

Table of Contents

Preface	v
Acknowledgements	vii
Introduction	1
1. Artificial Intelligence-Powered Mutual Recognition in free movement of goods?	2
2. Mapping the EU constitutional limits on deploying AI systems in the internal market	4
3. Outline and methodology	8
Title 1. Mutual recognition as an administrative procedure within the free movement of goods context	11
Introduction	13
Chapter 1. The EU framework on the free movement of goods as a starting point for mutual recognition	15
1. Free movement of goods hindered by non-tariff barriers to trade (NTBs)	16
2. The gradual emergence of the principle of mutual recognition in the field of goods	19
2.1. Starting point	19
2.2. The birth of a principle?	20
Chapter 2. The Court intervenes: ensuring market access in <i>Cassis</i> and the underlying principle of home state control stemming from mutual recognition	23
1. Allocation of regulatory powers and the delicate balance between home and host state control	23
2. Scope of the principle of mutual recognition and the kinds of products which fall under Article 34 TFEU	25
3. Mutual recognition is not absolute	28

3.1. Article 36 TFEU's derogations and the rule of reason doctrine: a delicate assessment between free movement and legitimate public interests	28
3.1.1. Derogating on grounds of consumer protection	30
3.1.2. Derogating on grounds of public health	31
3.2. Fundamental rights	32
3.3. Proportionality test	32
3.4. Preliminary conclusion	34
4. Relevance of the principle of mutual recognition in the internal market	34
 Chapter 3. Mutual recognition in the framework of EU secondary law	37
1. The New Approach to technical harmonisation and standards for product regulation and the importance of the principle of mutual recognition	37
1.1. Legislative technical harmonisation	38
1.2. Harmonisation of standards (EU harmonised standards)	39
1.3. The importance of mutual recognition for the legislative technical harmonisation and harmonisation of standards (EU harmonised standards)	40
2. Conformity to essential requirements set in EU law and mutual recognition of accreditation certificates	40
 Chapter 4. Enforcement of mutual recognition within the European administrative space	43
1. The EU's decentralised enforcement regime	43
2. The European administrative space	45
3. Towards the generalisation of informal mechanisms and e-governance-supported horizontal coordination for the enforcement of mutual recognition	48
4. Different types of enforcement mechanisms	51
4.1. Preventive approach: EU secondary law to the rescue of mutual recognition	52
4.1.1. Ex-ante stage at the time of the adoption of the measure: the Single Market Transparency Directive (Directive 2015/1535) and the Single Market Clause	52
4.1.2. Ex-post stage	54
4.1.2.1. Non-harmonised area: Regulation 2019/515 (repealing Regulation 764/2008) the "Mutual Recognition Regulation"	54
4.1.2.2. Harmonised area: Regulation 765/2008	57
4.2. Pre-infringement initiatives	58
4.2.1. SOLVIT	58
4.2.2. EU Pilot	60

Chapter 5. Strengthening mutual recognition enforcement with technology? ...	61
1. The apparent failure of mutual recognition	61
1.1. Mutual lack of trust	62
1.2. Legal uncertainty surrounding the scope and the application of mutual recognition	63
1.3. Stakeholders' lack of awareness	65
1.4. Weak enforcement measures	65
2. Recommendations of the High-Level Panel of Experts for a strategy for completing the internal market	67
Conclusion	69
Title 2. Algorithmic regulation in the context of administrative enforcement: promises and pitfalls for public administrations	71
Introduction	73
Chapter 1. Introduction to Artificial Intelligence, Big Data and machine learning algorithms	75
1. Artificial Intelligence and Big Data: an overview	77
1.1. Big Data	77
1.2. Artificial Intelligence and algorithms	78
2. Machine learning: a step towards predictive data analytics	81
2.1. Definition of machine learning	81
2.2. Machine learning's characteristics	82
2.3. Taxonomy of machine learning stages	84
2.3.1. 1 st workflow: playing with data	85
2.3.1.1. First step: defining the problem	85
2.3.1.2. Second step: data collection	88
2.3.1.3. Third step: data cleaning	92
2.3.1.4. Fourth step: summary statistics review	93
2.3.1.5. Fifth step: data partitioning	94
2.3.1.6. Sixth step: model selection	96
2.3.1.7. Seventh step: model training	98
2.3.2. 2 nd workflow: the running model	100
2.3.2.1. Eighth step: model deployment	100

