S,000   S,000		11,600	10,656	13,000	11,600				
Directed Projects (Intern for legislative activities, project)			257	1,200	0				
(intern for legislative activities, project)         5,000         5,000           attorney         20,000         42,303.00         50,000         50,000           accountantk/bookke eper         13,000         10,187.50         5,000         5,000           Office: Personnel salaries         124,650         123,363.99         124,650         124,650           Payroll expenses         11,000         11,633         12,650         12,650           Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	Priorities for Board								
activities, project)         5,000         5,000           attorney         20,000         42,303.00         50,000         50,000           accountantk/bookke         13,000         10,187.50         5,000         5,000           Office: Personnel salaries         124,650         123,363.99         124,650         124,650           Payroll expenses         11,000         11,633         12,650         12,650           Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	-								
attorney accountantk/bookke eper									
accountantk/bookke eper		22.222	10.000.00						
Page	•	20,000	42,303.00	50,000	50,000				
Office: Personnel salaries         124,650         123,363.99         124,650         124,650           Payroll expenses         11,000         11,633         12,650         12,650           Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Membership Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900		13 000	10 187 50	5 000	5 000				
salaries         124,650         123,363.99         124,650         124,650           Payroll expenses         11,000         11,633         12,650         12,650           Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	-	13,000	10,107.50	3,000	3,000				
Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Membership Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0         213,900           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900		124,650	123,363.99	124,650	124,650				
Consultant (legal or other consultant to complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	Payroll expenses	11,000	11,633	12,650	12,650				
complete organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Membership Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	Consultant (legal or								
organizational status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES: Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Membership Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900									
status, legal)         5,000         5,000           EVENT EXPENSES:         Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	-								
EVENT EXPENSES:  Membership Dinner - DECEMBER  Membership  Meeting - SPRING  Expense Totals  EVENT EXPENSES:  Membership Dinner - DECEMBER  2,300  2,068.00  14,000  0  7,500  0  238,000  213,900				=					
Membership Dinner - DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Membership Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	status, regarj			5,000	5,000				
DECEMBER         2,300         2,068.00         14,000         0           Membership         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	EVENT EXPENSES:								
Membership         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900	Membership Dinner -								
Meeting - SPRING         0         0         7,500         0           Expense Totals         182,550         200,470         238,000         213,900		2,300	2,068.00	14,000	0				
Expense Totals 182,550 200,470 238,000 213,900	•								
		-		·					
ending fund balance 91,740 42,315 (32,995) (21,095)	expense lotals	182,550	200,470	238,000	213,900				
21,740 42,313 (32,333) (21,033)	ending fund balance	01.740	/2 215	(22 005)	(21.005)				
	enumg lunu balance	91,740	42,315	(52,335)	(21,095)				

Membership Dues to League of California Cities and Cities Association										
				CASCC						
		CASCC		Membership						
Cities	population	1989-1990	LCC 2020 Dues	Dues						
Monte Sereno	3900	\$ 2,667.00	2,626	\$6,523.13						
Los Altos Hills	8658	\$ 2,667.00	5,111	\$6,523.13						
Los Altos	31,402	\$ 2,667.00	13,149	\$8,697.51						
Saratoga	30,799	\$ 2,667.00	13,149	\$8,697.51						
Los Gatos	30,505	\$ 2,667.00	13,149	\$8,697.51						
Campbell	42,854	\$ 2,667.00	15,997	\$8,697.51						
Morgan Hill	43,645	\$ 2,667.00	15,997	\$8,697.51						
Gilroy	55,170	\$ 2,667.00	18,427	\$8,697.51						
Cupertino	59,796	\$ 2,667.00	18,427	\$10,856.89						
Palo Alto	66,932	\$ 2,667.00	20,252	\$10,856.89						
Milpitas	77,604	\$ 2,667.00	21,274	\$10,856.89						
Mountain View	77,925	\$ 2,667.00	21,274	\$10,856.89						
Santa Clara	123,983	\$ 2,667.00	28,332	\$13,046.27						
Sunnyvale	149,831	\$ 2,667.00	31,187	\$13,046.27						
San Jose	1,046,079	\$ 2,667.00	112,570	\$13,046.27						
		\$40,000.00	\$ 350,921.00	#######################################						

	Cities Association of Santa Clara County Approved Dues: 2018-2022									
			2018-2019	2018 - 2019	2019-2020	2019-2020	2020-2021	2020-2021	2021-2022	2021-2022
	Portion	Cities	Dues	Revenue	Dues	Revenue	Dues	Revenue	dues	revenue
		(3) San Jose,								
		Santa Clara,								
Large Cities	26.1%	Sunnyvale	12,605.09	37,815.27	\$13,046.27	\$39,138.80	\$13,046.27	\$39,138.80	\$13,046.27	\$39,138.80
		(5) Cupertino,								
		Gilroy, Milpitas,								
Medium		Mountain View,								
Cities	36.2%	•	10,489.75	52,448.76	\$10,856.89	\$54,284.47	\$10,856.89	\$54,284.47	\$10,856.89	\$54,284.47
		(5) Campbell,								
		Los Altos, Los								
		Gatos, Morgan								
Small Cities	29.0%	Hill, Saratoga	8,403.39	42,016.97	\$8,697.51	\$43,487.56	\$8,697.51	\$43,487.56	\$8,697.51	\$43,487.56
		(2) Los Altos								
Very Small		Hills, Monte								
Cities	8.7%	Sereno	6,302.54	12,605.09	\$6,523.13	\$13,046.27	\$6,523.13	\$13,046.27	\$6,523.13	\$13,046.27
Total Dues Inc	come		\$	144,886.09		\$149,957.10		\$149,957.10		\$149,957.10