

ENDNOTES

FAZALE R. RANA  
WITH KENNETH R. SAMPLES

# HUMANS 2.0

SCIENTIFIC, PHILOSOPHICAL, AND  
THEOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES  
ON TRANSHUMANISM



Covina, CA

## Introduction – In Search of Salvation

1. Marco Arnaudo, *The Myth of the Superhero*, trans. Jamie Richards (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013), 64.
2. Ben Saunders, *Do the Gods Wear Capes? Spirituality, Fantasy, and Superheroes* (New York, Bloomsbury Academic, 2011), 2.
3. Arnaudo, *The Myth of the Superhero*, 11–62.
4. Saunders, *Do the Gods Wear Capes?*, 3–8.
5. Saunders, 3–5.
6. James Hughes, *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesigned Human of the Future* (Cambridge, MA: Westview, 2004), xii.
7. René Descartes, *Discourse on Method and Related Writings* (London: Penguin, 1992).
8. Saunders, *Do the Gods Wear Capes?*, 106.
9. George A Dunn, “The Stark Madness of Technology,” in *Iron Man and Philosophy: Facing the Stark Reality*, ed. Mark D. White, Blackwell Philosophy and Pop Culture Series (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, 2010), 8.
10. Travis N. Rieder, “The Literal Making of a Superhero,” in White, *Iron Man and Philosophy*, 39.

## Chapter 1 – Gene Editing and the Prospects for Human Enhancement

1. George A Dunn, “The Stark Madness of Technology,” in *Iron Man and Philosophy: Facing the Stark Reality*, ed. Mark D. White, Blackwell Philosophy and Pop Culture Series (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, 2010), 9.
2. “Iron Man,” Wikipedia, accessed February 1, 2018, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iron\_Man.
3. Peter Mahon, *Posthumanism: A Guide for the Perplexed* (New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 2017), 71–79.
4. Theodore Friedmann and Richard Roblin, “Gene Therapy for Human Genetic Disease?” *Science* 175, no. 4025 (March 3, 1972): 949–55.
5. Cormac Sheridan, “Gene Therapy Finds Its Niche,” *Nature Biotechnology* 29, no. 2 (February 7, 2011): 121–28.
6. Sheridan, 121–28.
7. Sheridan, 121–28.
8. Sheridan, 121–28.
9. Sheridan, 121–28.
10. Sheridan, 121–28.
11. Cynthia E. Dunbar et al., “Gene Therapy Comes of Age,” *Science* 359, no. 6372 (January 12, 2018): doi:10.1126/science.aan4672.
12. Sheridan, “Gene Therapy Finds Its Niche,” 121–28; Dunbar et al., “Gene Therapy Comes of Age,” doi:10.1126/science.aan4672.
13. Sheridan, “Gene Therapy Finds Its Niche,” 121–28.
14. Dunbar et al., “Gene Therapy Comes of Age,” doi:10.1126/science.aan4672.
15. Jeffery D. Sander and J. Keith Joung, “CRISPR-Cas Systems for Editing, Regulating, and Targeting Genomes,” *Nature Biotechnology* 32 (March 2, 2014): 347–55; Dunbar et al., “Gene Therapy Comes of Age,” doi:10.1126/science.aan4672.
16. Pablo Tebas et al., “Gene Editing of CCR5 in Autologous CD4 T Cells of Persons Infected with HIV,” *New England Journal of Medicine* 370, no. 10 (March 6, 2014): 901–10.
17. Y. Ishino et al., “Nucleotide Sequence of the *Iap* Gene, Responsible for Alkaline Phosphatase Isozyme Conversion in *Escherichia coli*, and Identification of the Gene Product,” *Journal of Bacteriology* 169, no. 12 (December 1, 1987): 5429–33.
18. Alexander Bolotin et al., “Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindrome Repeats (CRISPRs) Have Spacers of Extrachromosomal Origin,” *Microbiology* 151 (2005): 2551–61.
19. Philippe Horvath and Rodolphe Barrangou, “CRISPR/Cas, the Immune System of Bacteria and Archaea,” *Science* 327, no. 5962 (January 8, 2010): 167–70.
20. Sander and Joung, “Editing, Regulating, and Targeting Genomes,” *Nature Biotechnology*, 347–55; Horvath and Barrangou, “Immune System of Bacteria and Archaea,” *Science*, 167–70.
21. Martin Jinek et al., “A Programmable Dual-RNA-Guided DNA Endonuclease in Adaptive Bacterial Immunity,” *Science* 337, no. 6096 (August 17, 2012): 816–21.
22. Prashant Mali et al., “RNA-Guided Genome Editing via Cas9,” *Science* 339, no. 6121 (February 15, 2013): 823–26; Le Cong et al., “Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas 9 Systems,” *Science* 339, no. 6121 (February 15, 2013): 819–23.
23. Sander and Joung, “Editing, Regulating, and Targeting Genomes,” *Nature Biotechnology*, 347–55.
24. Sander and Joung, 347–55.
25. Hao Yin et al., “Structure-Guided Chemical Modification of Guide RNA Enables Potent Non-Viral *in vivo* Genome Editing,” *Nature Biotechnology* 35, no. 12 (November 13, 2017): 1179–87.
26. Suk See De Ravin et al., “CRISPR-Cas9 Gene Repair of Hematopoietic Stem Cells from Patients with X-Linked Chronic Granulomatous Disease,” *Science Translational Medicine* 9, no. 372 (January 11, 2017): eaah3480, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aah3480.
27. Alexander G. Bassuk et al., “Precision Medicine: Genetic Repair of Retinitis Pigmentosa in Patient-Derived Stem Cells,” *Scientific Reports* 6 (January 27, 2016): id. 19969, doi:10.1038/srep19969.
28. Chul-Yong Park et al., “Functional Correction of Large Factor VIII Gene Chromosomal Inversions in Hemophilia A Patient-Derived iPSCs Using CRISPR-Cas9,” *Cell Stem Cell* 17, no. 2 (August 6, 2015): 213–20, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2015.07.001.
29. Chengzu Long et al., “Correction of Diverse Muscular Dystrophy Mutations in Human Engineered Heart Muscle by Single-Site Genome Editing,” *Science Advances* 4, no. 1 (January 31, 2018): eaap9004, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aap9004.
30. Hsin-Kai Liao et al., “*In vivo* Target Gene Activation via CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Trans-Epigenetic Modulation,” *Cell* 171, no. 7 (December 14, 2017): 1495–507, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.025.
31. Edward M. Kennedy et al., “Inactivation of the Human Papillomavirus E6 or E7 Gene in Cervical Carcinoma Cells Using a Bacterial CRISPR/Cas RNA-Guided Endonuclease,” *Journal of Virology* 88, no. 20 (October 15, 2014): 11965–72, doi:10.1128/JVI.01879-14.
32. Chaoran Yin et al., “*In Vivo* Excision of HIV-1 Provirus by saCas9 and Multiplex Single-Guide RNAs in Animal Models,” *Molecular Therapy* 25, no. 5 (May 3, 2017): 1168–86, doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.03.012.
33. Marilynn Marchione, “US Scientists Try 1st Gene Editing in the Body,” *Associated Press*, November 15, 2017, apnews.com/4ae98919b52e43d8a8960e0e260feb0a.
34. Cell Press, “CRISPR-Cas9 Technique Targeting Epigenetics Reverses Disease in Mice,” *ScienceDaily* (website), December 7, 2017, sci-

encedaily.com/releases/2017/12/171207141735.htm.

