

THE CITY OF STREETSBORO, OHIO

**SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

Monday, January 13, 2020

This Service Committee Meeting was called to order on Monday, January 13, 2020 at 7:13 p.m. by Julie Field, Chairman.

PRESENT: Jon Hannan, John Ruediger, Justin Ring, Chuck Kocisko, Julie Field, Jennifer Wagner

ABSENT: Mike Lampa

ALSO PRESENT: Glenn Broska, Mayor  
Frank Beni, Law Director  
Darin Powers, Police Chief  
Rob Reinholz, Fire Chief  
Jenny Esarey, Finance Director  
Bill Miller, Service Director  
Joe Ciuni, City Engineer  
John Cieszkowski, Planning Director  
Patrick O'Malia, Economic Development Director  
Greg Mytinger, Parks and Recreation Director  
Shawna Lockhart-Reese, HR Manager  
Caroline Kremer, Clerk of Council

**MOTION: TO EXCUSE MR. LAMPA.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Ring. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

**Disposition of Minutes**

**MOTION: TO ACCEPT THE REGULAR SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES OF OCTOBER 14, 2019 AND SPECIAL SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES OF OCTOBER 28, 2019 AS PRESENTED.**

Moved by Mr. Ring, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

**Old Business**

Discuss Audio/Video Equipment for Council Chambers/T-6773 Accept Donation of Audio/Video Equipment for Council Chambers

Mr. Hannan said this was a resolution to accept the equipment he had donated to the City for the livestreaming of the public meetings.

Mr. Ruediger asked if Mr. Hannan should recuse himself from voting on this legislation regarding his own donation. Mr. Beni answered that he did not have to, but Mr. Beni would prefer that he recuse himself and not vote on this. Since there was already one absence from Council tonight, if Mr. Hannan abstained from the vote, there would not be enough votes to pass this as emergency legislation tonight, so Mr. Ruediger suggested it be forwarded to the next Council Meeting for adoption.

**MOTION: TO MOVE T-6773 TO THE JANUARY 27, 2010 REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Ms. Wagner. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

Discuss Kimble Early Morning Collections

Mr. Ruediger said this topic was carried over from last month because there had been some resident complaints about the trash trucks collecting from a business behind their house very early in the morning. Mr. Ruediger thought the Law Department had looked into it and since this pickup was in a business area, not residential area, it was excluded from the not before 7 a.m. requirement that was in the contract for residential service. Mr. Ruediger wondered if there was a noise ordinance that might apply in this case where the business being serviced at 5 something a.m. was right behind residential homes. Mr. Beni said there might be but they were hard to enforce and businesses could contract with any trash service they wanted, it wasn't just Kimble. Mrs. Field wondered if there was something that could be done to the noise ordinance to resolve this concern.

Mrs. Field mentioned that after Christmas the pickup was messed up because they came a little before 7 a.m. and missed half the homes on her street. Mr. Beni said he had sent a letter to Kimble in November regarding Council's concern about early pick up and they had assured him they would look into it.

Mr. Hannan said that Mr. Lampa had talked to the business owner behind the home of the resident who had expressed the complaint and the business owner indicated that he was reducing his trash pick up to once a month so that should lessen the issue for the resident. But Mr. Ruediger noted there were other businesses behind this resident's house that also had trash pick up in the morning.

Mr. Ring wondered if the next franchise agreement could include something to disallow them from operating before 7 a.m. Mr. Beni said that was in the agreement already for residential service, but each commercial business could contract with whatever trash hauler they wanted. Mrs. Field wondered if there was something in the general noise ordinances that would help this situation. Mr. Beni said that was a little complicated. Mr. Ring suggested an ordinance that would disallow any refuse pickup prior to 7 a.m.

Chief Powers said the noise ordinance wasn't designed for this purpose, it was more for neighbors with loud music, etc. He felt it wasn't fair to cite a driver or foreman with a criminal misdemeanor when they were just doing their job as directed by their boss. He thought if something new were to be put into the Code it should not be under the criminal code.

Mr. Ruediger suggested putting something into the residential franchise agreement so the residential trash hauler would also agree to not pick up commercial business' trash before 7 a.m. and if they didn't want to agree to that, to not disturb the residents too early (5:30 a.m.) in the morning, then maybe they didn't need to have the residential franchise agreement.

