

ENDNOTES

HUGH ROSS

DESIGNED  
TO THE CORE



Covina, CA

## Chapter 1 – The Anthropic Principle

1. “Neil deGrasse Tyson (caught on camera): The Universe Is Trying to Kill You,” interview outtake, Big Think Mentor, June 27, 2013, [bigthink.com/big-think-mentor/neil-degrasse-tyson-caught-on-camera-the-universe-is-trying-to-kill-you](http://bigthink.com/big-think-mentor/neil-degrasse-tyson-caught-on-camera-the-universe-is-trying-to-kill-you).
2. Fraser Cain (host) with Phil Plait (guest), “The Universe Is Trying to Kill You,” *Astronomy Cast*, ep. 343, May 2014, [astronomycast.com/2014/05/ep-343-the-universe-is-trying-to-kill-you](http://astronomycast.com/2014/05/ep-343-the-universe-is-trying-to-kill-you).
3. If the universe has a flat geometry, or if dark energy is a positive constant (both affirmed by measurements—see chapter 2), then the universe will continue to expand. As it expands it cools and the energy flow from hot to cold bodies in the universe diminishes. Eventually, the flow of heat from hot to cold bodies becomes so minuscule that work and life is no longer possible.
4. Philippe Claeys, Jean-Georges Casier, and Stanley V. Margolis, “Microtektites and Mass Extinctions: Evidence for a Late Devonian Asteroid Impact,” *Science* 257, no. 5073 (August 21, 1992): 1102–1104, doi:10.1126/science.257.5073.1102; Kurt H. Kjær et al., “A Large Impact Crater beneath Hiawatha Glacier in Northwest Greenland,” *Science Advances* 4, no. 11 (November 14, 2018): id. eaar8173, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aar8173; Joseph Scott Stuart and Richard P. Binzel, “Bias-Corrected Population, Size, Distribution, and Impact Hazard for the Near-Earth Objects,” *Icarus* 170, no. 2 (August 2004): 295–311, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2004.03.018.
5. Manasvi Lingam and Abraham Loeb, “Risks for Life on Habitable Planets from Superflares of Their Host Stars,” *Astrophysical Journal* 848, no. 1 (October 10, 2017): id. 41, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa8e96.
6. Brandon Carter, “Large Number Coincidences and the Anthropic Principle in Cosmology,” in M. S. Longair, ed., *Confrontation of Cosmological Theories with Observational Data*, IAU Symposium 63, Copernicus Symposium II, Krakow, Poland, September 10–12, 1973 (Dordrecht, Netherlands: D. Reidel Publishing, 1974), 291–298; John D. Barrow and Frank J. Tipler, *The Anthropic Cosmological Principle* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1986).
7. Marcelo Gleiser has appeared in many television documentaries and written three best-selling books: *The Dancing Universe: From Creation Myths to the Big Bang* (Hanover, NH: Dartmouth College Press, 1998); *The Prophet and the Astronomer: Apocalyptic Science and the End of the World* (New York: W. W. Norton, 2003); and *A Tear at the Edge of Creation: A Radical New Vision for Life in an Imperfect Universe* (New York: Free Press, 2010). In his native country, he is as famous as Carl Sagan ever was in the United States.
8. Marcelo Gleiser, “Drake Equation for the Multiverse: From the String Landscape to Complex Life,” *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 19, no. 08n10 (August 2010): 1299–1308, doi:10.1142/S0218271810017949.
9. Gleiser, “Drake Equation,” 1299.
10. Geraint F. Lewis and Luke A. Barnes, “The Trouble with ‘Puddle Thinking’: A User’s Guide to the Anthropic Principle,” *Proceedings and Journal of the Royal Society of New South Wales* 154, part 1 (June 2021): 6–11, ISSN 0035-9173/21/010006-06.
11. Richard Swinburne, “Argument from the Fine-Tuning of the Universe,” in *Physical Cosmology and Philosophy*, ed. John Leslie (New York: Macmillan, 1990), 165; William Lane Craig, “Barrow and Tipler on the Anthropic Principle vs. Divine Design,” *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 38, no. 3 (September 1988): 389–395, doi:10.1093/bjps/39.3.389.
12. Hugh Ross, *The Creator and the Cosmos: How the Latest Scientific Discoveries Reveal God*, 4th ed. (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2018), 181–198.

## Chapter 2 – Exterior Design Features

1. Hugh Ross, *The Creator and the Cosmos: How the Latest Scientific Discoveries Reveal God*, 4th ed. (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2018); Hugh Ross, *Why the Universe Is the Way It Is* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2008); Hugh Ross, *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016); Hugh Ross, *More Than a Theory: Revealing a Testable Model for Creation* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2009); Hugh Ross, *Hidden Treasures in the Book of Job: How the Oldest Book in the Bible Answers Today’s Scientific Questions* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2011).
2. Stephen Hawking and Roger Penrose, “The Singularities of Gravitational Collapse and Cosmology,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* 314, no. 1519 (January 27, 1970): 529–548, doi:10.1098/rspa.1970.0021; Arvind Borde, Alan H. Guth, and Alexander Vilenkin, “Inflationary Spacetimes Are Incomplete in Past Directions,” *Physical Review Letters* 90, no. 15 (April 18, 2003): id. 151031, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.151031; Aron C. Wall, “The Generalized Second Law Implies a Quantum Singularity Theorem,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 30 (July 12, 2013): id. 165003, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/30/16/165003; Ross, *Creator and the Cosmos*, 111–122.
3. Masataka Fukugita and P. J. E. Peebles, “The Cosmic Energy Inventory,” *Astrophysical Journal* 616, no. 2 (December 1, 2004): 643–668, doi:10.1086/425155; Gary Hinshaw et al., “Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Parameter Results,” *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 208, no. 2 (October 2013): id. 19, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/208/2/19; Planck Collaboration, “Planck 2018 Results. VI. Cosmological Parameters,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 641 (September 2020): id. A6, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833910.
4. Hinshaw et al., “Nine-Year (WMAP) Observations,” id. 19; Planck Collaboration, “Planck 2018 Results. VI.,” id. A6; Ariel G. Sánchez et al., “The Clustering of Galaxies in the Completed SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: Cosmological Implications of the Configuration-Space Clustering Wedges,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 464, no. 2 (January 2017): 1640–1658, doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2443; Lu Chen, Qing-Guo Huang, and Ke Wang, “New Cosmological Constraints with Extended-Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey DR14 Quasar Sample,” *European Physical Journal C* 77, no. 11 (November 2017): id. 762, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5344-1.
5. Ross, *Why the Universe Is the Way It Is*, 27–41; Ross, *Creator and the Cosmos*, 45–63.
6. Alexander von Boetticher et al., “The EBLM Project: III. A Saturn-Size Low-Mass Star at the Hydrogen-Burning Limit,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 604 (August 2017): id. L6, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201731107.
7. Binsen Zhang et al., “The Distance and Size of the Red Hypergiant NML Cygni from VLBA and VLA Astrometry,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 544 (August 2012): id. A42, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201219587.
8. Simone Aiola et al., “The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: DR4 Maps and Cosmological Parameters,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 2020, no. 12 (December 2020): id. 047, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2020/12/047; Hinshaw et al., “Nine-Year (WMAP) Observations,” id. 19; Planck Collaboration, “Planck 2015 Results. XIII. Cosmological Parameters,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 594 (October 2016): id. A13, p. 47, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525830.

9. Ross, *Improbable Planet*, 166–168.
10. Ross, *Why the Universe Is the Way It Is*, 43–56.
11. Hinshaw et al., “Nine-Year (*WMAP*) Observations,” id. 19; Planck Collaboration, “*Planck* 2015 Results. XIII.,” id. A13; Sánchez et al., “Clustering of Galaxies,” doi:10.1093/mnras/stw2443; Aiola et al., “Atacama Cosmology Telescope,” id. 047.
12. Sánchez et al., “Clustering of Galaxies,” 1640–1658; Beth A. Reid et al., “Cosmological Constraints from the Clustering of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey DR7 Luminous Red Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 404, no. 1 (May 2010): 60–85, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16276.x; Max Tegmark et al., “Cosmological Constraints from the SDSS Luminous Red Galaxies,” *Physical Review D* 74, no. 12 (December 15, 2006): id. 123507, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.123507.
13. Planck Collaboration, “*Planck* 2018 Results. VI.,” id. A6.
14. Hinshaw et al., “Nine-Year (*WMAP*) Observations,” id. 19.
15. Aiola et al., “Atacama Cosmology Telescope,” id. 047.
16. Narges Rashidi and Kourosh Nozari, “Gauss-Bonnet Inflation after Planck2018,” *Astrophysical Journal* 890, no. 1 (February 10, 2020): id. 58, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab6a10; Planck Collaboration, “*Planck* 2018 Results. X. Constraints on Inflation,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 641 (September 2020): id. A10, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833887; Hinshaw et al., “Nine-Year (*WMAP*) Observations,” id. 19; Aiola et al., “Atacama Cosmology Telescope,” id. 047; Chen, Huang, and Wang, “New Cosmological Constraints,” id. 762.
17. Kevin Dowd et al., “How Unlucky Is 25-Sigma?,” preprint, submitted March 29, 2011, arxiv.org/abs/1103.5672.
18. Dowd et al., “How Unlucky.”
19. Ross, *Creator and the Cosmos*, 68–72, 111–113, 145–149.
20. Ross, *Improbable Planet*, 24–27, 29–42, 166–168.
21. Hugh Ross, “RTB Design Compendium (2009),” *Today’s New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, November 16, 2010, reasons.org/fine-tuning.

### Chapter 3 – Large-Scale Cosmic Structures

1. Paul Davies, *The Goldilocks Enigma: Why Our Universe Is Just Right for Life* (Boston: First Mariner Books, 2006), 43–45.
2. Robert Kirshner of Harvard was the first astronomer to refer to the sudden appearance of cosmic homogeneity and uniformity as one observes at greater and greater cosmological distances as the “End of Greatness.” See Robert P. Kirshner, *The Extravagant Universe: Exploding Stars, Dark Energy and the Accelerating Cosmos* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2002), 71.
3. Suman Sarkar and Biswajit Pandey, “Unravelling the Cosmic Web: An Analysis of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 14 with the Local Dimension,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 485, no. 4 (June 2019): 4743–4753, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz499; Morag I. Scrimgeour et al., “The WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey: The Transition to Large-Scale Cosmic Homogeneity,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 425, no. 1 (September 2012): 116–134, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21402.x; Felipe Avila et al., “The Angular Scale of Homogeneity in the Local Universe with the SDSS Blue Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 488, no. 1 (September 2019): 1481–1487, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz1765.
4. Fly through the Cosmic Web (March 10, 2011), youtube.com/watch?v=CMiYj6wInE; OpenCL NBody Cosmic web 1 (July 31, 2013), youtube.com/watch?v=o0\_HBsUZIk; The Cosmic Web, or: What does the universe look like at a VERY large scale? (November 6, 2010), youtube.com/watch?v=74IsySs3RGU.
5. R. Brent Tully et al., “The Laniakea Supercluster of Galaxies,” *Nature* 513, no. 7516 (September 4, 2014): 71–73, doi:10.1038/nature13674.
6. R. Brent Tully et al., “Cosmicflows–2: The Data,” *Astronomical Journal* 146, no. 4 (October 1, 2013): id. 86, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/146/4/86.
7. R. Brent Tully, Hélène M. Courtois, and Jenny G. Sorce, “Cosmicflows–3,” *Astronomical Journal* 152, no. 2 (August 1, 2016): id. 50, doi:10.3847/0004-6256/152/2/50.
8. Gayoung Chon, Hans Böhringer, and Saleem Zaroubi, “On the Definition of Superclusters,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 575 (March 2015): id. L14, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201425591.
9. Chon, Böhringer, and Zaroubi, “On the Definition of Superclusters.”
10. Pei-Li Ho and Lin-Wen Chen, “The Star Formation Activity in the Shapley Supercluster,” in *Galaxy Mergers in the Evolving Universe*, ASP Conference Series 477, ed. Wei-Hsin Sun et al. (San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 2012), 281–282, aspbooks.org/publications/477/281.pdf; Dominique Proust et al., “The Shapley Supercluster: The Largest Matter Concentration in the Local Universe,” *The Messenger* 124 (June 2006): 30–31, eso.org/sci/publications/messenger/archive/no.124-jun06/messenger-no124-30-31.pdf.
11. Proust et al., “Shapley Supercluster,” 30.
12. Proust et al., “Shapley Supercluster,” 30.
13. Chris P. Haines et al., “Shapley Supercluster Survey: Mapping the Filamentary Network Connecting the Clusters,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 481, no. 1 (November 2018): 1055–1074, doi:10.1093/mnras/sty2338.
14. Paola Merluzzi et al., “Shapley Supercluster Survey: Galaxy Evolution from Filaments to Cluster Cores,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 446, no. 1 (January 2015): 803–822, doi:10.1093/mnras/stu2085.
15. Haines et al., “Shapley Supercluster Survey,” 1055.
16. Ho and Chen, “Star Formation Activity,” 281–282.
17. Sandro Bardelli et al., “A Study of the Core of the Shapley Concentration. II. ROSAT Observation of A 3558,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 305 (January 1996): 435–449, arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9506019.
18. R. C. Kraan-Korteweg, A. P. Fairall, and C. Balkowski, “Extragalactic Large-Scale Structures behind the Southern Milky Way. I. Redshifts Obtained at the SAAO in the Hydra/Antlia Extension,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 297 (May 1995): 617–635. Preprint available at arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/9411089.pdf.
19. Finoguenov et al., “A Puzzling Merger in A3266: The Hydrodynamic Picture from *XMM-Newton*,” *Astrophysical Journal* 643, no. 2 (June 1, 2006): 790–796, doi:10.1086/503285.

20. Hélène M. Courtois et al., “Cosmography of the Local Universe,” *Astronomical Journal* 146, no. 3 (September 2013): id. 69, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/146/3/69.
21. Planck Collaboration, “Planck 2013 Results. XXVII. Doppler Boosting of the CMB: Eppur Si Muove,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 571 (November 2014): id. A27, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201321556.
22. Roberto Scaramella, Giampaolo Vettolani, and Giovanni Zamorani, “The Distribution of Clusters of Galaxies within 300 Mpc h<sup>-1</sup> and the Crossover to an Isotropic and Homogeneous Universe,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 376 (July 20, 1991): L1–L4, doi:10.1086/186089; Tod R. Lauer and Marc Postman, “The Motion of the Local Group with Respect to the 15,000 Kilometer per Second Abell Cluster Inertial Frame,” *Astrophysical Journal* 425, no. 2 (April 20, 1994): 418–438, doi:10.1086/173997.
23. Bohdan Paczynski and Tsvi Piran, “A Dipole Moment of the Microwave Background as a Cosmological Effect,” *Astrophysical Journal* 364 (December 1, 1990): 341–348, doi:10.1086/169417.
24. M. Jaroszynski and B. Paczynski, “The Cosmic Microwave Background Dipole Cannot Be the Result of the Cosmological Entropy Gradient,” *Astrophysical Journal* 448 (August 1, 1995): 488–493, doi:10.1086/175979.
25. Dale D. Kocevski, Christopher R. Mullis, and Harald Ebeling, “The Dipole Anisotropy of the First All-Sky X-Ray Cluster Sample,” *Astrophysical Journal* 608, no. 2 (June 20, 2004): 721–730, doi:10.1086/420694.
26. Kocevski, Mullis, and Ebeling, “Dipole Anisotropy,” 721.
27. Dale D. Kocevski and Harald Ebeling, “On the Origin of the Local Group’s Peculiar Velocity,” *Astrophysical Journal* 645, no. 2 (July 10, 2006): 1043–1053, doi:10.1086/503666; Khaled Said, Renée C. Kraan-Korteweg, and Lister Staveley-Smith, “The HI Mass Function in the Parkes HI Zone of Avoidance Survey,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 486, no. 2 (July 2019): 1796–1804, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz956.
28. T. Mutabazi et al., “The Norma Cluster (ACO 3627) – III. The Distance and Peculiar Velocity via the Near-Infrared  $K_s$ -band Fundamental Plane,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 439, no. 4 (April 21, 2014): 3666–3682, doi:10.1093/mnras/stu217; Guilhem Lavau et al., “Cosmic Flow from Two Micron All-Sky Redshift Survey: The Origin of Cosmic Microwave Background Dipole and Implications for  $\Lambda$ CDM Cosmology,” *Astrophysical Journal* 709, no. 1 (January 20, 2010): 483–498, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/709/1/483; D. J. Radburn-Smith et al., “Structures in the Great Attractor Region,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 369, no. 3 (July 2006): 1131–1142, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10347.x.
29. Kocevski, Mullis, and Ebeling, “Dipole Anisotropy,” 721–730; Lavau et al., “Cosmic Flow from Two Micron All-Sky Redshift Survey,” 483–498.
30. R. Brent Tully et al., “Cosmicflows-3: Cosmography of the Local Void,” *Astrophysical Journal* 880, no. 1 (July 20, 2019): id. 24, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab2597; R. Brent Tully et al., “Our Peculiar Motion Away from the Local Void,” *Astrophysical Journal* 676, no. 1 (March 20, 2008): 184, doi:10.1086/527428.
31. Jeffrey E. McClintock and Ronald A. Remillard, “Black Hole Binaries,” in *Compact Stellar X-ray Sources*, eds. W. H. G. Lewin and M. van der Klis (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004), 157, arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/0306213.pdf, 10–11.
32. Ohad Shemmer et al., “Near-Infrared Spectroscopy of High-Redshift Active Galactic Nuclei. I. A Metallicity-Accretion Rate Relationship,” *Astrophysical Journal* 614, no. 2 (October 20, 2004): 547–557, doi:10.1086/423607.
33. Shemmer et al., “Near-Infrared Spectroscopy,” 553.
34. Shemmer et al., “Near-Infrared Spectroscopy,” 548.
35. Gabriele Ghisellini et al., “The Blazar S5 0014+813: A Real or Apparent Monster?,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 399, no. 1 (October 2009): L24–L28, doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2009.00716.x; F. G. Saturni et al., “Restframe UV-to-Optical Spectroscopy of APM 08279+5255. BL Classification and Black Hole Mass Estimates,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 617 (September 2018): id. A118, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201832794.
36. M. J. Valtonen, S. Ciprini, and H. J. Lehto, “On the Masses of OJ287 Black Holes,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 427, no. 1 (November 21, 2012): 77–83, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21861.x; Roger W. Romani et al., “A Multi-Wavelength Study of the Host Environment of SMBHB 4C+37.11,” *Astrophysical Journal* 780, no. 2 (January 10, 2014): id. 149, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/780/2/149.
37. R. P. Saglia et al., “The Sinfoni Black Hole Survey: The Black Hole Fundamental Plane Revisited and the Paths of (Co)Evolution of Supermassive Black Holes and Bulges,” *Astrophysical Journal* 818, no. 1 (February 10, 2016): id. 47, doi:10.3847/0004-637X/818/1/47; Nicholas J. McConnell and Chung-Pei Ma, “Revisiting the Scaling Relations of Black Hole Masses and Host Galaxy Properties,” *Astrophysical Journal* 764, no. 2 (February 20, 2013): id. 184, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/184; John Kormendy and Luis C. Ho, “Coevolution (Or Not) of Supermassive Black Holes and Host Galaxies,” *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 51 (August 2013): 511–653, doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101811.

## Chapter 4 – The Laniakea Supercluster

1. Jeremy L. Tinker et al., “The Correlation between Halo Mass and Stellar Mass for the Most Massive Galaxies in the Universe,” *Astrophysical Journal* 839, no. 2 (April 20, 2017): id. 121, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa6845.
2. Christopher S. Reynolds, “Observing Black Holes Spin,” *Nature Astronomy* 3, no. 1 (January 8, 2019): 41–47, doi:10.1038/s41550-018-0665-z.
3. Reynolds, “Observing Black Holes Spin,” 41–47.
4. Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration, “First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. VI. The Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 875, no. 1 (April 10, 2019): id. L1, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7; Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration, “First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. VI. The Shadow and Mass of the Central Black Hole,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 875, no. 1 (April 10, 2019): id. L6, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab1141.
5. Hooman Davoudiasl and Peter B. Denton, “Ultralight Boson Dark Matter and Event Horizon Telescope Observations of M87\*,” *Physical Review Letters* 123, no. 2 (July 12, 2019): id. 021102, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.021102; Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration, “First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. VI.,” id. L6.
6. Tiziana Di Matteo et al., “Accretion onto the Supermassive Black Hole in M87,” *Astrophysical Journal* 582, no. 1 (January 1,

- 2003): 133–140, doi:10.1086/344504; A. Neronov and Felix A. Aharonian, “Production of TeV Gamma Radiation in the Vicinity of the Supermassive Black Hole in the Giant Radio Galaxy M87,” *Astrophysical Journal* 671, no. 1 (December 10, 2007): 85–96, doi:10.1086/522199; Amir Levinson and Frank Rieger, “Variable TeV Emission as a Manifestation of Jet Formation in M87?,” *Astrophysical Journal* 730, no. 2 (April 1, 2011): id. 123, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/730/2/123; K. Hada et al., “A Strong Radio Brightening at the Jet Base of M87 during the Elevated Very-High-Energy  $\gamma$ -Ray State in 2012,” *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 10, S313 (March 2015): 340–345, doi:10.1017/S174392131500246X.
7. John Linsley, “Evidence for a Primary Cosmic-Ray Particle with Energy  $10^{20}$  eV,” *Physical Review Letters* 10, no. 4 (February 15, 1963): 146–168, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.146.
  8. Kenneth Greisen, “End to the Cosmic-Ray Spectrum?,” *Physical Review Letters* 16, no. 17 (April 25, 1966): 748–750, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.16.748; G. T. Zatsepin and V. A. Kuz’mín, “Upper Limit of the Spectrum of Cosmic Rays,” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters* 4 (August 1966): 78–80. Original Russian paper published in *ZhETF Pis’ma* 4, no. 3 (August 1, 1966): 114–117, jetpletters.ac.ru/ps/1624/article\_24846.pdf.
  9. Laura J. Watson, Daniel J. Mortlock, and Andrew H. Jaffe, “A Bayesian Analysis of the 27 Highest Energy Cosmic Rays Detected by the Pierre Auger Observatory,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 418, no. 1 (November 21, 2011): 206–213, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19476.x.
  10. D. J. Bird et al., “Detection of a Cosmic Ray with Measured Energy Well beyond the Expected Spectral Cutoff due to Cosmic Microwave Radiation,” *Astrophysical Journal* 441 (March 1, 1995): 144–150, doi:10.1086/175344.
  11. Pierre Auger Collaboration et al., “Observation of a Large-Scale Anisotropy in the Arrival Directions of Cosmic Rays above  $8 \times 10^{18}$  eV,” *Science* 357, no. 6357 (September 22, 2017): 1266–1270, doi:10.1126/science.aan4338; Pierre Auger Collaboration et al., “Large-Scale Cosmic-Ray Anisotropies above 4 EeV Measured by the Pierre Auger Observatory,” *Astrophysical Journal* 868, no. 1 (November 20, 2018): id. 4, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aae689.
  12. Jihyun Kim et al., “Filaments of Galaxies as a Clue to the Origin of Ultrahigh-Energy Cosmic Rays,” *Science Advances* 5, no. 1 (January 2, 2019): eaau8227, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau8227.
  13. Kim et al., “Filaments of Galaxies,” 1.
  14. Suk Kim et al., “Large-Scale Filamentary Structures around the Virgo Cluster Revisited,” *Astrophysical Journal* 833, no. 2 (December 20, 2016): id. 207, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/833/2/207; Kim et al., “Filaments of Galaxies.”
  15. Kim et al., “Filaments of Galaxies,” 1.
  16. S. Britzen et al., “A New View on the M 87 Jet Origin: Turbulent Loading Leading to Large-Scale Episodic Wiggling,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 601 (May 2017): id. A52, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629469.
  17. Michael Loewenstein et al., “Chandra Limits on X-Ray Emission Associated with the Supermassive Black Holes in Three Giant Elliptical Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 555, no. 1 (July 1, 2001): L21–L24, doi:10.1086/323157; Juntao Shen and Karl Gebhardt, “The Supermassive Black Hole and Dark Matter Halo of NGC 4649 (M60),” *Astrophysical Journal* 711, no. 1 (March 1, 2010): 484–494, doi:10.1086/0004-637X/71/1/484.
  18. Shen and Gebhardt, “Supermassive Black Hole and Dark Matter Halo,” 484–494.
  19. Loewenstein et al., “Chandra Limits on X-Ray Emission,” L21–L24; G. A. Bower et al., “Kinematics of the Nuclear Ionized Gas in the Radio Galaxy M84 (NGC 4374)\*,” *Astrophysical Journal* 492, no. 2 (January 10, 1998): L111–L114, doi:10.1086/311109.
  20. Karl Gebhardt et al., “The Black Hole Mass and Extreme Orbital Structure in NGC 1399,” *Astrophysical Journal* 671, no. 2 (December 20, 2007): 1321–1328, doi:10.1086/522938.

