

Traffic Impact Study for Altamira Family Apartments



Prepared for the City of Sonoma

Submitted by **W-Trans**

June 7, 2017



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	2
Transportation Setting	4
Capacity Analysis	7
Alternative Modes	12
Access and Circulation	13
Conclusions and Recommendations	15
Study Participants and References	16
Figures	

1.	Study Area, Lane Configuration, and Traffic Volumes	. 3
2.	Site Plan	10

Tables

1.	Bicycle Facility Summary	5
2.	Two-Way Stop-Controlled Intersection Level of Service Criteria	7
3.	Existing Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	8
4.	Future Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	9
5.	Trip Generation Summary	9
6.	Trip Distribution Assumptions	9
7.	Existing and Existing plus Project Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	.11
8.	Future and Future plus Project Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	.11

Appendices

- A. Collision Rate Calculations
- B. Intersection Level of Service Calculations
- C. Site Access



Executive Summary

The project as proposed includes a 48-unit apartment complex to be located on a currently vacant parcel on the northwest corner of Broadway (SR 12)/Clay Street in the City of Sonoma. Access would be provided via a single driveway on Broadway. The project is expected to generate an average of 319 new daily trips, including 24 trips during the a.m. peak hour and 30 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

The intersection of Broadway/Clay Street is currently operating acceptably overall at LOS A during both the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. It is expected to operate acceptably under Future conditions, and also with project-added traffic.

Facilities for alternative modes in the vicinity of the project site are adequate for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users. Bicycle parking for 14 bicycles should be provided on-site.

Sight distance on Broadway at the project driveway would be adequate with the addition of 20 feet of red curb on either side of the project driveway. While a left-turn lane at the project driveway is not warranted under Existing or Future volumes, other factors, such as the excessive width of the road and ease of access to other properties in the vicinity, led to a recommendation to restripe Broadway with a two-way left-turn lane along the project frontage, filling in a missing link by connecting to the existing striping north and south of this segment.



Introduction

This report presents an analysis of the potential traffic impacts that would be associated with development of a proposed 48-unit apartment project to be located at 20269 Broadway in the City of Sonoma. The traffic study was completed in accordance with criteria established by the City of Sonoma, and is consistent with standard traffic engineering techniques.

Prelude

The purpose of a traffic impact study is to provide City staff and policy makers with data that they can use to make an informed decision regarding the potential traffic impacts of a proposed project, and any associated improvements that would be required in order to mitigate these impacts to a level of insignificance as defined by the City's General Plan or other policies. Vehicular traffic impacts are typically evaluated by determining the number of new trips that the proposed use would be expected to generate, distributing these trips to the surrounding street system based on existing travel patterns or anticipated travel patterns specific to the proposed project, then analyzing the impact the new traffic would be expected to have on critical intersections or roadway segments. Impacts relative to access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and to transit are also addressed.

Project Profile

The Sonoma Family Housing project includes 48 apartment units to be located on a currently vacant site at 20269 Broadway, as shown in Figure 1.







Traffic Impact Study for the Altamira Family Apartments Project Figure 1 – Study Area, Lane Configuration, and Traffic Volumes





Operational Analysis

Study Area and Periods

The study area consists of the sections of Broadway and Clay Street fronting the project site and the project access point as well as the intersection of Broadway/Clay Street.

Operating conditions during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods were evaluated to capture the highest potential impacts for the proposed project as well as the highest volumes on the local transportation network. The morning peak hour occurs between 7:00 and 9:00 a.m. and reflects conditions during the home to work or school commute, while the p.m. peak hour occurs between 4:00 and 6:00 p.m. and typically reflects the highest level of congestion during the homeward bound commute.

Study Intersections

Broadway/Clay Street is a three-legged intersection, with the terminating Clay Street approach stop-controlled.

Study Roadways

Broadway, also known as State Highway 12, is classified as an arterial in the City of Sonoma. There is one lane in each direction with a two-way left-turn lane south of Clay Street to just north of the Napa Road-Leveroni Road/Broadway intersection. Road width varies, but is approximately 60 feet in the vicinity of Clay Street. Travel lanes are 14 to 20 feet wide in the northbound direction and approximately 12 feet wide in the southbound direction with wide striped shoulders.

Clay Street is classified as a local street, 36 feet wide, primarily serving the residential community to the west of Broadway. The street serves approximately 35 vehicles during the a.m. peak hour and 48 vehicles during the p.m. peak hour. There are no parking restrictions on either side of Clay Street fronting the proposed project site. There is no striping distinguishing the directional flow of traffic.

The locations of the study intersection and the existing lane configurations and controls are shown in Figure 1.

Collision History

The collision history for the study intersection was reviewed to determine any trends or patterns that may indicate a safety issue based on data available from the California Highway Patrol as published in their Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) report for July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2016. With only one crash reported during the five-year study period, the collision rate was 0.05 collisions per million vehicles entering (c/mve), which is below the statewide average of 0.18 c/mve for a side-street stop-controlled tee intersection. The collision rate calculation is provided in Appendix A.



Alternative Modes

Pedestrian Facilities

Pedestrian facilities include sidewalks, crosswalks, pedestrian signal phases, curb ramps, curb extensions, and various streetscape amenities such as lighting, benches, etc. In general, a network of sidewalks, crosswalks, pedestrian signals, and curb ramps provide access for pedestrians in the vicinity of the proposed project site; however, sidewalk gaps, obstacles, and barriers can be found along some of the roadways connecting to the project site. Existing gaps and obstacles along the connecting roadways impact convenient and continuous access for pedestrians and present safety concerns in those locations where appropriate pedestrian infrastructure would address potential conflict points.

- **Broadway** While there are gaps in pedestrian facilities on the east side of Broadway, sidewalks, curb ramps, and crosswalks are complete on the west side of Broadway from West Napa Street to Leveroni Road.
- **Clay Street** Continuous sidewalks are provided on both sides of Clay Street in the vicinity of the project site.

Bicycle Facilities

The Highway Design Manual, Caltrans, 2012, classifies bikeways into three categories:

- **Class I Multi-Use Path** a completely separated right-of-way for the exclusive use of bicycles and pedestrians with cross flows of motorized traffic minimized.
- Class II Bike Lane a striped and signed lane for one-way bike travel on a street or highway.
- **Class III Bike Route** signing only for shared use with motor vehicles within the same travel lane on a street or highway.

There is a Class I bike path west of the project location, and Class II bike lanes and Class III sharrows are proposed adjacent to the project site on Broadway and Newcomb Street, respectively. Bicyclists ride in the roadway and/or on sidewalks along all other streets within the project study area. Table 1 summarizes the existing and planned bicycle facilities in the project vicinity, as contained in the *Sonoma Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan*.

Table 1 – Bicycle Facility Summa	ry			
Status Facility	Class	Length (miles)	Begin Point	End Point
Existing				
Madera Park Trail	I	0.64	W MacArthur St	Leveroni Rd
Planned				
Broadway	II	1.12	Napa St	Leveroni Rd-Napa Rd
Newcomb St	Ш	0.33	Madera Park Trail	Broadway

Source: Sonoma Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, SCTA, 2014

Transit Facilities

Sonoma County Transit (SCT) provides fixed route bus service in Sonoma County and regionally. There are northbound and southbound stops on Broadway between Clay Street and Leveroni Road. The northbound stop is 250 feet from the project site and the southbound stop is 600 feet south of the project site, as shown in Figure 1. SCT Route 34 provides weekday service between Sonoma and Santa Rosa. The route operates once in the



morning in the eastbound direction, and once in the afternoon in the westbound direction. Sonoma and San Rafael are connected by SCT Route 38. This route operates one time southbound for the morning commute and one time northbound for the evening commute Monday through Friday. Route 40 provides service between Sonoma and Petaluma during weekdays. There are two departures in each direction during the morning peak period and three departures in each direction during the peak afternoon and evening periods.

