CITY OF SONOMA STORM DRAIN MASTER PLAN



MAY, 2011

Prepared for:

City of Sonoma Public Works Sonoma, CA

Prepared by:



2235 Mercury Way, Suite 150 Santa Rosa, CA 95407 (707) 523-1010

STORM DRAIN MASTER PLAN City of Sonoma

Job No, 02418-09-039

Prepared for: City of Sonoma Public Works Department Attn: Milenka Bates/Public Works Director #1 The Plaza Sonoma, CA 95476

Prepared by:





Rocky Vogler, PE

Carlos Diaz, PE

Reviewed by:



Rick Jorgensen, PE

WINZLER & KELLY

2235 Mercury Way Santa Rosa, CA 95407 (707) 523-1010

May, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXE	CUTIN	/E SUMMARYES-1
1.0	INT	RODUCTION1-1
	1.1	Purpose1-1
	1.2	Objectives and Scope
2.0	SUM	IMARY OF EXISTING STORM DRAIN SYSTEM2-1
	2.1	Existing Pipe Network
	2.2	Fryer Creek
	2.3	Nathanson Creek
	2.4	Sonoma Creek
	2.5	Additional Surface Drainage2-4
3.0	DAT	CA COLLECTION
	3.1	Aerial Survey
	3.2	Field Visits
	3.3	City Provided Data
	3.4	FEMA Data
4.0	HYL	DROLOGY
	4.1	Design Criteria and Assumptions
	4.2	Watershed Delineation4-1
	4.3	TR-55/SCS Model
5.0	HYL	DRAULICS
	5.1	Design Criteria and Assumptions
	5.2	MIKE URBAN
	5.3	MIKE 11
	5.4	MIKE 21
	5.5	MIKE FLOOD and Coupling with MIKE URBAN
	5.6	Boundary Conditions
	5.7	Calibration
6.0	MOI	DEL RESULTS
	6.1	Baseline Conditions
7.0	CAP	PITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
	7.1	Channel Improvement Projects
	7.2	Channel Improvement Model Results7-5
	7.3	Storm Drain System Improvement Projects7-10
	7.4	Final CIP Model Results7-13
	7.5	CIP Project Opinions of Probable Costs



TABLES

Table ES-2	Software Utilized	ES-1
Table ES-3	CIP Cost Summary	ES-2
Table 2-1	Storm Drain Pipe Size	2-1
Table 2-2	Storm Drain Pipe Materials	2-3
Table 4-1.	Land Use And Curve Numbers (CN) Based On Hydrological Soild G and AMC II	roup (HSG) 4-5
Table 4-2	Manning's 'N' Values Used in Full Channel Flow Calculation	
Table 4-3	Design Rainfall Events Used in the SDMP.	
Table 5-1	Description Of Mike Urban Storm Drain System Outlets	
Table 6-1	Summary Table of Peak Flows and Peak HGLs In Fryer Creek	6-4
Table 6-2	Summary Table of Peak Flows and Peak HGLs In Nathanson Creek	6-7
Table 7-1	Channel Improvement Project Summary	7-3
Table 7-2	Storm Drain Improvement Project Summary.	7-10
Table 7-3	CIP Project No. 1	7-19
Table 7-4	CIP Project No. 2	
Table 7-5	CIP Project No. 3	
Table 7-6	CIP Project No. 4	
Table 7-7	CIP Project No. 5	
Table 7-8	CIP Project No. 6	7-24
Table 7-9	CIP Project No. 7	
Table 7-10	CIP Project No. 8	
Table 7-11	CIP Project No. 9	7-27
Table 7-12	CIP Project No. 10	7-28

FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Existing Storm Drain System *	
Figure 3-1 Aerial Topography With Surveyed Cross-Section Locations *	
Figure 4-1.1 Major Watersheds Subbasin Delineations *	
Figure 4-1.2 Watershed Subbasins By Land Use *	
Figure 4-1.3 Watershed Subbasins By Hydrologic Soil Group *	4-4
Figure 6-1. 10-Year Baseline Flood Map And Storm Drain Hydraulic Results *	
Figure 6-2. 25-Year Baseline Flood Map *	6-13
Figure 6-3. 100-Year Baseline Flood Map *	6-14
Figure 6-4. Maximum Water Surface Profiles For Fryer Creek	6-15
Figure 6-5. 25-year, 24-Hour Design Storm Results For Fryer Creek.	6-16
Figure 6-6. Maximum Water Surface Profiles For West Fork Of Fryer Creek	6-17
Figure 6-7. 10-year, 24-Hour Design Storm Results For West Fork Of Fryer Creek.	6-18



Figure 6-8. Maximum Water Surface Profiles For East Fork Of Fryer Creek	6-19
Figure 6-9. 25-year, 24-Hour Design Storm Results For East Fork Of Fryer Creek	6-20
Figure 6-10. Maximum Water Surface Profiles For Nathanson Creek.	6-21
Figure 6-11. 100-year, 24-Hour Design Storm Results For Nathanson Creek	6-22
Figure 7-1 Proposed Cip Projects Location Map *	7-2
Figure 7-2 25-Year Flood Map With Channel Improvements *	7-6
Figure 7-3 100-Year Flood Map With Channel Improvements *	7-7
Figure 7-4. Comparison of 100-year, 24-Hour Water Surface Profiles For Fryer Creek Under Baseline And Channel Improvement Conditions	er 7-8
Figure 7-5. Comparison of 100-year, 24-Hour Water Surface Profiles For Nathanson Creek Under Baseline and Channel Improvement Conditions	7-9
Figure 7-6 10-Year Baseline Flood Map with CIP Projects *	7-15
Figure 7-7 25-Year Flood Map with CIP Projects *	7-16
Figure 7-8 100-Year Flood Map with CIP Projects *	7-17
Note: * Full Size copies of these figures are provided under separate attachments	

APPENDICES

- Appendix A FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps
- Appendix B Summary of Data Collection
- Appendix C Technical Memorandum
- Appendix D Hydrology Results
- Appendix E MIKE URBAN Storm Drain Profile Figures
- Appendix F MIKE 11 Peak Q and HGL Tables
- Appendix G MIKE URBAN Storm Drain Profile Figures with CIP Projects
- Appendix H 10-Year Storm Drain Capital Improvement Program



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Winzler & Kelly was retained by the City to provide a Storm Drain Master Plan (SDMP) that would identify and incorporate proposed storm drain system improvement projects into the City's 2010 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Because the City's storm drain network is linked dynamically to Sonoma Creek, Fryer Creek, Nathanson Creek and Schell Creek, the SDMP also attempts to analyze the effect of specific improvements to open channel drainages. In some cases, flooding in a particular area may be reduced by incorporating storm drain system improvements or by making improvements to the open channels.

The goal of this SDMP is to identify projects necessary to decrease/alleviate flooding in regions of the City where current modeling efforts have demonstrated that flooding potential exists. The extent of the SDMP scope was specifically limited to storm drain system (pipe) improvements. However, since the storm drain system is dynamically linked to open channel systems, additional modeling (beyond scope) was conducted to include channel improvement projects.

The 2010 CIP SDMP projects were established by modeling specific improvements utilizing software (MIKE) capable of coupling dynamic one-dimensional channel/storm drain hydraulic modeling with dynamic two-dimensional floodplain/street flooding hydraulic modeling. Watershed boundaries were delineated, respective flows estimated within each watershed, and flows routed into the existing storm drain networks and associated open channels. Three separate design storm conditions were modeled: 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year.

ES-1 Existing Network Summary

The City's storm drain pipe network comprises approximately 46 miles of pipeline. The total combined watershed drainage area is approximately 4,800 acres and contributes flow to Sonoma, Fryer, Nathanson and Schell Creeks, with the majority of flows routed to Nathanson Creek via contributing watersheds (2,800 acres). Additionally, water is conveyed in road-side ditch drainages and cross culverts totaling 7,200 lineal feet. Pipe material type varies throughout the storm drain system.

ES-2 Modeling

Aerial mapping, ground survey, and field investigations formed the basis of topographic information required for incorporation into hydrologic and hydraulic modeling systems. Boundary conditions for the models were generally established by using information contained in Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Study (FIS) reports. Table ES-2 lists the various software programs used and their intended purpose.

Software Program	Purpose
GIS	Hydrology preprocessing – calculate lag times and Curve Numbers based on Land Use/Soil Type
MIKE URBAN	Storm drain system modeling
MIKE 11	One-dimensional channel modeling
MIKE 21	Two-dimensional modeling to combine floodplain and street flooding
MIKE FLOOD	Coupling MIKE 11 and MIKE 21 together

TABLE ES-2 Software Utilized



ES-3 Capital Improvement Program

A summary of the storm drain system capital improvement projects (CIP) that are recommended to address capacity deficiencies based on multiple storm intensities are listed in Table ES-3.

Project ID No.	CIP Year(s)	Project Description	Estimated Construction Cost	Estimated CIP Cost
1	FY 11-13	Fryer Creek culvert at West MacArthur St.	\$899,200	\$1,240,897
2	FY 12-15	Nathanson Creek – Patten St. Bridge	\$849,344	\$1,172,094
3	FY 14-17	Nathanson Creek Floodwalls	\$3,027,518	\$4,056,874
4	FY 10-11	Line F-12 – Increase Pipe Size	\$400,569	\$552,786
5	FY 17-20	Bypass – Connect Line F-12 to Line SON-5	\$2,194,845	\$2,941,092
6	FY 13-14	Line F-1 – Increase Pipe Size	\$229,570	\$316,807
7	FY 14-15	Line N-3 – Increase Pipe Size	\$175,527	\$242,228
8	FY 19-20	Line N-5 – Increase Pipe Size	\$509,461	\$703,056
9	FY 13-15	Line S-1 – Increase Pipe Size – Pipe 70 and 62-66	\$1,024,277	\$1,372,531
10	FY 11-12	Line S-1 – Increase Pipe Size upstream of Junction with Line S-1-6	\$663,449	\$915,559
		TOTALS	\$9,973,760	\$13,513,924

 TABLE ES-3
 CIP Cost Summary

ES-4 Additional Recommendations

In addition to recommended CIP projects, the following points provide recommendations for projects that will enable the City to better understand their overall storm drain network, including both piping systems and open channel conveyance.

- Stream Gages Since stream gages do not currently exist on Fryer Creek nor Nathanson Creek, model calibration is not possible. Model accuracies can be verified if known data points including flow and water surface elevations are available. The City might consider sharing costs of implementing installation/maintenance of such devices with the Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA).
- Although preliminary modeling of implementation of open channel creek projects was performed, the scope of this SDMP was to identify potential storm drain (pipe) improvements. Additional detailed modeling of open channel systems should be considered prior to construction of related open channel projects in order to accurately quantify project limits and associated costs.
- Costs to construct open channel improvements on private property may be affected substantially by the existence / or lack of easements and rights-of-way held by the City, SCWA and possibly the Corp of Engineers. Prior to designing/constructing projects of this type, the City should gather the necessary information to fully understand all associated legal requirements pertaining to work occurring in or adjacent to Nathanson, Fryer, Sonoma and Schell Creeks.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

The City of Sonoma (City) is currently in the process of developing a capital improvement program for its storm drain system. The City has requested its City Engineering Consultant, Winzler & Kelly to provide engineering services to develop a storm drain system model within the Zone 3A watershed boundaries ("Study"), and to develop a SDMP. The Study area consists of four watersheds: Nathanson Creek, Fryer Creek, Schell Creek and Sonoma Creek. Channel modeling includes Nathanson Creek and Fryer Creek only. Previous FEMA modeling of the Sonoma Creek and Schell Creek channels are also utilized for this Study. Pipe network modeling includes storm drainage infrastructure within all four subbasins.

The City's storm drain network is dynamically coupled to Nathanson Creek and Fryer Creek, and the storm drain infrastructure is also dynamically coupled to a two-dimensional surface model for all watersheds with the exception of Sonoma Creek. This model configuration was selected to provide insight into storm drain system interactions with the Nathanson Creek and Fryer Creek channels, their floodplains, and flooding in City streets. Two-dimensional coupling of the City's storm drain system with Schell Creek was included due to the size of the City's storm drain system and street flooding within the Schell Creek watershed. There is comparatively very little storm drain infrastructure discharging to Sonoma Creek.

The City's storm drain pipe network comprises almost 46 miles of pipeline. The total combined watershed drainage area is approximately 4,800 acres and contributes flow to Sonoma, Fryer, Nathanson and Schell Creeks, with the majority of flows routed to Nathanson Creek via contributing watersheds (2,800 acres). Additionally, water is conveyed in road-side ditch drainages and cross culverts totaling 7,200 lineal feet. Pipe material type varies throughout the storm drain system.

The City maintains the storm drain pipe system along with road-side ditches and associated cross-culverts. SCWA maintains easements (mainly for channel maintenance only) for Nathanson Creek, while most of Fryer Creek (within City limits) is owned and maintained by SCWA (a small portion of East Fork of Fryer is maintained through an easement). SCWA also establishes the design criteria from which this SDMP assesses the City's storm drain system and creek hydraulics through SCWA's Flood Control Design Criteria (FCDC). The FCDC provides the basis from which storm drain and channel hydraulics are assessed and deficiencies identified for inclusion in the SDMP.

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Master Plan is to establish a Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for the City's storm drain system infrastructure in order to reduce flooding in flood-prone regions of the City. This is accomplished by delineating watershed boundaries, estimating respective flows within each watershed, and routing flows into the existing storm drain network and associated open channels. Using a combination of one-dimensional channel hydraulics and storm drain hydraulic modeling and a two-dimensional floodplain and street flooding hydraulic model, hydraulic analyses of these systems dynamically coupled together provides a mechanism to assess deficiencies within the City's storm drain system and creeks, and predicts where localized



flooding may occur. Recommended improvements have been developed to alleviate operational deficiencies with respect to the FCDC. Specifically, the SDMP identifies the following existing infrastructure and surface features:

- Existing storm drain pipe network
- Open ditch (with cross culvert) drainages
- Open channel creek drainages
- Watershed delineations
- Two-dimensional surface model of the City

This document also includes:

- Capacity analysis of existing pipe network
- Capacity analysis of open channel drainages
- Capital improvement program

This SDMP has been prepared to provide a detailed analysis of the adequacy of the major storm drainage facilities serving the City. This SDMP provides the following review:

- Detailed delineation of contributing watershed and sub-watershed boundaries
- Comprehensive descriptions and mapping of the City's storm drain system
- Creation of a City Storm Drain Map that shows locations of public storm drains and facilities, and size of pipelines
- An assessment of the capacity of the existing creeks, open drainage channels, culverts, and closed conduits having diameters 24 inches and larger
- Identification of system deficiencies
- Development of a storm drain CIP to address system deficiencies
- Associated CIP cost estimates

1.2 Objectives and Scope

The primary objective of the work is to locate and identify storm drain and channel deficiencies with respect to the FCDC and local areas prone to flooding, and to develop storm drain system improvements required to reduce the risk of flooding during specific storm events as defined by SCWA design standards (10-yr, 25-yr, 100-yr). A technical memorandum summarizing data review and design criteria/methodology was prepared and submitted for City review during the initial phase of preparation of the SDMP. This memorandum is included as Appendix C.

Data was collected from a variety of sources including the following:

- Anecdotal information provided by City and SCWA staff
- FEMA background data and HEC-2 models
- Field survey data used to generate creek (Nathanson and Fryer) profiles
- Field visits to obtain storm drain invert elevations (at select locations)
- Hydrologic maps and calculations
- Hydraulic studies (SCWA)
- Aerial topographic mapping (by Others under separate contract with the City)



The SDMP identifies certain open channel projects that if implemented, will reduce flooding severity in localized areas. However, the main intent of developing the CIP is specifically focused on identifying storm drain system (pipe and structures) improvements. Open channel improvements were included since they may be more cost-effective than related storm drain system improvements in dealing with localized street flooding originating from Nathanson and Fryer Creeks.



2.0 SUMMARY OF EXISTING STORM DRAIN SYSTEM

2.1 Existing Pipe Network

Both hard copy mapping and GIS mapping of existing storm drainage facilities were obtained from the City. The storm drainage facilities generally consist of a closed conduit network and open road-side ditches draining to one of four creeks flowing through the City: Sonoma Creek, Fryer Creek, Nathanson Creek and Schell Creek. Existing pipe sizes are detailed in Table 2-1.

Pipe Diameter (in)	Length (ft)
Unknown	112,575
4	1,096
6	2,714
8	6,180
10	3,244
12	5,029
15	13,363
16	824
18	31,407
21	5,028
24	12,819
27	1,400
30	10,626
33	280
36	10,080
42	4,053
48	4,652
54	7,257
60	3,787
66	3,476
72	496
84	529
Total	240,916

TABLE 2-1Storm Drain Pipe Size

Note: It is assumed the unknown pipe diameters are less than 24-inches.

Pipe elevations were established by field dipping manholes at select locations and using interpolation between manholes (assuming straight line grades). The storm drain network is shown on Figure 2-1.





City Limits — Modeled Storm Drain Pipes & Run ID Parcel Boundaries — Storm Drain Pipes (not modeled)	0 600 1,200 ft	WINZLER &	KELLY & Kelly	Figure 2-1 Existing Storm Drain System
Creeks • Storm Drain Manholes & ID Bypass Channel • Storm Drain Outlets & ID	Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery	www.w-and-k.co	m ši N	Storm Drain Master Plan
	N Transportation; Sonoma County GIS: City Limits; Winzler and Kelly GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System.	Cartography Date AF 5/13/2011	Project # 0241809039	City of Sonoma

Collectively, the City's storm drain network consists of 45.6 miles of pipe. While a majority of the pipe material in the existing system is unidentified, the prevalent materials in the identified sections are shown in Table 2-2.

Pipe Material	Length (ft)
Unknown	201,248
ADS	578
CI	84
CIP	768
СМР	88
CSP	92
CSPA	48
HDPE	1,373
PVC	5,682
RCP	30,901
SDR	54
Total	240,916

 TABLE 2-2
 Storm Drain Pipe Materials

2.2 Fryer Creek

Fryer Creek is a channelized creek which flows generally south through the City from the northern foothills. For portions of its reach it has been contained in a closed conduit system. The channel is heavily confined by development on both sides of the creek. There are two branches to the creek: a western branch entering into the main channel just north of West Macarthur Street and an eastern branch entering the main channel just north of Newcomb Street. Its watershed is approximately 1,379 acres at the southern city limits.

The creek has flooded its bank on numerous occasions, and is a tributary to Nathanson Creek (ultimately draining to Sonoma Creek). The creek's gradient is modest along the entire reach within the City of Sonoma with an average slope of 0.3%. Sediment deposition is increasing in the downstream reach (according to SCWA). The FEMA flood map is shown in Appendix A-1.

2.3 Nathanson Creek

Nathanson Creek begins in the foothills north of town and flows generally south through the eastern portion of the City just east of Broadway, and terminates at the confluence with Sonoma Creek (south of the City limits). The watershed is approximately 2,425 acres at the northerly City limits and 2,854 acres at the southerly limits. The creek has been encroached upon by urban development and has previously flooded its banks causing significant damage. The creek gradient is modest along the entire reach within the City of Sonoma with an average slope of 0.5%. See Appendix A-2 for FEMA mapping in this area.

The Nathanson Creek bypass channel (owned by the City) is located in Nathanson Creek Park. This channel provides stormwater diversion when flood water elevations (in the main channel)



become high enough to enter the bypass. The bypass channel can be described as wide and shallow, with a relatively flat gradient and trapezoidal shape. Downstream and slightly north of the confluence with the main Nathanson Creek channel, the bypass narrows and becomes steeper.

2.4 Sonoma Creek

Sonoma Creek is the principal waterway draining the Sonoma Valley, terminating at San Pablo Bay. The creek flows south, and skirts the western portion of the City. A relatively small amount of flow generated within contributing watersheds is routed directly to Sonoma Creek via the City's storm drain system. However, Nathanson Creek (including Fryer Creek flows) ultimately drain to Sonoma Creek south of the City boundary (See Appendix A-3).

2.5 Additional Surface Drainage

There are a series of open road-side ditches interconnected with the closed conduit system. The ditches are generally trapezoidal in shape and range from 6 to 20 feet wide by 2 to 8 feet deep. Several locations exist where road-side ditches convey moderate flow including:

- Broadway Napa Road to East McArthur
- 1st St. West W. Spain Street to Mountain Cemetery
- 2nd St. West Andrieux Street to south side of Bettencourt Street



3.0 DATA COLLECTION

Technical data relating to the Study for the City was collected and reviewed. Detailed lists of key documents and other materials collected to date are provided in the appendices. Data collection efforts included requesting data from FEMA, the City, SCWA and County Permit and Resource Management Department (PRMD). The list of data collected is summarized in Appendix B.

3.1 Aerial Survey

Aerial Mapping (provided by Others) was utilized to provide a base map for the project. Delta Geomatics Corporation provided aerial mapping at a mapping scale of 1"= 40', with 1-foot contour intervals. Winzler & Kelly provided ground control for the project which required 28 aerial panels, controlled with Leica System 1200 Real Time Kinematic GPS. The basis of bearings and coordinate values were based on the California Coordinate System, Zone 2 (NAD '83). The vertical datum was NAVD '88 holding the value for NGS monument, Designation HPGN D CA 04 LF, PID JT9620 using the elevation published by the Central Coast Height Modernization Project 2007 of 36.533 meters (119.86 feet). Aerial topography is depicted in Figure 3-1.

3.2 Field Visits

Additional information obtained in the field was required to augment the aerial survey data. Conventional topographical survey at select creek locations was collected. Also, select manhole locations were dipped and site visits conducted with City staff to collect detailed information.

3.2.1 Manhole Dipping

Winzler & Kelly, with assistance from City maintenance staff, opened three hundred forty-four (344) storm drain structures to obtain invert elevations and confirm pipe sizes. Measurements were taken from the rim or grate of structures to the inverts of pipes. These measurements were used to calculate the invert elevations of pipes based on the rim or grate elevation obtained from the aerial mapping.

3.2.2 Survey

A control network was established using GPS and conventional (total station) survey methods for use in collecting creek cross sections at required locations. Twenty-six (26) cross sections were collected on Nathanson Creek and seventeen (17) cross sections were collected on Fryer Creek. Locations for cross sections specifically selected to provide accurate data for modeling of the open channel drainage systems. Cross sections for the West Fork and East Fork of Fryer Creek were developed from topography rather than being surveyed in the field. A total of twenty (20) cross sections were developed for the West Fork of Fryer Creek, while ten (10) cross sections were developed for the East Fork of Fryer Creek. Refer to Figure 3-1 for cross section locations.

