

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | The Humanitarian Crises of the 1990s and the Imperatives of Change | 1 |
| 1.2 | The Legal Response of ECOWAS and the AU to the Conflicts and Humanitarian Crises | 4 |
| 1.3 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and International Law | 7 |
| 1.4 | The R2P Deadlock and the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Alternatives | 15 |
| 1.5 | Synopsis of Theoretical Framework | 16 |
| 1.5.1 | A Snapshot of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Through the New Haven School | 18 |
| 1.5.2 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes: An Exercise in Illegal International Legal Reform (IILR)? | 23 |
| 1.5.3 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and Articles 2(4), 53(1) and 103 of the UN Charter | 25 |
| 1.5.4 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and Customary International Law | 28 |
| 1.5.5 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the Responsibility to Protect: Convergence or Divergence of Purposes? | 29 |
| 1.6 | The Structure of the Book | 32 |
| | References | 36 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2 | From Humanitarian Intervention to the Responsibility to Protect | 41 |
| 2.1 | Meaning and Scope of Humanitarian Intervention | 42 |
| 2.2 | State Practice of Humanitarian Intervention | 45 |
| 2.2.1 | The Intervention in Greece 1827–1830 | 45 |
| 2.2.2 | Intervention in Syria 1860–1861 | 46 |
| 2.2.3 | The Bosnia, Herzegovina and Bulgarian Intervention 1876–1878 | 47 |
| 2.2.4 | Intervention in Macedonia 1903–1908 and 1912–1913 . . . | 47 |
| 2.2.5 | Indian Intervention in East Pakistan (Bangladesh) 1971 . . . | 48 |
| 2.2.6 | Tanzanian Intervention in Uganda (1978) | 50 |
| 2.3 | The Opinion of Jurists on Humanitarian Intervention Before 1945 | 51 |
| 2.4 | The Humanitarian Intervention Debates of the 1990s | 56 |
| 2.4.1 | International Relations Theories of Humanitarian Intervention | 56 |
| 2.4.2 | International Law Theories of Humanitarian Intervention | 57 |
| 2.5 | Humanitarian Intervention Under the UN Charter: Four Normative Considerations | 59 |
| 2.5.1 | Equality of States | 61 |
| 2.5.2 | Sovereignty and Non-intervention | 64 |
| 2.5.3 | Prohibition of the Use of Force | 70 |
| 2.6 | The Emerging Norm of the Responsibility to Protect | 76 |
| 2.7 | Conclusion | 82 |
| | References | 83 |
| 3 | Post-Cold War Interventions in Africa and the Origin of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes | 89 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 89 |
| 3.2 | Liberia: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Conflict | 90 |
| 3.2.1 | The Humanitarian Crisis | 91 |
| 3.2.2 | The International Response | 94 |
| 3.3 | Somalia: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Conflict | 98 |
| 3.3.1 | The Humanitarian Crisis | 100 |
| 3.3.2 | The International Response | 101 |
| 3.4 | Rwanda: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Conflict | 105 |
| 3.4.1 | The Genocide and the Ensuing Humanitarian Crisis | 107 |
| 3.4.2 | The International Response | 111 |
| 3.5 | Darfur: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Crisis | 115 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3.5.1 | The Humanitarian Crisis and “Ambiguous Genocide” . . . | 118 |
| 3.5.2 | The International Response | 120 |
| 3.6 | Libya: Brief Historical Background | 123 |
| 3.6.1 | A Humanitarian Crisis? | 124 |
| 3.6.2 | The International Response | 124 |
| 3.7 | A Continent Let Down and the Search for a New Legal Framework | 134 |
| 3.8 | Conclusion | 136 |
| | References | 137 |
| 4 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the UN Charter | 143 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 143 |
| 4.2 | Background to the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes | 144 |
| 4.3 | Deconstructing the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes | 147 |
| 4.4 | Arenas of Normative Incompatibility Between the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the UN Charter | 150 |
| 4.4.1 | Article 2(4) of UN Charter and the AU and ECOWAS Right of Unilateral Use of Force | 150 |
| 4.4.2 | Primary Responsibility for the Maintenance of Peace and Security in Africa | 153 |
| 4.4.3 | Who Authorises the Use of Force in Africa? | 159 |
| 4.5 | The Situation Involving Non-members Such as Morocco (AU) and Mauritania (ECOWAS) | 165 |
| 4.6 | Implications of Article 103 of the UN Charter | 166 |
| 4.7 | Towards Normative Compatibility of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the UN Charter | 168 |
| 4.8 | Conclusion | 172 |
| | References | 173 |
| 5 | The Legal Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes in a Transformed Global Constitutive Process | 177 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 177 |
| 5.2 | The New Haven Conception of the Global Constitutive Process | 179 |
