Record quarter at Chatree with almost 24,000 oz gold and over 200,000 oz silver produced

Key highlights during the quarter include:

- Chatree produced **23,922 ounces of gold** and **205,841 ounces of silver**, representing an 18% increase in gold production compared to the previous quarter and the strongest quarter of production since restart;
- Gold sales of **26,322 ounces** and **215,836 ounces of silver** at an average price of US$3,461 per ounce for gold and US$39.53 per ounce for silver;
- All in Sustaining Cost (AISC) of US$1,880 per ounce, with an AISC (pre royalties) of US$1,166 per ounce, and record AISC margin of **US$1,581 per ounce**;
- **Increase of 36%** in total cash, bullion and doré this quarter to **A$115 million**[1];
- Total material mined (ore and waste) **increased 21%** this quarter to **5.42 million tonnes**;
- Processing plants continuing to operate above nameplate capacity at an annualised rate of approximately **5.8 million tonnes per annum**;
- Kingsgate was included in the **S&P ASX300 Index**, following index rebalancing on 22 September;
- Significant intercepts in T prospect including **20m@3.30g/t Au** 0-20m (8312RC) and **19m@2.08g/t Au** 98-117m (8314RC)[2];
- Updated Group Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves statement released 10 October 2025, with Group Mineral Resources of **3.6 million ounces of gold**, and **86 million ounces of silver**, and Group Ore Reserves of **1.5 million ounces of gold** and **51 million ounces of silver**[3] [i];

Kingsgate Managing Director and CEO Jamie Gibson said, "The September quarter was an outstanding three months for the Chatree Gold Mine with record gold and silver production. While we expect quarterly production to vary across FY26 due to typical grade variability and planned waste movements, the current mine plan is positioning Chatree to deliver sustainable growth and long-term shareholder value."

[1] Total cash includes restricted cash of A$17m.

[2] Length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness).

[3] Refer to ASX:KCN release titled; "Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves Statement October 2025", dated 1 October 2025.

Kingsgate Consolidated Ltd
ABN: 42 000 837 472

Suite 12.07
Level 12, 14 Martin Place
Sydney NSW 2000

T: +61 2 8256 4800

www.kingsgate.com.au
info@kingsgate.com.au

@kingsgatemining
@kingsgatemining

Chatree Gold Mine

Operations

During the quarter the Chatree Gold Mine produced 23,922 ounces of gold and 205,841 ounces of silver, at an AISC per ounce of US$1,880. This represents an 18% increase in gold production compared to the June quarter and the strongest quarter of production since operations restarted. Chatree has a current TRIFR of 3.91.

		FY25			FY26
	Unit	Dec 24 Qtr	Mar 25 Qtr	Jun 25 Qtr	Sept 25 Qtr
Mining					
Open pit ore mined	'000 t	1,093	1,340	1,668	1,556
Open pit waste mined	'000 t	3,038	3,109	2,814	3,868
Stripping ratio	waste:ore	2.8:1	2.3:1	1.7:1	2.5:1
Stockpile ore reclaim	'000 t	211	28	16	170
Processing					
Ore processed	'000 t	1,297	1,380	1,437	1,454
Head grade - gold	g/t	0.51	0.55	0.57	0.62
Recovery - gold	%	84.3%	82.9%	79.9%	82.2%
Production - gold	oz	17,936	20,628	20,278	23,922
Head grade - silver	g/t	5.4	6.3	6.2	7.2
Recovery - silver	%	56.7%	58.8%	58.6%	62.7%
Production - silver	oz	128,037	161,523	166,807	205,841

Mining and Processing

Mining operations at Chatree continued to ramp up and efficiency rates increased during the September quarter resulting in a 21% increase in total material mined (ore and waste) compared to the previous quarter. A greater proportion of waste was mined this quarter to enable greater ore access in A-Pit West in future quarters. Stockpile ore reclaim increased this quarter due to the construction of the new ROM expansion project and for mill feed blending purposes.

During the September quarter, a total of approximately 1,454,000 tonnes of ore with a head grade of 0.62 grams per tonne gold was processed.

Pleasingly, the two plants continue to operate well above their nameplate capacity of 5 million tonnes per annum. During the September quarter, the plants operated at an annualised rate of approximately 5.8 million tonnes per annum. Recoveries have improved since the June quarter, with 82.2% for gold and 62.7% for silver this quarter. Plant availability remains consistently high at 95.2%.

A custom gearbox for the Plant #1 SAG mill has now been installed and is fully operational. The new custom gearbox will improve reliability and will minimise future maintenance downtime.