3.2.3 Site Reconnaissance

Engineers provided assistance field verifying locations identified through mapping review and discussions with City staff where necessary modeling information was lacking. Pipes and open ditches were traced following a downstream progression.



3.3 City Provided Data

Hard copy plans of City drainage structures as well as the City GIS mapping were provided by the City to identify many drainage features. After reviewing this data, certain areas were identified that required field verification as described in paragraph 3.2.3

3.4 FEMA Data

Hard copies of FEMA input and output hydraulic model runs and County of Sonoma Flood Insurance Study (FIS) including creek profiles were reviewed. FEMA cross sections were included in the current hydraulic model to augment surveyed cross sections for specific areas. Bridge structure cross sections were confirmed by field surveys and site reconnaissance.





City Limits Parcel Boundaries Creaks	Aerial Topography Cross-Section Location and Station Cross-Section Data from HEC Models	0 600	1,200 ft	₩ ₩	INZLER &	KELLY Stelly	Aerial Surveyed C	Figure 3-1 Topography with ross-Section Locations
Modeled Storm Drain Pipes Field Surveyed Cross-Section Location and Station	Major Contour, 5 foot Minor Contour, 1 foot Storm Drain Outlets Storm Drain Manholes	1 inch = 600 feet prii Sources: ESRI B Transportation; City Limits; Win Creeks, Storm D	nted at 22x34 asemap: Imagery, Sonoma County GIS: zler and Kelly GIS: Drain System.	N Cartography AF	ww.w-and-k.cor Date 5/13/2011	n Project # 0241809039		Storm Drain Master Plan City of Sonoma

4.0 HYDROLOGY

4.1 Design Criteria and Assumptions

SCWA developed design criteria and methodology for hydrologic and hydraulic design in the *FCDC*, revised August 1983. These criteria were used in development of the SDMP's hydrology model to accurately simulate rainfall runoff processes within the City's contributing watersheds and for subsequent routing to the City's drainage facilities.

The design criteria used in the hydrologic analysis is based on SCWA's FCDC Standards. These criteria include:

- For watersheds of four square miles or more (major waterways), the design storm is a 100-year event.
- For watersheds of one to four square miles (secondary waterways), the design storm is a 25-year event.
- For watersheds less than one square mile (minor waterways), the design storm is a 10-year event.

The hydrology model was developed in MIKE URBAN (MU) for subsequent coupling with the MIKE FLOOD (MF) model (one-dimensional channel hydraulics and two-dimensional floodplain and street flooding hydraulics). MU simulates precipitation-runoff and routing processes and allows for the coupling of subbasin hydrographs to a storm drain system for subsequent routing and ultimate discharge to a creek. MU was selected because of its use of standard TR-55 hydrological methods, its compatibility with the MF model and the ability to dynamically couple the City's storm drain system with MF models of Fryer and Nathanson Creeks to better simulate the complex interactions between the storm drain system, channels, floodplain, and street hydraulics.

4.2 Watershed Delineation

Major watersheds were divided (Sonoma Creek, Fryer Creek, Nathanson Creek, and Schell Creek) into sub-drainages, referred hereinafter as *sub-basins*. A sub-basin element represents a complete watershed that is separated into three distinct processes: *loss rate, transform, and base flow*. The quantity of rainfall that falls and infiltrates is represented by a loss rate method. The excess rainfall which does not infiltrate and becomes runoff is represented by a transform method. Groundwater contributions to channel flow rate are represented with a base flow method.

Figures showing delineated subbasins, as well as complementary figures detailing Land Use and Hydrologic Soil Groups are included as Figure 4-1.1, 4-1.2 and 4-1.3. Full size foldups (22x34) of these Figures are included as a separate attachment.





			時間に	
City Limits — Creeks Watershed Boundary = = Bypass Channel Major Watersheds — Modeled Storm Drain Pipes	0 1,000 2,000 ft	😽 WINZLER &		Figure 4-1.1 Major Watersheds and Subbasin Delineations
Fryer Creek Flow Path Nathanson Creek Modeled Storm Drain Manholes Schell Creek Modeled Storm Drain Outlets Ib Minor Watersheds & ID	N N Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery, Transportation; Sonoma County GIS: City Limits; Winzler and Kelly GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System, Sheds.	Cartography Date AF 5/12/2011	Project # 0241809039	Storm Drain Master Plan City of Sonoma





4.3 TR-55/SCS Model

The hydrologic runoff procedures outlined in the USDA-NRCS (formally Soil Conservation Service) Technical Release No. 55 (TR-55) were followed and implemented for developing and simulating hydrology within MU. TR-55 is widely used as a hydrological basis for flood studies and is accepted in the industry.

4.3.1 Loss Rate Method

Following TR-55 principles, the empirical curve number method was utilized in MU to estimate total excess precipitation. The curve number (CN) represents the soil cover, land cover and antecedent moisture conditions of a watershed and its sub-basins. The CN method determines runoff using the amount of precipitation and the infiltration parameters associated with soil type, soil moisture, preceding rainfall, and surface retention. The amount of rainfall is converted to runoff using the CN. The CN ranges from 0 to 100, where a value of 100 represents zero losses or a completely impermeable surface (USDA, 1986). Impermeable pavements typically are assigned a CN of 98.

Surface soils are classified into four hydrologic soil groups (HSG) A, B, C, and D according to their minimum infiltration rate. Antecedent moisture conditions are classified as either low (AMC I), average (AMC II), or high (AMC III). For the purpose of this report, it is assumed that AMC II curve numbers be applied. AMC II assumes that 0.5-inches to 1.1-inches of rain had fallen in the watershed of interest over the course of 5-days prior to the initiation of the design storm. Curve numbers developed for AMC II are the most widely used in hydrologic analysis when utilizing the SCS method. The hydrologic soil classification of the project area is derived from "Soil Survey of Sonoma County, California".

The diverse land coverage within the watersheds were identified from City and County of Sonoma zoning/land use GIS layer data assuming full build-out conditions. Because most subbasins consist of multiple HSGs and multiple land uses, an area weighted composite CN was calculated for each sub-basin. Table 4-1 summarizes curve numbers that were utilized in this study.

TABLE 4-1	Land use and curve numbers (CN) based on hydrological soild group (HS6	G)
and AMC II		

		Curve Number (CN)				
LAND USE	Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)					
	Α	В	С	D		
City						
Agricultural	39	61	74	80		
Commercial (15 d.u./acre, max)	89	92	94	95		
Commercial-Gateway (15 d.u./acre, max)	89	92	94	95		
Mixed Use (12 d.u./acre, max)	80	88	93	95		
Public Facility	80	88	93	95		
Park	39	61	74	80		
High Density (11-15 d.u./acre)	80	88	93	95		



	Curve Number (CN)			
LAND USE	Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)			
	Α	В	С	D
Hillside Residential (1 d.u./10 acres, max)	46	65	77	82
Low Density Residential (2-5 d.u./acre)	61	75	83	87
Medium Density Residential (7-11 d.u./acre)	78	86	91	93
Housing Opportunity (15-20 d.u./acre)	89	92	94	95
Mobile Home Park (7 d.u./acre, max)	77	85	90	92
Rural Residential (2 d.u./acre, maximum)	46	65	77	82
Sonoma Residential (3-8 d.u./acre)	62	76	84	88
Wine Production	65	75	82	86
County				
Diverse Agriculture	39	61	74	80
General Commercial	89	92	94	95
General Industrial	81	88	91	93
Land Extensive Agriculture	49	69	79	84
Land Intensive Agriculture	63	75	83	87
Limited Commercial	80	88	93	95
Limited Industrial	78	86	91	93
Public/Quasi-Public (buildout)	80	88	93	95
Recreation/Visitor Serving Commercial	89	92	94	95
Resources/Rural Development	61	75	83	87
Rural Residential (< 2 units/acre)	54	70	80	85
Urban Residential (2-4 units/acre)	61	75	83	87

NOTE: Curve numbers (CN) reported is for antecedent moisture condition II (AMC II)

4.3.2 Transform Method

Following TR-55 principles, the SCS Unit Hydrograph (UH) model was used in MU as the direct-runoff transform method. The model is based upon averages of UH derived from gauged rainfall and runoff for a large number of small agricultural watersheds throughout the United States. The SCS UH model uses a dimensionless, single-peaked unit hydrograph. Utilizing the UH method in MU requires the lag time for each sub-basin. For watersheds with no gauge information, the lag time is related to time of concentration as:

$$Tlag = 0.6 Tc$$

Travel time (Tt) or time of concentration (Tc) is the time required for surface runoff from the most remote part of the drainage area to reach the design point. Tc is the sum of the sheet flow time, shallow concentrated flow time and the open channel/pipe flow time.

$$T_c = T_t(sheet) + T_t(shallow concentrated) + T_t(channel)$$



Sheet flow is flow over the plain surfaces. Typical recommendations include that this segment of flow be less than 300 feet. However, sheet flow length is limited to 300 feet in TR-55 model. Manning's kinematic equation is used to compute T_t :

$$T_{t} = \frac{0.007 (n L)^{0.8}}{(P_{2})^{0.5} S^{0.4}}$$

Where

 $T_t = travel time (hr)$ n = Manning roughness coefficient (for sheet flow) L = flow length (ft) $P_2 = 2-year, 24-hour rainfall (in), and$ s = slope of hydraulic grade line (land slope, ft/ft)

After the initial sheet flow, an equation describing shallow concentrated flow is used until the flow path can be represented as open channel flow:

$$T_{t} = \frac{L}{3600 \text{ V}}$$

Finally, once the flow path reaches a channel, curb and gutter, or other hydraulic condition travel time is estimated using open channel flow equation:

$$T_t = \frac{L}{3600 \text{ V}}$$
 $V = \frac{1.49}{n} R^{2/3} S^{0.5}$ (Manning Equation)

The primary flow path for each sub-basin was obtained through digitization using the aerial 1foot contour topographic data recently completed for the City. For sub-basins outside the city limits, United States Geologic Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps were used. The flow paths were digitized in GIS and elevations required to determine sub-basin slopes were also obtained from the topographic data. Principles outlined in TR-55 were utilized to calculate the time of concentration and lag time conversions based on sheet, shallow concentrated, and channel/pipe flow through each sub-basin. The hydraulic routing time used in this study assumes full pipe and full channel flow velocities along the sub-basin flow path. Manning's Equation was utilized for full channel capacity calculations using 'n' values tabulated below in Table 4-2. Utilizing the information discussed above, the lag times for each sub-basin were calculated and input into the MU hydrology model.



Type of Channel and Description	n	
RCP	0.014	
Concrete Trench Drain	0.014	
Concrete Swale	0.015	
Earth: Short grass, few weeds	0.035	
Earth: Light brush on banks	0.05	
Earth: Dense weeds	0.08	
Natural Channel	0.07	

TABLE 4-2 Manning's 'N' Values Used In Full Channel Flow Calculation

4.3.3 Base Flow Method

Base flow accounts for the quantity of flow contributed from groundwater, and not direct precipitation-runoff. For modeling design storms, each sub-basin requires an initial base flow. Because most flow paths within the study area are only occupied with flow during precipitation events, the base flow for each sub-basin is assumed to be zero.

4.3.4 Precipitation Events

100 year, 24 hour

MU offers various methods for assigning and modeling precipitation events. For the purpose of simulating design precipitation events, the 24-hour SCS Hypothetical Storm method was utilized. This method requires the 24-hour rainfall amount associated with a specific frequency. The method also requires the determination of a rainfall distribution. The SCS has defined four distributions within the United States based on storm intensity. Sonoma County is considered to have a Type IA distribution (NRCS, 1986). For the purpose of this study, 24-hour rainfall depths were obtained from the NOAA Atlas 2.

Table 4-3 provides 24-hour rainfall depths were used in development of the storm drain master plan. Flows for each catchment incorporating the 10-year, 25-year and 100-year events are provide in Appendix D.

7.0

TIDEE 4-5 Design Kannan Events Osed in the SDiff			
Rainfall Event	Rainfall Depth (in)		
10 year, 24 hour	4.5		
25 year, 24 hour	5.5		

TABLE 4-3 Design Rainfall Events Used in the SDMP



5.0 HYDRAULICS

5.1 Design Criteria and Assumptions

The design criteria used in the hydrologic/hydraulic analysis is based on the SCWA FCDC Standards. These criteria include:

- For watersheds of one to four square miles (secondary waterways), the design storm is a 25-year event.
- For watersheds less than one square mile (minor waterways), the design storm is a 10-year event.
- Secondary or minor waterways outletting into major or secondary downstream waterways shall be designed to operate against a 25-year or 10-year flow respectively in the major or secondary downstream waterway, provided that the ground elevation along the secondary or minor system shall be above the 100-year water surface elevation in the major or secondary downstream waterway.
- Secondary or minor waterways in closed conduits shall have surface routes to carry the incremental 100-year flows with no inundation of structures, or be sized for the full 100-year flows.
- Closed conduits shall be designed with maximum surcharging to within one foot of top of rim or grade for purposes of determining hydraulic capacity.
- Minimum pipe diameter is 12-inches with a minimum velocity of 2.5 feet per second (fps) when flowing full.
- Downstream boundary conditions are based on existing water surface elevations taken from FEMA models for creeks and hydraulic models for pipes when available
- Detention basins will generally be sized for 100-year, 24-hour storm events assuming no increase in runoff from existing conditions

5.2 MIKE URBAN

MU is a hydrodynamic model capable of routing runoff from sub-basins through closed conduit and open channel reaches referred to in the model as *links*. Links were digitized in the model based on the City of Sonoma GIS data provided by the City and subsequent modifications were made based on further information provided by the City, survey information, and field visits with City staff. Only storm drain pipes greater than 24 inches in diameter were included in the MU storm drain network. Link data such as invert in elevations, invert out elevations, diameter, material, and length were input into the MU model. Nodes (storm drain inlets/outlets or manholes) were digitized in the model to represent the majority of storm drain manholes and catch basins within the City's storm drain network. Node information such as diameter, bottom invert elevations, and ground elevation were also input into the MU model.

Figure 2-1 shows the digitized storm drain network utilized in the MU model. A full size fold-up of this figure is also included as a separate attachment.



The City of Sonoma storm drain network includes a total of 16 storm drain outlets that discharge to Nathanson Creek, Fryer Creek, Sonoma Creek, or Schell Creek. The outlets are summarized in Table 5-1 along with unique identifiers used in the MU model, its discharge location and station, and the storm drain system designation associated with the discharge. The unique identifiers associated with each outlet and its associated storm drain network are included in Figure 2-1. These MU storm drain outlets provide the active linkage between MU storm drain hydraulics and the MIKE 11 channel hydraulics to be discussed in the following section.

MIKE URBAN ID (MUID)	Discharge Reach	Outlet Chainage (ft)	Storm Drain System Designation	
Node_1091	Fryer Creek	13.6	Line F-12	
Node_928	Fryer Creek	3+13.6	Line F-11	
Node_657	Fryer Creek	10+13.6	Line F-10	
Node_939	Fryer Creek	34+13.6	Line F-7	
Node_669	Fryer Creek	41+63.6	Line F-6	
Node_679	Fryer Creek	48+13.6	Line F-5	
Node_685	Fryer Creek	60+45.1	Line F-4	
Node_688	Fryer Creek	61+93.5	Line F-2	
Node_689	Fryer Creek	61+93.5	Line F-3	
Node_732	Fryer Creek	1	Line F1	
Node_1097	East Fork of Fryer Creek	0.0	Line F-9	
Node_1062	West Fork of Fryer Creek	0.0	Line F-8	
Node_1034	Nathanson Creek	132+60.4	Line N-8	
Node_791	Nathanson Creek	139+60.5	Line N-7	
Node_1072	Nathanson Creek	149+10.5	Line N-6	
Node_854	Nathanson Creek	189+10.9	Line N-5	
Node_861	Nathanson Creek	193+61.0	Line N-4	
Node_911	Nathanson Creek	204+61.1	Line N-3	
Node_1036	Nathanson Creek	227+03.4	Line N-1	
Node_919	Nathanson Creek	1	Line N-2 (discharges to High Flow Channel of Nathanson Creek)	
Node_986	Sonoma Creek	1	Line SON-7	
Node_1030	Sonoma Creek	1	Line SON-6	
Node_1057	Sonoma Creek	1	Line SON-5	
Node_963	Sonoma Creek	1	Line SON-4	
Node_970	Sonoma Creek	1	Line SON-3	
Node_1156	Sonoma Creek	1	Line SON-2	
Node_972	Sonoma Creek	1	Line SON-1	
Node_1031	Schell Creek	1	Line S-1	

TABLE 5-1 Description of MIKE URBAN Storm Drain System Outlets

¹The stationing associated with this Creek location was outside the MIKE 11 active channel domain.



The storm drain outlets discharging to Nathanson Creek, Fryer Creek, or either of the forks of Fryer Creek were dynamically linked to the MIKE 11 unsteady flow, channel hydraulic models created for these creeks. Therefore, the hydraulic grade line of the creek at any given time represents the tail water condition at each respective storm drain outlet. The storm drain outlets discharging to Sonoma Creek and Schell Creek were assigned tailwater boundary conditions based on 100-year FEMA flood mapping for these creeks.

5.3 MIKE 11

MIKE 11 (M11) is an implicit finite difference model developed by DHI Water and Environment used for modeling networks of one-dimensional channels with respect to both hydrodynamics and water quality transport. It is an unsteady flow model based on the St. Venant equations and thus capable of monitoring one-dimensional channel flows over time and space. M11 has the ability to be dynamically coupled with MU to dynamically simulate interactions between the one-dimensional channels and the City's storm drain network, and can also be coupled with MIKE 21 (M21) to dynamically simulate interactions between the one-dimensional floodplain. For this storm drain master plan, M11 was coupled to both MU and M21, which is described further in the following section. M11 when coupled with M21 is also known as MIKE FLOOD (MF).

The basic hydrodynamic (HD) model within M11 was utilized for simulating both Nathanson Creek and Fryer Creek channel hydraulics. Sonoma Creek and Schell creek were not included in the M11 model. The HD model allows for calculation of water level, velocity, and discharge throughout the model domain over the simulation period. Simulation periods of 24 hours were utilized to match the 24 hour storms simulated for the 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year return period precipitation events.

The Nathanson Creek model domain included the portion of the creek within city limits and extends from the upstream reaches of the creek near the intersection of 7th St East and Lovall Valley Road to Napa Road to the south. The Fryer Creek model domain extends from the Safeway parking lot near the intersection of 5th Street West and W Napa Street (where open channel conditions commence) to Leveroni Road to the south. Both forks of Fryer Creek were also included in the M11 model. The East Fork of Fryer Creek model domain extends from 2nd Street West to the confluence with Fryer Creek, while the West Fork of Fryer Creek model domain extends from 2nd Street West form just north of West MacArthur St to the confluence of Fryer Creek.

Input data used in constructing the M11 models for Nathanson Creek, Fryer Creek, and the West and East Forks of Fryer Creek included:

- Network data defining the spatial alignment of the channels
- Topographical data and FEMA HEC-2 data to define structural elements within the channels including bridges and culverts
- Topographical data to define channel cross sections derived from both field survey information and FEMA HEC-2 cross sections
- Hydrodynamic parameters such as Manning's "n" values





- Initial conditions including water level at the downstream boundary of the model domain and base flow at the upstream boundary of the model
- Boundary conditions including water level at the downstream boundary of the model representing the 10-year, 25-year, or 100-year water surface elevation reported in FEMA FIRM mapping

The spatial alignment of the channels was derived from thalweg survey data collected at cross sections with the exception of the West and East Forks of Fryer Creek. The spatial alignments of these forks were digitized based on aerial topographic mapping provided by the City. As mentioned above, structural bridge and culvert input data was obtained from FEMA HEC-2 models for Fryer and Nathanson, while structural data for the West and East Forks of Fryer Creek were obtained from field observation and data collection. Cross sectional data for Nathanson Creek and Fryer Creek was a combination of field survey data and FEMA HEC-2 cross sectional data, while cross sectional data for the West and East Forks of Fryer Creek was obtained from aerial topographic mapping. Manning's "n" values used in the model were also obtained from the FEMA HEC-2 models.

It is important to note that contrary to the FEMA HEC-2 models, M11 cross sections extend only from left top of bank to right top of bank rather than including the floodplain within the cross sections. Therefore, MIKE 11 models only the active channel, while M21 simulates floodplain hydraulics two dimensionally for a better representation of floodplain and street flooding.

M21 is discussed in the following section.

5.4 MIKE 21

M21 is a 2-dimensional, unsteady hydrodynamic model capable of simulating complex floodplain and street flooding. The M11 model described above, simulates only the active channel portion of Fryer and Nathanson Creeks, while the M21 model routes flow 2-dimensionally once flows from the M11 model exceed the active channel carrying capacity. The M21 model domain also routes street flow 2-dimensionally from flow escaping the storm drain system through manholes and drain inlets.

The M21 model domain covers the extents of the City of Sonoma's storm drain system including all storm drain infrastructure discharging to Fryer and Nathanson Creeks in addition to a significant storm drain system on the east side of the City of Sonoma which discharges to Schell Creek. The MIKE 21model domain extents are shown in Figure 5-1.

The 1.0-foot resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM) described in Section 3.1 was used for developing the M21 model surface. The original DEM was aggregated to a resolution of 10.0-feet for use in the M21 model. This resolution provides sufficient detail to accurately represent floodplain and street flooding and two-dimensional hydraulic routing without overwhelming the M21 model engine in terms of computational points. It is generally recommended that M21 models should not exceed 1,000,000 computational grid cells. The 10-foot resolution used in the City of Sonoma M21 model results in 936,804 computational grid cells.



The M21 model was dynamically coupled to both the M1 model simulating one dimensional active channel hydraulics for both Fryer and Nathanson Creeks and the MU model simulating the catchment hydrology and storm drain system hydraulics. The coupling of these models is described in Section 5.5 below.