35. Sharon Begley, "They're Going to CRISPR People. What Could Possibly Go Wrong?," STAT (website), June 23, 2016, statnews.com/2016/06/23/crispr-humans-penn-clinical-trial/.
36. Xiao-Hui Zhang et al., "Off-Target Effects in CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Genome Engineering," *Molecular Therapy: Nucleic Acids* 4 (January 1, 2015): e264, doi:10.1038/mtna.2015.37; Sander and Joung, "Editing, Regulating, and Targeting Genomes," 347–55.
37. Seung Woo Cho et al., "Analysis of Off-Target Effects of CRISPR/Cas-Derived RNA-Guided Endonucleases and Nickases," *Genome Research* 24, no. 1 (January 24, 2014): 132–41; Sander and Joung, "Editing, Regulating, and Targeting Genomes," 347–55.
38. Kazuhiro Nakajima et al., "Precise and Efficient Nucleotide Substitution Near Genomic Nick via Noncanonical Homology-Directed Repair," *Genome Research* 28 (2018): 223–30, doi:10.1101/gr.226027.117.
39. Alexis C. Komor et al., "Programmable Editing of a Target Base in Genomic DNA without Double-Stranded DNA Cleavage," *Nature* 533 (May 19, 2016): 420–24, doi:10.1038/nature17946; Kyoungmi Kim et al., "Highly Efficient RNA-Guided Base Editing in Mouse Embryos," *Nature Biotechnology* 35 (February 27, 2017): 435–37.
40. Sharon Begley, "Scientists Solve CRISPRs' 'Energizer Bunny' Problem," STAT (website), April 27, 2016, statnews.com/2016/04/27/crispr-energizer-bunny-problem/; Begley, "They're Going to CRISPR People," statnews.com/2016/06/23/crispr-humans-penn-clinical-trial/.
41. Sharon Begley, "They're Going to CRISPR People," statnews.com/2016/06/23/crispr-humans-penn-clinical-trial/.
42. Dominik Paquet et al., "Efficient Introduction of Specific Homozygous and Heterozygous Mutations Using CRISPR/Cas9," *Nature* 533, no. 7601 (May 5, 2016): 125–29, doi:10.1038/nature17664.
43. Yuyu Niu et al., "Generation of Gene-Modified Cynomolgus Monkey via Cas9/RNA-Mediated Gene Targeting in One-Cell Embryos," *Cell* 156, no. 4 (February 13, 2014): 836–43, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.027.
44. Uros Midic et al., "Quantitative Assessment of Timing, Efficiency, Specificity, and Genetic Mosaicism of CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Gene Editing of Hemoglobin Beta Gene in Rhesus Monkey Embryos," *Human Molecular Genetics* 26, no. 14 (July 15, 2017): 2678–89, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx154.
45. Zhuchi Tu et al., "Promoting Cas9 Degradation Reduces Mosaic Mutations in Non-Human Primate Embryos," *Scientific Reports* 7 (February 3, 2017): id. 42801, doi:10.1038/srep42081.
46. Puping Liang et al., "CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Gene Editing in Human Tripronuclear Zygotes," *Protein and Cell* 6, no. 5 (May 2015): 363–72, doi:10.1007/s13238-015-0153-5.
47. Lichun Tang et al., "CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Gene Editing in Human Zygotes Using Cas9 Protein," *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 292, no. 3 (June 2017): 525–33, doi:10.1007/s00438-017-1299-z.
48. Hong Ma et al., "Correction of a Pathogenic Gene Mutation in Human Embryos," *Nature* 548, no. 7668 (August 24, 2017): 413–19, doi:10.1038/nature23305.
49. Tina Hesman Saey, "Gene Editing of Human Embryo Gets Rid of Mutation that Causes Heart Failure," *Science News*, August 2, 2017, sciencenews.org/article/crispr-gene-editing-human-embryos.
50. Mahon, *Posthumanism*, 78.
51. Jocelyn Kaiser, "The Gene Editor CRISPR Won't Fully Fix Sick People Anytime Soon. Here's Why," *Science* (magazine), May 3, 2016, sciencemag.org/news/2016/05/gene-editor-crispr-won-t-fully-fix-sick-people-anytime-soon-here-s-why.
52. Andrew R. Wood et al., "Defining the Role of Common Variation in the Genomic and Biological Architecture of Adult Human Height," *Nature Genetics* 46, no. 10 (October 5, 2014): 1173–86, doi:10.1038/ng.3097.
53. Terence D. Capellini et al., "Ancient Selection for Derived Alleles at a GDF5 Enhancer Influencing Human Growth and Osteoarthritis Risk," *Nature Genetics* 49, no. 7 (July 3, 2017): 1202–10, doi:10.1038/ng.3911.
54. Sara M. Willems et al., "Large-Scale GWAS Identifies Multiple Loci for Hand Grip Strength Providing Biological Insights into Muscular Fitness," *Nature Communications* 8 (July 12, 2017): id. 16015, doi:10.1038/ncomms16015.
55. Qingjian Zou et al., "Generation of Gene-Target Dogs Using CRISPR/Cas9 System," *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology* 7, no. 6 (December 1, 2015): 580–83, doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjv061.
56. Chrissy Sexton, "Scientists Are Genetically Engineering a Superior Race Horse," Earth.com, December 27, 2017, earth.com/news/genetically-engineering-race-horses/.
57. Sharon Begley, "Dare We Edit the Human Race? Star Geneticists Wrestle with Their Power," STAT (website), December 2, 2015, statnews.com/2015/12/02/gene-editing-summit-embryos/.
58. Antonio Regalado, "A Tale of Do-It-Yourself Gene Therapy," *MIT Technology Review*, October 14, 2015, technologyreview.com/s/542371/a-tale-of-do-it-yourself-gene-therapy/; Regalado, "One Man's Quest to Hack His Own Genes," *MIT Technology Review*, January 10, 2017, technologyreview.com/s/603217/one-mans-quest-to-hack-his-own-genes/.
59. Bruno Bernardes de Jesus et al., "Telomerase Gene Therapy in Adult and Old Mice Delays Aging and Increases Longevity without Increasing Cancer," *EMBO Molecular Medicine* 4, no. 8 (August 3, 2012): 691–704, doi:10.1002/emmm.201200245.
60. Heidi Ledford, "Biohackers Gear Up for Genome Editing," *Nature* 524, no. 7566 (August 27, 2015): 398–99.
61. Alexandra Ossola, "You Could Soon Use CRISPR to Biohack in Your Own Home," *Popular Science*, November 9, 2015, popsci.com/you-could-soon-become-biohacker-at-home-using-crispr.
62. Stephanie M. Lee, "This Guy Says He's the First Person to Attempt Editing His DNA with CRISPR," BuzzFeed, October 14, 2017, buzzfeed.com/stephaniemlee/this-biohacker-wants-to-edit-his-own-dna.
63. Lee, buzzfeed.com/stephaniemlee/this-biohacker-wants-to-edit-his-own-dna.