Two or three bicycles can be carried on SCT buses. Bike rack space is on a first come, first served basis. Additional bicycles are allowed on SCT buses at the discretion of the driver.

Dial-a-ride, also known as paratransit, or door-to-door service, is available for those who are unable to independently use the transit system due to a physical or mental disability. SCT Paratransit is designed to serve the needs of individuals with disabilities within Sonoma County.



Intersection Level of Service Methodologies

Level of Service (LOS) is used to rank traffic operation on various types of facilities based on traffic volumes and roadway capacity using a series of letter designations ranging from A to F. Generally, Level of Service A represents free flow conditions and Level of Service F represents forced flow or breakdown conditions. A unit of measure that indicates a level of delay generally accompanies the LOS designation.

The study intersection was analyzed using the unsignalized methodology published in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM), Transportation Research Board, 2010. This source contains methodologies for various types of intersection control, all of which are related to a measurement of delay in average number of seconds per vehicle. The "Two-Way Stop-Controlled" intersection capacity methodology determines a level of service for each minor turning movement by estimating the level of average delay in seconds per vehicle. Results are presented for individual movements together with the weighted overall average delay for the intersection.

The ranges of delay associated with the various levels of service are indicated in Table 2.

Table 2 – Two-Way Stop-Controlled Intersection Level of Service Criteria

- LOS A Delay of 0 to 10 seconds. Gaps in traffic are readily available for drivers exiting the minor street.
- LOS B Delay of 10 to 15 seconds. Gaps in traffic are somewhat less readily available than with LOS A, but no queuing occurs on the minor street.
- LOS C Delay of 15 to 25 seconds. Acceptable gaps in traffic are less frequent, and drivers may approach while another vehicle is already waiting to exit the side street.
- LOS D Delay of 25 to 35 seconds. There are fewer acceptable gaps in traffic, and drivers may enter a queue of one or two vehicles on the side street.
- LOS E Delay of 35 to 50 seconds. Few acceptable gaps in traffic are available, and longer queues may form on the side street.
- LOS F Delay of more than 50 seconds. Drivers may wait for long periods before there is an acceptable gap in traffic for exiting the side streets, creating long queues.

Reference: Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2010

Traffic Operation Standards

City of Sonoma

In the 2016 Circulation Element of the City of Sonoma General Plan, the following policy has been adopted:

Policy 1.5: Establish a motor vehicle Level of Service (LOS) standard of LOS D at intersections. The following shall be taken into consideration in applying this standard:

- Efforts to meet the vehicle LOS standard shall not result in diminished safety for other modes including walking, bicycling, or transit (see Policy 1.6).
- The standard shall be applied to the overall intersection operation and not that of any individual approach or movement.
- Consideration shall be given to the operation of the intersection over time, rather than relying exclusively on peak period conditions.



The five intersections surrounding the historic Sonoma Plaza shall be exempt from vehicle LOS standards in order to maintain the historic integrity of the Plaza and prioritize non-auto modes.

Caltrans

While the intersection lies within City of Sonoma limits, it is a part of a State Route. Caltrans indicates that they endeavor to maintain operation at the transition from LOS C to LOS D. Based on previous discussions with Caltrans staff, it is understood that the standard is to be applied to the overall average intersection delay, and *not* that associated with any single movement or approach. Under this approach, if one movement experiences very high delay and also has moderate to high traffic volumes, the overall delay and level of service should reflect the critical nature of the condition. However, if one movement is expected to experience high delay, but has very low traffic volumes, the overall intersection operation will likely still meet Caltrans standards.

Existing Conditions

The Existing Conditions scenario provides an evaluation of current operation based on existing traffic volumes during the a.m. and p.m. peak periods. This condition does not include project-generated traffic volumes. Volume data was collected April 14, 2017 while local schools were in session.

Intersection Levels of Service

Under existing conditions, the intersection operates acceptably at LOS A overall during both peak periods. The existing traffic volumes are shown in Figure 1. A summary of the intersection level of service calculations is contained in Table 3, and copies of the Level of Service calculations are provided in Appendix B.

Tal	ble 3 – Existing Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Servio	:e			
Stu	idy Intersection	AM F	Peak	PM F	Peak
	Approach	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1.	Broadway/Clay St	0.7	A	0.3	A
	Eastbound (Clay St) Approach	21.4	С	16.0	С

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service; Results for minor approaches to two-way stop-controlled intersections are indicated in *italics*

Future Conditions

Segment volumes for the horizon year of 2040 were obtained from the County's gravity demand model and the differences between the 2010 and 2040 volumes were applied to the existing turning movement counts to arrive at Future volumes. A growth factor of 1.5 was derived from the increase indicated by the model and applied to the side street volumes.

Under the anticipated Future volumes, the study intersections are expected to operate acceptably at LOS A overall during both study periods. Future volumes are shown in Figure 1 and operating conditions are summarized in Table 4.



Та	ble 4 – Future Peak Hour Intersection Levels of S	Service			
Stu	udy Intersection	AM F	Peak	PM F	Peak
	Approach	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1.	Broadway/Clay St	0.9	А	0.4	А
	Eastbound (Clay St) Approach	21.6	С	17.7	С

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service; Results for minor approaches to two-way stop-controlled intersections are indicated in *italics*

Project Description

The project consists of 48 apartment units on a site that is currently vacant located at 20269 Broadway in the City of Sonoma. Access would be taken directly from Broadway. The proposed project site plan is shown in Figure 2.

Trip Generation

The anticipated trip generation for the proposed project was estimated using standard rates published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in *Trip Generation Manual*, 9th Edition, 2012 for the "Apartment" land use (ITE LU #220). As shown in Table 5, the proposed project is expected to generate an average of 319 trips per day, including 24 trips during the a.m. peak hour and 30 during the p.m. peak hour.

Table 5 – Trip Generatio	n Summ	ary									
Land Use	Units	Da	ily	ŀ	M Peak	Hour		F	PM Peak	Hour	
		Rate	Trips	Rate	Trips	In	Out	Rate	Trips	In	Out
Apartment	48 du	6.65	319	0.51	24	5	19	0.62	30	19	11

Note: du = dwelling unit

Trip Distribution

Based on the volumes at the study intersection as well as anticipated destinations for site residents, it was assumed that project trips would be distributed as shown in Table 6.

Table 6 – Trip Distribution Assumptions				
Route	Percent	Daily Trips	AM Trips	PM Trips
Broadway (to/from the north)	50%	160	12	15
Broadway (to/from the south)	50%	159	12	15
TOTAL	100%	319	24	30





Traffic Impact Study for the Altamira Family Apartments Project Figure 2 – Site Plan



Intersection Operation

Existing plus Project Conditions

Upon the addition of project-related traffic to the Existing volumes, the study intersections are expected to continue to operate at LOS A overall, with minimal increases in delay expected on the stop-controlled side-street approach. These results are summarized in Table 7. Project traffic volumes are shown in Figure 1.

Tal	ble 7 – Existing and Existing plus Proj	ject Peak	Hour In	tersectio	n Levels	s of Servi	ce		
Stu	ıdy Intersection	E	kisting (Condition	ns	Ex	isting p	olus Proje	ct
	Approach	AM F	Peak	PM F	Peak	AM F	Peak	PM F	Peak
		Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1.	Broadway/Clay St	0.7	А	0.3	А	0.7	Α	0.3	А
	Eastbound (Clay St) Approach	21.4	С	16.0	С	21.8	С	16.2	С

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service; Results for minor approaches to two-way stop-controlled intersections are indicated in *italics*

Finding – The study intersection is expected to continue operating acceptably at the same levels of service upon the addition of project-generated traffic.

Future plus Project Conditions

Upon the addition of project-generated traffic to the anticipated Future volume, the study intersection is expected to continue operating acceptably. The Future plus Project operating conditions are summarized in Table 8.