## Chapter 5 – The Virgo Cluster Interior

1. Regina Barber DeGraaff et al., “A Galaxy in Transition: Structure, Globular Clusters, and Distance to the Star-Forming S0 Galaxy NGC 1533 in Dorado,” *Astrophysical Journal* 671, no. 2 (December 2007): 1624–1639, doi:10.1086/523640.
2. Simona Mei et al., “The ACS Virgo Cluster Survey. XIII. SBF Distance Catalog and the Three-Dimensional Structure of the Virgo Cluster\*,” *Astrophysical Journal* 655, no. 1 (January 20, 2007): 144–162, doi:10.1086/509598.
3. Michael A. Beasley et al., “Evidence for the Disky Origin of Luminous Virgo Dwarf Ellipticals from the Kinematics of Their Globular Cluster Systems\*,” *Astronomical Journal* 137, no. 6 (June 2009): 5146–5153, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/137/6/5146; Thorsten Lisker, Eva K. Grebel, and Bruno Binggeli, “Virgo Cluster Early-Type Dwarf Galaxies with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. I. On the Possible Disk Nature of Bright Early-Type Dwarfs,” *Astronomical Journal* 132, no. 2 (August 1, 2006): 497–513, doi:10.1086/505045; F. D. Barazza, B. Binggeli, and H. Jergen, “More Evidence for Hidden Spiral and Bar Features in Bright Early-Type Dwarf Galaxies\*,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 391, no. 3 (September 2002): 823–831, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20020875; John Kormendy, “Families of Ellipsoidal Stellar Systems and the Formation of Dwarf Elliptical Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 295 (August 1, 1985): 73–79, doi:10.1086/163350.
4. Gagandeep S. Anand et al., “The Distance and Motion of the Maffei Group,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 872, no. 1 (February 10, 2019): id. L4, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aafee6.
5. Robin L. Fingerhut et al., “The Extinction and Distance of Maffei 2 and a New View of the IC 342/Maffei Group,” *Astrophysical Journal* 655, no. 2 (February 1, 2007): 814, doi:10.1086/509862.
6. N. A. Tikhonov and O. A. Galazutdinova, “Does the IC 342/Maffei Galaxy Group Really Exist?,” *Astrophysical Bulletin* 73, no. 3 (July 2018): 279–292, doi:10.1134/S1990341318030021.
7. Julianne J. Dalcanton et al., “The ACS Nearby Galaxy Survey Treasury,” *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 183, no. 1 (July 2009): 67–108, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/183/1/67.
8. I. D. Karachentsev et al., “Distances to Nearby Galaxies in Sculptor\*,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 404, no. 1 (June 11, 2003): 93–111, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20030170.
9. Karachentsev et al., “Distances to Nearby Galaxies,” 93–111.
10. Gretchen L. H. Harris, Marina Rejkuba, and William E. Harris, “The Distance to NGC 5128 (Centaurus A),” *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia* 27, no. 4 (2010): 457–462, doi:10.1071/AS09061.

11. Norbert Bartel, Michael F. Bietenholz, and Michael P. Rupen, “The Core-Jet Radio Source at the Center of M81,” *Symposium – International Astronomical Union* 205 (2001): 42, doi:10.1017/S0074180900220378.
12. R. Brent Tully, Hélène M. Courtois, and Jenny G. Sorce, “Cosmicflows-3,” *Astronomical Journal* 152, no. 2 (August 1, 2016): id. 50, doi:10.3847/0004-6256/152/2/50.
13. John L. Tonry et al., “The SBF Survey of Galaxy Distances. IV. SBF Magnitudes, Colors, and Distances,” *Astrophysical Journal* 546, no. 2 (January 10, 2001): 681–693, doi:10.1086/318301.
14. Benjamin J. Shappee and K. Z. Stanek, “A New Cepheid Distance to the Giant Spiral M101 Based on Image Subtraction of *Hubble Space Telescope*/Advanced Camera for Surveys Observations,” *Astrophysical Journal* 733, no. 2 (June 1, 2011): id. 124, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/733/2/124.

## Chapter 6 – The Local Group Interior

1. I. D. Karachentsev, “The Local Group and Other Neighboring Galaxy Groups,” *Astronomical Journal* 129, no. 1 (January 2005): 178–188, doi:10.1086/426368.
2. Joachim Janz et al., “How Elevated Is the Dynamical-to-Stellar Mass Ratio of the Ultracompact Dwarf S999?,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 449, no. 2 (May 2015): 1716–1730, doi:10.1093/mnras/stv389; Christopher P. Ahn et al., “Detection of Supermassive Black Holes in Two Virgo Ultracompact Dwarf Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 839, no. 2 (April 20, 2017): id. 72, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa6972.
3. Steffen Mieske et al., “On Central Black Holes in Ultra-Compact Dwarf Galaxies,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 558 (October 2013): id. A14, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201322167; Anil C. Seth et al., “A Supermassive Black Hole in an Ultra-Compact Dwarf Galaxy,” *Nature* 513, no. 7518 (September 18, 2014): 398–400, doi:10.1038/nature13762; Ahn et al., “Detection of Supermassive Black Holes,” id. 72; Henriette Wirth and Kenji Bekki, “Formation of Massive Black Holes in Ultracompact Dwarf Galaxies: Migration of Primordial Intermediate-Mass Black Holes in N-Body Simulation,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 496, no. 1 (July 2020): 921–932, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1603.
4. Zhao-Zhou Li et al., “Constraining the Milky Way Mass Profile with Phase-Space Distribution of Satellite Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 894, no. 1 (May 1, 2020): id. 10, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab84f0; T. K. Fritz et al., “The Mass of Our Galaxy from Satellite Proper Motions in the *Gaia* Era,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 494, no. 4 (June 2020): 5178–5193, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1040; Thomas M. Callingham et al., “The Mass of the Milky Way from Satellite Dynamics,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 484, no. 4 (April 2019): 5453–5467, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz365; Ekta Patel et al., “Estimating the Mass of the Milky Way Using the Ensemble of Classical Satellite Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 857, no. 2 (April 20, 2018): id. 78, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab78f; Denis Erkal, Vasily A. Belokurov, and Daniel L. Parkin, “Equilibrium Models of the Milky Way Mass Are Biased High by the LMC,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 498, no. 4 (November 2020): 5574–5580, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa2840; Prajwal Raj Kafle et al., “On the Shoulders of Giants: Properties of the Stellar Halo and the Milky Way Mass Distribution,” *Astrophysical Journal* 794, no. 1 (October 10, 2014): id. 59, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/794/1/59; Paul J. McMillan, “The Mass Distribution and Gravitational Potential of the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 465, no. 1 (February 11, 2017): 76–94, doi:10.1093/mnras/stw2759; Prajwal Raj Kafle et al., “Kinematics of the Stellar Halo and the Mass Distribution of the Milky Way Using Blue Horizontal Branch Stars,” *Astrophysical Journal* 761, no. 2 (December 20, 2012): id. 98, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/761/2/98; Robert J. J. Grand et al., “The Effects of Dynamical Substructure on Milky Way Mass Estimates from the High-Velocity Tail of the Local Stellar Halo,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 487, no. 1 (July 2019): L72–L76, doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slz092.
5. J. Veljanoski et al., “Newly Discovered Globular Clusters in NGC 147 and NGC 185 from PAndAS,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 435, no. 4 (November 11, 2013): 3654–3666, doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1557; Kohei Hayashi and Masashi Chiba, “The Prolate Dark Matter Halo of the Andromeda Galaxy,” *Astrophysical Journal* 789, no. 1 (July 1, 2014): id. 62, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/789/1/62.
6. Ekta Patel et al., “ $\Lambda$ CDM Predictions for the Satellite Population of M33,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 480, no. 2 (October 2018): 1883–1897, doi:10.1093/mnras/sty1946; P. R. Hague and M. I. Wilkinson, “The Degeneracy of M33 Mass Modeling and Its Physical Implications,” *Astrophysical Journal* 800, no. 1 (February 10, 2015): id. 15, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/800/1/15; Edvige Corbelli, “Dark Matter and Visible Baryons in M33,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 342, no. 1 (June 2003): 199–207, doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06531.x; A. S. Saburova and A. V. Zasov, “On the Mass and Density of the Stellar Disk of M33,” *Astronomy Letters* 38, no. 3 (March 2012): 139–146, doi:10.1134/S1063773712030048.
7. Denis Erkal et al., “The Total Mass of the Large Magellanic Cloud from the Perturbation on the Orphan Stream,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 487, no. 2 (August 2019): 2685–2700, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz1371.
8. Jorge Peñarrubia et al., “A Timing Constraint on the (Total) Mass of the Large Magellanic Cloud,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 456, no. 1 (February 11, 2016): L54–L58, doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slv160.
9. Cherbin F. P. Laporte et al., “Response of the Milky Way’s Disc to the Large Magellanic Cloud in a First Infall Scenario,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 473, no. 1 (January 2018): 1218–1230, doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2146.
10. Marius Cautun et al., “The Aftermath of the Great Collision between Our Galaxy and the Large Magellanic Cloud,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 483, no. 2 (February 2019): 2185–2196, doi:10.1093/mnras/sty3084.
11. Peter S. Behroozi, Risa H. Wechsler, and Charlie Conroy, “The Average Star Formation Histories of Galaxies in Dark Matter Halos from  $z = 0\text{--}8$ ,” *Astrophysical Journal* 770, no. 1 (June 10, 2013): id. 57, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/57.
12. A. J. Deason et al., “Satellites of LMC-Mass Dwarfs: Close Friendships Ruined by Milky Way Mass Haloes,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 453, no. 4 (November 11, 2015): 3568–3574, doi:10.1093/mnras/stv1939.
13. Kenji Bekki and Snežana Stanimirović, “The Total Mass and Dark Halo Properties of the Small Magellanic Cloud,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 395, no. 1 (May 2009): 342–350, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14514.x.
14. J. I. Read and D. Erkal, “Abundance Matching with the Mean Star Formation Rate: There Is No Missing Satellite Problem in the Milky Way above  $M_{200} \sim 10^9 M_\odot$ ,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 487, no. 4 (August 2019): 5799–5812, doi:10.1093/

- mnras/stz1320.
15. David L. Block et al., “An Almost Head-On Collision as the Origin of Two Off-Centre Rings in the Andromeda Galaxy,” *Nature* 443, no. 7113 (November 2006): 832–834, doi:10.1038/nature05184; Gary A. Welch and Leslie J. Sage, “The Interstellar Medium of M32,” *Astrophysical Journal* 557, no. 2 (August 20, 2000): 671–680, doi:10.1086/322266.
  16. K. M. Howley et al., “Internal Stellar Kinematics of M32 from the SPLASH Survey: Dark Halo Constraints,” *Astrophysical Journal* 765, no. 1 (March 1, 2013): id. 65, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/765/1/65.
  17. Garry W. Angus et al., “The Potential Role of NGC 205 in Generating Andromeda’s Vast Thin Corotating Plane of Satellite Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 462, no. 3 (November 1, 2016): 3221–3242, doi:10.1093/mnras/stw1822; I. De Looze et al., “Herschel\* and JCMT Observations of the Early-Type Dwarf Galaxy NGC 205,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 423, no. 3 (July 2012): 2359–2373, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21044.x.
  18. Mario Mateo, “Dwarf Galaxies of the Local Group,” *Annual Reviews of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 36 (September 1998): 435–506, doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.36.1.435.
  19. Richard Powell, “The Universe within 5 Million Light Years: The Galaxies of the Local Group,” An Atlas of the Universe, last updated July 30, 2006, atlasoftheuniverse.com/localgr.html.
  20. Joshua D. Simon and Marla Geha, “The Kinematics of the Ultra-Faint Milky Way Satellites: Solving the Missing Satellite Problem,” *Astrophysical Journal* 670, no. 1 (November 20, 2007): 313–331, doi:10.1086/521816.
  21. Alan W. McConnachie, “The Observed Properties of Dwarf Galaxies in and around the Local Group,” *Astronomical Journal* 144, no. 1 (July 1, 2012): id. 4, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/144/1/4.
  22. Joshua Simon, “Observing Dwarf Galaxies in the Local Universe,” *American Physical Society, April Meeting* 2016 61, no. 6 (presentation, Salt Lake City, UT, April 16–19, 2016): abstract id. E4.00002, meetings.aps.org/Meeting/APR16/Session/E4.2.
  23. Azadeh Fattahi et al., “Tidal Stripping and the Structure of Dwarf Galaxies in the Local Group,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 476, no. 3 (May 2018): 3816–3836, doi:10.1093/mnras/sty408; Qi Guo et al., “Further Evidence for a Population of Dark-Matter-Deficient Dwarf Galaxies,” *Nature Astronomy* 3 (March 2020): 246–251, doi:10.1038/s41550-019-0930-9; Andrew R. Wetzel, Erik J. Tollerud, and Daniel R. Weisz, “Rapid Environmental Quenching of Satellite Dwarf Galaxies in the Local Group,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 808, no. 1 (July 20, 2015): id. L27, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/808/1/L27; Christopher T. Garling et al., “The Case for Strangulation in Low-Mass Hosts: DDO 113,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 492, no. 2 (February 2020): 1713–1730, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz3526.
  24. Michelle L. M. Collins, “A Detailed Study of Andromeda XIX, an Extreme Local Analogue of Ultradiffuse Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 491, no. 3 (January 2020): 3496–3514, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz3252; F. Annibali et al., “The Smallest Scale of Hierarchy Survey (SSH) – I. Survey Description,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 491, no. 4 (February 2020): 5101–5125, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz3185.
  25. Azadeh Fattahi, Julio F. Navarro, and Carlos S. Frenk, “The Missing Dwarf Galaxies of the Local Group,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 493, no. 2 (April 2020): 2596–2605, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa375; Simon and Geha, “Ultra-Faint Milky Way Satellites,” 313–331.
  26. Annibali et al., “Smallest Scale of Hierarchy Survey,” 5101–5125.
  27. Shany Danieli, Pieter van Dokkum, and Charlie Conroy, “Hunting Faint Dwarf Galaxies in the Field Using Integrated Light Surveys,” *Astrophysical Journal* 856, no. 1 (March 20, 2018): id. 69, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaadfb; Fattahi, Navarro, and Frenk, “Missing Dwarf Galaxies,” 2596–2605.
  28. Azadeh Fattahi et al., “Galaxy Pairs in the Local Group,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 431, no. 1 (May 1, 2013): L73–L77, doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slt011.
  29. Alejandro Benítez-Llambay et al., “Dwarf Galaxies and the Cosmic Web,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 763, no. 2 (February 1, 2013): id. L41, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/763/2/L41.
  30. M. Bellazzini et al., “Dwarfs Walking in a Row: The Filamentary Nature of the NGC 3109 Association,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics: Letters* 559 (November 2013): id. L11, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201322744.
  31. Alis Deason, Andrew Wetzel, and Shea Garrison-Kimmel, “Satellite Dwarf Galaxies in a Hierarchical Universe: The Prevalence of Dwarf-Dwarf Major Mergers,” *Astrophysical Journal* 794, no. 2 (October 20, 2014): id. 115, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/794/2/115.
  32. Lulu Liu et al., “How Common Are the Magellanic Clouds?,” *Astrophysical Journal* 733, no. 1 (May 20, 2011): id. 62, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/733/1/62.
  33. Liu et al., “How Common Are the Magellanic Clouds?,” p. 3.
  34. Grzegorz Pietrzynski et al., “A Distance to the Large Magellanic Cloud that Is Precise to One Percent,” *Nature* 567, no. 7747 (March 14, 2019): 200–203, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0999-4.
  35. A. S. G. Robotham et al., “Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA): In Search of Milky Way Magellanic Cloud Analogues,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 424, no. 2 (August 1, 2012): 1448–1453, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21332.x.
  36. Dariusz Graczyk et al., “A Distance Determination to the Small Magellanic Cloud with an Accuracy of Better than Two Percent Based on Late-Type Eclipsing Binary Stars,” *Astrophysical Journal* 904, no. 1 (November 20, 2020): id. 13, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/abb2b.
  37. Sanjaya Paudel and C. Sengupta, “UGC 4703 Interacting Pair Near the Isolated Spiral Galaxy NGC 2718: A Milky Way Magellanic Cloud Analog,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 849, no. 2 (November 10, 2017): id. L28, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa95bf.
  38. Pietrzynski et al., “A Distance to the Large Magellanic Cloud.”
  39. Kenji Bekki et al., “Explaining the Mysterious Age Gap of Globular Clusters in the Large Magellanic Cloud,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 610, no. 2 (August 1, 2004): L93–L96, doi:10.1086/423372.
  40. Jonathan Diaz and Kenji Bekki, “Constraining the Orbital History of the Magellanic Clouds: A New Bound Scenario Suggested by the Tidal Origin of the Magellanic Stream,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 413, no. 3 (May 2011): 2015–2020, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18289.x; Kenji Bekki, “When Was the Large Magellanic Cloud Accreted on to the Galaxy?,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 416, no. 3 (September 2011): 2359–2367, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19211.x.
  41. K. Bechtol et al., “Eight New Milky Way Companions Discovered in First-Year Dark Energy Survey Data,” *Astrophysical Journal*

- 807, no. 1 (July 1, 2015): id. 50, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/50; Sergey E. Koposov et al., “Beasts of the Southern Wild: Discovery of Nine Ultra Faint Satellites in the Vicinity of the Magellanic Clouds,” *Astrophysical Journal* 805, no. 2 (June 1, 2015): id. 130, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/805/2/130.
42. A. J. Deason et al., “Satellites of LMC-Mass Dwarfs: Close Friendships Ruined by Milky Way Mass Haloes,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 453, no. 4 (November 11, 2015): 3568–3574, doi:10.1093/mnras/stv1939.
  43. Igor A. Zinchenko et al., “On the Influence of Minor Mergers on the Radial Abundance Gradient in Disks of Milky-Way-Like Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 806, no. 2 (June 20, 2015): id. 267, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/806/2/267.
  44. F. Hammer et al., “The Milky Way, an Exceptionally Quiet Galaxy: Implications for the Formation of Spiral Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 662, no. 1 (June 10, 2007): 322–334, doi:10.1086/516727; Rahul Shetty and Eve C. Ostriker, “Global Modeling of Spur Formation in Spiral Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 647, no. 2 (August 20, 2006): 997–1017, doi:10.1086/505594; Woong-Tae Kim and Eve C. Ostriker, “Formation of Spiral-Arm Spurs and Bound Clouds in Vertically Stratified Galactic Gas Disks,” *Astrophysical Journal* 646, no. 1 (July 20, 2006): 213–231, doi:10.1086/504677; C. L. Dobbs and I. A. Bonnell, “Spurs and Feathering in Spiral Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 367, no. 3 (April 2006): 873–878, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10146.x; E. A. Filistov, “Polygonal Structure of Spiral Galaxies,” *Astronomy Reports* 56, no. 1 (January 2012): 9–15, doi:10.1134/S1063772912010027.
  45. Robotham et al., “Galaxy and Mass Assembly,” 1448–1453; D. Crnojević et al., “How Unique Is the Local Group? A Comparison to the Nearby Centaurus A Group,” in *Galactic Archaeology: Near-Field Cosmology and the Formation of the Milky Way*, ed. Wakō Aoki, ASP Conference Series, vol. 458 (San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 2012), 321, aspbooks.org/publications/458/321.pdf.
  46. Gopalakrishnan Indu and Annapurni Subramaniam, “HI Kinematics of the Large Magellanic Cloud Revisited: Evidence of Possible Infall and Outflow,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 573 (January 2015): id. A136, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201321133; Stephen A. Pardy, Elena D’Onghia, and Andrew J. Fox, “Models of Tidally Induced Gas Filaments in the Magellanic Stream,” *Astrophysical Journal* 857, no. 2 (April 20, 2018): id. 101, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab95b; S. Lucchini et al., “The Magellanic Corona as the Key to the Formation of the Magellanic Stream,” *Nature* 585, no. 7824 (September 10, 2020): 203–206, doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2663-4; S. Lucchini et al., “The Magellanic Corona as the Key to the Formation of the Magellanic Stream,” *Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society* 53 (January 2021): e-id 2021ni434p06.
  47. Deason et al., “Satellites of LMC-Class Dwarfs,” 3568–3574; Dali Zhang, Yu Luo, and Xi Kang, “The Effect of the Large Magellanic Cloud on the Satellite Galaxy Population in Milky Way Analogous Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 486, no. 2 (June 2019): 2440–2448, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz957; Lucchini et al., “Magellanic Corona as the Key”; Eugene Vasiliev, Vasily Belokurov, and Denis Erkal, “Tango for Three: Sagittarius, LMC, and the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 501, no. 2 (February 2021): 2279–2304, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa3673.
  48. Cautun et al., “Aftermath of the Great Collision,” 2185–2196.
  49. Denis Erkal et al., “A Hypervelocity Star with a Magellanic Origin,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 483, no. 2 (February 2019): 2007–2013, doi:10.1093/mnras/sty2674; Alessia Gualandris and Simon Portegies Zwart, “A Hypervelocity Star from the Large Magellanic Cloud,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 376, no. 1 (March 2007): L29–L33, doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2007.00280.x.
  50. H. Boyce et al., “An Upper Limit on the Mass of a Central Black Hole in the Large Magellanic Cloud from the Stellar Rotation Field,” *Astrophysical Journal* 846, no. 1 (September 1, 2017): id. 14, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa830c.
  51. Robert M. Salow and Thomas S. Statler, “Self-Gravitating Eccentric Disk Models for the Double Nucleus of M31,” *Astrophysical Journal* 611, no. 1 (August 10, 2004): 245–269, doi:10.1086/422163.
  52. R. B. Menezes, J. E. Steiner, and T. V. Ricci, “Discovery of an H $\alpha$  Emitting Disk around the Supermassive Black Hole of M31,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 762, no. 2 (January 10, 2013): id. L29, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/762/2/L29.
  53. Ralf Bender et al., “HST STIS Spectroscopy of the Triple Nucleus of M31: Two Nested Disks in Keplerian Rotation around a Supermassive Black Hole,” *Astrophysical Journal* 631, no. 1 (September 20, 2005): 280–300, doi:10.1086/432434.
  54. Zhiyuan Li et al., “The Murmur of the Hidden Monster: *Chandra*’s Decadal View of the Supermassive Black Hole in M31,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 728, no. 1 (February 10, 2011): id. L10, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/728/1/L10.
  55. Li et al., “Murmur of the Hidden Monster,” p. 1.
  56. Roeland P. van der Marel et al., “Improved Evidence for a Black Hole in M32 from HST/FOS Spectra. II. Axisymmetric Dynamical Models\*,” *Astrophysical Journal* 493, no. 2 (February 1, 1998): 613–631, doi:10.1086/305147.
  57. Sijia Peng et al., “Resolving the Nuclear Radio Emission from M32 with the Very Large Array,” *Astrophysical Journal* 894, no. 1 (May 1, 2020): id. 61, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab855d.
  58. M. Loewenstein et al., “On the Nature of the X-Ray Emission from M32,” *Astrophysical Journal* 497, no. 2 (April 20, 1998): 681–688, doi:10.1086/305504.
  59. Block et al., “An Almost Head-On Collision,” 832–834.
  60. Karl Gebhardt et al., “M33: A Galaxy with No Supermassive Black Hole\*,” *Astronomical Journal* 122, no. 5 (November 1, 2001): 2469–2476, doi:10.1086/323481; David Merritt, Laura Ferrarese, and Charles L. Joseph, “No Supermassive Black Hole in M33?,” *Science* 293, no. 5532 (August 10, 2001): 1116–1118, doi:10.1126/science.1063896.
  61. Monica Valluri et al., “The Low End of the Supermassive Black Hole Mass Function: Constraining the Mass of a Nuclear Black Hole in NGC 205 via Stellar Kinematics,” *Astrophysical Journal* 628, no. 1 (July 20, 2005): 137–152, doi:10.1086/430752.
  62. Anil C. Seth et al., “The NGC 404 Nucleus: Star Cluster and Possible Intermediate-Mass Black Hole,” *Astrophysical Journal* 714, no. 1 (May 1, 2010): 713–731, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/713.
  63. Nadine Neumayer et al., “SINFONI on the Nucleus of Centaurus A,” *The Messenger* 139 (March 2010): 36–41.
  64. Marina Rejkuba, “The Distance to the Giant Elliptical Galaxy NGC 5128\*,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 413, no. 3 (January 2004): 903–912, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20034031.