5.5 MIKE FLOOD and Coupling with MIKE URBAN

MIKE FLOOD (MF) represents the model developed by dynamically coupling the M11 onedimensional channel hydraulics models for Fryer and Nathanson Creeks and the M21 twodimensional floodplain hydraulics. For the purposes of storm drain master planning, the MF was coupled with the MU model to better simulate and offer new insight into complex and dynamic interactions between Fryer and Nathanson Creeks, the City's storm drain network, and overland flooding within the floodplain and road network. The ability to accurately simulate these dynamics ultimately allows for accurate prediction of flood reduction impacts of varying alternatives.

Coupling of the new MU model simulating hydrology and storm drain system hydraulics and the newly developed MF model simulating channel and overland flow hydraulics represents a significant advancement in hydraulic modeling within the City of Sonoma.

5.6 Boundary Conditions

The following boundary conditions were used in the Storm Drain Master Plan:

- Downstream water level boundary condition on Nathanson Creek station 25500.7 of 38.0 ft. This location is sufficiently downstream of Napa Road, the limit of our detailed study, and results in a 100 year flood elevation of approximately 52 ft at Napa Road.
- Downstream water level boundary condition of 49.8 feet on Fryer Creek station 7911.5. This location is sufficiently downstream of Leveroni Road, the limit of our detailed study, and results in a 100 year flood elevation of 58 ft at Leveroni Road.
- Upstream flow boundary conditions of 5 cfs at the upstream most extent of both Fryer and Nathanson Creeks, and the upstream most extent of West and East Forks of Fryer Creek. These are intended to represent base flows and increase stability of the MIKE 11 model.
- Downstream water level boundary conditions on storm drain outlets to Sonoma Creek were set based on FEMA FIRM flood profiles for Sonoma Creek.
- Downstream water level boundary condition on the Schell Creek storm drain outlet was also set based on FEMA FIRM flood profile for Schell Creek.

5.7 Calibration

No model calibration was done as part of this SDMP. There are no known gages on either Fryer or Nathanson Creek available for model calibration. We recommend the City consider installing gages on these creeks to provide the necessary data from which to calibrate the MU/MF model. Model results were compared with previous FEMA water surface profiles for Fryer Creek and Nathanson Creek. Although the model results are quite similar, it is possible that the FEMA model may be un-calibrated due to the lack of available stream gage data.



6.0 MODEL RESULTS

6.1 Baseline Conditions

Baseline model runs for the 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year 24-hour storms were completed to identify storm drain and channel hydraulics not meeting the SCWA design criteria set forth in the FCDC, revised August 1983. The following design criteria have been used in assessing baseline conditions and identifying storm drain or channel hydraulics not meeting the design criteria:

- All storm drain networks within the City of Sonoma drain watersheds of less than one square mile; therefore, all storm drain networks are minor waterways with a design storm equal to a 10-year event. All closed conduit storm drains shall be assessed on whether they meet the design criteria limiting surcharging to within one foot of top of rim or grade.
- All storm drains in closed conduits not designed to handle 100-year flows shall have surface routes to carry the incremental 100-year flows with no inundation of structures.
- Fryer Creek drains a watershed of approximately 2 square miles; therefore, Fryer Creek is a secondary waterway with a design storm equal to a 25-year event. The 25-year event shall be maintained with 1.5 feet of freeboard, with the 100-year event kept within the channel banks.
- Nathanson Creek drains a watershed of over 4 square miles; therefore, Nathanson Creek is a major waterway with a design storm equal to a 100-year event. The 100-year event shall be kept within the channel banks.

Baseline results for hydrology, Fryer and Nathanson Creeks, and the City's storm drain system are summarized in the following sections.

6.1.1 Baseline TR-55 Hydrology Results

The rainfall events described in Section 4.3.4 for the 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year 24-hour storms were simulated to develop runoff hydrographs within MU. Rainfall runoff transformation was done within MU using standard TR-55 hydrology methods described in Section 4.3. The resulting runoff hydrograph peak flows for each subbasin are summarized in Appendix D. Results are included for the 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year 24-hour storms.

6.1.2 MIKE Model Results

MU/MF model simulation runs were performed for the 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year 24-hour storms to assess the storm drain system and Fryer and Nathanson Creeks for compliance with the design criteria. Results include:

- Storm drain pipe hydraulics.
- Channel hydraulics including water surface profiles and summary tables of peak flows at critical locations for both Fryer and Nathanson Creeks.
- Overland flow results showing maximum flood depths within the floodplains and City.



Overland flow results are included in Figures 6-1, 6-2, and 6-3 respectively for the 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year 24-hour storms. Full size maps of these figures are included under separate attachment.

Channel hydraulic and storm drain hydraulic results are summarized individually in the following sections.

6.1.2.1. Fryer Creek Hydraulic Results

Fryer Creek receives drainage from a significant portion of the City of Sonoma as depicted in Figure 4-1.1. Fryer Creek channel hydraulics were simulated within MIKE 11, and flows in Fryer Creek were input to the MIKE 11 model through a dynamic coupling with MU in which all storm drain runoff hydrographs are input at their respective outfall locations within Fryer Creek. Fryer Creek was also dynamically coupled to the MIKE 21 2-dimenisonal surface overland flow model to predict floodplain and street overland flow as a result of Fryer Creek overtopping its banks.

The MIKE 11 model results for maximum water surface elevations under the 10year, 25-year, and 100-year, 24-hour storms are shown graphically in Figure 6-4 at the end of Section 6. The governing design criteria for Fryer Creek, given it is a secondary waterway, is maintaining 1.5-feet of freeboard during the 25-year, 24 hour storm, while having sufficient channel capacity to pass the 100-year event within its channel banks. Figure 6-5 specifically shows the 25-year storm water surface profile for Fryer Creek in addition to the left and right channel bank elevations.

The water surface profile on Figure 6-5 indicates that flows in Fryer Creek during the 25-year design storm exceed the 1.5-foot freeboard requirement throughout the channel's reach within the City, and also exceeds the full bank channel capacity throughout the majority of its reach within the City. The 25-year water surface profile exceeds the channel banks through much of the upper Fryer Creek watershed north of West MacArthur Street including the vicinity of the confluence of the East Fork, Arroyo Way, and the Bettencourt Street and Andrieux Street areas. The 551-ft long Bettencourt culvert flows under both flooded inlet and outlet conditions, while the West MacArthur culvert is flooded at its inlet during the 25-year storm. The Arroyo Way bridge is fully submerged, while the Leveroni Road bridge is flooded above its soffit elevation.

Large hydraulic losses at the West MacArthur Street culvert are depicted in the water surface profiles in Figure 6-4. During the 25-year storm, these losses total approximately four feet across the length culvert. The profile figure shows the culvert bottom at West MacArthur Street is perched approximately two feet on the upstream side and four feet on the downstream side of the culvert. This culvert orientation and its limited cross sectional area (5.5 ft x 12.5 ft) cause significant backwatering effects seen in the water surface profile upstream of the culvert.



Replacement of this culvert with a bridge could be a feasible project for the City and was investigated as part of the CIP planning and project development. Results are described in Section 7.

Figure 6-2 shows the 25-year overland flooding results within the Fryer Creek watershed caused by both flooding of Fryer Creek and storm drain system related flooding. Overland flooding is concentrated in the following areas:

- The open channel portion of Fryer Creek located flowing from 4th Street West to 3rd Street West between Bettencourt Street to the north and Arroyo Way to the south.
- The area north of West MacArthur Street and mainly west of Fryer Creek in the vicinity of the confluence of the East Fork of Fryer Creek.
- Some localized flooding at the upstream end of Fryer Creek (where open channel conditions begin) just east of the Safeway parking lot located at the corner of Fifth Street West and West Napa Street.
- Some localized flooding at the downstream end of Fryer Creek near Leveroni Road.

These localized flooding areas result from Fryer Creek being unable to contain the 25-year, 24-hour event within its banks. Figure 6-3 shows more extensive flooding in the Fryer Creek watershed during the 100-year, 24-hour event.

Table 6-1 summarizes 25-year and 100-year baseline peak flows and peak hydraulic grade lines (HGLs) within the Fryer Creek channel at key locations. Note that these peak flows represent flows contained within MIKE 11, and do not include flows within the MIKE 21 floodplain and/or street flooding. Also, MIKE 11 has different stationing associated with computational points related to peak flow and peak HGL. The former are called Q-points within MIKE 11; the latter are called H-points within MIKE 11. This variation between Q-point and H-point stationing makes reporting both peak flows and peak HGLs for a specific location difficult. However, complete MIKE 11 output summarizing peak flows and peak HGLs at all the Q-points and H-points are included in Appendix F.



Fryer Creek Location	Creek Station (Model Q point Station/H point Station)	Peak Q25 (cfs) 1	Peak Q100 (cfs) 1	Peak Q25 HGL (ft)	Peak Q100 HGL (ft)
Beginning of Open Channel	0+13 (0+35/0+13)	310	357	77.4	77.7
At Andrieux St culvert entrance	10+57 (10+49/10+57)	315	3359	70.6	71.5
At confluence of East Fork Fryer Creek	26+60 (27+13/26+60)	544	594	68.5	68.9
At confluence of West Fork Fryer Creek	41+45 (41+49/41+45)	603	799	61.6	62.4
At Leveroni Road	61+68 (61+68/ 61+68 ²)	587	722	57.4	58.0

TABLE 6-1 Summary Table of Peak Flows and Peak HGLs in Fryer Creek.

¹ Peak Q25 and Q100 flows shown are taken directly from the Model Station (Q-point) shown since actual stations do not exist as flow computational points within the MIKE 11 model.

² The HGLs for this station were interpolated between adjacent computational points.

The Table 6-1 shows a 14% - 32.5% increase in flow from the 25-year event to the 100-year event depending on location along Fryer Creek. The lower end of the spectrum (14% increase) occurs at the beginning of the open channel and at Andrieux Street where channel flows approach 25-year flood capacity, and significant overbank flows are occurring. Note that the peak flows shown above are limited to the channel flows and do not incorporate flows that have escaped the banks onto City streets or the floodplain. The largest increase in peak flows (32.5%) occurs at the confluence of West Fork Fryer Creek where Fryer Creek has the most freeboard along its reach and no flow is lost to overland flow. The slight decrease in peak flows when comparing flows at the confluence of West Fork Fryer Creek and at Leveroni Road can be attributed to attenuation of peak flows behind the Leveroni Road bridge structure.

CIP projects aimed at reducing flooding within the Fryer Creek watershed are discussed in Section 7.

6.1.2.2. West Fork of Fryer Creek Hydraulic Results

The West Fork of Fryer Creek is located within the southwestern portion of the Fryer Creek watershed. The West Fork channel hydraulics were simulated within MIKE 11, and flows were input to the MIKE 11 model through a dynamic coupling with MU in which the single storm drain outfall to the West Fork was modeled. Runoff hydrographs from the other subbasins within the West Fork watershed that do not contain storm drain system were input as overland flow to the MIKE 11 model. The West Fork was also dynamically coupled to the MIKE



21 2-dimenisonal surface overland flow model to predict floodplain and street overland flow as a result of HGL exceeding creek bank elevations.

The MIKE 11 model results for maximum water surface elevations under the 10year and 100-year, 24-hour storms are shown graphically in Figure 6-6 at the end of Section 6. The 25-year, 24-hour storm is not shown on this figure due to the proximity of the maximum water surface profile to the 10-year, and 100-year storms. The governing design criteria for the West Fork of Fryer Creek, given it is a minor waterway, is maintaining 1.5-feet of freeboard during the 10-year, 24 hour storm, while having sufficient channel capacity to pass the 100-year event within its channel banks. Figure 6-7 specifically shows the 10-year storm water surface profile for the West Fork of Fryer Creek in addition to the left and right channel bank elevations.

The water surface profile on Figure 6-7 indicates that flows in the West Fork of Fryer Creek during the 10-year design storm exceed the 1.5-foot freeboard requirement throughout the channel's reach within the City, and also exceed the full bank channel capacity throughout the majority of its reach within the City.

6.1.2.3. East Fork of Fryer Creek Hydraulic Results

The East Fork of Fryer Creek is located within the eastern portion of the Fryer Creek watershed. The East Fork channel hydraulics were simulated within MIKE 11, and flows were input to the MIKE 11 model through a dynamic coupling with MU in which the single storm drain outfall to the East Fork was modeled. Runoff hydrographs from the other subbasins within the East Fork watershed that do not contain storm drain systems were input as overland flow to the MIKE 11 model. The East Fork was also dynamically coupled to the MIKE 21 2-dimensional surface overland flow model to predict floodplain and street overland flow as a result of the creek flooding its banks.

The MIKE 11 model results for maximum water surface elevations under the 10year and 100-year, 24-hour storms are shown graphically in Figure 6-8 at the end of Section 6. The 25-year, 24-hour storm is not shown on this figure due to the proximity of the maximum water surface profile to the 10-year, and 100-year storms. The governing design criteria for the East Fork of Fryer Creek, given it is a secondary waterway, is maintaining 1.5-feet of freeboard during the 25-year, 24 hour storm, while having sufficient channel capacity to pass the 100-year event within its channel banks. Figure 6-9 specifically shows the 25-year storm water surface profile for the East Fork of Fryer Creek in addition to the left and right channel bank elevations.

The water surface profile on Figure 6-9 indicates that flows in the East Fork of Fryer Creek during the 25-year design storm exceed the 1.5-foot freeboard requirement throughout the channel's reach within the City, and also exceeds full bank channel capacity throughout the majority of its reach within the City.



6.1.2.4. Nathanson Creek Hydraulic Results

The Nathanson Creek watershed comprises the eastern majority of the City of Sonoma as depicted in Figure 4-1.1. This watershed is larger than Fryer Creek watershed, and is defined as a major waterway per the SCWA FCDC. Nathanson Creek channel hydraulics were simulated within MIKE 11 in conjunction with Fryer Creek; therefore, flows enter Nathanson Creek via a dynamic coupling with MU in which all storm drain runoff hydrographs are input at their respective outfall locations within Nathanson Creek. Nathanson Creek was also dynamically coupled to the MIKE 21 2-dimenisonal surface overland flow model to predict floodplain and street overland flow as a result of HGL exceeding bank elevations.

The MIKE 11 model results for maximum water surface elevations under the 10year, and 100-year, 24-hour storms are shown graphically in Figure 6-10. The 25year, 24-hour storm is not shown on this figure due to the close proximity of the maximum water surface profile for the 10-year, and 100-year storms. The governing design criteria for Nathanson Creek, designated as a major waterway, is maintaining 1.5-feet of freeboard during the 100-year, 24 hour storm. Figure 6-11 specifically shows the 100-year storm, water surface profile for Nathanson Creek in addition to the left and right channel bank elevations.

The water surface profile on Figure 6-11 indicates 100-year, 24-hour storm flows are nearly exceeding capacity of the channel along the majority of its reach indicated by the close proximity of the water surface profile with the left and right channel bank elevations. There is little to no freeboard throughout the reach, and several locations exist where 100-year water surface profile elevations exceed the channel bank elevations causing flooding (shown in Figure 6-3). The most notable flooding locations occur at the following locations:

- Between E MacArthur Street and Chase Street (heavy)
- Just upstream of France Street (heavy)
- Upstream of Patten Street (heavy)
- Between 2nd Street East and 3rd St East (heavy)
- Just upstream of East Napa Street (moderate)
- Upstream of 4th Street East (moderate)

The upstream soffit of most bridges on Nathanson Creek is submerged during the 100-year event. The upstream hydraulic grade line at the Patten Street bridge is approximately equal to the bridge's top of deck elevation.

Figure 6-3 shows the 100-year overland flooding results within the Nathanson Creek watershed caused by both flooding of Nathanson Creek, overland flow causing street and structure flooding, and storm drain system related flooding. Overland flooding caused by Nathanson Creek is focused in the following areas:


- A minor overbank breach on the southern bank of Nathanson Creek near the vicinity of the extended intersection of 5th St East and East Spain Street.
- A larger overbank breach on the southern bank of Nathanson Creek in the vicinity of 4th St East, and another overbank breach of the southern bank just upstream of 3rd St East. These flows combine to flow south down 4th St East.
- A larger overbank breach on the southern bank of Nathanson Creek in the vicinity of 2nd St East.
- Several breach locations of both west and east banks of Nathanson Creek from Patten Street to Austin Street.
- Some localized flooding at the southern extent of Nathanson Creek in the vicinity of Sonoma Valley High School and Train Town.

Table 6-2 summarizes 100-year baseline peak flows within the Nathanson Creek channel at key locations. Peak flows described here represent flows contained within MIKE 11, and do not include flows within the MIKE 21 floodplain and/or street flooding.

TABLE 6-2Summary Table of Peak Flows and Peak HGLs in NathansonCreek.

Nathanson Creek Location	Creek Station	Peak Q100 (cfs) ¹	Peak Q100 HGL (ft) ²
At 4 th St East	139+70	836	95.0
At 2 nd St East	156+15	1,097	85.2
At Patten St	165+01	979	81.3
At Austin St	188+16	940	70.3
At Napa Rd	227+03	1,012	52.2

¹ Peak Q25 and Q100 flows shown are taken directly from the Model Station (Q-point) shown, as the actual stations do not exist as flow computational points within the MIKE 11 model.

² The HGLs for these stations were interpolated between adjacent computational points.

Table 6-2 shows some significant variation of 100-year peak flows at the locations shown along Nathanson Creek. The large decrease between 2nd St East and Patten Street is mostly due to large breaches occurring upstream of Patten Street, and results in significant floodplain and street flooding. The Patten Street bridge is also responsible for some attenuation as can be seen in the hydraulic profile of the creek in Figure 6-7.

CIP projects aimed at reducing flooding within the Nathanson Creek watershed are discussed in Section 7.

6.1.2.5. Storm Drain System Hydraulics

The storm drain systems discharging to Sonoma, Fryer, Nathanson, and Schell Creeks within the City of Sonoma all drain areas of less than one square mile;



therefore, all storm drain systems are considered minor waterways with a 10-year design storm. As previously described, SCWA FCDC states that all minor waterways within closed conduits must be able to contain the 10-year design storm. Surcharging is allowed; however, the storm drain system must maintain a minimum one foot of freeboard. Furthermore, the criteria also address 100-year flooding and states that the storm drain system must be able to pass the 100-year flood without causing overland flooding of structures.

Figure 6-1 showing the 10-year flood map for the City of Sonoma also includes a graphical display of freeboard at manholes within the system. The following symbolization was used in this figure:

- Manholes meeting the 1-foot freeboard criteria are shown in green.
- Manholes not meeting the 1-foot freeboard criteria but not exceeding the manhole rim elevation are shown in yellow.
- Manholes with a 10-year hydraulic grade line exceeding the rim elevation are shown in red.

The following pipe segment list outlines storm drain system components not meeting the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design storm. In addition to Figure 6-1, refer to Figure 2-1 for storm drain system designations. Appendix E includes longitudinal profiles showing the 10-year hydraulic grade line with respect to ground level for each storm drain line discussed below.

- Line S-1 there are a number of storm drain inlets/manholes not meeting the 1-foot freeboard requirement north of Newcomb Street. These include the two most upstream inlets/manholes along East Napa Street, several inlets/manholes between Avenue Del Oro and East Napa Street, and one inlet/manhole along East MacArthur Street. These limitations are due to excessive headloss through the existing 36-inch storm drain pipe located from the intersection of Avenue Del Oro and Appleton Way extending south to East MacArthur Street. An improvement project is proposed to resolve hydraulic constraints within Line S-1, and will be discussed further in Section 7.
- 2) Line S-1-3 the most upstream storm drain inlet/manhole located at the intersection of East MacArthur Street and 5th Street East does not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event. However, the pipe appears to be adequately sized, and is not surcharged during the 10-year event. There is little to no cover on the most upstream portion of Line S-1-3. This limitation is not due to hydraulic constraints within the existing storm drain piping but may be due to cover constraints. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 3) Line N-3 the four most upstream storm drain inlets/manholes on Line N-3 along Eastin Drive do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the



10-year, 24-hour design event. The most upstream inlet/manhole is also flooding during the 10-year, 24-hour event. These limitations are due to excessive headloss through the existing 24-inch storm drain pipe. An improvement project is proposed to resolve hydraulic constraints within Line N-3, and will be discussed further in Section 7.

- 4) Line N-5 several storm drain inlets/manholes along Line N-5 do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event, and there are four inlets/manholes with hydraulic grade lines exceeding their rim elevations thereby causing street flooding. There are cover limitations along this line, with some portions of the pipeline having less than one foot of cover. However, there does appear to be excessive headloss through the existing 30-inch storm drain piping causing backwatering of the upstream portion of the alignment. An improvement project is proposed to resolve hydraulic constraints within Line N-5, and will be discussed further in Section 7.
- 5) Line N-8 The two storm drain inlets/manholes on Line N-8 do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event. This is mainly due to backwater effect from the 10-year water surface elevation within Nathanson Creek. The most upstream inlet/manhole has a rim elevation approximately equal to the water surface elevation within Nathanson, while the intermediary inlet/manhole has a rim elevation approximately 6-inches above the water surface elevation within Nathanson Creek. This limitation is not due to hydraulic constraints within the existing storm drain piping but due to Nathanson Creek backwater effects. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 6) Line F-1 Several storm drain inlets/outlets located along the roadside ditch on the eastern side of Broadway/Highway 12 are not meeting the 1-foot freeboard requirement. However, this line is not surcharged during the 10year, 24-hour event, and this limitation appears to be related to minimal cover over the existing storm drain pipe. All of the storm drain inlets/outlets along the roadside ditch have ½-foot freeboard or greater. There are no hydraulic constraints within Line F-1. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 7) Line F-2 The two storm drain inlets/manholes on Line F-2 do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event due to backwater effect from the 10-year water surface elevation within Fryer Creek. Neither inlet/manhole is flooding. This limitation is not due to hydraulic constraints within the existing storm drain piping but due to Fryer Creek backwater effects. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.