Mr. Kocisko suggested, instead of more legislation, just talking to the businesses to see if they could arrange with their trash hauler service to pick up later in the day so the neighbors would not be disturbed. Mr. Ruediger said the businesses often were not even open that early so they didn't mind what time the pick up was. Mr. Ruediger said if a phone call from a Councilman or Mayor or a letter from the Law Department didn't influence Kimble to change their pick up times at the businesses, then a conversation from the business owner probably would not work either. Mr. Ruediger would call Kimble again and explain his concerns again.

**MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO THE FEBRUARY SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Ms. Wagner wondered if anyone had asked Kimble why they picked up so early, maybe they could only get into the lot when the business was closed and there were no customers. Upon voice vote, **motion carried**.

T-6759 Go to Bid for Seasons Road Drainage Improvements

Mr. Ciuni said he had no update at this time. He suggested this item be tabled until the neighbors (the Lequyeas) came back from Florida in April/May; they would need to be involved in any change to the proposed drainage piping. The current plan had the piping positioned right down the property line so it burdened both properties equally, but there was an objection from one of the residents, who was in attendance, but Council had not heard from the other resident. He suggested tabling this item but that would burden the Service Department because they would have to pump the water when it ponded and made Seasons Road impassable. It was possible to send email messages to the Lequyeas in Florida, but they had wanted to be able to see the staking marked in the field of any proposed plans. The Lequyeas had approved the current plan with the piping positioned right down the property line. They had not approved previous plans with piping located through their property. Mr. Ciuni did not know that Mr. Yupa had not agreed to the current plan with the piping positioned right down the property line until Mr. Yupa spoke to Council at the last meeting, Mr. Ciuni thought it had been agreed.

Mr. Ruediger said he, Mr. Hannan and Mr. Ring had visited the site. Mr. Ruediger suggested running the pipe where the existing failing drainage tile was thought to be, in the low lying area that seemed to be the shortest distance to the pond in the back, which happened to be more into the Lequyeas property. Mr. Ruediger understood either plan would impact the owners' trees. He thought it might be beneficial to arrange a meeting with Mr. Ciuni and Mr. Yupa outside of this public meeting. Mr. Ciuni clarified for Mrs. Field that if trees were removed to do this project, the City would have to replant them (not the same size) as part of the project cost. He also clarified that the existing condition was approximate because they didn't really know where the failing drainage pipe was. They guessed it followed the low lying area but they were not certain. If they were to

follow the low lying area (where the old pipe was thought to be) the new drainage pipe would take a route cutting across both properties first the Lequeyas' and then the Yupas'.

Mr. Hannan asked Mr. Yupa if he was fine with the pipe going down the property line after it was past his barn and utilities. Paul Yupa, 8749 Seasons Road, said he had suggested something similar to Matt Glass, from GPD, but thought it had been turned down by the Lequeyas. Mr. Yupa commented that there was a precedent with subdivisions in Streetsboro that the drainage easements be placed alongside property lines, but they shall not be placed on the property line. He thought that would be a benefit at the front of his yard topographically and would help the infrastructure he had in place for their septic and the electric going back to the garage which were close to the property line and would be included in the easement. He said if the easement existed first that infrastructure probably would never have been allowed to go in the easement. He offered other suggestions for a new drainage pipe and invited the other Council Members to visit the site.

Mr. Hannan asked if there was another temporary solution this spring other than pumping. Mr. Miller said no because this was at the bottom of a hill and that's all they could do was pump it.

**MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO THE JANUARY 27, 2020 FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Mr. Hannan would try to arrange a meeting with Mr. Ciuni. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

#### Discussion on City Vehicles

Mr. Ruediger said this was continued from the last meeting where Mr. Beni had mentioned the ORC had something on this smoking issue regarding exempting vehicles that only had one person in it. Mr. Ruediger thought this was just added to the ORC about 18 months ago and when the City discussed the issue years ago it was agreed to mirror what was currently in the ORC at the time. Although the ORC had changed about 18 months ago, he felt it was clear from those previous discussions that was not the direction the City wanted to go. He reiterated that allowing people to smoke in City vehicles made it uncomfortable for others that may have to use the vehicle and it diminished the value of the vehicle, which he felt was vandalizing the vehicle. He wanted to put the City policy back to the way Council had intended it to mirror what was in the ORC at the time of the earlier discussions and not allow smoking in the vehicles. He felt the only exception he might see was if someone was on an 8-hour snowplowing shift and not taking breaks. Anyone one else using a City vehicle to commute somewhere should be able to not have a cigarette for that small amount of time.