## Chapter 2 – Neuroprosthetics, Brain-Computer Interfaces, and the Prospects of a Cybernetics Future

1. "Misty Knight," Wikipedia, last updated September 25, 2018, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Misty\_Knight; "Misty Knight," in *Marvel Encyclopedia: The Definitive Guide to the Characters of the Marvel Universe*, updated and exp. ed., eds. Alastair Dougall and Julia March (New York: Dorling Kindersley, 2014), 203.
2. Peter Mahon, *Posthumanism: A Guide for the Perplexed* (New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 2017), 81–82.
3. Lance Treaster, *Brain-Computer Interface Reference Guide* (CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, 2016), 74–75.
4. Treaster, 74–75.
5. Treaster, 75.

6. Jacques J. Vidal, "Toward Direct Brain-Computer Communication," *Annual Review of Biophysics and Bioengineering* 2, vol. 1 (1973): 157–80, doi:10.1146/annurev.bb.02.060173.001105.
7. Luis Fernando Nicolas-Alonso and Jaime Gomez-Gil, "Brain Computer Interfaces, A Review," *Sensors* 12, no. 2 (February 2012): 1211–79, doi:10.3390/s120201211.
8. Nicolas-Alonso and Gomez-Gil, 1211–79.
9. Apostolos P. Georgopoulos et al., "Mental Rotation of the Neuronal Population Vector," *Science* 243, no. 4888 (January 13, 1989): 234–36.
10. Garret B. Stanley, Fei F. Li, and Yang Dan, "Reconstruction of Natural Scenes from Ensemble Responses in the Lateral Geniculate Nucleus," *Journal of Neuroscience* 19, no. 18 (September 15, 1999): 8036–42.
11. John K. Chapin et al., "Real-Time Control of a Robot Arm Using Simultaneously Recorded Neurons in the Motor Cortex," *Nature Neuroscience* 2, no. 7 (July 1999): 664–70.
12. Johan Wessberg et al., "Real-Time Prediction of Hand Trajectory by Ensembles of Cortical Neurons in Primates," *Nature* 408, no. 6810 (November 16, 2000): 361–65.
13. Jose M. Carmena et al., "Learning to Control a Brain-Machine Interface for Reaching and Grasping Primates," *PLoS Biology* 1, no. 2 (October 13, 2003): e42, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0000042; Mikhail A. Lebedev et al., "Cortical Ensemble Adaptation to Represent Velocity of an Artificial Actuator Controlled by a Brain-Machine Interface," *Journal of Neuroscience* 25, no. 19 (May 11, 2005): 4681–93, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4088-04.2005.
14. Joseph E. O'Doherty et al., "Active Tactile Exploration Using a Brain-Machine-Brain Interface," *Nature* 479, no. 7372 (October 5, 2011): 228–31, doi:10.1038/nature10489.
15. Duke University Medical Center, "Monkeys 'Move and Feel' Virtual Objects Using Only Their Brains," ScienceDaily (website), October 6, 2011, sciencedaily.com/releases/2011/10/111005131648.htm.
16. Meel Velliste et al., "Cortical Control of a Prosthetic Arm for Self-Feeding," *Nature* 453, no. 7198 (June 19, 2008): 1098–101, doi:10.1038/nature06996.
17. Mahon, *Posthumanism*, 31.
18. Mahon, 31–32.
19. Nicolas-Alonso and Gomez-Gil, "Brain Computer Interfaces, A Review," 1211–79.
20. Nicolas-Alonso and Gomez-Gil, 1211–79.
21. Nicolas-Alonso and Gomez-Gil, 1211–79.
22. Ryan Mark Rothschild, "Neuroengineering Tools/Applications for Bidirectional Interfaces, Brain-Computer Interfaces, and Neuroprosthetic Implants—A Review of Recent Progress," *Frontiers in Neuroengineering* 3 (October 15, 2010): 112, doi:10.3389/fneng.2010.00112.
23. G. S. Brindley and W. S. Lewin, "The Sensations Produced by Electrical Stimulation of the Visual Cortex," *Journal of Physiology* 196, no. 2 (May 1968): 479–93.
24. Alex E. Hadjinicolaou et al., "Prosthetic Vision: Devices, Patient Outcomes and Retinal Research," *Clinical and Experimental Optometry* 98 (2015): 395–410, doi:10.1111/cxo.12342.
25. Hadjinicolaou et al., 395–410.
26. Eberhart Zrenner et al., "Subretinal Electronic Chips Allow Blind Patients to Read Letters and Combine Them into Words," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 278, no. 1711 (May 22, 2011): 1489–97, doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.1747.
27. Leigh R. Hochberg et al., "Neuronal Ensemble Control of Prosthetic Devices by a Human Tetraplegia," *Nature* 442, no. 7099 (July 13, 2006): 164–71, doi:10.1038/nature04970.
28. Tyson Aflalo et al., "Decoding Motor Imagery from the Posterior Parietal Cortex of a Tetraplegic Human," *Science* 348, no. 6237 (May 22, 2015): 906–10, doi:10.1126/science.aaa5417.
29. Guy Hotson et al., "Individual Finger Control of a Modular Prosthetic Limb Using High-Density Electrocorticography in a Human Subject," *Journal of Neural Engineering* 13, no. 2 (April 2016): id. 026017, doi:10.1088/1741-2560/13/2/026017.
30. Jeneva Cronin et al., "Task-Specific Somatosensory Feedback via Cortical Stimulation in Humans," *IEEE Transactions on Haptics* 9, no. 4 (October–December 2016): 515–22, doi:10.1109/TOH.2016.2591952.
31. Kelly L. Collins et al., "Ownership of an Artificial Limb Induced by Electrical Brain Stimulation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* (January 3, 2017): 166–71, doi:10.1073/pnas.1616305114.
32. For example, see Zhanan Zou et al., "Rehealable, Fully Recyclable, and Malleable Electronic Skin Enabled by Dynamic Covalent Thermoset Nanocomposite," *Science Advances* 4, no. 2 (February 9, 2018): eaaq0508, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaq0508.
33. Benjamin C. -K. Tee et al., "A Skin-Inspired Organic Digital Mechanoreceptor," *Science* 350, no. 6258 (October 16, 2015): 313–16, doi:10.1126/science.aaa9306.
34. Todd A. Kuiken et al., "Targeted Reinnervation for Enhanced Prosthetic Arm Function in a Woman with a Proximal Amputation: A Case Study," *Lancet* 369, no. 9559 (February 3, 2007): 371–80, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60193-7.
35. Andrea Serino et al., "Upper Limb Cortical Maps in Amputees with Targeted Muscle and Sensory Reinnervation," *Brain* 140, no. 11 (November 1, 2017): 2993–3011, doi:10.1093/brain/awx242.
36. Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, "Amputee Makes History Controlling Two Modular Prosthetic Limbs," ScienceDaily (website), December 17, 2014, sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/12/141217113520.htm.
37. Dario Farina et al., "Man/Machine Interface Based on the Discharge Timings of Spinal Motor Neurons after Targeted Muscle Reinnervation," *Nature Biomedical Engineering* 1, no. 2 (February 6, 2017): id. 0025, doi:10.1038/s41551-016-0025.
38. Vanderbilt University, "FDA Approves Indego Exoskeleton for Clinical, Personal Use," ScienceDaily (website), March 11, 2016, sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/03/160311084406.htm; University of California, Berkeley, "A New-Generation Exoskeleton Helps the Paralyzed to Walk," ScienceDaily (website), February 4, 2016, sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/02/160204151624.htm.
39. No-Sang Kwak, Klaus-Robert Müller, and Seong-Whan Lee, "A Lower Limb Exoskeleton Control System Based on Steady State Visual Encoded Potentials," *Journal of Neuroengineering* 12, no. 5 (October 2015): doi:10.1088/1741-2560/12/5/056009; Ana R. C. Donati et al., "Long-Term Training with a Brain-Machine Interface-Based Gait Protocol Induces Partial Neurological Recovery in Paraplegic Patients," *Scientific Reports* 6 (August 11, 2016): id. 30383, doi:10.1038/srep30383.
40. Eduardo R. Miranda, "Plymouth Brain-Computer Music Interfacing Project: From EEG Audio Mixers to Composition Informed by Cognitive Neuroscience," *International Journal of Arts and Technology* 3, no. 2/3 (2010): 154, 76, doi:10.1504/IJART.2010.032562.