Chapter 2. Algorithmic regulation in the context of administrative enforcement through advanced Algorithmic Decision Systems (ADS) and the legal challenges ahead	101
1. Algorithmic Decision Systems usage in public sector enforcement	103
1.1. The promises and pitfalls of ADS in law enforcement contexts	103
1.2. Beyond the algorithmic drama: what really lies behind the “black box”	107
1.3. “Code as law” in public administrations: the normative impact of ADS	110
2. Legitimacy of ADS in the light of the Rule of Law	117
2.1. The Rule of Law: a constitutional principle endangered by ADS?	118
2.1.1. Accountability in algorithmic contexts	120
2.1.2. Transparency: the opacity problem and the need to understand ADS	124
2.1.2.1. The principle of transparency and the opacity problem	124
2.1.2.2. Understanding ADS: legal requirements and the explainability of ML models	127
2.1.3. ADS in the European administrative space: EU fundamental rights and power dynamics between the EU and Member States	135
2.1.3.1. EU fundamental rights	136
2.1.3.2. Power dynamics between the EU and Member States in the European administrative space	139
2.2. Discretionary power in public administrations and the potential impacts of deploying ADS for administrative decision-making	139
2.2.1. Noisy public administrations: an overview of errors in professional judgements	141
2.2.2. Deploying ADS in public administrations: what will remain of public servants’ discretion?	145
2.2.3. ADS, code architecture and nudges: a road strewn with pitfalls	149
2.2.3.1. Code architecture	149
2.2.3.2. Nudges	151
2.2.4. The importance of imperfect enforcement	155
2.3. EU Ethics guidelines towards ADS	156
3. Data challenges	157
3.1. Digitisation and datafication of the public sector: perfect momentum for the use of ML algorithms	157
3.2. Data challenges: an overview on the inputs ML algorithms need	158
Conclusion	161

Title 3. Designing ADS in compliance with the Rule of Law: rule-making and administrative justice in the internal market	163
Introduction	165
Chapter 1. Constitutional principles governing the existence and exercise of Union competences as benchmarks for ADS deployment	169
1. Taxonomy of EU Competences with a focus on the internal market and administrative cooperation	170
2. Principle governing the existence of Union competences: the principle of conferral	172
3. Principles governing the exercise of Union competences	175
3.1. The principle of subsidiarity	176
3.1.1. Subsidiarity as a constitutional principle balancing the exercise of powers between the EU and the Member States	177
3.1.2. Subsidiarity's judicial review	179
3.2. The principle of proportionality	180
3.2.1. Proportionality as a constitutional principle limiting the degree of EU intervention	181
3.2.2. Review of legality of the substance of Union intervention	182
3.2.2.1. The wide margin of appreciation offered to the EU institutions	182
3.2.2.2. The procedural approach to proportionality by the ECJ in the exercise of EU competences	183
3.3. National identity	184
3.4. The principle of sincere cooperation	186
Chapter 2. Deploying ADS within the administrative structure of the internal market – Legislative process, harmonisation and judicial review	189
1. Institutional and procedural administrative autonomy of Member States	189
1.1. The principle of institutional autonomy	190
1.2. The principle of procedural autonomy	193
1.3. The limited autonomy of Member States – Identifying a legal basis for the adoption of common administrative structures and procedural rules	195
2. Administrative cooperation in the internal market: the limits and possibilities of Article 197 TFEU	196
2.1. Administrative cooperation in the context of mutual recognition in free movement of goods	196
2.2. Article 197 TFEU, possibilities and limits	198

