

THE CITY OF STREETSBORO, OHIO
SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Monday, February 8, 2021

This Service Committee Meeting was called to order on Monday, February 8, 2021 at 7:02 p.m. by Mike Lampa, Chairman. Mr. Ruediger read a couple of quotes in place of an invocation and then Mrs. Field led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Jon Hannan, John Ruediger, Mike Lampa, Jennifer Wagner, Chuck Kocisko, Julie Field

ABSENT: None.

ALSO PRESENT: Frank Beni, Law Director
Caroline Kremer, Clerk of Council
[by Zoom video conferencing:]
Justin Ring, Council Member
Glenn Broska, Mayor
Tricia Wain, Police Chief
Robert Reinholz, Fire Chief
Jenny Esarey, Finance Director
Bill Miller, Service Director
John Cieszkowski, Planning Director
Patrick O'Malia, Economic Development Director
Greg Mytinger, Parks and Recreation Director
Shawna Lockhart-Reese, HR Manager
Sara Fagnilli, Assistant Law Director
Mark Holz, Frontier Land Group
Matt Glass, GPD Group
Tony Burgoyne, GPD Group

Disposition of Minutes

MOTION: TO ACCEPT THE REGULAR SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 9, 2020, DECEMBER 14, 2020, AND JANUARY 11, 2021 AS WRITTEN.

Moved by Mrs. Field, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

Old Business

Update on Forest Valley Development

Mrs. Fagnilli gave an update. The developer had ordered the fencing which would be delivered mid-February, and then it would be installed around the detention basin. The developer would continue to work on clearing out the weeds in the detention ponds when the weather was better.

MOTION: TO FORWARD THIS TO THE MAY SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING FOR A FOLLOW UP.

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Hannan. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

New Business

T-6988 Adopt 2020 Code Updates

Mrs. Kremer explained that this was the annual ordinance to adopt the updated Code changes from the previous year included the State Traffic and Criminal Code updates. She had distributed the hard copies to everyone. She reported that going forward, she would do quarterly updates of the Code instead of just annually so the online Code would be me up to date.

MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING.

Moved by Mr. Ring, seconded by Mr. Ruediger. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.** Mrs. Kremer offered to update the Code Books for anyone that wanted assistance with the replacement pages. The electronic version of the Code had been downloaded to the Council Members' laptops.

T-6989 Construction Agreements for Meadow View Phases 15 & 16

Mr. Cieszkowski had provided the construction agreements along with all the required exhibits for both Phases 15 and 16. The two phases combined totaled 52 sublots, 26 sublots in Phase 15 and 26 sublots in Phase 16. This would finish the southern portion of the subdivision. There were 17 total phases in the whole subdivision.

Mr. Cieszkowski confirmed that these agreements were similar to the agreements for the past phases. The thing that changed the most between phases was the performance bond and the amount associated with the performance bond because it was linked to the improvements within that specific phase. The amounts were based upon an approval from the City Engineer. The only thing that changed with these two agreements from the previous two that were approved was the construction traffic map. The updated map was included as Exhibit G in both of the phases. The construction traffic would use the southern entrance off of SR 43, Jude Drive.

Mr. Holz confirmed these were the last two phases in the southern part of the subdivision and he would bring Phase 17 to Council in a month or so, which would finish the project completely. The whole subdivision would have a total of 535 dwelling units, and that total amount was set forth by the consent judgement entry.

MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING AS EMERGENCY LEGISLATION.

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mrs. Field. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

T-6990 Authorize Lease Renewal for McMichael Professional Building

Mr. Mytinger said this was the agreement to renew the lease at the McMichael Professional Building for the next two years starting in August. Mr. Hannan asked why this was being presented now if it

wasn't needed until August. Mr. Mytinger said just to get things done.

Mr. Ruediger asked if there were any changes from the initial lease agreement or was this just an extension. Mr. Mytinger explained there was an increase of \$100 per month but that had been spelled out in the initial lease agreement signed a couple of year ago.

Mr. Mytinger said he had provided a packet of information with the agreement at the last meeting. Mr. Lampa asked if this needed to be emergency legislation since it would not be needed until August. Mr. Mytinger said there was enough time if Council wanted to do three readings.