41. Brian N. Pasley et al., “Reconstructing Speech from Human Auditory Cortex,” *PLoS Biology* 10, no. 1 (January 31, 2012): e1001251, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001251; Stéphanie Martin et al. “Decoding Spectrotemporal Features of Overt and Covert Speech from the Human Cortex,” *Frontiers in Neuroengineering* 7, no. 14 (May 27, 2014), doi:10.3389/fneng.2014.00014.
42. Mariska J. Vansteensel et al., “Fully Implanted Brain–Computer Interface in a Locked-In Patient with ALS,” *New England Journal of Medicine*, 375 (November 24, 2016): 2060–66, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1608085.
43. Frances M. Weaver et al., “Survival in Patients with Parkinson’s Disease after Deep Brain Stimulation or Medical Management,” *Movement Disorders* 32, no. 12 (December 2017): 1756–63, doi:10.1002/mds.27235; Coralie de Hemptinne et al., “Therapeutic Deep Brain Stimulation Reduces Cortical Phase-Amplitude Coupling in Parkinson’s Disease,” *Nature Neuroscience* 18, no. 4 (April 13, 2015): 779–86, doi:10.1038/nn.3997.
44. Brian Nils Lundstrom et al., “Chronic Subthreshold Cortical Stimulation to Treat Focal Epilepsy,” *JAMA Neurology* 73, no. 11 (November 2016): 1370–72, doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.2857.
45. Nir Grossman et al., “Noninvasive Deep Brain Stimulation via Temporally Interfering Electric Fields,” *Cell* 169, no. 6 (June 1, 2017): 1029–41, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.024.
46. Albert Liu et al., “Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex Stimulation Enhances Memory and Hippocampal Neurogenesis in the Middle-Aged Rats,” *eLife* 4 (March 13, 2015): e04803, doi:10.7554/eLife.04803.
47. Ruifeng Liu et al., “Intermittent Stimulation of the Nucleus Basalis of Meynert Improves Working Memory in Adult Monkeys,” *Current Biology* 27, no. 17 (September 11, 2017): 2640–46, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.07.021.
48. Michal T. Kucewicz et al., “Evidence for Verbal Memory Enhancement with Electrical Brain Stimulation in the Lateral Temporal Cortex,” *Brain* 141, no. 4 (April 1, 2018): 971–78, doi:10.1093/brain/awx373.
49. Douglas W. Scharre et al., “Deep Brain Stimulation of Frontal Lobe Networks to Treat Alzheimer’s Disease,” *Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease* 62, no. 2 (February 20, 2018): 621–33, doi:10.3233/JAD-170082.
50. For example, see Aniket A. Saoji and Leonid M. Litvak, “Use of ‘Phantom Electrode’ Technique to Extend the Range of Pitches Available through a Cochlear Implant,” *Ear and Hearing* 31, no. 5 (October 2010): 693–701, doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e3181e1d15e.
51. “Night Vision,” Wikipedia, last modified September 28, 2018, 18:55 (UTC), en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Night\_vision.
52. “Powered Exoskeletons,” Wikipedia, last modified October 10, 2018, 17:17 (UTC), en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Powered\_exoskeleton.
53. Vanderbilt University, “Rocket-Powered Mechanical Arm Could Revolutionize Prosthetics,” ScienceDaily (website), August 21, 2007, sciencedaily.com/releases/2007/08/070820130638.htm.
54. Michael Melzer et al., “Imperceptible Magneto-electronics,” *Nature Communications* 6 (January 21, 2015): id. 6080, doi:10.1038/ncomms7080.
55. Roberta Sellaro et al., “Reducing Prejudice through Brain Stimulation,” *Brain Stimulation* 8, no. 5 (September–October 2015): 891–97, doi:10.1016/j.brs.2015.04.003.
56. University of Basel, “A Wooden Toe: Swiss Egyptologists Study 3,000-Year-Old Prosthesis,” ScienceDaily (website), June 20, 2017, sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/06/170620093118.htm.
57. Marc Prensky, “Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants Part 1,” *On the Horizon* 9, no. 5 (October 2001): 1–6, doi:10.1108/10748120110424816.
58. Tanya Lewis, “The Singularity Is Near: Mind Uploading by 2045?,” Live Science (website), June 17, 2013, livescience.com/37499-immortality-by-2045-conference.html.
59. Raymond Kurzweil, “Live Forever,” *Psychology Today*, January 2000, psychologytoday.com/us/articles/200001/live-forever.
60. Mahon, *Posthumanism*, 46–47.
61. Mahon, 62.
62. University of Southern California, “Scientists to Bypass Brain Damage by Re-encoding Memories: New Prosthesis Aims to Help People Living with Memory Loss,” ScienceDaily (website), September 29, 2015, sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/09/150929142524.htm.
63. Theodore W. Berger et al., “A Cortical Neural Prosthesis for Restoring and Enhancing Memories,” *Journal of Neural Engineering* 8, no. 4 (June 15, 2011): id. 046017, doi:10.1088/1741-2560/8/4/046017.
64. Miguel Pais-Vieira et al., “Building an Organic Computing Device with Multiple Interconnected Brains,” *Scientific Reports* 5 (July 9, 2015): id. 11869, doi:10.1038/srep11869; Arjun Ramakrishnan et al., “Computing Arm Movements with a Monkey Brainet,” *Scientific Reports* 5 (July 9, 2015): id. 10767, doi:10.1038/srep10767.
65. Hannah Devlin, “Monkey ‘Brain Net’ Raises Prospects of Human Brain-to-Brain Connection,” *The Guardian*, July 9, 2015, theguardian.com/science/2015/jul/09/monkey-brain-net-raises-prospect-of-human-brain-to-brain-connection.
66. Doree Armstrong and Michelle Ma, “Researcher Controls Colleague’s Motion in 1st Human Brain-to-Brain Interface,” *UW News*, August 27, 2013, washington.edu/news/2013/08/27/researcher-controls-colleagues-motions-in-1st-human-brain-to-brain-interface/.
67. “Brainiac Says We’ll All Be Part of the ‘Brain-Net’ Someday,” NBC News, updated April 3, 2014, 1:31 AM (PDT), nbcnews.com/science/science-news/brainiac-says-we-ll-all-be-part-brain-net-someday-n70236.
68. Kevin Warwick et al., “The Application of Implant Technology for Cybernetic Systems,” *Archives of Neurology* 60, no. 10 (October 2003): 1369–73; K. Warwick et al., “Thought Communication and Control: A First Step in Radiotelemetry,” *IEEE Proceedings-Communications* 151, no. 3 (June 25, 2004): 185–89, doi:10.1049/ip-com:20040409.
69. Jurica Dujmovic, “Biohackers Implant Computers, Earbuds and Antennas in Their Bodies,” MarketWatch (website), February 10, 2016, marketwatch.com/story/biohackers-implant-computers-earbuds-and-antennas-in-their-bodies-2016-02-10.
70. Ben Popper, “Cyborg America: Inside the Strange New World of Basement Body Hackers,” The Verge (website), August 8, 2012, theverge.com/2012/8/8/3177438/cyborg-america-biohackers-grinders-body-hackers.