Та	ble 8 – Future and Future plus Proj	ect Peak H	our Inte	ersection	Levels o	f Service			
Stu	udy Intersection	F	uture C	ondition	s	F	uture p	lus Projec	t
	Approach	AM	Peak	PM F	Peak	AM F	Peak	PM F	Peak
		Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1.	Broadway/Clay St	0.9	А	0.4	А	1.0	А	0.4	А
	Eastbound (Clay St) Approach	21.6	С	17.7	С	21.9	С	17.9	С

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service; Results for minor approaches to two-way stop-controlled intersections are indicated in *italics*

Finding – The study intersection will continue operating acceptably with project traffic added, at the same Levels of Service as without it and imperceptible increases in average delay for stop-controlled traffic.



Alternative Modes

Pedestrian Facilities

Given the proximity of commercial and residential land uses to the project site, it is reasonable to assume that some project patrons and employees will want to walk, bicycle, and/or use transit to reach the project site. There is existing sidewalk along both the project frontages of Broadway and Clay Street. There is also continuous sidewalk available along the west side of Broadway and a signalized intersection at Broadway/Newcomb Street with a marked crossing across Broadway that middle and high school students could use to walk between the project site and Adele Harrison Middle School and Sonoma Valley High School.

Project Site – Sidewalks exist along both the Broadway and Clay Street project frontages, and there is also continuous sidewalk and a marked crossing between the project site and the nearby middle and high school. A review of the site plan indicates pedestrian walkways are proposed within the project site as well.

Finding – Pedestrian facilities serving the project site are adequate.

Bicycle Facilities

Existing bicycle facilities, including shared use of minor streets, provide adequate access for bicyclists. Class II bike lanes are proposed on Broadway, including along the project frontage.

Bicycle Storage

Short-term bicycle parking is provided at the site by 14 secured bike parking spaces.

Finding – Bicycle facilities serving the project site are adequate.

Transit

Existing transit routes are adequate to accommodate project-generated transit trips. Existing stops are within acceptable walking distance of the site.

Finding – Transit facilities serving the project site are adequate.



Site Access

The project site will be accessed via a driveway on Broadway on the northern edge of the property, across from an existing driveway to Broadway Plaza.

Sight Distance

Sight distance along Broadway at the project driveway was evaluated based on sight distance criteria contained in the *Highway Design Manual* published by Caltrans. The recommended sight distances for minor street approaches that are a driveway are based on stopping sight distance, with approach travel speeds as the basis for determining the recommended sight distance. Additionally, the stopping sight distance needed for a following driver to stop if there is a vehicle waiting to turn into a side street or driveway is evaluated based on stopping sight distance criterion and the approach speed on the major street.

Sight distance at the proposed driveway location was field measured. Based on a design speed of 35 mph, the minimum stopping sight distance needed is 250 feet. Broadway is a straight, flat road and stopping sight distance for a posted speed limit of 35 mph is adequate so long as there are no vehicles parked along the curb. The sight distance, shown in the exhibit provided in Appendix C would be adequate at the project driveway with the addition of red curb on either side of the driveway.

Finding - Sight distance is adequate, but could be impacted by parked vehicles.

Recommendation - Parking restrictions in the form of red curbs should be installed for 20 feet on either side of the project driveway. Additionally, low-lying landscaping should be installed along the project frontage on Broadway near the driveway.

Access Analysis

Left-Turn Lane Warrants

The need for a left-turn lane on Broadway at the proposed driveway was evaluated based on criteria contained in the *Intersection Channelization Design Guide*, National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report No. 279, Transportation Research Board, 1985, as well as a more recent update of the methodology developed by the Washington State Department of Transportation. The NCHRP report references a methodology developed by M. D. Harmelink that includes equations that can be applied to expected or actual traffic volumes in order to determine the need for a left-turn pocket based on safety issues. It is understood that this methodology is similar to what Caltrans uses for this type of analysis.

The left-turn warrant study was based on Existing and Future peak hour volumes as well as safety criteria. Under plus Project conditions, a left-turn lane is not warranted on Broadway at the project driveway during either of the peak periods evaluated, or under projected Future volumes. The turn lane warrant worksheets are included in Appendix C.

Left-Turn Lane Design

While a left-turn lane is not warranted on Broadway at the project driveway based on volumes, due to the inconsistency of the lane geometrics on Broadway along the project frontage compared to the rest of Broadway between Napa Street and Leveroni Road-Napa Road, as well as the excessive width that can contribute to speeding and other undesirable driving behaviors, it is recommended that the project restripe Broadway with a



two-way left-turn lane for the 770 feet between the existing two-way left-turn lane striping north and south of the missing segment. This modification would substantially improve access conditions for the project site and for other origins and destinations in the vicinity. As shown in the exhibit in Appendix C, it appears that the new striping will fit within the existing pavement width while retaining parking where it currently exists.

Potential Conflicts

Loading activity on Clay Street for the Sonoma Lodge was collected on video cameras for one week and then reviewed. Based on video footage obtained, it appears there is minimal potential for conflict with delivery trucks for the Sonoma Lodge. During the a.m. peak period trucks were observed parallel parking along the south side of Clay Street, with ample space for eastbound passenger vehicles to continue to Broadway.



Conclusions

- The project as proposed is expected to generate 326 new daily trips, including 25 during the a.m. peak hour and 30 during the p.m. peak hour.
- The study intersection experienced a lower collision rate than the statewide average for similar facilities.
- The intersection currently operates acceptably at LOS A and is expected to continue operating at LOS A under Existing plus Project, Future, and Future plus Project conditions.
- Sight distance at the project driveway is adequate.
- A left-turn lane is not warranted on Broadway at the project driveway based on volumes, but should be provided to improve access and safety.
- Pedestrian, bike and transit facilities are adequate.
- Trucks loading and unloading on the south side of Clay Street are not expected to interfere with access for vehicles traveling on Clay Street.

Recommendations

- Any vegetation at the project driveway should be planted and maintained so it is low-lying. Additionally, red curb should be painted on either side of the driveway for 20 feet.
- A two-way left-turn lane on Broadway designed to Caltrans specifications should be installed to connect to existing turn lane striping to the north and south.



Study Participants and References

Study Participants

Principal in Charge	
Assistant Engineer	
Graphics	
Editing/Formatting	
Report Review	

Dalene J. Whitlock, PE, PTOE Lauren Davini, EIT Hannah Yung Corinne Rasmussen, Hannah Yung Dalene J. Whitlock, PE, PTOE

References

City of Sonoma 2020 General Plan, City of Sonoma, 2006 City of Sonoma General Plan: 2016 Circulation Element, 2016 Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2010 Highway Design Manual, 6th Edition, California Department of Transportation, 2012 Intersection Channelization Design Guide, National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report No. 279, Transportation Research Board, 1985 Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), California Highway Patrol, 2012-2016 Sonoma Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan, Sonoma County Transportation Authority, 2008 (Updated 2014) Sonoma County Transit, http://sctransit.com/ Sonoma Municipal Code, Code Publishing Company, 2016 Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2012