MOTION: TO AMEND THE LEGISLATION TO REMOVE THE EMERGENCY CLAUSE.

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mrs. Field. There were some comments that most everything seemed to be presented as emergency legislation even if it was not needed right away. Mr. Ruediger agreed not everything needed to be presented as emergency legislation, but some things would not have future discussion and didn't really need to be looked at again on three different agendas and could be passed as emergency. He was fine with this legislation either way. Mr. Ring agreed that this not be emergency legislation if it didn't need to be; the general rules said everything should go for three readings unless there was a specific reason to have it passed as emergency legislation. Upon voice vote, **motion carried**.

MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING FOR FIRST READING AS AMENDED.

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mrs. Field. Upon voice vote, **motion carried**.

T-6991 Authorize Right of Way Easement for Buckeye Quality Meats

Mr. O'Malia said Mark Stackhouse, the owner of the butcher shop, had approached City Hall some time ago about using an easement to create some additional parking. The Mayor didn't have an issue with it, so it was forwarded to the Fire Department for their comments. After Mr. Stackhouse modify his plans to provide enough room for an ambulance to turn around, the Fire Department didn't have any issues. Engineering did mention that if SR 14/SR 303 ever needed to be widened, having anything in the right of way could cause a problem, but this was structured as being revokable, which addressed most of Engineering's challenges. The legal agreement before Council had some provisions that allowed City Hall to revoke the agreement, if that became necessary.

Mayor Broska said he didn't foresee any time in the near future where the City would need more right of way; they really couldn't widen the SR 14/SR 303 intersection much more, so he didn't see a reason not to allow this to be utilized to add additional parking for Buckeye Quality Meats. Mr. Ring agreed.

Mr. Ruediger asked how this small amount of easement space (0.0031 acres) would give them more parking. He thought he'd seen in the agreement a \$1 charge, but wondered if that was a one-time charge or annual. Mr. Beni said there had to be some consideration for the easement, so it was a one-time payment. There was a drawing of the space in the packet.

Mr. Cieszkowski explained that when they originally came through the Planning Commission, the plans showed the area where the easement is located as a loading area because a portion of the area would protrude into the right of way. What they were asking to do was to essentially remove what was stripped for a loading area and use those as normal parking spaces in order to accommodate the curb for the parking lot; that's why the easement was necessary. Mr. Cieszkowski said it was his understanding that there would not be any changes to the current configuration of the parking area.

MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO TONIGHT'S REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING AS EMERGENCY LEGISLATION.

Moved by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mrs. Field. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

Discuss AECOM Water Rate Study

Mr. Lampa said Council had received a lot of information today from Ms. Esarey. Mr. Ring said there was a very hopeful meeting on Friday with the Mayor, GPD, and a couple Council Members to discuss how to move forward with the water projects that were needed in the City, specifically waterline replacements and additions. He said it may be possible to do, with our current environment, potentially without having to raise water rates to the customers. Mr. Ring had asked Ms. Esarey to put together some scenarios to determine if that was actually the case. He felt her email today solidified what he had in his head, that it didn't really seem realistic; there was not a way to move forward with all the current projects that were needed and not raise the rates at all. He assumed the figures that GPD put together to apply for the revolving loan fund money did not factor in a lot of other expenses the City would incur, such as consistent pay increases required annually, consistent annual insurance increases, and all the other operating costs.

He said this discussion would be forward to the next meeting because GPD wanted to submit Streetsboro's projects to OWDA for nomination to see if we could fund them. With the financial facts presented today, he didn't know if this should or should not move forward. If the City moved forward with this, it would have to find a way to fund it, which would involve increasing water rates.

In the last scenario Ms. Esarey had presented the rate was increased by 1% over the next 5 years beginning in 2022 (2022-2027). She said that included assumptions regarding spending and pay raises, etc. She tried to be realistic, but some of the assumptions could change, like health insurance or future collective bargaining pay increases. She had included in her presentation the reduction in the water fund balance due to the upcoming water meter projects, etc. She said in her spreadsheets she reflected \$550,000 in 2021 for the commercial project as requested by the Service Director, and in 2023 \$1 million for the residential project, and that was in every scenario.