3. Creating administrative structure in the internal market through Article 114 TFEU	200
3.1. Rules pertaining to the choice of Article 114 TFEU as legal basis and its exercise in the context of EU integrated administrations	201
3.1.1. Conferred powers under Article 114 TFEU: the wide discretion of the EU legislature for building of the internal market administrative structure	202
3.1.1.1. General rules	202
3.1.1.2. Building of the administrative structure in the internal market	204
3.1.2. Judicial review of Article 114 TFEU under the principle of subsidiarity and proportionality	207
3.1.3. Degree of protection required under Article 114 (3) TFEU	209
3.1.4. Differentiation in the internal market: derogations mechanisms under Article 114 TFEU	210
4. Maximum v minimum harmonisation under Article 114 TFEU	213
5. Transparency in EU law-making	214
5.1. Transparency of rule-making activities in EU law and the importance of the right to access to documents	216
5.1.1. The right of access to documents	217
5.1.2. Exceptions to the right of access to documents	219
5.1.2.1. General considerations	219
5.1.2.2. Doctrine of general presumptions of confidentiality on certain categories of documents	220
5.1.3. Access to documents from or held by Member States	222
5.2. Trade secrets, algorithms and transparency	223
6. ADS in the public sector and the EU legal requirements over their use	224
6.1. The Proposal for an AI Act	224
6.1.1. Scope and definitions (Title I)	225
6.1.2. Prohibited AI practices (Title II)	226
6.1.3. High-risk AI systems (Title III)	226
6.1.4. Transparency obligations for certain AI systems (Title IV)	228
6.1.5. Governance and implementation (Titles VI, VII and VIII)	228
6.1.6. Codes of conduct (Title IX)	229
6.1.7. Confidentiality and penalties (Title X)	229
6.2. The Proposal for an AI Liability Directive	229

Chapter 3. Individuals' fundamental rights in the EU – Administrative justice through good administration principles and the principle of effective judicial protection in individual cases	231
1. Good administration and the principles stemming from it	235
1.1. Sub-principles of good administration	238
1.1.1. The duty of care	238
1.1.2. The right to be heard	240
1.1.3. The right of access to the file	241
1.1.4. The duty to give reasons	243
1.1.5. Remarks on the sub-principles of good administration	247
1.2. The European Ombudsman and the European Code of Good Administrative Behaviour	248
2. The principle of effective judicial protection and the right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial set out in Article 47 CFR	250
2.1. Control of legality of individual acts: the scope and intensity of judicial scrutiny	251
2.1.1. Judicial review and margin of appreciation in individual cases: implications for national courts	252
2.1.1.1. At the EU level	252
2.1.1.2. At the Member State level	255
2.1.2. Judicial review and fundamental rights: implications for national courts	256
2.1.2.1. At the EU level	256
2.1.2.2. At the Member State level	258
2.2. The right to an effective remedy (Article 47 (1) CFR)	261
2.2.1. Effective remedies in Member States	262
2.2.2. Limitation of the exercise of the right to an effective remedy	264
2.3. The right to a fair trial (Article 47 (2) CFR)	266
2.3.1. Sub-principles	267
2.3.1.1. The adversarial principle	267
2.3.1.2. Equality of arms	268
2.3.1.3. Rights of defence	269
2.3.1.4. The right to a reasoned judgment	272
3. Composite procedures in the European administrative space	272
3.1. Judicial review of composite procedures	275
3.1.1. Vertical composite procedures	278
3.1.2. Horizontal composite procedures	279
3.2. Diagonal procedures and ADS	282
Conclusion	283

Title 4. Assessing the deployment of ADS within the internal market administrative structure in the context of mutual recognition procedures	289
Introduction	291
Chapter 1. The deployment of ADS in mutual recognition procedures as part of the internal market administrative structure	293
1. EU law enforcement in the internal market with ADS: exploring AI-fuelled MR in free movement of goods	294
2. Deployment of ADS within the internal market administrative structure: existence and exercise of EU competences in light of the Treaties	297
2.1. Conferred powers over the deployment of ADS in the internal market administrative structure	299
2.2. Legal foundation required for ADS in administrative decision-making processes in the internal market	300
2.2.1. Article 197 TFEU and its insurmountable constraints for the deployment of ADS	301
2.2.2. Article 114 TFEU and ADS: fertile ground for the deployment of AI systems in the internal market administrative structure?	302
2.2.2.1. Integrating ADS within the internal market administrative structure: possibilities under Article 114 TFEU in light of the principle of MR in free movement of goods	304
2.2.2.2. Judicial review over the deployment of ADS based on Article 114 TFEU	308
2.2.2.3. Exploring the degree of protection required under Article 114 (3) TFEU in algorithmic contexts and the CFR: towards harmonised protection of all non-harmonised areas?	324
2.2.2.4. Derogations allowed under Article 114 TFEU: sword of Damocles hanging over the deployment of ADS?	328
2.2.2.5. Democratic legitimacy and ADS: AI-fuelled trade integration over local preferences chosen by elected policymakers?	331
2.2.2.6. Types of harmonisation and ADS	332