## Chapter 7 – The Milky Way Galaxy Interior

1. Zhao-Zhou Li et al., “Constraining the Milky Way Mass Profile with Phase-Space Distribution of Satellite Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 894, no. 1 (May 1, 2020): id. 10, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab84f0; T. K. Fritz et al., “The Mass of Our Galaxy from Satellite Proper Motions in the *Gaia* Era,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 494, no. 4 (June 2020): 5178–5193, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1040; Thomas M. Callingham et al., “The Mass of the Milky Way from Satellite Dynamics,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 484, no. 4 (April 2019): 5453–5467; doi:10.1093/mnras/stz365; Ekta Patel et al., “Estimating the Mass of the Milky Way Using the Ensemble of Classical Satellite Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 857, no. 2 (April 20, 2018): id. 78, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab78f; Denis Erkal, Vasily A. Belokurov, and Daniel L. Parkin, “Equilibrium Models of the Milky Way Mass Are Biased High by the LMC,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 498, no. 4 (November 2020): 5574–5580, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa2840; Prajwal Raj Kafle et al., “On the Shoulders of Giants: Properties of the Stellar Halo and the Milky Way Mass Distribution,” *Astrophysical Journal* 794, no. 1 (October 10, 2014): id. 59, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/794/1/59; Paul J. McMillan, “The Mass Distribution and Gravitational Potential of the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 465, no. 1 (February 11, 2017): 76–94, doi:10.1093/mnras/stw2759; Prajwal Raj Kafle et al., “Kinematics of the Stellar Halo and the Mass Distribution of the Milky Way Using Blue Horizontal Branch Stars,” *Astrophysical Journal* 761, no. 2 (December 20, 2012): id. 98, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/761/2/98; Robert J. J. Grand et al., “The Effects of Dynamical Substructure on Milky Way Mass Estimates from the High-Velocity Tail of the Local Stellar Halo,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 487, no. 1 (July 2019): L72–L76, doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slz092.
2. McMillan, “Mass Distribution and Gravitational Potential,” 76–94.
3. Jonathan Sick et al., “The Stellar Mass of M31 as Inferred by the Andromeda Optical and Infrared Disk Survey,” *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 10, no. S311 (July 2014): 82–85, doi:10.1017/S1743921315003440.
4. Matteo Mazzarini et al., “Simulations of Satellite Tidal Debris in the Milky Way Halo,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 636 (April 2020): id. A106, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202037558.
5. Dorota M. Skowron et al., “A Three-Dimensional Map of the Milky Way Using Classical Cepheid Variable Stars,” *Science* 365, no. 6452 (August 2, 2019): 478–482, doi:10.1126/science.aau3181.
6. M. López-Corredoira et al., “Disk Stars in the Milky Way Detected beyond 25 kpc from Its Center,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics: Letters* 612 (April 2018): id. L8, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201832880.
7. E. S. Levine, Leo Blitz, and Carl Heiles, “The Spiral Structure of the Outer Milky Way in Hydrogen,” *Science* 312, no. 5781 (June 23, 2006): 1773–1777, doi:10.1126/science.1128455.
8. T. Piffl et al., “Constraining the Galaxy’s Dark Halo with RAVE Stars,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 445, no. 3 (December 11, 2014): 3133–3151, doi:10.1093/mnras/stu1948.
9. Alis J. Deason et al., “The Edge of the Galaxy,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 496, no. 3 (August 2020): 3929–3942, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1711.
10. Piffl et al., “Constraining the Galaxy’s Dark Halo,” 3133–3151.
11. Planck Collaboration, “Planck 2018 Results. VI. Cosmological Parameters,” submitted to *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, preprint, submitted July 17, 2018, revised September 20, 2019, arxiv.org/abs/1807.06209v2.
12. O. Cavichia, R. D. D. Costa, and W. J. Maciel, “Planetary Nebulae in the Inner Milky Way II. The Bulge-Disk Transition,” *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica* 47 (April 2011): 49–61, scielo.org.mx/pdf/rmaa/v47n1/v47n1a4.pdf.
13. Matthieu Portail et al., “Dynamical Modelling of the Galactic Bulge and Bar: The Milky Way’s Pattern Speed, Stellar and Dark Matter Mass Distribution,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 465, no. 2 (February 2017): 1621–1644, doi:10.1093/mnras/stw2819.
14. Elena Valenti et al., “Stellar Density Profile and Mass of the Milky Way Bulge from VVV Data\*,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics: Letters* 587 (March 2016): id. L6, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201527500.
15. Paul J. McMillan, “Mass Models of the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 414, no. 3 (July 2011): 2446–2457, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18564.x.
16. Carlos Allende Prieto, “The Stellar Population of the Thin Disk,” *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 5, no. S265 (August 2009): 304–312, doi:10.1017/S1743921310000785; Mario Jurić et al., “The Milky Way Tomography with SDSS. I. Stellar Number Density Distribution,” *Astrophysical Journal* 673, no. 2 (February 1, 2008): 864–914, doi:10.1086/523619.
17. Jurić et al., “Milky Way Tomography,” 864–914.
18. Jurić et al., “Milky Way Tomography.”
19. Chengdong Li and Gang Zhao, “The Evolution of the Galactic Thick Disk with the LAMOST Survey,” *Astrophysical Journal* 850, no. 1 (November 20, 2017): id. 25, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa93f4.
20. V. Grisoni et al., “The AMBRE Project: Chemical Evolution Models for the Milky Way Thick and Thin Discs,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 472, no. 3 (December 2017): 3637–3647, doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2201.
21. Grisoni et al., “The AMBRE Project,” 3637–3647; Sanjib Sharma et al., “The K2-HERMES Survey: Age and Metallicity of the Thick Disc,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 490, no. 4 (December 2019): 5335–5352, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz2861.
22. Grisoni et al., “The AMBRE Project,” 3637–3647.
23. A. C. Robin et al., “Constraining the Thick Disc Formation Scenario of the Milky Way,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 569 (September 2014): id. A13, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201423415.
24. M. Haywood et al., “Clues to the Formation of the Milky Way’s Thick Disk,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 579 (July 2015): id. A5, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201425459; Li and Zhao, “Evolution of the Galactic Thick Disk,” id. 25.
25. The GRAVITY Collaboration, “A Geometric Distance Measurement to the Galactic Center Black Hole with 0.3% Uncertainty,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 625 (May 2019): id. L10, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201935656.
26. J. Ted Mackereth and Jo Bovy, “Weighing the Stellar Constituents of the Galactic Halo with APOGEE Red Giant Stars,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 492, no. 3 (March 2020): 3631–3646, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa047; Alis J. Deason, Vasily Belokurov, and Jason L. Sanders, “The Total Stellar Halo Mass of the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*

- 490, no. 3 (December 2019): 3426–3439, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz2793.
27. Tetsuya Fukushima et al., “The Stellar Halo of the Milky Way Traced by Blue Horizontal-Branch Stars in the Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam Survey,” *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan* 71, no. 4 (August 2019): id. 72, doi:10.1093/pasj/psz052; Jurić et al., “Milky Way Tomography,” 864–914.
  28. Fukushima et al., “Stellar Halo of the Milky Way.”
  29. L. I. Mashonkina et al., “Abundances of  $\alpha$ -Process Elements in Thin-Disk, Thick-Disk, and Halo Stars of the Galaxy: Non-LTE Analysis,” *Astronomy Reports* 63, no. 9 (September 2019): 726–738, doi:10.1134/S1063772919090063.
  30. Ricardo P. Schiavon et al., “The Building Blocks of the Milky Way Halo Using APOGEE and Gaia or Is the Galaxy a Typical Galaxy?,” *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 14, no. S351 (2019): 170–173, doi:10.1017/S1743921319007889.
  31. Chris B. Brook et al., “Explaining the Chemical Trajectories of Accreted and *in-situ* Halo Stars of the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 495, no. 3 (July 2020): 2645–2651, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa992.
  32. Lydia M. Elias et al., “Cosmological Insights into the Assembly of the Radial and Compact Stellar Halo of the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 495, no. 1 (June 2020): 29–39, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1090.
  33. G. C. Myeong et al., “Evidence for Two Early Accretion Events that Built the Milky Way Stellar Halo,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 488, no. 1 (September 2019): 1235–1247, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz1770.
  34. Chervin F. P. Laporte et al., “Response of the Milky Way’s Disc to the Large Magellanic Cloud in a First Infall Scenario,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 473, no. 1 (January 2018): 1218–1230, doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2146; Kenji Bekki, “The Influences of the Magellanic Clouds on the Galaxy: Pole Shift, Warp and Star Formation History,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 422, no. 3 (May 2012): 1957–1974, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20621.x.
  35. François Hammer et al., “The Milky Way, an Exceptionally Quiet Galaxy: Implications for the Formation of Spiral Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 662, no. 1 (June 10, 2007): 322–334, doi:10.1086/516727.
  36. Hammer et al., “Exceptionally Quiet Galaxy,” 322–334.
  37. Hammer et al., “Exceptionally Quiet Galaxy.”
  38. Kambiz Fathi, “Scale Length of Disk Galaxies,” *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 6, no. S277 (2010): 317–320, doi:10.1017/S1743921311023027.
  39. Paul J. McMillan, “Mass Models of the Milky Way,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 414, no. 3 (July 2011): 2446–2457, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18564.x.
  40. Pauline Barbuy et al., “Dusty Waves on a Starry Sea: The Mid-Infrared View of M31,” *Astrophysical Journal* 650, no. 1 (October 10, 2006): L45–L49, doi:10.1086/508626.
  41. Hammer et al., “Exceptionally Quiet Galaxy,” 322–334.
  42. Daniela Carollo et al., “Two Stellar Components in the Halo of the Milky Way,” *Nature* 450, no. 7172 (December 13, 2007): 1020–1025, doi:10.1038/nature06460.
  43. Hammer et al., “Exceptionally Quiet Galaxy,” 322–334.
  44. Charlie Conroy et al., “Resolving the Metallicity Distribution of the Stellar Halo with the H3 Survey,” *Astrophysical Journal* 887, no. 2 (December 20, 2019): id. 237, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab5710; Branimir Sesar, Mario Jurić, and Željko Ivezić, “The Shape and Profile of the Milky Way Halo as Seen by the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey,” *Astrophysical Journal* 731, no. 1 (April 10, 2011): id. 4, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/4.
  45. Karoline M. Gilbert et al., “Global Properties of M31’s Stellar Halo from the SPLASH Survey. II. Metallicity Profile,” *Astrophysical Journal* 796, no. 2 (December 1, 2014): id. 76, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/796/2/76; Rodrigo A. Ibata et al., “The Large-Scale Structure of the Halo of the Andromeda Galaxy. I. Global Stellar Density, Morphology and Metallicity Properties,” *Astrophysical Journal* 780, no. 2 (January 10, 2014): id. 128, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/780/2/128.
  46. M. Mouhcine et al., “The Metallicities of Luminous, Massive Field Galaxies at Intermediate Redshifts,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 369, no. 2 (June 2006): 891–908, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10360.x.
  47. Sesar, Jurić, and Ivezić, “Shape and Profile of the Milky Way Halo,” id. 4.
  48. A. J. Deason et al., “Touching the Void: A Striking Drop in Stellar Halo Density beyond 50 kpc,” *Astrophysical Journal* 787, no. 1 (May 20, 2014): id. 30, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/787/1/30.
  49. Andreea S. Font et al., “The ARTEMIS Simulations: Stellar Haloes of Milky Way-Mass Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 498, no. 2 (October 2020): 1765, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa2463.
  50. Andreea S. Font et al., “Dynamics and Stellar Content of the Giant Southern Stream in M31. II. Interpretation,” *Astronomical Journal* 131, no. 3 (March 1, 2006): 1436–1444, doi:10.1086/499564; Andreea S. Font et al., “Phase-Space Distributions of Chemical Abundances in Milky Way-Type Galaxy Halos,” *Astrophysical Journal* 646, no. 2 (August 1, 2006): 886–898, doi:10.1086/505131.
  51. Igor A. Zinchenko et al., “On the Influence of Minor Mergers on the Radial Abundance Gradient in Disks of Milky Way-Like Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 806, no. 2 (June 20, 2015): id. 267, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/806/2/267.
  52. Christopher J. Conselice et al., “A Direct Measurement of Major Galaxy Mergers at  $z < \sim 3$ ,” *Astronomical Journal* 126, no. 3 (September 1, 2003): 1183–1207, doi:10.1086/377318; David L. Block et al., “An Almost Head-On Collision as the Origin of Two Off-Centre Rings in the Andromeda Galaxy,” *Nature* 443, no. 7113 (October 19, 2006): 832–834, doi:10.1038/nature05184; Gilbert et al., “Global Properties of M31’s Stellar Halo.”
  53. Benjamin L. Davis, Alister W. Graham, and Ewan Cameron, “Black Hole Mass Scaling Relations for Spiral Galaxies. I.  $M_{\text{BH}} - M_{*,\text{sph}}$ ,” *Astrophysical Journal* 873, no. 1 (March 1, 2019): id. 85, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaf3b8.
  54. Benjamin L. Davis, Alister W. Graham, and Marc S. Seigar, “Updating the (Supermassive Black Hole Mass)–(Spiral Arm Pitch Angle) Relation: A Strong Correlation for Galaxies with Pseudobulges,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 471, no. 2 (October 2017): 2187–2203, doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1794; Davis, Graham, and Cameron, “Black Hole Mass Scaling.”
  55. Benjamin L. Davis, Alister W. Graham, and Françoise Combes, “A Consistent Set of Empirical Scaling Relations for Spiral Galaxies: The  $(V_{\text{max}}, M_{\text{OM}}) - (\sigma_0, M_{\text{BH}}, \phi)$  Relations,” *Astrophysical Journal* 877, no. 1 (May 20, 2019): id. 64, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab1aa4.
  56. Si-Yue Yu and Luis C. Ho, “On the Correlation between Spiral Arm Pitch Angle and Galaxy Properties,” *Astrophysical Journal* 871, no.

- 2 (February 1, 2019): id. 194, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaf895.
57. Ross E. Hart et al., “Galaxy Zoo and SPARCFIRE: Constraints on Spiral Arm Formation Mechanisms from Spiral Arm Number and Pitch Angles,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 472, no. 2 (December 2017): 2263–2279, doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2137.
58. M. J. Reid et al., “Trigonometric Parallaxes of Massive Star-Forming Regions. VI. Galactic Structure, Fundamental Parameters, and Non-Circular Motions,” *Astrophysical Journal* 700, no. 1 (July 20, 2009): 137–148, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/700/1/137; V. V. Bobylev and A. T. Bajkova, “Estimation of the Pitch Angle of the Galactic Spiral Pattern,” *Astronomy Letters* 39, no. 11 (November 2013): 759–764, doi:10.1134/S1063773713110017.
59. Zhang Ming, Han Jin-lin, and Peng Qiu-he, “A Study on the Sagittarius-Carina Arm and the Halo Mass of Our Galaxy,” *Chinese Astronomy and Astrophysics* 26, no. 3 (July–September 2002): 267–275, doi:10.1016/S0275-1062(02)00066-8.
60. Bobylev and Bajkova, “Estimation of the Pitch Angle,” 759–764.
61. I explain why the relatively brief existence of advanced life requires that less-advanced precede it, existing continuously for a minimum of a few billion years, in *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 94–197.
62. Rita Tojeiro et al., “The Different Star Formation Histories of Blue and Red Spiral and Elliptical Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 432, no. 1 (June 11, 2013): 359–373, doi:10.1093/mnras/stt484.
63. S. Benetti et al., “Supernova 2002bo: Inadequacy of the Single Parameter Description,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 348, no. 1 (February 2004): 261–278, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07357.x; A. Di Paola et al., “Discovery of the Heavily Obscured Supernova 2002cv,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 393 (October 2002): L21–L24, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20021168.
64. Simon J. Mutch, Darren J. Croton, and Gregory B. Poole, “The Mid-Life Crisis of the Milky Way and M31,” *Astrophysical Journal* 736, no. 2 (August 1, 2011): id. 84, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/736/2/84.
65. Chris Flynn et al., “On the Mass-to-Light Ratio of the Local Galactic Disc and the Optical Luminosity of the Galaxy,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 372, no. 3 (November 2006): 1149–1160, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10911.x.
66. The GRAVITY Collaboration, “A Geometric Distance Measurement.”
67. Rosa A. González-Lópezlira et al., “The Relation between Globular Cluster Systems and Supermassive Black Holes in Spiral Galaxies: The Case Study of NGC 4258,” *Astrophysical Journal* 835, no. 2 (February 1, 2017): id. 184, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/835/2/184; Gretchen L. H. Harris, Gregory B. Poole, and William E. Harris, “Globular Clusters and Supermassive Black Holes in Galaxies: Further Analysis and a Larger Sample,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 438, no. 3 (March 1, 2014): 2117–2130, doi:10.1093/mnras/stt2337; Katherine L. Rhode, “Exploring the Correlations between Globular Cluster Populations and Supermassive Black Holes in Giant Galaxies,” *Astronomical Journal* 144, no. 5 (November 1, 2012): id. 154, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/144/5/154.
68. Stefano de Nicola, Alessandro Marconi, and Giuseppe Longo, “The Fundamental Relation between Supermassive Black Holes and Their Host Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 490, no. 1 (November 2019): 600–612, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz2472; G. Yang et al., “Evident Black Hole-Bulge Coevolution in the Distant Universe,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 485, no. 3 (May 2019): 3721–3737, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz611; John Kormendy and Luis C. Ho, “Coevolution (Or Not) of Supermassive Black Holes and Host Galaxies,” *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 51 (August 2013): 511–653, doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101811; Yohei Miki et al., “Hunting a Wandering Supermassive Black Hole in the M31 Halo Hermitage,” *Astrophysical Journal* 783, no. 2 (March 10, 2014): id. 87, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/783/2/87.
69. Alessandro Marconi and Leslie K. Hunt, “The Relation between Black Hole Mass, Bulge Mass, and Near-Infrared Luminosity,” *Astrophysical Journal* 589, no. 1 (May 20, 2003): L21–L24, doi:10.1086/375804.
70. Tuan Do et al., “Prospects for Measuring Supermassive Black Hole Masses with Future Extremely Large Telescopes,” *Astronomical Journal* 147, no. 4 (April 1, 2014): id. 93, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/147/4/93; Kayhan Gültekin et al., “The  $M-\sigma$  and  $M-L$  Relations in Galactic Bulges, and Determinations of Their Intrinsic Scatter,” *Astrophysical Journal* 698, no. 1 (June 10, 2009): 198–221, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/698/1/198.
71. Joel C. Berrier et al., “Further Evidence for a Supermassive Black Hole Mass-Pitch Angle Relation,” *Astrophysical Journal* 769, no. 2 (June 1, 2013): id. 132, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/769/2/132; Marc S. Seigar et al., “Discovery of a Relationship between Spiral Arm Morphology and Supermassive Black Hole Mass in Disk Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 678, no. 2 (May 10, 2008): L93–L96, doi:10.1086/588727.
72. Christopher Marsden et al., “The Case for the Fundamental  $M_{BH}-\sigma$ ,” *Frontiers in Physics* 8 (March 2020): id. 61, doi:10.3389/fphy.2020.00061; Alper K. Ateş, Can Battal Kılınç, and Cafer İbanoğlu, “On the  $M-\sigma$  Relationship and SMBH Mass Estimates of Selected Nearby Galaxies,” *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 2013, no. 3 (July 2013): 1–9, doi:10.4236/ijaa.2013.33A001.
73. Francesco Shankar et al., “Probing Black Hole Accretion Tracks, Scaling Relations, and Radiative Efficiencies from Stacked X-Ray Active Galactic Nuclei,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 493, no. 1 (March 2020): 1500–1511, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz3522.
74. Nandini Sahu, Alister W. Graham, and Benjamin L. Davis, “Revealing Hidden Substructures in the  $M_{BH}-\sigma$  Diagram, and Refining the Bend in the  $L-\sigma$  Relation,” *Astrophysical Journal* 887, no. 1 (December 10, 2019): id. 10, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab50b7.
75. Turgay Caglar et al., “LLAMA: The  $M_{BH}-\sigma$  Relation of the Most Luminous Local AGNs,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 634 (February 2020): id. A114, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201936321.
76. Kastytis Zubovas and Andrew R. King, “The  $M-\sigma$  Relation in Different Environments,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 426, no. 4 (November 11, 2012): 2751–2757, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21845.x.
77. Burçin Mutlu-Pakdil, Marc S. Seigar, and Benjamin L. Davis, “The Local Black Hole Mass Function Derived from the  $M_{BH}-P$  and the  $M_{BH}-n$  Relations,” *Astrophysical Journal* 830, no. 2 (October 20, 2016): id. 117, doi:10.3847/0004-637X/830/2/117; Y. Watabe et al., “Supermassive Black Hole Mass Regulated by Host Galaxy Morphology,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 400, no. 4 (December 2009): 1803–1807, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15345.x; Zubovas and King, “The  $M-\sigma$  Relation,” 2751–2757.
78. Markus Hartman et al., “The Effect of Bars on the  $M-\sigma_e$  Relation: Offset, Scatter, and Residuals Correlations,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 441, no. 2 (June 2014): 1243–1259, doi:10.1093/mnras/stu627; Sergei Nayakshin, Chris Power, and An-

- drew R. King, “The Observed  $M-\sigma$  Relations Imply that Super-Massive Black Holes Grow by Cold Chaotic Accretion,” *Astrophysical Journal* 753, no. 1 (July 1, 2012): id. 15, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/753/1/15.
79. Rachael L. Beaton et al., “Unveiling the Boxy Bulge and Bar of the Andromeda Spiral Galaxy,” *Astrophysical Journal* 658, no. 2 (April 1, 2007): L91–L94, doi:10.1086/514333.
  80. Kastytis Zubovas, Sergei Nayakshin, and Sera Markoff, “Sgr A\* Flares: Tidal Disruption of Asteroids and Planets?,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 421, no. 2 (April 10, 2012): 1315–1324, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20389.x.
  81. Zubovas, Nayakshin, and Markoff, “Sgr A\* Flares,” 1315–1324.
  82. Sergei Nayakshin, Sergey Sazonov, and Rashid Sunyaev, “Are Supermassive Black Holes Shrouded by ‘Super-Oort’ Clouds of Comets and Asteroids?,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 419, no. 2 (January 11, 2012): 1238–1247, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19777.x.
  83. Nayakshin, Sazonov, and Sunyaev, “Are Supermassive Black Holes Shrouded,” 1238–1247.
  84. Zubovas, Nayakshin, and Markoff, “Sgr A\* Flares,” 1315–1324.
  85. Lia Corrales et al., “Perils at the Heart of the Milky Way: Systematic Effects for Studying Low-Luminosity Accretion onto Sgr A\*,” *16th HEAD Meeting: Meeting Abstracts* (paper, American Astronomical Society, Sun Valley, Idaho, August 20–24, 2017,: id. 300.01, aas.org/sites/default/files/2019-11/head16-meeting-abstract-book.pdf).
  86. N. Nicole Sanchez et al., “Preferential Accretion in the Supermassive Black Holes of Milky Way-Size Galaxies Due to Direct Feeding by Satellites,” *Astrophysical Journal* 860, no. 1 (June 10, 2018): id. 20, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aac015.
  87. Meng Su, Tracy R. Slatyer, and Douglas P. Finkbeiner, “Giant Gamma-Ray Bubbles from *Fermi*-LAT: Active Galactic Nucleus Activity or Bipolar Galactic Wind?,” *Astrophysical Journal* 724, no. 2 (December 1, 2010): 1044–1082, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/724/2/1044.
  88. P. Predehl et al., “Detection of Large-Scale X-Ray Bubbles in the Milky Way Halo,” *Nature* 588, no. 7837 (December 10, 2020): 227–231, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2979-0.
  89. G. Ponti et al., “An X-Ray Chimney Extending Hundreds of Parsecs Above and Below the Galactic Centre,” *Nature* 567, no. 7748 (March 21, 2019): 347–350, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1009-6.
  90. Bruce G. Elmegreen, “GMC Origins and Turbulent Motions in Spiral and Dwarf Galaxies,” *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 8, no. S292 (2012): 35–38, doi:10.1017/S1743921313000197.
  91. C. L. Dobbs and I. A. Bonnell, “Spurs and Feathering in Spiral Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 367, no. 3 (April 2006): 873–878, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10146.x.
  92. Rahul Shetty and Eve C. Ostriker, “Global Modeling of Spur Formation in Spiral Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 647, no. 2 (August 20, 2006): 997–1017, doi:10.1086/505594; Woong-Tae Kim and Eve C. Ostriker, “Formation of Spiral-Arm Spurs and Bound Clouds in Vertically Stratified Galactic Gas Disks,” *Astrophysical Journal* 646, no. 1 (July 20, 2006): 213–231, doi:10.1086/504677; Dobbs and Bonnell, “Spurs and Feathering in Spiral Galaxies,” 873–878; S. Chakrabarti, G. Laughlin, F. H. Shu, “Branch, Spur, and Feather Formation in Spiral Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 596, no. 1 (October 10, 2003): 220–239, doi:10.1086/377578; Woong-Tae Kim and Eve C. Ostriker, “Formation and Fragmentation of Gaseous Spurs in Spiral Galaxies,” *Astrophysical Journal* 570, no. 1 (May 1, 2002): 132–151, doi:10.1086/339352.
  93. F. Renaud et al., “Beads on a String and Spurs in Galactic Disks,” in *Structure and Dynamics of Disk Galaxies*, ed. Marc S. Seigar and Patrick Treuthardt, ASP Conference Series, vol. 480 (San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 2014), 247–251, doi:10.48550/arXiv.1310.0082.
  94. Abraham Loeb, Rafael A. Batista, and David Sloan, “Relative Likelihood for Life as a Function of Cosmic Time,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 8 (August 2016): id. 040, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/08/040; Hugh Ross, “Are We Alone in the Cosmos?,” *Today’s New Reason to Believe* (blog), August 29, 2016, Reasons to Believe, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/are-we-alone-in-the-cosmos.