SON045-1





Appendix A

Collision Rate Calculations





Appendix **B**

Intersection Level of Service Calculations



HCM 2010 TWSC 1: Broadway & Clay	Street				04/27/2017	HCM 2010 TWSC 1: Broadway & Clay Street
Intersection						Intersection
Int Delay, s/veh 0.7						Int Delay, s/veh 0.3
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT SBR	Movement EBL
Lane Configurations	≻		۶	*	æ	Lane Configurations
Traffic Vol, veh/h	17	20	∞ α	642	513 14	Traffic Vol, veh/h
Future Vol, veh/h	1	50	~ ~	642	513 14	Future Vol, vehvh 6
Contlicting Peas, #/hr Sian Control	Cton Cton	Cton	0 Eroo	0 Eroo	L U U Free Free	Conflicting Peas, #/Inf U Ston
RT Channelized	doic	None		None	- None	Bight Contition BT Channelized -
Storage Length	0	21021	20	-		Storage Length 0
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	•	•	0	- 0	Veh in Median Storage, # 0
Grade, %	0		•	0	- 0	Grade, % 0
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88 88	Peak Hour Factor 96
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2 2 5	Heavy Vehicles, % 2
	6	72	4	/30	003 10	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	Major/Minor Minor2
Conflicting Flow All	1339	591	599	0	0 -	Conflicting Flow All 1078
Stage 1	591					Stage 1 559
Stage 2	748					Stage 2 519
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	•		Critical Hdwy 6.42
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	•	1			Critical Hdwy Stg 1 5.42
Critical Hdwy Sig 2	5.42	- 010 0	- 0100			Critical Hdwy Stg 2 5.42
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218			Follow-up Hdwy 3.518 Det Con 1 Manuarian 242
PULUAP-I MAIRUVE	100	/00	4/0			PULCAP-LINAREUVER 242
Stade 2	468					Stade 2 597
Platoon blocked, %						Platoon blocked, %
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	166	507	978			Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 240
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	166	•	•			Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 240
Stage 1	503					Stage I 5/2
2 adde 2	404				•	ZYC Z BIGU
Approach	EB		NB		SB	Approach EB
HCM Control Delay, s	21.4		0.1		0	HCM Control Delay, s 16
HCM LOS	U					HCM LOS C
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL NE	TEBLn1	SBT SBR			Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL 1
Capacity (veh/h)	978	- 261	•			Capacity (veh/h) 1005
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.009	- 0.161	н н			HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.009
HCM Lane LOS	۵./	+ ZI:+				HCMI CUITIOL DEIAY (S) 0.0 HCMI and LOS A
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)		. 0.6	•			HCM 95th %tile O(veh) 0
	>	0.0				

04/27/2017

0 14 0 14 0 0 3e Free - None -96 2 15 0 SBT SBR 0 96 552 **5**30 530 530 Free Major2 0 SB 4
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 60
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 65
 66
 66
 67
 68
 68
 69
 69
 60
 60
 60
 60
 60
 60
 60
 61
 61
 62
 61
 61
 62
 61
 61
 62
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 < 2 - 0 96 96 2 22 500 0 NBL NBT 4.12 Major1 567 2.218 1005 1005 0.2 IT EBLn1 SBT SBR 7 0 Stop None - 340 - 0.04 - 16 - C - C 96 2 7 6.22 3.318 529 529 559 ~ EBR

Altamira Family ApartmentsTIS PM Existing

Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

Altamira Family Apartments TIS AM Existing

Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

Act Op	oadway & Clay Str	199						11/1/1/1	÷I
eh 09 eh 09 attalos EB NB NB <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Inte</td></th<>									Inte
FB FB NB NB<	veh 0.9								Ē
Mathin X X X Y <td>Ξ</td> <td>3L EI</td> <td>3R</td> <td>NBL</td> <td>NBT</td> <td>SBT</td> <td>SBR</td> <td></td> <td>Mo</td>	Ξ	3L EI	3R	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		Mo
Hind 26 30 12 688 573 21 Filter Filter<	urations	2-		r	*	\$			Lar
Heat 26 30 12 688 573 21 74 Reds Min 0 None	/eh/h	26	30	12	688	573	21		Tra
Matrix 0 <td>veh/h</td> <td>26</td> <td>30</td> <td>12</td> <td>688</td> <td>573</td> <td>21</td> <td></td> <td>Fut</td>	veh/h	26	30	12	688	573	21		Fut
Red Stop Stop Free	Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		<u></u>
Ized None None <th< td=""><td>-</td><td>p S</td><td>do</td><td>Free</td><td>Free</td><td>Free</td><td>Free</td><td></td><td>Sig</td></th<>	-	p S	do	Free	Free	Free	Free		Sig
glun 0 - 50 - <td>lized</td> <td>- No</td> <td>ne</td> <td>•</td> <td>Vone</td> <td></td> <td>None</td> <td></td> <td>RT</td>	lized	- No	ne	•	Vone		None		RT
an Storage, # 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · 0 · · · 0 · <	ngth	0		20					Sto
Each 0 10	ian Storage, #	0		÷	0	0			Vel
Field 100 </td <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>ł</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ğ</td>		0		ł	0	0			Ğ
clics, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 Here non Minor Minor Minor Major	Factor 1	00	8	100	100	100	100		Pe
26 30 12 688 573 21 MM FlowAll 17002 Malor <	icles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		ΗË
Flow All Major		26	30	12	688	573	21		≧
If minor Majori Major									
FlowAll 1266 584 594 0 0 0 e1 354 - - - - 0	r Mino	r2	M	ajor1		Major2			Ma
a1 584 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Flow All 12	96 5	84	594	0	•	0		3
62 712 ·	e1	34		ł		•			
y 6.42 6.22 4.12 ·	e 2 7	12		•		•			
y Sig1 5.42 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vy 6.	42 6.	22	4.12					S
y Sig2 5.42 - - - - - - - diluyer 313 2.218 2.218 2.218 2.218 2.218 - - and user 179 512 2.218 2.218 - - - and user 179 512 982 - - - and user 177 512 982 - - - and user 177 512 982 - - - and user 177 512 982 - - - Annouser 177 512 982 - - - annouse 16 010 - -	vy Stg 1 5.	42		ł					5
Idow 3518 3.318 2.218 ·	vy Stg 2 5.	42		•		•			5 S
Materier 113 512 982 -	dwy 3.5	3.3	20	2.218		•			2
11 55/ · · · · 2 486 · · · · Maneurer 117 512 982 · · Maneurer 117 512 982 · · 1 557 · · · · 21 450 · · · · 22 480 · · · · 21 557 · · · · 22 480 · · · · 23 480 · · · · 24 01 0 · · · 23 480 · · · · 24 01 0 · · · 21.6 0.1 0 · · · Adot Munt NBL NBT SBR · · · Major Munt NBL NBT · · · Afrido · · · · · Acc · · · · · 10 0.12 ·	Maneuver 1	- 19	12	982		•			Pot
22 466 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	91	19		·		•			
Bed. % Sec. % Sec. % Pla Amenucer 177 512 982 - - Monochrance Maneuver 177 512 982 - - - Monochrance Maneuver 177 512 982 - - - Monochrance 21 480 - - - - - - - Monochrance - - - - - - - - - - - - Monochrance -	32 4	36		1					
Maneurer 117 512 982 · · · · · · · Mon Maneurer Mon Mon <td>cked, %</td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>El :</td>	cked, %		:			•			El :
Materian 11/l ····· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· Mu 22 480 ····· ···· ···· ·	Maneuver 1	11 2	12	982		•			Ň.
a1 557 - - - - a2 480 - - - - b1 EB NB SB B a10 21.6 0.1 0 - b1 NC - - - b1 NB1 NB1 SB1 SB b1 0 0.12 0.205 - b1 0 0.12 - 0 b1 0 0.12 - 0.16 b1 0 0.12 - - b1 0 - - - b1 - - - - b1 - - - - b2 - - - - b1 - - - - b2 -	Maneuver			ł		•			20 Z
e 2 480 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e 1 5	10		ł					
EB NB SB Apple of Delay, s 21.6 0.1 0 Hc C C 0.1 0 Hc Major Munt NBL NBT EBLn SR Mr Major Munt NBL NBT EBLn SR Mr Major Munt NBL NBT EBLn SR Mr VIC Ratio 0.012 0.205 - Hc Moder Mont A - C Catulity Hc McCrean A - C - Hc Hc	e 2 4	00		•		•			
EB NB SB App of Delay, s 21.6 0.1 0 Hc Hc C 0 0 Hc Hc Major Numt NEL NBT SBR Mf Hc Hc Major Numt NEL NBT SBR 0 Hc Hc Hc Major Numt NB2 - 213 - SBR Mf Hc Hc <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
vid Delay, s 21.6 0.1 0 Hc Major Mmmt C 0.1 0 Hc Amajor Mmmt NBL NBT SBR Mm Amajor Mmmt NBL NBT SBR Mm Amajor Mmmt NBL 181 SBR Mm Amajor Mmmt 0.12 - 273 - Hc VC Ratio 0.012 - 0.205 - Hc VC Ratio 0.012 - 0.205 - Hc LOS A - C - Hc LOS A - C - Hc		В		NB		SB			Apt
C Mailor Wint NBL NBT BBR AMAION WILL NBT SBR AMAION WILL SBT SBR	ol Delay, s 21	9.		0.1		0			오
Major Mwmt NBL NBT SBR Milt NBT SBR Milt NBT SBR Milt NBT NBT SBR Milt NBT NBT SBR Milt NBT SBR Milt NBT SBR Milt SBR SBR <t< td=""><td></td><td>C</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>오</td></t<>		C							오
Major Momt NBL NBT SBT SBR Mill Mill NBT SBR Mill Mill Mill Ca Mill Mill 982 2.373 5 Ca									
eh/h) 982 - 273 Cal VIC Ratio 0.012 - 0.205 HC HC HC HC HC HC HC HC HC HC HC HC HC H	Major Mvmt N	3L NBT EBL	n1 SBT	SBR					Mir
VIC Ratio 0.012 - 0.205 HC el Delay (s) 8.7 - 21.6 HC LLOS A - C HC HC HC HC HC HC - HC	eh/h) 9.	32 - 2	73 -	•					Ca
al Dalay (s) 8.7 - 21.6 HC LOS A - C HC Make Ariveh A - O HC	V/C Ratio 0.0	- 0.2	05	•					Ê
LOS A C HC	ol Delav (s) 8	.7 - 2	- 91	•					오
Alter Orwahi D DR	OS		' 0	1					Ŷ
	(tile O(neh)		. 80						9