- 8) Line F-3 The three storm drain inlets/manholes on Line F-3 do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event due to backwater effect from the 10-year water surface elevation within Fryer Creek. Two of the inlets/manholes are flooding. This limitation is not due to hydraulic constraints within the existing storm drain piping but due to Fryer Creek backwater effects. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 9) Line F-4 The storm drain inlets/manholes on Line F-3 do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event (with the exception of the most upstream inlet/manhole) due to backwater effect from the 10-year water surface elevation within Fryer Creek. None of the inlets/manholes are flooding. This limitation is not due to hydraulic constraints within the existing storm drain piping but due to Fryer Creek backwater effects. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 10) Line F-6 The most upstream storm drain inlet on Line F-6 does not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event due to backwater effect from the 10-year water surface elevation within Fryer Creek. None of the inlets/manholes are flooding. This limitation is not due to hydraulic constraints within the existing storm drain piping but due to Fryer Creek backwater effects. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 11) Line F-9 The storm drain outlet at the upstream end of the roadside ditch located adjacent to the Mountain Cemetery is shown as not meeting the 1-foot freeboard requirement in Figure 6-1; however, the actual freeboard is only three hundredths of a foot from meeting this requirement. For all practical purposes, this line is meeting its freeboard requirements. None of the F-9 line is surcharged in this vicinity, and there are no hydraulic constraints within the existing roadside ditches or storm drain piping. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 12) Line F-9-3 The storm drain inlet/manhole located along this line at W Spain Street where the line turns north to serve the existing development does not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement. However, this line is not surcharged during the 10-year, 24-hour event, and this limitation appears to be related to minimal cover over the existing storm drain pipe. There are no hydraulic constraints within Line F-9-3. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.
- 13) Line F-12 The four most upstream storm drain inlets/manholes along Line
 F-12 do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year, 24-hour design event. These inlets/manholes are along Robinson Road north of the
 Sonoma Bike Path. They are also flooding during the 10-year, 24-hour event.



These limitations are due to excessive headloss through the existing 27-inch storm drain pipe. An improvement project is proposed to resolve hydraulic constraints within Line F-12, and will be discussed further in Section 7.

14) Line SON-4 – The three most upstream storm drain inlets/manholes located along this line do not meet the 1-foot freeboard requirement. These inlets/manholes are surcharging and flooding, but this limitation is not due to hydraulic constraints within the existing storm drain piping but due to Sonoma Creek backwater effects. No improvement project is proposed for this line at this time.

In addition to meeting the design criteria for the 10-year, 24-hour design event, the Flood Control and Design Criteria also include criteria that no storm drain infrastructure shall cause overland flooding to structures during the 100-year event. For the purposes of this SDMP, damage caused to structures was assumed to be caused by flooding greater than 6-inches of depth, a standard curb height at which point flooding has the potential to exceed street overland flow routes confined by the curbs. The following list summarizes areas within the City where flow paths resulting from the surcharging storm drain system during the 100-year, 24-hour storm results in downstream flooding of structures.

- Line F-12 There are flood depths of greater than 6-inches caused by surcharging storm drain infrastructure resulting in overland flow along Line F-12 in the area bounded by the confluence of Lines F-12-2 and F-12-3. There is also some overland flooding greater than 6-inches south along the F-12 alignment in between W Spain St and W Napa St. These limitations are due to hydraulic constraints within Line F-12. There are several potential improvement projects being considered that could alleviate this overland flooding including overflow bypasses to Sonoma Creek. These will be discussed further in Section 7.
- 2) Line N-5 There are flood depths of greater than 6-inches caused by surcharging storm drain infrastructure on E MacArthur Street flooding the high school track and some structures along MacArthur Lane. As discussed prior, this segment of Line N-5 has been targeted for CIP improvements due to hydraulic limitations associated with the 10-year design criteria. The effects of these improvements on the 100-year overland flooding will be discussed further in Section 7.

The remainder of overland flooding caused by surcharging storm drain infrastructure during the 100-year storm is maintained within the roadways and not assumed to have the potential to cause overland flooding of structures during the 100-year storm. Figure 6-1 displays 10-year, 24-hour storm baseline flooding results.





City Limits Storm Drain Manholes 10 Year Flood Parcel Boundaries Freeboard (ft) Depth (ft) Creeks • > 1.0 >1 Bypass Channel • 0.0 - 1.0 >0 Storm Drain Pipes • < 0.0	0 600 1,200 ft inch = 600 feet printed at 22x34 Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery, Transportation; Sonoma County GIS: City Limits, Parcels; Winzler and Kelly	WINZLER & www.w-and-k.cor	MELLY	Figure 6-1 10 Year Baseline Flood Map and Storm Drain Hydraulic Results Storm Drain Master Plan
Storm Drain Outlets	N GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System, 10 Year Flood.	AF 5/12/2011	0241809039	City of Sonoma



City Limits Storm Drain Outlets Parcel Boundaries 25 Year Flood Create Dearth (ft)	0 600 1,200 ft	WINZLER &		Figure 6-2 25 Year Baseline Flood Map
Bypass Channel	Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery, Transportation; Sonoma County GIS:	www.w-and-K.cor		Storm Drain Master Plan
 Storm Drain Pipes Storm Drain Manholes 	N City Limits, Parcels; Winzler and Kelly GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System, 25 Year Flood.	Cartography Date AF 5/16/2011	Project # 0241809039	City of Sonoma



City Limits • Storm Drain Manholes Parcel Boundaries 100 Year Flood Creeks Denth (ft)	0 600 1,200 ft	** **			100 Year	Figure 6-3 Baseline Flood Map
Bypass Channel	Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery,	vv		in i	ATT CITY OF A	Storm Drain Master Plan
 Storm Drain Pipes Storm Drain Outlets 	N GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System, 100 Year Flood.	Cartography AF	Date 5/16/2011	Project # 0241809039		City of Sonoma



Figure 6-4. Maximum Water Surface Profiles for Fryer Creek.





Figure 6-5. 25-Year, 24-Hour Design Storm Results for Fryer Creek.





Figure 6-6. Maximum Water Surface Profiles for West Fork of Fryer Creek.





Figure 6-7. 10-Year, 24-Hour Design Storm Results for West Fork of Fryer Creek.





Figure 6-8. Maximum Water Surface Profiles for East Fork of Fryer Creek.





Figure 6-9. 25-Year, 24-Hour Design Storm Results for East Fork of Fryer Creek.





Figure 6-10. Maximum Water Surface Profiles for Nathanson Creek.





Figure 6-11. 100-year, 24-hour design storm results for Nathanson Creek.



7.0 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

This chapter presents modeling results associated with CIP projects identified for the City of Sonoma, and also presents opinions of probable costs associated with the projects. Proposed CIP projects include both improvements to the Fryer and Nathanson Creek channels, and improvements to the City's storm drain system. As previously discussed, the scope of the CIP was focused on identifying storm drain system improvements; however, due to the dynamics between the storm drain system, the Fryer Creek and Nathanson Creek channel systems, and the City's street system, recommendations could not be made for the storm drain system without consideration for potential channel system improvements that may be more cost-effective in handling City flooding.

Storm drain infrastructure CIP projects are recommended based on assessment of system deficiencies based on meeting FCDC guidelines. Storm drain system hydraulics were analyzed to determine if hydraulic constrictions were present which could be alleviated by CIP projects, or if the storm drain system hydraulics were being governed by backwater elevations in Nathanson or Fryer Creeks, in which case improvements to the storm drain system would have no net effect. CIP projects related to Fryer Creek and Nathanson Creek channel improvements are also recommended based on assessment of deficiencies with respect to not meeting SCWA's FCDC guidelines. Channel hydraulics were analyzed to determine where floodwalls could be located to reduce overbank flows resulting in significant street flooding or structure improvements to improve hydraulic grade line conditions within the Creeks.

This section first presents CIP projects and model results related to channel improvements within Fryer Creek and Nathanson Creek, and then presents model results associated with storm drain infrastructure improvements. Channel improvements were investigated first because some potential storm drain infrastructure improvements may be negated if channel improvements are implemented. This would occur if bypass pipes were proposed to transport overbank flows caused by breaches of either Fryer or Nathanson Creek. The channel improvements were also considered to be more economically feasible than some of the required bypass systems that would need to be implemented if channel improvements were not implemented first. Effort was made to spread the 10-year total CIP costs evenly across each fiscal year (FY) excepting FY 2010/2011.

Figure 7-1 summarizes the channel and storm drain system CIP projects identified as part of the SDMP. A full size figure (22"x34") is included under separate attachment.





City Limits Storm Drain Outlets CIP Project Type Creeks Structual Improvements	0 600 1,200 ft	WINZLER & K	nzler & Kelly	Figure 7-1 Proposed CIP Projects Location Map
Bypass Channel Channel Improvements Modeled Storm Drain Pipes Storm Drain Improvements Storm Drain Manholes	Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery, Transportation; Sonoma County GIS: City Limits; Winzler and Kelly GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System. Storm Drain System.	Cartography Date AF 5/12/2011	≷ ⊚ Project # 0241809039	Storm Drain Master Plan City of Sonoma

7.1 Channel Improvement Projects

Channel improvement projects may require the City to obtain permanent easements from private property owners. SCWA currently possesses channel easements (primarily for brush clearing) on Nathanson Creek and other easements exist for Fryer Creek. In addition to permanent easements, the City may also need to acquire temporary construction easements for the work involved with channel improvement projects. Neither costs for preparing easement descriptions nor costs for actual easement acquisitions are included in CIP project costs for associated channel improvement projects.

The following channel improvements were identified for inclusion within the City's CIP. These projects were identified from analyzing the baseline model results presented in Section 6 of this report. The first two projects address backwatering effects caused by structures within Fryer Creek and Nathanson Creek, while the third project addresses channel breaches within Nathanson Creek.

Project	Project	Existing Condition	Proposed Improvement
ID	Location		
CIP-1	Fryer Creek at W MacArthur St	Existing 5.5'x12.5' culvert is perched approximately two feet above the channel thalweg causing significant backwater effects upstream	A new 8'x15' box culvert with invert elevation equal to channel thalweg
CIP-2	Nathanson Creek Patten St Bridge	Patten St Bridge causes backwater effects at high flows raising upstream HGL	Raise bridge deck by 1.5' to reduce backwatering effects
CIP-3	Nathanson Creek Floodwalls	No floodwalls currently exist.	Install floodwall along northern and southern banks of upper Nathanson Creek to reduce City flooding

TABLE 7-1 Channel Improvement Project Summary.

The channel improvement projects summarized above are explained in further detail below.

7.1.1 Channel Improvement Project CIP-1

The existing culvert on Fryer Creek at W MacArthur Street is a 5.5' x 12.5' box culvert that is perched above the channel bottom creating a significant backwater condition upstream of this culvert. The upstream invert of the box culvert is raised approximately 2-feet above the channel thalweg at the upstream face of the culvert, while the downstream invert of the box culvert is raised approximately 4- feet above the channel thalweg at the downstream face of the culvert. The perched nature of the existing box culvert creates a significant energy loss, increasing upstream hydraulic grade lines within Fryer Creek by approximately 4-feet during both the 25-year, 24-hour design storm and the 100-year, 24-hour storm. The Fryer Creek hydraulic profile under baseline and improvement conditions is provided in Figure 7-4.



The proposed improvement project consists of removing the existing box culvert and replacing with a larger culvert with inverts equal to the channel thalweg. The new box culvert was modeled as an 8' x 15' box culvert with channel bottoms equal to the upstream and downstream thalwegs of Fryer Creek.

Costs related with Project 1 are presented in Table 7-3.

7.1.2 Channel Improvement Project CIP-2

The existing bridge deck on Nathanson Creek at Patten Street has a soffit elevation of 81.39-feet and a top deck elevation of 82.79-feet. This bridge structure causes the largest backwater effect on Nathanson Creek during the 100-year, 24-hour design storm as shown in the baseline condition hydraulic profile for Nathanson Creek in Figure 6-10. The backwater effect is approximately 1.5-feet during the 100-year, 24-hour storm.

The proposed improvement project consists of replacing the existing bridge with a new bridge with slightly elevated bridge deck elevations. The new bridge structure was modeled with a new bridge soffit elevation of 82.89-feet, and a new bridge deck elevation of 84.29-feet, effectively raising the bridge by 1.5-feet equal to the energy losses seen across the bridge under baseline conditions.

Costs related to Project 2 are presented in Table 7-4.

7.1.3 Channel Improvement Project CIP-3

The upstream reach of Nathanson Creek experiences significant overbank flooding during the 100-year, 24-hour design storm as shown in the baseline flood mapping presented in Figure 6-3. The locations at which overbank breaches are occurring are evident in Figure 6-11, showing the 100-year, 24-hour water surface profiles with respect to the left channel and right channel bank elevations. Breaches occur upstream of the 4th Street East bridge, East Napa Street bridge, 3rd Street East bridge, 2nd Street East bridge, Patten Street bridge, and France Street bridges, with the most significant overland and street flooding occurring from the 4th Street East breach, the 2nd Street East breach, and the Patten Street East breach.

The proposed improvement project consists of installing floodwalls mainly along the southern and eastern banks (left channel bank looking downstream) of Nathanson Creek, with some floodwalls to a lesser extent along the northern and western banks (right channel bank looking downstream) of Nathanson Creek required as a result of the left channel bank floodwalls slightly elevating the water surface profile along the upstream reach of Nathanson Creek. Floodwalls were modeled assuming 3-foot heights.

Left channel bank floodwalls were modeled extending from approximately 5th Street East to 2nd Street East and again from Patten Street to East MacArthur Street. Right channel bank floodwalls were modeled just in the vicinity of 2nd Street East (approximately ½ block each way) and from Patten Street to East MacArthur Street. These extents may not necessarily be required but were modeled conservatively to determine what level of improvement could be achieved with the floodwalls. This level of accuracy was not achieved with the SDMP. Further design and hydraulic modeling would be needed to determine exactly where water surface elevations exceed existing right and left channel bank elevations in order to refine floodwall locations.



Costs associated with Project 3 are presented later in Table 7-5. These costs do not include provision for possible required easement acquisition from private property owners.

7.2 Channel Improvement Model Results

The MU/MF model results associated with the channel improvement projects described above are presented for the 25-year, 24-hour storm and the 100-year, 24-hour storm in Figures 7-2 and 7-3. Full size foldouts of these Figures are included in a separate attachment.

The figures show significant reduction in overbank flooding along Nathanson Creek as a result of the floodwall project for both storm events. The reduced flooding is seen along Broadway Street and in the neighborhoods bound by Nathanson Creek to the west and north and West MacArthur Street to the south. There is also some alleviation of flooding along Fryer Creek upstream of West MacArthur Street as a result of the culvert replacement project. No net increase in flooding within the City is seen under the 25-year, 24-hour storm as a result of the proposed channel improvement; however, there is an increase in downstream flooding along Nathanson Creek for the 100-year, 24-hour storm. This increased flooding is contained within the Sonoma Valley High School and Adele Harrison Middle School properties with some slight increased flooding at Train Town.

Water surface profiles for Fryer Creek and Nathanson Creek with channel improvement projects incorporated are included as Figures 7-4 and 7-5. These figures present both the baseline water surface profiles and the water surface profiles with channel improvement projects in place for comparison purposes. Only 100-year, 24-hour water surface profiles are shown to demonstrate the effectiveness of the channel improvements for both creeks under this design condition. Existing channel thalweg elevations for Fryer Creek are shown although the perched culvert on Fryer Creek was lowered under the channel improvement condition.

Figure 7-4 shows a reduction in water surface elevation immediately upstream of the West MacArthur Street culvert of approximately 1.5-feet as a result of Project CIP-1. Over time, the channel bottom has aggraded upstream of this culvert. And it is likely that the channel would scour upstream of the culvert to match the culvert invert elevation. The upstream invert of the culvert could be lowered slightly beyond its modeled elevation to allow for sediment buildup to flush through the system. This could further decrease upstream water surface elevations.

Figure 7-5 shows an increase in water surface elevations from 4th St East to Austin St as a result of incorporating floodwalls in Project 3. Energy losses at the Patten Street bridge have been greatly reduced as a result of increasing the bridge soffit and deck height on Nathanson Creek.

These projects should be modeled with greater detail and precision prior to design/construction in an effort to refine project scopes, limits and costs.





Includes CI	Ps 1-3				
City Limits City City Limits Creeks	25 Year Flood with Channel Improvements Depth (ft)	0 600 1,200 ft	WINZLER &	KELLY & Kelly	Figure 7-2 25 Year Flood Map with Channel Improvements
 Bypass Channel Storm Drain Pipes Storm Drain Outlets Storm Drain Manholes 	Flooding Avoided with Channel Improvements	N Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery, Transportation; Sonoma County GIS: City Limits, Parcels; Winzler and Kelly GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System, Model Results.	Cartography Date AF 5/16/2011	Project # 0241809039	Storm Drain Master Plan City of Sonoma



Includes CI	Ps 1-3				
City Limits Parcel Boundaries Creeks	100 Year Flood with Channel Improvements Depth (ft) >1 CIP Project Type Structual Improvements Channel Improvements	0 600 1,200 ft	WINZLER &	Winzler & Kelly	Figure 7-3 100 Year Flood Map with Channel Improvements
 Bypass Channel Storm Drain Pipes Storm Drain Outlets Storm Drain Manholes 	Flooding Avoided with Channel Improvements	N Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery, Transportation; Sonoma County GIS: City Limits, Parcels; Winzler and Kelly GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System, Model Results.	Cartography Date AF 5/16/2011	Project # 0241809039	Storm Drain Master Plan City of Sonoma



Figure 7-4. Comparison of 100-Year, 24-Hour Water Surface Profiles for Fryer Creek Under Baseline and Channel Improvement Conditions.





Figure 7-5. Comparison of 100-Year, 24-Hour Water Surface Profiles for Nathanson Creek Under Baseline and Channel Improvement Conditions.



7.3 Storm Drain System Improvement Projects

Storm drain system deficiencies resulting from hydraulic deficiencies rather than backwatering effects from the creeks (summarized in Chapter 6) are included in the City's CIP project list. Table 7-2 summarizes the storm drain system CIP projects.

Project ID	Storm Drain System Designation	Existing Condition	Proposed Improvement
CIP-4	Line F-12	Approximately 835 ft of 27-in piping and 156 ft of open ditch	Replace existing pipe and open ditch with 36-in diameter RCP and drop existing invert elevations by 1-ft
CIP-5	New Bypass between Line F- 12 and SON-5	No existing pipe connecting the two systems; piping is undersized along SON-5 to handle the new flows	772 ft of new 36-in RCP tying F-12 and SON-5 lines; approximately 1,420 ft of upgrade from 36-in and 42-in existing SD to 54-in RCP; approximately 1,450-ft of existing 54-in SD to 72-in diameter RCP
CIP-6	Line F-1	Approximately 491 ft of existing 24-in diameter SD	Replace existing pipe with 36- in diameter RCP
CIP-7	Line N-3	Approximately 412 ft of existing 24- in diameter SD	Replace existing pipe with 36- in diameter RCP
CIP-8	Line N-5	Approximately 940 ft of existing 30- in diameter SD	Replace existing pipe with 42- in diameter RCP and drop existing invert elevations by 1- ft
CIP-9	Line S-1	Approximately 32 ft of existing 30- in diameter SD and Approximately 1,735-ft of existing 36-in and 42-in diameter SD	Replace existing pipe with 48- in diameter RCP
CIP-10	Line S-1	Approximately 1351 ft of existing 30-in diameter SD	Replace existing pipe with 36-in diameter RCP

 TABLE 7-2
 Storm Drain Improvement Project Summary.

The storm drain system improvement projects summarized above are explained in further detail below.

7.3.1 Storm Drain Project CIP-4

The most upstream limits of Line F-12 do not meet the FCDC design criteria during the 10-year, 24-hour design storm. This project includes upsizing approximately 835 linear feet (LF) of existing 27-inch diameter storm drain piping located north of the Sonoma Bike Path on Robinson Road and 156 LF of open ditch along the Sonoma Bike Path. The project incorporates new 36-inch diameter RCP to replace the existing piping and open ditch upstream of Node_535, shown



in the storm drain profile for Line F-12 in Appendix E. Existing invert elevations require reduction by 1-foot depth to provide adequate ground cover.

The project extents are included in Figure 7-1. Costs associated with this project are presented in Table 7-6.

7.3.2 Storm Drain Project CIP-5

Storm drain project CIP-5 includes a new bypass line to divert water from the upper Fryer Creek drainage within Line F-12 to Line SON-5, which is currently oversized and has excess capacity even during the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. The bypass line will reduce flows within Fryer Creek, which is undersized to handle current design flows and experiences more extensive flooding during higher return period events. In effect, Project CIP-5 is needed to offset the increased flows in Line F-12 associated with Project CIP-4, which increases flows to Fryer Creek that previously were attenuated by street flooding.

The proposed bypass line is a 36-inch RCP that ties into existing Node_534 on line F-12 (located at the intersection of Robinson Road and the Sonoma Bike Path), and then ties into existing Node_538 on Line SON-5 (located just south of the intersection of Robinson Rd and Lasuen St). The new bypass line also triggers the need to upsize some piping on Line SON-5 in order to not create flooding problems along this line. Improvements to SON-5 include:

- Upsizing approximately 1,450 LF of existing 54-inch storm drain piping from Node_560 to the outlet at Sonoma Creek (Node_1057) to new 72-inch diameter RCP.
- Upsizing approximately 1,420 LF of existing 36-inch and 42-inch piping from Node_538 to Node_542 to new 48-inch diameter RCP.

The proposed upgraded segments can be seen in the storm drain profile for Line SON-5 in Appendix G. Existing invert elevations can remain the same due to adequate ground cover.

The project extents are included in Figure 7-1. Costs associated with this project are presented in Table 7-7.

7.3.3 Storm Drain Project CIP-6

Storm drain project CIP-6 includes replacing approximately 491 LF of existing 24-inch diameter pipe along Line F-1 (located on Broadway) to 36-inch diameter RCP. These improvements consist of two pipe segments (Link_167 and Link_164) that are currently 24-inch diameter but have existing 36-inch diameter piping both upstream and downstream. Therefore, the existing 24-inch pipes represent bottlenecks within Line F-1.

This line did not meet the FCDC of 1-foot freeboard requirement during the 10-year storm, and also resulted in overland flooding during the 100-year design storm. These improvements will significantly reduce overland flooding during the 100-year design storm and improve 10-year system hydraulics as well.

The proposed upsized segments are shown in the storm drain profile for Line F-1 in Appendix G. Existing invert elevations are assumed to remain the same assuming adequate ground cover and due to the improvements being located beyond paved limits in Broadway.





The project extents are included in Figure 7-1. Costs associated with this project are presented in Table 7-8.

7.3.4 Storm Drain Project CIP-7

Storm drain project CIP-7 includes replacing approximately 412 LF of existing 24-inch diameter pipe along Line N-3 (located along Denmark Street just east of Nathanson Creek) to new 36-inch diameter RCP. This segment of storm drain pipe did not meet the one-foot freeboard requirement for the 10-year, 24-hour design storm, and also resulted in significant overland flooding during higher period event storms due to excessive headloss through existing undersized piping.

