

AGENDA

SANTA CLARA/SANTA CRUZ COUNTIES AIRPORT/COMMUNITY ROUNDTABLE

Regular Meeting of the Roundtable

November 11, 2021 1:00 – 4:00 PM PDT

This meeting will be conducted in accordance with State of California Executive Order N-29-20, dated March 17, 2020. All members of the Roundtable will participate by video conference, with no physical meeting location.

Members of the public wishing to observe the special meeting live may do so at:

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCtPEqHsvTSnRcJUCQxX2Ofw?view_as=subscriber

Youtube.com → SCSC Roundtable Channel

Public comment will occur for each agenda item. Members of the public wishing to comment on an item on the agenda may do so in the following ways:

- 1. Email comments using the "Contact Us" form on the SCSC Roundtable website, which are then forwarded to scscroundtable@gmail.com by 3:00 p.m. on November 10, 2021. Emails will be forwarded to the Roundtable. Emails received after 3:00 p.m. and prior to the Chair announcing that public comment is closed may be noted or may be read into the record by the Chair at the meeting (up to 3 minutes) at the discretion of the Chair. IMPORTANT: Identify the Agenda Item number in the subject line of your email. All emails received will be entered into the record for the meeting.
- 2. Provide oral public comments during the meeting (up to 3 minutes) by following the link to register in advance to access the meeting via Zoom Webinar: https://esassoc.zoom.us/i/81269583950
 - a. You will be asked to enter an email address and a name. Your email address will not be disclosed to the public. After registering, you will receive an email with instructions on how to connect to the meeting. If you prefer not to provide an email, you may call in to the meeting (listed below) and view the live stream on the SCSC Roundtable YouTube Channel.

Dial: +1 213 338 8477 or +1 669 219 2599 or +1 206 337 9723 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 470 250 9358 or +1 646 518 9805 or 877 853 5247 (Toll Free) or 888 788 0099 (Toll Free) or 833 548 0276 (Toll Free) or 833 548 0282 (Toll Free)

Webinar ID: 812 6958 3950

- b. When the Chair announces the item on which you wish to speak, click the "raise hand" feature in Zoom. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called to speak.
- c. When called to speak, please limit your comments to the time allotted (up to 3 minutes, at the discretion of the Chair).
- d. For those individuals participating by phone, you may use the following controls as appropriate.

Press *9 - Raise hand

Press *6 - Toggle mute/unmute

Welcome/Review of the Meeting Format – Evan Wasserman, Roundtable Facilitator Information Information 2. Call to Order/Identification of Members Present – Chairperson Mary-Lynne Bernald Resolution No 2. Regarding Virtual Meetings – Legal Counsel Kirsten Powell Action - Staff Report (page 3) - Resolution Authorizing Continued Virtual Meetings Pursuant to AB 361 (page 5) Budget Presentation - Chairperson Mary-Lynne Bernald Action - Budget Report (page 7) - Budget Resolution (page 32) 5. SCSC Roundtable Future: Staff Report – Legal Counsel Kirsten Powell Action - Staff Report (page 33) - Appendix A (page 35) Information Oral Communications/Public Comment on Items not on the Agenda - Speakers are limited to a maximum of two minutes or less depending on the number of speakers. Roundtable members cannot discuss or take action on any matter raised under this agenda

7. Roundtable Member Discussion

item.

Information

8. Adjournment - Chairperson Mary-Lynne Bernald

Materials to be provided during the meeting:

- Presentation of the electronic agenda packet

SCSC Roundtable STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 3, 2021

TO: Honorable Chair and Roundtable Members

FROM: Kirsten Powell, Special Counsel

SUBJECT: CONTINUATION OF VIRTUAL MEETINGS

SUMMARY OF ISSUE

On March 4, 2020, Governor Newsom issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Following that Proclamation, on March 17, 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order N-29-20, which included a provision suspending the traditionally strict provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act ("Brown Act") relating to holding and participating in meetings via teleconferencing in order to enable safe public meetings while health orders were in place.

On June 11, 2021, the Governor issued Executive Order N-08-21, which stated that the Brown Act teleconferencing suspensions were to expire on September 30, 2021. On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed Assembly Bill 361 ("AB 361"), an urgency measure effective upon signing, which amended the Brown Act to allow legislative bodies to meet via teleconferencing provided that particular conditions are met.

Pursuant to AB 361, legislative bodies may use teleconferencing to conduct public meetings during a proclaimed State of Emergency, as defined under the California Emergency Services Act, if one of the following circumstances apply: (A) State or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing; (B) The legislative body is meeting to determine whether, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; or (C) The legislative body has determined that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

The State of Emergency the Governor proclaimed on March 4, 2020 is still in effect today. Moreover, state and local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing, as demonstrated by both the California Department of Public Health and the Santa Clara County Public Health Officer recommending or requiring that individuals continue to wear face coverings in indoor settings.

Additionally, since early August, the highly contagious delta variant has caused an increase in positive cases and hospitalization rates throughout the community. The

CDC has indicated community transmission in Santa Clara County is significant and COVID-19 transmission rates are unpredictable and have the potential to rapidly increase. As a result, holding public meetings of the Roundtable in person would present imminent risks to the health and safety of attendees.

If the Roundtable wants to continue teleconferenced meetings under AB 361, the Roundtable will need to declare every thirty (30) days that it has reconsidered the circumstances of the State of Emergency, and either (i) the state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person; or (ii) state or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing.

FISCAL IMPACT

None.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Adopt Resolution authorizing continued virtual meetings pursuant to AB 361.

ATTACHMENTS

Resolution Authorizing Continued Virtual Meetings

RESOLUTION NO. RESOLUTION OF THE SC/SC ROUNDTABLE AUTHORIZING THE CONTINUED USE OF TELECONFERENCED MEETINGS PURSUANT TO ASSEMBLY BILL 361

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2020, Governor Newsom issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency, pursuant to the Emergency Services Act, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, on March 17, 2020, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-29-20, which suspended certain requirements of Government Code section 54950 *et seq.*, the Ralph M. Brown Act ("Brown Act"), in order to allow local legislative bodies to conduct meetings telephonically or electronically without a physical meeting place; and

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2021, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-08-21, providing that the provision suspending the Brown Act requirements in Executive Order N-29-20 would remain in effect through September 30, 2021, at which point the suspension would expire; and

WHEREAS, on September 16, 2021, Governor Newsom signed Assembly Bill 361 ("AB 361"), an urgency measure effective upon adoption, amending the Brown Act to allow legislative bodies to continue teleconferencing during a proclaimed State of Emergency, and either state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing, or the legislative body determines that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

WHEREAS, the State of Emergency proclaimed by the Governor on March 4, 2020, remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, state and local officials have imposed and/or recommended measures to promote social distancing, as demonstrated by both the California Department of Public Health's and the Santa Clara County Public Health Officer's recommendations and requirements that all individuals wear masks indoors; and

WHEREAS, since early August, the highly contagious delta variant has caused increased community transmission and rates of hospitalizations within Santa Clara County; and

WHEREAS, according to the CDC, community transmission of COVID-19 in Santa Clara County is significant and may continue to increase due to the unpredictable nature of the virus and potential proliferation of COVID-19 variants; and

WHEREAS, the Roundtable hereby finds and determines that the above conditions create an imminent risk to the health and safety of attendees should they be required to attend meetings in person in a shared indoor public meeting space; and

WHEREAS, the Roundtable wishes to authorize remote meetings as set forth in this Resolution.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Roundtable as follows:

A. The Roundtable hereby acknowledges the Governor of the State of California's Proclamation of State of Emergency related to the COVID-19 pandemic remains in effect; and

- B. The Roundtable finds that due to the continued threat of COVID-19 transmission in the community, holding in person meetings for the Roundtable of Directors would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.
- C. The Roundtable is hereby authorized and directed to take all actions necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution including conducting open and public meetings in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e) and other applicable provisions of the Brown Act.
- D. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption and shall be effective until the earlier of (i) thirty days from the adoption of this Resolution, or (ii) such time the Roundtable adopts a subsequent resolution in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e)(3) to extend the time during which the Roundtable may continue to teleconference without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953.

The above and foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the Roundtable at a special meeting held on the 11th day of November, 2021 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES ABSENT: ABSTAIN:		
	Approved	:
		Mary-Lynne Bernald, Chairperson



October 13, 2021

Chair Mary-Lynne Bernald SCSC Roundtable PO Box 3144 Los Altos, CA 94024

VIA electronic mail

RE: Invoice for Costs Incurred by the CASCC on behalf of the SCSC Roundtable

Dear Chair Bernald:

The CASCC respectfully requests reimbursement for all costs incurred for the SCSC Roundtable from January 2021 – December 31, 2021. Attached, please find invoices detailing staff time, and other related expenses to the SCSC Roundtable as of September 2021.

Our best,

Marico Say

President

Mayor, Town of Los Gatos

Chappie Jones

1st Vice President

Vice-Mayor, City of San José

cc: Members/Alternates of the SCSC Roundtable



BILLABLE HOURS for SCSC Roundtable Employee – Executive Director January – September 2, 2021

\$1,847.05 Jan-21 \$ 718.15 Feb-21 842.7 Mar-21 Apr-21 \$ 214.65 May-21 \$ 1709.25 Jun-21 \$ 747.3 Jul-21 \$ 1014.95 Aug-21 \$ 2215.4 \$ 2294.9 Sep-21

Executive Director's cost (\$53/hour) \$11,604.35

CASCC – Legal Counsel February – April 2021

> Feb-21 \$6,800.00 Mar-21 \$2,450.00 Apr-21 \$ 200.00

Legal Counsel's cost (\$250/hour) _\$9,450.00

Total Billable Hours for SCSC Roundtable

Executive Director \$11,604.35 Legal Counsel \$ 9,450.00 HR related legal feels to date (attached) \$25,125.50

Total Due to CASCC for staff time \$46,179.85



BILLABLE HOURS for SCSC Roundtable Employee – Executive Director January – April 2021

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
19-Jan	Chappie Jones staff regarding ad hoc committee	0.1
20-Jan	communicate w ESA regarding appointments and contact information	0.2
	doodle poll for ad hoc meeting and correspondence with	
	SCSC RT Ad Hoc Committee members	0.3
		0.1
3-Jan	Communicate with congressional office re: SFO	0.1
3-Jan	Communicate with SCSC RT Committee Chairs	0.5
	Communicate & prep with attorney, Marico & Chappie	
	regarding SCSC Ad Hoc Committee Proposal	0.5
4-Jan	communicate with ESA regarding code of conduct	0.1
4-Jan	request ESA to send NOISE forum info to RT	0.1
5-Jan	communicate with ProudCity, ESA about website bill.	0.1
	comminicate with Ad Hoc Committee, respond to request	
4-Jan	for upcoming meeting information, audit information	0.2
5-Jan	communicate with County staff regarding ad hoc proposal	0.1
5-Jan	communicate with ESA regarding documents on website	0.2
8-Jan	process/post ESA invoice	0.2
	correspondence with the Chair regarding the approved ESA	
8-Jan	Contract	0.1
8-Jan	emails with ad hoc committee regarding link to meetings	0.1
11-Jan	check in call with Evan	0.5
11-Jan	posting SCSC RT Draft plan to agenda and website	0.2
	Communicate & prep with attorney regarding SCSC Ad Hoc	
11-Jan	Committee Proposal	0.2
12-Jan	emails regarding letter to FAA (1/12-13)	0.1
	communicate with SCSC RT member city regarding	
13-Jan	appointments	0.2

34.85

\$53.00

1847.05

22-Jan	communicate with attorney, Chappie, Larry, Marico regarding ad hoc committee	
22-Jan	communicate with member city regarding appointments	0.1
	communicate with Chair, Palo Alto rep regarding meeting	
24-Jan	details.	0.2
25-Jan	communication regarding agenda for 1/27	0.5
25-Jan	received call from SFO	0.2
26-Jan	proudcity/esa emails	0.1
26-Jan	emails regarding member city's email server rejecting emails	0.3
	Communication wit President, Counsel, Larry & Chappie	
26-Jan	regarding representation withdrawing	4
	coordination and communicatoin with ESA regarding	
27-Jan	cancellation	4
26-Jan	communication with CMs/County of SCSC RT members seeking Counsel.	
	communications with ESA team regarding cancelled	
29-Jan	meeting.	0.75
28-Jan	prep for closed session	8
29-Jan	closed session, follow up work, coordination with President, counsel, ESA	8
30-Jan	follow up and feedback to Executive Board regarding statements	0.5
1-Feb	conversations with attorneys	
27-Jan	coordinating Executive Board meeting in regards to SCSC Roundtable and code of ethics	0.75
28-Jan	communicate with SCCCMA, follow up Chair, ESA regarding legal counsel	0.75
21-Jan	communication with Larry & Chappie, legal counsel, regarding joint ad hoc committee, creating matrix,	2.5
31-Jan	continued conversations and communication with legal counsel regarding HR issue	
	total	34.85
Hours:	Rate: Total:	

Billable Hours Invoice for SCSC Roundtable Employee: Executive Director May 7, 2021 Page 3 of 5