| 5.2.1 | Unorganised or Non-hierarchical Constitutive Process Structures (Pre-global Community Constitutive Process) and the Legality of Unilateral Humanitarian Intervention | 179 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 5.2.2 | League of Nations-Era Global Constitutive Process with Ineffective Hierarchical Structures and the Legal Validity of Unilateral Humanitarian Intervention | 185 |
| 5.2.3 | Constitutive Configuration with Hierarchical Institutions of Limited Effectiveness | 190 |
| 5.2.4 | Constitutive Configuration with Highly Effective Constitutive Structures and the Validity of Unilateral Humanitarian Intervention | 192 |
| 5.3 | Structural and Normative Transformations of the Global Constitutive Process and the Validity of Unilateral Actions | 193 |
| 5.4 | The Persistence of Type 3 Global Constitutive Configuration and the Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes in a Post-Cold War Paradigm | 197 |
| 5.5 | Procedural Objections to AU–ECOWAS Unilateral Interventions | 199 |
| 5.6 | Conditions for Legal Validity of Unilateral Actions in a Transformed Constitutive Process | 200 |
| 5.7 | Substantive Requirements for the Validity of AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes | 202 |
| 5.7.1 | Right Intention | 202 |
| 5.7.2 | Right Authority | 203 |
| 5.7.3 | Last Resort | 204 |
| 5.7.4 | Just Cause: War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity and Genocide | 206 |
| 5.8 | Legal Implications of the Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes for the UN Charter, International Law and R2P | 207 |
| 5.9 | Conclusion | 209 |
| | References | 210 |
| 6 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as a Process of Illegal International Legal Reform | 213 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 213 |
| 6.2 | The Concept of Illegal International Legal Reform Under International Law | 215 |
| 6.3 | Justifications for Illegal International Legal Reform | 223 |
| 6.3.1 | The Current Law on Humanitarian Intervention Is Defective and Needs Moral Improvement | 224 |
| 6.3.2 | The Infeasibility of Reform Through Formal Charter Processes | 226 |
| 6.3.3 | The Challenges of Reform and the UNSC’s Loss of Legitimacy | 230 |
| 6.4 | Objections to Reforming the Law of Humanitarian Intervention Through Illegal International Legal Reform | 232 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 6.5 | Humanitarian Intervention, Illegal International Legal Reform and the Question of Abuse | 239 |
| 6.6 | AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Exercise in Illegal International Legal Reform and the Implications for International Law | 241 |
| 6.7 | Conclusion | 244 |
| | References | 245 |
| 7 | The Legal Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Conventional and Customary International Law | 251 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 251 |
| 7.2 | AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Conventional International Law | 252 |
| 7.3 | The Validity of Treaty-Based Interventions Under the UN Charter | 253 |
| 7.4 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Treaties of Intervention | 259 |
| 7.4.1 | AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Intervention by Invitation | 259 |
| 7.4.2 | Consensual Intervention and the Preclusion of Wrongfulness Under the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes | 261 |
| 7.5 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Treaties and the Modification of the UN Charter | 265 |
| 7.6 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as a Modification of the UN Charter Amongst AU and ECOWAS Members Inter Se | 272 |
| 7.7 | AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Customary International Law | 275 |
| 7.7.1 | Practice Evidence of the Legal Validity of AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Customary International Law | 276 |
| 7.7.2 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Regional Customary Law | 278 |
| 7.8 | Objections to the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Codification of Regional Customary Law | 282 |
| 7.9 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and Use of Force as Jus Cogens | 285 |
| 7.10 | The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the Principle of Rebus Sic Stantibus | 289 |
| 7.11 | Conclusion | 292 |
| | References | 292 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 8 Conclusion: The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the Operationalisation of R2P in Africa Towards a Doctrine of Regional Responsibility to Protect | 299 |
| 8.1 Introduction | 299 |
| 8.2 Justifications for a Theory of Regional Responsibility to Protect (RR2P) | 302 |
| 8.3 The Contours of a Theory of Regional Responsibility to Protect (RR2P) | 305 |
| 8.4 Conclusion | 312 |
| References | 313 |
| Table of Cases | 317 |
| Table of Treaties and Other International Instruments | 319 |
| United Nations Documents | 323 |
| United Nations Security Council Resolutions | 329 |
| Index | 331 |

Humanitarian Intervention and the AU-ECOWAS
Intervention Treaties Under International Law
Towards a Theory of Regional Responsibility to Protect
Iyi, J.-M.

2016, XVIII, 337 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-23623-0