### Chapter 3 – Anti-Aging Technology

1. “AAAS Resolution: Statement on Human Cloning” (adopted by the AAAS Board of Directors, February 14, 2002), accessed May 3, 2005, aaas.org/news/stem-cell-resources.
2. Michael Fossel, *The Telomerase Revolution: The Enzyme that Holds the Key to Human Aging . . . and Will Soon Lead to Longer, Healthier Lives*, first pbk ed. (Dallas: BenBella Books, 2017), 187–88.
3. Fossel, 197.

4. Aubrey de Grey with Michael Rae, *Ending Aging: The Rejuvenation Breakthroughs that Could Reverse Human Aging in Our Lifetime* (New York: St. Martin's Griffin, 2007), 11.
5. Robert Arking, *Biology of Aging: Observations and Principles*, 2nd ed. (Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates, 1998), 368.
6. Arking, 379–83.
7. Arking, 383–95.
8. Arking, 395–97.
9. Arking, 397–98.
10. Arking, 398–413.
11. Arking, 413.
12. Arking, 420–24.
13. Arking, 424–38.
14. Arking, 311.
15. Arking, 313–27.
16. Arking, 328–32.
17. Arking, 332–34.
18. Arking, 334–40.
19. De Grey with Rae, *Ending Aging*, 43–44.
20. Fossel, *The Telomerase Revolution*.
21. Calvin B. Harley, “Telomerase and Cancer Therapeutics,” *Nature Reviews Cancer* 8 (March 1, 2008): 167–79, doi:10.1038/nrc2275.
22. Calvin B. Harley et al., “A Natural Product Telomerase Activator as Part of a Health Maintenance Program,” *Rejuvenation Research* 14, no. 1 (February 17, 2011): 45–56, doi:10.1089/rej.2010.1085.
23. Harley et al., 386–95.
24. Homayoun Vaziri and Samuel Benchimol, “Reconstitution of Telomerase Activity in Normal Human Cells Leads to Elongation of Telomeres and Extended Replicative Life Span,” *Current Biology* 8, no. 5 (February 26, 1998): 279–82.
25. Bruno Bernardes de Jesus et al., “Telomerase Gene Therapy in Adult and Old Mice Delays Aging and Increases Longevity without Increasing Cancer,” *EMBO Molecular Medicine* 4, no. 8 (August 3, 2012): 691–704, doi:10.1002/emmm.201200245.
26. Fossel, *The Telomerase Revolution*, 195.
27. De Grey with Rae, *Ending Aging*, 274–308.
28. De Grey with Rae, 77–100.
29. De Grey with Rae, 101–33.
30. De Grey with Rae, 134–63.
31. De Grey with Rae, 164–99.
32. De Grey with Rae, 238–73.
33. De Grey with Rae, 200–237.
34. Aubrey D. N. J. de Grey, “Like It or Not, Life-Extension Research Extends Beyond Biogerontology,” *EMBO Reports* 6, no. 11 (November 1, 2005): 1000.
35. Huber Warner et al., “Science Fact and the SENS Agenda,” *EMBO Reports* 6, no. 11 (November 1, 2005): 1006–8.
36. Marios Kyriazis, “The Impracticality of Biomedical Rejuvenation Therapies: Translational and Pharmacological Barriers,” *Rejuvenation Research* 17, no. 4 (August 22, 2014): 390–96, doi:10.1089/rej.2014.1588; Marios Kyriazis, “Translating Laboratory Anti-Aging Biotechnology into Applied Clinical Practice: Problems and Obstacles,” *World Journal of Translational Medicine* 4, no. 2 (August 12, 2015): 51–54, doi:10.5528/wjtm.v4.i2.51.
37. Aubrey D. N. J. de Grey, “The Practicality or Otherwise of Biomedical Rejuvenation Therapies: A Response to Kyriazis,” *Rejuvenation Research* 17, no. 4 (August 22, 2014): 397–400, doi:10.1089/rej.2014.1599; De Grey with Rae, *Ending Aging*.

#### Chapter 4 – Ethical Challenges of Human Enhancement Technology

1. Brent Waters, *This Mortal Flesh: Incarnation and Bioethics* (Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press, 2009), 78.
2. Frank P. Barry and J. Mary Murphy, “Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Clinical Applications and Biological Characterization,” *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology* 36, no. 4 (April 2004): 568–84, doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2003.11.001.
3. Keisuke Okita, Tomoko Ichisaka, and Shinya Yamanaka, “Generation of Germline-Competent Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells,” *Nature* 448, no. 7151 (July 19, 2007): 313–17; Marius Wernig et al., “*In vitro* Reprogramming of Fibroblasts into a Pluripotent ES-Cell-Like State,” *Nature* 448, no. 7151 (July 19, 2007): 318–24.
4. Julian Quinones and Arijeta Lajka, “‘What Kind of Society Do You Want To Live In?’: Inside the Country Where Down Syndrome Is Disappearing,” CBS News, August 14, 2017, cbsnews.com/news/down-syndrome-iceland/.
5. Quinones and Lajka, “‘What Kind of Society Do You Want To Live In?’”
6. Waters, *This Mortal Flesh*, 64.
7. Louise A. Brinton et al., “Ovulation Induction and Cancer Risk,” *Fertility and Sterility* 83, no. 2 (February 2005): 261–74, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.09.016.
8. Ted Peters, “Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future: Will Technological Progress Get Us There?,” in H±: *Transhumanism and Its Critics*, ed. Gregory R. Hansell and William Grassie (Philadelphia: Metanexus Institute, 2011), 166–67.
9. Peters, 166.
10. Sasha Burwell, Matthew Sample, and Eric Racine, “Ethical Aspects of Brain Computer Interfaces: A Scoping Review,” *BMC Medical Ethics* 18 (November 9, 2017): 60, doi:10.1186/s12910-017-0220-y.
11. “The Cochlear Implant Controversy,” CBS News, June 2, 1998, cbsnews.com/news/the-cochlear-implant-controversy/.
12. “The Cochlear Implant Controversy,” CBS News.
13. Burwell et al., “Ethical Aspects of Brain Computer Interfaces,” 60.
14. Ross Anderson, “We Are Underestimating the Risk of Human Extinction,” *Atlantic*, March 6, 2012, theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2012/03/were-underestimating-the-risk-of-human-extinction/253821/.