Mrs. Field said she understood Mr. Ruediger's point. She recalled a couple weeks ago when this was discussed there was already an exemption in place for union employees in the union contract. Mrs. Field wondered how someone would oversee this. Mr. Ruediger didn't know why this was allowed in the union contracts and it ought to be addressed in contracts going forward. He said there were others not in the union that the contract didn't oversee. He felt it was made clear a couple years ago when this was talked about, that this was the direction the City wanted to go and he didn't

understand why it was put into a union contract to allow smoking in City vehicles. Mr. Beni said he wasn't here when the union contract was negotiated, but he knew they were threatening a union grievance.

Mrs. Field wondered if this was just the Streetsboro contract or was it a wider expectation of unions in general. Mrs. Lockhart-Reese said it was in the Streetsboro contract, implemented in 2007 to allow smoking in the vehicle. Mr. Ruediger said at that time it was illegal in the State of Ohio to smoke in the vehicle. Mrs. Lockhart-Reese said that's why they used the provision "as long as it wasn't occupied by one or more non-smokers," so if there was a non-smoker in the vehicle no one could smoke in the vehicle, but if a smoker was alone in the vehicle they could smoke or if a smoker was in the vehicle with another smoker they could smoke, and it was typically during snowplow season. She said if Council wished the administration to proceed, they could; they could wait until the end of the contract (December 31, 2021).

Mr. Hannan asked if it was different for the non-union people that used City vehicles. Mrs. Field said it was already a law, so what direction did Council want to go with this. Mr. Hannan didn't think there was an issue with the Service Department staff because he hadn't seen anyone smoking in the trucks, so he asked if there was something else Council could do to prevent someone from smoking in the City vehicles.

Mayor Broska said this seemed to be aimed at him because he was the only other person that smoked in a City car; the police officers and firefighters weren't allowed to be smokers by contract and none of the other employees smoked, but Mr. Ruediger said it wasn't aimed at the Mayor. Mayor Broska said Mr. Ruediger had seen him smoking in his City car, which was solely occupied by himself. If Mayor Broska went with someone else to a meeting or something, they used a pool car and there was no smoking in the car. He added that the City car assigned to him was an end user car; when he was done with it, no one else would use it, it would get auctioned or thrown away. He said there was a lot of time spent on this and he was the only one that smoked in his car. Mr. Ruediger said this issue started when he saw a snowplow driver smoking in the plow truck.

Mr. Hannan said it was good to have brought this topic up and learned there was a law about it. Mr. Hannan agreed with Mr. Ruediger that smoking in a City vehicle might take away from the value of a vehicle even if it was just auctioned off. He said there was a law that you can't smoke in the car, but if no one saw you do it, then the person was accepting that responsibility. Mayor Broska noted that if it was not a pool car the ORC allowed the exemption for smoking when the car was occupied by a single person and the car was assigned to that person. He felt the time spent on this wasn't worth the small reduction in value for an end user car. He felt there was "no harm, no foul."

Mr. Hannan commented that Mayor Broska would not be Mayor forever and if the recent election had gone differently the next Mayor, who may not be a smoker, would have taken over use of the car. Mayor Broska said every year old police cars were taken out of service, so the new Mayor could chose to use one of those and dispose of this one. He had not requested a replacement car in years; it currently had 71,000 miles.

Mrs. Field suggested ending this discussion and moving on.

Mr. Ruediger commented that he had worked for a company that had district managers who each had a company car assigned to them and they were all instructed to not smoke in the cars, even if they were in the car alone, because it ruined the car and diminished the future equity of the car. He said the private sector did not put up with someone vandalizing their cars or making it uncomfortable for others that may use the car. Mr. Ruediger said just because the City had government employees didn't mean they should be exempt from diminishing the value of government property.

Mr. Kocisko noted that about 20 years ago Council had the same discussions with Mayor Collica and nothing had changed.

### **New Business**

#### **Discuss a Field House**

Mrs. Field had mentioned this in the past. She felt this was something that could really serve the community and impact various groups within the community; i.e. Seniors, recreation programs, youth sports organization, etc. She felt a field house or some type of recreation building was more important for the community than a City Hall and more worthy of a financial investment of taxpayer dollars for something where the taxpayers would see their tax dollars serving them, and she wanted to get Council onboard and get the ball rolling toward this. She realized this would take a lot of effort, a lot of meetings with various members of the community (the Parks Director, the School Athletic Director, Council Members, youth sports organizations, etc.) She planned to meet with the various youth sports organizations to see where they were for the year.