February 2021 Employee – Executive Director

Date		Description	hours
2/1/2021-			
2/4/2021	commu	nication with executive board	6
2/1/2021-	hiring n	ew counsel, bringing new counsel up to speed on	
2/4/2021	issue (c	ommunication, emails)	2
2/1-2/8		nication with Chappie/Larry and ESA	1.75
5 5 a b		with counsel regarding closed/open session for	4.5
5-Feb		ve board meeting ment letter with Kat Wellman, legal counsel, follow	1.5
		rding bylaws, closed session, brown act for close	
4-Feb	session	,	0.5
	commu	nications - minimum of 129 emails	2
12-Feb	call with	n legal counsel	0.5
19-Feb	RT citize	en complaint	3
8-Feb	agenda	posting for closed session/discussion with counsel	
2-15/2-16, 2/18		organize special closed executive session	0.5
40.5.1		nd conversation with RT member jurisidiction	0.4
18-Feb	•	ng Brown Act	0.1
19-Feb		rith ESA to forward communication received to RT	0.1
20-Feb		autoreply email coordination with Larry/Chappie nication with Chief Galea (Los Altos) regarding	0.4
21-Feb		and tone of email, fw to RT	
		ESA invoice, communication with ESA regarding	
	charges	, fw for Chair signature, respond to ESA with	
22-Feb	invoice	changes	0.5
22-Feb		nicate with KAT closed session agenda	
24-Feb	executiv HR issue	ve board meeting to approve hiring an attorney for	0.5
24-гер		enicate with Jones staff providing distribution list of	0.5
23-Feb	RT men		0.2
			13.55
Hours:	Rate:	Total:	
13.55	53.00	718.15	

Billable Hours Invoice for SCSC Roundtable Employee: Executive Director May 7, 2021 Page 4 of 5

March 2021 Employee – Executive Director

Date	Description	hours
1-Mar	proudcity invoice/ESA	0.1
	communicate with ESA, Executive Board Members, about a	
1-Mar	possible meeting of the SCSC Roundtable.	1.5
2-Mar	receive signed invoice from chair, file	0.1
15-Mar	Certificate of insurance for investigation - file	0.1
18-Mar	interview (and prep for interview)	9
24-Mar	follow up interview (and prep)	2
18-Mar	communicate with counsel regarding previous RT actions	0.3
3/22/ - 3/25	review correspondence for RT with Counsel	0.4
	communication regarding Retainig Ms. Powell as legal counsel for	
	RT, review engagement, discussion of contract, include on CASCC	
	Agenda for BOD approval	0.5
31-Mar	Brown Act issue raised by Chair to President, follow up	1
24-Mar	RT info for President, commumication regarding RT pause	0.5
19-Mar	provide counsel with RT documents	0.2
2-Mar	email from ESA regarding upcoming meeting	0.2
		15.9

Hours Rate: Total: 15.9 53.00 842.7

Billable Hours Invoice for SCSC Roundtable Employee: Executive Director May 7, 2021 Page 5 of 5

April 2021

Employee: Executive Director

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
	meeting with ESA, change in staffing at ESA, follow up with	
1-Apr	Counsel regarding special meeting.	1
2-Apr	emails regarding attorney for RT, agendizing for approval	0.2
6-Apr	emails with chappie regarding RT meeting	0.1
6-apri & 7	communicate with legal firms regarding billing, add them to	
apri	payroll, w9	0.75
12-Apr	email with legal	0.1
9-Apr	correspondence with city of palo alto, ESA	0.1
14-Apr	communicate with RT member jurisdiction regarding RT	0.3
14-Apr	receive call from congressional office regarding FAA meeting	0.2
28-Apr	work with ESA on website notice	0.5
9-Apr	process invoice	0.1
30-Apr	receive call, email from SJC regarding upcoming meeting	0.5
20 4	work with ESA to communicate with member cities regarding	0.2
20-Apr	email distribution	0.2
		4.05
Hours:	Rate: Total:	
4.05	53.00 214.65	
	Jan-21 1847.05	
	Feb-21 718.15	
	Mar-21 842.7	
	Apr-21 <u>214.65</u>	

Total Due for Executive

Director's time 3622.55



BILLABLE HOURS for SCSC Roundtable Employee – Executive Director May-June 2021

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
6-May	call with Evan Wasserman, ESA, agenda	0.5
7-May	invoice - process signed invoice from Chair	0.1
7-May	invoice RT for ED hours (also May 10, communicate with legal counsel, Exec Board members	2
10-May	process scsc rt payments for ESA, kramer investigations	0.2
12-May	respond to request from Chair regarding contracts, hiring legal counsel, call with PResident, legal counsel	4
may 16/17	process invoices, discuss invoices with Kat Wellman	0.75
19-May	communication with SFO RT Coordinator, Evan	0.45
24-May	Emails	0.3
25-May	communicate with SFO RT and ESA, Congressional offices	0.25
26-May	RT - observe meeting for Executive Board, communicate with Jones, Sayoc	4
25-May	communicate with RT members jurisdictions, Executive Board Members	1
5-May	communicate with ESA biling	0.1
3-May	communicate with bookkeeper regarding RT invoicing/recording contractors info, billing etc.	0.2
3-May	communicate with atty/exec board about RT billing	0.4
4-May	communicate with attry regarding Jan. 29 closed session	1.1
5-May	prepare and attend West Valley Mayors and Managers, communicate with West Valley CM chair regarding meeting	1.5
5-May	communicate with consultant regarding planning meetings	0.2
5-May	transaction review for monthly expenses	5
6-May	communicate with ESA	0.5

Invoice for SCSC Roundtable Employee: Executive Director

August 16, 2021 Page 2 of 4

5-May	communicate with congressional office, President, 1st VP regarding RT meetings	0.5
6-May	RT/CASCC communication flow chart discussion with !st VP and ESA	0.5
7-May	receive signed ESA invoice, file	0.1
7-May	discussion with atty, send RT Billable hours to Treasurer	0.5
11-May	communicate with SJC, ESA regarding FAA Presentatoin on BRIXX	0.5
12-May	respond to requests from the Chair via the President and 1st Vice President, CASCC employee handbook, RFP process, RFPs	2.25
1.4.1.4	location on website, RFPs, contract with CASCC attorney.	3.25
14-May	communicate with ESA	0.25
14-May	communicate with president, 1st vp, atty regarding RT meetings, prepare	1.2
16-May	communicate with RT atty	0.1
17-May	process investigation invoice	0.1
20-May	Call with SFO RT, communicate with ESA, Congressional offices, other staff	0.45
21-May	communicate with ESA - atty engagement, SFO RT, Contract	1
25-May	communicate with ESA, SFO RT	0.2
30-May	process ESA invoice	0.1
31-May	ESA contract extension, communicate with atty	0.45
14-May	email chair requested rfp items, forward to ESA	0.5
		32.25

Hours	Rate:	Total:
32.25	53	1709.25

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
1-Jun	communicate with ESA re contract extension, attorney	0.4
1-Jun	communicate with 1st vp and ESA regarding potential meeting	0.2
2-Jun	communicate with member jurisdiction about alternate members	0.35

Invoice for SCSC Roundtable Employee: Executive Director

August 16, 2021 Page 3 of 4

2-Jun	communciate wit	th ESA contract extension	0.2
1-Jun	contract\ part of	june board meetings	0.3
3-Jun	communicate wit	th bookkeeper re: RT expenses	0.25
3-Jun	process ESA invo	ices	0.2
	communicate wit	th Palo Alto, ESA regarding GBAS	
3-Jun	community meet	ings	0.2
	communication r	egarding SFO RT expansion vote	
3-Jun	w/ESA/County/Pa	alo Alto/Congressional Offices	0.25
4-Jun	process invoices,	pay	0.3
		th 1st vp & past president re RT for Joint	
10-Jun	_	re-budget and reimbursements	0.75
15-Jun		th SFO RT and member jurisdictions	0.2
23-Jun	process & invoice	es, fw to 1st vp	0.5
		th president, atty, 1st vp re: SCSC	
28-Jun	Roundtable MOU	•	2.1
29-Jun	communicate wit	th ESA re website update	0.1
	•	ions regarding MOU & bylaws of RT for	
29-Jun	joint ad hoc		1.75
7-Jun	_	garding bills and outstanding invoices	0.5
3-Jun	•	regarding NES letter	0.2
9-Jun	NES letter follow	·	0.2
3-Jun	review expenditu	res/bookkeeping for CLASS/RT	0.5
29-Jun	communicate wit	th 1st vp re invoices	
	• •	epare for meeting regarding MOU and ad	
24-Jun	hoc committee		0.75
24-Jun	meeting on mOU		1.2
29-Jun		th staff ad hoc regarding RT	0.3
24-Jun		th ESA re meetings	0.5
9-Jun	communicate wit	th ESA	0.5
		th ad hoc members regarding joint ad	
24-Jun	hoc, direction		1.2
11-Jun	follow up wiith a	d hoc members	0.2
Hours:	Rate:	Total:	
14.1	53	747.3	

Invoice for SCSC Roundtable Employee: Executive Director August 16, 2021 Page 4 of 4

> May – 21 747.30 June – 21 1709.25

Total due for Executive Director's

Time **2456.55**



BILLABLE HOURS for SCSC Roundtable Employee – Executive Director July 2021

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS		
1-Jul	communicate with executive board and esa regarding meetings, invoices,	0.4		
	ad hoc committee report review, contract			
2-Jul	extension with ESA, invoices processing	1.3		
7-Jul	billing/invoicing issues with legal	0.4		
7-Jul	esa communication contract	0.1		
13-Jul	communicate with ESA/Kpowell regarding meeting locations, future virtual meetings	0.4		
15 Jul 15-Jul	communicate with Kpowell	0.6		
15 Jul	·	0.0		
21-Jul	communication regarding FAA Community Meeting	1.4		
22-Jul	budget information regarding rt	2.5		
27-Jul	preparing budget and financials request from Chair	3. 4		
28-Jul	communicate with consultants regarding meeting, meeting, communicate with Kpowell regarding MOU, send documents	5		
	communicate with Kpowell regarding MOU,			
28-Jul	send documents	0.2		
29-Jul		3.75		
30-Jul	communicate with ad hoc members	0.2		
30-Jul	communicate with Kirsten Powell regarding MOU	0.4		
30-Jul	background info for counsel	2.5		
			Rate	total
		19.15	\$53	\$1014.95

BILLABLE HOURS for SCSC Roundtable Employee – Executive Director August 2021

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
	special meeting of BOD, file contract	
2-Aug	with attorney, communicate with Kirsten Powell regarding invoice	6
	staff report regarding costs incurred due	
3-Aug	to RT	6
4-Aug	assist legal counsel with communicating w board members, agenda	
	communicate with SCCCMA chair to	
5-Aug	agendize RT	0.1
	communicate w congressional offices, non member jursidiction members	
5-Aug	questions, SCCCMA Chair, SFO RT	1.5
6-Aug	process invoice received	0.1
6-Aug	Executive board meeting - RT	1.5
	assist VM Jones office with RT	
	information, respond to legal re	
	contracts and engagements, invoices,	
Ο Διισ	respond to member jurisdiction	4.5
9-Aug	regarding motions communicate w Treasurer regarding RT	4.5
10-Aug	bills	0.2
11-Aug	respond to email from Chair	0.1
- 0	meet w President and Chair to discuss	
11-Aug	RT bills	
12-Aug	budget update	4
13-Aug	pay bills logan powell, esa	
16-Aug	communicate with ESA	0.2
16-Aug	Communicate with president	0.15
16-Aug	communicate with 1st vp office	0.45
16-Aug	work on bills, contracts	
	communicate with executive board re	
16-Aug	email from Chao to quorum of ex board	
17-Aug	prepare letters/invoices for RT	6
18-Aug	letter to RT	
18-Aug	finalize invoices for RT	4.25

18-Aug	email RT/email Kpowell, cc: CMs communicate with member jurisdictions	1.5			
19-Aug	staff	8.0			
19-Aug	communcicate with congressional office	0.2			
19-Aug	respond to questions from RT Chair to President, Ad Hoc Members, staff respond to SFO RT on behalf of Sayoc	1.2			
19-Aug	and Jordan	0.4			
20-Aug	process invoice received	0.1			
23-Aug	communitcate w ESA SFO RT conversation with coordinator, discussion about history of setting up				
24-Aug	SCSC RT	0.5			
25-Aug	budget assistance conversation with VM Jones office	0.85			
31-Aug	budget prep with VM Jones' office	0.6			
27-Aug	budget discussion w vice mayor jones office	0.6	rate		
		41.8	\$53	\$2215.4	

BILLABLE HOURS for SCSC Roundtable Employee – Executive Director September 2021

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
1-Sep	budget - communicate w vm jones office, contract, project manager, costs associated with hiring an employee, emails, calls,	8.5
2-Sep	process legal invoices, discuss with VM Jones office scope of work to include in budget, communicate with bookkeeper regarding RT invoices	2.4
3-Sep	review, process invoices for RT, agenda items review with legal counsel, call with ESA, VM Jones office regarding RT agenda	6.3
4-Sep	communicate with ad hoc members re: RT agenda	0.2
	respond to email from Chair regarding budget items, run financial report for RT meeting/Chair request,	
7-Sep	review meeting	5
8-Sep	email to kpowell, ESA	0.1
9-Sep	communicate with RT legal counsel,	0.1
	commmunicate with ESA/RT, congressional offices, CMs, member jurisdictions of RT, RT members, legal	
10-Sep	council	6.4
13-Sep	communicate with CMs re RT budget, legal re budget status	1.75
	draft dissassociation letter w/ legal, communicate with RT regarding fiscal sponsorship, communicate with Proud City re: contract, draft	
15-Sep	correspondence for contractors of RT	7.5

	correspondence to RT Chair,		
	Members, Alternates regardgin fiscal		
16-Sep	sponsorship, process invoices		1.45
20-Sep	financials, invoices		1.8
21-Sep	confer with legal counsel regarding finances, communicate with Kpowell	9	
	communicate with Kpowell re		
23-Sep	meeting		0.3
27-Sep	call with Kpowell		1.5