15. Anderson, "We Are Underestimating the Risk."
16. Patrick D. Hopkins, "A Salvation Paradox for Transhumanism: *Saving You versus Saving You*," in *Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement*, eds. Calvin Mercer and Tracy J. Trothen (Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger, 2015), 77–78.
17. Waters, *This Mortal Flesh*, 92–94.
18. Brad Partridge, "Living Forever: The Ethical Implications of Human Life Extension," *Issues* 86, March 2009, [issuesmagazine.com.au/article/issue-march-2009/living-forever-ethical-implications-human-life-extension.html](http://issuesmagazine.com.au/article/issue-march-2009/living-forever-ethical-implications-human-life-extension.html).
19. Partridge, "Living Forever."
20. Waters, *This Mortal Flesh*, 86–87.
21. Waters, 86.
22. Waters, 87.
23. Waters, 74.
24. Burwell et al., *BMC Medical Ethics* 18 (2017): 60, doi:10.1186/s12910-017-0220-y.
25. Ted Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future: Will Technological Progress Get Us There?," in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, ed. Gregory R. Hansell and William Grassie (Philadelphia: Metanexus Institute, 2011), 156.
26. Ted Peters, "Progress and Provolution: Will Transhumanism Leave Sin Behind?," in *Transhumanism and Transcendence: Christian Hope in an Age of Technological Enhancement*, ed. Ronald Cole-Turner (Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, 2011), 63–86.
27. Travis N. Rieder, "The Literal Making of a Superhero," in White, *Iron Man and Philosophy*, 45.
28. Rieder, 47.
29. Daniel McFee, "The Risks of Transhumanism: Religious Engagements with the Precautionary and Proactionary Principles," in *Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement*, ed. Calvin R. Mercer and Tracy J. Trothen (Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger, 2015), 222–23.
30. McFee, 217–28.
31. McFee, 226.

## Chapter 5 – Secular Bioethics and Their Limitations

1. The discussion of Christian ethics in this chapter is influenced by chapter 12 ("Naturalism: A Secular Worldview Challenge") in Kenneth Richard Samples, *A World of Difference: Putting Christian Truth-Claims to the Worldview Test* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2007), 208.
2. The specific secular ethical systems discussed in this chapter were influenced by Scott B. Rae, *Moral Choices: An Introduction to Ethics*, 3rd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2009), 67–91.
3. Chart taken from Samples, *A World of Difference*, 194.
4. Chart taken from Samples, 192.

## Chapter 6 – The Case for the Image of God

1. Charles Darwin, *The Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex*, 2nd ed., with an introduction by H. James Birx, Great Minds Series (Amherst, NY: Prometheus Books, 1998), 188.
2. C. John Collins, *Science and Faith: Friends or Foes?* (Wheaton, IL: Crossway, 2003), 124–27.
3. Kenneth R. Samples, *A World of Difference: Putting Christian Truth-Claims to the Worldview Test* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2007), 171–88; Kenneth R. Samples, *7 Truths that Changed the World: Discovering Christianity's Most Dangerous Ideas* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2012), 163–90.
4. Millard J. Erickson, *Christian Theology*, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1998), 517–36; Wayne Grudem, *Systematic Theology: An Introduction to Biblical Doctrine* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1994), 442–50.
5. Thomas Suddendorf, *The Gap: The Science of What Separates Us from Other Animals* (New York: Basic Books, 2013), 217–18.
6. Alejandro Pérez, Manuel Carreiras, and Jon Andoni Duñabeitia, "Brain-to-Brain Entrainment: EEG Interbrain Synchronization While Speaking and Listening," *Scientific Reports* 7 (June 23, 2017): id. 4190, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-04464-4.
7. Suddendorf, *The Gap*, 216.
8. Fazale Rana with Hugh Ross, *Who Was Adam? A Creation Model Approach to the Origin of Humanity*, exp. and updated ed. (Covina, CA: RTB Press, 2015), 319.
9. Rana with Ross, 195–201, 313–25. See also these articles by Fazale Rana: "Did Neanderthals Make Art?," *Today's New Reason to Believe* (blog), January 22, 2015, [reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2015/01/22/did-neanderthals-make-art](http://reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2015/01/22/did-neanderthals-make-art); "Did Neanderthals Make Jewelry?," *The Cell's Design* (blog), September 28, 2016, [reasons.org/explore/blogs/the-cells-design/read/the-cells-design/2016/09/28/did-neanderthals-make-jewelry](http://reasons.org/explore/blogs/the-cells-design/read/the-cells-design/2016/09/28/did-neanderthals-make-jewelry); "Did Neanderthals Bury Their Dead with Flowers?," *Today's New Reason to Believe* (blog), May 9, 2016, [reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2016/05/09/did-neanderthals-bury-their-dead-with-flowers](http://reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2016/05/09/did-neanderthals-bury-their-dead-with-flowers); "Do Neanderthal Cave Structures Challenge Human Exceptionalism?," *Today's New Reason to Believe* (blog), June 16, 2016, [reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2016/06/16/do-neanderthal-cave-structures-challenge-human-exceptionalism](http://reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2016/06/16/do-neanderthal-cave-structures-challenge-human-exceptionalism); "One-of-a-Kind: Three Discoveries Affirm Human Uniqueness," *Today's New Reason to Believe* (blog), June 8, 2015, [reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2015/06/08/one-of-a-kind-three-discoveries-affirm-human-uniqueness](http://reasons.org/explore/blogs/todays-new-reason-to-believe/read/tnrtb/2015/06/08/one-of-a-kind-three-discoveries-affirm-human-uniqueness).
10. Jon Mooallem, "Neanderthals Were People, Too," *New York Times Magazine*, January 11, 2017, [nytimes.com/2017/01/11/magazine/neanderthals-were-people-too.html](http://nytimes.com/2017/01/11/magazine/neanderthals-were-people-too.html).
11. Mooallem, "Neanderthals Were People, Too."
12. Mooallem, "Neanderthals Were People, Too."
13. Rana with Ross, *Who Was Adam?*, 200–201.
14. Rana with Ross, 293–94.
15. Rana with Ross, 194–95.
16. Rana with Ross, 296–99.
17. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, "The Rapid Rise of Human Language," ScienceDaily (website), posted March 31, 2015, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/03/150331131324.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/03/150331131324.htm).
18. Johan J. Bolhuis et al., "How Could Language Have Evolved?," *PLoS Biology* 12 (August 26, 2014): e1001934, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001934.

19. Suddendorf, *The Gap*, 2.
20. Bolhuis et al., "How Could Language Have Evolved?," e1001934.
21. Wesley J. Smith, "More Than in 'God's Image,'" *First Things* (website), July 24, 2015, firstthings.com/web-exclusives/2015/07/more-than-in-gods-image.

## Chapter 7 – The Image of God: Foundation of Christian Bioethics

1. R. Laird Harris, Gleason L. Archer Jr., and Bruce K. Waltke, eds., *Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament*, vol. 2 (Chicago: Moody, 1980), 701–2.
2. René Descartes, *Discourse on Method and Related Writings* (London: Penguin, 1992).
3. "For Egg Donors: FAQ," Center for Human Reproduction, updated October 11, 2016, centerforhumanreprod.com/egg-donation/donors/faqs.
4. Robert Klitzman and Mark V. Sauer, "Payment of Egg Donors in Stem Cell Research in the USA," *Reproductive BioMedicine Online* 18, no. 5 (May 2009): 603–8.
5. "DNA of Every Baby Born in California Is Stored. Who Has Access to It?," CBS News, May 12, 2018, cbsnews.com/news/california-bio-bank-dna-babies-who-has-access/.