She wanted to see how Council felt about this and if it was something they wanted to pursue. Mr. Ring thought it was a great idea and agreed it would benefit the community. He felt the City needed to make sure there were multiple meetings and discussions with all factors of the community to be very thorough about doing something like this; especially about the finances since there were not a lot of funds for something like this for this year, or next year.

Mrs. Field understood this wasn't something that could happen right away, but could be planned and worked toward.

Mr. Ruediger agreed a field house would benefit the citizens way more than a City Hall because more people would go to it and use it. He wondered what location might be best for such a facility. He wondered if Council wanted to consider a small (.4 or .5 mill) levy for this and let the citizens decide if they wanted it. He thought a field house facility could have indoor soccer fields, batting practice space, a basketball court, and an indoor track that a lot of people would use. He wanted to continue the discussions.

Mr. Kocisko disagreed. He felt it couldn't be discussed financially until the City got over the burden that it had that was in limbo right now. He wanted to get out of the temporary City Hall space and not spend more money on it. He felt there should be a City Hall before anything else. He thought, until the results of the current pending issues were known and what financial obligations there

might be, if any, there shouldn't be a discussion about a City Hall or any other building.

Mayor Broska thought the City should have some direction regarding the City Hall and anything else soon. He recommended City Council put out an RFP for a design firm if Council was interested in exploring this idea. He suggested a roundtable group with Council Members, representatives from the sports organizations, and representatives from the school district be put together to decide what features this facility would have and where it would be located (which would affect infrastructure costs). He added that once those things were decided and the construction costs determined, then the City would have to determine costs to maintain it and run the facility and decide if the City could afford it. He agreed the City would like to have such a feature, but there needed to be clear direction, with the help of design firm maybe in a few months, depending how the budget was. He emphasized that the City would not be able to please everyone, and once the discussions started in earnest the Council Members would probably be astounded as to the costs. Mrs. Field agreed it would be reasonable to look at this in a couple of months once more was known regarding a City Hall. Mr. Ruediger agreed that Mayor Broska and Mr. Kocisko had a valid point about waiting a while to see where certain issues stood before making any decisions.

Ms. Wagner agreed it would be great for the community instead of having to travel out of town for practice space, and be an attractive feature to draw people to Streetsboro. She mentioned there was money available, if we asked for it, not just from local business donations, but from national sports or beverage corporations.

Mr. Hannan added that before City Hall moved into 555 Frost Road, there was a discussion with the School about maybe using a portion of their annex building, and maybe the School could be approached again about renting some of their space for a field house type building in the future since this project would not actually happen for a while.

There was no Council action at this time.

#### Amend Council Rules

Mr. Hannan said when Council was trying to fill a vacant At-large Council seat, there were 11 people that applied and if Council was to interview each person, it would take a while so he had considered calling a Special Council Meeting and starting the meeting at 5:00 p.m., but the Council Rules prohibited starting any meeting before 7 p.m. unless authorized by a prior vote of Council. He suggested amending Article 1 [see attached] by removing "and special" so Special Council Meetings could be called at any time. He also suggested amending Article 2 by changing the last line requiring all special meetings of Council to be held in Council Chambers, so a special meeting could be held elsewhere if necessary. Council Members agreed. The Clerk recommended any changes to Council Rules be done in a Council Meeting not this Committee Meeting.

**MOTION: TO SEND THIS TOPIC TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING FOR DISCUSSION AND A VOTE TO AMEND COUNCIL RULES.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Upon voice vote, **motion carried**. Mr. Hannan

said the Assistant Law Director had suggested a separate Council Meeting night with committee meetings on another night. Mr. Ruediger and Mr. Hannan did not support that suggestion.

T-6774 Annual Property and Casualty Insurance

Ms. Esarey said this topic had been discussed at the last meeting but the 2020 property and casualty insurance renewal was being presented tonight. The renewal was an increase due to the City's loss ratio. The City was 67% for policy year 2019 and approximately 47% for policy years 2015-2019, which was over what the carriers wanted to see. The increase was still within budget in account no. 101-81-5521. The property and casualty insurance renewal for 2020 was \$132,613 and the cyber renewal for a \$1 million aggregate was \$6,396.

**MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Ring. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

T-6775 Renew Verizon City-wide Cell Phone Service

Mayor Broska asked Council to authorize renewal of the Verizon cell phone service that the City had. Both the Fire Chief and Police Chief, and others who used the City cell phones, felt Verizon had provided a great service and it would be very expensive to change carriers. Verizon had not changed the prices at all. This was a one year contract for 2020.

**MOTION: TO SEND TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Ring. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

T-6776 Zoning Text Amendments for Car Rental Agencies

Mr. Cieszkowski had provided a cover letter outlining the text amendment the Planning Commission had recommended for approval on December 10, 2019. This text amendment proposed to add motor vehicle rental agencies to the B-Business District as a list of permitted uses by right. There were some restrictions to that use. The same uses that would be added to the B-Business District would also be added to the same use that was already currently permitted by right in the C-3 District without restrictions. In addition to adding use specific restrictions, they had also proposed to add definitions as well as off street parking standards. Mr. Cieszkowski clarified in the C-3 District car rental agencies were already a permitted use by right and this would add use specific restrictions. This proposal would also add motor vehicle rental agencies to the list of permitted uses in the B-Business District where it was not currently a permitted use. He asked that this be forwarded to Council for first reading tonight.

Mr. Hannan thought Enterprise was considering buying property and this change would allow them to rent vehicles on the property where it was not currently a permitted use. He wondered, if Enterprise did not buy the property, would the City consider rescinding this change to not allow car rental agencies in the B-Business District. Mr. Cieszkowski said this change was not specific to a single property in the B-Business District; the City has had periodic calls about this issue in the B-Business District in the past years. He said he would not have researched this issue and prepared a



proposal for changes if he didn't feel comfortable allowing this use in the B-Business District and retaining it as a permitted use in the C-3 District, but if the City was going to permit it by right in those districts that it be with reasonable restrictions placed on that use, even if Enterprise did not purchase the property.

This change would apply to all parcels zoned B-Business and C-3 which were along SR 14, but he did not see the whole area becoming car rental agencies. It had not happened in other communities unless the community had an airport, which Streetsboro did not. There was a separation requirement of 2,000' between such properties. The Assistant Law Director had advised against setting a specific limit to the number of these type of businesses. Since Streetsboro did not have an airport, Mayor Broska did not see a proliferation of car rental agencies appearing. The market would determine how many of these facilities the town could support. People tended to rent a vehicle if they needed something bigger for vacation or needed something to use while their car was being repaired. He added that the current rental agency in town had a small lot and a very limited choice of vehicles.

Mr. Ring wondered if there was a limit to the size of the inventory for each car rental agency. Mr. Cieszkowski said there was not a maximum limit in the ordinance as proposed. He noted many of the parcels in the B-Business District were not large, although there were some that were, but those that had shown interest in this use were not huge. Mr. Ruediger was not thrilled with this type of business along SR 14, but it was definitely better than what was there now.

Mr. Cieszkowski clarified that the ordinance stated vehicles to be used for rental purposes were not considered outside storage because currently there were regulations in the B-Business District regarding outdoor storage that limited outdoor storage to a certain percentage of the lot and he did not want to have to entertain variances from those regulation when, for this use, it did not make reasonable sense to apply that limitation. There were separate regulations that would prohibit inoperable, dismantled or damaged vehicles referenced in item 7 in both districts.

**MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING FOR FIRST READING.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Ring. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

Discuss Term Limits for Elected Officials

Mr. Kocisko said this subject had been discussed years ago. He wanted to bring it up again for consideration. It was not targeted to the Mayor who was just elected to his third term. Mr. Kocisko said senators often held their seats for decades. He wanted to get Council Member's input on the topic. He suggested maybe allowing a Council Member or Mayor to have two consecutive 4-year terms and then have a break before they could run for another 4-year term. He mentioned that maybe 30% of municipalities had 2-year terms, but he thought that would be too much turmoil. He asked for Council's ideas on the subject. He liked to get new ideas from younger people that were considering running for elective office.

Mrs. Field said there was value in experience and it usually took a couple of years for people to get

comfortable after they were elected to a position, and then they continued to learn while in the position; she was still learning and she was in her 9<sup>th</sup> year as Council Member. She also commented that it was disappointing if only 25% of the residents voted, but those that did vote paid attention and were involved with their community and would chose the people they thought would do a good job for the City or even run for office themselves. She felt the City needed to respect the vote of the people, even if they voted for the same person again and again. Some local communities had Mayor's that had been in office almost 20 years.