	Rate	Total
43.3	53	\$2294.9

CASCC Staff Time July-August-September

Jul-21	\$ 1014.95
Aug-21	\$ 2215.4
Sep-21	\$ 2294.9
Total for July -August – September	\$5,525.25

CITIES ASSOCIATION OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Transaction Report

January 1 - October 13, 2021

DATE	TRANSACTION TYPE	NUM	NAME	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	ACCOUNT	SPLIT	AMOUNT	BALANCE
OFFICE PER	SONNEL_CONSUL	TANTS						,
Legal & Prof	fessional Fees							
Roundtable CASCC	consultant and tech	nical servic	es					
02/21/202	1 Bill	1516321	Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	professional law corporation	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	304.00	304.00
02/28/202	1 Bill	1516321	Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	lcw liebert	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	304.00	608.00
03/31/202	1 Bill	1518211	Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	2,394.00	3,002.00
04/05/202	1 Bill	485	Kramer Workplace Investigations		6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	2,650.00	5,652.00
06/03/202	1 Bill	512	Kramer Workplace Investigations	Kramer Workplace Investigations	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	1,175.00	6,827.00
06/22/202	1 Expense		Kramer Workplace Investigations	GUSTO CND 822738 CCD 6sem GUSTO CND 822738 CCD 6semjpv6uku	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	1001 Checking - Union Bank	1,475.00	8,302.00
06/30/202	1 Bill	1484	Law Office of Gary M Baum	Law Office of Gary M Baum	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	2,016.00	10,318.00
07/30/202	1 Expense		Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	GUSTO CND 536692 CCD 6sem GUSTO CND 536692 CCD 6semjq5a8rg	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	1001 Checking - Union Bank	152.00	10,470.00
07/31/202	1 Bill	001501	Law Office of Gary M Baum	RT - manage hR issue	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	3,874.50	14,344.50
08/05/202	1 Bill	582	Kramer Workplace Investigations	Kramer	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	3,775.00	18,119.50
08/31/202	1 Bill		JRG		6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	1,828.00	19,947.50
09/02/202	1 Bill	579	Kramer Workplace Investigations	Kramer Workplace Investigations	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	2,050.00	21,997.50
09/02/202	1 Bill	001535	Law Office of Gary M Baum	Law Offices of Gary	6350 OFFICE PERSONNEL_CONSULTANTS:Legal & Professional Fees:Roundtable consultant and technical services	2000 Accounts Payable	1,953.00	23,950.50
Total for C	ASCC					,	\$23,950.50	
Total for Ro	oundtable consultant	and technic	cal services				\$23,950.50	
Total for Leg	gal & Professional Fe	es					\$23,950.50	
Total for OFF	ICE PERSONNEL_C	CONSULTA	NTS				\$23,950.50	
TOTAL							\$23,950.50	

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

JUNE 30, 2021
PLEASE PAY \$2,016.00 BY
07/20/2021
THANK YOU

PLEASE MAIL PAYMENT TO:

ANDI JORDAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CASCC PO BOX 3144 LOS ALTOS, CA 94024 LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

CLIENT #	[‡] 19026	SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN WITH YOUR PA	VMENT OF \$2 016 00	INVO	CE #001484
CLIENT #	‡19026	SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC	TIME 1 OF \$2,010.00	INVO	CE #001484
DEFAUL	T MAT	TER 1			
PROFES	SIONA	L SERVICES SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT		HOURS	FEES
06/11/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAILS FROM KAT RE ROUNDTABLE. EMAIL QUESTIONS.	KAT WITH	0.60	\$189.00
06/16/21	GMB	PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND TELECONFERENCE WITH TELECONFERENCE WITH KAT AND ANDI.	H KAT. BRIEF	0.50	\$157.50
06/17/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAILS AND DOCUMENTS RE ROUNDTABLE	ISSUE.	0.30	\$94.50
06/21/21	GMB	EMAIL ANDI.		0.10	\$31.50
06/22/21	GMB	EMAIL MORIN. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH LAUREL. REV DOCUMENT. EMAIL MARICO. TELECONFERENCE WIT		0.70	\$220.50
06/23/21	GMB	CREATE PDF'S. DRAFT STAFF REPORT. EXCHANGE I EMAILS WITH MORIN. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH KAT. TELECONFERENCE WITH KAT. EXCHANGE EMAIL WI	MULTIPLE	1.40	\$441.00
06/24/21	GMB	EXCHANGE TEXTS WITH CHAPPIE. EXCHANGE EMAIL CHAPPIE. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANDI. TELECONFILAUREL. TELECONFERENCE WITH CHAPPIE. EXCHAIR EMAILS WITH LAUREL.	L WITH ERENCE WITH	1.10	\$346.50
06/25/21	GMB	EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH ANDI. EXCHANG LAUREL, KATE AND MORIN. REVIEW AND REVISE DO		0.50	\$157.50
06/28/21	GMB	EMAIL MARICO. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANNALE. TW TELECONFERENCES WITH ANDI RE SAME.		0.60	\$189.00
06/29/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MARICO. REVIEW EMAIL FROM REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF DOCUMENT. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH MORIN. EMAIL KATE.		0.60	\$189.00
			TOTAL:	6.40	\$2,016.00
SUMMAI	RY OF	HOURS, RATES AND FEES R	ATE PER HOUR		
GMB	GMB		\$315.00	6.40	\$2,016.00

STATEMENT SUMMARY	

BALANCE FROM THE LAST STATEMENT:

\$0.00

PLUS CHARGES LISTED ABOVE:

\$2,016.00

PLEASE PAY THE TOTAL BALANCE DUE:

\$2,016.00

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

JULY 31, 2021

PLEASE PAY \$5,890.50 BY 08/20/2021 THANK YOU

PLEASE MAIL PAYMENT TO:

ANDI JORDAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CASCC PO BOX 3144 LOS ALTOS, CA 94024

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

CLIENT #	19026	SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN WITH YOUR PAYMENT OF \$5,890.50	INVOI	CE #001501
CLIENT#	19026		INVOI	CE #001501
DEFAUL	T MAT	TER 1		
		L SERVICES SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT	HOURS	FEES
07/01/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MORIN. REVIEW MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM ANDI. REVIEW EMAIL FROM EVAN. PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND TELECONFERENCE WITH MORIN AND LAUREL. PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND LENGTHY TELECONFERENCE WITH MARICO. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANNALE DAMABEH.	1.30	\$409.50
07/05/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAIL AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS FROM ANNALE. REVIEW SECOND EMAIL FROM ANNALE.	0.10	\$31.50
07/06/21	GMB	PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND MEETING WITH CHAPPIE, LARRY, MARICO AND ANDI. EMAIL LAUREL. REVIEW DOCUMENT FROM ANNALE.	1.20	\$378.00
07/07/21	GMB	EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH LAUREL. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH KATE. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH ANDI. EMAIL MORIN AND KATE STARKEBAUM.	0.30	\$94.50
07/08/21	GMB	PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND TELECONFERENCE WITH KATE.	0.10	\$31.50
07/13/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAIL FROM ANDI TO KRISTAN AND EVAN.	0.10	\$31.50
07/15/21	GMB	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM ANDI TO KIRSTEN. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH MORIN. EMAIL MARICO. TEXT MARICO.	0.30	\$94.50
07/16/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MORIN. EMAIL LEE ANN LA FRANCE WALLACE RE TELECONFERENCE. LENGTHY TELECONFERENCE WITH LEE ANN WALLACE (.5). TELECONFERENCE WITH LAUREL.	0.90	\$283.50
07/18/21	GMB	EXCHANGE TEXTS WITH MARICO.	0.10	\$31.50
07/19/21	GMB	EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH KRISHAN CHOPRA. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MARICO. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH MORIN. PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND LENGTHY TELECONFERENCE WITH MARICO. TELEPHONE CALL FROM KATE STARKEBAUM. TELECONFERENCE WITH KATE. SECOND TELECONFERENCE WITH MARICO.	1.50	\$472.50
07/20/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAIL FROM LEE ANN WALLACE. RESEARCH AND TELEPHONE CALLS AND TELECONFERENCES WITH ATTORNEYS TO REPLACE MORIN JACOB AT LIEBERT, CASSIDY, WHITMORE. TEXT AND EMAIL MARICO. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH MARICO. EMAIL LEE ANN WALLACE. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH KRISHAN CHOPRA.	1.70	\$535.50

DEFAUL	TMAT	TER 1			
07/21/21	GMB	RESPOND TO DOODLE POLLS. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH ANDI. LEGAL RESEARCH. DEXCHANGE EMAILS WITH AUDIN. REVIEW EMAILS WITH KATE. TELECONFERENCE WE EMAILS WITH REN NOSKY. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH REVISE DOCUMENT FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM	RAFT AGENDA. AGENDA. EXCHANGE VITH ANDI. EXCHANGE IAILS WITH MARICO. EN. REVIEW EMAIL FROM	1.50	\$472.50
07/22/21	GMB	EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH MARI ATTEND EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING. TE MARICO RE SAME. REVIEW MULTIPLE EM EMAIL FROM BOARD MEMBER. REVIEW A FROM REN. EMAIL REN RE SAME.	CO. PREPARE FOR AND LECONFERENCE WITH AILS FROM ANDI. REVIEW	1.60	\$504.00
07/23/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MARICO. REVIEW	/ EMAILS FROM ANDI.	0.10	\$31.50
07/26/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAIL FROM ANDI. REVIEW MULT AUDEN. LEGAL RESEARCH. DRAFT AGEN		0.40	\$126.00
07/28/21	GMB	EMAIL MARICO. TELECONFERENCE WITH MARICO.		0.20	\$63.00
07/29/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH MARICO. EXCH. DRAFT AGENDA AND OTHER DOCUMENTS		0.10	\$31.50
07/30/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH KATE. EXCHANG TELECONFERENCE WITH ANDI. REVIEW D ANDI.	SE EMAILS WITH ANDI.	0.80	\$252.00
			TOTAL:	12.30	\$3,874.50
SUMMA	RY OF	HOURS, RATES AND FEES	RATE PER HOUR	12.52.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22	
GMB	GMB		\$315.00	12.30	\$3,874.50

	IENT		

BALANCE FROM THE LAST STATEMENT: \$2,016.00 \$3,874.50 PLUS CHARGES LISTED ABOVE:

PLEASE PAY THE TOTAL BALANCE DUE: \$5,890.50 LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

JUNE 30, 2021 PLEASE PAY \$2,016.00 BY 07/20/2021 THANK YOU

PLEASE MAIL PAYMENT TO:

ANDI JORDAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CASCC PO BOX 3144 LOS ALTOS, CA 94024

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

CLIENT #	CLIENT #19026 SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN WITH YOUR PAYMENT OF \$2,016.00		INVOICE #001484		
CLIENT#	19026		ATMENT OF \$2,010.00	INVOICE #001484	
DEFAUL	T MAT	TER 1			
PROFES	SIONA	L SERVICES SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT		HOURS	FEES
		REVIEW EMAILS FROM KAT RE ROUNDTABLE. EMAI QUESTIONS.	L KAT WITH	0.60	\$189.00
06/16/21	GMB	PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND TELECONFERENCE WITTELECONFERENCE WITH KAT AND ANDI.	TH KAT. BRIEF	0.50	\$157.50
06/17/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAILS AND DOCUMENTS RE ROUNDTABLE	E ISSUE.	0.30	\$94.50
06/21/21	GMB	EMAIL ANDI.		0.10	\$31.50
06/22/21	GMB	EMAIL MORIN. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH LAUREL. REDOCUMENT. EMAIL MARICO. TELECONFERENCE WI		0.70	\$220.50
06/23/21	GMB	CREATE PDF'S. DRAFT STAFF REPORT. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH MORIN. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH KAT	MULTIPLE	1.40	\$441.00
06/24/21	GMB	TELECONFERENCE WITH KAT. EXCHANGE EMAIL W EXCHANGE TEXTS WITH CHAPPIE. EXCHANGE EMA CHAPPIE. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANDI. TELECONF LAUREL. TELECONFERENCE WITH CHAPPIE. EXCHA	IL WITH FERENCE WITH	1.10	\$346.50
06/25/21	GMB	EMAILS WITH LAUREL. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH ANDI. EXCHANGLAUREL, KATE AND MORIN. REVIEW AND REVISE DO		0.50	\$157.50
06/28/21	GMB	EMAIL MARICO. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANNALE. TO TELECONFERENCES WITH AND RE SAME.	WO	0.60	\$189.00
06/29/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MARICO. REVIEW EMAIL FR REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF DOCUMENT. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH MORIN. EMAIL KATE.		0.60	\$189.00
			TOTAL:	6.40	\$2,016.00
SUMMAI	RY OF	HOURS, RATES AND FEES	RATE PER HOUR		
GMB	GMB		\$315.00	6.40	\$2,016.00

STATEMENT SUMMARY

BALANCE FROM THE LAST STATEMENT:

\$0.00 \$2,016.00

PLUS CHARGES LISTED ABOVE:

PLEASE PAY THE TOTAL BALANCE DUE:

\$2,016.00

INVOICE #001535

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

AUGUST 31, 2021 PLEASE PAY \$1,953.00 BY 09/20/2021 THANK YOU

PLEASE MAIL PAYMENT TO:

ANDI JORDAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CASCC PO BOX 3144 LOS ALTOS, CA 94024

CLIENT #19026

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

		SOCIAL TABLE CO COACC		CE #001333
		PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN WITH YOUR PAYMENT OF \$1,953.00		
CLIENT #19026 SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC		INVOICE #001535		
DEFAUL	T MAT	TER 1		
		L SERVICES SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT	HOURS	FEES
08/02/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH KATE. PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING CLOSED SESSION RE SCSC ISSUES. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH ANDI. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH MARICO. TELECONFERENCE WITH KATE. REVIEW EMAIL FROM LAUREL. TELECONFERENCE WITH ANDI. DRAFT LENGTHY EMAIL TO REN. TELECONFERENCE WITH MARICO. TELECONFERENCE WITH REN. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH REN. EMAIL MORIN.	2.60	\$819.0
08/03/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MARICO. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH KATE. EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH REN. PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND TELECONFERENCE WITH REN. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MORIN. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH KATHLEEN AT LCW.	0.50	\$157.5
08/09/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANDI. REVIEW DOCUMENT FROM KRAMER & ASSOCIATES.	0.20	\$63.0
08/13/21	GMB	TELECONFERENCE WITH REN NOSKY.	0.20	\$63.0
08/16/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAILS FROM VICE MAYOR CHAO. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MARICO RE DOCUMENTS FOR ROUNDTABLE BOARD. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH ANDI RE SAME. EMAIL REN NOSKY. REVIEW AND ANALYZE AGREEMENTS AND BILLS. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH MARICO AND ANDI RE SAME.	0.80	\$252.00
08/17/21	GMB	EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH ANDI RE DOCUMENTS FOR ROUNDTABLE. EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH LAUREL RE SAME. REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER TO ROUNDTABLE. TELECONFERENCE WITH REN NOSKY. TELECONFERENCE WITH MARICO RE SAME. TELEPHONE CALL FROM MARICO RE SAME. EXCHANGE TEXTS WITH MARICO RE SAME. SECOND TELECONFERENCE WITH MARICO RE SAME.	0.80	\$252.00
08/18/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANDI AND MARICO RE RELEASE OF DOCUMENTS TO ROUNDTABLE. REVIEW PACKET OF MATERIAL FROM ANDI TO ROUNDTABLE. EMAIL ANDI RE SAME.	0.20	\$63.00
08/19/21	GMB	REVIEW VOICEMAIL FROM REN NOSKY. TWO TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH REN. EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH REN RE CITY ATTORNEY QUESTIONS.	0.40	\$126.00
08/20/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH REN RE REQUEST FROM CITY ATTORNEY.	0.20	\$63.0

SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC

08/23/21 GMB TELECONFERENCE WITH REN RE CITY ATTORNEY ISSUES.

ANALYZE SAME.

\$31.50

0.10

			Age	nda Item 4	
DEFAUL	T MATTER 1				
08/24/21	GMB REVIEW EMAIL FROM ANDI WITH EMAIL	FROM MICHELLE	0.10	\$31.50	
	RODRIGUEZ AND OTHERS RE EXPANSI MEMBERSHIP.	ON OF ROUNDTABLE			
08/26/21	GMB REVIEW EMAIL FROM REN RE DOCUME	NTS FROM LCW.	0.10	\$31.50	
		TOTAL:	6.20	\$1,953.00	
SUMMA	RY OF HOURS, RATES AND FEES	RATE PER HOUR			
GMB	GMB	\$315.00	6.20	\$1,953.00	
DAVMEN	NTS RECEIVED SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT				
08/11/21		PAYING THE JUNE BILL.		\$2,016.00	
08/11/21	PAYMENT WAS RECEIVED. THANK YOU FOR	VERY PROMPT PAYMENT OF THE J	ULY BILL!	\$3,874.50	
			TOTAL:	\$5,890.50	
STATEN	IENT SUMMARY				
		BALANCE FROM THE LAST STA	ATEMENT:	\$5,890.50	
	MINU	US PAYMENTS SINCE THE LAST STA	ATEMENT:	-\$5,890.50	

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM (408) 833-6246

\$1,953.00

\$1,953.00

PLUS CHARGES LISTED ABOVE:

PLEASE PAY THE TOTAL BALANCE DUE:

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

SEPTEMBER 30, 2021 PLEASE PAY \$1,449.00 BY 10/20/2021 THANK YOU

PLEASE MAIL PAYMENT TO:

ANDI JORDAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CASCC PO BOX 3144 LOS ALTOS, CA 94024 LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM GARY M. BAUM 19925 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., STE 100 CUPERTINO, CA 95014

CLIENT #19026 SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC		INVOICE #001555			
CLIENT#	19026	PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN WITH YOUR PAYMENT OF \$1,449.00 SCSC ROUNDTABLE C/O CSACC		INVOICE #001555	
DEFAUL	T MAT	TER 1			
PROFES	SIONA	L SERVICES SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT		HOURS	FEES
09/01/21	GMB	REVIEW VOICEMAIL FROM REN. REVIEW DOCUMENT TELECONFERENCE WITH REN. TELECONFERENCE WITH TELEPHONE CALL TO CHAPPIE. TELEPHONE CALL TO ABE-KOGA. TELECONFERENCE WITH MARGARET	ITH MARICO.	0.40	\$126.00
09/02/21	GMB	TELECONFERENCE WITH NEYSA. TELEPHONE CALL T KLEIN. TELEPHONE CALL TO RICH CONSTANTINE. TEL CONFERENCE WITH RICH. REVIEW AND APPROVE BIL KRAMER INVESTIGATIONS.	_EPHONE	0.30	\$94.50
09/07/21	GMB	TELECONFERENCE WITH ANDI RE ROUNDTABLE ISSU	JES.	0.20	\$63.00
09/08/21		REVIEW EMAIL FROM ANDI RE ROUNDTABLE. EMAIL N SAME.		0.10	\$31.50
09/09/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAIL FROM ANDI AND REVIEW LETTER FRO POWELL RE ACTIONS OF THE ROUNDTABLE.	M KIRSTEN	0.10	\$31.50
09/10/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANDI RE NEXT STEPS AFTER THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.	ACTION BY	0.10	\$31.50
09/13/21	GMB	EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANDI RE NEXT STEPS. EXCHAWITH ANDI TO DISCUSS NEXT STEPS TOMORROW.	ANGE EMAIL	0.10	\$31.50
09/14/21	GMB	PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND TELECONFERENCE WITH TERMINATION OF ROUNDTABLE FISCAL SPONSORSHI STEPS. REVIEW AND ANALYZE MOU. REVIEW AND AN	IP AND NEXT	2.40	\$756.00
09/15/21	GMB	BYLAWS. DRAFT DOCUMENT. REVIEW EMAIL FROM ANDI RE NEXT STEPS. EMAIL MA LAUREL RE SAME. REVIEW EMAIL FROM LAUREL RE INVESTIGATION. REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER TO ROI TELECONFERENCE WITH REN. REVIEW AND REVISE L VENDORS.	UNDTABLE.	0.60	\$189.00
09/20/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAIL FROM LAUREL TO KATE RE INVESTIGA	TION.	0.10	\$31.50
09/21/21	GMB	REVIEW EMAILS FROM MARICO AND CHAPPIE RE LET EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH ANDI RE BUDGET ISSUES. TELECONFERENCE WITH ANDI RE SAME.	TER TO SCSC.	0.20	\$63.00
			TOTAL:	4.60	\$1,449.00
		HOURS, RATES AND FEES RA	ATE PER HOUR		
GMB	GMB		\$315.00	4.60	\$1,449.00

Agenda Item 4

PAYMENTS RECEIVED SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT

09/16/21 PAYMENT WAS RECEIVED. THANK YOU FOR THE VERY PROMPT PAYMENT!

\$1,953.00

\$1,953.00

\$1,449.00

TOTAL: \$1,953.00

STATEMENT SUMMARY

BALANCE FROM THE LAST STATEMENT:

MINUS PAYMENTS SINCE THE LAST STATEMENT: -\$1,953.00

PLUS CHARGES LISTED ABOVE:

PLEASE PAY THE TOTAL BALANCE DUE: \$1,449.00

LAW OFFICES OF GARY M. BAUM (408) 833-6246

RESOLUTION OF THE SCSC ROUNDTABLE APPROVING THE ROUNDTABLE'S BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021 (JULY 1, 2021-DECEMBER 31, 2021)

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Bylaws of the SCSC Roundtable, a budget is to be adopted by July 1st of each year; and

WHEREAS, prior to adoption of the budget, Roundtable Staff, in consultation with the Roundtable Chairperson, is required to recommend an annual funding amount for the Roundtable at least 60 days prior to the anticipated date of adoption of the budget; and

WHEREAS, because the meetings of the Roundtable were paused during the winter and spring of 2021, the Roundtable was unable to consider its budget until August 2021; and

WHEREAS, because the future of the Roundtable is uncertain due to the withdrawal of the Cities Association as the fiscal agent for the Roundtable, the Roundtable is considering a 6 month budget for the period of July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Roundtable has reviewed and evaluated the proposed budget submitted by Roundtable staff in consultation with the Roundtable Chairperson.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The SCSC Roundtable FY 2021 budget for the period of July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021, is hereby approved as set forth in the attached Exhibit A and incorporated herein.
- 2. Roundtable staff is directed to send annual funding notices to the member agencies consistent with the funding model for a total amount of _____.
- 3. In the event there is a surplus after all expenses have been paid through December 31, 2021, Roundtable staff is directed to refund those monies to the member agencies in accordance with the funding formula.

The above and foregoing resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the Roundtable at a special meeting held on the 11th day of November 2021, by the following vote:

o		
AYES:		
NOES:		
ABSTAIN:		
	Approved:	
	Mary-Lynne Bernald, Chairperson	

SCSC Roundtable STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 29, 2021

TO: Honorable Chair and Roundtable Members

FROM: Kirsten Powell, Special Counsel

SUBJECT: CONTINUATION OF THE SCSC ROUNDTABLE

SUMMARY OF ISSUE

On September 10, 2021, the Cities Association notified the Roundtable that it would no longer act as the fiscal agent for the Roundtable after December 31, 2021. As fiscal agent, the Cities Association provides staff assistance and manages the finances of the Roundtable. It also hires the various consultants that work with the Roundtable. Because the Roundtable as currently structured is not a separate legal entity and does not have its own staff, the Roundtable cannot continue to operate without a fiscal agent.

If the Roundtable desires to continue its work, there are two options to consider: find a new fiscal agent or create a separate legal entity that could hire consultants and possibly staff to perform the necessary work.

New Fiscal Agent

The Roundtable Chairperson has researched other possibility entities to serve as the fiscal agent. Those efforts were included in the attached Appendix A, which was part of the documents previously presented to the SCSC Roundtable and submitted to the Ad Hoc Committee. To date, none of those efforts resulted in finding a viable solution. Without a separate entity expressing interest in performing this work, the only other option would be to have one of the member agencies serve as the fiscal agent.

New Legal Entity

The members of the Roundtable could consider forming a Joint Powers Authority pursuant to Government Code §6500 et seq. A joint powers authority (JPA) is a new, separate government organization created by the member agencies, but is legally independent from them. A JPA shares powers common to the member agencies, and those powers are outlined in the joint powers agreement. A JPA is formed by the execution of a joint powers agreement by the member agencies and registration with the State. A JPA is required to have a treasurer and an auditor. A JPA is a separate legal entity that would have the ability to hire consultants or staff, conduct meetings and take action related to the purpose of the JPA. The start up costs of a JPA for the preparation of the necessary documentation and filings can be approximately

\$10,000.00. Thereafter, either consultants or staff would need to be hired to perform the day to day operations of the JPA. Those duties could include maintaining the finances of the JPA or one of the member agencies could perform those functions.

If neither of those options is available or acceptable, the Roundtable should consider whether it should dissolve the Roundtable at this time.

FISCAL IMPACT

None.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Consider the options available to continue the work of the Roundtable and provide direction to staff.

ATTACHMENTS

None

Appendix A

Efforts made to identify a new Fiscal Agent/Sponsor/Legal Status

 2019 Met with SJC Airport Director John Aitken, Matt Kazmierzak, Vice Mayor Chappie Jones, San Jose Council Member Raul Peralez

Request:

Airport sponsorship; City of San Jose participation

Result:

Refusal of SJC to sponsor;

Refusal of SJ City Council to join the SCSC RT unless given proportional representation based on population.

 7/15/2020 Teleconference with County Supervisor Joe Simitian and Aide Kris Zanardi

Request:

Possible County Sponsorship, Legal Representation and/or Roundtable Coordinator job share

Result:

No ability to sponsor;

Possible Legal Representation – still pursuing;

Part time county employee (required to pay benefits and CalPERS) too costly

• 7/16/2020 Teleconference with FAA Favi Garcia and Tamara Swann

Request:

Confirm that the FAA will still recognize the legitimacy of the SCSC Roundtable with the departure of the Santa Cruz County entities;

Inquire the status of all other US Roundtables;

Inquire if there are other known options for setting up the SCSC RT

Result:

As long as members of the SFO and SJC airport staff attend our meetings, the FAA will recognize our standing;

All other US Roundtables and Noise Forums are sponsored by Community Service Airports;

FAA does not get involved in the formation of Roundtables and knows of no other status other than being sponsored by airports

7/24/2020 Zoom meeting with Cupertino City Manager Deb Fang

Request:

What are possible alternatives to being under the umbrella of CASCC:

- JPA
- Joint Venture Silicon Valley
- Individual City acting as a fiscal agent for the RT

Result:

- JPA is too structured enough to meet the needs of a Roundtable due to State mandates and the fluid nature of the RT membership;
- It is not in the wheelhouse of JVSV;
- Having a single City serve as the fiscal agent would create an optics problem because of the differing needs of the individual Cities.