The improvements are proposed along Line N-3 from Node_904 to Node_908, at which point the piping increases to 36-inches to the outlet with Nathanson Creek. The proposed upsized segment is shown in the storm drain profile for Line N-3 in Appendix G. Existing invert elevations are assumed to remain the same due to adequate ground cover.

The project extents are included in Figure 7-1. Costs associated with this project are presented in Table 7-9.

7.3.5 Storm Drain Project CIP-8

Storm drain project CIP-8 includes replacing approximately 940 LF of existing 30-inch diameter pipe along Line N-5 (located along East MacArthur St just east of Nathanson Creek) to new 42-inch diameter RCP. This segment of storm drain pipe did not meet the one-foot freeboard requirement for the 10-year, 24-hour design storm, and also resulted in significant overland flooding during higher period event storms due to excessive headloss through the undersized existing piping.

The improvements are proposed along Line N-5 from Node_845 to the outlet with Nathanson Creek (Node_854). The proposed upsized segment is shown in the storm drain profile for Line N-5 in Appendix G. Existing invert elevations are assumed to decrease by 1 foot in elevation due to inadequate ground cover.

The project extents are included in Figure 7-1. Costs associated with this project are presented in Table 7-10.

7.3.6 Storm Drain Project CIP-9

Storm drain project CIP-9 includes replacing a short 32 LF segment of existing 30-inch storm drain piping which represents a significant bottleneck in Line S-1 with 48-inch diameter RCP. This CIP also includes replacing approximately 1,735 LF of existing 36-inch and 42-inch storm drain piping with 48-inch diameter RCP. This line did not meet the one-foot freeboard requirement for the 10-year, 24-hour design storm, and also resulted in significant overland flooding during higher period event storms due to excessive head loss through the undersized existing piping.

The short segment improvement proposed for Line S-1 represents Link_70 bounded by Node_827 and Node_828 and is located just downstream of the confluence of Line S-1 and Line S-1-4 on the southern side of the intersection of East MacArthur St and Cordilleras Drive. The



longer proposed improvement segment is located along Line S-1 from Node_817 to Node_827, representing the pipeline from the intersection of Avenue del Oro and Appleton Way, downstream to the confluence of Line S-1 and Line S-1-4. These proposed upsized segments are shown in the storm drain profile for Line S-1 in Appendix G. Existing invert elevations are assumed to remain the same due to adequate ground cover.

The project extents are included in Figure 7-1. Costs associated with this project are presented in Table 7-11.

7.3.7 Storm Drain Project CIP-10

Storm drain project CIP-10 includes replacing approximately 1,351 LF of existing 30-inch storm drain piping with 36-inch diameter RCP. This line did not meet the one-foot freeboard requirement for the 10-year, 24-hour design storm, resulting in significant overland flooding during higher period event storms due to excessive headloss through the undersized existing piping.

This segment improvement proposed for Line S-1 represents all piping upstream of the confluence of Line S-1 and Line S-1-6 (Node_793 to Node_804). This represents all of Line S-1 north of William Cunningham Ave. The proposed upsized segments are shown in the storm drain profile for Line S-1 in Appendix G. Existing invert elevations are assumed to remain the same due to adequate ground cover.

The project extents are included in Figure 7-1. Costs associated with this project are presented in Table 7-12.

7.4 Final CIP Model Results

The final CIP model results described in this section include all CIP projects associated with both channel improvements and storm drain system improvements. The MU/MF model results are presented for the 10-year, 25-year, and the 100-year, 24-hour storms in Figures 7-6, 7-7, and 7-8, respectively. Full size foldouts of these Figures are included under separate attachment.

Figure 7-6 presents the flood map resulting from the channel improvement CIP projects described in Section 7.1 and the storm drain CIP projects aimed at correcting deficiencies related to the FCDC design criteria. These storm drain system deficiencies were described in detail in Section 6.1.2.3, and associated projects correcting those deficiencies are described above in Section 7.3. The CIP projects significantly decrease flooding within the City of Sonoma as shown in Figure 7-6. All storm drain lines that previously flooded streets during the 10-year baseline condition do not produce any overland flooding with the CIP projects in place. Storm drain lines previously not meeting the FCDC criteria now meet the criteria as a result of the CIP projects. Updated storm drain profiles for the storm drain lines included in the CIP project list are shown in Appendix G.

Figure 7-7 and Figure 7-8 present flood mapping results with CIP projects in place for the 25year, 24-hour design storm and the 100-year, 24-hour design storm, respectively. Figure 7-7 shows that even under the 25-year, 24-hour design storm, street flooding improves as a result of the identified storm drain CIP projects. The most notable reductions in flooding are in the upper



Fryer Creek watershed, where Line F-12 no longer generates street flooding due to the upgrades recommended on this line and the new proposed bypass project on Robinson Rd. Flooding is also reduced along Lines S-1, N-3 and N-5 due to the upgrades recommended at these locations. Reduction in street flooding associated with the CIP projects is evident for the 25-year design storm, but is less pronounced for the 100-year design storm due to some reduction in street flooding as a result of the storm drain system CIP projects identified and shown in Figure 7-8.

Water surface profiles for Fryer and Nathanson Creek remain the same as those presented in Section 7.2.









City Limits 25 Year Flood with CIP Projects Depth (ft)	looding Avoided with ipeline Improvements	0 600 1 inch = 600 feet pr	1,200 ft	** **	VINZLER &	Minzler & Kelly	25 Y wit	Figure 7-7 'ear Flood Map h CIP Projects
Storm Drain Pipes Storm Drain Outlets Storm Drain Manholes		N Sources: ESRIE Transportation; City Limits, Par GIS: Creeks, S Model Results.	Basemap: Imagery, Sonoma County GIS: cels; Winzler and Kelly torm Drain System,	Cartography AF	Date 5/16/2011	© Project # 0241809039		City of Sonoma



City Limits Parcel Boundaries Creeks Bypass Channel Storm Drain Pipes Storm Drain Outlets Storm Drain Manholes City Limits Depth (ft) Flooding Avoided with Pipeline Improvements Pipeline Improvements Pipeline Improvements	0 600 1,200 ft 1 inch = 600 feet printed at 22x34 Sources: ESRI Basemap: Imagery, Transportation; Sonoma County GIS: City Limits, Parcels; Winzler and Kelly GIS: Creeks, Storm Drain System, Model Results	Cartography AF	KELLY & BERNARD	Figure 7-8 100 Year Flood Map with CIP Projects Storm Drain Master Plan

7.5 CIP Project Opinions of Probable Costs

Opinions of probable costs associated with the CIP projects identified as part of the SDMP are included below. The costs presented herein are Class 4 (study or feasibility level) estimates of probable costs as defined by the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering, International (AACE). AACE defines the "Class 4" estimate as follows:

Generally prepared on limited information and subsequently have fairly wide accuracy ranges. They are typically used for project screening, determination of feasibility, concept evaluation, and preliminary budget approval, and typically engineering is 1% to 15% complete. Some examples of estimating methods would include equipment factors, gross unit costs/ratios, and other parametric and modeling techniques. Typically, very little time is expended in the development of this estimate. The typical expected accuracy ranges for this class estimate are -15% to -30% on the low side and +20% to +50% on the high side.

It is important to note that contingency is not directly related to the stated accuracy range for a Class 4 estimate. Determination of construction cost contingency is intended to cover unforeseen aspects of construction that are not evaluated during master planning-level analysis.

The opinions of probable costs, include a 30% contingency on top of probable construction costs, and also include estimated engineering and construction management costs. Construction costs are indexed to the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index (ENR CCI) of 10148, which is for heavy construction in the San Francisco area in February 2011. An evaluation of market trends should be considered for programming of project costs once the anticipated construction schedule is known.

Below are Tables 7-3 through 7-12 with opinions of probable costs presented for each of the CIP projects identified for the SDMP. Appendix H includes all CIP projects and a strategy to finance them over a 10-year timeframe.



City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP						
Project No. 1 - Fryer Creek at West MacArthur Street			ENR CCI	10148.04	February-11	
	QUA	NTITY		COST		
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS			\$50,000	\$50,000
Water Pollution and Erosion Control	1	LS			\$50,000	\$50,000
Clearing and Grubbing	1	LS			\$50,000	\$50,000
Pavement Removal and Disposal	50	CY		\$10	\$10	\$500
Site Excavation, Remove Existing 5.5'x12.5' Concrete Box Culvert and Disposal	920	CY	\$40	\$20	\$60	\$55,200
Structural Concrete - Place New 8'x15' Concrete Box Culvert and Wingwalls	400	CY	\$500	\$300	\$800	\$320,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	20	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$2,000
Tubular Handrailing	100	LF	\$40	\$20	\$60	\$6,000
Concrete Curb and Gutter	100	LF	\$30	\$15	\$45	\$4,500
Concrete Sidewalk	1,260	SF	\$5	\$3	\$8	\$10,080
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	50	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$150
Subtotal Materials			\$251,200			
9% Sales Tax Materials						\$22,608
Construction Subtotal						\$571,038
Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)						\$21,937
Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)						\$16,453
Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)						\$82,265
Estimated Bid Price						\$691,693
Construction Contingency (30%)						\$207,508
Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost						\$899,200
Engineering/CM						
- Pre-Design (6%)	1	LS				\$53,952
- Contract Documents (14%)	1	LS				\$125,888
- Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)	1	LS				\$35,968
- Construction Management - Field (14%)	1	LS				\$125,888
Grand Total						\$1,240,897

Table 7-3

02418-09-039

Table 7-4
City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP
Project No. 2 - Nathanson Creek at Patten Street

02418-09-039

ITEM DESCRIPTION	OUA	COST				
	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS			\$50,000	\$50,00
Water Pollution and Erosion Control	1	LS			\$80,000	\$80,00
Clearing and Grubbing	1	LS			\$80,000	\$80,00
Pavement Removal and Disposal	40	CY		\$10	\$10	\$40
Remove Existing Bridge and Disposal	1	LS			\$100,000	\$100,00
Site Excavation and Disposal	37	CY	\$20	\$10	\$30	\$1,1
Structural Concrete - Bridge Abutment and Wingwalls	200	CY	\$500	\$500	\$1,000	\$200,00
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	25	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$2,50
Tubular Handrailing	100	LF	\$40	\$20	\$60	\$6,00
Concrete Curb and Gutter	80	LF	\$20	\$10	\$30	\$2,40
Concrete Sidewalk	600	SF	\$5	\$3	\$8	\$4,80
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	50	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$1:
Subtotal Materials			\$110.690			
9% Sales Tax Materials			•••••			\$9.96
Construction Subtotal						\$537.32
Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)						\$21,09
Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)						\$15,82
Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)			1			\$79,10
Estimated Bid Price						\$653,34
Construction Contingency (30%)						\$196,00
Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost						\$849,34
Engineering/CM						
- Pre-Design (6%)	1	LS				\$50.96
- Contract Documents (14%)	1	LS				\$118.90
- Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)	1	LS				\$33.97
- Construction Management - Field (14%)	1	LS				\$118,90
Crond Total						\$1 172 00
Table 7-5 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP

				1 containy 11	
QUANTITY			COST		
No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
1	LS			\$5,000	\$5,000
1	LS			\$20,000	\$20,000
1	LS			\$20,000	\$20,000
2,945	CY	\$400	\$200	\$600	\$1,767,000
1	LS			\$10,000	\$10,000
		\$1.178.000			
		• , -,			\$106,020
					\$1,928,020
					\$72,880
					\$54,660
					\$273,300
					\$2,328,860
					\$698,658
					\$3,027,518
1	LS				\$151,376
1	LS				\$333,027
1	LS				\$121,101
1	LS				\$423,853
					\$4 056 874
	QUAI No. 1 1 1 2,945 1 -	QUANTITY No. Unit 1 LS 2,945 CY 1 LS <	QUANITY Material No. Unit Material No. Unit Material I LS I 1 LS I 2,945 CY \$400 1 LS I 2,945 CY \$400 1 LS I 2,945 CY \$400 1 LS I \$1,178,000 \$1,178,000 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	QUANTITY Material Labor No. Unit Material Labor 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS 2,945 CY \$400 \$200 1 LS 2,945 CY \$400 \$200 1 LS \$1,178,000 \$1,178,000 \$1,178,000 \$1,178,000 \$1,178,000 \$1,178,000 \$1,178,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000	QUANTITY Material Labor Total No. Unit Material Labor Total I I I I I I 1 I.S I \$\$5,000 1 I.S I \$\$20,000 1 I.S I \$\$20,000 2,945 CY \$400 \$200 2,945 CY \$400 \$200 1 I.S I \$\$1,0000 \$\$1,178,000 I I I.S I I I <

02418-09-039

Table 7-6 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP Project No. 4 - Line F-12

Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)

Construction Contingency (30%)

Contract Documents (14%)

Estimated Bid Price

Engineering/CM Pre-Design (6%)

Grand Total

Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)

Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)

Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Management - Field (14%)

Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)

	QUA	QUANTITY		COST		
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
					l l	
General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS			\$6,000	\$6,000
Pavement Removal and Disposal	50	CY		\$10	\$10	\$500
Trench Shoring	1	LS			\$13,000	\$13,000
Sawcut (initial and final)	3,340	LF		\$3	\$3	\$10,020
Trench Excavation	1,010	CY		\$5	\$5	\$5,050
Disposal of Excess Material	1,010	CY		\$10	\$10	\$10,100
Remove Existing 27" RCP and Disposal	835	LF		\$10	\$10	\$8,350
36-inch RCP	995	LF	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$99,500
Trench Bedding and Backfill	950	CY	\$25	\$10	\$35	\$33,250
Backfill Compaction	950	CY		\$15	\$15	\$14,250
Manholes and Covers	2	EA	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$10,000	\$20,000
Connection to Existing Storm Drain Pipes	4	EA	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$3,000	\$12,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	100	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$10,000
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	995	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$2,985
Subtotal Materials			\$102,490			
9% Sales Tax Materials						\$9,224
Construction Subtotal						\$254,229

1

1

1

1

LS

LS

LS

LS

ENR CCI

02418-09-039

\$9,800

\$7,350

\$36,751 \$308,130

\$92,439 \$400,569

\$24,034

\$56,080

\$16,023

\$56,080

\$552,786

10148.04 February-11

Table 7-7 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP Project No. 5 - New Bypass between Line F-12 and SON-5

Project No. 5 - New Bypass between Line F-12 and SON-5		ENR CCI 10148.04 February-11				
	QUA	NTITY		COST		
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS	1 1		\$15,000	\$15,000
Pavement Removal and Disposal	263	CY		\$10	\$10	\$2,630
Trench Shoring	1	LS	1 1		\$30,000	\$30,000
Sawcut (initial and final)	14,600	LF		\$3	\$3	\$43,800
Trench Excavation	7,755	CY		\$5	\$5	\$38,775
Disposal of Excess Material	7,755	CY		\$10	\$10	\$77,550
Remove Existing 36", 42" and 54" RCP and Disposal	2,870	LF		\$10	\$10	\$28,700
36-inch RCP	780	LF	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$78,000
54-inch RCP	1,420	LF	\$85	\$85	\$170	\$241,400
72-inch RCP	1,450	LF	\$100	\$100	\$200	\$290,000
Trench Bedding and Backfill	5,200	CY	\$25	\$10	\$35	\$182,000
Backfill Compaction	5,200	CY		\$15	\$15	\$78,000
Manholes and Covers	10	EA	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$10,000	\$100,000
Storm Drain Catch Basins	4	EA	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$16,000
Connection to Existing Storm Drain Pipes	20	EA	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$3,000	\$60,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	480	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$48,000
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	3,650	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$10,950
Subtotal Materials			\$584,000			
9% Sales Tax Materials						\$52,560
Construction Subtotal						\$1,393,365
Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)						\$53,632
Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)						\$40,224
Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)						\$201,121
Estimated Bid Price						\$1,688,342
Construction Contingency (30%)						\$506,503
Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost						\$2,194,845
Engineering/CM						
- Pre-Design (5%)	1	LS				\$109,742
- Contract Documents (11%)	1	LS				\$241,433
- Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)	1	LS				\$87,794
- Construction Management - Field (14%)	1	LS				\$307,278
						* •••••
Grand Total						\$2,941,092

Table 7-8 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP Project No. 6 - Line F-1

Estimated Bid Price

Engineering/CM Pre-Design (6%)

Grand Total

Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)

Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Management - Field (14%)

Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)

Construction Contingency (30%)

Contract Documents (14%)

Project No. 6 - Line F-1		ENR CCI 10148.04 February-11				
	QUA	QUANTITY		COST		
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS			\$2,500	\$2,500
Pavement Removal and Disposal	30	CY		\$10	\$10	\$300
Trench Shoring	1	LS			\$6,000	\$6,000
Sawcut (inintial and final)	1,980	LF		\$3	\$3	\$5,940
Trench Excavation	600	CY		\$5	\$5	\$3,000
Disposal of Excess Material	600	CY		\$10	\$10	\$6,000
Remove Existing 24" RCP and Disposal	495	LF		\$10	\$10	\$4,950
36-inch RCP	495	LF	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$49,500
Trench Bedding and Backfill	470	CY	\$25	\$10	\$35	\$16,450
Backfill Compaction	470	CY		\$15	\$15	\$7,050
Manholes and Covers	2	EA	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$10,000	\$20,000
Connection to Existing Storm Drain Pipes	4	EA	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$3,000	\$12,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	50	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$5,000
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	495	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$1,485
Subtotal Materials			\$61,990			
9% Sales Tax Materials						\$5,579
Construction Subtotal						\$145,754
Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)						\$5,607
Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)						\$4,205

1

1

1

1

LS

LS

LS

LS

02418-09-039

\$21,026

\$176,593

\$52,978

\$229,570

\$13,774

\$32,140

\$9,183

\$32,140

\$316,807

Table 7-9 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP Project No. 7 - Line N-3

Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)

Construction Contingency (30%)

Contract Documents (14%)

Estimated Bid Price

Engineering/CM Pre-Design (6%)

Grand Total

Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)

Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)

Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Management - Field (14%)

Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)

Project No. 7 - Line N-3			ENR CCI	10148.04	February-11	
	QUA	NTITY		COST		
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS			\$2,500	\$2,500
Pavement Removal and Disposal	25	CY		\$10	\$10	\$250
Trench Shoring	1	LS			\$5,000	\$5,000
Sawcut (inintial and final)	1,660	LF		\$3	\$3	\$4,980
Trench Excavation	500	CY		\$5	\$5	\$2,500
Disposal of Excess Material	500	CY		\$10	\$10	\$5,000
Remove Existing 24" RCP and Disposal	415	LF		\$10	\$10	\$4,150
36-inch RCP	415	LF	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$41,500
Trench Bedding and Backfill	395	CY	\$25	\$10	\$35	\$13,825
Backfill Compaction	395	CY		\$15	\$15	\$5,925
Manholes and Covers	1	EA	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
Connection to Existing Storm Drain Pipes	2	EA	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$3,000	\$6,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	45	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$4,500
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	415	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$1,245
Subtotal Materials			\$44,705			
9% Sales Tax Materials						\$4,023
Construction Subtotal						\$111,398

1

1

1

1

LS

LS

LS

LS

02418-09-039

\$4,295

\$3,221

\$16,106

\$135,021

\$40,506 \$175,527

\$10,532

\$24,574

\$7,021

\$24,574

\$242,228

Table 7-10 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP Project No. 8 - Line N-5

Г

	QUAL	NTITY		COST			
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST	
ols Systems	1	LS			\$5,000	\$5,000	
Disposal	60	CY		\$10	\$10	\$600	
	1	LS			\$12,000	\$12,000	
)	3,760	LF		\$3	\$3	\$11,280	
	1,340	CY		\$5	\$5	\$6,700	
ial	1,340	CY		\$10	\$10	\$13,400	
P and Disposal	940	LE		\$10	\$10	\$9.400	

ENR CCI

General				
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS		
Pavement Removal and Disposal	60	CY		\$10
Trench Shoring	1	LS		
Sawcut (inintial and final)	3,760	LF		\$3
Trench Excavation	1,340	CY		\$5
Disposal of Excess Material	1,340	CY		\$10
Remove Existing 24" RCP and Disposal	940	LF		\$10
42-inch RCP	940	LF	\$75	\$75
Trench Bedding and Backfill	1,010	CY	\$25	\$10
Backfill Compaction	1,010	CY		\$15

Г

Backfill Compaction	1,010	CY		\$15	\$15	\$15,150
Manholes and Covers	3	EA	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$10,000	\$30,000
Connection to Existing Storm Drain Pipes	6	EA	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$3,000	\$18,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	105	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$10,500
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	940	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$2,820
Subtotal Materials			\$135,880			
9% Sales Tax Materials						\$12,229
Construction Subtotal						\$323,429
Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)						\$12,448
Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)						\$9,336
Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)						\$46,680
Estimated Bid Price						\$391,893
Construction Contingency (30%)						\$117,568
Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost						\$509,461
Engineering/CM						
- Pre-Design (6%)	1	LS				\$30,568
- Contract Documents (14%)	1	LS				\$71,325
- Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)	1	LS				\$20,378
- Construction Management - Field (14%)	1	LS				\$71,325
Grand Total						\$703,056

02418-09-039

\$150

\$35

\$141,000

\$35,350

10148.04 February-11

Table 7-11 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP Project No. 9 - Line S-1

			ENR CCI	10148.04	February-11
	QUAN	NTITY		COST	
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total
ols Systems	1	LS			\$10,000
Disposal	115	CY		\$10	\$10

General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS			\$10,000	\$10,000
Pavement Removal and Disposal	115	CY		\$10	\$10	\$1,150
Trench Shoring	1	LS			\$25,000	\$25,000
Sawcut (initial and final)	7,070	LF		\$3	\$3	\$21,210
Trench Excavation	2,950	CY		\$5	\$5	\$14,750
Disposal of Excess Material	2,950	CY		\$10	\$10	\$29,500
Remove Existing 30" and 36" RCP and Disposal	1,770	LF		\$10	\$10	\$17,700
48-inch RCP	1,770	LF	\$80	\$80	\$160	\$283,200
Trench Bedding and Backfill	2,150	CY	\$25	\$10	\$35	\$75,250
Backfill Compaction	2,150	CY		\$15	\$15	\$32,250
Manholes and Covers	5	EA	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$10,000	\$50,000
Connection to Existing Storm Drain Pipes	10	EA	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$40,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	210	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$21,000
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	1,770	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$5,310
Subtotal Materials			\$264,390			
9% Sales Tax Materials						\$23,795
Construction Subtotal						\$650,115
Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)						\$25,053
Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)						\$18,790
Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)						\$93,948
Estimated Bid Price						\$787,906
Construction Contingency (30%)						\$236,372
Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost						\$1,024,277
Engineering/CM						
- Pre-Design (5%)	1	LS				\$51,214
- Contract Documents (11%)	1	LS				\$112,670
- Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)	1	LS				\$40,971
- Construction Management - Field (14%)	1	LS				\$143,399
Grand Total						\$1,372,531

