

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	5
Foreword	11
Abbreviations	13

I. Introduction

1. The MDR in Luxembourg	17
2. Design principles of a mandatory disclosure regime	18
3. Implementation of DAC 6 in the European Union.....	19

II. Key features of the MDR

1. Overview	23
2. Reportable arrangements	23
2.1. Opening comments	23
2.2. Arrangements with a cross-border dimension	24
2.3. Hallmarks	26
2.4. Main benefit test (“MBT”).....	26
2.5. Checklist: Determining reportable cross-border arrangements	27
3. Information to be reported.....	28
4. Reporting responsibilities	29
4.1. Opening comments	29
4.2. Intermediaries	29
4.2.1. General	29
4.2.2. Primary intermediaries	30
4.2.3. Secondary intermediaries	30
4.2.4. The knowledge test.....	31
4.2.5. Professional secrecy rules	32
4.2.6. Residence and Luxembourg nexus.....	33
4.3. The relevant taxpayer.....	33

5. Overlapping reporting obligations.....	34
5.1. Opening comments.....	34
5.2. Reporting obligations in Luxembourg.....	35
5.3. Reporting obligations in different jurisdictions.....	35
5.4. Reporting obligations rest with the relevant taxpayer.....	36
6. Timing aspects.....	36
6.1. Reporting deadlines.....	36
6.2. When should potential reporting obligations be considered?.....	37
6.3. Considerations regarding arrangements implemented after 25 June 2018.....	38
6.4. Silence cannot be construed as acceptance.....	38
6.5. Reporting in the corporate tax returns.....	39
6.6. Automatic exchange of information.....	39
7. Penalties.....	40
7.1. Opening comments.....	40
7.2. Penalties for non-compliance with the MDR.....	40
7.3. Penalties for a violation of professional secrecy obligations.....	41

III. The hallmarks of reportable arrangements

1. Overview.....	47
2. Hallmarks that are linked to the MBT.....	47
2.1. Generic hallmarks linked to the MBT.....	48
2.1.1. The “confidentiality” hallmark (A.1.).....	48
2.1.2. The “premium fee” or “contingent fee” hallmark (A.2.).....	50
2.1.3. The “standardized tax product” hallmark (A.3.).....	51
2.2. Specific hallmarks linked to the MBT.....	53
2.2.1. The “loss company” hallmark (B.1.).....	53
2.2.2. The “converting income scheme” hallmark (B.2.).....	54
2.2.3. The “circular transactions” hallmark (B.3.).....	57
2.3. Specific hallmarks related to cross-border transactions.....	59
2.3.1. The “no or low taxation” hallmark (C.1. b) (i)).....	59
2.3.2. The “exemption from tax” hallmark (C.1. c).....	61
2.3.3. The “preferential tax regime” hallmark (C.1. d).....	61
2.3.4. The definition of associated enterprises.....	62
3. Hallmarks that are not linked to the MBT.....	65
3.1. Specific hallmarks related to cross-border transactions.....	65
3.1.1. The “State-less company” hallmark (C.1. a).....	65
3.1.2. The “black-listed country” hallmark (C.1. b) (ii)).....	66
3.1.3. The “double dip” hallmark (C.2.).....	67
3.1.4. The “dual relief” hallmark (C.3.).....	68
3.1.5. The “step-up in value” hallmark (C.4.).....	68

3.2. Specific hallmarks concerning automatic exchange of information and beneficial ownership	69
3.2.1. The “no CRS reporting” hallmark (D.1.)	69
3.2.2. The “disguising beneficial owners” hallmark (D.2.)	71
3.3. Specific hallmarks concerning transfer pricing	72
3.3.1. The “unilateral safe harbour” hallmark (E.1.)	72
3.3.2. The “hard-to-value intangibles” hallmark (E.2.)	73
3.3.3. The “business restructuring” hallmark (E.3.)	75
4. Checklists: Hallmarks of reportable arrangements	77

IV. The Main Benefit Test

1. Overview	87
2. Guidance provided by the Luxembourg tax authorities	87
3. Considerations regarding international investments	88
4. Developing a reasonable approach	90
4.1. Opening comments	90
4.2. Demystification of the MBT	90
4.3. Questions related to the benefits generated	92
4.3.1. Are the commercial and other benefits more significant than the aggregate amount of tax advantages?	92
4.3.2. Is it reasonable to consider that the investment would have been made in the absence of the tax benefit?	93
4.4. Questions related to the object and purpose of the applicable tax legislation	93
4.4.1. Could the arrangement be tackled based on anti-abuse legislation (GAAR, PPT, etc.)?	94
4.4.2. Are there genuine commercial reasons for an investment other than benefiting from a tax advantage?	95
4.4.3. Is the arrangement an artificial arrangement within the meaning of the GAAR?	95
4.5. Questions related to the legislative intent	96
4.5.1. Does the tax treatment of the arrangement merely rely on the application of tax law (i.e. explicit tax rules apply) as opposed to taking advantage of (i) the technicalities of a tax system or (ii) of mismatches between two or more tax systems for the purposes of reducing the tax liability?	96
4.5.2. Is the tax treatment consistent with the intent of the legislator?	96
4.6. Question related to the knowledge of the tax authorities	96
4.7. Assessment of the MBT analysis	97
5. Appendix	99
5.1. Relevant questions to be asked in relation to the MBT	99
5.2. Indications that the MBT is (not) met	100

5.3. The MBT in the system of the MDR: Design of an alternative checklist.....	101
---	------------

V. Case studies

1. The real estate fund	105
1.1. Background	105
1.2. Implementation of the investment platform	108
1.3. Implementation of real estate investments	108
1.4. Management of investments and future exit	116
2. The multinational group	116
2.1. Background	116
2.2. Cash pooling and treasury management.....	118
2.3. Internal debt funding	121
2.4. External debt funding.....	129
2.5. Transfer of an intangible asset	132
2.6. The business restructuring	137

Appendix

Appendix 1: Text of the law	143
Appendix 2: Unofficial translation of the text of the law.....	155
Appendix 3: Guidance released by the Luxembourg tax authorities	167
Appendix 4: Council Directive (EU) 2018/822 of 25 May 2018 (DAC 6)	173
Appendix 5: Commission recommendation on aggressive tax planning	191
Appendix 6: Final Report on BEPS Action 12.....	195