Preferred status: remain with CASCC

Other discussion:

Given the community and member participants make-up, the SCSC RT should seek out its own legal counsel but not required at every meeting.

8/24/2020 Teleconference with County Legal Counsel Chris Cheleden and Steve Mitra

Request:

Is it possible for the County to represent the SCSC RT; What is the rate schedule?

Result:

County Legal Counsel does represent various agencies e.g. Fire Districts, the Library District, and other Public Agencies

Experienced in Municipal Law

- Would need to have well defined parameters/ create a firewall
- Would contract separately with the RT, if approved by County Counsel James
 Williams
- Rate Schedule

Attorney rate: \$264/hour Paralegal rate: \$116/hour

9/14/2020 Follow up email request for aid in setting up a possible 501 C"?" that could meet the needs of the RT

- County Counsel never responded

9/25 Telephone Conversation with CPA Paul Resnikoff regarding a 501 C6

Request:

General information regarding setting up the correct type of 501 C Process to procure one

Result:

Timeline is a long one – could be up to a year

- File with IRS possibly online: receive letter of determination
- Attorney required to re do By Laws, look into reorganizing as an association Cost could range between \$5000-10,000: would include substantial attorney fees
- 10/26 Zoom Conversation with Russ Hancock / Joint Venture Silicon Valley

Result:

JTSV is a Think Tank

The Roundtable must be Elected Officials or County Representatives directly answering to their constituencies.

Any collaboration would place JVSV between the constituents and the Roundtable

Therefore not a viable solution

• 11/25 Letter to SFO Airport Director Ivar C. Satero / 12/3 Response

Request:

Requested a virtual meeting to discuss a "future and beneficial relationship for the SCSC RT Roundtable and SFO Airport similar to SFO RT

Result:

The Airport Director responded that he cannot accommodate our request to sponsor the Santa Clara/Santa Cruz Counties Airport/Community Roundtable. He did applaud the progress our RT has made.

SCSC Roundtable All Correspondence September 3, 2021 – November 5, 2021

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jane Manning < >

Date: Thu, Sep 9, 2021 at 1:54 PM

Subject: Fwd: requests for Santa Cruz Mtns

To: <SCSCRoundtable@gmail.com>

Dear Roundtable,

I just wanted to re-send our email below because I did not see it in either of the recent agenda packets.

I watched the 9/07/2021 special meeting about the Ad Hoc committee work today, and want to further echo the comments of the members of the public who attended in thanking you for the integrity and hard work you bring to helping the region address the overwhelming impact of airplane noise.

Jane Manning

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jane Manning < >

Date: Mon, Jul 26, 2021 at 5:16 PM Subject: requests for Santa Cruz Mtns To: <SCSCRoundtable@gmail.com>

July 26, 2021

Dear SCSC Round Table members,

We participated in the recent FAA Northern CA Airspace public workshop about airplane noise impacts. It was discouraging for so many reasons. The FAA's selectivity with respect to facts aside, it was disappointing because it glazed over the lack of progress on noise mitigation. It felt like the workshop's main goal was to close out the Select Committee process.

We hope, however, that it presents a fresh opportunity for the Roundtable to generate new ideas that the FAA can work on. This letter is to respectfully request:

- 1. new solutions on flight paths coming out of the SCSCRT Technical working group, potentially in coordination with the SFO RT TWG.
- 2. specifically, do not overlook our geographic area, which is hopelessly overlooked by the FAA. The Skyline area of the Santa Cruz Mtns between upper Boulder Creek and Saratoga/Los Gatos experiences HUNDREDS of overflights per day on SERFR, BRIXX, the SJC in- and outbound Hawaii routes, and the STIKK departure procedure. The June 17 BRIXX change, by the way, is much noisier for us because it now tracks along the upper west flank of Skyline ridge after JILNA (now the final waypoint), rather than on top of the ridge... a truly missed opportunity because of public exclusion: slightly further west would have been higher AGL over an unpopulated canyon. Additionally, planes on STIKK were ignored by the FAA after the GOBBS waypoint: they are under full power over Skyline, where their roar lasts 3 - 5 minutes. We are located at the intersection of all of these routes, so it is no exaggeration that the impact is very similar to living close to the major airports!

The toll from hundreds of overflights is truly emotional. We've written the FAA and the airports, both of which responded with various forms of denial. Our county supervisor is among the people undermining the Select Committee recommendations and the SCSCRT. Therefore, we support and depend on the SCSCRT to help continue pushing for improvements, however slight and hard-won. We ARE lobbying the County of Santa Cruz to re-join the Roundtable.

Thank you

Jane Manning and Denis De Ceuster Los Gatos 95033

From: SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

Attachments: 2021-09-16 SCSC RT termination of fiscal sponsorship final signed.pdf

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Andi Jordan <andi@citiesassociation.org>

Date: Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 9:23 AM

Subject: Cities Association Fiscal Sponsorship of the SCSC Roundtable

To: Mary-Lynne Bernald (mlbernald@saratoga.ca.us, SCSC Roundtable

<scscroundtable@gmail.com>

Cc: Roundtable Members/Alternates

Good morning, Chairperson Bernald:

Please see the attached letter from the Cities Association regarding the fiscal sponsorship of the SCSC Roundtable.

My best,

~Andi

Andi Jordan

she | hers

Executive Director

Cities Association of Santa Clara County

PO Box 3144

Los Altos, CA 94024

408.766.9534

Connect with the Cities Association of Santa Clara County

<u>LinkedIn</u> | <u>Facebook</u> | <u>Twitter</u> | <u>website</u>



P.O. Box 3144 Los Altos, CA 94024 https://citiesassociation.org 408-766-9534

September 16, 2021

Honorable Mary-Lynne Bernald SCSC Roundtable PO Box 3144 Los Altos, CA 94024

VIA electronic mail

RE: Notice of Termination of Fiscal Sponsorship of the Santa Clara/Santa Cruz Roundtable (SCSC Roundtable)

Dear Chairperson Bernald:

Since July 1, 2017, The Cities Association of Santa Clara County (CASCC) has championed and supported the goals and objectives of the SCSC Roundtable by establishing the SCSC Roundtable as a venue for the community to engage with the FAA. We continue to believe that a regional approach to finding solutions regarding aircraft noise and serving the South Bay and Santa Cruz County is a worthwhile endeavor.

At its September 9, 2021 meeting, the CASCC Board of Directors approved the following motion: "Cities Association is to withdraw as Fiscal Sponsor and determine process and timeline with an end date of December 31, 2021."

The CASCC will do everything in its power to ensure a smooth transition for the SCSC Roundtable. On behalf of the CASCC, we request that the SCSC Roundtable:

- Reimburse the CASCC for all costs incurred for the Roundtable and spent through December 31, 2021 (invoices to be sent under separate cover).
- Pass a budget in order for dues and assessments to be invoiced.
- Pass a resolution detailing where any remaining funds should be sent. This resolution should be sent to the CASCC by December 1, 2021 in order to facilitate a smooth transition.
- CASCC will not provide any financial services or support on behalf of the SCSC Roundtable after December 31, 2021 with the exception of the balance of any funds held being transferred to the successor fiscal sponsor. If no direction is provided, the funds will be distributed to the member jurisdictions according to the dues structure.

We appreciate your efforts to provide a venue for collaboration and resolution regarding aircraft noise and wish the SCSC Roundtable much success.

Cities Association of Santa Clara County Notice of Termination of Fiscal Sponsorship of the SCSC Roundtable September 16, 2021 Page 2 of 2

Our best,

Marico Sayoc

President

Mayor, Town of Los Gatos

Chappie Jones

1st Vice President

Vice-Mayor, City of San José

cc: Hon. Anna Eshoo, 18th Congressional District

Hon. Ro Khanna, 17th Congressional District

Hon. Jimmy Panetta, 20th Congressional District

Hon. Liang Chao, Cupertino

Hon. Hung Wei, Cupertino

Greg Larson, Interim City Manager, Cupertino

Hon. Anita Enander, Los Altos

Hon. Sally Meadows, Los Altos

Gabriel Engeland, City Manager, Los Altos

Hon. Stan Mok, Los Altos Hills

Hon. Lisa Schmidt, Los Altos Hills

Carl Cahill, City Manager, Los Altos Hills

Hon. Allison Hicks, Mountain View

Hon. Lisa Matichak, Mountain View

Kimbra McCarthy, City Manager, Mountain View

Hon. Greer Stone, Palo Alto

Hon. Lydia Kou, Palo Alto

Ed Shikada, City Manager, Palo Alto

Hon. Kathy Watanabe, Santa Clara

Hon. Raj Chahal, Santa Clara

Deanna Santana, City Manager, Santa Clara

Hon. Tina Walia, Saratoga

James Lindsay, City Manager, Saratoga

Hon. Glenn Hendricks, Sunnyvale

Hon. Omar Din, Sunnyvale

Kent Steffens, City Manager, Sunnyvale

Hon. Mike Wasserman, Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors

Steve Preminger, Office of the County Executive, Santa Clara County

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: notify@proudcity.com>

Date: Thu, Oct 7, 2021 at 8:22 AM

Subject: New submission from Contact us - SCSC Roundtable

To: <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

Name

Cynthia Greenblatt

Email

Phone

Subject

SCSC Roundtable

Message

Where can the videos of the first SCSC Roundtable meetings be viewed?

From: SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

Attachments: 2Q 2021 Noise Abatement Report.pdf; 10-20-2021 Forum Meeting Agenda (public

sign-in).pdf; DRAFT Minutes 7-21-2021 Forum Mtg.pdf

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Mike McClintock < glomike65@aol.com >

Date: Fri, Oct 8, 2021 at 1:54 PM

Subject: Agenda package for 10/20 Forum meeting

To: Mike McClintock <glomike65@aol.com>

Cc: <u>irichardson@portoakland.com</u> < <u>irichardson@portoakland.com</u>>

Good afternoon:

Attached are the agenda materials for the October 20, 2021 Forum meeting.

Login information for the virtual meeting is included on the back of the agenda.

Please contact me if any questions, or if you would like more information.

In the meantime, enjoy your weekend.

Mike McClintock Forum Facilitator 415-203-9097

Attachments:

Oakland Airport-Community Noise Management Forum - Agenda and materials https://flyquietoak.com/

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Brian Anderson** < >

Date: Sat, Oct 9, 2021 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Serfr flight path

To: <<u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u>>, <<u>Jimmy.Panetta@mail.house.gov</u>>, <<u>scscroundtable@gmail.com</u>>

Cc: <saveourskiessc@gmail.com>

Hello all, my house is directly over this somewhat new flight path. I get the full sound of the air brakes as they have to descend, and drop elevation very quickly.

I used to call this a new flight path, but it's gotten so lost in red tape, that I am fearing our political leaders and the faa have just worn us out.

I know that there are still a lot of homes impacted by this Restless noise, that makes us sleep with our windows and doors shut tight, on summer night when you want the cool air in. And then every time you go outside, there's a jet screaming in your ear.

Living in the Santa Cruz mountains, we have to deal with all the country problems of tree-fall, predators grabbing our pets, Gophers, rats, flooding, landslides, wildfire, and now the city problem of noise pollution.

Please get back to work and get this flight path to a place where it won't bother people so much.

Cheers,

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Kay Mitchell < >

Date: Sat, Oct 9, 2021 at 3:15 PM

Subject: Save our skies

To: <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

The noise is worse than ever! (Frequency and braking) Please follow through with what has been discussed and get this problem solved. It's not going away!

Kay Mitchell

Sent from my iPad

From: SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: < >

Date: Sat, Oct 9, 2021 at 12:27 PM

Subject: MAD AS HELL

To: scscroundtable@gmail.com>

F		
Fro	m:	

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **The Lynch** <

Date: Sat, Oct 9, 2021 at 11:04 AM Subject: SAVE OUR SKIES- NOW!!

To: <u>Jimmy.Panetta@mail.house.gov</u> < <u>Jimmy.Panetta@mail.house.gov</u>>, <u>scscroundtable@gmail.com</u>

>

<scscroundtable@gmail.com>

Please go back to the table with the FAA asap and finish getting the BSR Overlay implemented. No delay, no starting over! The work is not done on this part of the process.

We need your immediate involvement and advocacy in this matter.

WHO decided that all 9 of the SC report BSR Overlay recommendation criteria be mandatory requirements? The FAA? Our Congressional Reps?

I support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR Overlay that the FAA has said is completed. I believe this design already appropriately meets the SC recommendations.

Do you support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR Overlay that the FAA has said is completed. I need to know where you stand on this.

You must do more than just be "very disappointed" and "support our continuing efforts". That's not good enough. I don't want to start over from the beginning. Instead, they need to finish the job and get a BSR overlay in place as they have long committed to do.

What is your plan for getting a BSR overlay implemented asap?