Mr. Ring said the replacements and additions had to happen. The City had paid for the water study and the study told the City that doing these things would increase the quality and efficiency of the water system. The discussion on Friday was that the City could take a very low interest rate loan to finance and complete all of the projects, and that was the assumption he gave Ms. Esarey with the amount they had stated the City would need annually to complete all of the projects. Mr. Ring was surprised that the City wouldn't need to raise the water rates more in order to do that. He noted that

the City already raised the water rates 2% annually by legislation, so he was talking about an additional 1% to make it 3% annually through 2027 as long as Portage County didn't raise their rates, because any of their increases were just passed through. Mr. Ring had wanted to bring this forward for everyone to consider and discuss.

Mr. Ring noted the City only had until March 3 to decide to submit the nominations for the projects to OWDA. One issue was that the interest rates would not stay as low as they are now (about 0.5%) so the City should move expeditiously, however, with something this large it felt really aggressive to do that. That's why he felt these discussions were extremely important. The City understood it needed to complete these projects and replace waterlines, but it must figure out how to do it responsibly. He talked about two things with Ms. Esarey that he'd mentioned in the meeting: the water fund was self-sustaining and although he was not a fan of debt and didn't want to issue bonds to do these projects, but because the water fund was self-sustaining with its own income, taking on that debt will not impact any of the rest of the City's funds (i.e. General Fund or Capital Fund). Also Council could also control the income of the water fund depending on what was needed by issuing increases or decreases to the rates. He thought the City needed to come up with a plan that would allow the City to take advantage of extremely low interest rates and allow the City to complete these projects, but do it in a responsible way. He emphasized that he was not a fan of increasing any expenses right now to residents, however, if it's nominal (1% of the minimum bill was about 58 cents a quarter) and it allowed the City to get these projects completed and save the City hundreds of thousands of dollars in the long run in interest, he felt the City may need to take advantage of that.

Mr. Ruediger noticed the projects were separated into four different areas. He wondered what the timelines were for each of these; would there be one done each year? Mr. Ring thought the intention of financing this all at once was to do as many as possible in as short amount of time as possible and bid them together to decrease the construction costs. Mayor Broska added that there would definitely be a saving in construction costs to do them all at once because each time there was a construction project there was a mobilization fee involved. This project would probably take a construction company probably a couple of years to do. He also said if the City piecemealed it, it would be a long time (if ever) before the City could afford to do this again because the water fund was not generating that type of funding. It would take a long time to save the money without financing it, but if the City could get the interest rate at the historically low rate it was right now, it should go for it. He said with scenario 3, only raising the water rates by 1% for that period of time and get this project completed in one fell swoop, he thought the City would be remiss if it didn't take the opportunity. The City had commissioned the water study to see where the deficiencies were, and it identified the deficiencies and listed the priorities and needs, so if the City could get this all done in one shot, it should. He said some neighboring communities had added a capital improvements base fee into their water charges to their customers. If the City had the opportunity now by putting on a minimal (1%) increase (about \$2.25/year for minimum usage) to get a \$7 million+ project done, he didn't see a better deal for the City.

Mr. Ring said the water study had one scenario with a 12.5% water rate increase every year for five years plus adding a set fee of \$30, so that's why Mr. Ring was surprised to see only a 1% increase presented, although he knew Ms. Esarey was being conservative and it might need to be a little more. Ms. Esarey commented that when they did the study they proposed a bond, but she said she

did not want to bond this out, so that's why they came up with such a high rate to have the cash ahead of time to do these projects. Mr. Ring agreed he did not want to bond this out because it would be a higher interest rate too.

Mr. Ring said this proposal might cost a few dollars a year extra to all the customers, in the long run it would save us all hundreds of thousands of dollars, if not millions. Mr. Ring agreed with the Mayor, that from a financial standpoint it made sense. He still hated raising any costs to the customers right now.