## Chapter 8 – The Local Arm

1. Mark J. Reid et al., “Trigonometric Parallaxes of High-Mass Star-Forming Regions: Our View of the Milky Way,” *Astrophysical Journal* 885, no. 2 (November 10, 2019): id. 131, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab4a11; Mark J. Reid et al., “Trigonometric Parallaxes of High Mass Star Forming Regions: The Structure and Kinematics of the Milky Way,” *Astrophysical Journal* 783, no. 2 (March 10, 2014): id. 130, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/783/2/130; Y. W. Wu et al., “Trigonometric Parallaxes of Star-Forming Regions in the Sagittarius Spiral Arm,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 566 (June 2014): id. A17, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201322765; Nobuyuki Sakai et al., “Noncircular Motions in the Outer Perseus Spiral Arm,” *Astrophysical Journal* 876, no. 1 (May 1, 2019): id. 30, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab12e0.
2. M. J. Reid et al., “Trigonometric Parallaxes of Massive Star-Forming Regions. VI. Galactic Structure, Fundamental Parameters, and Noncircular Motions,” *Astrophysical Journal* 700, no. 1 (July 20, 2009): 138, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/700/1/137.
3. N. Lodieu et al., “A 3D View of the Hyades Stellar and Sub-Stellar Population,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 623 (March 2019): id. A35, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201834045; Carl Melis et al., “A VLBI Resolution of the Pleiades Distance Controversy,” *Science* 345, no. 6200 (August 29, 2014): 1029–1032, doi:10.1126/science.1256101; F. van Leeuwen, “Parallaxes and Proper Motions for 20 Open Clusters as Based on the New Hipparcos Catalogue,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 497, no. 1 (April 2009): 209–242, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200811382.
4. Lodieu et al., “A 3D View of the Hyades.”
5. Shih-Yun Tang et al., “Characterization of Stellar and Substellar Members in the Coma Berenices Star Cluster,” *Astrophysical Journal* 862, no. 2 (August 1, 2018): id. 106, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aacb7a.
6. H. Bouy et al., “The Seven Sisters DANCE,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 577 (May 2015): id. A148, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201425019; P. A. B. Galli et al., “A Revised Moving Cluster Distance to the Pleiades Open Cluster,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 598 (February 2017): id. A48, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629239.
7. Masaru Shibata et al., “Constraint on the Maximum Mass of Neutron Stars Using GW170817 Event,” *Physical Review D* 100, no. 2 (July 15, 2019): id. 023015, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.023015.

8. Laura Kreidberg et al., “Mass Measurements of Black Holes in X-Ray Transients: Is There a Mass Gap?,” *Astrophysical Journal* 757, no. 1 (September 20, 2012): id. 36, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/757/1/36; Dawn M. Gelino and Thomas E. Harrison, “GRO J0422+32: The Lowest Mass Black Hole?,” *Astrophysical Journal* 599, no. 2 (December 20, 2003): 1254–1259, doi:10.1086/379311.
9. Łukasz Wyrzykowski and Ilya Mandel, “Constraining the Masses of Microlensing Black Holes and the Mass Gap with *Gaia* DR2,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 636 (April 2020): id. A20, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201935842.
10. Charles J. Hailey et al., “A Density Cusp of Quiescent X-Ray Binaries in the Central Parsec of the Galaxy,” *Nature* 556, no. 7699 (April 5, 2018): 70–73, doi:10.1038/nature25029; Mark R. Morris, “Bounteous Black Holes at the Galactic Center,” *Nature* 556, no. 7701 (April 19, 2018): 319–320, doi:10.1038/d41586-018-04341-8.
11. Feryal Özel et al., “The Black Hole Mass Distribution in the Galaxy,” *Astrophysical Journal* 725, no. 2 (December 20, 2010): 1918–2010, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/725/2/1918.
12. Kreidberg et al., “Mass Measurements of Black Holes”; Özel et al., “Black Hole Mass Distribution,” 1918–2010.
13. James C. A. Miller-Jones et al., “Cygnus X-1 Contains a 21-Solar Mass Black Hole—Implications for Massive Star Winds,” *Science* 371, no. 6533 (March 5, 2021): 1046–1049, doi:10.1126/science.abb3363.
14. Özel et al., “Black Hole Mass Distribution,” 1918–2010.
15. Elena Gallo et al., “ALMA Observations of A0620-00: Fresh Clues on the Nature of Quiescent Black Hole X-Ray Binary Jets,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 488, no. 1 (September 2019): 191–197, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz1634.
16. Thomas Rivinius et al., “A Naked-Eye Triple System with a Nonaccreting Black Hole in the Inner Binary,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 637 (May 2020): id. L3, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202038020.
17. William E. Harris, “Catalog of Parameters for Milky Way Globular Clusters: The Database,” McMaster University, last revised December 2010, physwww.mcmaster.ca/~harris/mwgc.dat.
18. H. Baumgardt et al., “No Evidence for Intermediate-Mass Black Holes in the Globular Clusters ω Cen and NGC 6624,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 488, no. 4 (October 2019): 5340–5351, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz2060; Ruggero de Vita, Michele Trenti, and Morgan MacLeod, “Wandering Off the Centre: A Characterization of the Random Motion of Intermediate-Mass Black Holes in Star Clusters,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 475, no. 2 (April 2018): 1574–1586, doi:10.1093/mnras/stx3261.
19. S. R. Kulkarni, Piet Hut, and Steve J. McMillan, “Stellar Black Holes in Globular Clusters,” *Nature* 364, no. 6436 (July 29, 1993): 421–423, doi:10.1038/364421a0; Steinn Sigurdsson and Lars Hernquist, “Primordial Black Holes in Globular Clusters,” *Nature* 364, no. 6436 (July 29, 1993): 423–425, doi:10.1038/364423a0.
20. Jay Strader et al., “Two Stellar-Mass Black Holes in the Globular Cluster M22,” *Nature* 490, no. 7418 (October 4, 2012): 71–73, doi:10.1038/nature11490.
21. Yue Zhao et al., “The MAVERIC Survey: A Hidden Pulsar and a Black Hole Candidate in ATCA Radio Imaging of the Globular Cluster NGC 6397,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 493, no. 4 (April 2020): 6033–6049, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa631.
22. Helmut A. Abt, “The Age of the Local Interstellar Bubble,” *Astronomical Journal* 141, no. 5 (May 1, 2011): id. 165, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/141/5/165.
23. N. Voglis, I. Stavropoulos, and C. Kalapotharakos, “Chaotic Motion and Spiral Structure in Self-Consistent Models of Rotating Galaxies,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 372, no. 2 (October 2006): 901–922, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10914.x.
24. W. S. Dias et al., “The Spiral Pattern Rotation Speed of the Galaxy and the Corotation Radius with *Gaia* DR2,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 486, no. 4 (July 2019): 5726–5736, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz1196.
25. Douglas A. Barros and Jacques R. D. Lépine, “A Minimum of Stellar Density at the Corotation Radius of the Milky Way Spiral Pattern,” in *Structure and Dynamics of Disk Galaxies*, ed. Marc S. Seigar and Patrick Treuthardt, ASP Conference Series, vol. 480 (San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 2014), 23–29.
26. Research Consortium on Nearby Stars, RECONS Census of Objects Nearer than 10 Parsecs, Georgia State University, last updated April 12, 2018, joy.chara.gsu.edu/RECONS/census.posted.htm; “M Stars within 100 Light-Years,” Sol Company, 2014, solstation.com/stars3/100-ms.htm.
27. Research Consortium, RECONS Census, joy.chara.gsu.edu/RECONS/census.posted.htm; “M Stars within 100 Light-Years,” solstation.com/stars3/100-ms.htm.
28. Jonathan D. Slavin, “Structures in the Interstellar Medium Caused by Supernovae: The Local Bubble,” in *Handbook of Supernovae*, ed. A. Alsabti and P. Murdin (Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2017), 2287–2299, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-21846-5\_14.
29. D. Breitschwerdt et al., “The Locations of Recent Supernovae Near the Sun from Modelling  $^{60}\text{Fe}$  Transport,” *Nature* 532, no. 7597 (April 6, 2016): 73–76, doi:10.1038/nature17424; B. Fuchs et al., “The Search for the Origin of the Local Bubble Redivivus,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 373, no. 3 (December 2006): 993–1003, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.11044.x.
30. B. C. Thomas et al., “Terrestrial Effects of Nearby Supernovae in the Early Pleistocene,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 826, no. 1 (July 20, 2016): id. L3, doi:10.3847/2041-8205/826/1/L3; A. L. Melott et al., “A Supernova at 50 pc: Effects on the Earth’s Atmosphere and Biota,” *Astrophysical Journal* 840, no. 2 (May 10, 2017): id. 105, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa6c57; Adrian L. Melott, Franciole Marinho, and Laura Paulucci, “Hypothesis: Muon Radiation Dose and Marine Megafaunal Extinction at the End-Pliocene Supernova,” *Astrobiology* 19, no. 6 (June 2019): 825–830, doi:10.1089/ast.2018.1902.
31. V. Pelgrims et al., “Modeling the Magnetized Local Bubble from Dust Data,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 636 (April 1, 2020): id. A17, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201937157.
32. M. I. R. Alves et al., “The Local Bubble: A Magnetic Veil to Our Galaxy,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 611 (April 2018): id. L5, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201832637.
33. Slavin, “Structures in the Interstellar Medium,” 2287–2299.
34. I. Gebauer et al., “The Local Bubble as a Cosmic-Ray Isotropizer,” *ASTRA Proceedings* 2 (July 2015): 1–3, doi:10.5194/ap-2-1-2015.
35. Donald P. Cox and Louise Helenius, “Flux-Tube Dynamics and a Model for the Origin of the Local Fluff,” *Astrophysical Journal* 583, no. 1 (January 20, 2003): 205–228, doi:10.1086/344926; Priscilla C. Frisch, “The Local Bubble and the Interstellar Material Near the

- Sun," *Space Science Reviews* 130 (June 2007): 1–4, doi:10.1007/s11214-007-9209-z.
36. Priscilla C. Frisch, "The Journey of the Sun," submitted for publication May 29, 1997, preprint, arXiv:astro-ph/9705231; Priscilla C. Frisch, "Characteristics of Nearby Interstellar Matter," *Space Science Reviews* 72, nos. 3–4 (May 1995): 499–592, doi:10.1007/BF00749006.
  37. Priscilla C. Frisch, "Interstellar Matter and the Boundary Conditions of the Heliosphere," *Space Science Reviews* 86, nos. 1–4 (July 1998): 107–126, doi:10.1023/A:1005067511216.
  38. B. Nordström et al., "The Geneva-Copenhagen Survey of the Solar Neighbourhood," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 418, no. 3 (May 2004): 989–1019, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20035959.
  39. Bjarne Rosenkilde Jørgensen, "The G Dwarf Problem: Analysis of a New Data Set," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 363 (November 2000): 947, aa.springer.de/papers/0363003/2300947.pdf.
  40. Nordström et al., "The Geneva-Copenhagen Survey," 989.
  41. Nordström et al., 989–1019; Jørgensen, "The G Dwarf Problem," 947–957.
  42. L. Casagrande et al., "New Constraints on the Chemical Evolution of the Solar Neighborhood and Galactic Disc(s)," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 530 (June 2011): id. A138, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201016276; M. Haywood, "Radial Mixing and the Transition between the Thick and Thin Galactic Discs," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 388, no. 3 (August 2008): 1175–1184, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13395.x.
  43. Ralph Schönrich and James Binney, "Chemical Evolution with Radial Mixing," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 396, no. 1 (June 2009): 203–222, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14750.x.
  44. Schönrich and Binney, "Chemical Evolution," 203–222.
  45. I. Minchev and B. Famaey, "A New Mechanism for Radial Migration in Galactic Disks: Spiral-Bar Resonance Overlap," *Astrophysical Journal* 722, no. 1 (October 10, 2010): 112–121, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/722/1/112.
  46. Yue Wang and Gang Zhao, "The Influence of Radial Stellar Migration on the Chemical Evolution of the Milky Way," *Astrophysical Journal* 769, no. 1 (May 20, 2013): id. 4, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/769/1/4.
  47. Or Graur et al., "LOSS Revisited. I. Unraveling Correlations between Supernova Rates and Galaxy Properties, as Measured in a Reanalysis of the Lick Observatory Supernova Search," *Astrophysical Journal* 837, no. 2 (March 10, 2017): id. 120, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa5eb8; Weidong Li et al., "Nearby Supernova Rates from the Lick Observatory Supernova Search – III. The Rate-Size Relation, and the Rates as a Function of Galaxy Hubble Type and Colour," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 412, no. 3 (April 2011): 1473–1507, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18162.x; Or Graur, Federica B. Bianco, and Maryam Modjaz, "A Unified Explanation for the Supernova Rate-Galaxy Mass Dependence Based on Supernovae Discovered in Sloan Galaxy Spectra," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 450, no. 1 (June 11, 2015): 905–925, doi:10.1093/mnras/stv713.
  48. Richard B. Firestone, "Observation of 23 Supernovae That Exploded <300 pc from Earth during the Past 300 kyr," *Astrophysical Journal* 789, no. 1 (July 1, 2014): id. 29, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/789/1/29.

## Chapter 9 – Interior Features of the Sun

1. M. Agostini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), "Simultaneous Precision Spectroscopy of *pp*, <sup>7</sup>Be, and *pep* Solar Neutrinos with Borexino Phase-II," *Physical Review D* 100, no. 8 (October 15, 2019): id. 082004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.082004.
2. The Borexino Collaboration, "Comprehensive Measurement of *pp*-Chain Solar Neutrinos," *Nature* 562, no. 7728 (October 25, 2018): 505–510, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0624-y; The Borexino Collaboration, "Neutrinos from the Primary Proton-Proton Fusion Process in the Sun," *Nature* 512, no. 7515 (August 28, 2014): 383–386, doi:10.1038/nature13702.
3. D. Jeschke et al., "Recent Results from Borexino," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 798, no. 1 (January 2017): id. 012114, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/798/1/012114; M. Pallavicini et al., "First Real-Time Detection of Solar pp Neutrinos by Borexino," *EPJ Web of Conferences* 121 (July 2016): id. 01001, doi:10.1051/epjconf/201612101001.
4. Marcelo Emilio et al., "Measuring the Solar Radius from Space during the 2003 and 2006 Mercury Transits," *Astrophysical Journal* 750, no. 2 (May 10, 2012): id. 135, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/750/2/135.
5. Mark S. Miesch et al., "Structure and Evolution of Giant Cells in Global Models of Solar Convection," *Astrophysical Journal* 673, no. 1 (January 20, 2008): 557–575, doi:10.1086/523838.
6. Rachel Howe, "Solar Interior Rotation and Its Variation," *Living Reviews in Solar Physics* 6, no. 1 (February 2009): id. 1, doi:10.12942/lrsp-2009-1; Ariane Schad and Markus Roth, "Inference of Solar Rotation from Perturbations of Acoustic Mode Eigenfunctions," *Astrophysical Journal* 890, no. 1 (February 10, 2020): id. 32, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab65ec.
7. P. Charbonneau et al., "Helioseismic Constraints on the Structure of the Solar Tachocline," *Astrophysical Journal* 527, no. 1 (December 10, 1999): 445–460, doi:10.1086/308050; M. Dikpati, "The Importance of the Solar Tachocline," *Advances in Space Research* 38, no. 5 (May 2006): 839–844, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2005.07.016.
8. Pavel A. Denissenkov, "A Model of Magnetic Braking of Solar Rotation That Satisfies Observational Constraints," *Astrophysical Journal* 719, no. 1 (August 10, 2010): 28–44, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/719/1/28.
9. P. A. Gilman, "The Tachocline and the Solar Dynamo," *Astronomische Nachrichten* 326, nos. 3–4 (April 2005): 208–217, doi:10.1002/asna.200410378.
10. A. Sule, G. Rüdiger, and R. Arlt, "A Numerical MHD Model for the Solar Tachocline with Meridional Flow," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 437, no. 3 (July 2005): 1061–1067, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20042086.
11. Sule, Rüdiger, and Arlt, "A Numerical MHD Model," 1061–1067.
12. Martin Asplund et al., "The Chemical Composition of the Sun," *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 47 (September 2009): 481–522, doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145222.
13. Aldo M. Serenelli et al., "New Solar Composition: The Problem with Solar Models Revisited," *Astrophysical Journal* 705, no. 2 (November 10, 2009): L123–L127, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/705/2/L123.
14. Maria Bergemann and Aldo Serenelli, "Solar Abundance Problem," in *Determination of Atmospheric Parameters of B-, A-, F-, and G-Type Stars*, ed. E. Niemczura, B. Smalley, and W. Pych, GeoPlanet: Earth and Planetary Sciences (Berlin: Springer, Cham, 2014):

- 245–258, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-06956-2\_21.
15. Francesco L. Villante, “Constraints on the Opacity Profile of the Sun from Helioseismic Observables and Solar Neutrino Flux Measurements,” *Astrophysical Journal* 724, no. 1 (November 20, 2010): 98–110, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/724/1/98.
  16. James E. Bailey et al., “A Higher-Than-Predicted Measurement of Iron Opacity at Solar Interior Temperatures,” *Nature* 517, no. 7532 (January 1, 2015): 56–59, doi:10.1038/nature14048.
  17. Núria Vinyoles et al., “A New Generation of Standard Solar Models,” *Astrophysical Journal* 835, no. 2 (February 1, 2017): id. 202, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/835/2/202.
  18. Anil K. Pradhan and Sultana N. Nahar, “Recalculation of Astrophysical Opacities: Overview, Methodology and Atomic Calculations,” in *Workshop on Astrophysical Opacities*, ed. Claudio Mendoza, Sylvaine Turck-Chièze, and James Colgan, ASP Conference Series, vol. 515 (San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 2018), 79–88.
  19. Borexino Collaboration, “Comprehensive Measurement of  $pp$ -Chain,” 505–510.
  20. K. Abe et al., “Solar Neutrino Measurements in Super-Kamiokande-IV,” *Physical Review D* 94, no. 5 (September 1, 2016): id. 052010, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.052010.
  21. B. Aharmim et al., “Combined Analysis of All Three Phases of Solar Neutrino Data from the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory,” *Physical Review C* 88, no. 2 (August 2013): id. 025501, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.025501.
  22. M. Agostini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), “Sensitivity to Neutrinos from the Solar CNO Cycle in Borexino,” *European Physical Journal C* 80 (November 26, 2020): id. 1091, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08534-2.
  23. Gioacchino Ranucci, “First Detection of Solar Neutrinos from the CNO Cycle with Borexino,” (presentation on behalf of the Borexino Collaboration, Neutrino 2020 Virtual Conference, June 23, 2020), [indico.fnal.gov/event/43209/contributions/187871/attachments/129210/158592/borexino\\_cno\\_neutrino2020.pdf](https://indico.fnal.gov/event/43209/contributions/187871/attachments/129210/158592/borexino_cno_neutrino2020.pdf).
  24. Ansgar Reiners, “Observations of Cool-Star Magnetic Fields,” *Living Reviews in Solar Physics* 9, no. 1 (December 2012): id. 1, doi:10.12942/lrsp-2012-1.
  25. Greg Kopp, “An Assessment of the Solar Irradiance Record for Climate Studies,” *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate* 4 (2014): id. A14, doi:10.1051/swsc/2014012.
  26. Timo Reinhold et al., “The Sun Is Less Active Than Other Solar-Like Stars,” *Science* 368, no. 6490 (May 1, 2020): 518–521, doi:10.1126/science.aay3821.
  27. M. Dasi-Espuig et al., “Modelling Total Solar Irradiance since 1878 from Simulated Magnetograms,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 570 (October 2014): id. A23, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201424290; M. Dasi-Espuig et al., “Reconstruction of Spectral Solar Irradiance since 1700 from Simulated Magnetograms,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 590 (June 2016): id. A63, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201527993.
  28. Ilya G. Usoskin, “A History of Solar Activity over Millennia,” *Living Reviews in Solar Physics* 14 (2017): id. 3, doi:10.1007/s41116-017-0006-9.
  29. C.-J. Wu et al., “Solar Total and Spectral Irradiance Reconstruction over the Last 9,000 Years,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 620 (December 2018): id. A120, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201832956; Usoskin, “History of Solar Activity.”
  30. Colin P. Johnstone, Michael Bartel, and Manuel Güdel, “The Active Lives of Stars: A Complete Description of Rotation and XUV Evolution of F, G, K, and M Dwarfs,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 649 (May 2021): id. A96, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202038407; Sylvaine Turck-Chièze, Laurent Piau, and Sébastien Couvidat, “The Solar Energetic Balance Revisited by Young Solar Analogs, Helioseismology, and Neutrinos,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 731, no. 2 (April 20, 2011): id. L29, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/731/2/L29; Ilídio Lopes and Joseph Silk, “Planetary Influence on the Young Sun’s Evolution: The Solar Neutrino Probe,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 435, no. 3 (November 1, 2013): 2109–2115, doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1427; K. Oláh et al., “Young Solar Type Active Stars: The TYC 2627-638-1 System,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 515 (June 2010): id. A81, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200912892.
  31. Wendy Stenzel, “Kepler by the Numbers—Mission Statistics,” infographic, NASA, October 30, 2018, [nasa.gov/kepler/missionstatistics](https://nasa.gov/kepler/missionstatistics).
  32. Hiroyuki Maehara et al., “Superflares on Solar-Type Stars,” *Nature* 485, no. 7399 (May 24, 2012): 478–481, doi:10.1038/nature11063.
  33. Maehara et al., “Superflares on Solar-Type Stars,” figure 2 on page 479.
  34. Elias Loomis, “On the Great Auroral Exhibition of Aug. 28th to Sept. 4th, 1859, and on Auroras Generally; 8th article,” *American Journal of Science* 96, s2-32 (November 1861): 318–335, doi:10.2475/ajs.s2-32.96.318.
  35. B. T. Tsurutani et al., “The Extreme Magnetic Storm of 1–2 September 1859,” *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* 108, no. A7 (2003): 1268–1275, doi:10.1029/2002JA009504.
  36. Stephen Battersby, “Core Concept: What Are the Chances of a Hazardous Solar Superflare?,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 116, no. 47 (November 19, 2019): 23368–23370, doi:10.1073/pnas.1917356116.
  37. Takuya Shibayama et al., “Superflares on Solar-Type Stars Observed with Kepler. I. Statistical Properties of Superflares,” *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 209, no. 1 (November 2013): id. 5, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/209/1/5.
  38. Hiroyuki Maehara et al., “Statistical Properties of Superflares on Solar-Type Stars Based on 1-Minute Cadence Data,” *Earth, Planets and Space* 67 (April 2015): id. 59, doi:10.1186/s40623-015-0217-z.
  39. Shibayama et al., “Superflares on Solar-Type Stars Observed.”
  40. Yuta Notsu et al., “Superflares on Solar-Type Stars Observed with Kepler II. Photometric Variability of Superflare-Generating Stars: A Signature of Stellar Rotation and Starspots,” *Astrophysical Journal* 771, no. 2 (July 10, 2013): id. 127, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/771/2/127.
  41. Yuta Notsu et al., “Do Kepler Superflare Stars Really Include Slowly Rotating Sun-Like Stars?—Results Using APO 3.5 m Telescope Spectroscopic Observations and Gaia-DR2 Data,” *Astrophysical Journal* 876, no. 1 (May 1, 2019): id. 58, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab14e6.
  42. A. McQuillan, T. Mazeh, and S. Aigrain, “Rotation Periods of 34,030 Kepler Main-Sequence Stars: The Full Autocorrelation Sample,” *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 211, no. 2 (April 2014): id. 24, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/211/2/24.
  43. Herschel B. Snodgrass and Roger K. Ulrich, “Rotation of Doppler Features in the Solar Photosphere,” *Astrophysical Journal* 351 (March 1, 1990): 309–316, doi:10.1086/168467.