02418-09-039

TOTAL COST

Table 7-12 City of Sonoma - Storm Drain CIP Project No.10 - Line S-1

	QUANTITY		COST			
ITEM DESCRIPTION	No.	Unit	Material	Labor	Total	TOTAL COST
General						
Temporary Traffic Controls Systems	1	LS			\$7,000	\$7,000
Pavement Removal and Disposal	75	CY		\$10	\$10	\$750
Trench Shoring	1	LS			\$18,000	\$18,000
Sawcut (inintial and final)	1,660	LF		\$3	\$3	\$4,980
Trench Excavation	5,410	CY		\$5	\$5	\$27,050
Disposal of Excess Material	5,410	CY		\$10	\$10	\$54,100
Remove Existing 30" RCP and Disposal	1,355	LF		\$10	\$10	\$13,550
36-inch RCP	1,355	LF	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$135,500
Trench Bedding and Backfill	1,280	CY	\$25	\$10	\$35	\$44,800
Backfill Compaction	1,280	CY		\$15	\$15	\$19,200
Manholes and Covers	4	EA	\$7,000	\$3,000	\$10,000	\$40,000
Connection to Existing Storm Drain Pipes	8	EA	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$3,000	\$24,000
Asphalt Concrete Pavement	140	TON	\$50	\$50	\$100	\$14,000
Traffic Stripping and Pavement Markings	1,355	LF	\$2	\$1	\$3	\$4,065
Subtotal Materials			\$153,460			
9% Sales Tax Materials	_					\$13,811
Construction Subtotal						\$420,806
Mobilization/Demobilization (4%)						\$16,280
Contractor's Bonds and Insurance (3%)						\$12,210
Contractor's Overhead and Profit (15%)	_					\$61,049
Estimated Bid Price						\$510,345
Construction Contingency (30%)						\$153,104
Total Estimate of Probable Construction Cost						\$663,449
Engineering/CM						
- Pre-Design (6%)	1	LS				\$39,807
- Contract Documents (14%)	1	LS				\$92,883
- Engineering Support During Construction - Office (4%)	1	LS				\$26,538
- Construction Management - Field (14%)	1	LS				\$92,883
Grand Total						\$915,559

02418-09-039

Appendix A FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps









Appendix B Summary of Data Collection

APPENDIX B May, 2011 Page 1 of 2

DATA LISTING

REPORTS

City of Sonoma, Campobello Estates Subdivision, October 2001, <u>Nathanson Creek Overbank</u> Flow Analysis and Capacity Analysis of Proposed Creek Overflow Bypass Channel

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 1973, <u>Atlas 2 - Precipitation</u> Frequency Atlas of the Western United States, Volume XI - California

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), December 2008, <u>Sonoma County, California</u> and Incorporated Areas Flood Insurance Study (FIS)

Federal Emergency Management Agency, March 1975, HEC-2 Input and Output Data for hydraulic Modeling of Nathanson Creek

Federal Emergency Management Agency, May 1991, HEC-2 Input and Output Data for hydraulic Modeling of Fryer Creek

Soil Conservation Service, May 1972, Soil Survey of Sonoma County, California

Sonoma County Water Agency, August 1983, Flood Control Design Criteria

MAPPING

Aerial Photo Map of City of Sonoma – flown December 18, 2009 (Scale: 1' = 300')

City of Sonoma, Storm Drain System Map, May 1996

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for Holden Subdivision, January 1999, Oberkamper & Associates Civil Engineers, Inc.

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for The Lodge at Sonoma, April 1998, Riechers Spence & Associates Incorporated Consulting Civil Engineers.

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for Fifth Street East/Peru Road Conduit, February 2003, Department of Public Works

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for Spring Lane Subdivision, July 1989, Sandine and Associates

Sonoma County Water Agency, Sonoma Drainage Master Plan, September 1978

Sonoma County Water Agency, First Street West Conduit (West Napa Street to Vicinity Andrieux Street) – Valley of the Moon Zone 3A, July 1996

ELECTRONIC FILES

File Name	Description	Remarks
StormMains.shp	Storm drain system geometry	Provided by the City
StormStructures.shp	Storm drain system geometry	Provided by the City
Contours.shp	1' contours, 2010	Provided by the City
Streets.shp	Street geometry and names	Provided by the City
CityLimits.shp	Sonoma City Limits	Provided by the City
Aerials	High resolution ortho-rectified	Provided by the City
Aerials	2009 Naip Imagery, County	Provided by USDA
DRG-S-CA097.5, D	Sonoma County Quad map	Provided by USGS

SURVEY/MISC. DOCUMENTATION

Horizontal Control is based on the California Coordinate System Zone 2, NAD '83. Epoch: 2002.0000. Winzler & Kelly's Survey Crew employed survey grade Leica System 1200 Real Time Kinematic GPS equipment to control the aerial survey. Six hours of continuous data was logged at the base station and processed through the National Geodetic Survey's Online Positioning User Service (OPUS).

Vertical Datum is NAVD '88. The elevation published as part of the Central Coast Height Modernization Project 2007 (CENCHM2007) on the monument HPGN D CA 04 LF was held as the benchmark.

Benchmark Data: Designation - HPGN D CA 04 LF PID: JT9620 Elevation: 119.86 feet (36.533 meters) (CENCHM2007) Description: The station is located near the intersection of State Highway 12 and Verano Avenue in the Maxwell Farms Regional Park. From the intersection of State Highway 12 and Verano

in the Maxwell Farms Regional Park. From the intersection of State Highway 12 and Verano Avenue go west on Verano Avenue for about 350 feet to a side road left, the entrance to Maxwell Farms Regional Park. Turn left and go southerly then westerly on the entrance road for about 350 feet to a paved parking lot and the station in the northeast corner of the parking lot. The station is a survey disc encased in PVC pipe with access cover set in concrete flush with the ground, 248.0 feet southeast of and across the street from the southeast corner of a log building, 136.8 feet northeast of a dedication monument made of bronze and stone, 108.0 feet south of the centerline of Verano Avenue and 25.2 feet north of the centerline of the park entrance road.

Appendix C Technical Memorandum



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 1 Data Review and Design Criteria/Methodology City of Sonoma

PREPARED FOR:	Milenka Bates, City of Sonoma Phil Wadsworth, Sonoma County Water Agency Toni Bertolero, City of Sonoma
PREPARED BY:	Rick Jorgensen, Winzler & Kelly
REVIEWED BY:	Raymond Wong, Winzler & Kelly Stacy Creviston, Winzler & Kelly
DATE:	June 8, 2010
JOB #:	02418.09.039-32020
CITY TASK #:	2009-23

CITY PROJECT #: 0918

INTRODUCTION

The City of Sonoma (City) has undergone periodic flooding in the past during severe storm events. Both Nathanson Creek and Fryer Creek periodically exceed their banks though the City maintenance staff believe that some of the storm drain networks are also undersized. The City has requested its City Engineering Consultant, Winzler & Kelly (W&K), to provide engineering services to prepare a StormDrain Master Plan (Study) for the City. The Study shall de velop a storm drain system mode l within the Zone 3A watershed boundaries. The Study area consists of three sub-basins: Nathanson Creek, Fryer Creek, and Sonoma Creek. The channel modeling will include Nathanson Creek and Fryer Creek only. FEMA has already conducted modeling of the Sonoma Creek sub-basin and the results of that effort will be utilized for this Study. The pipe network mode ling will include all three watersheds. This memo summarizes the collection and review of data as well as development of the proposed design criteria to be utilized in the development of the Study.

DATA REVIEW

W&K has completed the collection and review of technical data relating to the Study for the City. Detailed lists of key documents and other materials collected to date are provided in the appendices. Also included is a list of outstanding documents not collected that would aid in the preliminary design phase, if they became available.

Our data collection efforts included requesting data from FEMA, the City and Sonoma County Water

Agency (SCWA) and Permit and Resource Management Department (PRMD). The data collected to date is summarized in Appendix A.

DESIGN CRITERIA/METHODOLOGY

SCWA developed design criteria and methodology for hydrologic and hydraulic design in the *Flood Control Design Criteria*, revised August 1983. These criteria will be used in this Study to develop the design flows at each point of analysis and proper sizing of drainage facilities.

Design Criteria

The design criteria used in the hydrologic/hydraulic analysis is based on SCWA Standards. These criteria include:

- For watersheds of four square miles or more (major waterways), the design storm is a 100-year event.
- For watersheds of one to four square miles (secondary waterways), the design storm is a 25year event.
- For watersheds less than one square mile (minor waterways), the design storm is a 10-year event.
- Secondary or minor waterways outletting into major or secondary downstream waterways shall be designed to operate against a 25-year or 10-year flow respectively in the major or secondary downstream waterway, provided that the ground elevation along the secondary or minor system shall be above the 100-year water surface elevation in the major or secondary downstream waterway.
- Secondary or minor waterways in closed conduits shall have surface routes to carry the incremental 100-year flows with no inundation of structures or be sized for the full 100-year flows.
- Closed conduits shall be designed with maximum surcharging to within one foot of top of rim or grade for purposes of determining hydraulic capacity.
- Minimum pipe diameter is 12-inches with a minimum velocity of 2.5 feet per second (fps) when flowing full.
- Downstream boundary conditions will be based on existing water surface elevations taken from FEMA models for creeks and hydraulic models for pipes when available
- Detention basins will generally be sized for 100 -year, 24-hour storm events assuming no increase in runoff from existing conditions

Design Methodology

SCWA allows using various methods for hydrologic and hydraulic analysis. MIKE Software; MIKE URBAN (MU) and MIKE FLOOD (MF) will be utilized in this study. MU coupled with MF allows development of runoff hydrographs and routing these flows through a pipe and ope n channel network.

The mode ling will allow 2-dimensional unsteady state routing of flows including overland street routing and floodplain routing.

Hydrology Utilizing MIKE URBAN:

This Section describes the MU model development process including drainage basin delineation, loss rate method, transform method, baseflow method, and precipitation event. The hydrology mode l will be developed in MIKE URBAN (MU) for subsequent coupling with the MF model. MU simulates precipitation-runoff and routing processes and allows for the coupling of subba sin hydrographs to a storm drain system for subsequent routing and ultimate discharge to a creek. MU was selected in part because of its compatibility with the MF model and the ability to couple the City's storm drain system with MF models of Fryer and Nathanson Creeks.

The hydrologic runoff procedures outlined in the USDA-NRCS (formally Soil Conservation Service) Technical Release No. 55 (TR-55) will be followed and implemented for developing and simulating hydrology within MU. TR-55 is widely used as a hydrological basis for flood studies and accepted in the industry.

Drainage Basin Delineation

The first step of model development is division of the major watersheds (Sonoma Creek, Fryer Creek and Nathanson Creek) into sub-drainages, referred hereinafter as *sub-basins*. A sub-basin element represents a complete watershed that is separated into three distinct processes: *loss rate, transform, and baseflow*. The quantity of rainfall that falls and infiltrates is represented by a loss rate method. The excess rainfall which does not infiltrate and becomes runoff is represented by a transform method. Groundwater contributions to channel flow rate are represented with a baseflow method.

Loss Rate Method

Following TR-55 principles, the empirical curve number method will be utilized in MU to estimate total excess precipitation. The curve number (CN) represents the soil cover, land cover and antecedent moisture conditions of a watershed and its sub-basins. The CN method de termines runoff using the amount of precipitation and the infiltration parameters associated with soil type, soil moisture, preceding rainfall, and surface retention. The amount of rainfall is converted to runoff using the CN. The CN ranges from 0 to 100, where a value of 100 represents zero losses or a completely impermeable surface (USDA, 1986). Impermeable pavements typically are assigned a CN of 98.

Surface soils are classified into four hydrologic soil groups (HSG) A, B, C, and D according to their minimum infiltration rate. Antecedent moisture conditions are classified as either low (AMC I), average (AMC II), or high (AMC III). For the purpose of this study, it will be assumed that AMC II curve numbers be applied. AMC II assumes that 0.5-inches to 1.1-inches of rain had fallen in the watershed of interest over the course of 5-days prior to the initiation of the design storm. Curve numbers developed for AMC II are the most widely used in hydrologic analysis when utilizing the SCS method.

The diverse land coverage within the watersheds will be identified from City and County of Sonoma zoning/land use GIS layer data assuming full build-out conditions. Because most sub-basins consist of multiple HSGs and multiple land uses, an area weighted composite CN will be calculated for each sub-basin. Table 1 summarizes curve numbers that will be utilized in this study.

TABLE 1

Land Use	Curve Number (CN)			
	Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)			
	Α	В	C	D
City				
Agricultural	39	61	74	80
Commercial	89	02	04	05
(15 d.u./acre, max)		92	74	95
Commercial-Gateway	89	02	04	05
(15 d.u./acre, max)		92	94	95
Mixed Use	80	00	02	05
(12 d.u./acre, max)		88	93	95
Public Facility	80	88	93	95
Park	39	61	74	80
High Density	80	00	02	05
(11-15 d.u./acre)		00	95	95
Hillside Residential	46	<u> </u>	77	02
(1 d.u./10 acres, max)		65	11	82
Low Density Residential	61	75	02	07
(2-5 d.u./acre)		75	83	87
Medium Density	78			
Residential		86	91	93
(7-11 d.u./acre)				
Housing Opportunity	89	02	0.4	05
(15-20 d.u./acre)		92	94	95
Mobile Home Park	77	95	00	02
(7 d.u./acre, max)		85	90	92
Rural Residential	46	65	77	<u>0</u> 2
(2 d.u./acre, maximum)		0.3	11	82
Sonoma Residential	62	76	84	88

Land use and Curve Numbers (CN) Based on Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) and AMC II

(3-8 d.u./acre)				
Wine Production	65	75	82	86
County				
Diverse Agriculture	39	61	74	80
General Commercial	89	92	94	95
General Industrial	81	88	91	93
Land Extensive Agriculture	49	69	79	84
Land Intensive Agriculture	63	75	83	87
Limited Commercial	80	88	93	95
Limited Industrial	78	86	91	93
Public/Quasi-Public (buildout)	80	88	93	95
Recreation/Visitor Serving Commercial	89	92	94	95
Resources/Rural Development	61	75	83	87
Rural Residential (< 2 units/acre)	54	70	80	85
Urban Residential (2-4 units/acre)	61	75	83	87
Curve Numbers (CN) reported are for Antecedent Moisture Condition II (AMC II)				

Transform Method

Following TR-55 principles, the SCS Unit Hydrograph (UH) model will be used in MU as the directrunoff transform method. The model is based upon averages of UH derived from gauged rainfall and runoff for a large number of small agricultural watersheds throughout the United States. The SCS UH mode l uses a dimensionless, single-peaked unit hydrograph. Utilizing the UH method in MU requires the lag time for each sub-basin. The lag time (L) is defined as the difference in time between the center of mass of effective rainfall and the center of mass of runoff produced (Viessman, 1995). The lag time can be related to time of concentration (Tc) by the formula:

Tc = 5/3L

The primary flow path for each sub-basin will be obtained through digitization using the aerial 1-foot contour topographic data recently completed for the City. For sub-basins outside the city limits, United States Geologic Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps will be used. The flow paths will be digitized in GIS and elevations required to determine sub-basin slopes were also obtained from the topographic data.

Principles outlined in TR-55 will be utilized to calculate the time of concentration and converting to lag time based on sheet, shallow concentrated, and channel/pipe flow through each sub-basin. The hydraulic routing time used in this study will assume full pipe and full channel flow velocities along the sub-basin flowpath. Manning's Equation will be utilized for full channel capacity calculations using 'n' valued tabulated below in Table 2. Utilizing the information discussed above, the lag time will be determined for each sub-basin and input into the MU hydrology model.

TABLE 2

Type of Channel and Description	n
Concrete Trench Drain	0.011
Concrete Swale	0.015
Earth: Short grass, few weeds	0.035
Earth: Light brush on banks	0.05
Earth: Dense weeds	0.08
Natural Channel	varies

Baseflow Method

Baseflow accounts for the quantity of flow contributed from groundwater, and not direct precipitationrunoff. For mode ling design storms, each sub-basin requires an initial baseflow. Because most flowpaths within the study area are only occupied with flow during precipitation events, the baseflow for each subbasin is assumed to be zero.

Precipitation Events

MU offers various methods for assigning and modeling precipitation events. For the purpose of simulating design precipitation events, the 24-hour SCS Hypothetical Storm method was utilized. This method requires the 24-hour rainfall amount associated with a specific frequency. The method also requires the determination of a rainfall distribution. The SCS has defined four distributions within the United States based on storm intensity. Sonoma County is considered to have a Type IA distribution (NRCS, 1986). For the purpose of this study, 24-hour rainfall depths will be obtained from the NOAA Atlas 2.

Hydraulic Channel and Pipe Routing Utilizing MIKE FLOOD and MIKE URBAN:

The MF/MU model development process requires input of the channel network including channel cross sections, bridges and culverts; defining of boundary conditions and initial conditions; and input of floodplain and street network topography. The MF model is a tool that integrates both MIKE 11 and MIKE 21 into a single, dynamically coupled model. MIKE 11 is the basic one-dimensional hydrody namic mode l capable of modeling a network of one-dimensional channels and is based on the St. Venant equations for one-

dimensional unsteady flow. MIKE 21 is the basic two-dimensional hydrodynamic model based on a flexible mesh and is capable of modeling overland flow within floodplains and street networks and also simulates wetting and drying of floodpl ain accurately. MU is a hydrodynamic model capable of routing runoff from sub-basins through closed conduit and open channel reaches referred to in the model as *links*. Links will be digitized in the model based on the City of Sonoma GIS storm drain network supplemented with field investigations and represent all storm drain pipes greater than 24 inches in diameter. Link data such as invert elevations, ground elevations, diameter, material, and length will be input into the MU mode l. Node s will be digitized in the model to represent storm drain manholes and catch basins.

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A – Summary of Data Collection

APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION

REPORTS

City of Sonoma, Campobello Estates Subdivision, October 2001, <u>Nathanson Creek Overbank Flow</u> Analysis and Capacity Analysis of Proposed Creek Overflow Bypass Channel

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 1973, <u>Atlas 2 - Precipitation Frequency Atlas</u> of the Western United States, Volume XI - California

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), December 2008, <u>Sonoma County, California and</u> Incorporated Areas Flood Insurance Study (FIS)

Federal Emergency Management Agency, March 1975, HEC-2 Input and Output Data for hydraulic Modeling of Nathanson Creek

Federal Emergency Management Agency, May 1991, HEC-2 Input and Output Data for hydraulic Modeling of Fryer Creek

Soil Conservation Service, May 1972, Soil Survey of Sonoma County, California

Sonoma County Water Agency, August 1983, Flood C ontrol Design Criteria

MAPPING

Aerial Photo Map of City of Sonoma – flown December 18, 2009 (Scale: 1' = 300')

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for Holden Subdivision, January 1999, Oberkamper & Associates Civil Engineers, Inc.

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for The Lodge at Sonoma, April 1998, Riechers Spence & Associates Incorpor ated Consulting Civil Engineers.

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for Fifth Street East/Peru Road Conduit, February 2003, Department of Public Works

City of Sonoma, Improvement Plans for Spring Lane Subdivision, July 1989, Sandine and Associates

Sonoma County Water Agency, Sonoma Drainage Master Plan, September 1978

Appendix A Page 1 Sonoma County Water Agency, First Street West Conduit (West Napa Street to Vicinity Andrieux Street) – Valley of the Moon Zone 3A, July 1996

File Name	Description	Remarks
StormMains.shp	Storm drain system geometry	Provided by the City
StormStructures.shp	Storm drain system geometry	Provided by the City
Contours.shp	1' contours, 2010	Provided by the City
Streets.shp	Street geometry and names	Provided by the City
CityLimits.shp	Sonoma City Limits	Provided by the City
Aerials	High resolution or tho-rectified	Provided by the City
Aerials	2009 Naip Imagery, County	Provided by USDA
DRG-S-CA097.5, D	Sonoma County Quad map	Provided by USGS

ELECTRONIC FILES

SURVEY/MISC. DOCUMENTATION

Horizontal Control is based on the California Coordinate System Zone 2, NAD '83. Epoch: 2002.0000. Winzler & Kelly's Survey Crew employed survey grade Leica System 1200 Real Time Kinematic GPS equipment to control the aerial survey. Six hours of continuous data was logged at the base station and processed through the National Geode tic Survey's Online Positioning User Service (OPUS).

Vertical Datum is NAVD '88. The elevation published as part of the Central Coast Height Modernization Project 2007 (CENCHM2007) on the monument HPGN D CA 04 LF was held as the benchmark.

Benchmark Data: Designation - HPGN D CA 04 LF PID: JT9620 Elevation: 119.86 feet (36.533 meters) (CENCHM2007)

Description: The station is located near the intersection of State Highway 12 and Verano Avenue in the Maxwell Farms Regional Park. From the intersection of State Highway 12 and Verano Avenue go west on Verano Avenue for about 350 feet to a side road left, the entrance to Maxwell Farms Regional Park. Turn left and go southerly then westerly on the entrance road for about 350 feet to a paved parking lot and the station in the northeast corner of the parking lot. The station is a survey disc encased in PVC pipe with access cover set in concrete flush with the ground, 248.0 feet southeast of and across the street from the southeast corner of a log building, 136.8 feet northeast of a dedication monument made of bronze and stone, 108.0 feet south of the centerline of Verano Avenue and 25.2 feet north of the centerline of the park entrance road.