Mr. Ruediger asked, although it could be done in one fell swoop, it would still be done over two to three years. Tony Burgoyne, GPD Group, said the premise was to take advantage of the low interest rates available through the State right now, which are about 0.53%. The nomination of the project had to occur by March 3, 2021; from there the City had about one year to design the project, solicit bids, finalize loan applications with the funding source, maybe look for alternative financing to decrease the amount of principle that would be financed here. The idea was to bid the project as one project bundled together as one package to a contractor, not separate projects throughout the different developments, although they would be constructed in phases in the different areas and to take advantage of winter bidding when the contractors were looking to fill their summer workload and to take advantage of some hunger right now with a lot of contractors in the market. The project most likely would be constructed over a year or two, and the debt service (depending on contractor timing and other things) probably wouldn't start until about July 2023.

Ms. Esarey wondered who was fronting the cost of designing the project because she had not included that cost in her calculations, just the \$450,000 in 2023. Mr. Burgoyne said what they estimated came from the 2019 rate study and water assessment report. In the base line scenario it indicated in the first few pages of the report that the City should have available surplus of around \$450,000 a year that would also include the \$1.6 million funding that earmark financing for your commercial and residential meters, as well as \$500,000 reserve cash to be kept on hand in perpetuity. For the design costs, he said they had taken the estimated construction costs from the same 2019 report and simply added a percentage for estimated design fees that GPD would anticipate, provided a reduction in fee associated with bundling a number of projects together as was being proposed to arrive at a total project cost. Of course these were estimated numbers and would be refined later. The funding for design could be acquired through a low interest loan, that GPD would also recommend securing, in addition to nominating this project, which then would be rolled into the final loan agreement for the construction. In essence the City would not be paying anything until the first debt service repayment, which normally was reserved until after construction was completed.

Ms. Esarey had concerns that the City might start the path toward this project and start the designs with a low interest loan, and what if the City was not approved for the financing through WRSLA and OWDA for this project, but already had the loan/design expense. Mr. Burgoyne said the estimated design costs, depending on what projects the City would bundle together, could be around \$625,000 to \$1 million. If the City would prefer construction administration, those fees could be put inside the construction loan. The City would be on the hook for the design loan (at a low interest rate) up to five years because design loans typically go for five year terms. Mr. Burgoyne said the financing through WRSLA and OWDA was a loan and they had plenty of money to go around and

he had never seen where a community was not awarded a project. Ms. Esarey was trying to understand if the \$450,000 starting in 2023 covered both construction costs and this 5-year low-interest design loan. Mr. Burgoyne said “Yes, ma’am.” Mr. Ring said he had asked Ms. Esarey to look at the \$450,000 in her calculations because that was the top that the City may have available each year, but the actual loan in this estimate was about \$417,000 so it would cover design and construction. Ms. Esarey said when she built the structure of the draft of what scenarios to look at, she was just going with \$450,000 and no extra costs (with regard to design or anything else).

Ms. Esarey confirmed for Mr. Ruediger that the minimum water bill was currently \$57.18 per quarter and then would go up with the April bill, which was the first billing cycle of the 2021 rates. Mr. Ruediger asked, if the County had a rate increase, did the City apply the annual 2% City increase and then add the County increase, or add the County increase and then add the annual 2% City increase? Ms. Esarey said in her calculation sheet, she had it as the County increase and then the 2% but it was all together. Mr. Ruediger wondered, if the City would do a 1% increase as discussed tonight, would the City add a 2% increase plus a 1% or a 3% increase because it would come out a little differently. Ms. Esarey said she had just calculated a flat 3% increase in her reports. She noted she was just tasked with the request on Friday, so she wasn’t against changing things or looking at different things. She was just trying to make an example of if the City took this year’s revenue and then each year from 2022 to 2027 just add 3%, then in 2028 she went back down to the annual 2% increase.

Mr. Ruediger also wondered if the future projected revenue in her reports had included what the City might get from the new construction at Stone, Hale, Harper, SR 303, Walters, Olga. Ms. Esarey said she had talked to Mr. Miller about the assessments on the Gillie, Smithfield, Valley Brook waterline project that would be ending and then the assessments on the Sunny Slopes waterline project that would be ending too, but she had not calculated assessments for Stone, Hale, Harper, SR 303, Walters, Olga because that would be up to the Mayor and Council if those lines would be assessed. She had not included new customers from those new lines because she had no way of knowing that usage/revenue and she wanted to be as close as possible to what the City would have at the end of 20 years without overstating the revenue. Mayor Broska added it wouldn’t be 100% participation with those new lines, but if their well went dry they would be required to connect to City water. Mayor Broska suggested doing the same as was done for the Sunny Slopes project: give the property owners the same percentage for the assessment, and the same incentive to get them to connect to the City water system.