## Chapter 8 – What Is Transhumanism?

1. For resources on all phases of transhumanist thought, see Nick Bostrom, "Nick Bostrom's Home Page," University of Oxford (website), nickbostrom.com. See also: What Is Transhumanism? (website), accessed November 2, 2018, whatistranshumanism.org; Nick Bostrom, "Transhumanist Values," in *Ethical Issues for the 21st Century*, ed. Frederick Adams (Philosophical Documentation Center, 2003), repr. in *Review of Contemporary Philosophy* 4 (May 2005), nickbostrom.com/ethics/values.html; and Nick Bostrom, "A History of Transhumanist Thought," *Journal of Evolution and Technology* 14, no. 1 (April 2005), repr. in *Academic Writing Across the Disciplines*, eds. Michael Rectenwald and Lisa Carl (New York: Pearson Longman, 2011), nickbostrom.com/papers/history.pdf.
2. See Bostrom, "A History of Transhumanist Thought," and "A Timeline of Transhumanism," The Verge (website), accessed November 2, 2018, theverge.com/a/transhumanism-2015/history-of-transhumanism.
3. For leaders and scholars of transhumanism, see "Outline of Transhumanism," Wikipedia, last modified October 25, 2018 (15:41 UTC), en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Outline\_of\_transhumanism, and "Futurists, Transhumanists and Transhumanism: Some Online Resources," accessed November 2, 2018, hedweb.com/transhumanism/transhumans.html.
4. For more on worldview analysis, see Kenneth R. Samples, *A World of Difference: Putting Christian Truth-Claims to the Worldview Test* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2007).
5. Worldview charts taken from Samples, 277–79.
6. John Horgan, "The Consciousness Conundrum," *IEEE Spectrum*, June 1, 2008, spectrum.ieee.org/biomedical/imaging/the-consciousness-conundrum.

## Chapter 9 – The Problem with Progress

1. Ted Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future: Will Technological Progress Get Us There?," in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, ed. Gregory R. Hansell and William Grassie (Philadelphia: Metanexus Institute, 2011), 148.
2. Michael S. Burdett, "The Religion of Technology: Transhumanism and the Myth of Progress," in *Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement*, eds. Calvin R. Mercer and Tracy J. Trothen (Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger, 2015), 130–49.
3. Burdett, 132.
4. Burdett, 132.
5. Burdett, 143–44.
6. Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future," in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, 159–60.
7. Burdett, "The Religion of Technology," 138–40.
8. Rocco Gangle, "The Technological Subversion of Technology: Tony Stark, Heidegger and the Subject of Resistance," in *Iron Man and Philosophy: Facing the Stark Reality*, ed. Mark D. White (New York, Wiley and Sons, 2010), 25–37.
9. Gangle, 30–31.
10. David Valleau Curtis, "Iron Man and the Problem with Progress," in *Iron Man and Philosophy*, ed. Mark D. White (New York, Wiley and Sons, 2010), 239–41.
11. Curtis, 233–44.
12. Burdett, "The Religion of Technology," 131–48.
13. Burdett, 139.
14. Curtis, "Iron Man and the Problem with Progress," 234–36.
15. Hopkins, "A Salvation Paradox for Transhumanism: Saving You versus Saving You," in *Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement*, 71–81.
16. Hopkins, "A Salvation Paradox for Transhumanism," in *Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement*, 76.
17. Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future," in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, 155–58; Peters, "Progress and Provolution," in *Transhumanism and Transcendence*, 70–72.
18. Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future," in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, 76.
19. Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future," 168–70; Peters, "Progress and Provolution," 78–80.
20. Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future," in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, 168–69.
21. Ronald Cole-Turner, "Transhumanism and Christianity," in *Transhumanism and Transcendence*, 194–95.
22. Hopkins, "A Salvation Paradox for Transhumanism," in *Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement*, 77–78.
23. Brent Waters, "Whose Salvation? Which Eschatology? Transhumanism and Christianity as Contending Salvific Religions," in *Transhumanism and Transcendence*, 173.
24. Peters, "Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future," in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, 173.



## Chapter 10 – Transhumanism and the Gospel

1. Cole-Turner, “Transhumanism and Christianity,” in *Transhumanism and Transcendence: Christian Hope in an Age of Technological Enhancement*, ed. Ronald Cole-Turner (Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, 2011), 193.
2. Ted Peters, “Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future: Will Technological Progress Get Us There?,” in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, ed. Gregory R. Hansell and William Grassie (Philadelphia: Metanexus Institute, 2011), 148.
3. Peters, “Transhumanism and the Posthuman Future,” in *H±: Transhumanism and Its Critics*, 161.
4. Cole-Turner, “Transhumanism and Christianity,” in *Transhumanism and Transcendence*, 201.
5. Cole-Turner, 14.
6. Cole-Turner, 14.

## Special Focus – Artificial Intelligence and the Posthuman World

1. Dhvanesh Adhiya, “Amazon Echo: A Perfect New Age Personal Assistant,” iGeeksBlog.com, accessed January 5, 2018, [igeeksblog.com/amazon-echo/](http://igeeksblog.com/amazon-echo/).
2. Mindy Weisberger, “Lifelike ‘Sophia’ Robot Granted Citizenship to Saudi Arabia,” Live Science (website), October 30, 2017, [livescience.com/60815-saudi-arabia-citizen-robot.html](http://livescience.com/60815-saudi-arabia-citizen-robot.html).
3. Chris Weller, “Meet the First-Ever Robot Citizen—A Humanoid Named Sophia that Once Said It Would ‘Destroy Humans,’” *Business Insider*, October 27, 2017, [businessinsider.com/meet-the-first-robot-citizen-sophia-animatronic-humanoid-2017-10](http://businessinsider.com/meet-the-first-robot-citizen-sophia-animatronic-humanoid-2017-10).
4. Weisberger, “Lifelike ‘Sophia’ Robot.”
5. Charles T. Rubin, “Artificial Intelligence and Human Nature,” *New Atlantis* 1 (Spring 2003): 88–100, [thenewatlantis.com/publications/artificial-intelligence-and-human-nature](http://thenewatlantis.com/publications/artificial-intelligence-and-human-nature).
6. Luke Muehlhauser and Louie Helm, “Intelligence Explosion and Machine Ethics,” Machine Intelligence Research Institute, accessed November 2, 2018, [intelligence.org/files/IE-ME.pdf](http://intelligence.org/files/IE-ME.pdf), reprinted with minor changes from *Singularity Hypotheses: A Scientific and Philosophical Assessment*, ed. Amnon Eden et al. (Berlin: Springer, 2012).
7. Andrea Peterson, “Tech Titans Like Elon Musk are Spending \$1 Billion Dollars to Save You from Terminators,” *Washington Post*, December 14, 2015, [washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2015/12/14/tech-titans-like-elon-musk-are-spending-1-billion-to-save-you-from-terminators/?utm\\_term=.958c86409e32](http://washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2015/12/14/tech-titans-like-elon-musk-are-spending-1-billion-to-save-you-from-terminators/?utm_term=.958c86409e32).
8. Wendell Wallach and Colin Allen, *Moral Machines: Teaching Robots Right from Wrong* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2009).
9. James Hughes, *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesigned Human of the Future* (Cambridge, MA: Westview Press, 2004), xii.
10. Jim Edwards, “I Interviewed Sophia, the Artificially Intelligent Robot That Said It Wanted to ‘Destroy Humans,’” *Business Insider*, November 8, 2017, [businessinsider.com/interview-with-sophia-ai-robot-hanson-said-it-would-destroy-humans-2017-11](http://businessinsider.com/interview-with-sophia-ai-robot-hanson-said-it-would-destroy-humans-2017-11).
11. J. P. Moreland, *The Soul: How We Know It's Real and Why It Matters* (Chicago: Moody Publishers, 2014), 74–154.