Chapter 2. Compatibility assessment of ADS with EU fundamental rights in mutual recognition procedures	335
1. The role of the EU right to good administration in shaping ADS	336
1.1. Sub-principles related to the EU right to good administration	337
1.1.1. The duty of care	337
1.1.1.1. General considerations	338
1.1.1.2. Analysis of ADS in MR procedures	341
1.1.2. The right to be heard in administrative procedures	346
1.1.3. The right of access to the file for individual administrative decisions	347
1.1.3.1. General considerations	347
1.1.3.2. Analysis of ADS in MR procedures	348
1.1.4. The duty to give reasons	349
1.1.4.1. General considerations	351
1.1.4.2. Analysis of ADS in MR procedures	355
1.2. Good administration principles, composite procedures and ADS: an additional layer of complexity	361
1.3. Principles of good administration and MR by design: embedding fundamental rights' safeguards and MR requirements in ADS	363
1.4. Additional safeguards regarding the principle of good administration	367
2. Effective judicial protection and the use of ADS in individual administrative cases	370
2.1. Judicial scrutiny in (semi-)automated MR procedures and the challenges ahead	370
2.1.1. The scope and intensity of judicial review	370
2.1.2. Judicial review and informational cooperation 3.0 with ADS: towards artificial intelligence powered cooperation	375
2.1.2.1. Algorithmic recommendations: acts with or without legal effects under EU law?	378
2.1.2.2. Judicial review, ML algorithms' opaqueness and network structures	380
2.2. Contesting algorithmic decisions and the effective remedies required to challenge them	385
2.2.1. Contestability's importance of AI systems in decision-making processes	385
2.2.1.1. General considerations	385
2.2.1.2. Contestability in algorithmic MR procedures	387
2.2.2. Ensuring effective remedies in (semi-)automated MR procedures	389
2.2.3. Transparency	390

2.3.	The right to a fair trial (Article 47 (2) CFR)	391
2.3.1.	The adversarial principle	392
2.3.2.	Equality of arms	395
2.3.3.	Rights of defence	396
2.3.3.1.	Right to access to the file	397
2.3.3.2.	Right to be heard	397
2.3.4.	Reasoned judgment	400
3.	Ensuring fundamental rights when trade secrets are at stake	400
4.	The fundamental right of access to legislative documents over ADS' inner working and the scope the principle of openness in algorithmic contexts	403
4.1.	Right of access	404
4.1.1.	General considerations	404
4.1.2.	Exceptions to the right of access to documents	405
4.2.	Doctrine of general presumptions of confidentiality	407
4.2.1.	General considerations	407
4.2.2.	Legislative v administrative documents	408
4.3.	The scope of the principle of openness in algorithmic contexts	409
Chapter 3. Legal possibility and practical feasibility of automated MR procedures in the internal market		413
1.	The principle of mutual recognition fit uneasily with ADS	413
1.1.	Mutual recognition's nature and implications	414
1.2.	Power dynamics between the EU and the Member States	416
1.2.1.	Legal considerations	416
1.2.2.	Organisational considerations	417
2.	Towards simpler intermediate solutions as an alternative for ADS	419
3.	Practical feasibility issues surrounding more automated MR procedures	420
Conclusion		423
1.	Article 114 TFEU	424
2.	Good administration principles	426
3.	Effective judicial protection	427
4.	Trade secrets	429
5.	Right access and the principle of openness	429
6.	Automated MR procedures	430

Concluding perspectives on Artificial Intelligence-Powered Mutual Recognition in the field of free movement of goods	433
1. Answers to the research questions	433
2. General concluding observations	437
 Annex	 439
 Bibliography	 441
Literature	441
Case-law	459
Legislation	464
EU Institutions	465