Mr. Ruediger said he had researched the idea. He thought the most common reason term limits were proposed was when an incumbent was very hard to defeat because of great name recognition (not because they were necessarily doing a great job). He felt that people often got burned out when serving in a political capacity for a while and having the ability to have a fresh face and new ideas in office could help. He said something to consider was that, especially with City Council seats, it was often difficult to even get people to run for the office and some people ran unopposed, especially since Council did not get paid a lot for the work they did and because people were quick to criticize on social media. He said people may run for the Mayor position because it got paid more, so maybe a separate rule regarding term limits for Mayor to get a fresh viewpoint at that position every 8 years, may be appropriate.

Mr. Hannan commented that not a lot of people ran for the Mayor position either. He said over the history of Streetsboro there had only been one person that even considered running for more than two terms let alone be elected to a third term, so until there was someone serving close to 20 years, he didn't feel this was needed. Mr. Hannan felt that the term limit would be when someone ran against the Mayor and beat him.

Mayor Broska commented that term limits at the local level were significantly different than at the national level. Being Mayor for the last 8 years, he'd been able to talk with virtually every Mayor in NE Ohio and there were a few that were term limited out which removed a Mayor that was valuable to their city. He suggested Council not make changes that would take the power from the voters; if the voters didn't want someone they would vote them out. He agreed there were not a lot of people stepping up to run for elected positions.

Mr. Ring said he was a huge fan of term limits for U.S. congressional seats and state seats, but it was different for the local seats. He added that local elections were more important and shaped everyday life more so it was important to keep the decision making in the voters' hands.

Bridget Pavlick, 1288 Shawnee Trail, said she was a strong proponent of term limits, but not at the local level. She felt people got burned out when they ran for office. She had run three times and was on Council for 12 years. She had thought she'd only do two terms but no one ran against her for the third term. She found that people didn't run for office unless they wanted significant change. She did not support two-year terms because it would seem people were always running for election. She agreed the people in the elective seats were always learning more about their position every day until they left the position. She didn't think term limits were necessary at this level.

Mr. Kocisko said he had just brought this forward for discussion since it had been a number of years since it was considered and it didn't need any Council action.

#### Discuss Installing Flags on the Frost Road Bridge

Mr. Ring said he was a member of the Streetsboro American Legion and had talked with the commander. The American Legion and the VFW wanted to donate flags (American, Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, Coast Guard and maybe police and fire) to line both sides of the Frost Road bridge. They could purchase the flags and the City Service Department could affix them to the fencing. They wanted to keep it simple and not have to install flag poles. This idea was similar to what was done at Polaris in Columbus. Each organization would be responsible for one side of the bridge. They would purchase new flags if/when they needed to be replaced. They would also provide the solar lights to keep the American flag illuminated at night. Mr. Ring asked if Council was interested in allowing this.

Mr. Ruediger wanted to see some examples of how this would look. He wondered how they would be affixed to the bridge and what that hardware might cost.

Mayor Broska said he had reached out to ODOT because it was their bridge and they would have to give permission for this. That permission was working its way through their process and Mayor Broska was waiting to hear back from ODOT. He thought it was a great idea to add the flags, if ODOT allowed it.

Mr. Ciuni clarified the bridge was owned by ODOT and there was a permitting process. The City would have to show ODOT what was intended. ODOT would want to know the weight to be added to see if it would affect the fencing or the bridge. There was precedence in Columbus and elsewhere, but ODOT would make the final decision.

**MOTION: TO FORWARD THIS TO THE FEBRUARY SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING FOR INPUT FROM ODOT.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

#### Accept Dedicated Streets in Meadow View Phases 10, 11, 12

Mr. Ciuni said three more phases of Meadow View were completed and they had done inspections of the roadways and utilities. Once this was passed the streets would go into a warranty period of 18 months and then they would officially become a dedicated public right of way. Mr. Ciuni listed the streets in the three phases and had provided a map showing them. He had also indicated Phase 13 on the map because that would be the next phase Meadow View would be working on.

**MOTION: TO FORWARD THIS TO THE JANUARY 27, 2020 REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING.**

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

**Citizens' Comments**

None.

**Announcements**

The Regular Council Meeting will immediately follow this meeting.

There being no further business to be addressed by this committee, and upon motion by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Ring, this meeting adjourned at 9:02 p.m.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Caroline L. Kremer, Clerk of Council

\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Field, Chairman

ck