Appendix A Page 2

Technical Memorandum No. 1 June 8, 2010

> Appendix A Page 3

Appendix D Hydrology Results

Catchment	Q10 (cfs)	Q25 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
Catchment_1	4.8	6.8	10.0
Catchment_2	31.9	40.3	52.9
Catchment_3	3.9	4.9	6.3
Catchment_4	2.6	3.4	4.4
Catchment_5	694.5	975.7	1417.6
Catchment_6	14.1	18.5	25.1
Catchment_7	5.1	6.8	9.4
Catchment_8	10.3	13.5	18.3
Catchment_9	3.9	5.0	6.7
Catchment_10	14.4	18.5	24.5
Catchment_11	2.3	2.8	3.7
Catchment_12	6.3	8.8	12.5
Catchment_13	9.6	12.2	16.1
Catchment_14	10.2	12.8	16.7
Catchment_15	3.5	4.4	5.9
Catchment_16	2.1	2.7	3.4
 Catchment_17	17.7	23.1	31.2
 Catchment_18	7.1	9.3	12.4
Catchment_19	4.9	6.3	8.3
Catchment_20	3.2	4.1	5.4
Catchment_21	6.9	9.0	12.3
Catchment_22	2.9	3.8	5.2
Catchment_23	3.0	4.1	5.8
Catchment_24	60.0	82.0	116.0
Catchment_25	3.6	4.5	5.8
Catchment_26	2.5	3.2	4.4
Catchment_27	47.5	67.5	98.9
Catchment_28	15.1	20.3	28.2
Catchment_29	49.0	67.9	97.2
Catchment_30	3.9	6.2	9.9
Catchment_31	7.8	11.2	16.6
Catchment_32	6.3	8.1	10.8
Catchment_33	5.8	7.3	9.5
Catchment_34	0.8	1.0	1.4
Catchment_35	9.5	12.5	17.0
Catchment_36	13.9	18.4	25.3
Catchment_37	3.4	4.3	5.6
Catchment_38	3.6	4.6	6.1
Catchment_39	3.5	4.4	5.7
Catchment_40	7.6	9.9	13.5
Catchment_41	9.9	12.7	16.8
Catchment_42	4.2	5.4	7.2
Catchment_43	4.7	5.9	7.6
Catchment_44	6.9	8.6	11.2
Catchment_45	1.9	2.4	3.3

APPENDIX D- MIKE URBAN Hydrology Results

Catchment	Q10 (cfs)	Q25 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
Catchment_46	3.1	4.2	5.9
Catchment_47	0.7	1.0	1.3
Catchment_48	6.3	8.6	12.1
Catchment_49	8.1	11.2	16.0
Catchment_50	3.5	4.5	6.1
Catchment_51	26.7	35.2	47.9
Catchment_52	1.2	1.5	1.9
Catchment_53	6.3	8.3	11.5
Catchment_54	1.3	1.6	2.1
Catchment_55	2.8	3.7	5.1
Catchment_56	13.6	18.8	26.9
Catchment_57	47.6	65.8	94.0
Catchment_58	6.7	8.9	12.2
Catchment_59	1.2	1.5	1.9
Catchment_60	4.7	5.8	7.6
Catchment_61	2.6	3.4	4.5
Catchment_62	3.4	4.2	5.4
Catchment_63	7.4	10.1	14.1
Catchment_64	0.6	0.8	1.0
Catchment_65	11.3	14.1	18.3
Catchment_66	12.7	15.8	20.5
Catchment_67	4.5	5.7	7.3
Catchment_68	2.3	3.0	3.9
Catchment_69	1.9	2.5	3.4
Catchment_70	3.6	4.5	5.8
Catchment_71	1.6	2.1	2.9
Catchment_72	3.5	4.4	5.8
Catchment_73	4.1	5.3	7.1
Catchment_74	15.5	19.2	24.8
Catchment_75	8.4	10.5	13.5
Catchment_76	13.6	17.1	22.5
Catchment_77	8.3	10.7	14.3
Catchment_78	4.9	6.2	8.1
Catchment_79	9.0	11.6	15.5
Catchment_80	2.7	3.4	4.5
Catchment_81	4.9	6.3	8.5
Catchment_82	8.0	10.5	14.4
Catchment_83	15.7	19.5	25.2
Catchment_84	16.7	21.3	28.2
Catchment_85	3.7	4.7	6.2
Catchment_86	24.0	31.6	43.2
Catchment_87	12.6	15.9	20.9
Catchment_88	14.3	18.6	25.0
Catchment_89	12.6	17.2	24.4
Catchment_90	6.1	7.7	10.1
Catchment_91	7.6	9.6	12.5
Catchment_92	5.4	7.1	9.7

Catchment	Q10 (cfs)	Q25 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
Catchment_93	6.1	8.0	10.9
Catchment_94	14.9	21.2	31.2
Catchment_95	6.0	7.6	10.0
Catchment_96	8.7	11.4	15.5
Catchment_97	18.7	25.6	36.1
Catchment_98	4.4	5.7	7.6
Catchment_99	11.6	14.8	19.5
Catchment_100	3.9	5.2	7.1
Catchment_101	13.2	18.6	27.1
Catchment_102	21.5	32.2	49.5
Catchment_103	23.0	32.9	48.7
Catchment_104	3.3	4.3	5.7
Catchment_105	7.8	10.2	13.8
Catchment_106	4.3	5.5	7.3
Catchment_107	12.0	16.5	23.5
Catchment_108	4.5	5.7	7.5
Catchment_109	3.3	4.3	5.9
Catchment_110	4.7	6.1	8.1
Catchment_111	8.5	12.5	19.0
Catchment_112	8.6	10.7	13.8
Catchment_113	0.7	1.2	2.1
Catchment_114	7.1	9.3	12.7
Catchment_115	6.2	7.8	10.1
Catchment_116	3.9	5.2	7.1
Catchment_117	7.1	9.1	12.1
Catchment_118	3.2	4.0	5.2
Catchment_119	8.1	10.1	13.1
Catchment_120	19.7	25.0	32.7
Catchment_121	3.5	4.4	5.8
Catchment_122	9.1	11.4	14.7
Catchment_123	2.7	3.5	4.8
Catchment_124	1.4	1.8	2.4
Catchment_125	9.1	12.0	16.4
Catchment_126	7.8	9.9	13.2
Catchment_127	2.0	2.6	3.5
Catchment_128	1.3	1.7	2.3
Catchment_129	2.0	2.7	3.7
Catchment_130	9.7	12.6	16.8
Catchment_131	10.8	14.2	19.3
Catchment_132	10.2	13.1	17.6
Catchment_133	3.9	5.0	6.8
Catchment_134	10.7	14.1	19.2
Catchment_135	17.7	24.8	35.9
Catchment_136	17.0	21.5	28.2
Catchment_137	12.1	16.1	22.2
Catchment_138	1.9	2.4	3.3

Appendix E MIKE URBAN Storm Drain Profile Figures Legend for Storm Drain System Profiles

 Ground Level Crown/Invert Level of Pipe Q10 HGL Q100 HGL
 Q100 HGL


































1/1/2004














































1/1/2004













































1/1/2004

F-9-1-1 Profile













1/1/2004
















































1/1/2004







































Appendix F MIKE 11 Peak Q and HGL Tables

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 12799.17	5.837	1/1/2004 10:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 13006.50	599.746	1/1/2004 9:16
NATHANSON_CREEK 13315.00	576.053	1/1/2004 9:17
NATHANSON_CREEK 13722.50	575.977	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 13969.60	682.1	1/1/2004 9:22
NATHANSON_CREEK 14080.00	708.887	1/1/2004 9:21
NATHANSON_CREEK 14404.50	715.415	1/1/2004 9:22
NATHANSON_CREEK 14742.00	715.355	1/1/2004 9:23
NATHANSON_CREEK 14813.00	715.347	1/1/2004 9:23
NATHANSON_CREEK 14929.00	715.342	1/1/2004 9:23
NATHANSON_CREEK 15039.50	717.939	1/1/2004 9:23
NATHANSON_CREEK 15358.00	719.183	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 15614.80	721.735	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 15706.50	721.424	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 15860.50	721.201	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 16224.50	720.743	1/1/2004 9:25
NATHANSON_CREEK 16500.90	720.721	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 16723.50	724.104	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 17077.00	724.068	1/1/2004 9:27
NATHANSON_CREEK 17267.80	703.788	1/1/2004 9:21
NATHANSON_CREEK 17441.50	694.797	1/1/2004 9:21
NATHANSON_CREEK 17699.10	699.494	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17839.70	699.49	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17854.10	699.49	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17898.60	699.489	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 18055.00	699.486	1/1/2004 9:31
NATHANSON_CREEK 18453.70	699.501	1/1/2004 9:31
NATHANSON_CREEK 18816.00	700.147	1/1/2004 9:33
NATHANSON_CREEK 18908.50	711.792	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 18969.05	741.547	1/1/2004 9:29
NATHANSON_CREEK 19271.55	742.098	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 19688.45	729.323	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 20053.95	732.521	1/1/2004 9:31
NATHANSON_CREEK 20362.50	732.376	1/1/2004 9:32
NATHANSON_CREEK 20642.85	745.265	1/1/2004 9:35
NATHANSON_CREEK 21002.85	745.208	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 21242.45	751.043	1/1/2004 9:40
NATHANSON_CREEK 21563.50	751.034	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 21946.85	751.014	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 22387.70	750.958	1/1/2004 9:42
NATHANSON_CREEK 22703.20	753.581	1/1/2004 9:43
NATHANSON_CREEK 22859.51	753.57	1/1/2004 9:43

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 10 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 23158.91	753.536	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 24411.36	753.476	1/1/2004 9:46
FRYER_CREEK 34.80	242.734	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_CREEK 313.50	239.481	1/1/2004 8:06
FRYER_CREEK 805.50	253.738	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_CREEK 1048.50	282.085	1/1/2004 7:31
FRYER_CREEK 1332.50	258.53	1/1/2004 8:11
FRYER_CREEK 1616.50	258.225	1/1/2004 8:12
FRYER_CREEK 1778.00	258.164	1/1/2004 8:12
FRYER_CREEK 2099.50	248.78	1/1/2004 8:12
FRYER_CREEK 2339.00	260.324	1/1/2004 8:23
FRYER_CREEK 2380.00	260.4	1/1/2004 8:23
FRYER_CREEK 2462.00	260.745	1/1/2004 8:23
FRYER_CREEK 2594.34	261.142	1/1/2004 8:23
FRYER_CREEK 2713.84	451.018	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2784.00	450.986	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2827.00	450.984	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2876.00	454.027	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 3301.50	453.829	1/1/2004 8:15
FRYER_CREEK 3924.96	461.722	1/1/2004 8:17
FRYER_CREEK 4149.46	488.868	1/1/2004 8:18
FRYER_CREEK 4638.50	493.272	1/1/2004 8:19
FRYER_CREEK 5397.50	488.561	1/1/2004 8:25
FRYER_CREEK 5688.50	486.901	1/1/2004 8:27
FRYER_CREEK 5710.00	486.877	1/1/2004 8:27
FRYER_CREEK 5881.50	486.355	1/1/2004 8:29
FRYER_CREEK 6167.90	491.842	1/1/2004 8:31
FRYER_CREEK 6301.00	493.163	1/1/2004 8:31
FRYER_CREEK 6559.00	493.107	1/1/2004 8:32
FRYER_CREEK 7024.00	493.04	1/1/2004 8:32
FRYER_CREEK 7587.75	493.035	1/1/2004 8:34
FRYER_WEST_FORK 83.50	20.355	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 203.00	20.347	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 268.00	20.369	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER_WEST_FORK 359.00	25.152	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 558.00	25.129	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 720.36	25.088	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER_WEST_FORK 879.95	25.05	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1055.59	28.446	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1173.00	28.452	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1360.00	27.597	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER WEST FORK 1522.00	27.408	1/1/2004 8:01

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 10 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1639.91	25.176	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1748.37	22.794	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1852.46	22.792	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1976.50	22.813	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2138.40	26.944	1/1/2004 8:00
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2271.84	26.565	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2361.93	28.124	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2424.00	27.767	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2440.05	27.676	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_EAST_FORK 19.50	215.686	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_EAST_FORK 107.00	214.087	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER_EAST_FORK 232.50	212.756	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_EAST_FORK 357.00	211.437	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_EAST_FORK 501.00	207.631	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 665.50	203.458	1/1/2004 8:06
FRYER_EAST_FORK 800.93	200.28	1/1/2004 8:07
FRYER_EAST_FORK 861.92	198.825	1/1/2004 8:08
FRYER_EAST_FORK 915.99	198.049	1/1/2004 8:09

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 10 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS
Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 12660.33	101.59	1/1/2004 9:17
NATHANSON_CREEK 12938.00	100.863	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 13075.00	100.613	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 13555.00	97.844	1/1/2004 9:19
NATHANSON_CREEK 13890.00	95.163	1/1/2004 9:22
NATHANSON_CREEK 14003.00	93.841	1/1/2004 9:21
NATHANSON_CREEK 14157.00	92.144	1/1/2004 9:22
NATHANSON_CREEK 14652.00	89.423	1/1/2004 9:22
NATHANSON_CREEK 14792.00	87.388	1/1/2004 9:23
NATHANSON_CREEK 14834.00	86.873	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 14947.00	86.508	1/1/2004 9:17
NATHANSON_CREEK 15132.00	86.098	1/1/2004 9:49
NATHANSON_CREEK 15584.00	83.707	1/1/2004 9:18
NATHANSON_CREEK 15691.00	83.643	1/1/2004 9:25
NATHANSON_CREEK 15722.00	83.511	1/1/2004 9:25
NATHANSON_CREEK 15999.00	82.573	1/1/2004 9:25
NATHANSON_CREEK 16450.00	81.028	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 16527.00	79.58	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 16920.00	78.497	1/1/2004 9:27
NATHANSON_CREEK 17234.00	77.671	1/1/2004 9:28
NATHANSON_CREEK 17317.00	76.885	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17566.00	75.723	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17832.20	74.462	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17847.20	74.677	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17861.00	74.793	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 17950.00	73.419	1/1/2004 9:30
NATHANSON_CREEK 18160.00	72.107	1/1/2004 9:31
NATHANSON_CREEK 18747.40	69.606	1/1/2004 9:29
NATHANSON_CREEK 18869.00	69.184	1/1/2004 9:28
NATHANSON_CREEK 18948.00	68.497	1/1/2004 9:29
NATHANSON_CREEK 18990.10	68.711	1/1/2004 9:29
NATHANSON_CREEK 19553.00	65.789	1/1/2004 9:31
NATHANSON_CREEK 19823.90	64.153	1/1/2004 9:31
NATHANSON_CREEK 20284.00	62.715	1/1/2004 9:33
NATHANSON_CREEK 20441.00	61.679	1/1/2004 9:35
NATHANSON_CREEK 20844.70	60.009	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 21161.00	58.291	1/1/2004 9:40
NATHANSON_CREEK 21323.90	56.885	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 21803.10	54.376	1/1/2004 9:42
NATHANSON_CREEK 22090.60	53.056	1/1/2004 9:43
NATHANSON_CREEK 22684.80	51.472	1/1/2004 9:43
NATHANSON CREEK 22723.20	51.339	1/1/2004 9:43

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 10 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 22995.82	50.498	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 23322.00	49.436	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 25500.72	43.09	1/1/2004 0:00
FRYER_CREEK 13.60	76.918	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_CREEK 56.00	75.903	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_CREEK 571.00	72.551	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_CREEK 1040.00	69.466	1/1/2004 8:12
FRYER_CREEK 1057.00	69.471	1/1/2004 8:12
FRYER_CREEK 1608.00	68.717	1/1/2004 8:13
FRYER_CREEK 1625.00	68.652	1/1/2004 8:13
FRYER_CREEK 1931.00	68.532	1/1/2004 8:22
FRYER_CREEK 2268.00	67.947	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2365.00	67.741	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2395.00	67.755	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2529.00	67.747	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2659.68	67.734	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2659.68	67.734	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2768.00	67.691	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2800.00	67.493	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_CREEK 2854.00	64.843	1/1/2004 8:15
FRYER_CREEK 2898.00	63.793	1/1/2004 8:16
FRYER_CREEK 3705.00	62.198	1/1/2004 8:17
FRYER_CREEK 4144.91	61.132	1/1/2004 8:24
FRYER_CREEK 4144.91	61.132	1/1/2004 8:24
FRYER_CREEK 4154.00	61.094	1/1/2004 8:24
FRYER_CREEK 5123.00	58.818	1/1/2004 8:28
FRYER_CREEK 5672.00	57.563	1/1/2004 8:31
FRYER_CREEK 5705.00	57.665	1/1/2004 8:31
FRYER_CREEK 5718.00	57.399	1/1/2004 8:31
FRYER_CREEK 6045.00	57.068	1/1/2004 8:31
FRYER_CREEK 6268.00	56.431	1/1/2004 8:31
FRYER_CREEK 6334.00	56.111	1/1/2004 8:32
FRYER_CREEK 6784.00	54.35	1/1/2004 8:32
FRYER_CREEK 7264.00	52.854	1/1/2004 7:34
FRYER_CREEK 7911.50	49.8	1/1/2004 0:00
FRYER_WEST_FORK 0.00	68.718	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 167.00	68.551	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 239.00	68.527	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 297.00	68.445	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 421.00	68.038	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 695.00	66.552	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER WEST FORK 745.72	66.475	1/1/2004 8:04

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 10 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1014.18	65.226	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1097.00	65.213	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1249.00	65.201	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1471.00	65.167	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1573.00	65.163	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1706.81	65.159	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1789.92	62.928	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1915.00	62.519	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2038.00	61.927	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2238.81	61.458	1/1/2004 8:26
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2304.86	61.207	1/1/2004 8:24
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2419.00	61.178	1/1/2004 8:23
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2429.00	61.144	1/1/2004 8:23
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2451.11	61.132	1/1/2004 8:24
FRYER_EAST_FORK 0.00	68.27	1/1/2004 8:08
FRYER_EAST_FORK 39.00	68.1	1/1/2004 8:11
FRYER_EAST_FORK 175.00	67.991	1/1/2004 8:12
FRYER_EAST_FORK 290.00	67.84	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_EAST_FORK 424.00	67.804	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_EAST_FORK 578.00	67.785	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_EAST_FORK 753.00	67.777	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_EAST_FORK 848.86	67.774	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_EAST_FORK 874.98	67.737	1/1/2004 8:14
FRYER_EAST_FORK 957.00	67.734	1/1/2004 8:14

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 10 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 12799.17	5.449	1/1/2004 12:33
NATHANSON_CREEK 13006.50	789.226	1/1/2004 9:12
NATHANSON_CREEK 13315.00	750.92	1/1/2004 9:14
NATHANSON_CREEK 13722.50	751.746	1/1/2004 9:14
NATHANSON_CREEK 13969.60	806.406	1/1/2004 9:17
NATHANSON_CREEK 14080.00	856.896	1/1/2004 9:16
NATHANSON_CREEK 14404.50	865.254	1/1/2004 9:16
NATHANSON_CREEK 14742.00	891.805	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 14813.00	926.377	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 14929.00	922.655	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 15039.50	894.52	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 15358.00	905.881	1/1/2004 9:25
NATHANSON_CREEK 15614.80	915.881	1/1/2004 9:25
NATHANSON_CREEK 15706.50	863.887	1/1/2004 9:37
NATHANSON_CREEK 15860.50	854.886	1/1/2004 9:01
NATHANSON_CREEK 16224.50	859.897	1/1/2004 9:01
NATHANSON_CREEK 16500.90	852.964	1/1/2004 9:47
NATHANSON_CREEK 16723.50	857.107	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 17077.00	856.836	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 17267.80	757.682	1/1/2004 9:53
NATHANSON_CREEK 17441.50	717.152	1/1/2004 9:53
NATHANSON_CREEK 17699.10	724.241	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17839.70	724.232	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17854.10	724.23	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17898.60	724.227	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 18055.00	724.21	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 18453.70	754.476	1/1/2004 9:55
NATHANSON_CREEK 18816.00	823.918	1/1/2004 9:58
NATHANSON_CREEK 18908.50	837.923	1/1/2004 9:57
NATHANSON_CREEK 18969.05	876.339	1/1/2004 9:57
NATHANSON_CREEK 19271.55	877.135	1/1/2004 9:58
NATHANSON_CREEK 19688.45	895.186	1/1/2004 9:59
NATHANSON_CREEK 20053.95	898.523	1/1/2004 9:59
NATHANSON_CREEK 20362.50	898.503	1/1/2004 10:00
NATHANSON_CREEK 20642.85	910.259	1/1/2004 10:00
NATHANSON_CREEK 21002.85	909.967	1/1/2004 10:01
NATHANSON_CREEK 21242.45	915.071	1/1/2004 10:01
NATHANSON_CREEK 21563.50	915.057	1/1/2004 10:02
NATHANSON_CREEK 21946.85	915.034	1/1/2004 10:02
NATHANSON_CREEK 22387.70	914.973	1/1/2004 10:03
NATHANSON_CREEK 22703.20	917.069	1/1/2004 10:04
NATHANSON_CREEK 22859.51	917.058	1/1/2004 10:04

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 25 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 23158.91	917.019	1/1/2004 10:05
NATHANSON_CREEK 24411.36	916.982	1/1/2004 10:07
FRYER_CREEK 34.80	309.725	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER_CREEK 313.50	296.008	1/1/2004 8:06
FRYER_CREEK 805.50	313.97	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_CREEK 1048.50	355.268	1/1/2004 7:20
FRYER_CREEK 1332.50	321.279	1/1/2004 8:10
FRYER_CREEK 1616.50	324.424	1/1/2004 8:10
FRYER_CREEK 1778.00	323.845	1/1/2004 8:10
FRYER_CREEK 2099.50	295.87	1/1/2004 8:10
FRYER_CREEK 2339.00	299.342	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_CREEK 2380.00	299.229	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_CREEK 2462.00	298.841	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_CREEK 2594.34	300.466	1/1/2004 8:50
FRYER_CREEK 2713.84	543.854	1/1/2004 8:18
FRYER_CREEK 2784.00	543.929	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 2827.00	543.997	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 2876.00	547.537	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 3301.50	547.757	1/1/2004 8:22
FRYER_CREEK 3924.96	575.476	1/1/2004 8:42
FRYER_CREEK 4149.46	602.735	1/1/2004 8:43
FRYER_CREEK 4638.50	607.36	1/1/2004 8:44
FRYER_CREEK 5397.50	595.627	1/1/2004 8:46
FRYER_CREEK 5688.50	588.293	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_CREEK 5710.00	588.276	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_CREEK 5881.50	587.876	1/1/2004 8:47
FRYER_CREEK 6167.90	588.347	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_CREEK 6301.00	595.908	1/1/2004 8:50
FRYER_CREEK 6559.00	599.693	1/1/2004 8:53
FRYER_CREEK 7024.00	603.763	1/1/2004 8:54
FRYER_CREEK 7587.75	603.765	1/1/2004 8:55
FRYER_WEST_FORK 83.50	24.789	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 203.00	24.769	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 268.00	24.788	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 359.00	31.028	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 558.00	30.999	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 720.36	30.942	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 879.95	30.896	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1055.59	35.203	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1173.00	35.209	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1360.00	33.525	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER WEST FORK 1522.00	32.334	1/1/2004 8:32