HCM 2010 TWSC 1: Broadway & Clay Street

Belly, siveh 0.4 Belly, siveh 0.4 errent E.B. B.B. N.B.T S.B.T S.B.T errent E.B. E.B. B.B. N.B.T S.B.T S.B.T errent 9 11 14 573 S.B.T S.B.T arr Vol, vehin 9 11 14 573 S.B.T S.B.T arr Vol, vehin 9 11 14 573 S.B.T S.B.T S.B.T arr Vol, vehin 9 11 14 573 S.B.T S.B.T S.B.T S.B.T Control Stop 10 100 <th< th=""><th>rsection</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	rsection							
ensent EB NB NB SB SB <t< th=""><th>Jelay, s/veh 0</th><th>.4</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Jelay, s/veh 0	.4						
Configurations $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ are Vol, weith 9 11 14 573 581 21 are Vol, weith 9 11 14 573 581 21 are Vol, weith 9 11 14 573 581 21 are Vol, weith Sop Sop Sop None None None Channelses 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 Micro 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 of Kannelses 0 0 14 573 581 21 None 0 <td< td=""><td>rement</td><td>EBL</td><td>EBR</td><td>NBL</td><td>NBT</td><td>SBT</td><td>SBR</td><td></td></td<>	rement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Tick Vol. vehilth 9 11 14 573 581 21 Riting You vehilth 0	e Configurations	>			*	\$		
Microling Peak, #int 9 11 14 573 581 21 Ricing Peak, #int Stop Stop Stop None - None - Optimized - None - 0 - - None - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - None	fic Vol, veh/h	6	11	14	573	581	21	
Riting Packs, #/rt 0 <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""></th0<></th0<></th0<>	ure Vol, veh/h	6	11	14	573	581	21	
Control Stop Free Free Free Free age Length 0 - None - None age Length 0 - 00 - None age Length 0 - 00 - None age Length 0 - 00 100 100 100 kHaur Factor 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 vy Vehrles, % 2	iflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Channelsed · None · None Optimized - None - - - age (leng) 0 - 0 00 - - de Kork 2 2 2 0 0 - - de Kork 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 At Hour Scing 4 11 14 573 581 21 2 <td< td=""><td>r Control</td><td>Stop</td><td>Stop</td><td>Free</td><td>Free</td><td>Free</td><td>Free</td><td></td></td<>	r Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
age Length 0 · · · 50 · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Channelized	•	None		None		None	
In Median Storage, # 0 - 0 - 0 - Ri Hurr Factor 100 100 100 100 100 100 W Vehrlies, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 W Vehrlies, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 W Vehrlies, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 Milting Flow All 1193 592 602 0 - 0 Sigge 1 513 513 512 - 0 - - Sigge 1 513 512 612 0 - <	age Length	0		20		•		
Ge, % 0 · 0 · 0 · Hour Factor 100 100 100 100 100 100 Mour Factor 10 100 100 100 100 100 Milers, % 2 2 2 2 2 Milers, % 2 2 2 2 2 Milers, % 2 2 2 2 2 Milers, % 3 3 602 0 0 100 Singe 1 592 601 - - - - - Singe 2 601 542 642 642 - <	in Median Storage, #	0			0	0		
K Hour Factor 100 <	de, %	0			0	0		
Mellors 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 14 5/3 581 21 Milling Minor Minor <th< td=""><td>k Hour Factor</td><td>100</td><td>100</td><td>100</td><td>100</td><td>100</td><td>100</td><td></td></th<>	k Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Int Flow 9 11 14 573 581 21 ortMnor Minor Minor Major Major Major 0 Stage 1 592 602 0 - 0 Stage 2 601 - 0 Major Major 0 Stage 2 601 - - 0 Major 0 - 0 Stage 2 601 - - 0 Major 0 - 0 Stage 1 5-42 6-2 4-1 2 - - 0 - Mature 1 5-3 5-42 6-2 4-1 - <td>wy Vehicles, %</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td></td>	wy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Milor Milor Major Major Ritching Flow All 1193 592 6.01 - 0 Stage 1 6.01 - - 0 - 0 Stage 1 6.01 - - - - - - Stage 1 6.01 - <td< td=""><td>nt Flow</td><td>6</td><td>=</td><td>14</td><td>573</td><td>581</td><td>21</td><td></td></td<>	nt Flow	6	=	14	573	581	21	
Milling Flow All Millor Major Major Rithing Flow All 1193 592 602 0 0 Stage 1 592 602 0 0 0 Stage 1 592 602 0 0 0 Stage 1 592 602 0 0 0 Stage 1 542 - - - - - Stage 1 543 -								
Ificting Flow All 1193 592 602 0 · 0 Slage 1 592 6.02 0 · · · 0 Slage 1 592 · · · · · · 0 Slage 1 592 6.22 4.12 · · · · · Slage 1 5.42 ·	or/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2		
Single 1 592 ·	iflicting Flow All	1193	592	602	0		0	
Sigge 2 601 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stage 1	592	1					
atal Hdwy 642 6.22 4.12 · · · atal Hdwy Sig 1 5.42 · · · · · · atal Hdwy Sig 1 5.42 · · · · · · · atal Hdwy Sig 2 5.42 · · · · · · · atal Hdwy Sig 2 5.42 ·<	Stage 2	601	'			'		
Iditive Sig1 5.42 ·· <td>ical Hdwy</td> <td>6.42</td> <td>6.22</td> <td>4.12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12				
acit Hduw Sig 2 5.42 ··	ical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	•			•		
wurp Howy 3518 3.318 2.218 - - Gap I Maneurer 506 975 - - - Stage 1 533 - - - - - Stage 2 547 - - - - - - Stage 2 547 -	cal Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	•			•		
Cap-1 Mineuver 206 506 975 · · · Stage 1 553 · · · · · Stage 2 547 · · · · · Cap-I Maneuver 203 506 975 · · · Cap-I Maneuver 203 · · · · · Cap-I Maneuver 203 · · · · · · Cap-I Maneuver 203 · · · · · · Stage 1 533 · · · · · · · Stage 2 539 · · · · · · · · Stage 2 533 · · · · · · · Stage 2 533 · · · · · · · Stage 2 533	ow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218		1		
Stage 1 533 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cap-1 Maneuver	206	506	975		•		
Stage 2 54/1 ·	Stage 1	553	•			•		
on blocked, % - <	Stage 2	547	1			•		
Cap-1 Maneuver 203 506 975 · · · Cap-1 Maneuver 203 · · · · · Stage 1 533 · · · · · · Stage 1 533 · · · · · · Stage 1 539 · · · · · · Stage 2 539 · · · · · · Stage 2 539 · · · · · · Stage 2 539 · · · · · · Stage 2 533 · · · · · · A Control Delay, s 17.7 · · · · · A Lane VIC Ratio 0.114 · · · · · A Lane VIC Ratio 0.114 · · · · · M Lane VIC Ratio 0.114 · · · · · M Lane VIC Ratio 0.114 · · · · · M Lane VIC Ratio · · <t< td=""><td>oon blocked, %</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	oon blocked, %							
Cap 2 Maneuver 203 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/ Cap-1 Maneuver	203	506	67.6		•		
Stage 1 553 ·	/ Cap-2 Maneuver	203						
Slage 2 539 ·	Stage 1	553	1			•		
Reach EB NB SB M Control Delay, s 17,7 0.2 0 M LOS 17,7 0.2 0 M LOS C 0 0 M LOS 7 0.2 0 M LOS C 0 0 M LOS 303	Stage 2	539				•		
Model EB NB SB M Control Delay, s 17,7 0.2 0 M LOS C 0 0 M LOS 0 1 13 M Lane LOC Ratio 0.014 - 0.066								
M Control Delay, s 17,7 0.2 0 M LOS C C C 0.2 0.2 0 Cr Lane/Major Mwmt NBL NBT EBLn1 SBT SBR activ (verh1) 975 - 303 M Lane V/C Ratio 0.014 - 0.066 M Lane L/C Ratio 0.014 - 0.066 M Lane L/C Ratio 0.014 - 0.026 M Pane LOS 0.12	roach	EB		NB		SB		
M LOS C act Lane/Major Mwmt NBL NBT EBLn1 SBT SBR act Lane/Major Mwmt NBL NBT EBLn1 SBT SBR M Lane V/C Ratio 0.014 - 0.066 M Cantrol Delay (s) 8.1 - 117 M Lane LOS A - C M 95th %tile Q(vet) 0 - 0.2	M Control Delay, s	17.7		0.2		0		
r Lane/Major Mvmt NBL NBT EBLn1 SBT SBR activ (veh/m) 975 - 303 M Lane V/C Ratio 0.014 - 0.066 M Control Delay (s) 8.7 - 17.7 M Lane LOS A - C M 1ane LOS A - 0.2	M LOS	U						
acty (veh/h) 975 - 303	or Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT SBR				
M Láne V/C Ratio 0.014 - 0.066 M Láne V/C Ratio 0.014 - 0.066 M Control Delay (s) 8.7 - 17.7 M Lane LOS A - C M Lane LOS A C	acity (veh/h)	975	- 303					
M Control Delay (s) 8.7 - 17.7 M Control Delay (s) 8.7 - 17.7 M Lane LOS A - C M Tane LOS A - 0.2	M Lane V/C Ratio	0.014	- 0.066					
M Lane LOS A - C M 95th %clie Q(veh) 0 - 0.2	M Control Delay (s)	8.7	- 17.7					
M 95th %tile Q(veh) 0 - 0.2	M Lane LOS	A	с ,					
	M 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.2					