I request that Anna Eshoo and Jimmy Panetta each hold a town hall in October to discuss their plan.

The government has stolen what we all worked hard for, a home with peace and quiet.

This is very unfair and upsetting.

Sincerely,

David Lynch

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Marti Ainsworth < >

Date: Sun, Oct 10, 2021 at 8:32 AM

Subject: Plane noise

To: Representative Anna G. Eshoo <<u>ca18aeima@mail.house.gov</u>> Cc: Jimmy.Panetta@mail.house.gov <<u>Jimmy.Panetta@mail.house.gov</u>>

As I sat composing this email at 5:31 this morning a loud, horrible flight K41920 screamed over our heads. This begins another day of ceaseless flight noise, interrupting our sleep, our time outside, our mental health. I am so disappointed in the response from our representatives-basically none. I can't count how many times I have written and called Ms. Eshoo and sent articles about the health effects of plane noise and had no response. Our supervisor lives in another area and he is delighted the planes don't fly over his area so he doesn't engage at all in this issue.

We are asking that the Round Table be reinstated with a representative from unincorporated Santa Cruz County who has voting power. We are asking that they support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR overlay the FAA has said is completed. We are asking them to engage with us and get a plan in action to ameliorate this situation.

I read Ms. Eshoo's and Ms. Spier's weekly newsletters. They are amazing to me--dealing with Afghanistan, the border, endless COVID information. How about those of us who suffer (yes really--come live here for a day) with a constant bombardment of screeching noise--every two minutes) in your districts!!! I moved up here 44 years ago. I can't believe to this day the powers that be changed the flight path without ANY studies, any warning, any anything.

How about one of your town halls about this plane noise? We did our part. You need to do yours. I'm totally disenchanted with our local government. And the kicker for me was when Ms. Eshoo got all upset about loud TV commercials. How about you can turn the TV off--we can't turn these planes off. And that FAA infomercial you had in July was a joke.

In total frustration. Marti Ainsworth and Tom Reilly

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Lisa Lee < >

Date: Mon, Oct 11, 2021 at 9:09 AM

Subject: Panetta/Leopold promises - Dec 2017

To: jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov < jimmy.panetta@mail.house.gov >

Cc: scscroundtable@gmail.com, saveourskiessc@gmail.com, cA18AEima@mail.house.gov ca18aeima@mail.house.gov

Here we are...4 years after the our representatives met with us at Bruno's in Scotts Valley to address the ongoing jet noise inflicted upon their constituents.

We were told that the Select Committees recommendations would be implemented by the FAA near August 2018.

Three years later, we're still subjected to daily, hourly, and often minutes between low-flying jets directly over our homes. Homes that were purchased for the quiet and solitude of our area.

What has this done to our well-being?

What has this done to our property values?

What has this done to our trust in our Representatives?

Obliviously, these are rhetorical. And serious.

Would our Representatives choose to have us move to save our peace and sanity?

Decidedly, not a rhetorical question.

We want to hear something from our Representatives other than that your are "very disappointed".

From where we sit and suffer, that is a gross understatement.

Lisa Lee Soquel

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Bob Ciotti** <

Date: Sun, Oct 10, 2021 at 8:32 AM

Subject: Select Committee on South Bay Arrivals Action

To: <a20jpima@mail.house.gov>, <a218AEima@mail.house.gov>, <scscroundtable@gmail.com>, Manu Koenig

<manu.koenig@santacruzcounty.us>
Cc: <saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com>

After unprecedented public engagement - the Select Committee on South Bay Arrivals gave the FAA recommendations to begin the

process of change. That process created a new arrival to overlay historical routes and to reduce impacts on the community. But,

the ball has been dropped. The FAA prepared an overlay, but somehow it seems like political will to follow though has since been

lost. So many years have passed and many changes in leadership. But the Committees recommendations stand. Its time to re-group and

for our political leaders to explain why the 1000's of hours of efforts around change were dismissed - as it never happened.

Our political leadership needs to hold a public meeting to explain why and how this happened and how we get the process moving again.

I look forward to hearing how we can continue the effort of change - to change the way the FAA impacts communities. Your help is desperately needed.

bob ciotti

santa cruz, ca, 95065

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Mark** < >
Date: Mon, Oct 11, 2021 at 9:37 PM

Subject: We need help and action to right a wrong!

To: ca18aeima@mail.house.gov <ca18aeima@mail.house.gov>

Cc: scscroundtable@gmail.com, saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com

<saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com>

Dear Congresswoman Eshoo:

I want to thank you for the many hours you have spent in the effort to have the FAA return northbound flights to SFO to the prior ground track (Big Sur, or BGSUR) that was flown safely and was well accepted for over 30 years. I'm sure you share my surprise and outrage that the FAA has chosen to not abide by the Select Committee's overarching recommendation to return the SERFR approach to the prior ground track, with NextGen requirements incorporated.

What went wrong in the FAA? Many people have identified their primary error as well as a great irony that I would like you to discuss with the FAA. The following are points that should be emphasized:

- Clearly, the FAA has tragically misinterpreted the Select Committee's main intention when the Committee wrote the Report. The FAA came to regard all nine **recommendations** made in the Report as absolute "conditions" or "demands". They inexplicably came to regard what the report clearly calls "recommendations" to be a laundry list of "conditions" that must *all* be met, **something the Select Committee never intended**.
- The fact that all SC members unanimously voted in favor of the eight sub-recommendations indicates that they indeed intended them as aspirations, not demands. They were aware that any "demand" deemed non-feasible would sink the entire package. The recommendations were to be regarded as a "wish list" of items that they all agreed would be worthwhile, if attainable, but not to the detriment of achieving the overarching goal of returning SERFR arrivals to the BGSUR track, with OPD and other NextGen improvements applied.
- The great irony but most galling injustice is the fact that the nine recommendations included in the Report were all written under the advisement of, and in consultation with, the FAA. The members of the SC, not being FAA technicians, absolutely depended on the guidance of Western Regional Director of the FAA at the time, Glen Martin. Director Martin basically wrote the nine recommendations approved by the SC, as the SC wanted to include only recommendations that Mr. Martin perceived as feasible under FAA criteria at that time. Ironically and perversely, it appears that it is some of these FAA-advised technical sub-recommendations, such as altitude boundaries and waypoints, that the FAA has now deemed not feasible! And they appear to have been unwilling to modify them to work in harmony with more-recent changes in the metroplex design.
- We support and applaud the FAA's commitment to safety, and in no way wish to undermine that. But surely the FAA can understand that the eight sub-recommendations were meant to be flexible and could be modified as necessary. Director Martin in 2016 could not have foreseen the nature of subsequent modifications the FAA found necessary to complete the Bay Area metroplex airspace redesign. If he could have, for instance, he would have suggested a 10,000' altitude floor when crossing the coastline, not 12,500, and the Select Committee would have made that recommendation instead. Mystifyingly, though, the FAA doggedly pursued an effort to make *all of* the 2016 recommendations work with those later modifications. It is no surprise that effort failed.

Fortunately, the FAA indicated that they are open to reconsidering returning the route to the BGSUR overlay, but suggested we approach them through the local Roundtable group. We feel that such a path toward change is unwieldy and could become just as contentious and divisive as was the discussion that began over six years ago. The solution is far more simple: the FAA must re-examine the SC's Report with the correct definition of "recommendations" in mind, and consider each recommendation individually. They should exercise the freedom to modify or reject any of the 8 sub-recommendations as needed to fulfill safety requirements, while retaining the primary Select Committee and community goal of returning SERFR to a BGSUR overlay.

Congresswoman Eshoo, I and thousands of others respectfully request your immediate involvement and strong advocacy in this matter. As you well know, thousands of households, your constituents, have suffered through six-plus years of aircraft noise that none of us saw coming. And thousands of man-hours have been spent by the Select Committee, members of the community and the FAA toward achieving fulfillment of the long-desired and fought-for return of SERFR to the BGSUR ground track. Community members were fully anticipating that this would be approved. Little did we know that the FAA would misinterpret the Report so egregiously and essentially "throw the baby out with the bathwater". **Please do not allow this injustice to stand.**

So can your constituents count on you to be the strong advocate we now need? Or will all the hard work the Select Committee performed and approved with a super-majority vote go to waste due to a beurocratic mistake within the FAA? *I would like to know what specific actions you intend to take on our behalf.* Congresswoman Eshoo, we are counting on you! Ideally, since this matter is of utmost importance to so many households, you will hold a town hall meeting to discuss this later this month.

Thank you for your continued involvement in this important matter, and we appreciate your fighting to urge the FAA's immediate reexamination of the Select Committee Report in light of the Select Committee's actual intentions, and with the correct definition of the word "recommendation"!

Sincerely,

Mark Joiner Santa Cruz

rom:	SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com></scscroundtable@gmail.com>
------	---

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet		
Forwarded message		
From: Mark <	>	
Date: Mon, Oct 11, 2021 at 9:33	2 PM	
Subject: We need help and acti	on to right a wrong!	
To: ca20jpima@mail.house.gov		
Cc: scscroundtable@gmail.com	<scscroundtable@gmail.com>, .</scscroundtable@gmail.com>	
saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.c	om <saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com> Mark <</saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com>	

Dear Congressman Panetta:

I want to thank you for the many hours you have spent in the effort to have the FAA return northbound flights to SFO to the prior ground track (Big Sur, or BGSUR) that was flown safely and was well accepted for over 30 years. I'm sure you share my surprise and outrage that the FAA has chosen to not abide by the Select Committee's overarching recommendation to return the SERFR approach to the prior ground track, with NextGen requirements incorporated.

What went wrong in the FAA? Many people have identified their primary error as well as a great irony that I would like you to discuss with the FAA. The following are points that should be emphasized:

- Clearly, the FAA has tragically misinterpreted the Select Committee's main intention when the Committee wrote the Report. The FAA came to regard all nine **recommendations** made in the Report as absolute "conditions" or "demands". They inexplicably came to regard what the report clearly calls "recommendations" to be a laundry list of "conditions" that must *all* be met, **something the Select Committee never intended**.
- The fact that all SC members unanimously voted in favor of the eight sub-recommendations indicates that they indeed <u>intended them as aspirations</u>, <u>not demands</u>. They were aware that any "demand" deemed non-feasible would sink the entire package. The recommendations were to be regarded as a "wish list" of items that they all agreed would be worthwhile, if attainable, but not to the detriment of achieving the overarching goal of returning SERFR arrivals to the BGSUR track, with OPD and other NextGen improvements applied.
- The great irony but most galling injustice is the fact that the nine recommendations included in the Report were all written under the advisement of, and in consultation with, the FAA. The members of the SC, not being FAA technicians, absolutely depended on the guidance of Western Regional Director of the FAA at the time, Glen Martin. Director Martin basically wrote the nine recommendations approved by the SC, as the SC wanted to include only recommendations that Mr. Martin perceived as feasible under FAA criteria at that time. Ironically and perversely, it appears that it is some of these FAA-advised technical sub-recommendations, such as altitude boundaries and waypoints, that the FAA has now deemed not feasible! And they appear to have been unwilling to modify them to work in harmony with more-recent changes in the metroplex design.
- We support and applaud the FAA's commitment to safety, and in no way wish to undermine that. But surely the FAA can understand that the eight sub-recommendations were meant to be flexible and could be modified as necessary. Director Martin in 2016 could not have foreseen the nature of subsequent modifications the FAA found necessary to complete the Bay Area metroplex airspace redesign. If he could have, for instance, he would have suggested a 10,000' altitude floor when crossing the coastline, not 12,500, and the Select Committee would have made that recommendation instead. Mystifyingly, though, the FAA doggedly pursued an effort to make *all* of the 2016 recommendations work with those later modifications. It is no surprise that effort failed.

Fortunately, the FAA indicated that they are open to reconsidering returning the route to the BGSUR overlay, but suggested we approach them through the local Roundtable group. We feel that such a path toward change is unwieldy and could become just as contentious and divisive as was the discussion that began over six years ago. The solution is far more simple: the FAA must re-examine the SC's Report with the correct definition of "recommendations" in mind, and consider each recommendation individually. They should exercise the freedom to modify or reject any of the 8 sub-recommendations as needed to fulfill safety requirements, while retaining the primary Select Committee and community goal of returning SERFR to a BGSUR overlay.

Congressman Panetta, I and thousands of others respectfully request your immediate involvement and strong advocacy in this matter. As you well know, thousands of households, your constituents, have suffered through six-plus years of aircraft noise that none of us saw coming. And thousands of man-hours have been spent by the Select Committee, members of the community and the FAA toward achieving fulfillment of the long-desired and fought-for return of SERFR to the BGSUR ground track. Community members were fully anticipating that this would be approved. Little did we know that the FAA would misinterpret the Report so egregiously and essentially "throw the baby out with the bathwater". **Please do not allow this injustice to stand.**

So can your constituents count on you to be the strong advocate we now need? Or will all the hard work the Select Committee performed and approved with a super-majority vote go to waste due to a beurocratic mistake within the FAA? *I would like to know what specific actions you intend to take on our behalf.* Congressman Panetta, we are counting on you! Ideally, since this matter is of utmost importance to so many households, you will hold a town hall meeting to discuss this later this month.

Thank you for your continued involvement in this important matter, and we appreciate your fighting to urge the FAA's immediate reexamination of the Select Committee Report in light of the Select Committee's actual intentions, and with the correct definition of the word "recommendation"!