Mrs. Field said this made great sense to her and she loved the idea of getting this accomplished in one project. She wanted to know what the direct impact an increase to the water rates would have on the individual households and businesses. Ms. Esarey said it would be about 55 cents the first year and then it would compound at 3% of the 55 cents for the second year, so it would be around \$2.40 for the first year for an average household and maybe \$2.55 the next year. It wasn’t a lot, but Ms. Esarey would have to take time to calculate because households were different in the amount of usage. Mrs. Field wanted a report; even if it was a minimal amount, she wanted to know what the City was asking of the residents. She said it made sense to her, but she wanted to see the numbers to know how this would impact the average resident. Mr. Ring clarified that Council wanted a report on what the impact of the average water rate user would be in dollars by year for each of the five

years Council was considering an increase. Ms. Esarey could prepare something for Council based on meter size. Mayor Broska commented that his average quarterly water bill was \$115/quarter for example, so an increase might be another \$6 or so.

Mr. Lampa said Council knew there were numerous complaints about water in the City and they knew the infrastructure needed improved, so he supported doing this project for whatever level of improvement in water quality that it would provide. Mr. Lampa wondered if Council could get all the information just asked for by the deadline date to submit the nominations, because he didn't want to lose out of the low interest loans if the City decided to do this. Mr. Ring said he had thought this topic would be moved to the Finance Committee Meeting in two weeks with legislation available to be passed that night to meet the March 3 deadline to submit the nomination. He said it would not commit to anything other than allowing GPD to submit the nomination to WRSLA and OWDA and there would still be time to discuss and work out other details.

Mr. Burgoyne said Mr. Ring was correct. The March 3 deadline was a nomination deadline, it did not commit the City to anything financially; final applications and final loan documentation would not occur until the City bid the project.

Mr. Hannan said he was excited about this project. Ever since he'd been on Council, people had always complained about water quality. He knew it had improved with the new hydrant flushing schedule. He understood adding waterlines for Stone, Hale, Harper, SR 303, Walters, Olga could increase the number of customers by a couple hundred, but he felt the looping of the waterlines would be the biggest benefit for the system. He hoped looping the lines would reduce the need to flush the lines so much and therefore reduce the cost for that wasted water. Mr. Miller said the City would always have to flush. Looping the lines was good if it could be looped to where the water could be used, but if it was going to be looped to Seasons Road with not a lot of use, that line would still need to be flushed consistently to keep it fresh, but maybe not as long each time. Looping helped if there was a waterline break because there would be another option to get water to a development.

Mr. Hannan agreed that the City did have to do these projects and he would like to see this go forward, to take advantage of the low interest rates, because it may never be like this again.

Ms. Esarey asked, if the City was not committed to the project until it accepted the bids, but the City would need the design done to go to bid, so when did GPD expect the City to start the designs because the financial decisions would be needed to pay for the designs. Mr. Burgoyne said ideally they would start design this calendar year (springtime) and be prepared to bid documents in the winter of 2021 or early 2022. There was a lot to be done for the design for about 33,000 linear feet of watermain along a number of different roadways. He said the City would encumber the design loan this year, that would be the City's commitment, once the design was done and bids finalized and loan documents finalized, the City would roll that design loan into the construction loan and then go forward with building the project. He said 21,000 linear feet of these proposed improvements were for watermain replacements (the aged fiberglass reinforced piping that was underground right now as well as cast iron and ductile iron pipe) because of corrosion. He agreed with Mr. Miller that extensions helped when there were people using the water on the other end of the extension, but the

biggest benefit to the water quality would be the replacement of the aged watermains that show signs of corrosion, as well as potential water loss.