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 25 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1639.91	29.587	1/1/2004 8:32
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1748.37	23.752	1/1/2004 9:05
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1852.46	23.738	1/1/2004 9:07
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1976.50	23.772	1/1/2004 9:06
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2138.40	28.305	1/1/2004 7:56
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2271.84	28.569	1/1/2004 7:55
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2361.93	30.762	1/1/2004 7:55
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2424.00	30.284	1/1/2004 7:55
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2440.05	30.207	1/1/2004 7:55
FRYER_EAST_FORK 19.50	288.372	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_EAST_FORK 107.00	287.447	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 232.50	286.261	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 357.00	284.93	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 501.00	282.528	1/1/2004 8:07
FRYER_EAST_FORK 665.50	281.092	1/1/2004 8:07
FRYER_EAST_FORK 800.93	279.248	1/1/2004 8:08
FRYER_EAST_FORK 861.92	273.971	1/1/2004 7:57
FRYER_EAST_FORK 915.99	273.295	1/1/2004 7:57

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 25 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 12660.33	102.408	1/1/2004 9:13
NATHANSON_CREEK 12938.00	101.524	1/1/2004 9:13
NATHANSON_CREEK 13075.00	101.324	1/1/2004 9:14
NATHANSON_CREEK 13555.00	98.574	1/1/2004 9:14
NATHANSON_CREEK 13890.00	95.887	1/1/2004 9:17
NATHANSON_CREEK 14003.00	94.329	1/1/2004 9:20
NATHANSON_CREEK 14157.00	92.679	1/1/2004 9:24
NATHANSON_CREEK 14652.00	90.337	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 14792.00	88.892	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 14834.00	88.932	1/1/2004 9:26
NATHANSON_CREEK 14947.00	87.318	1/1/2004 9:43
NATHANSON_CREEK 15132.00	87.018	1/1/2004 9:43
NATHANSON_CREEK 15584.00	84.535	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 15691.00	84.391	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 15722.00	84.235	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 15999.00	83.497	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 16450.00	82.521	1/1/2004 9:47
NATHANSON_CREEK 16527.00	79.963	1/1/2004 9:48
NATHANSON_CREEK 16920.00	78.771	1/1/2004 9:53
NATHANSON_CREEK 17234.00	77.867	1/1/2004 9:53
NATHANSON_CREEK 17317.00	76.968	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17566.00	75.866	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17832.20	74.615	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17847.20	74.836	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17861.00	74.954	1/1/2004 9:54
NATHANSON_CREEK 17950.00	73.61	1/1/2004 9:55
NATHANSON_CREEK 18160.00	72.437	1/1/2004 9:57
NATHANSON_CREEK 18747.40	70.186	1/1/2004 9:58
NATHANSON_CREEK 18869.00	69.675	1/1/2004 9:58
NATHANSON_CREEK 18948.00	68.937	1/1/2004 9:58
NATHANSON_CREEK 18990.10	69.18	1/1/2004 9:58
NATHANSON_CREEK 19553.00	66.298	1/1/2004 9:58
NATHANSON_CREEK 19823.90	64.662	1/1/2004 9:59
NATHANSON_CREEK 20284.00	63.247	1/1/2004 10:00
NATHANSON_CREEK 20441.00	62.182	1/1/2004 10:00
NATHANSON_CREEK 20844.70	60.511	1/1/2004 10:01
NATHANSON_CREEK 21161.00	58.779	1/1/2004 10:01
NATHANSON_CREEK 21323.90	57.484	1/1/2004 10:02
NATHANSON_CREEK 21803.10	54.923	1/1/2004 10:02
NATHANSON_CREEK 22090.60	53.61	1/1/2004 10:03
NATHANSON_CREEK 22684.80	51.935	1/1/2004 10:05
NATHANSON CREEK 22723.20	51.872	1/1/2004 10:05

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 25 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 22995.82	51.03	1/1/2004 10:05
NATHANSON_CREEK 23322.00	49.916	1/1/2004 10:06
NATHANSON_CREEK 25500.72	43.09	1/1/2004 0:00
FRYER_CREEK 13.60	77.354	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_CREEK 56.00	76.317	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_CREEK 571.00	72.943	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_CREEK 1040.00	70.608	1/1/2004 8:13
FRYER_CREEK 1057.00	70.611	1/1/2004 8:13
FRYER_CREEK 1608.00	69.417	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 1625.00	69.352	1/1/2004 8:25
FRYER_CREEK 1931.00	69.249	1/1/2004 8:24
FRYER_CREEK 2268.00	68.81	1/1/2004 8:21
FRYER_CREEK 2365.00	68.514	1/1/2004 8:21
FRYER_CREEK 2395.00	68.528	1/1/2004 8:21
FRYER_CREEK 2529.00	68.52	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 2659.68	68.508	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 2659.68	68.508	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 2768.00	68.465	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 2800.00	68.272	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_CREEK 2854.00	65.234	1/1/2004 8:38
FRYER_CREEK 2898.00	64.313	1/1/2004 8:41
FRYER_CREEK 3705.00	62.715	1/1/2004 8:43
FRYER_CREEK 4144.91	61.604	1/1/2004 8:44
FRYER_CREEK 4144.91	61.604	1/1/2004 8:44
FRYER_CREEK 4154.00	61.567	1/1/2004 8:44
FRYER_CREEK 5123.00	59.365	1/1/2004 8:46
FRYER_CREEK 5672.00	58.25	1/1/2004 8:52
FRYER_CREEK 5705.00	58.351	1/1/2004 8:51
FRYER_CREEK 5718.00	58.153	1/1/2004 8:51
FRYER_CREEK 6045.00	57.883	1/1/2004 8:52
FRYER_CREEK 6268.00	56.966	1/1/2004 8:53
FRYER_CREEK 6334.00	56.641	1/1/2004 8:53
FRYER_CREEK 6784.00	54.871	1/1/2004 8:54
FRYER_CREEK 7264.00	52.871	1/1/2004 7:20
FRYER_CREEK 7911.50	49.8	1/1/2004 0:00
FRYER_WEST_FORK 0.00	68.945	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 167.00	68.774	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 239.00	68.751	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 297.00	68.616	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 421.00	68.222	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 695.00	66.864	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER WEST FORK 745.72	66.816	1/1/2004 8:04

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 25 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1014.18	65.393	1/1/2004 9:04
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1097.00	65.387	1/1/2004 9:05
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1249.00	65.382	1/1/2004 9:04
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1471.00	65.365	1/1/2004 9:05
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1573.00	65.362	1/1/2004 9:05
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1706.81	65.359	1/1/2004 9:05
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1789.92	62.953	1/1/2004 9:08
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1915.00	62.559	1/1/2004 8:52
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2038.00	62.111	1/1/2004 8:48
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2238.81	61.895	1/1/2004 8:47
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2304.86	61.658	1/1/2004 8:44
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2419.00	61.641	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2429.00	61.61	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2451.11	61.604	1/1/2004 8:44
FRYER_EAST_FORK 0.00	68.958	1/1/2004 8:16
FRYER_EAST_FORK 39.00	68.805	1/1/2004 8:16
FRYER_EAST_FORK 175.00	68.712	1/1/2004 8:17
FRYER_EAST_FORK 290.00	68.592	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_EAST_FORK 424.00	68.572	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_EAST_FORK 578.00	68.556	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_EAST_FORK 753.00	68.549	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_EAST_FORK 848.86	68.546	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_EAST_FORK 874.98	68.512	1/1/2004 8:20
FRYER_EAST_FORK 957.00	68.508	1/1/2004 8:20

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 25 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 12799.17	11.956	1/1/2004 12:51
NATHANSON_CREEK 13006.50	1085.992	1/1/2004 9:10
NATHANSON_CREEK 13315.00	1032.709	1/1/2004 9:10
NATHANSON_CREEK 13722.50	868.802	1/1/2004 9:15
NATHANSON_CREEK 13969.60	835.305	1/1/2004 9:17
NATHANSON_CREEK 14080.00	906.005	1/1/2004 9:16
NATHANSON_CREEK 14404.50	918.393	1/1/2004 9:04
NATHANSON_CREEK 14742.00	1123.473	1/1/2004 9:22
NATHANSON_CREEK 14813.00	1136.727	1/1/2004 9:33
NATHANSON_CREEK 14929.00	1111.586	1/1/2004 9:34
NATHANSON_CREEK 15039.50	1050.689	1/1/2004 9:35
NATHANSON_CREEK 15358.00	1106.806	1/1/2004 9:35
NATHANSON_CREEK 15614.80	1095.932	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 15706.50	1132.041	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 15860.50	1092.148	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 16224.50	1068.511	1/1/2004 9:37
NATHANSON_CREEK 16500.90	979.037	1/1/2004 9:38
NATHANSON_CREEK 16723.50	985.285	1/1/2004 9:38
NATHANSON_CREEK 17077.00	985.218	1/1/2004 9:39
NATHANSON_CREEK 17267.80	882.818	1/1/2004 9:39
NATHANSON_CREEK 17441.50	798.597	1/1/2004 9:40
NATHANSON_CREEK 17699.10	781.119	1/1/2004 9:40
NATHANSON_CREEK 17839.70	781.116	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 17854.10	781.116	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 17898.60	781.115	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 18055.00	779.881	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 18453.70	819.407	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 18816.00	940.297	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 18908.50	959.666	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 18969.05	1000.66	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 19271.55	1002.285	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 19688.45	1039.264	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 20053.95	1043.998	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 20362.50	1035.797	1/1/2004 9:51
NATHANSON_CREEK 20642.85	1054.794	1/1/2004 9:47
NATHANSON_CREEK 21002.85	1024.108	1/1/2004 9:47
NATHANSON_CREEK 21242.45	1006.808	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 21563.50	1006.795	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 21946.85	1006.781	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 22387.70	1006.851	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 22703.20	1011.622	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 22859.51	1011.569	1/1/2004 9:44

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 100 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 23158.91	1011.456	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 24411.36	1011.379	1/1/2004 9:47
FRYER_CREEK 34.80	356.575	1/1/2004 8:34
FRYER_CREEK 313.50	346.538	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_CREEK 805.50	365.294	1/1/2004 8:32
FRYER_CREEK 1048.50	376.322	1/1/2004 8:22
FRYER_CREEK 1332.50	368.836	1/1/2004 8:34
FRYER_CREEK 1616.50	352.37	1/1/2004 8:54
FRYER_CREEK 1778.00	352.485	1/1/2004 8:55
FRYER_CREEK 2099.50	332.258	1/1/2004 8:57
FRYER_CREEK 2339.00	323.31	1/1/2004 9:19
FRYER_CREEK 2380.00	324.199	1/1/2004 9:19
FRYER_CREEK 2462.00	324.898	1/1/2004 9:18
FRYER_CREEK 2594.34	328.858	1/1/2004 9:18
FRYER_CREEK 2713.84	594.02	1/1/2004 8:08
FRYER_CREEK 2784.00	594.653	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_CREEK 2827.00	595.477	1/1/2004 8:35
FRYER_CREEK 2876.00	600.868	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_CREEK 3301.50	643.247	1/1/2004 8:39
FRYER_CREEK 3924.96	760.9	1/1/2004 8:40
FRYER_CREEK 4149.46	799.174	1/1/2004 8:40
FRYER_CREEK 4638.50	824.688	1/1/2004 8:40
FRYER_CREEK 5397.50	780.991	1/1/2004 8:50
FRYER_CREEK 5688.50	768.508	1/1/2004 8:50
FRYER_CREEK 5710.00	768.46	1/1/2004 8:50
FRYER_CREEK 5881.50	768.064	1/1/2004 8:51
FRYER_CREEK 6167.90	722.31	1/1/2004 8:51
FRYER_CREEK 6301.00	736.341	1/1/2004 8:54
FRYER_CREEK 6559.00	757.425	1/1/2004 8:55
FRYER_CREEK 7024.00	799.586	1/1/2004 8:57
FRYER_CREEK 7587.75	797.804	1/1/2004 8:58
FRYER_WEST_FORK 83.50	31.374	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 203.00	31.343	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 268.00	31.358	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 359.00	39.787	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 558.00	39.76	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 720.36	39.668	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 879.95	39.606	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1055.59	44.5	1/1/2004 7:54
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1173.00	44.339	1/1/2004 7:54
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1360.00	43.34	1/1/2004 7:53
FRYER WEST FORK 1522.00	51.031	1/1/2004 7:53

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 100 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Flow (cfs)	Maximum Time
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1639.91	43.13	1/1/2004 7:53
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1748.37	26.078	1/1/2004 8:30
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1852.46	26.701	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1976.50	28.417	1/1/2004 8:37
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2138.40	35.907	1/1/2004 8:33
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2271.84	36.308	1/1/2004 8:38
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2361.93	38.168	1/1/2004 8:34
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2424.00	38.036	1/1/2004 8:41
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2440.05	38.07	1/1/2004 8:41
FRYER_EAST_FORK 19.50	381.175	1/1/2004 7:58
FRYER_EAST_FORK 107.00	380.17	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_EAST_FORK 232.50	392.756	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_EAST_FORK 357.00	395.532	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_EAST_FORK 501.00	394.077	1/1/2004 8:04
FRYER_EAST_FORK 665.50	392.692	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 800.93	378.28	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 861.92	347.289	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 915.99	342.15	1/1/2004 8:05

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 100 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX FLOWS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 12660.33	103.31	1/1/2004 9:10
NATHANSON_CREEK 12938.00	102.167	1/1/2004 9:10
NATHANSON_CREEK 13075.00	101.946	1/1/2004 9:10
NATHANSON_CREEK 13555.00	99.013	1/1/2004 9:14
NATHANSON_CREEK 13890.00	96.077	1/1/2004 9:17
NATHANSON_CREEK 14003.00	94.58	1/1/2004 9:16
NATHANSON_CREEK 14157.00	93.161	1/1/2004 9:20
NATHANSON_CREEK 14652.00	91.419	1/1/2004 9:33
NATHANSON_CREEK 14792.00	89.774	1/1/2004 9:34
NATHANSON_CREEK 14834.00	89.882	1/1/2004 9:34
NATHANSON_CREEK 14947.00	87.992	1/1/2004 9:35
NATHANSON_CREEK 15132.00	87.725	1/1/2004 9:32
NATHANSON_CREEK 15584.00	85.293	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 15691.00	85.09	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 15722.00	84.869	1/1/2004 9:36
NATHANSON_CREEK 15999.00	84.033	1/1/2004 9:37
NATHANSON_CREEK 16450.00	82.994	1/1/2004 9:37
NATHANSON_CREEK 16527.00	80.417	1/1/2004 9:38
NATHANSON_CREEK 16920.00	79.275	1/1/2004 9:39
NATHANSON_CREEK 17234.00	78.356	1/1/2004 9:39
NATHANSON_CREEK 17317.00	77.199	1/1/2004 9:40
NATHANSON_CREEK 17566.00	76.129	1/1/2004 9:40
NATHANSON_CREEK 17832.20	74.903	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 17847.20	75.137	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 17861.00	75.264	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 17950.00	73.864	1/1/2004 9:41
NATHANSON_CREEK 18160.00	72.744	1/1/2004 9:43
NATHANSON_CREEK 18747.40	70.674	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 18869.00	70.067	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 18948.00	69.288	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 18990.10	69.554	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 19553.00	66.69	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 19823.90	65.041	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 20284.00	63.619	1/1/2004 9:47
NATHANSON_CREEK 20441.00	62.526	1/1/2004 9:47
NATHANSON_CREEK 20844.70	60.785	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 21161.00	59.034	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 21323.90	57.787	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON_CREEK 21803.10	55.21	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 22090.60	53.92	1/1/2004 9:45
NATHANSON_CREEK 22684.80	52.242	1/1/2004 9:44
NATHANSON CREEK 22723.20	52.146	1/1/2004 9:44

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 100 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
NATHANSON_CREEK 22995.82	51.317	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 23322.00	50.169	1/1/2004 9:46
NATHANSON_CREEK 25500.72	43.09	1/1/2004 0:00
FRYER_CREEK 13.60	77.685	1/1/2004 8:34
FRYER_CREEK 56.00	76.653	1/1/2004 8:33
FRYER_CREEK 571.00	73.329	1/1/2004 8:32
FRYER_CREEK 1040.00	71.454	1/1/2004 8:34
FRYER_CREEK 1057.00	71.457	1/1/2004 8:34
FRYER_CREEK 1608.00	69.821	1/1/2004 8:40
FRYER_CREEK 1625.00	69.759	1/1/2004 8:40
FRYER_CREEK 1931.00	69.664	1/1/2004 8:39
FRYER_CREEK 2268.00	69.264	1/1/2004 8:38
FRYER_CREEK 2365.00	68.924	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_CREEK 2395.00	68.936	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_CREEK 2529.00	68.928	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_CREEK 2659.68	68.917	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_CREEK 2659.68	68.917	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_CREEK 2768.00	68.875	1/1/2004 8:35
FRYER_CREEK 2800.00	68.685	1/1/2004 8:35
FRYER_CREEK 2854.00	65.567	1/1/2004 8:38
FRYER_CREEK 2898.00	64.975	1/1/2004 8:39
FRYER_CREEK 3705.00	63.501	1/1/2004 8:40
FRYER_CREEK 4144.91	62.378	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_CREEK 4144.91	62.378	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_CREEK 4154.00	62.302	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_CREEK 5123.00	60.058	1/1/2004 8:50
FRYER_CREEK 5672.00	58.907	1/1/2004 8:53
FRYER_CREEK 5705.00	59.025	1/1/2004 8:53
FRYER_CREEK 5718.00	58.868	1/1/2004 8:53
FRYER_CREEK 6045.00	58.617	1/1/2004 8:54
FRYER_CREEK 6268.00	57.527	1/1/2004 8:55
FRYER_CREEK 6334.00	57.212	1/1/2004 8:56
FRYER_CREEK 6784.00	55.528	1/1/2004 8:56
FRYER_CREEK 7264.00	53.263	1/1/2004 8:57
FRYER_CREEK 7911.50	49.8	1/1/2004 0:00
FRYER_WEST_FORK 0.00	69.265	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 167.00	69.098	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 239.00	69.077	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 297.00	68.846	1/1/2004 8:01
FRYER_WEST_FORK 421.00	68.455	1/1/2004 8:02
FRYER_WEST_FORK 695.00	67.33	1/1/2004 8:03
FRYER WEST FORK 745.72	67.306	1/1/2004 8:03

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 100 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Creek and Stationing	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Time
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1014.18	65.9	1/1/2004 8:29
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1097.00	65.893	1/1/2004 8:29
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1249.00	65.887	1/1/2004 8:28
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1471.00	65.86	1/1/2004 8:30
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1573.00	65.857	1/1/2004 8:30
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1706.81	65.855	1/1/2004 8:30
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1789.92	63.231	1/1/2004 8:41
FRYER_WEST_FORK 1915.00	63.099	1/1/2004 8:42
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2038.00	63.001	1/1/2004 8:43
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2238.81	62.954	1/1/2004 8:43
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2304.86	62.43	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2419.00	62.423	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2429.00	62.382	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_WEST_FORK 2451.11	62.378	1/1/2004 8:45
FRYER_EAST_FORK 0.00	69.552	1/1/2004 8:05
FRYER_EAST_FORK 39.00	69.351	1/1/2004 8:06
FRYER_EAST_FORK 175.00	69.222	1/1/2004 8:08
FRYER_EAST_FORK 290.00	69.049	1/1/2004 8:08
FRYER_EAST_FORK 424.00	69.019	1/1/2004 8:08
FRYER_EAST_FORK 578.00	68.993	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_EAST_FORK 753.00	68.982	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_EAST_FORK 848.86	68.981	1/1/2004 8:09
FRYER_EAST_FORK 874.98	68.921	1/1/2004 8:36
FRYER_EAST_FORK 957.00	68.917	1/1/2004 8:36

BASELINE CONDITIONS - 100 YEAR, 24 HOUR MAX WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Appendix G MIKE URBAN Storm Drain Profile Figures with CIP Projects Legend for Storm Drain System Profiles with CIP Projects Implemented

 Ground Level
 Crown/Invert Level of Pipe
 Q10 HGL
 Q100 HGL



































^{1/1/2004}








^{1/1/2004}









Appendix H 10-Year Storm Drain Capital Improvement Program

City of Sonoma													
10-Year Storm Drain Capital Improvement Program - FY 2010 - 2020 (all costs shown in 2011 dollars)													May-10
CIP ID No.		FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19	FY 19-20		Totals
	Recommended Capital Improvement Projects												
1	Fryer Creek culvert at West MacArthur St.		600,000	640,897								\$	1,240,897
2	Nathanson Creek – Patten St. Bridge			800,000	200,000	172,094						\$	1,172,094
3	Nathanson Creek Floodwalls					500,000	1,500,000	2,056,874				\$	4,056,874
4	Line F-12 – Increase Pipe Size	552,786										\$	552,786
5	Bypass – Connect Line F-12 to Line SON-5								1,000,000	1,000,000	941,092	\$	2,941,092
6	Line F-1 – Increase Pipe Size				316,807							\$	316,807
7	Line N-3 – Increase Pipe Size					242,228						\$	242,228
8	Line N-5 – Increase Pipe Size										703,056	\$	703,056
9	Line S-1 – Increase Pipe Size – Pipe 70 and 62-66				872,531	500,000						\$	1,372,531
10	Line S-1 – Increase Pipe Size upstream of Junction with Line S-1-6		915,559									\$	915,559
	TOTAL CIP	552,786	1,515,559	1,440,897	1,389,338	1,414,322	1,500,000	2,056,874	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,644,148	\$	13,513,924
		FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19	FY 19-20		Totals