44. Reinhold et al., “The Sun Is Less Active,” 519.
45. Reinhold et al., “The Sun Is Less Active,” 518–521.
46. Jinghua Zhang et al., “Solar-Type Stars Observed by LAMOST and Kepler,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 894, no. 1 (May 1, 2020): id. L11, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab8795.
47. Travis S. Metcalfe, “A Stellar Perspective on the Magnetic Future of the Sun,” *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union* 13, no. S340 (February 2018): 213–216, doi:10.1017/S1743921318000947.
48. J.-E. Solheim, “The Sunspot Cycle Length—Modulated by Planets?,” *Pattern Recognition in Physics* 1, no. 1 (December 4, 2013): 159–164, doi:10.5194/prp-1-159-2013.
49. Jennifer L. van Saders et al., “Weakened Magnetic Braking as the Origin of Anomalously Rapid Rotation in Old Field Stars,” *Nature* 529, no. 7585 (January 14, 2016): 181–184, doi:10.1038/nature16168; Travis S. Metcalfe, “The Sun’s Magnetic Midlife Crisis,” *Physics Today* 71, no. 6 (June 2018): 70–71, doi:10.1063/PT.3.3956.
50. Travis S. Metcalfe, Ricky Egeland, and Jennifer van Saders, “Stellar Evidence That the Solar Dynamo May Be in Transition,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 826, no. 1 (July 20, 2016): id. L2, doi:10.3847/2041-8205/826/1/L2; Travis S. Metcalfe and Jennifer van Saders, “Magnetic Evolution and the Disappearance of Sun-Like Activity Cycles,” *Solar Physics* 292, no. 9 (September 2017): id. 126, doi:10.1007/s11207-017-1157-5.
51. I describe and document this feature of the Sun’s orbit in my book *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 40–41.
52. I describe and document these and other narrow time windows crucial for global human civilization in my book *Weathering Climate Change: A Fresh Approach* (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2020), chapters 16–17.

## Chapter 10 – Our Planetary System

1. Michel Mayor and Didier Queloz, “A Jupiter-Mass Companion to a Solar-Type Star,” *Nature* 378, no. 6555 (November 23, 1995): 355–359, doi:10.1038/378355a0; R. Paul Butler and Geoffrey W. Marcy, “A Planet Orbiting 47 Ursae Majoris\*,” *Astrophysical Journal* 464, no. 2 (June 20, 1996): L153–L156, doi:10.1086/310102; Geoffrey W. Marcy and R. Paul Butler, “A Planetary Companion to 70 Virginis\*,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 464, no. 2 (June 20, 1996): L147–L151, doi:10.1086/310096.
2. Astronomers employ six different methods for detecting exoplanets: radial velocities, transits, gravitational microlensing, reflected light variations, direct imaging, and stellar flare echoes. Details are available in the following papers: Jonathan Lunine, Bruce Macintosh, and Stanton Peale, “The Detection and Characterization of Exoplanets,” *Physics Today* 62, no. 5 (May 2009): 46–51, doi:10.1063/1.3141941; Chris Mann et al., “A Framework for Planet Detection with Faint Light-Curve Echoes,” *Astronomical Journal* 156, no. 5 (May 2018): id. 200, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aadc5e; S. Chapinet et al., “A Compact System of Small Planets around a Former Red-Giant Star,” *Nature* 480, no. 7378 (December 22, 2011): 496–499, doi:10.1038/nature10631.
3. “Catalog,” Extrasolar Planets Encyclopaedia, Exoplanet TEAM, accessed September 4, 2021, exoplanet.eu/catalog/.
4. “Catalog,” accessed September 4, 2021.
5. Elisa V. Quintana et al., “An Earth-Sized Planet in the Habitable Zone of a Cool Star,” *Science* 344, no. 6181 (April 18, 2014): 277–280, doi:10.1126/science.1249403.
6. Kristen Menou, “Water-Trapped Worlds,” *Astrophysical Journal* 774, no. 1 (September 1, 2013): id. 51, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/774/1/51.
7. Allison Youngblood et al., “The MUSCLES Treasury Survey. IV. Scaling Relations for Ultraviolet, Ca II K, and Energetic Particle Fluxes from M Dwarfs,” *Astrophysical Journal* 843, no. 1 (July 1, 2017): id. 31, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa76dd.
8. Cecilia Garraffo et al., “The Threatening Magnetic and Plasma Environment of the TRAPPIST-1 Planets,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 843, no. 2 (July 19, 2017): id. L33, doi:10.3846/2041-8213/aa79ed.
9. Glyn Collinson et al., “The Electric Wind of Venus: A Global and Persistent ‘Polar Wind’-Like Ambipolar Electric Field Sufficient for the Direct Escape of Heavy Ionospheric Ions: Venus Has Potential,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 43 (June 2016): 5926–5934, doi:10.1002/2016GL068327.
10. Collinson et al., “The Electric Wind,” 5926–5934.
11. Hugh Ross, “Complex Life’s Narrow Requirements for Atmospheric Gases,” *Today’s New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, July 1, 2019, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/complex-life-s-narrow-requirements-for-atmospheric-gases; Hugh Ross, “Tiny Habitable Zones for Complex Life,” *Today’s New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, March 4, 2019, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/tiny-habitable-zones-for-complex-life; James Green et al., “When the Moon Had a Magnetosphere,” *Science Advances* 6, no. 42 (October 14, 2020): id. eabc0865, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abc0865.
12. Without adequate incident ultraviolet radiation on a planet, the synthesis of many life-essential biochemicals cannot occur. However, too much incident ultraviolet radiation will damage or destroy life. The range of orbital distances from the host star for an acceptable amount of incident ultraviolet radiation is much smaller than it is for liquid water to possibly exist on a planet’s surface. Furthermore, only for host stars that are virtually identical to the Sun is it possible for the ultraviolet and liquid water habitable zones to overlap at the same time. Jianpo Guo et al., “Habitable Zones and UV Habitable Zones around Host Stars,” *Astrophysics and Space Science* 325, no. 1 (January 2010): id. 25, doi:10.1007/s10509-009-0173-9; Andrea P. Buccino, Guillermo A. Lemarchand, and Pablo J. D. Mauas, “Ultraviolet Radiation Constraints around the Circumstellar Habitable Zones,” *Icarus* 183, no. 2 (August 2006): 491–503, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2006.03.007; Charles H. Lineweaver, Yeshe Fenner, and Brad K. Gibson, “The Galactic Habitable Zone and the Age Distribution of Complex Life in the Milky Way,” *Science* 303, no. 5654 (January 2, 2004): 59–62, doi:10.1126/science.1092322.
13. “Catalog,” accessed September 5, 2021.
14. Robin M. Canup, “Lunar-Forming Collisions with Pre-Impact Rotation,” *Icarus* 196, no. 2 (August 2008): 518–538, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2008.03.011; Randal C. Paniello, James M. D. Day, and Frédéric Moynier, “Zinc Isotopic Evidence for the Origin of the Moon,” *Nature* 490, no. 7420 (October 18, 2012): 376–379, doi:10.1038/nature11507; Seth A. Jacobson et al., “Highly Siderophile Elements in Earth’s Mantle as a Clock for the Moon-Forming Event,” *Nature* 508, no. 7494 (April 3, 2014): 84–87, doi:10.1038/nature13172; Hugh Ross, *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 48–57.

15. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021. Of the 17 planets, Kepler 42d and Kepler 1520b were not included in the average density calculation. The measured mass for Kepler 42d was an upper limit only, which if used to calculate the planet's density, yields a density greater than the densest element in the periodic table. Kepler 1520b's density measures less than 0.001 grams/cubic centimeter. Hence, it is a super-puff planet, not a rocky planet.
16. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
17. Michelle Kunimoto and Jaymie M. Matthews, "Searching the Entirety of Kepler Data. II. Occurrence Rate Estimates for FGK Stars," *Astronomical Journal* 159, no. 6 (June 1, 2020): id. 248, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ab88b0.
18. "Discovery: Exoplanet Catalog," Exoplanet Exploration Program and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory for NASA's Astrophysics Division, accessed September 6, 2021, exoplanets.nasa.gov/discovery/exoplanet-catalog/.
19. Joseph R. Schmitt et al., "Planet Hunters. VI. An Independent Characterization of KOI-351 and Several Long Period Planet Candidates from the *Kepler* Archival Data," *Astronomical Journal* 148, no. 2 (August 1, 2014): id. 28, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/148/2/28.
20. Stephen R. Kane, "The Impact of Stellar Distances on Habitable Zone Planets," *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 861, no. 2 (July 10, 2018): id. L21, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aad094.
21. "Discovery: Exoplanet Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
22. I also explain why in my book *Improbable Planet*, 43–93.
23. "Discovery: Exoplanet Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
24. Simon L. Grimm et al., "The Nature of the TRAPPIST-1 Exoplanets," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 613 (May 2018): id. A68, p. 1, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201732233.
25. J. M. Alcalá et al., "2MASS J15491331-3539118: A New Low-Mass Wide Companion of the GQ Lup System," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 635 (March 2020): id. L1, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201937309; Ya-Lin Wu et al., "An ALMA and MagAO Study of the Substellar Companion GQ Lup B\*," *Astrophysical Journal* 836, no. 2 (February 20, 2017): id. 223, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa5b96.
26. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
27. Jonathan Horner, James B. Gilmore, and Dave Waltham, "The Influence of Jupiter, Mars and Venus on Earth's Orbital Evolution," *Proceedings of the 15th Australian Space Research Conference* (Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, September 29–October 1, 2015), ed. Wayne Short and Graziella Caprarelli (Australia: National Space Society of Australia, 2016), 81, arxiv.org/pdf/1708.03448.pdf.
28. Mayor and Queloz, "A Jupiter-Mass Companion," 355–359; Butler and Marcy, "A Planet Orbiting 47 Ursae Majoris," L153–L156; Marcy and Butler, "A Planetary Companion to 70 Virginis," L147–L151.
29. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
30. Wei Zhu and Yanqin Wu, "The Super Earth–Cold Jupiter Relations," *Astronomical Journal* 156, no. 3 (September 1, 2018): id. 92, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aad22a.
31. Dong Lai and Bonan Pu, "Hiding Planets behind a Big Friend: Mutual Inclinations of Multi-Planet Systems with External Companions," *Astronomical Journal* 153, no. 1 (January 1, 2017): id. 42, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/153/1/42.
32. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
33. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
34. Aviv Ofir et al., "An Independent Planet Search in the *Kepler* Dataset," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 561 (January 2014): id. A103, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201220935.
35. Jessica E. Libby-Roberts et al., "The Featureless Transmission Spectra of Two Super-Puff Planets," *Astronomical Journal* 159, no. 2 (February 1, 2020): id. 57, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ab5d36; Sarah Millholland, "Tidally Induced Radius Inflation of Sub-Neptunes," *Astrophysical Journal* 886, no. 1 (November 20, 2019): id. 72, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab4c3f; Lile Wang and Fei Dai, "Dusty Outflows in Planetary Atmospheres: Understanding 'Super-Puffs' and Transmission Spectra of Sub-Neptunes," *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 873, no. 1 (March 1, 2019): id. L1, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab0653.
36. Zhu and Wu, "Super Earth–Cold Jupiter Relations," 4.
37. Zhu and Wu, 2.
38. Geoffrey W. Marcy et al., "Masses, Radii, and Orbits of Small *Kepler* Planets: The Transition from Gaseous to Rocky Planets," *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 210, no. 2 (February 2014): id. 20, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/210/2/20; Yanqin Wu and Yoram Lithwick, "Density and Eccentricity of *Kepler* Planets," *Astrophysical Journal* 772, no. 1 (July 20, 2013): id. 74, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/772/1/74.
39. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
40. Rachel B. Fernandes et al., "Hints for a Turnover at the Snow Line in the Giant Planet Occurrence Rate," *Astrophysical Journal* 874, no. 1 (March 20, 2019): id. 81, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab0300; Robert A. Wittenmyer, "The Anglo-Australian Planet Search XXIV: The Frequency of Jupiter Analogs," *Astrophysical Journal* 819, no. 1 (March 1, 2016): id. 28, doi:10.3847/0004-637X/819/1/28.
41. Karen Meech and Sean N. Raymond, "Origin of Earth's Water: Sources and Constraints," submitted December 9, 2019, chapter to appear in *Planetary Astrobiology*, ed. Victoria Meadows et al., arXiv:1912.04361.
42. Zhu and Wu, "Super Earth–Cold Jupiter Relations," p. 6.
43. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021.
44. "Catalog," accessed September 6, 2021. No planet masses greater than 30 times Jupiter's mass were included in the calculations since nearly all astronomers acknowledge such massive planets are in fact brown dwarf stars.
45. I have written extensively about planetary habitable zones in these articles and books: Hugh Ross, "Tiny Habitable Zones for Complex Life," *Today's New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, March 4, 2019, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/tiny-habitable-zones-for-complex-life; Hugh Ross, "Complex Life's Narrow Requirements for Atmospheric Gases," *Today's New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, July 1, 2019, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/complex-life-s-narrow-requirements-for-atmospheric-gases; Hugh Ross, *Weathering Climate Change: A Fresh Approach* (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2020), 174–177; Ross, *Improbable Planet*, 81–93.
46. Dave Waltham, "Testing Anthropic Selection: A Climate Change Example," *Astrobiology* 11, no. 2 (March 2011): 105–114,

- doi:10.1089/ast.2010.0475; Russell Deitrick et al., “Exo-Milankovitch Cycles. II. Climates of G-Dwarf Planets in Dynamically Hot Systems,” *Astronomical Journal* 155, no. 6 (June 1, 2018): id. 266, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aac214; Ross, *Weathering Climate Change*, 109–116.
47. Dave Waltham, “The Large-Moon Hypothesis: Can It Be Tested?,” *International Journal of Astrobiology* 5, no. 4 (October 2006): 327–331, doi:10.1017/S1473550406003120; Dave Waltham, “Half a Billion Years of Good Weather: Gaia or Good Luck?,” *Astronomy and Geophysics* 48, no. 3 (June 2007): 3.22–3.24, doi:10.1111/j.1468-4004.2007.48322.x.
  48. “Catalog,” accessed September 6, 2021.
  49. Barbara E. McArthur et al., “New Observational Constraints on the  $\nu$  Andromedae System with Data from the *Hubble Space Telescope* and Hobby-Eberly Telescope,” *Astrophysical Journal* 715, no. 2 (June 1, 2010): 1203–1220, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/715/2/1203; S. Curiel et al., “A Fourth Planet Orbiting  $\nu$  Andromedae,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 525 (January 2011): id. A78, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201015693.
  50. McArthur et al., “New Observational Constraints,” 1203–1220; Danielle Piskorz et al., “Detection of Water Vapor in the Thermal Spectrum of the Non-Transiting Hot Jupiter Upsilon Andromedae b,” *Astronomical Journal* 154, no. 2 (August 1, 2017): id. 78, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aa7dd8.
  51. McArthur et al., “New Observational Constraints,” 1203–1220; Piskorz et al., “Detection of Water Vapor.”
  52. Russell Deitrick et al., “The Three-Dimensional Architecture of the  $\nu$  Andromedae Planetary System,” *Astrophysical Journal* 798, no. 1 (January 1, 2015): id. 46, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/46.
  53. “Catalog,” accessed September 6, 2021.
  54. “Catalog,” accessed September 6, 2021.
  55. “Catalog,” accessed September 7, 2021.

## Chapter 11 – Planetary Migration and Orbital Configuration

1. “Catalog,” Extrasolar Planets Encyclopaedia, Exoplanet TEAM, accessed September 6, 2021, exoplanet.eu/catalog/.
2. Hidekazu Tanaka, Taku Takeuchi, and William R. Ward, “Three-Dimensional Interaction between a Planet and an Isothermal Gaseous Disk. I. Corotation and Lindblad Torques and Planet Migration,” *Astrophysical Journal* 565, no. 2 (February 1, 2002): 1257–1274, doi:10.1086/324713; Gennaro D’Angelo and Stephen H. Lubow, “Three-Dimensional Disk-Planet Torques in Locally Isothermal Disk,” *Astrophysical Journal* 724, no. 1 (November 20, 2010): 730–747, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/724/1/730; C. Yu et al., “Type I Planet Migration in Nearly Laminar Disks: Long-Term Behavior,” *Astrophysical Journal* 712, no. 1 (March 20, 2010): 198–208, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/712/1/198.
3. Sahl Rowther and Farzana Meru, “Planet Migration in Self-Gravitating Discs: Survival of Planets,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 496, no. 2 (August 2020): 1598–1609, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1590; Shigeru Ida et al., “A New and Simple Prescription for Planet Orbital Migration and Eccentricity Damping by Planet-Disc Interactions Based on Dynamical Friction,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 494, no. 4 (June 2020): 5666–5674, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1073; Alissa Bans, Arieh Königl, and Ana Uribe, “Type I Planet Migration in a Magnetized Disk. II. Effect of Vertical Angular Momentum Transport,” *Astrophysical Journal* 802, no. 1 (March 20, 2015): id. 55, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/802/1/55.
4. Jürgen Blum and Gerhard Wurm, “The Growth Mechanisms of Macroscopic Bodies in Protoplanetary Disks,” *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 46 (September 2008): 21–56, doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145152; Chamkor Singh and Marco G. Mazza, “Early-Stage Aggregation in Three-Dimensional Charged Granular Gas,” *Physical Review E* 97, no. 2 (February 2018): id. 022904, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.97.022904.
5. M. C. Wyatt, “Resonant Trapping of Planetesimals by Planet Migration: Debris Disk Clumps and Vega’s Similarity to the Solar System,” *Astrophysical Journal* 598, no. 2 (December 1, 2003): 1321–1340, doi:10.1086/379064; A. Del Popolo, S. Yeşilyurt, and E. N. Ercan, “Evolution of Planetesimal Discs and Planetary Migration,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 339, no. 2 (February 2003): 556–568, doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06194.x; Clément Baruteau, Farzana Meru, and Sijme-Jan Paardekooper, “Rapid Inward Migration of Planets Formed by Gravitational Instability,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 416, no. 3 (September 2011): 1971–1982, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19172.x.
6. Andrew J. Winter et al., “Stellar Clustering Shapes the Architecture of Planetary Systems,” *Nature* 586, no. 7830 (October 22, 2020): 528–532, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2800-0.
7. Isamu Matsuyama, Doug Johnstone, and Norman Murray, “Halting Planet Migration by Photoevaporation from the Central Source,” *Astrophysical Journal* 585, no. 2 (March 10, 2003): L143–L146, doi:10.1086/374406.
8. Aurélien Crida, Frédéric Masset, and Alessandro Morbidelli, “Long Range Outward Migration of Giant Planets, with Application to Fomalhaut b,” *Astrophysical Journal* 705, no. 2 (November 10, 2009): L148–L152, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/705/2/L148.
9. Kevin J. Walsh and Alessandro Morbidelli, “The Effect of an Early Planetesimal-Driven Migration of the Giant Planets on Terrestrial Planet Formation,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 526 (February 2011): id. A126, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201015277.
10. David Nesvorný, “Young Solar System’s Fifth Giant Planet?,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 742, no. 2 (December 1, 2011): id. L22, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/742/2/L22; David Nesvorný and Alessandro Morbidelli, “Statistical Study of the Early Solar System’s Instability with Four, Five, and Six Giant Planets,” *Astronomical Journal* 144, no. 4 (October 1, 2012): id. 117, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/144/4/117; Konstantin Batygin, Michael E. Brown, and Hayden Betts, “Instability-Driven Dynamical Evolution Model of a Primordially Five-Planet Outer Solar System,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 744, no. 1 (January 1, 2012): id. L3, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/744/1/L3; Konstantin Batygin and Michael E. Brown, “Evidence for a Distant Giant Planet in the Solar System,” *Astronomical Journal* 151, no. 1 (February 2016): id. 22, doi:10.3847/0004-6256/151/2/22; Benjamin C. Bromley and Scott J. Kenyon, “Making Planet Nine: A Scattered Giant in the Outer Solar System,” *Astrophysical Journal* 826, no. 1 (July 20, 2016): id. 64, doi:10.3847/0004-637X/826/1/64; Konstantin Batygin et al., “The Planet Nine Hypothesis,” *Physics Reports* 805 (May 3, 2019): 1–53, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2019.01.009; Matthew S. Clement and Nathan A. Kaib, “Orbital Precession in the Distant Solar System: Further Constraining the Planet Nine Hypothesis with Numerical Simulations,” *Astronomical Journal* 159, no. 6 (June 2020): id. 285, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ab9227; Hugh Ross, “What Does a Ninth Planet Mean for the Creation Model?,” *Today’s New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons

- to Believe, September 5, 2016, [reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/what-does-a-ninth-planet-mean-for-the-creation-model](http://reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/what-does-a-ninth-planet-mean-for-the-creation-model).
11. Matthew S. Clement et al., "Mars' Growth Stunted by an Early Giant Planet Instability," *Icarus* 311 (September 1, 2018): 340–356, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2018.04.008; Matthew S. Clement, Sean N. Raymond, and Nathan A. Kaib, "Excitation and Depletion of the Asteroid Belt in the Early Instability Scenario," *Astronomical Journal* 157, no. 1 (January 1, 2019): id. 38, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aaf21e; Rogerio Deienno et al., "Excitation of a Primordial Cold Asteroid Belt as an Outcome of Planetary Instability," *Astrophysical Journal* 864, no. 1 (September 1, 2018): id. 50, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aad55d; R. Brasser et al., "Constructing the Secular Architecture of the Solar System II: The Terrestrial Planets," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 507, no. 2 (November 2009): 1053–1065, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200912878; Walsh and Morbidelli, "The Effect of an Early Planetesimal-Driven Migration"; Nesvorný and Morbidelli, "Statistical Study of the Early Solar System's Instability"; Rogerio Deienno et al., "Constraining the Giant Planets' Initial Configuration from Their Evolution: Implications for the Timing of the Planetary Instability," *Astronomical Journal* 153, no. 4 (April 1, 2017): id. 153, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aa5eaa.
  12. Clement, Raymond, and Kaib, "Excitation and Depletion of the Asteroid Belt," id. 38.
  13. Rogerio Deienno et al., "Is the Grand Tack Model Compatible with the Orbital Distribution of Main Belt Asteroids?," *Icarus* 272 (July 1, 2016): 114–124, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2016.02.043.
  14. R. Brasser et al., "Analysis of Terrestrial Planet Formation by the Grand Tack Model: System Architecture and Tack Location," *Astrophysical Journal* 821, no. 2 (April 20, 2016): id. 75, doi:10.3847/0004-637X/821/2/75.
  15. Raúl O. Chametla et al., "Capture and Migration of Jupiter and Saturn in Mean Motion Resonance in a Gaseous Protoplanetary Disc," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 492, no. 4 (March 2020): 6007–6018, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa260.
  16. Patryk Sofia Lykawka and Takashi Ito, "Constraining the Formation of the Four Terrestrial Planets in the Solar System," *Astrophysical Journal* 883, no. 2 (October 1, 2019): id. 130, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab3b0a.
  17. A. Toliou, A. Morbidelli, and K. Tsiganis, "Magnitude and Timing of the Giant Planet Instability: A Reassessment from the Perspective of the Asteroid Belt," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 592 (August 2016): id. A72, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201628658; R. Brasser, K. J. Walsh, and D. Nesvorný, "Constraining the Primordial Orbits of the Terrestrial Planets," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 433, no. 4 (August 21, 2013): 3417–3427, doi:10.1093/mnras/stt986.
  18. R. G. Strom, S. Marchi, and R. Malhotra, "Ceres and the Terrestrial Planets Impact Cratering Record," *Icarus* 302 (March 1, 2018): 104–108, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2017.11.013; S. Marchi et al., "High-Velocity Collisions from the Lunar Cataclysm Recorded in Asteroidal Meteorites," *Nature Geoscience* 6, no. 4 (April 2013): 303–307, doi:10.1038/ngeo1769; William F. Bottke et al., "An Archaean Heavy Bombardment from a Destabilized Extension of the Asteroid Belt," *Nature* 486, no. 7396 (May 3, 2012): 78–82, doi:10.1038/nature10967; David A. Minton, James E. Richardson, and Caleb I. Fassett, "Re-Examining the Main Asteroid Belt as the Primary Source of Ancient Lunar Craters," *Icarus* 247 (February 2015): 172–190, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2014.10.018.
  19. Andrew Shannon, Alan P. Jackson, and Mark C. Wyatt, "Oort Cloud Asteroids: Collisional Evolution, the Nice Model, and the Grand Tack," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 485, no. 4 (June 2019): 5511–5518, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz776.
  20. Rafael de Sousa Ribeiro et al., "Dynamical Evidence for an Early Giant Planet Instability," *Icarus* 339 (March 15, 2020): id. 113605, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2019.113605.
  21. Konstantin Batygin and Greg Laughlin, "Jupiter's Decisive Role in the Inner Solar System's Early Evolution," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 112, no. 14 (April 7, 2015): 4214–4217, doi:10.1073/pnas.1423252112.
  22. Batygin and Laughlin, "Jupiter's Decisive Role," 4214.
  23. Edwin S. Kite and Eric B. Ford, "Habitability of Exoplanet Waterworlds," *Astrophysical Journal* 864, no. 1 (September 1, 2018): id. 75, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aad6e0; Fergus Simpson, "Bayesian Evidence for the Prevalence of Waterworlds," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 468, no. 3 (July 2017): 2803–2815, doi:10.1093/mnras/stx516; Nadejda Marounina and Leslie A. Rogers, "Hot and Steamy, Cold and Icy, or Temperate and Habitable: Modeling the Early Evolution of Water World Exoplanets," *American Astronomical Society: Division for Extreme Solar Systems* 51 (August 2019): id. 321.02, ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ESS.....432102M/abstract; Simon L. Grimm et al., "The Nature of the TRAPPIST-1 Exoplanets," *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 613 (May 2018): id. A68, p. 1, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201732233.
  24. Mikhail Marov, "The Formation and Evolution of the Solar System," *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Planetary Science* (May 24, 2018): id. 2, doi:10.1093/acrefore/9780190647926.013.2; H. Lammer et al., "Constraining the Early Evolution of Venus and Earth through Atmospheric Ar, Ne Isotope and Bulk K/U Ratios," *Icarus* 339 (March 15, 2020): id. 113551, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2019.113551; A. Morbidelli et al., "Building Terrestrial Planets," *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 40 (May 2012): 251–275, doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-042711-105319; Francis M. McCubbin and Jessica J. Barnes, "Origin and Abundances of H<sub>2</sub>O in the Terrestrial Planets, Moon, and Asteroids," *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 526 (November 15, 2019): id. 115771, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115771.
  25. Gerrit Budde, Christoph Burkhardt, and Thorsten Kleine, "Molybdenum Isotopic Evidence for the Late Accretion of Outer Solar System Material to Earth," *Nature Astronomy* 3, no. 8 (August 2019): 736–741, doi:10.1038/s41550-019-0779-y.
  26. Sean N. Raymond and Andre Izidoro, "Origin of Water in the Inner Solar System: Planetesimals Scattered Inward during Jupiter and Saturn's Rapid Gas Accretion," *Icarus* 297 (November 15, 2017): 134–148, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2017.06.030; Thomas S. Kruijer et al., "Age of Jupiter Inferred from the Distinct Genetics and Formation Times of Meteorites," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 114, no. 26 (June 27, 2017): 6712–6716, doi:10.1073/pnas.1704461114; Kevin J. Walsh et al., "A Low Mass for Mars from Jupiter's Early Gas-Driven Migration," *Nature* 475, no. 7355 (July 14, 2011): 206–209, doi:10.1038/nature10201; Gerrit Budde et al., "Molybdenum Isotopic Evidence for the Origin of Chondrules and a Distinct Genetic Heritage of Carbonaceous and Non-Carbonaceous Meteorites," *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 454 (November 15, 2016): 293–303, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.09.020.
  27. C. M. O'D. Alexander et al., "The Provenances of Asteroids, and Their Contributions to the Volatile Inventories of the Terrestrial Planets," *Science* 337, no. 6095 (August 10, 2012): 721–723, doi:10.1126/science.1223474; Adam R. Sarafian et al., "Early Accretion of Water in the Inner Solar System from a Carbonaceous Chondrite-Like Source," *Science* 346, no. 6209 (October 31, 2014): 623–626, doi:10.1126/science.1256717; Lydia J. Hallis et al., "Evidence for Primordial Water in Earth's Deep Mantle," *Science* 350, no. 6262

- (November 13, 2015): 795–797, doi:10.1126/science.aac4834; Jun Wu et al., “Origin of Earth’s Water: Chondritic Inheritance Plus Nebular Ingassing and Storage of Hydrogen in the Core,” *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 123, no. 10 (October 2018): 2691–2712, doi:10.1029/2018JE005698.
28. Hongping Deng et al., “Primordial Earth Mantle Heterogeneity Caused by the Moon-Forming Giant Impact?,” *Astrophysical Journal* 887, no. 2 (December 20, 2019): id. 211, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab50b9; A. V. Byalko and M. I. Kuzmin, “Fragments of the Moon Formation: Geophysical Consequences of the Giant Impact,” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* 129, no. 4 (December 2019): 511–520, doi:10.1134/S1063776119100182; John B. Biersteker and Hilke E. Schlichting, “Losing Oceans: The Effects of Composition on the Thermal Component of Impact-Driven Atmospheric Loss,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 501, no. 1 (February 2021): 587–595, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa3614.
  29. Batygin and Laughlin, “Jupiter’s Decisive Role,” 4214–4217.
  30. “Catalog,” accessed March 18, 2021.
  31. “Catalog,” accessed March 18, 2021.
  32. E. I. Chiang, D. Fischer, and E. Thommes, “Excitation of Orbital Eccentricities of Extrasolar Planets by Repeated Resonance Crossings,” *Astrophysical Journal* 564, no. 2 (January 10, 2002): L105–L109, iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1086/338961/pdf.
  33. Chiang, Fischer, and Thommes, “Excitation of Orbital Eccentricities,” L105–L109.
  34. Daniel Malmberg, Melvyn B. Davies, and Douglas C. Heggie, “The Effects of Fly-Bys on Planetary Systems,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 411, no. 2 (February 2011): 859–877, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17730.x; Daohai Li, Alexander J. Mustill, and Melvyn B. Davies, “Flyby Encounters between Two Planetary Systems II: Exploring the Interactions of Diverse Planetary System Architectures,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 496, no. 2 (August 2020): 1149–1165, doi:10.1093/mnras/staa1622.
  35. Chameta et al., “Capture and Migration of Jupiter and Saturn,” 6007.
  36. K. S. Noll et al., “HST Spectroscopic Observations of Jupiter after the Impact of Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9,” *Science* 267, no. 5202 (March 3, 1995): 1307–1313, doi:10.1126/science.7871428; Andrew P. Ingersoll and Hiroo Kanamori, “Waves from the Collisions of Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9 with Jupiter,” *Nature* 374, no. 6524 (April 20, 1995): 706–708, doi:10.1038/374706a0.
  37. T. A. Michtchenko and S. Ferraz-Mello, “Resonant Structure of the Outer Solar System in the Neighborhood of the Planets,” *Astronomical Journal* 122, no. 1 (July 1, 2001): 474–481, doi:10.1086/321129.
  38. Russell Deitrick et al., “Exo-Milankovitch Cycles. I. Orbits and Rotation States,” *Astronomical Journal* 155, no. 2 (February 1, 2018): id. 60, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aaa301; Russell Deitrick et al., “Exo-Milankovitch Cycles. II. Climates of G-Dwarf Planets in Dynamically Hot Systems,” *Astronomical Journal* 155, no. 6 (June 1, 2018): id. 266, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aac214; Hugh Ross, *Weathering Climate Change: A Fresh Approach* (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2020), 81–94.
  39. J. Laskar and M. Gastineau, “Existence of Collisional Trajectories of Mercury, Mars and Venus with the Earth,” *Nature* 459, no. 7248 (June 11, 2009): 817–819, doi:10.1038/nature08096; Jacques Laskar, “Chaotic Diffusion in the Solar System,” *Icarus* 196, no. 1 (July 2008): 1–15, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2008.02.017.
  40. Kimmo Innanen, Seppo Mikkola, and Paul Wiegert, “The Earth-Moon System and the Dynamical Stability of the Inner Solar System,” *Astronomical Journal* 116, no. 4 (October 1998): 2055–2057, doi:10.1086/300552.
  41. Jonathan Horner, James B. Gilmore, and Dave Waltham, “The Role of Jupiter in Driving Earth’s Orbital Evolution: An Update,” in *Proceedings of the 14th Australian Space Research Conference* (University of South Australia, Adelaide, South Australia, September 29–October 1, 2014), ed. Wayne Short and Iver Cairns (Australia: National Space Society of Australia, 2016), 25–38, arxiv.org/pdf/1511.06043.pdf.
  42. Ross, *Weathering Climate Change*, 81–93, 143–147, 167–177, 229–238.
  43. Jonathan Horner, James B. Gilmore, and Dave Waltham, “The Influence of Jupiter, Mars and Venus on Earth’s Orbital Evolution,” in *Proceedings of the 15th Australian Space Research Conference* (Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, September 29–October 1, 2015), ed. Wayne Short and Graziella Caprarelli (Australia: National Space Society of Australia, 2016), 81–100, arxiv.org/pdf/1708.03448.pdf.

## Chapter 12 – Small Solar System Bodies

1. Rebecca G. Martin et al., “Asteroid Belt Survival through Stellar Evolution: Dependence on the Stellar Mass,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 494, no. 1 (May 2020): L17–L21, doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slaa030; Xavier P. Koenig and Lori E. Allen, “Disk Evolution in W5: Intermediate-Mass Stars at 2–5 Myr,” *Astrophysical Journal* 726, no. 1 (January 1, 2011): id. 18, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/726/1/18; A. Meredith Hughes, Gaspard Duchêne, and Brenda C. Matthews, “Debris Disks: Structure, Composition, and Variability,” *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 56 (September 2018): 541–591, doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-052035.
2. Farisa Y. Morales et al., “Common Warm Dust Temperatures around Main-Sequence Stars,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 730, no. 2 (April 1, 2011): id. L29, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/730/2/L29; D. E. Trilling et al., “Debris Disks around Sun-Like Stars,” *Astrophysical Journal* 674, no. 2 (February 20, 2008): 1086–1105, doi:10.1086/525514.
3. J. S. Greaves and M. C. Wyatt, “Debris Discs and Comet Populations around Sun-Like Stars: The Solar System in Context,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 404, no. 4 (June 2010): 1944–1951, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16415.x; Trilling et al., “Debris Disks,” 1086–1105.
4. Greaves and Wyatt, “Debris Discs and Comet Populations,” 1944–1951.
5. Rebecca G. Martin and Mario Livio, “On the Formation and Evolution of Asteroid Belts and Their Potential Significance for Life,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* 428, no. 1 (January 2013): L11–L15, doi:10.1093/mnrasl/sls003.
6. “Catalog,” Extrasolar Planets Encyclopaedia, Exoplanet TEAM, accessed August 6, 2020, exoplanet.eu/catalog/.
7. Martin and Livio, “On the Formation and Evolution,” L11–L15.
8. Martin and Livio, “On the Formation and Evolution.”
9. E. V. Pitjeva and N. P. Pitjev, “Masses of the Main Asteroid Belt and the Kuiper Belt from the Motions of Planets and Spacecraft,”

- Astronomy Letters* 44, nos. 8–9 (August 2018): 554–566, doi:10.1134/S1063773718090050; G. A. Krasinsky et al., “Hidden Mass in the Asteroid Belt,” *Icarus* 158, no. 1 (July 2002): 98–105, doi:10.1006/icar.2002.6837.
10. J. L. Elliot et al., “The Deep Ecliptic Survey: A Search for Kuiper Belt Objects and Centaurs. II. Dynamical Classification, the Kuiper Belt Plane, and the Core Population,” *Astronomical Journal* 129, no. 2 (February 2005): 1117–1162, doi:10.1086/427395.
  11. Matthew S. Tiscareno and Renu Malhorta, “The Dynamics of Known Centaurs,” *Astronomical Journal* 126, no. 6 (December 2003): 3122–3131, doi:10.1086/379554.
  12. Scott S. Sheppard et al., “A Wide-Field CCD Survey for Centaurs and Kuiper Belt Objects,” *Astronomical Journal* 120, no. 5 (November 2000): 2687–2694, doi:10.1086/316805.
  13. Chadwick A. Trujillo, David C. Jewitt, and Jane X. Luu, “Population of the Scattered Kuiper Belt,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 529, no. 2 (February 1, 2000): L103–L106, doi:10.1086/312467; Pitjeva and Pitjev, “Main Asteroid Belt and the Kuiper Belt,” 554–66.
  14. Luke Dones et al., “Origin and Evolution of the Cometary Reservoirs,” *Space Science Reviews* 197 (November 2015): 191–269, doi:10.1007/s11214-015-0223-2.
  15. Harold F. Levison et al., “Origin of the Structure of the Kuiper Belt during a Dynamical Instability in the Orbits of Uranus and Neptune,” *Icarus* 196, no. 1 (July 2008): 258–273, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2007.11.035.
  16. Joseph M. Hahn, “The Secular Evolution of the Primordial Kuiper Belt,” *Astrophysical Journal* 595, no. 1 (September 20, 2003): 531–549, doi:10.1086/377195; Scott J. Kenyon and Jane X. Luu, “Accretion in the Early Kuiper Belt. II. Fragmentation,” *Astronomical Journal* 118, no. 2 (August 1999): 1101–1119, doi:10.1086/300969.
  17. A. Di Ruscio et al., “Analysis of *Cassini* Radio Tracking Data for the Construction of INPOP19a: A New Estimate of the Kuiper Belt Mass,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 640 (August 2020): id. A7, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202037920.
  18. Levison et al., “Secular Evolution of Kuiper Belt,” 531–49.
  19. David Nesvorný, “Jumping Neptune Can Explain the Kuiper Belt Kernel,” *Astronomical Journal* 150, no. 3 (September 2015): id. 68, doi:10.1088/0004-6256/150/3/68; A. Morbidelli, H. S. Gaspar, and D. Nesvorný, “Origin of the Peculiar Eccentricity Distribution of the Inner Cold Kuiper Belt,” *Icarus* 232 (April 2014): 81–87, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2013.12.023; Wesley C. Fraser et al., “The Absolute Magnitude Distribution of Kuiper Belt Objects,” *Astrophysical Journal* 782, no. 2 (February 20, 2014): id. 100, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/782/2/100; Elliot et al., “Deep Ecliptic Survey,” 1117–1162; Levison et al., “Secular Evolution of Kuiper Belt,” 531–549.
  20. J. A. Correa-Otto and M. F. Calandra, “Stability in the Most External Region of the Oort Cloud: Evolution of the Ejected Comets,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 490, no. 2 (December 2019): 2495–2506, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz2671.
  21. Paul R. Weissman, “The Mass of the Oort Cloud,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 118, no. 1 (February 1983): 90–94, articles.adsabs.harvard.edu/pdf/1983A%26A...118...90W.
  22. Giorgi Kokaia and Melvyn B. Davies, “Stellar Encounters with Giant Molecular Clouds,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 489, no. 4 (November 2019): 5165–5180, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz813.
  23. Paul R. Weissman and Harold F. Levison, “Origin and Evolution of the Unusual Object 1996 PW: Asteroids from the Oort Cloud?,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 488, no. 2 (October 20, 1997): L133–L136, doi:10.1086/310940.
  24. D. Hutsemékers et al., “Isotopic Abundances of Carbon and Nitrogen in Jupiter-Family and Oort Cloud Comets,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics: Letters* 440, no. 2 (September 2005): L21–L24, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:200500160; Takafumi Ootsubo et al., “Grain Properties of Oort Cloud Comets: Modeling the Mineralogical Composition of Cometary Dust from Mid-Infrared Emission Features,” *Planetary and Space Science* 55, no. 9 (June 2007): 1044–1049, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2006.11.012; Michael J. Mumma et al., “Parent Volatiles in Comet 9P/Tempel 1: Before and After Impact,” *Science Express* 310, no. 5746 (October 14, 2005): 270–274, doi:10.1126/science.1119337.
  25. Laurette Piani et al., “Earth’s Water May Have Been Inherited from Material Similar to Enstatite Meteorites,” *Science* 369, no. 6507 (August 28, 2020): 1110–1113, doi:10.1126/science.aba1948.
  26. Cheng Chen et al., “Late Delivery of Nitrogen to the Earth,” *Astronomical Journal* 157, no. 2 (February 1, 2019): id. 80, doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aaf96a.
  27. Philip A. Bland and Natalya A. Artemieva, “The Rate of Small Impacts on Earth,” *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 41, no. 4 (April 2006): 607–631, doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2006.tb00485.x.
  28. Heather Pringle, “New Respect for Metal’s Role in Ancient Arctic Cultures,” *Science* 277, no. 5327 (August 8, 1997): 766–767, doi:10.1126/science.277.5327.766.
  29. Donald W. Davis, “Sub-Million-Year Age Resolution of Precambrian Igneous Events by Thermal Extraction-Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometer Pb Dating of Zircon: Application to Crystallization of the Sudbury Impact Melt Sheet,” *Geology* 36, no. 5 (May 2008): 383–386, doi:10.1130/G234502A.1; Joseph A. Petrus, Doreen E. Ames, and Balz S. Kamber, “On the Track of the Elusive Sudbury Impact: Geochemical Evidence for a Chondrite or Comet Bolide,” *Terra Nova* 27, no. 1 (February 2015): 9–20, doi:10.1111/ter.12125.
  30. Tom Jewiss, “The Mining History of the Sudbury Area,”  *Rocks and Minerals in Canada* (Spring 1983), posted by Earth Science Museum, University of Waterloo, accessed September 3, 2021, uwaterloo.ca/earth-sciences-museum/resources/mining-canada/mining-history-sudbury-area.
  31. Jewiss, “Mining History of the Sudbury Area.”
  32. Jason Kirk et al., “The Origin of Gold in South Africa,” *American Scientist* 91, no. 6 (November 2003): 534–541, doi:10.1511/2003.38.907. A pdf is available at [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/240968643\\_The-Origin\\_of\\_Gold\\_in\\_South\\_Africa](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/240968643_The-Origin_of_Gold_in_South_Africa).
  33. Kirk et al., “Gold in South Africa,” 534–541.
  34. Wolf Uwe Reimold et al., “Economic Mineral Deposits in Impact Structures: A Review,” in *Impact Tectonics*, ed. Christian Koeberl and Herbert Henkel (Berlin: Springer, January 2005), 479–552, doi:10.1007/3-540-27548-7\_20.
  35. I provide detailed descriptions of these events in Hugh Ross, *Weathering Climate Change: A Fresh Approach* (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2020), 129–133, 143–147, 153–161, 229–238.
  36. James Goff et al., “The Eltanin Asteroid Impact: Possible South Pacific Palaeomegatsunami Footprint and Potential Implications for the Pliocene-Pleistocene Transition,” *Journal of Quaternary Science* 27, no. 7 (October 2012): 660–670, doi:10.1002/jqs.2571; Ross,

- Weathering Climate Change*, 130–133.
37. Winfried H. Schwarz et al., “Coeval Ages of Australasian, Central American and Western Canadian Tektites Reveal Multiple Impacts 790 ka Ago,” *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 178 (April 1, 2016): 307–319, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.12.037; Richard A. Muller, “Avalanches at the Core-Mantle Boundary,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 29, no. 19 (October 12, 2002): 41–1–41–4, doi:10.1029/2002GL015938; Aaron J. Cavosie et al., “New Clues from Earth’s Most Elusive Impact Crater: Evidence of Reidite in Australasian Tektites from Thailand,” *Geology* 46, no. 3 (March 1, 2018): 203–206, doi:10.1130/G39711.1; Kerry Sieh et al., “Australasian Impact Crater Buried under the Bolaven Volcanic Field, Southern Laos,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 117, no. 3 (January 21, 2020): 1346–1353, doi:10.1073/pnas.1904368116; Ross, *Weathering Climate Change*, 229–238.
  38. Kurt H. Kjær et al., “A Large Impact Crater beneath Hiawatha Glacier in Northwest Greenland,” *Science Advances* 4, no. 11 (November 14, 2018): id. eaar8173, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aar8173; James H. Wittke et al., “Evidence for the Deposition of 10 Million Tonnes of Impact Spherules across Four Continents 12,800 Y Ago,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 110, no. 23 (June 4, 2013): E2088–E2097, doi:10.1073/pnas.1301760110; Ross, *Weathering Climate Change*, 153–161.
  39. Hugh Ross, *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 119–197.

### Chapter 13 – The Lunar Interior

1. “219 Planet Moons,” Go Astronomy, March 2021, go-astronomy.com/planets/planet-moons.htm, access date September 3, 2021.
2. Mark A. Wieczorek et al., “The Constitution and Structure of the Lunar Interior,” *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 60, no. 1 (January 2006): 221–364, doi:10.2138/rmg.2006.60.3.
3. I provide a detailed description of the Moon-forming event in *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 48–58.
4. J. O. Dickey et al., “Lunar Laser Ranging: A Continuing Legacy of the Apollo Program,” *Science* 265, no. 5171 (July 22, 1994): 482–490, doi:10.1126/science.265.5171.482.
5. Kimmo Innanen, Seppo Mikkola, and Paul Wiegert, “The Earth-Moon System and the Dynamical Stability of the Inner Solar System,” *Astronomical Journal* 116, no. 4 (October 1998): 2055–2057, doi:10.1086/300552.
6. Simon J. Lock, Sarah T. Stewart, and Matija Ćuk, “The Energy Budget and Figure of Earth during Recovery from the Moon-Forming Giant Impact,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 530 (January 15, 2020): id. 115885, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115885; Natsuki Hosono et al., “Terrestrial Magma Ocean Origin of the Moon,” *Nature Geoscience* 12, no. 6 (June 2019): 418–423, doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0354-2.
7. Lock, Stewart, and Ćuk, “Energy Budget and Figure of Earth”; Hosono et al., “Terrestrial Magma Ocean Origin,” 418–423.
8. Lock, Stewart, and Ćuk; Hosono et al., 418–423.
9. Dave Waltham, “Anthropic Selection for the Moon’s Mass,” *Astrobiology* 4, no. 4 (Winter 2004): 460–461, doi:10.1089/ast.2004.4.460.
10. J. Laskar and P. Robutel, “The Chaotic Obliquity of the Planets,” *Nature* 361, no. 6413 (February 18, 1993): 608–612, doi:10.1038/361608a0.
11. Gongjie Li and Konstantin Batygin, “On the Spin-Axis Dynamics of a Moonless Earth,” *Astrophysical Journal* 790, no. 1 (July 20, 2014): id. 69, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/790/1/69; Laskar and Robutel, “Chaotic Obliquity of the Planets,” 608–612; Neil F. Comins, *What If the Moon Didn’t Exist?: Voyages to Earths That Might Have Been* (New York: Harper Perennial, 1995).
12. André Berger, “Obliquity and Precession for the Last 5,000,000 Years,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 51, no. 1 (July 1976): 127–135, researchgate.net/publication/234372369\_Obliquity\_and\_precession\_for\_the\_last\_5\_000\_000\_years.
13. Waltham, “Anthropic Selection,” 463.
14. D. Waltham, “Our Large Moon Does Not Stabilize Earth’s Axis,” *EPSC Abstracts* 8, (European Planetary Science Congress, University College London, London, UK, September 8–13, 2013), id. EPSC2013-37, davidwaltham.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/EPSC2013-37.pdf; Waltham, “Anthropic Selection,” 460–461.
15. Dave Waltham, “Half a Billion Years of Good Weather: Gaia or Good Luck?,” *Astronomy and Geophysics* 48, no. 3 (June 2007): 3.22–3.24, doi:10.1111/j.1468-4004.2007.48322.x; Dave Waltham, “The Large-Moon Hypothesis: Can It Be Tested,” *International Journal of Astrobiology* 5, no. 4 (October 2006): 327–331, doi:10.1017/S1473550406003120.
16. Meng-Hua Zhu et al., “Reconstructing the Late-Accretion History of the Moon,” *Nature* 571, no. 7764 (July 11, 2019): 226–229, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1359-0.
17. Zhu et al., “The Late-Accretion History,” 226–229; James M. D. Day, “Low Retention of Impact Material by the Moon,” *Nature* 571, no. 7764 (July 11, 2019): 177–178, doi:10.1038/d41586-019-02066-w.
18. Laura R. Prugh and Christopher D. Golden, “Does Moonlight Increase Predation Risk? Meta-Analysis Reveals Divergent Responses of Nocturnal Mammals to Lunar Cycles,” *Journal of Animal Ecology* 83, no. 2 (March 2014): 504–514, doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12148; M. S. Palmer et al., “A ‘Dynamic’ Landscape of Fear: Prey Responses to Spatiotemporal Variations in Predation Risk across the Lunar Cycle,” *Ecology Letters* 20, no. 11 (November 2017): 1364–1373; Hugh Ross, “Lunar Designs Optimize Life for Both Predators and Prey,” *Today’s New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, October 23, 2018, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/lunar-designs-optimize-life-for-both-predators-and-prey.
19. Xiao Tang et al., “Estimation of Lunar FeO Abundance Based on Imaging by LRO Diviner,” *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* 16, no. 2 (February 2016): id. 24, doi:10.1088/1674-4527/16/2/024; M. Naito et al., “Iron Distribution of the Moon Observed by the Kaguya Gamma-Ray Spectrometer: Geological Implications for the South Pole-Aitken Basin, the Orientale Basin, and the Tycho Crater,” *Icarus* 310 (August 2018): 21–31, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2017.12.005; O. L. Kuskov, E. V. Kronrod, and V. A. Kronrod, “Geochemical Constraints on the Cold and Hot Models of the Moon’s Interior: 1-Bulk Composition,” *Solar System Research* 52, no. 6 (November 2018): 467–479, doi:10.1134/S0038094618060047; Jiachao Liu and Jie Li, “Solidification of Lunar Core from Melting Experiments on the Fe-Ni-S System,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 530 (January 2020): id. 115834, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115834.
20. V. Viswanathan et al., “Observational Constraint on the Radius and Oblateness of the Lunar Core-Mantle Boundary,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 46, no. 13 (July 2019): 7295–7303, doi:10.1029/2019GL082677.