Ms. Esarey expressed concern about taking a loan for \$625,000 to \$1 million for the design of something that was not guaranteed to be done, although Mr. Burgoyne said it was very likely the City would get the financial assistance for the project from WRSLA and OWDA; she felt it was a risk. She emphasized that unless the City raised the water rates that was under consideration it would not be able to afford the loan. She added that if the City applied for the loan it was also committing to the \$625,000 to \$1 million in design fees. Mr. Ring agreed that was the risk portion of this as opposed to issuing bonds and getting the money up front; he understood it was not a comfortable position to have a loan for a design of a project that may not go through because it couldn't be financed if the nomination was not approved.

Mr. Ring said today's discussion was to get everyone comfortable with at least submitting the project for nomination knowing that submission was non-committal, while the City would have to commit in a relatively short time after that, there was still some time for further discussion. He agreed it was not comfortable having to take on the risk up front, he felt the risk-reward was good provided the interest rates stayed low enough long enough and that Mr. Burgoyne had never seen a city be denied. Mr. Ring said the interest rates on bonds would be substantially higher, so that was the reward factor of taking the risk of taking on the design costs up front. He said this was all still up for discussion and tonight was to present the issue and understand the process; the non-committal submission of the nomination would be continued at the Finance Committee Meeting in a couple weeks. Mr. Burgoyne did a quick amortization calculation for if the City did get into the design loan and did move forward with bundling these projects; using a ballpark number of \$1 million financed at the .53% interest rate that was available through WRSLA today, the debt service for five years would be \$203,000 annually, the total interest to finance \$1 million would be \$16,000. Ms. Esarey appreciated those numbers, but she wondered why the City would take money from the fund (which the City had been very cautious to spend) on design when the City was not sure about the project and raising interest and getting the loan, etc. She understood it was a low rate, but the up front cost of these designs is what was concerning her because, even though it was \$1 million and the City had that in the fund, she wondered if that was what the City wanted to spend it on, if the project didn't go through. Mr. Ring summarized her concern: "We're gonna be on the hook for \$1 million and just not have anything to show for it." Mr. Burgoyne noted, these were priority projects the City had to do over the course of the next 5-10 years, there was criticality associated with this. He said the City was at more risk of failures of some of these watermains than it was of securing a loan with WRSLA.

Mr. Glass added that there was concern regarding the financial risk of moving forward, but there was some risk of not moving forward too. He said there was a risk of construction costs increasing over the next 5-20 years and the risk of the increased construction costs and design costs if it was piecemealed job by job. He said the City should also consider what the annual maintenance and repairs would cost if more watermains failed over time. He also said with some of the extensions and looping the City would be able to add more customers and encourage commercial and residential development too.

Mr. Kocisko was always cautious. He mentioned another future cost of the construction of a City Hall. It was said here tonight that it could cost more in the future if the City didn't act on this right now; by saving money for the future, now was the time to do this because of the low cost of borrowing money. He looked at the City Hall as the same situation, because the City Hall would come up. He said there were so many unknowns regarding the future, but there was a known need for a City Hall that would need to be discussed eventually. He wasn't against this water project, but the City really needed to consider this. He appreciated Ms. Esarey's opinion because she and Mr. Ring were the City's "bean counters." He said Council should consider this all carefully, as good a program as it appeared, and as good a time to do this as it seemed, the City may still may not want to do it.

Mr. Ring commented that the City Hall and other capital improvement projects would not be impacted by the monies of this water project under consideration because it was a self-sustaining fund and it wouldn't have anything to do with the typical capital improvement projects, like a City Hall. He said if the City were to do the water project, he didn't think the City ran the risk of not being able to afford a City Hall because the water funds couldn't be used for a City Hall anyway. Mr. Kocisko responded that he understood that, but because of the unforeseen future of the world in general, and with COVID-19 and businesses trying to financially get back to a new normal, he felt the City needed to be a little more cautious.

MOTION: TO MOVE THIS TO THE FEBRUARY 22, 2021 FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING WITH LEGISLATION TO COME.

Moved by Mr. Ring, seconded by Mr. Ruediger. Upon voice vote, **motion carried.**

Citizens' Comments

None.

Announcements

A Regular Council Meeting will immediately follow this meeting.

There being no further business to be addressed by this committee, and upon motion by Mr. Ruediger, seconded by Mr. Hannan, this meeting adjourned at 8:16 p.m.

ATTEST:

Caroline L. Kremer, Clerk of Council

Michael L. Lampa, Chairman