Sincerely,

Mark Joiner Santa Cruz

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: James Kleck

Date: Mon, Oct 11, 2021 at 12:32 PM Subject: Jet Noise over our Homes To: <scscroundtable@gmail.com

Cc: Vicki Miller <SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com>

I am extremely angry that we still have our peace interrupted and get woken up at night by jets flying low over our home. Noise is a **health issue!** This was supposed to be resolved by the Select Committee recommendations, but the FAA has not made the changes required by that process. The FAA **lied** to us when they originally implemented the new flight paths, saying there would be "no appreciable effect" from the changes, and then proceeded to disrupt the lives of hundreds if not thousands of citizens. The FAA did not do a complete environmental analysis. The FAA in fact channeled Donald Trump in valuing commerce over the health and well being of citizens.

The latest news indicates that the FAA is not returning SERFR to its original BSR ground track, and in fact appears to be doing nothing, because the FAA could not meet 3 of the 9 criteria put forth by the Select Committee. But in fact the final output of that committee included a severability clause. This clause in effect states that if the FAA can not for some reason meet any of the criteria it must still meet the rest of the criteria. I remember this motion was made by the Palo Alto representative and was passed at the end of the last Select Committee meeting.

I expect action from you on this, not words:

- support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR Overlay that the FAA has said is completed
- tell your constituents what you are **doing** on this issue
- hold a town hall in October to discuss your plans on this issue

Your constituent, James Kleck

Santa Cruz, CA

--

The means is the ends in the process of becoming. - Mahatma Gandhi

It is our choices, Harry, that show what we truly are, far more than our abilities. - Albus Dumbledore

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -----

From: MARK JOINER <

Date: Tue, Oct 12, 2021 at 7:23 AM

Subject: NEED Action!

To: <<u>ca20jpima@mail.house.gov</u>>

Cc: <scscroundtable@gmail.com>, saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com <saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com>

No message.

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Debby J** < >

Date: Thu, Oct 14, 2021 at 11:49 AM Subject: The jets are killing us

To: <<u>ca20jpima@mail.house.gov</u>>, <<u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u>>, <<u>scscroundtable@gmail.com</u>>, Official Save Our

Skies Santa Cruz <SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com>

Greetings from Happy Valley, Santa Cruz

Please bear with this long email. I have lived far, far too long with jet noise nearly 24/7 so I expect you to bear with, and read, this entire email.

In 1990, my husband and I moved to the upper west side of Santa Cruz to a very quiet neighborhood. We lived there for 10 years. I mention this because we apparently (as we later learned) lived under a jet path called BSR. At that time, we didn't hear nor see any "jets" (we called them planes back in those days--what was a jet?).

In 2000, we purchased a modest home in Happy Valley. It was a dream for us: we could see the stars at night, fall asleep to crickets chirping in the summer, hear the Great Horned owls, see coyotes and deer during the day, and even an occasional bobcat. We were surrounded by beautiful redwood trees. The wind sounds from those trees was heaven. This location, in nature, was where we wanted to be and where we planned to live out the rest of our lives. The upkeep of a home in the country (think: rats, mice), a well, a septic system, loss of electricity, etc., were inconveniences we chose to live with.

Do you have those memories when something happened that is forever cemented in your brain? The Saturday, or maybe it was Sunday of March 2015 is forever cemented in my brain. On that day, my husband and I were standing on our patio when we heard, then watched, a loud plane (yeah, still didn't know about jets then) fly directly over our patio, and it was very low. We looked at each other with jaws open and said, "What was THAT?"

Since then, as you well know (or do you?), it has become a nightmare. One small, recent example: from October 4th through October 9th of this year, I recorded a total of 260 jets passing over my home. That week, there were far too many jets flying below 10,000 feet. Another broken promise. ("Jets will not fly below 10,000 feet.") And let's not forget the screeching brakes. I recorded jets as low as 8,000'. I have the data (I kept it) if you do not believe me. That week was the first time I felt on the brink of insanity. I do not say that lightly. The expectation of yet another jet was torture, especially when trying to fall asleep. Every single human being requires sleep. That is a human right.

What happens to my brain every day that I am "distracted" and need to record a jet passing overhead? To what end? Lack of sleep, interruptions. . . dementia in my future? For this? For someone to stuff their pockets with money? It is not right.

I attended as many of the meetings as I could in Palo Alto with the committee and I appreciate so many people coming together to resolve this situation. My gas money, my time off work, my TIME. We were told after hours and hours of talks, that the jet path would be moved back to BSR. Hence my mention in the first paragraph: I never heard one "plane" while living on the upper west side of Santa Cruz for 10 years.

Did the FAA perform any environmental studies before implementing this path? Because if they did, because if they lived here, they would never have made that change.

I no longer want to hear any more mentions of "we are doing what we can." <u>You are not doing enough</u>. THIS MUST STOP.

I feel exhausted, stressed. I feel unheard, irrelevant. What is happening to our country? Where are the morals we once had? Who cares about people anymore?

I look forward to, and expect some movement on this to hold the FAA accountable. <u>NOW</u>. Not another six-year promise.

Debby Joyce

Santa Cruz CA 95065

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **FREDRIC WELLS** <

Date: Tue, Oct 12, 2021 at 5:12 PM

Subject: jet noise & FAA

To: ca20jpima@mail.house.gov <ca20jpima@mail.house.gov>

Cc: scscroundtable@gmail.com, saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com

>

<saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com>

Dear Rep. Jimmy Panetta,

We are supremely disappointed that the FAA did not move the flight path to the finished BSR overlay design. The FAA says it's a combination of:

- (1) Safety issues that they will not explain/disclose to the public and
- (2) Our elected representatives are now insisting that all 9 *recommendations* of the previous select committee must be met, changing them to *requirements*. This was never the select committee's intention, they were only recommendations that they wished to be included in the new BSR flight path in a safe manner.

You, as one of our elected representatives, must take the appropriate action to see that the public at a minimum receives **transparency** about why the BSR flight path was not implemented:

- (1) What are the safety issues that cannot be overcome?
- (2) Who insisted that the select committee recommendations become requirements?

What are **YOU** doing to right this wrong? We have patiently waited with unnecessary jet noise for years for the FAA to design and implement the new BSR flight path, only to be told by the FAA this past year that it can't be done "for safety reasons" that cannot be explained, and also due the fact that our elected reps no longer support it because the recommendations were changed to requirements. Wait, what? This is supposed to pass as a valid explanation?

Please, we need our elected reps to do better than this. The FAA says they did a BSR flight path analysis...where is it? Why can't we see it? And who or what stopped the implementation? Why is this information a secret? The ball is in your court, and we want answers.

We need your help: Hold a Town Hall in October to address these issues, along with Rep. Anna Eschoo – it would go a long way to restore what feels like broken promises and our trust in our elected representatives.

Sincerely, Gloria Wells and family Soquel

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **SOS Santa Cruz** <<u>saveourskiessc@gmail.com</u>>

Date: Sat, Oct 16, 2021 at 1:20 PM

Subject:

To: <ca20jpima@mail.house.gov>, CA18AEima@mail.house.gov <CA18AEima@mail.house.gov>,

<scscroundtable@gmail.com>

No message

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -----

From: ellen smith < >

Date: Sun, Oct 17, 2021 at 5:35 PM

Subject: Fw: Jet Noise

To: ca20jpima@mail.house.gov <ca20jpima@mail.house.gov>, Representative Anna G. Eshoo

<ca18aeima@mail.house.gov>, scscroundtable@gmail.com <scscroundtable@gmail.com>, Official Save Our Skies Santa

Cruz < saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com >

To All of You that This Concerns.

Our SO call representatives, since 2015 have, like SO many politicians, just kick the can down the road! Your constituents are "Extremely Disappointed" in your useless positions. As you can read below, I'm not going to apologize for my language. You, our so called elected officials are responsible for getting this already fully implemented, safe design of the BSR Overlay that the FAA says is completed. WTF are you waiting for, your salaries have been paid!

I suggest that Save Our Skies have a front page in the Mercury News, Press Banner, Good Times, Metro & any other pertinent papers & ask these so called representatives where we are now from 2015 to 2021, close to 2022!!!

We pay these people to get a job done, well it hasn't happened yet!

Again I will reiterate, I would fire all of you today & put term limits on your job! You had the PERFECT OPPORTUNITY to work with the FAA via ZOOM, when during Covid, traffic was extremely light!

So this issue doesn't seem important to any of our representatives, because they get their paycheck & chitchat in Washington or California, oh where shall we have drinks & dinner!

Put this email in all the News papers & get people MAD that our representatives are NOT Doing what their constituents requested. People became knowledgeable, attended meetings, created apps to document flight paths, protested on the streets.

Do You Hear our voices! Get the job done!

Sick of jet noise. Ellen Smith

Please use the newspapers like some I've kept since 2015, 2016. Will never vote for useless people again, term limits please!

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: ellen smith <

To: Representative Anna G. Eshoo <<u>ca18aeima@mail.house.gov</u>>; <u>jimmypanettaforcongress@gmail.com</u> <<u>jimmypanettaforcongress@gmail.com</u>>; Official Save Our Skies Santa Cruz <<u>saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com</u>>

Sent: Wednesday, October 6, 2021, 04:51:13 PM PDT

Subject: Jet Noise

I want to congratulate you both on a job you both have not done. Since 2015 my husband & I have written letters, attended many meetings & stood alongside other people protesting the jet noise in our supposedly quiet mountain. You had a window of opportunity in the first stage of the pandemic. Air devoid of jets as well as streets

You sat on your ass & didn't act & take this opportunity to go back to the original flight path! Your fired!!

California is a shit hole for jet noise, homeless, & garbage,

Sanctuary state for more homeless & garbage & jet noise.

Once a beautiful state, now another shit hole.

It's disgusting, & you both, Feinstein & Newsom & previous governors/politicians are to blame.

Shame on all of you for kicking the can down the road.

I only have ONE WORD for all of you

USELESS!

I would have fired your asses years ago. Take your FN retirement, well you know where it should go!

Ellen & Ken Smith

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: ellen smith < >

Date: Mon, Oct 18, 2021 at 8:15 AM

Subject: Re: Thank you for your email. Re: Fw: Jet Noise

To: SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>, Official Save Our Skies Santa Cruz

<saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com>

I sure as hell hope so!!!!

On Sunday, October 17, 2021, 05:35:41 PM PDT, SCSC Roundtable scscroundtable@gmail.com> wrote:

Thank you for contacting the SC|SC Roundtable. Please be assured that your communication will be reviewed by the appropriate person. Citizen/resident communications will be distributed to SC|SC Roundtable Members.

SC|SC Roundtable

Website: https://scscroundtable.org

Twitter: @scscroundtable

Facebook: SCSCroundtable

--

SC | SC Roundtable

https://scscroundtable.org

Video recordings of all meetings can be found on the SCSC Roundtable website below: https://scscroundtable.org/meetings/

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Neil Goldstein <

Date: Mon, Oct 18, 2021 at 12:02 PM

Subject: Intolerable jet noise - failure of the Select Committee and congress

To: Congressman Jimmy Panetta <a20jpima@mail.house.gov>, <scscroundtable@gmail.com>, Vicki Miller

<SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com>

Representative Panetta,

I heard your speech the other day. You spoke of great plans for the future and your achievements. But you forgot to list your failures. Your failure to interact properly with the FAA has cost us our health, our sleep, our belief in our representatives and our belief in democracy in this country.

Somehow you have turned our 'victory' with the select committee into abject failure. It is past time for you to step up and do what you told us you would do. STOP THE JET NOISE. Jets wake us every morning. The Scream of the speed brakes reminds us of your lies. Jet noise shatters our days as your promises were shattered. The cargo jets that shake our house repeatedly after midnight Roar at us that we were fools to believe in you, or in the democratic process that you no longer believe in.

I'm receiving endless communications from the Democratic Party about your grand new plans, but you can't even complete your old plans. They lie on the ground like a childs forgotten toys while we suffer from your failures.

You lied to us, you failed us and it is time for you to right the wrongs that you have directly caused through your actions and inactions.

Neil Goldstein Capitola, CA

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Neil Goldstein <

Date: Mon, Oct 18, 2021 at 11:54 AM

Subject: Intolerable jet noise - failure of the Select Committee and congress

To: <<u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u>>, <<u>scscroundtable@gmail.com</u>>

Cc: Vicki Miller < SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com >

Representative Eshoo,

You once told us to "know our power". Then you proved to us that we don't have any at all. Not as long as our representatives represent the rich and powerful above all others, as you do.

Your failure to interact properly with the FAA has cost us our health, our sleep, our belief in our representatives and our belief in democracy in this country.

Somehow you have turned our 'victory' with the select committee into abject failure. It is past time for you to step up and do what you told us you would do. STOP THE JET NOISE. Jets wake us every morning. The Scream of the speed brakes reminds us of your lies. Jet noise shatters our days as your promises were shattered. The cargo jets that shake our house repeatedly after midnight Roar at us that we were fools to believe in you, or in the democratic process that you no longer believe in.

I'm receiving endless communications from the Democratic Party about your grand new plans, but you can't even complete your old plans. They lie on the ground like a childs forgotten toys while we suffer from your failures.

You lied to us, you failed us and it is time for you to right the wrongs that you have directly caused through your actions and inactions.