21. Viswanathan et al., “Observational Constraint,” 7295–7303.
22. Viswanathan et al., “Observational Constraint,” 7295.
23. Hélène Piet, James Badro, and Philippe Gillet, “Geochemical Constraints on the Size of the Moon-Forming Giant Impact,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 44, no. 23 (December 16, 2017): 11,770–11,777, doi:10.1002/2017GL075225.
24. Hongping Deng et al., “Primordial Earth Mantle Heterogeneity Caused by the Moon-Forming Giant Impact?,” *Astrophysical Journal* 887, no. 2 (December 20, 2019): id. 211, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab50b9.
25. Erick J. Cano, Zachary D. Sharp, and Charles K. Shearer, “Distinct Oxygen Isotope Compositions of the Earth and Moon,” *Nature Geoscience* 13, no. 4 (April 2020): 270–274, doi:10.1038/s41561-020-0550-0.
26. Mark A. Wieczorek et al., “The Crust of the Moon as Seen by GRAIL,” *Science* 339, no. 6120 (February 8, 2013): 671–675, doi:10.1126/science.1231530.
27. Wieczorek et al., “Crust of the Moon,” 671–675; Philippe Lognonné, Jeannine Gagnepain-Beyneix, and Hugues Chenet, “A New Seismic Model of the Moon: Implications for Structure, Thermal Evolution, and Formation of the Moon,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 211, nos. 1–2 (June 15, 2003): 27–44, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(03)00172-9; A. Khan and K. Mosegaard, “An Inquiry into the Lunar Interior: A Nonlinear Inversion of the Apollo Lunar Seismic Data,” *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 107, no. E6 (June 2002): id. 5036, doi:10.1029/2001JE001658.
28. Albert Einstein, “Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie,” *Annalen der Physik* 49 (1916): 769–822. The English translation is in Albert Einstein, Herman Minkowski, H. A. Lorentz, and Hermann Weyl, *The Principle of Relativity: A Collection of Original Memoirs on the Special and General Theory of Relativity*, notes by A. Sommerfeld and trans. by W. Perrett and G. B. Jeffrey (London: Methuen and Co., 1923), 109–164.
29. Einstein, “Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie.”
30. Frank Watson Dyson, Arthur Stanley Eddington, and C. Davidson, “IX. A Determination of the Deflection of Light by the Sun’s Gravitational Field, from Observations Made at the Total Eclipse of May 29, 1919,” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series A* 220 (January 1, 1920): 291–333, doi:10.1098/rsta.1920.0009.
31. Saeid Mighani et al., “The End of the Lunar Dynamo,” *Science Advances* 6, no. 1 (January 1, 2020): id. eaax0883, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax0883.
32. V. N. Zharkov, “On the History of the Lunar Orbit,” *Solar System Research* 34, no. 1 (January 2000): p. 1, ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000SoSyR...34....1Z/abstract.
33. James Green et al., “When the Moon Had a Magnetosphere,” *Science Advances* 6, no. 42 (October 14, 2020): id. eabc0865, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abc0865; science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abc0865.
34. John A. Tarduno et al., “Absence of a Long-Lived Lunar Paleomagnetosphere,” *Science Advances* 7, no. 32 (August 4, 2021): id. eab7647, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abi7647.
35. Tim Elliott, “A Chip Off the Old Block,” in Tim Elliott and Sarah T. Stewart, “Shadows Cast on the Moon’s Origin,” *Nature* 504, no. 7478 (December 5, 2013): 90, doi:10.1038/504090a.

## Chapter 14 – Earth’s Core Features

1. S. B. Smithson et al., “Seismic Results at Kola and KTB Deep Scientific Boreholes: Velocities, Reflections, Fluids, and Crustal Composition,” *Tectonophysics* 329, nos. 1–4 (December 31, 2000): 301–317, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(00)00200-6.
2. I describe this fine-tuning of earthquake activity (plate tectonics) in two previous books: *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 134–139, 171–175, 181–189, 194–197, 200–204; *Weathering Climate Change: A Fresh Approach* (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2020), 99–102, 133–140, 168–171.
3. Mary M. Reagan et al., “The Effect of Nickel on the Strength of Iron Nickel Alloys: Implications for the Earth’s Inner Core,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 283 (October 2018): 43–47, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2018.08.003; Z. G. Bazhanova, V. V. Roizen, and A. R. Oganov, “High-Pressure Behavior of the Fe-S System and Composition of the Earth’s Inner Core,” *Physics-Uspenki* 60, no. 10 (October 2017): 1025–1032, doi:10.3367/UFNe.2017.03.038079; D. Alfè, M. J. Gillan, and G. D. Price, “Temperature and Composition of the Earth’s Core,” *Contemporary Physics* 48, no. 2 (2007): 63–80, doi:10.1080/00107510701529653.
4. Samuel Thompson et al., “Compression Experiments to 126 GPa and 2500 K and Thermal Equation of State of Fe<sub>3</sub>S: Implications for Sulfur in the Earth’s Core,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 534 (March 15, 2020): id. 116080, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116080; Shigehiko Tateno et al., “Fe<sub>2</sub>S: The Most Fe-Rich Iron Sulfide at the Earth’s Inner Core Pressures,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 46, no. 21 (November 16, 2019): 11,944–11,949, doi:10.1029/2019GL085248; E. Edmund et al., “Velocity-Density Systematics of Fe-5wt%Si: Constraints on Si Content in the Earth’s Core,” *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 124, no. 4 (April 2019): 3436–3447, doi:10.1029/2018JB016904; Tilak Das et al., “First-Principles Prediction of Si-Doped Fe Carbide as One of the Possible Constituents of Earth’s Inner Core,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 44, no. 17 (September 16, 2017): 8776–8784, doi:10.1002/2017GL073545; Hitoshi Gomi, Yingwei Fei, and Takashi Yoshino, “The Effects of Ferromagnetism and Interstitial Hydrogen on the Equations of States of hcp and dhcp FeHx: Implications for the Earth’s Inner Core,” *American Mineralogist* 103, no. 8 (August 1, 2018): 1271–1281, doi:10.2138/am-2018-6295 Alfè, Gillan, and Price, “Temperature and Composition,” 63–80; Bazhanova, Roizen, and Oganov, “High-Pressure Behavior,” 1025–1032.
5. Haruka Ozawa et al., “High-Pressure Melting Experiments on Fe-Si Alloys and Implications for Silicon as a Light Element in the Core,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 456 (December 15, 2016): 47–54, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.08.042; Thompson et al., “Compression Experiments,” id. 116080; Tateno et al., “Most Fe-Rich,” 11,944–11,949; Edmund et al., “Velocity-Density Systematics,” 3436–3447.
6. Shunpei Yokoo et al., “Melting Experiments on Liquidus Phase Relations in the Fe-S-O Ternary System under Core Pressures,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 46, no. 10 (May 28, 2019): 5137–5145, doi:10.1029/2019GL082277.
7. Hrvoje Tkalcic and Thanh-Son Pham, “Shear Properties of Earth’s Inner Core Constrained by a Detection of J Waves in Global Correlation Wavefield,” *Science* 362, no. 6412 (October 19, 2018): 329–332, doi:10.1126/science.aau7649; Jessica C. E. Irving, “Earth’s Soft Heart,” *Science* 362, no. 6412 (October 19, 2018): 294, doi:10.1126/science.aav2296.

8. R. Deguen, T. Alboussière, and S. Labrosse, “Double-Diffusive Translation of Earth’s Inner Core,” *Geophysical Journal International* 214, no. 1 (July 2018): 88–107, doi:10.1093/gji/ggy120; Alfè, Gillan, and Price, “Temperature and Composition,” 63–80.
9. Youjun Zhang et al., “Reconciliation of Experiments and Theory on Transport Properties of Iron and the Geodynamo,” *Physical Review Letters* 125, no. 7 (August 14, 2020): id. 078501, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.078501.
10. Adam M. Dziewonski and Don L. Anderson, “Preliminary Reference Earth Model,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 25, no. 4 (June 1981): 297–356, doi:10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7.
11. A. J. Biggin et al., “Paleomagnetic Field Intensity Variations Suggest Mesoproterozoic Inner-Core Nucleation,” *Nature* 526, no. 7572 (October 8, 2015): 245–248, doi:10.1038/nature15523.
12. Richard K. Bono et al., “Young Inner Core Inferred from Ediacaran Ultra-Low Geomagnetic Field Intensity,” *Nature Geoscience* 12, no. 2 (February 2019): 143, doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0288-0.
13. P. Driscoll and D. Bercovici, “On the Thermal and Magnetic Histories of Earth and Venus: Influences of Melting, Radioactivity, and Conductivity,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 236 (November 2014): 36–51, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2014.08.004; Christopher J. Davies, “Cooling History of Earth’s Core with High Thermal Conductivity,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 247 (October 2015): 65–79, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2015.03.007; Stéphane Labrosse, “Thermal Evolution of the Core with a High Thermal Conductivity,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 247 (October 2015): 36–55, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2015.02.002.
14. Anatoly B. Belonoshko, Rajeev Ahuja, and Börje Johansson, “Stability of the Body-Centered-Cubic Phase of Iron in the Earth’s Inner Core,” *Nature* 424, no. 6952 (August 28, 2003): 1032–1034, doi:10.1038/nature01954; Anatoly B. Belonoshko et al., “Stabilization of Body-Centered Cubic Iron under Inner-Core Conditions,” *Nature Geoscience* 10 (April 2017): 312–316, doi:10.1038/ngeo2892.
15. Davide Gambino et al., “Longitudinal Spin Fluctuations in bcc and Liquid Fe at High Temperature and Pressure Calculated with a Supercell Approach,” *Physical Review B* 102, no. 1 (July 1, 2020): id. 014402, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.102.014402; Belonoshko et al., “Stabilization of Body-Centered Cubic Iron,” 312–316.
16. Ryosuke Sinmyo, Kei Hirose, and Yasuo Ohishi, “Melting Curve of Iron to 290 GPa Determined in a Resistance-Heated Diamond-Anvil Cell,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 510 (March 15, 2019): 45–52, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.01.006; Nguyen Ba Duc et al., “Investigation of the Melting Point, Debye Frequency and Temperature of Iron at High Pressure,” *European Physical Journal B* 93, no. 6 (June 2020): id. 115, doi:10.1140/epjb/e2020-10083-8; S. Anzellini et al., “Melting of Iron at Earth’s Inner Core Boundary Based on Fast X-Ray Diffraction,” *Science* 340, no. 6131 (April 26, 2013): 464–466, doi:10.1126/science.1233514; Dongzhou Zhang et al., “Temperature of Earth’s Core Constrained from Melting of Fe and  $\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}$  at High Pressures,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 447 (August 1, 2016): 72–83, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.04.026; Wen-Jin Zhang et al., “Melting Curves and Entropy of Melting of Iron under Earth’s Core Conditions,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 244 (July 2015): 69–77, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2014.10.011; O. L. Anderson, D. G. Isaak, and V. E. Nelson, “The High-Pressure Melting Temperature of Hexagonal Close-Packed Iron Determined from Thermal Physics,” *Journal of Physics and Chemistry Solids* 64, no. 11 (November 2003): 2125–2131, doi:10.1016/S0022-3697(03)00112-4; Tao Sun et al., “Melting Properties from *ab initio* Free Energy Calculations: Iron at the Earth’s Inner Core Boundary,” *Physical Review B* 98, no. 22 (December 1, 2018): id. 224301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.98.224301.
17. R. Torchio et al., “Melting Curve and Phase Relations of Fe-Ni Alloys: Implications for the Earth’s Core Composition,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 47, no. 14 (July 28, 2020): id. E2020GL088169, doi:10.1029/2020GL088169; Tetsuya Komabayashi et al., “Phase Relations in the System Fe-Ni-Si to 200 GPa and 3900 K and Implications for Earth’s Core,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 512 (April 15, 2019): 83–88, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.01.056; Sinmyo, Hirose, and Ohishi, “Melting Curve of Iron,” 45–52; Zhang et al., “Temperature of Earth’s Core,” 72–83.
18. Anatoly B. Belonoshko et al., “Low Viscosity of the Earth’s Inner Core,” *Nature Communications* 10 (June 6, 2019): id. 2483, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10346-2.
19. Scott Burdick, Lauren Waszek, and Vedran Lekić, “Seismic Tomography of the Uppermost Inner Core,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 528 (December 15, 2019): id. 115789, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115789; Tanja Pejić et al., “Transdimensional Bayesian Attenuation Tomography of the Upper Inner Core,” *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 124, no. 2 (February 2019): 1929–1943, doi:10.1029/2018JB016400; Tanja Pejić et al., “Attenuation Tomography of the Upper Inner Core,” *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 122, no. 4 (April 2017): 3008–3032, doi:10.1002/2016JB013692; Hrvoje Tkalčić, “Complex Inner Core of the Earth: The Last Frontier of Global Seismology,” *Reviews of Geophysics* 53, no. 1 (March 2015): 59–94, doi:10.1002/2014rg000469.
20. Dongdong Tian and Lianxing Wen, “Seismological Evidence for a Localized Mushy Zone at the Earth’s Inner Core Boundary,” *Nature Communications* 8, no. 1 (August 2017): id. 165, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00229-9.
21. S. C. Singh, M. A. J. Taylor, and J. P. Montagner, “On the Presence of Liquid in Earth’s Inner Core,” *Science* 287, no. 5462 (March 31, 2000): 2471–2474, doi:10.1126/science.287.5462.2471; Arwen Deuss, “Normal Mode Constraints on Shear and Compressional Wave Velocity of the Earth’s Inner Core,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 268, nos. 3–4 (April 30, 2008): 364–375, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.01.029; M. Lasbleis, M. Kervazo, and G. Choblet, “The Fate of Liquids Trapped during the Earth’s Inner Core Growth,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 47, no. 2 (January 28, 2020): id. E2019GL085654, doi:10.1029/2019GL085654.
22. Ludovic Huguet et al., “Structure of a Mushy Layer under Hypergravity with Implications for Earth’s Inner Core,” *Geophysical Journal International* 204, no. 3 (March 2016): 1729–1755, doi:10.1093/gji/ggv554; Ikuro Sumita et al., “A Model for Sedimentary Compaction of a Viscous Medium and Its Application to Inner-Core Growth,” *Geophysical Journal International* 124, no. 2 (February 1996): 502–524, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb07034.x.
23. Anna M. Mäkinen, Arwen Deuss, and Simon A. T. Redfern, “Anisotropy of Earth’s Inner Core Intrinsic Attenuation from Seismic Normal Mode Models,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 404 (October 15, 2014): 354–364, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.08.009.
24. Xianwei Sha and Ronald E. Cohen, “Lattice Dynamics and Thermodynamics of bcc Iron under Pressure: First-Principles Linear Response Study,” *Physical Review B* 73, no. 10 (March 1, 2006): id. 104303, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.73.104303; Belonoshko et al., “Stability of the Body-Centered-Cubic Phase,” 1032–1034.
25. Belonoshko et al., “Low Viscosity.”
26. A. Cao and B. Romanowicz, “Constraints on Shear Wave Attenuation in the Earth’s Inner Core from an Observation of PKJKP,”

- Geophysical Research Letters* 36, no. 9 (May 2009): id. L09301, doi:10.1029/2009GL038342.
27. Janneke de Jong, Lennart de Groot, and Arwen Deuss, "Observing the Signature of the Magnetic Field's Behaviour in the Radial Variation of Inner Core Anisotropy," *22nd EGU General Assembly*, held online, May 4–8, 2020: id. 20504.
  28. Kenneth P. Kodama et al., "Palaeointensity of the 1.3 Billion-Yr-Old Gardar Basalts, Southern Greenland Revisited: No Evidence for Onset of Inner Core Growth," *Geophysical Journal International* 217, no. 3 (June 2019): 1974–1987, doi:10.1093/gji/ggz126; Aleksey V. Smirnov et al., "Palaeointensity, Core Thermal Conductivity and the Unknown Age of the Inner Core," *Geophysical Journal International* 205, no. 2 (May 1, 2016): 1190–1195, doi:10.1093/gji/ggw080.
  29. J. A. Jacobs, "The Earth's Inner Core," *Nature* 172, no. 4372 (August 15, 1953): 297–298, doi:10.1038/172297a0.
  30. Zuihong Zou, Keith D. Koper, and Vernon F. Cormier, "The Structure at the Base of the Outer Core Inferred from Seismic Waves Diffracted around the Inner Core," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 113, no. B5 (May 2008): id. B05314, doi:10.1029/2007JB005316; Jenny Wong, Christopher J. Davies, and Chris A. Jones, "A Boussinesq Slurry Model of the F-Layer at the Base of Earth's Outer Core," *Geophysical Journal International* 214, no. 3 (September 2018): 2236–2249, doi:10.1093/gji/ggy245.
  31. Toshiki Ohtaki et al., "Seismological Evidence for Laterally Heterogeneous Lowermost Outer Core of the Earth," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 123, no. 12 (December 2018): 10,903–10,917, doi:10.1029/2018JB015857.
  32. Wong, Davies, and Jones, "Boussinesq Slurry Model," 2236–2249.
  33. Shin-ichi Takehiro and Youhei Sasaki, "Penetration of Steady Fluid Motions into an Outer Stable Layer Excited by MHD Thermal Convection in Rotating Spherical Shells," *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 276 (March 2018): 258–264, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2017.03.001; V. Lesur, K. Whaler, and I. Wardinski, "Are Geomagnetic Data Consistent with Stably Stratified Flow at the Core-Mantle Boundary?," *Geophysical Journal International* 201, no. 2 (May 2015): 929–946, doi:10.1093/gji/ggv031.
  34. Sinmyo, Hirose, and Ohishi, "Melting Curve of Iron," 45–52; Duc et al., "Investigation of the Melting Point"; Komabayashi et al., "Phase Relations in the System," 83–88.
  35. Sinmyo, Hirose, and Ohishi, "Melting Curve of Iron," 45–52; Duc et al., "Investigation of the Melting Point"; Komabayashi et al., "Phase Relations in the System," 83–88.
  36. Brian J. Anderson et al., "The Magnetic Field of Mercury," *Space Science Reviews* 152, nos. 1–4 (May 2010): 307–339, doi:10.1007/s11214-009-9544-3.
  37. Robert J. Lillis et al., "Time History of the Martian Dynamo from Crater Magnetic Field Analysis," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 118, no. 7 (July 2013): 1488–1511, doi:10.1002/jgre.20105.
  38. Håkan Svedhem et al., "Venus as a More Earth-Like Planet," *Nature* 450, no. 7170 (November 29, 2007): 629–632, doi:10.1038/nature06432.
  39. Saeid Mighani et al., "The End of the Lunar Dynamo," *Science Advances* 6, no. 1 (January 1, 2020): id. eaax0883, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax0883; Ian Garrick-Bethell et al., "Early Earth Magnetism," *Science* 323, no. 5912 (January 16, 2009): 356–359, doi:10.1126/science.1166804; Erin K. Shea et al., "A Long-Lived Lunar Core Dynamo," *Science* 335, no. 6067 (January 27, 2012): 453–456, doi:10.1126/science.1215359; Benjamin P. Weiss and Sonia M. Tikoo, "The Lunar Dynamo," *Science* 346, no. 6214 (December 5, 2014): id. 1246753, doi:10.1126/science.1246753; Ian Garrick-Bethell et al., "Further Evidence for Early Lunar Magnetism from Troctolite 76535," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 122, no. 1 (January 2017): 76–93, doi:10.1002/2016JE005154.
  40. M. G. Kivelson, K. K. Khurana, and M. Volwerk, "The Permanent and Inductive Magnetic Moments of Ganymede," *Icarus* 157, no. 2 (June 2002): 507–522, doi:10.1006/icar.2002.6834.
  41. Alexandra Witze, "Greenland Rocks Suggest Earth's Magnetic Field Is Older Than We Thought," *Nature* 576, no. 7787 (December 19, 2019): id. 347, doi:10.1038/d41586-019-03807-7; Bernard Marty et al., "Nitrogen Partial Pressure in the Archean Atmosphere from Analysis of Hydrothermal Quartz," *American Geophysical Union*, Fall Meeting 2012 (December 2012): abstract id. V54b-04.
  42. John A. Tarduno et al., "A Hadean to Paleoarchean Geodynamo Recorded by Single Zircon Crystals," *Science* 349, no. 6247 (July 31, 2015): 521–524, doi:10.1126/science.aaa9114; Matthew S. Dare et al., "Detrital Magnetite and Chromite in Jack Hills Quartzite Cobbles: Further Evidence for the Preservation of Primary Magnetizations and New Insights into Sediment Provenance," *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 451 (October 1, 2016): 298–314, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.05.009; B. P. Weiss et al., "Paleomagnetism of Hadean and Archean Detrital Zircons from the Jack Hills, Western Australia," *American Geophysical Union*, Fall Meeting 2016 (December 2016): abstract id. V11D-05; R. D. Cottrell et al., "The Hadean to Paleoarchean Geodynamo: Microconglomerate Tests from Siliciclastic Metasedimentary Rocks from the Southern Cross Terrane of Western Australia," *American Geophysical Union*, Fall Meeting 2016 (December 2016): abstract id. DI13A-2344.
  43. Bernard Marty et al., "Nitrogen Isotopic Composition and Density of the Archean Atmosphere," *Science* 342, no. 6154 (October 4, 2013): 101–104, doi:10.1126/science.1240971; Marty et al., "Nitrogen Partial Pressure," abstract id. V54b-04.
  44. John A. Tarduno, Eric G. Blackman, and Eric E. Mamajek, "Detecting the Oldest Geodynamo and Attendant Shielding from the Solar Wind: Implications for Habitability," *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 233 (August 2014): 68–87, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2014.05.007; Marty et al., "Nitrogen Partial Pressure," abstract id. V54b-04.
  45. Crystal Y. Shi et al., "Formation of an Interconnected Network of Iron Melt at Earth's Lower Mantle Conditions," *Nature Geoscience* 6, no. 11 (November 2013): 971–975, doi:10.1038/ngeo1956.
  46. Tushar Mittal et al., "Precipitation of Multiple Light Elements to Power Earth's Early Dynamo," *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 532 (February 15, 2020): id. 116030, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.116030.
  47. Behnam Seyed-Mahmoud, Gary Henderson, and Keith Aldridge, "A Numerical Model for Elliptical Instability of the Earth's Fluid Outer Core," *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 117, nos. 1–4 (January 2000): 51–61, doi:10.1016/S0031-9201(99)00086-2; D. Cébron et al., "Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations of the Elliptical Instability in Triaxial Ellipsoids," *Geophysics and Astrophysics Fluid Dynamics* 106, nos. 4–5 (August 2012): 524–546, doi:10.1080/03091929.2011.641961.
  48. Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica's ElementData Function (2020), Champaign, IL, accessed 9/03/2021, [periodictable.com/Properties/A/UniverseAbundance.an.log.html](http://periodictable.com/Properties/A/UniverseAbundance.an.log.html).
  49. Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica's ElementData Function (2020).
  50. M. Agostini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), "Comprehensive Geoneutrino Analysis with Borexino," *Physical Review D* 101, no. 1