## Special Focus – Artificial Wombs and the Posthuman World

1. Noelene Clark, “Marvel Comics Shaking Up Its Superhero Roster to Attract New Readers,” *Los Angeles Times*, June 4, 2015, [latimes.com/entertainment/herocomplex/la-et-hc-marvel-comics-axel-alonso-all-new-all-different-lineup-20150603-story.html](http://latimes.com/entertainment/herocomplex/la-et-hc-marvel-comics-axel-alonso-all-new-all-different-lineup-20150603-story.html).
2. Clark, “Marvel Comics.”
3. Katie Rogers, “Marvel’s New Iron Man Is a 15-Year-Old Black Girl,” *New York Times*, July 6, 2016, [nytimes.com/2016/07/07/books/marvels-new-iron-man-is-a-15-year-old-black-girl.html](http://nytimes.com/2016/07/07/books/marvels-new-iron-man-is-a-15-year-old-black-girl.html); Cameron-Glover, “For Black Women Comic Book Writers Marvel’s Riri Williams Is Bittersweet,” *Ebony*, August 12, 2016, [ebony.com/entertainment-culture/marvel-riri-williams](http://ebony.com/entertainment-culture/marvel-riri-williams); “Does This Riri Williams Marvel Cover Bother You Too?” *Clutch*, [clutchmagonline.com/2016/10/does-this-riri-williams-marvel-cover-bother-you-too/](http://clutchmagonline.com/2016/10/does-this-riri-williams-marvel-cover-bother-you-too/).
4. Dorian Lynskey, “Kapow! Attack of the Feminist Superheroes,” *Guardian*, March 25, 2015, [theguardian.com/books/2015/mar/25/feminist-superheroes-she-hulk-ms-marvel-thor](http://theguardian.com/books/2015/mar/25/feminist-superheroes-she-hulk-ms-marvel-thor).
5. Brett Schenker, “Market Research Say 46.67% of Comic Fans Are Female,” *The Beat* (February 5, 2014), [comicsbeat.com/market-research-says-46-female-comic-fans/](http://comicsbeat.com/market-research-says-46-female-comic-fans/).
6. J. Jeanine Thweatt-Bates, “Artificial Wombs and Cyborg Births: Postgenderism and Theology,” in *Transhumanism and Transcendence: Christian Hope in an Age of Technological Enlightenment*, ed. Ronald Cole-Turner (Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, 2011), 103.
7. “Artificial Uterus,” Wikipedia, last modified October 22, 2018 (02:42 UTC), [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial\\_uterus](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial_uterus).
8. Anna Smajdor, “The Moral Imperative for Ectogenesis,” *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* 16, no. 3 (July 2007): 336–45.
9. Thweatt-Bates, “Artificial Wombs and Cyborg Births,” in *Transhumanism and Transcendence*, 105.
10. Christine Rosen, “Why Not Artificial Wombs?,” *New Atlantis: A Journal of Technology and Society* (Fall 2003): 67–76.
11. Rosen, 67–76.
12. Colleen Carlston, “Artificial Wombs: Delivering on Fertile Promises,” *Harvard Science Review* (Fall 2008): 35–39.
13. Emily A. Partridge et al., “An Extra-Uterine System to Physiologically Support the Extreme Premature Lamb,” *Nature Communications* 8 (April 25, 2017): id. 15112, doi:10.1038/ncomms15112.
14. Carlo Bulletti et al., “The Artificial Womb,” *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1221, no. 1 (March 2011): 124–28, doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.05999.x.
15. Matteo Boretto et al., “Development of Organoids from Mouse and Human Endometrium Showing Endometrial Epithelium Physiology and Long-Term Expandability,” *Development* 144, no. 10 (May 15, 2017): 1775–86, doi:10.1242/dev.148478.
16. Samantha A. Morris et al., “Dynamics of Anterior-Posterior Axis Formation in the Developing Mouse Embryo,” *Nature Communications* 3 (2012): id. 673, doi:10.1038/ncomms1671.
17. Rosen, “Why Not Artificial Wombs?,” *New Atlantis*, 67–76.
18. Ai Ling Teh et al., “The Effect of Genotype and *in utero* Environment on Interindividual Variation in Neonate DNA Methylomes,” *Genome Research* 24 (July 2014): 1064–74, doi:10.1101/gr.171439.113.

19. University of Southampton, "Development in the Womb: New Insight on Epigenetic Influence on Baby," ScienceDaily (website), April 28, 2014, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/04/140428074640.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/04/140428074640.htm).
20. Mikael Allan Mikaelsson et al., "Placental Programming of Anxiety in Adulthood Revealed by *Igf2*-Null Models," *Nature Communications* 4 (2013): id. 2311, doi:10.1038/ncomms3311.
21. Cardiff University, "Emotional Behavior of Adults Could Be Triggered in the Womb," ScienceDaily (website), August 6, 2013, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/08/130806132750.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/08/130806132750.htm).
22. British Neuroscience Association, "Fetal Exposure to Excessive Stress Hormones in the Womb Linked to Adult Mood Disorders," ScienceDaily (website), April 7, 2013, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/04/130407090835.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/04/130407090835.htm).
23. McMaster University Health Sciences, "Womb Needed for Proper Brain Development," ScienceDaily (website), November 22, 2005, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2005/11/051122205607.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2005/11/051122205607.htm).
24. McMaster University, "Womb Needed."
25. Utako Minai et al., "Fetal Rhythm-Based Language Discrimination: A Biomagnetometry Study," *NeuroReport* 28, no. 10 (July 2017): 561–64, doi:10.1097/wnr.0000000000000794.
26. University of Kansas, "Language Development Starts in the Womb," ScienceDaily (website), July 18, 2017, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/07/170718084600.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/07/170718084600.htm).
27. Sujata Rao et al., "A Direct and Melanopsin-Dependent Fetal Light Response Regulates Mouse Eye Development," *Nature* 494 (February 14, 2013): 243–46, doi:10.1038/nature11823.
28. Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, "Light Exposure during Pregnancy Key to Normal Eye Development," ScienceDaily (website), January 16, 2013, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/01/130116131405.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/01/130116131405.htm).
29. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, "Unique Womb-Like Device Could Reduce Mortality and Disability for Extremely Premature Babies," ScienceDaily (website), April 25, 2017, [sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/04/170425110807.htm](http://sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/04/170425110807.htm).
30. Carlston, "Artificial Wombs," 35–39.
31. Carlston, 35–39.
32. Rachel Becker, "An Artificial Womb Successfully Grew Baby Sheep—and Humans Could Be Next," The Verge (website), April 25, 2017, [theverge.com/2017/4/25/15421734/artificial-womb-fetus-biobag-uterus-lamb-sheep-birth-premie-preterm-infant](http://theverge.com/2017/4/25/15421734/artificial-womb-fetus-biobag-uterus-lamb-sheep-birth-premie-preterm-infant).
33. Carlston, "Artificial Wombs," 35–39.
34. Rosen, "Why Not Artificial Wombs?," 67–76.
35. Rosen, 67–76.
36. Rosen, 67–76.
37. Rosen, 67–76.
38. Rosen, 67–76.
39. Rosen, 67–76.