Neil Goldstein Capitola, CA

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: ken smith < >

Date: Mon, Oct 18, 2021 at 9:33 AM

Subject: return to BSR

To: ca20jpima@mail.house.gov, ca20jpima@mail.house.gov), <a href="mailto:ca20jpima@mailto:ca20

Cc: Official Save Our Skies Santa Cruz < saveourskyssantacruz@gmail.com >

I do not understand the problem requiring the FAA to return to our historic flight path BSR AFTER they agreed it was feasible.

I am ask YOU my elected officials that I voted for to apply maximum pressure on these people

Its 6 years without relief from this JET NOISE

Please help me find peace and tranquility at my Santa Cruz Mountain home again

Sincerely

Ken Smith

Los Gatos, ca 95033

From:	SCSC Roundtable	<scscroundtab< th=""><th>ole@gmail.com></th></scscroundtab<>	ole@gmail.com>
-------	-----------------	---	----------------

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Diane Matlock** < >

Date: Tue, Oct 19, 2021 at 11:51 AM Subject: Re: FAA - SERFR - BSUR - HELP!

To: CA18AEima@mail.house.gov < CA18AEima@mail.house.gov >

Cc: ca20jpima@mail.house.gov <ca20jpima@mail.house.gov>, scscroundtable@gmail.com

<scscroundtable@gmail.com>, SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com <SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com>

Dear Congresswoman Eshoo,

On July 22, 2021 I wrote you the letter below. You responded you were also disappointed in the lack of progress with the FAA moving SERFR back to the BSUR flight path. Your recommendation was to work with the Santa Clara/Santa Cruz Roundtable to move forward. I forwarded the letter below to the Roundtable on July 24, 2021. I still have not gotten a response. I'm not even sure the Roundtable still exists, but I am sure they are powerless to get anything done. If our Congressional representatives can't get the FAA to act, how can we expect less senior officials to get them to act? Let's be honest, you have the power and authority to get the FAA to implement the solution, the Roundtable does not.

I would like to better understand why you are not willing to take the lead to push the FAA to move the flight path back. The FAA developed a solution to move the flight path back safely but for some reason, the FAA was advised not to implement it. Who advised them so late in the process to abandon an acceptable solution and why aren't you pushing for it?

Honestly, I feel defeated and woefully let down by our government. This is so wrong. Communities trusted the democratic process that was put in place with the Select Committee all those years ago. We trusted you, the FAA, and the process, only to have zero to show for it. We need answers, and action. Can we expect you to reengage or are you going to insist we work with a powerless roundtable that will get us nowhere?

I would be grateful for honest answers on your plan to help get the flight path returned to BSUR. The roundtable is not the answer. We should not have to start over. The FAA has the solution, we just need your leadership to get it done. I would also encourage you to hold a town hall as soon as possible to present your plan and to hear from your constituents.

Sincerely,
Diane Matlock

Dear Congresswoman Eshoo,

I attended both FAA Workshops this week. I was devastated to hear that SERFR will not be returned to the BSUR flight path. After six years of trusting the FAA, we were simply told that the Select Committee criteria could not be met due to safety issues. SIX YEARS! I feel the FAA gave us false hope and misled us into believing if we were patient, it would get fixed.

The FAA meetings were an insult to our intelligence. The Q&A sessions were weak and many questions went unanswered. Focusing on safety was a smoke screen. No one ever expected the FAA to put safety aside. Also, claiming

that all the criteria could not be met without explaining what criteria could be used to move the flight path back was unacceptable and lazy. How can the FAA spend six years on an issue and not come up with a solution or at least options? It was shocking, to say the least.

Moving forward, the FAA claims they are always willing to work with the Roundtables and communities to gather feedback and look at new solutions. Clearly, the process is broken. We spent six years working with the FAA and they did nothing. Nothing! The FAA also claimed they just need to understand 'intent' to work with communities. I believe the intent was clear: move SERFR back to the original BSUR flight path in a safe manner.

I am hoping you are as outraged as I am at the lack of progress. Is it reasonable to ask you to communicate the following to the FAA?

- 1. Please explain to the FAA that the intent is clear. Move SERFR back to BSUR so you can right the wrong that was done in 2015. It is unacceptable that SERFR is destroying people's quality of life while BSUR received virtually no complaints.
- 2. Direct the FAA to stop playing games by asking the Roundtables and communities to develop the criteria to make the change. The FAA has the expertise and therefore should come up with the criteria to move the flight path back under safe conditions. The criteria set by the Select Committee were recommendations; the intent was to move the flight path back. The FAA should develop the solution to meet the intent.
- 3. The FAA claims they did develop a safe operational procedure to move the flight path back, even though not under the exact criteria as the Select Committee recommendations. Why isn't that procedure being implemented?
- 4. If the FAA is unwilling to work on the plan to move the flight path back without given specific criteria by non experts, we will end up exactly where we are today--no where. If the FAA is not willing to move the flight path back under any circumstances, we need them to admit that now so people stop investing time (and hope) in a solution. We need honest answers as to whether there is still hope.

There was so much momentum and community involvement at the start of this nightmare. Trying to get that back when all trust is broken will be near impossible. Still, I'd appreciate your feedback on how the community and your office can move forward together to get the flight path moved as soon as possible. We desperately need your help and guidance.

Sincerely,

Diane Matlock

From:	SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com></scscroundtable@gmail.com>
-------	---

>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -----From: Mike McClintock <

Date: Wed, Oct 20, 2021 at 11:26 AM
Subject: OAK Terminal Modification Project
To: Mike McClintock < >

Forum members and interested parties:

FYI. At the beginning of tonight's meeting I will announce that the terminal modernization project is separate and apart from the role and responsibility of the Forum; and that all future questions and comments related to the terminal modernization and development project need to be directed to the terminal modernization website:

https://www.oaklandairport.com/terminaldevelopment/

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides opportunities for public comment on the proposed project and the project EIR. Port environmental staff are engaged in the execution of the CEQA process for the terminal project. The Forum will provide interested parties with the dates and times for such opportunities, but should not be expected to become engaged in this separate, state-mandated, proceeding.

Thank you for your consideration.

Mike McClintock, Facilitator Oakland Airport-Community Noise Management Forum 415-203-9097

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Nick Halmos < >

Date: Wed, Oct 20, 2021 at 9:50 AM

Subject: BSR Overlay- Jet Noise in Central Santa Cruz County

To: <ca20jpima@mail.house.gov>, <CA18AEima@mail.house.gov>, <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

Cc: <SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com>

Congressman Panetta,

I am a homeowner in Santa Cruz County and one of your constituents. I am also an instrument rated private pilot. I am writing to express my alarm at the state of affairs with regards to the apparent stall of the implementation of the BSR overlay procedure. Over the past number of years, our quality of life has been materially impacted by the dramatic increase in jet noise in our community as the result of the implementation of the SERFR1 Arrival to SFO. As a pilot, I am aware of how complicated these procedures are and the many variables that must be taken into account during their design. However, in this case, there does seem to be viable alternatives that satisfy public safety concerns, operational efficiency issues for air carriers, and the general welfare of the public living under these flight paths. I trust that you will continue to fight for a reasonable outcome to this problem as my elected representative.

Nick Halmos

From:	SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com></scscroundtable@gmail.com>
for 11/11/21 correspondence page	ket
Forwarded message From: David-Lasers George < Date: Fri, Oct 22, 2021 at 11:42 A Subject: Please Help, for the Sant To: <u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u> Cc: <u>scscroundtable@gmail.com</u> < <u>SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com</u>	> M a Cruz Mountains < <u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u> > scscroundtable@gmail.com>, SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com
Hello,	
I am a Los Gatos resident, living in	the Santa Cruz mountains.
•	n large part for the peace and quiet. The first night I moved in, I could not sleep led quickly and I have enjoyed it for decades. That peace is being threatened by The
Please work with the FAA to get t	heir BSR Overlay in place.
Insist that the FAA address the iss governmental decision.	ue as if they lived in a peaceful location that was suddenly degraded by an obnoxious
We the people elected you to hol roughshod over your constituents	d agencies such as the FAA accountable, and to not let the bullying agencies ride s.
Thank you for your time.	
Best Regards,	
David George	
Knowledge Engineer for PL45	, Lasers & Interferometers

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message -------From: **Ed Dee** < >

Date: Wed, Oct 20, 2021 at 12:27 PM

Subject: Your commitment to Us is waning horribly

To: <<u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u>>, <<u>ca20jpima@mail.house.gov</u>> Cc: <<u>scscroundtable@gmail.com</u>>, <<u>SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com</u>>

Hello, Elected Representatives.

This email is written the intent to ensure your integrity and motivate your action on a path toward a positive solution for all parties that you have previously publicly endorsed. This is your opportunity to stop 'bait and switch' politics, to stand up and stand out as heros and champions of the communities you represent.

Please go back to the table with the FAA asap and finish getting the BSR Overlay implemented. No delay, no starting over! Your work is not done on this part of the process.

Your constituents need your immediate involvement and advocacy in this matter.

Who decided that all 9 of the SC report BSR Overlay recommendation criteria be mandatory requirements? Was it:

- The FAA?
- Congressional Representatives?
- Others unknown?

I strongly encourage and support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR Overlay that the FAA has said is completed. I believe this design already appropriately meets the SC recommendations.

Do you support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR Overlay that the FAA has said is completed?

You MUST do more than just be "very disappointed" and "support continuing efforts". That's not good enough. We don't want to start over from the beginning. Please finish the job and get a BSR overlay in place as you have committed to do for so long.

Please detail, or summarize, the plan for getting a BSR overlay implemented asap. The buck is supposed to stop with you and we as your constituents have played by your rules, been highly cooperative, and done an extreme amount of unification and respectfully assisting a positive solution for all parties.

Please hold a town hall in October to discuss your plan.

From: SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

Attachments: HMMHPresentation_OAK_FAAReauth_ANCA_Oct2021_Final_20211020.pdf; OAK Forum

News Presentation 102021_FINAL.pdf

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Mike McClintock < >

Date: Wed, Oct 20, 2021 at 8:21 PM

Subject: Fwd: FAA Reauthorization PPT and Noise News PPT

To: Mike McClintock < >

All:

Attached are the Powerpoint presentations from our 10/20/2021 Forum Meeting from Jesse Richardson.

MM

Jesse Richardson, Jr.
Airport Noise Abatement and Environmental Affairs Supervisor
Oakland International Airport
Port of Oakland
One Airport Drive, Box 45
Oakland, CA 94621
Desk: (510) 563-3349

Noise Hotline: (510) 563-6463

FlyQuietOak.com | WhisperTrack.com

www.oaklandairport.com | www.portofoakland.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

The information contained in this email message may be privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you think that you have received this email message in error, please email the sender.

From:	SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com></scscroundtable@gmail.com>
for 11/11/21 correspondence pac	ket
Forwarded message From: David-Lasers George < Date: Fri, Oct 22, 2021 at 11:42 Al Subject: Please Help, for the Santa To: <u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u> Cc: <u>scscroundtable@gmail.com</u> < <u>SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com</u>	> M a Cruz Mountains < <u>CA18AEima@mail.house.gov</u> > scscroundtable@gmail.com , SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com
Hello,	
I am a Los Gatos resident, living ir	the Santa Cruz mountains.
•	large part for the peace and quiet. The first night I moved in, I could not sleep ed quickly and I have enjoyed it for decades. That peace is being threatened by The
Please work with the FAA to get t	heir BSR Overlay in place.
Insist that the FAA address the iss governmental decision.	ue as if they lived in a peaceful location that was suddenly degraded by an obnoxious
We the people elected you to hol roughshod over your constituents	d agencies such as the FAA accountable, and to not let the bullying agencies ride i.
Thank you for your time.	
Best Regards,	
David George	
Knowledge Engineer for PL45	, Lasers & Interferometers

From:

SCSC Roundtable <scscroundtable@gmail.com>

for 11/11/21 correspondence packet

----- Forwarded message ------

From: David Bernstein <

Date: Fri, Oct 29, 2021 at 12:16 PM

Subject: Air Traffic Noise - 6 years in - FAA decision to NOT transition SERFR - What are you doing for us about this??

To: CA18AEima@mail.house.gov <CA18AEima@mail.house.gov>, ca20jpima@mail.house.gov

<ca20jpima@mail.house.gov>

Cc: scscroundtable@gmail.com, SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com

<<u>SaveOurSkysSantaCruz@gmail.com</u>>

From Your Constituent, to Anna Eshoo and Jimmy Panetta -

I am writing to complain about Air Traffic Noise - 6 years in - the FAA decision to NOT transition SERFR. What are you doing for us about this??

The FAA declared, in their July 2021 presentation, that they would NOT transition SERFR to the designed replacement procedure

Please go back to the table with the FAA asap and finish getting the BSR Overlay implemented. No delay, no starting over! Your work is not done on this part of the process!

I need your immediate involvement and advocacy in this matter.

I support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR Overlay that the FAA has said is completed.

This design already appropriately meets the SC recommendations!

DO YOU support implementing the already fully designed and safe BSR Overlay that the FAA has said is completed? I really need to know where my Rep stands on this.

YOU need to do more than just be "very disappointed" and "support our continuing efforts". That's not good enough. I don't want to start over from the beginning. Instead, YOU need to finish the job and get a BSR overlay in place as you have long committed to do.

Please detail you plan for getting a BSR overlay implemented asap. The buck is supposed to stop with YOU.

I request both of you, Anna Eshoo and Jimmy Panetta, to each hold a town hall ASAP to discuss their plan.

Respectfully David Bernstein Scotts Valley Santa Cruz County, CA 95066