- (January 2020): id. 012009, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.012009.
51. Lars Stixrude, Roberto Scipioni, and Michael P. Desjarlais, “A Silicate Dynamo in the Early Earth,” *Nature Communications* 11 (February 2020): id. 935, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14773-4.
  52. David Gubbins, “The Distinction between Geomagnetic Excursions and Reversals,” *Geophysical Journal International* 137, no. 1 (April 1999): F1–F3, doi:10.1046/j.1365-246x.1999.00810.x.
  53. A. J. Biggin et al., “Palaeomagnetic Field Intensity Variations Suggest Mesoproterozoic Inner-Core Nucleation,” *Nature* 526, no. 7572 (October 8, 2015): 245–248, doi:10.1038/nature15523.
  54. Xiaoya Zhan, Keke Zhang, and Rixiang Zhu, “A Full-Sphere Convection-Driven Dynamo: Implications for Ancient Geomagnetic Field,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 187, nos. 3–4 (August 2011): 328–335, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2011.02.007.
  55. P. Driscoll and D. Bercovici, “On the Thermal and Magnetic Histories of Earth and Venus: Influences of Melting, Radioactivity, and Conductivity,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 236 (November 2014): 36–51, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2014.08.004; Stéphane Labrosse, “Thermal Evolution of the Core with a High Thermal Conductivity,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 247 (October 2015): 36–55, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2015.02.002; Christopher J. Davies, “Cooling History of Earth’s Core with High Thermal Conductivity,” *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 247 (October 2015): 65–79, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2015.03.007.
  56. Richard K. Bono et al., “Young Inner Core Inferred from Ediacaran Ultra-Low Geomagnetic Field Intensity,” *Nature Geoscience* 12, no. 2 (February 2019): 143–147, doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0288-0.
  57. Peter Driscoll, “Geodynamo Recharged,” *Nature Geoscience* 12, no. 2 (February 2019): 83–84, doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0301-2.
  58. Florian Lhuillier et al., “Impact of Inner-Core Size on the Dipole Field Behaviour of Numerical Dynamo Simulations,” *Geophysical Journal International* 218, no. 1 (July 2019): 179–189, doi:10.1093/gji/ggz146.
  59. Michael W. McElhinny and Jo Lock, “Global Paleomagnetic Database Supplement Number One: Update to 1992,” *Surveys in Geophysics* 14, no. 3 (May 1993): 303–329, doi:10.1007/BF00690947.
  60. Bradford M. Clement, “Dependence of the Duration of Geomagnetic Polarity Reversals on Site Latitude,” *Nature* 428, no. 6983 (April 8, 2004): 637–640, doi:10.1038/nature02459.
  61. N. R. Nowaczyk et al., “Dynamics of the Laschamp Geomagnetic Excursion from Black Sea Sediments,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 351–352 (October 15, 2012): 54–69, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.06.050.
  62. Manasvi Lingam, “Revisiting the Biological Ramifications of Variations in Earth’s Magnetic Field,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 874, no. 2 (April 1, 2019): id. L28, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab12eb.
  63. Eric Gaidos et al., “Thermodynamic Limits on Magnetodynamics in Rocky Exoplanets,” *Astrophysical Journal* 718, no. 2 (August 1, 2010): 596–609, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/718/2/596.
  64. Glenn LeDrew, “The Real Starry Sky,” *Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada* 95, no. 1 (February 2001): 32, rasc.ca/sites/default/files/publications/JRASC-2001-02.pdf.

## Chapter 15 – Earth’s Mantle

1. D. Kim et al., “Sequencing Seismograms: A Panoptic View of Scattering in the Core-Mantle Boundary Region,” *Science* 368, no. 6496 (June 12, 2020): 1223–1228, doi:10.1126/science.aba8972.
2. Maxim D. Ballmer et al., “Compositional Mantle Layering Revealed by Slab Stagnation at ~1000-km Depth,” *Science Advances* 1, no. 11 (December 10, 2015): id. E1500815, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500815; Maxim D. Ballmer et al., “Persistence of Strong Silica-Enriched Domains in the Earth’s Lower Mantle,” *Nature Geoscience* 10, no. 3 (March 2017): 236–240, doi:10.1038/ngeo2898; Lauren Waszek, Nicholas C. Schmerr, and Maxim D. Ballmer, “Global Observations of Reflectors in the Mid-Mantle with Implications for Mantle Structure and Dynamics,” *Nature Communications* 9 (January 2018): id. 385, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02709-4.
3. Lujendra Ojha et al., “Depletion of Heat Producing Elements in the Martian Mantle,” *Geophysical Research Letters* 46, no. 22 (November 28, 2019): 12756–12763, doi:10.1029/2019GL085234.
4. Francis Lucaleau, “Analysis and Mapping of an Updated Terrestrial Heat Flow Data Set,” *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 20, no. 8 (August 2019): 4000–4024, doi:10.1029/2019GC008389.
5. Lucaleau, “Analysis and Mapping,” 4000–4024.
6. Andrew C. Kren, Peter Pilewskie, and Odele Coddington, “Where Does Earth’s Atmosphere Get Its Energy?,” *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate* 7 (January 2017): id. A10, doi:10.1051/swsc/2017007.
7. W. F. McDonough, O. Šrámek, and S. A. Wipperfurther, “Radiogenic Power and Geoneutrino Luminosity of the Earth and Other Terrestrial Bodies through Time,” *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 21, no. 7 (July 2020): id. e2019GC008865, doi:10.1029/2019GC008865; M. Agostini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), “Comprehensive Geoneutrino Analysis with Borexino,” *Physical Review D* 101, no. 1 (January 1, 2020): id. 012009, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.012009; The KamLAND Collaboration, “Partial Radiogenic Heat Model for Earth Revealed by Geoneutrino Measurements,” *Nature Geoscience* 4, no. 9 (September 2011): 647–651, doi:10.1038/ngeo1205; Lucaleau, “Analysis and Mapping,” 4000–4024.
8. Mario Fischer-Gödde et al., “Ruthenium Isotope Vestige of Earth’s Pre-Late-Veneer Mantle Preserved in Archaean Rocks,” *Nature* 579, no. 7798 (March 12, 2020): 240–244, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2069-3; María Isabel Varas-Reus et al., “Selenium Isotopes as Tracers of a Late Volatile Contribution to Earth from the Outer Solar System,” *Nature Geoscience* 12, no. 9 (September 2019): 779–782, doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0414-7; Francis Albarède, “Volatile Accretion History of the Terrestrial Planets and Dynamic Implications,” *Nature* 461, no. 7268 (October 29, 2009): 1227–1233, doi:10.1038/nature08477.
9. Oleg Abramov, David A. Kring, and Stephen J. Mojzsis, “The Impact Environment of the Hadean Earth,” *Geochemistry* 73, no. 3 (October 2013): 227–248, doi:10.1016/j.chemer.2013.08.004; Jian Wang et al., “Hidden Eoarchean Crust in the Southwestern Central Asian Orogenic Belt,” *Lithos* 360–361 (May 2020): id. 105437, doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2020.105437; R. G. Strom, S. Marchi, and R. Malhotra, “Ceres and the Terrestrial Planets Impact Cratering Record,” *Icarus* 302 (March 1, 2018): 104–108, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2017.11.013.

10. I provide the data and calculations in my book, *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity's Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 167–168.
11. Hugh Ross, *Why the Universe Is the Way It Is* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2008), 45–47.
12. Ross, *Improbable Planet*, 43–77, 113–115.
13. William Thomson, “4. On the Secular Cooling of the Earth,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 4 (1862): 610–611, doi:10.1017/S0370164600035124. A PDF is available at courses.seas.harvard.edu/climate/eli/Courses/EPS281r/Sources/Earth-age-and-thermal-history/more/Kelvin-1863-excerpts.pdf.
14. Emily Sarafian et al., “Experimental Constraints on the Damp Peridotite Solidus and Oceanic Mantle Potential Temperature,” *Science* 355, no. 6328 (March 3, 2017): 942–945, doi:10.1126/science.aaj2165.
15. Ricardo Arevalo Jr., William F. McDonough, and Mario Luong, “The K/U Ratio of the Silicate Earth: Insights into Mantle Composition, Structure, and Thermal Evolution,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 278, nos. 3–4 (February 25, 2009): 361–369, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.023.
16. Tushar Mittal et al., “Precipitation of Multiple Light Elements to Power Earth’s Early Dynamo,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 532 (February 15, 2020): id. 116030, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.116030.
17. Ryosuke Sinmyo, Kei Hirose, and Yasuo Ohishi, “Melting Curve of Iron to 290 GPa Determined in a Resistance-Heated Diamond-Anvil Cell,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 510 (March 15, 2019): 45–52, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.01.006; Tetsuya Komabayashi et al., “Phase Relations in the System Fe-Ni-Si to 200 GPa and 3900 K and Implications for Earth’s Core,” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 512 (April 15, 2019): 83–88, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.01.056; Esteban Gazel et al., “Plume-Subduction Interaction in Southern Central America: Mantle Upwelling and Slab Melting,” *Lithos* 121, nos. 1–4 (January 2011): 117–134, doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2010.10.008.
18. Takashi Nakagawa and Paul J. Tackley, “Influence of Combined Primordial Layering and Recycled MORB on the Coupled Thermal Evolution of Earth’s Mantle and Core,” *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 15, no. 3 (March 2014): 619–633, doi:10.1002/2013GC005128; Takashi Nakagawa and Paul J. Tackley, “Influence of Plate Tectonic Mode on the Coupled Thermochemical Evolution of Earth’s Mantle and Core,” *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 16, no. 10 (October 2015): 3400–3413, doi:10.1002/2015GC005996.
19. P. J. Tackley et al., “Planetary Lithosphere-Outer Core-Inner Core-Mantle Coupled Evolution over the Entire Age of the Solar System,” *American Geophysical Union*, Fall Meeting 2016 (December 2016): abstract #GP12A-07.
20. Juan Rodriguez-Gonzalez et al., “Water in Geodynamical Models of Mantle Convection and Plate Tectonics,” EGU General Assembly 2018, (proceedings from the conference held April 8–13, 2018 in Vienna, Austria): 8656.

## Chapter 16 – Earth’s Crustal Interior

1. Nikolas I. Christensen and Walter D. Mooney, “Seismic Velocity Structure and Composition of the Continental Crust: A Global View,” *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 100, no. B6 (June 10, 1995): 9761–9788, doi:10.1029/95JB00259.
2. Leszek Czechowski and Marek Grad, “The Stress Field and Its Role in the Evolution of Asthenosphere and Lithosphere,” EGU General Assembly 2017, (proceedings from the conference, held April 23–28, 2017 in Vienna, Austria): 4670.
3. Katrin Mierdel et al., “Water Solubility in Aluminous Orthopyroxene and the Origin of Earth’s Asthenosphere,” *Science* 315, no. 5810 (January 19, 2007): 364–368, doi:10.1126/science.1135422.
4. I describe these features and why Earth may be unique in possessing them in *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity’s Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016), 111–118, 121–123, 134–139, 158, 200–204.
5. These numbers are derived from the aluminum-to-iron abundance ratios by mass in the universe, Earth’s crust, and Earth’s mantle, not the percentage of aluminum in Earth’s crust and mantle relative to the percentage in the universe. Hydrogen, helium, methane, and ammonia—gases which rocky bodies are unable to retain gravitationally—dominate the universe’s abundance of ordinary matter (based on elements in the periodic table). Thus, aluminum-to-iron abundance ratio yields a true comparison of Earth’s aluminum richness relative to the average in other rocky bodies. Citation for the mantle aluminum and iron abundances: Rhea K. Workman and Stanley R. Hart, “Major and Trace Element Composition of the Depleted MORB Mantle (DMM),” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 231, nos. 1–2 (February 28, 2005): 53–72, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2004.12.005. Citation for the crust aluminum and iron abundances: W. M. Haynes, ed., “Abundance of Elements in the Earth’s Crust and in the Sea,” *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 97th ed. (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2016), 14–17.
6. Christensen and Mooney, “Seismic Velocity Structure,” 9761–9788.
7. Peter Bird, “An Updated Digital Model of Plate Boundaries,” *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 4, no. 3 (March 2003): article #9, 1027, doi:10.1029/2001GC000252.
8. George F. Cooper et al., “Variable Water Input Controls Evolution of the Lesser Antilles Volcanic Arc,” *Nature* 582, no. 7813 (June 25, 2020): 525–529, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2407-5.
9. Wolfgang Bach and Gretchen L. Früh-Green, “Alteration of the Oceanic Lithosphere and Implications for Seafloor Processes,” *Elements* 6, no. 3 (June 1, 2010): 173–178, doi:10.2113/gselements.6.3.173.
10. Cooper et al., “Variable Water Input,” 525–529.
11. I devoted an entire chapter on the Sun’s luminosity history in *Improbable Planet*, 143–164.
12. Ross, *Improbable Planet*, 94–219.
13. Terry T. Isson and Noah J. Planavsky, “Reverse Weathering as a Long-Term Stabilizer of Marine pH and Planetary Climate,” *Nature* 560, no. 7719 (August 23, 2018): 471–475, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0408-4; S. Rahman, R. C. Aller, and J. K. Cochran, “The Missing Silica Sink: Revisiting the Marine Sedimentary Si Cycle Using Cosmogenic  $^{32}\text{Si}$ ,” *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 31, no. 10 (October 2017): 1559–1578, doi:10.1002/2017GB005746; Hugh Ross, “Highly Fine-Tuned Reverse Weathering Stabilized Earth’s Early Climate,” *Today’s New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, September 10, 2018, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/highly-fine-tuned-reverse-weathering-stabilized-earth-s-early-climate.

14. Daniella M. Rempe and William E. Dietrich, "Direct Observations of Rock Moisture, a Hidden Component of the Hydrologic Cycle," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 115 (March 13, 2018): 2664–2669, doi:10.1073/pnas.1800141115; Hugh Ross, "Weathered Bedrock: Key to Advanced Life on Earth," *Today's New Reason to Believe* (blog), Reasons to Believe, May 7, 2018, reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/weathered-bedrock-key-to-advanced-life-on-earth.
15. T. T. Isson et al., "Evolution of the Global Carbon Cycle and Climate Regulation on Earth," *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 34, no. 2 (February 2020): id. e2018GB006061, doi:10.1029/2018GB006061; K. Wallmann et al., "Silicate Weathering in Anoxic Marine Sediments," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 72, no. 12 (June 15, 2008): 2895–2918, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2008.03.026; Laurence A. Coogan and Kathryn M. Gillis, "Low-Temperature Alteration of the Seafloor: Impacts on Ocean Chemistry," *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 46 (May 2018): 21–45, doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-082517-010027.
16. Isson et al., "Global Carbon Cycle."
17. Isson et al., "Global Carbon Cycle."
18. Hubert Staudigel et al., "Cretaceous Ocean Crust at DSDP Sites 417 and 418: Carbon Uptake from Weathering versus Loss by Magmatic Outgassing," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53, no. 11 (November 1989): 3091–3094, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(89)90189-0; Patrick V. Brady and Sigurdur R. Gíslason, "Seafloor Weathering Controls on Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and Global Climate," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 61, no. 5 (March 1997): 965–973, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(96)00385-7; Guo-Liang Zhang and Christopher Smith-Duque, "Seafloor Basalt Alteration and Chemical Change in the Ultra Thinly Sedimented South Pacific," *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 15, no. 7 (July 2014): 3066–3080, doi:10.1002/2013GC005141.
19. Terry Plank and Craig E. Manning, "Subducting Carbon," *Nature* 574, no. 7778 (October 17, 2019): 343–352, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1643-z; Isson et al., "Global Carbon Cycle"; Yizhuo Sun et al., "Stability and Migration of Slab-Derived Carbonate-Rich Melts above the Transition Zone," *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 531 (February 1, 2020): id. 116000, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.116000.
20. David J. Des Marais, "Isotopic Evolution of the Biogeochemical Carbon Cycle during the Precambrian," *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry: Stable Isotope Geochemistry* 43, no. 1, ed. John W. Valley and David Cole (2001): 555–578, doi:10.2138/gsrmg.43.1.555.
21. Megan S. Duncan and Rajdeep Dasgupta, "Rise of Earth's Atmospheric Oxygen Controlled by Efficient Subduction of Organic Carbon," *Nature Geoscience* 10, no. 5 (May 2017): 387–392, doi:10.1038/ngeo2939.
22. F. P. Bundy et al., "The Pressure-Temperature Phase and Transformation Diagram for Carbon; Updated through 1994," *Carbon* 34, no. 2 (1996): 141–153, doi:10.1016/0008-6223(96)00170-4.
23. Heinrich D. Holland, "Volcanic Gases, Black Smokers, and the Great Oxidation Event," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 66, no. 21 (November 1, 2002): 3811–3826, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(02)00950-x; Timothy W. Lyons, Christopher T. Reinhard, and Noah J. Planavsky, "The Rise of Oxygen in Earth's Early Ocean and Atmosphere," *Nature* 506, no. 7488 (February 20, 2014): 307–315, doi:10.1038/nature13068; Genming Luo et al., "Rapid Oxygenation of Earth's Atmosphere 2.33 Billion Years Ago," *Science Advances* 2, no. 5 (May 13, 2016): id. e1600134, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600134; Heinrich D. Holland, "Why the Atmosphere Became Oxygenated: A Proposal," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73, no. 18 (September 15, 2009): 5241–5255, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.05.070.
24. C. Brenhin Keller et al., "Neoproterozoic Glacial Origin of the Great Unconformity," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 116, no. 4 (January 22, 2019): 1136–1145, doi:10.1073/pnas.1804350116; Jon M. Husson and Shanan E. Peters, "Atmospheric Oxygenation Driven by Unsteady Growth of the Continental Sedimentary Reservoir," *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 460 (February 15, 2017): 68–75, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.12.012; Setareh Shahkarami et al., "The Ediacaran-Cambrian Boundary: Evaluating Stratigraphic Completeness and the Great Unconformity," *Precambrian Research* 345 (August 2020): id. 105721, doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2020.105721.
25. Keller et al., "Neoproterozoic Glacial Origin," 1136–1145.
26. Douglas Erwin and James Valentine, *The Cambrian Explosion: The Construction of Animal Biodiversity* (New York: W. H. Freeman, 2013); Hugh Ross, *Improbable Planet*, 172–178.
27. Stephan V. Sobolev and Michael Brown, "Surface Erosion Events Controlled the Evolution of Plate Tectonics on Earth," *Nature* 570, no. 7759 (June 6, 2019): 52–57, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1258-4.

## Chapter 17 – Interior Design Implications

1. David Sloan et al., ed., *Fine-Tuning in the Physical Universe* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2020); John D. Barrow and Frank J. Tipler, *The Anthropic Cosmological Principle* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1986); Paul Davies, *The Cosmic Blueprint: New Discoveries in Nature's Creative Ability to Order the Universe* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1988); Paul Davies, *The Cosmic Jackpot: Why Our Universe Is Just Right for Life* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2007); Hugh Ross, *The Creator and the Cosmos*, 4th ed. (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2018).
2. Hugh Ross, *Improbable Planet: How Earth Became Humanity's Home* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2016); Hugh Ross, *Weathering Climate Change* (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2020).
3. Job 26:14 (NIV).
4. Ecclesiastes 3:11 (Amplified Bible).
5. Romans 2:14–15; 3:10–12, 23 (NIV).
6. John 14:6 (NIV).

1. Jorge Meléndez et al., “The Peculiar Solar Composition and Its Possible Relation to Planet Formation,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 704, no. 1 (October 10, 2009): L66–L70, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/704/1/L66.
2. Megan Bedell et al., “The Chemical Homogeneity of Sun-Like Stars in the Solar Neighborhood,” *Astrophysical Journal* 865, no. 1 (September 20, 2018): id. 68, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aad908.
3. Marília Carlos et al., “The Li-Age Correlation: The Sun Is Unusually Li Deficient for Its Age,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 485, no. 3 (May 2019): 4052–4059, doi:10.1093/mnras/stz681.
4. Walter Nichiporuk and Carleton B. Moore, “Lithium, Sodium, and Potassium Abundances in Carbonaceous Chondrites,” *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 38, no. 11 (November 1974): 1691–1694, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(74)90186-0; D. Krackowsky and O. Müller, “Isotopic Composition and Abundance of Lithium in Meteoritic Matter,” *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 31, no. 10 (October 1967): 1833–1842, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(67)90125-1; James M. D. Day et al., “Evidence for High-Temperature Fractionation of Lithium Isotopes during Differentiation of the Moon,” *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 51, no. 6 (June 2016): 1046–1062, doi:10.1111/maps.12643.
5. James N. Connelly et al., “The Absolute Chronology and Thermal Processing of Solids in the Solar Protoplanetary Disk,” *Science* 338, no. 6107 (November 2, 2012): 651–655, doi:10.1126/science.1226919; E. G. Adelberger et al., “Solar Fusion Cross Sections. II. The  $p\bar{p}$  Chain and CNO Cycles,” *Review of Modern Physics* 83, no. 1 (January–March 2011): 195–246, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83.195.
6. I. Baraffe et al., “Lithium Depletion in Solar-Like Stars: Effect of Overshooting Based on Realistic Multi-Dimensional Simulations,” *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 845, no. 1 (August 10, 2017): id. L6, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa82ff; J. Christensen-Dalsgaard et al., “A More Realistic Representation of Overshoot at the Base of the Solar Convective Envelope as Seen by Helioseismology,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 414, no. 2 (June 2011): 1158–1174, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18460.x; S. Basu, “Helioseismic Evidence for Mixing in the Sun,” in *Chemical Abundances and Mixing in Stars in the Milky Way and Its Satellites*, (proceedings of the ESO-Arcetri Workshop held in Castiglione della Pescaia, Italy, September 13–17, 2004), ed. S. Randich and L. Pasquini (Berlin: Springer Nature, 2006), 284–287.
7. M. Rempel, “Overshoot at the Base of the Solar Convection Zone: A Semianalytical Approach,” *Astrophysical Journal* 607, no. 2 (June 1, 2004): 1046–1064, doi:10.1086/383605.
8. Carlos et al., “Li-Age Correlation,” 4052–4059.
9. Garik Israelian et al., “Lithium in Stars with Exoplanets,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 414, no. 2 (February 2004): 601–611, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20034398.
10. IY. Q. Chen and G. Zhao, “A Comparative Study on Lithium Abundances in Solar-Type Stars with and without Planets,” *Astronomical Journal* 131, no. 3 (March 1, 2006): 1816–1821, doi:10.1086/499946.
11. Garik Israelian et al., “Enhanced Lithium Depletion in Sun-Like Stars with Orbiting Planets,” *Nature* 462, no. 7270 (November 12, 2009): 189–191, doi:10.1038/nature08483.
12. Carlos et al., “Li-Age Correlation,” 4052–4059.
13. “Catalog,” Extrasolar Planets Encyclopaedia, Exoplanet TEAM, accessed September 3, 2021, exoplanet.eu/catalog/.
14. “Catalog,” accessed September 3, 2021.
15. Simon L. Grimm et al., “The Nature of the TRAPPIST-1 Exoplanets,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 613 (May 2018): id. A68, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201732233.
16. Grimm et al., “TRAPPIST-1 Exoplanets” 1.
17. Jorge Meléndez et al., “Peculiar Solar Composition,” L69.
18. Maria M. Katsova et al., “Superflare G and K Stars and the Lithium Abundance,” *The 19th Cambridge Workshop on Cool Stars, Stellar Systems, and the Sun (CS19)*, ed. G. A. Feiden (presented at Uppsala, Sweden, June 6–10, 2016), id. 124, doi:10.5281/zenodo.59176; Y. Takeda et al., “Behavior of Li Abundances in Solar-Analog Stars\* II. Evidence of the Connection with Rotation and Stellar Activity,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 515 (June 2010): id. A93, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200913897.