Altamira Family Apartments TIS PM Future

> Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

Altamira Family ApartmentsTIS AM Future

Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

04/27/2017

Intersection Int Delay, Sweh 0.7 Int Delay, Sweh 0.7 Movement Movement EBL Int Delay, Sweh Movement 0.7 Movement Future Vol, veh/h 17 EUture Vol, veh/h Future Vol, veh/h 17 Sign Control RT Channelized 0 Sign Control Storage Length 0 Stop Peak Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % Meany Vehicles, % 1333 Stage 1 Stage 1 602 Stage 2 642 Critical Howy Sch 642 Critical Howy Sch 642	EBR NB 20 20 20 20 20 20 16 20 23 23 23 23 23 23 60 23 61 23 61 23 61 23	Image: Non-state	SBT SBR 523 14 523 14 523 14 0 0 Free Free - None 88 88 88 88 88 88 594 16 594 16
Int Delay, siveh 0.7 Movement EBL Lane Configurations Y Traffic Vol, vehh 17 Traffic Vol, vehh 17 Confiding Peck, #hr 07 Sign Control Sign Control Storage, # 0 Control Storage, # 0 Grade, % 0 Peck Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % 19 Munt Flow 11 Minor2 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Sigg 2 642 Critical Holwy 1 642 Critical Holwy 1 642 Critical Holwy 1 642	EBR NB 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 88 88 88 22 22 23 23 23 23 88 60 60 61 61 4.1	Image: Normal state	SBT SBR 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 60 7166 7166 716 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 8
Movement EBL Lane Configurations M Lane Configurations M Future Vol. verbh 17 Future Vol. verbh 17 Conflicting Peds, #hr 0 Sign Control Sign Sign Control Siop Sign Control Siop Grade, % 0 Grade, % 19 Mont Flow 19 Mont Flow 1353 Sign 2 1353 Sign 2 1353 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Sign 2 1 642 Critical Holdy 642 751 Critical Holdy 642 751	EBR NB 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	Image: Normal control Image: Normal conteon control Image: Normal control <th>SBT SBR 523 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 6 0 7 None 7 None 8 88 28 88 294 16 594 16 6 0 7 0 6 0 7 0</th>	SBT SBR 523 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 6 0 7 None 7 None 8 88 28 88 294 16 594 16 6 0 7 0 6 0 7 0
Lane Configurations Y Traffic Vol. veh/h 17 Traffic Vol. veh/h 17 Confiting Peck, #hr 0 Sign Control Sign Sign Control Signape, # 0 Kert Channelized Siorage, # 0 Grade, % 0 Feak Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % 19 Minor2 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Signap 1 602 Signap 1 602 Signap 1 602 Critical Howy 1 642 Critical Howy 1 642	20 20 20 8 Stop Fre None E 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 33 2 3 3 2 33 5 2 5 2	▲ ▲	A 523 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 523 14 6 0 7 - 9 - 8 88 83 88 83 88 594 16 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - -
Traffic Vol, vehh 17 Erdure Vol, vehh 17 Confiting Pets, #hr 10 Sign Control Sign Control Sign Control Sign Control Sign Control Storage Length 0 Veh in Media Storage, # 0 Grade, % 0 Grade, % 0 Heavy Vehicles, % 19 Munt Flow 1353 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Sigae 1 602 Sigae 2 5139 Critical Howy 642	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 88 88 88 88 88 22 22 60 60 61 61 61 4.1	8 645 8 645 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	523 14 523 14 523 14 7ree Free - None 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 594 16 594 16
Future Vol, vehh 17 Conflicting Peds, #hr 17 Sign Control eds, #hr 0 RT Channelized Storage Length 0 Carde, % 0 Feak Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % 19 Mvmt Flow 11333 Conflicting Flow All 1333 Stage 1 602 Stage 2 642 Cettical Howy 642 Cettical Howy 642	20 Stop Fre None E 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 60 602 61 61 622 4.1	8 645 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	523 14 0 0 Free Free - None 0 - 88 88 88 88 2 2 594 16 594 16 - 0 - 0
Conflicting Peas, #Air 0 Sign Control Slop RT Channelized Slop Vehin Median Slorage, # 0 Crade, % 0 Peak Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % 19 Major/Minor Minor2 Major/Minor Minor2 Stage 1 55 Stage 2 55 Control 6, 42 Cettical Howy 1 6, 42	0 Stop Free Nome E 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 60 602 61 61 62 61	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Free Free Free - None - None - None - None - None - None - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
Sign Control Sign Control TC Tomanielized 510 Storage Length 0 Grade, % 0 Peak Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % 19 Minor2 Minor2 Silage 1 622 Silage 1 622 Silage 1 622 Critical Howy 16, 542 Critical How Sch 642	Stop Fre None	e Free - None 0 0 0 0 0 0	Free Free - None - None 0 - - 2 2 594 16 Major2 - 0
Ar Characteries Storage Length Grade, % Peak Hour Factor Heavy Vehicles, % Mwnt Flow Stage 1 62 Stage 1 62 Stage 2 751 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Stage 2 751 Critical Howy 642	Nume 	- NOTE 50 - 0 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 733 - 0 0 10 0 0	- Note 0 88 88 2 88 594 16 594 16 0
Survaye tenyun Vehin Median Storage, # 0 Grade, % 0 Feak Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % 19 Mmit Flow 19 Minor 2 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Stage 1 602 Stage 2 5139 Critical Howy 6, 42 Critical Howy 6, 42		0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 3 3 3 6 733 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0	0 0 88 88 88 88 2 2 594 16 - 0
Cardian constant constant of the constant of t	. 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	- 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	0 - 88 88 2 2 594 16 Major2 - - 0
Peak Hour Factor 88 Heavy Vehicles, % 2 Munt Flow 19 Major/Minor Minor2 Stage 1 553 Stage 2 751 Critical Howy 6.42 Critical How Sch 6.42	88 88 2 2 23 23 61 602 61 612 612 613 622 61 612 613 622 61 612 612 612 612 613 622 613 612 612 612 612 612 612 612 612 612 612	88 88 2 2 2 9 733 9 733 	88 88 2 2 594 16 Malar2 - 0
Heavy Vehicles, % 2 Munit Flow 19 Major/Minor Minor2 Stage 1 1353 Stage 2 751 Critical Hawy 6.42	2 23 602 61 6.22 4.1	2 2 2 9 733 10 0	2 2 594 16 Major2 - 0
Mumi Flow 19 MajorMinor Minor2 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Stage 1 62 Stage 2 751 Critical Hawy 6,42 Critical Hawy 6,42	23 Majo 602 61 - 612 6.22 4.1	9 733 11	594 16 Major2 - 0
Major/Minor Minor2 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Stage 1 602 Stage 2 751 Critical Mayy 6.42 Control Mayy 6.42	Major 602 61 6.22 4.1	0	Major2 - 0
Major/Minor Minor2 Conflicting Flow All 1353 Stage 1 602 Stage 2 751 Critical Hwy 6 42	Majo 602 61 - 6.22 4.1		Major2 - 0
Conflicting Flow All 1353 Stage 1 602 Stage 2 751 Critical Howy 6.42 Critical Howy 1 6.42	602 61 6.22 4.1	0 ' '	0
Stage 1 602 Stage 2 751 Critical Hdwy 6.42	- - 6.22 4.1	· ·	
Stage 2 751 Critical Hdwy 6.42	- 6.22 4.1	•	
Critical Hdwy 6.42	6.22 4.1		
Critical Lidense Chard E 40		12 -	
CITICAT HUWY SIG I STAR			
Critical Howy Stg 2 5.42		•	
Follow-up Hdwy 3.518	3.318 2.21		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 165	500 96	- 69	
Stage 1 547		•	
Stage 2 466		•	
Platoon blocked, %		•	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 163	500 96	- 60	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 163		•	
Starte 1 04/ Starte 2 460			
70+ 7 Shot			
Approach EB	Z	B	SB
HCM Control Delav, s 21.8	0	-	0
HCM LOS			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL NBT	r EBLn1 SBT SB	Å	
Capacity (veh/h) 969 -	- 256 -		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.009 -	- 0.164 -		
HCM Control Delay (s) 8.8 -	- 21.8 -		
HCM Lane LOS A	۔ د		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0 -	- 0.6 -		

HCM 2010 TWSC 1: Broadway & Clay Street

04/27/2017

Delay Sveh 03 Delay Sveh 03 wenent EBI NBL NBL NBL SFI SRI Re Conjunctions Y N Y Y Y Y Y Re Conjunctions Y NBL NBL NBL NBL SFI SFI SFI Re Conjunctions Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Iter Conjulations Stop Top Op	ersection							
Memeri EBL NBL NBL NBL SBL SBR re Collapiations	Delay, s/veh 0.	3						
ne Configurations \mathbf{Y}	wement	EBL	EBR	NE	RL NBT	SBT	SBR	
filt volverhim 6 7 9 400 535 14 mer volvverhim 6 7 9 400 535 14 mer volvverhim 6 7 9 400 535 14 protinoi Stop	ne Configurations	⊁			÷	¢		
Including leads #fract 6 7 9 900 535 14 Incluing peaks #fract 0	affic Vol, veh/h	9	7		9 490	535	14	
Including Peds. #Irr 0	ture Vol, veh/h	9	7		9 490	535	14	
Control Stop Stop Free	inflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	
Channelized None None None None ade, % 0 - 50 - 0 - ade, % 0 - 50 - 0 - - ade, % 0 - - 0 0 -	jn Control	Stop	Stop	ΕĽ	e Free	Free	Free	
Index length 0 50 5 <	Channelized	1	None		- None		None	
Init Median Storage, # 0 · 0 · 0 · ade, % 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · ade, % 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · avy Vehicles, % 2 3<	orage Length	0	•		- 0			
ade, % (1 10) (1	th in Median Storage, #	0			- 0	0		
att Hour Factor 96 96 96 96 96 any Vehicles, % 2 10	ade, %	0			0-	0		
avy Vehicles, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 mt Flow 6 7 9 510 557 15 15 mt Flow Minor Minor Major 557 15 0 557 15 offulting FlowAll Minor Major 555 572 0 Major 0 Stage 1 565 572 0 Major 16 1004 56 572 0 60 Stage 1 565 572 0 1 Major 1 10 <th10< th=""> <th10< th=""> <th10< <="" td=""><td>ak Hour Factor</td><td>96</td><td>96</td><td></td><td>96 90</td><td>96</td><td>96</td><td></td></th10<></th10<></th10<>	ak Hour Factor	96	96		96 90	96	96	
mt Flow 6 7 9 510 557 15 mt Flow Minor Minor Major Major 57 15 filter Huw Sig 5 5 5 7 0 557 15 Stage 1 565 572 0 Major 6 2 6 Stage 2 529 -	avy Vehicles, %	2	2		2 2	2	2	
jort/hror Minor2 Major1 Major2 nifcling Flow All 1094 565 572 0 - 0 nifcling Flow All 1094 565 572 0 - 0 Stage 1 5265 - - - 0 Stage 2 529 - - - - - Stage 2 539 -	/mt Flow	9	7		9 510	557	15	
jort/hinor Major Major Major milcing Flow All 1094 565 572 0 - 0 milcing Flow All 1094 565 572 0 - 0 Stage 1 526 572 0 - 0 Stage 2 529 - - - 0 Stage 2 542 6.22 4.12 - - 0 Rital Howy Sig 1 5.42 -								
Indicing Flow All 1094 565 572 0 0 Slage1 565 - - - - 0 Slage1 565 - - - - - 0 Slage1 565 - - - - - - - Iteal Howy Sig1 542 -	ajor/Minor	Minor2		Majo	~	Major2		
Stage 1 565 ·	Inflicting Flow All	1094	565	2.	2 0		0	
Stage 2 529 -	Stage 1	565	•			•		
Hital Hdwy 642 622 412 -	Stage 2	529			•	•		
Itical Hdwy Sig1 5.42 ·	itical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.	2 -			
Itical Hdwy Sig 2 5.42 Iowup Hdwy 3.518 3.218 2.218 Iowup Hdwy 3.518 3.218 2.218 Stage 1 563 Stage 2 591 Stage 2 591 Stage 2 591 Stage 1 569 Stage 1 569 Stage 1 569 Stage 1 569 Stage 2 566 Mcontrol Delay s 16.2 MLOS C MLOS	itical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42						
Iow-up Hdwy 3518 3.318 2.218 -	tical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	•		•	•		
Cap-T Maneuver 237 524 1001 -	llow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.2	- 8			
Stage 1 569 ·	t Cap-1 Maneuver	237	524	10(-			
Stage 2 591 ·	Stage 1	569						
Norm blocked, % ·	Stage 2	591						
v Cap-1 Maneuver 235 524 1001 ·	atoon blocked, %							
v Cap 2 Maneuver 235 ·	ov Cap-1 Maneuver	235	524	10(-	•		
Stage 1 569 ·	ov Cap-2 Maneuver	235	•					
Stage 2 586 ·	Stage 1	569						
proach EB NB SB M. Control Delay, s 16.2 0.2 0 M. Loss 16.2 0.2 0 M. Loss 16.2 0.2 0 M. Lane Vic Ratio 0.0 3.34 - M. Lane Vic Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 - M. Jane Vic Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 -	Stage 2	586						
proach EB NB SB M Control Delay, s 16.2 0.2 0 M LOS C 0.2 0 M Lane Vic Ratio 0.009 0.041 - M Control Delay(s) 8.6 - - M Control Delay(s) 8.6 - - M Shife C(veh) 0 - - -								
M Control Delay, s 16.2 0.2 0 MLOS C 0 0 0 MLOS C 0 0 0 0 MLOS C 0 0 0 0 0 MLOS C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MLOS C 334 S 5 5 0	proach	EB		2	В	SB		
MLOS C contanelMajor Mumt NBL NBT SBR pacity (veh/h) 1001 334 - MLane VIC Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 - ML Lane VIC Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 - ML Lane LOS 8.6 - 16.2 - ML Lane LOS 0 - 0.1 -	CM Control Delay, s	16.2		0	2	0		
Dor Lane/Major Mwmt NBL NBT EBLn1 SBT SBR pacity (reh/h) 1001 - 334 - - M.Lane VIC Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 - - M.Lane VIC Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 - - M. Lane LOS 8.6 - 16.2 - - M. Lane LOS 0 - 0.1 - -	SM LOS	U						
nor Lanewigor Mwmt NBL NBL NBL SBK pacity (ve/h/h) 1001 - 334 . M Lane V/C Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 . M Lane LOS 8.6 - 16.2 . M Lane LOS A - 0.1		2		EC FOU				
pacity (veh/h) 1001 - 334 M.Lane VIC Ratio 0.009 - 0.041 M.Cane Old Bay (s) 8.6 - 16.2 M.Cane LOS A - C M. Psth skille Q(veh) 0 - 0.1	nor Lane/Major MVmt	NBL	NBI EBLNI	SBI SE	×			
M Lane VIC Ratio 0.009 - 0.041	pacity (veh/h)	1001	- 334					
M Control Dealy (s) 8.6 - 16.2	M Lane V/C Ratio	0.009	- 0.041					
M Lane LOS A - C	CM Control Delay (s)	8.6	- 16.2					
3M 95th %tile Q(veh) 0 - 0.1	M Lane LOS	A o	י כי י					
	(M 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.1					

Altamira Family Apartments TIS PM Existing plus Project

Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

Altamira Family Apartments TIS AM Existing plus Project

Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

HCM 2010 TWSC 1: Broadway & Clay	Street						04/27/2017	
Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh 1								
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	≻		¥.	+	ţ			
Traffic Vol, veh/h	26	30	12	691	583	21		
Future Vol, veh/h	26	80	12	691 ĉ	583	21 S		
Contlicting Peds, #/hr	013	0 10	0	0	0	0		
Sign Control	stop	Stop	Free	Free Mono	Free	Free Mono	1	
KT Utatilitelizeu Storade Landth	• <	AIION	' U	INUIE	•	AUIE		
Veh in Median Storage. #	00		3 '	- 0	0			
Grade, %	0	•	ľ	0	0		l	
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Mvmt Flow	26	30	12	691	583	21		
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2			
Conflicting Flow All	1309	594	604	0		0		
Stage 1	594	•	1		•			
Stage 2	715		•		•			
Critical Howy	6.42	6.22	4.12		1			
Critical Howy Stg 1	5.42		'		'			
Critical Howy Stg 2	5.42				•			
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218		•			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1/6	505	9/4		1			
Stage 1	552		•		•		1	
Stage 2	485		•		•			
Platoon blocked, %	121	101	10		•		1	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1/4	cnc	9/4		•			
NUV Cap-2 Maneuver Stana 1	Г/4 ББЭ							
Starte 7	470	•	ľ		ľ			
4								
	ĉ				ĉ			
Approach	EB		NB		SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	21.9		0.1		0			
HCM LOS	J							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL NBT	EBLn1 SE	3T SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	974 -	268	1					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.012 -	0.209	*					
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.7	21.9						
HCM Lane LOS	۲ d	ပ ရွ	•					
HCM 95th %tile U(ven)	0	0.8						

HCM 2010 TWSC 1: Broadway & Clay Street Intersection Int Delay, siveh 0.4

04/27/2017

								- 1
Intercollon								
Intersection								
III Delay, si veli	÷							
Movement	EBL	EBR	2	VBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	¥			F	*	¢		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	11		14	583	586	21	
Future Vol, veh/h	6	11		14	583	586	21	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	ш	ree	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	1	None		'	lone		None	
Storage Length	0	1		50				
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	1		•	0	0		
Grade, %	0	•		÷	0	0		
Peak Hour Factor	100	100		100	100	100	100	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	6	11		14	583	586	21	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Ma	jor1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1208	597		607	0		0	
Stage 1	597			÷		•		
Stage 2	611			÷		•		
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	7	4.12		•		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	•		÷		•		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	1		•				
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.	218		•		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	202	503		971		•		
Stage 1	550	•		÷		•		
Stage 2	542			÷		•		
Platoon blocked, %						•		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	199	503		170		•		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	199	•		÷		•		
Stage 1	550			÷		•		
Stage 2	534	•		÷		•		
Approach	EB			NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	17.9			0.2		0		
HCM LOS	ပ							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT S	SBR				
Capacity (veh/h)	971	- 298	÷	÷				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.014	- 0.067	•	÷				
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.8	- 17.9	ł	÷				
HCM Lane LOS	A	с ,	•					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.2	•	÷				

Altamira Family Apartments TIS PM Future plus Project

Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

Altamira Family Apartments TIS AM Future plus Project

Synchro 8 Report W-Trans

Appendix C

Site Access







Sight Distance Exhibit 8 May 2017

Altamira Family Apartments sono45-1





Methodology based on Washington State Transportation Center Research Report Method For Prioritizing Intersection Improvements, January 1997. The right turn lane and taper analysis is based on work conducted by Cottrell in 1981.

The left turn lane analysis is based on work conducted by M.D. Harmelink in 1967, and modified by Kikuchi and Chakroborty in 1991.





Methodology based on Washington State Transportation Center Research Report Method For Prioritizing Intersection Improvements, January 1997. The right turn lane and taper analysis is based on work conducted by Cottrell in 1981.

The left turn lane analysis is based on work conducted by M.D. Harmelink in 1967, and modified by Kikuchi and Chakroborty in 1991.





Methodology based on Washington State Transportation Center Research Report Method For Prioritizing Intersection Improvements, January 1997. The right turn lane and taper analysis is based on work conducted by Cottrell in 1981.

The left turn lane analysis is based on work conducted by M.D. Harmelink in 1967, and modified by Kikuchi and Chakroborty in 1991.





Broadway Two-Way Left-Turn Lane Exhibit 8 May 2017

Altamira Family Apartments sono45-1