Mine Geology and Reconciliation

A total of 1,676 RC grade control holes were drilled for 41,622 metres in A West, A North-Central and A Top Cut. Six geotechnical diamond holes were drilled for a total of 480m to assess design criteria for the planned final A West pit high wall. Reconciliation performance was excellent for the September quarter, with estimates closely predicting gold ounces produced which provides high confidence in model estimates.

Finance

Quarterly Overview

Kingsgate's financial position improved markedly during the quarter driven by record bullion production and sales, and supported by continued strength in gold and silver prices.

Chatree's gold production is unhedged maximising its exposure to gold and silver price movements. The average realised gold price for the September quarter was US$3,461 per ounce, up 6% on the previous quarter.

The previous record quarter gold production was surpassed by more than 3,000 ounces with 23,922 ounces produced for the quarter ending September 2025. A further 3,294 ounces were sold from gold in safe (GIS) contributing to total sales of 26,322 ounces of gold for the quarter.

Total operating costs have been steady during the quarter and the AISC (pre royalties) was US$1,166 per ounce.

At quarter end, Chatree had 3,375 ounces of gold in safe (GIS). In accordance with the World Gold Council recommendations, AISC has been calculated on a gold sold basis, rather than gold produced. This approach can disadvantage Kingsgate as its bullion sale process cannot be readily accelerated. When gold production rates improve quickly, gold inventory typically builds in the short term.

Kingsgate's closing available cash-on-hand plus bullion increased over the quarter from A$69.0 million to A$97.4 million.

All In Sustaining Cost (AISC)

	FY25			FY26
US$/oz sold	**Dec 24 Qtr**	**Mar 25 Qtr**	**Jun 25 Qtr**	**Sep 25 Qtr**
Gold sold (oz)	17,314	20,000	18,649	26,322
Costs & achieved price				
Mining	447	454	707	446
Processing	769	594	789	550
Administration[4]	204	137	187	115
Inventory movements	(55)	(5)	(294)	57
By-product credits	(237)	(237)	(280)	(324)
Cash Costs	**1,128**	**943**	**1,109**	**844**
Sustaining capital	460	315	231	301
Sustaining leases	26	23	27	21
All-in Sustaining Cost (AISC) Pre Royalties	**1,614**	**1,281**	**1,367**	**1,166**
Royalties	511	558	673	714
All-in Sustaining Cost (AISC)	**2,125**	**1,839**	**2,040**	**1,880**
Average achieved sale price	**2,664**	**2,875**	**3,280**	**3,461**
AISC margin	**539**	**1,036**	**1,240**	**1,581**

Chatree's AISC for the September 2025 quarter was US$1,880 per ounce sold and the average realised sale price of US$3,461 per ounce providing for a record AISC margin of US$1,581 per ounce of gold sold.

The improvement in the AISC (pre-royalties) by US$201/oz, was in part attributable to the mining and processing of higher grade zones, as well as reduced mining and processing costs. Additionally, Kingsgate benefitted from both increased silver production and prices. During the quarter, Chatree sold 216,836 ounces of silver at an average realised silver price of US$39.53/oz. Silver contributed 8.6% of total sales revenue and provided for a reduction in AISC by US$324/oz.

Non-operational factors impacting the AISC included:

- **Inventory movements:** Chatree sold more gold than the amount produced through the sale of existing gold-in-safe. The reduction in gold-in-safe by 2,400 ounces contributed to an inventory movement cost of US$57/oz.
- **Royalty Costs:** Thailand's gold royalty payments are a function of the THB gold price and rates are determined on a progressive basis. Overall, the royalty costs expressed as a percentage of total revenue remained materially unchanged quarter on quarter.

[4] Administration includes the cost category 'refining, transport and rehabilitation' as shown in previous Quarterly Reports

From an operational perspective, during the September quarter the following key cost variances were observed[5]:

- **Mining and Processing Costs:** In aggregate, mining and processing costs were down by 8% this quarter on an absolute Thai Baht basis, and on a stand-alone basis, processing costs reduced to US$9.96/t processed. Processing costs vary slightly from quarter to quarter depending on the mill reline and planned maintenance schedule.
- **Sustaining Capital Costs:** The reduction in mining costs were offset by the increase in sustaining capital as not all waste movements were expensed. Instead, in accordance with accounting policies, waste movements related to the construction of TSF #2 were capitalised.
- **Administration Costs:** The administration costs include annual audit costs, insurances, legal, transport and staffing costs. There are typically lower during the first quarter of the financial year. Furthermore, some specific staffing costs were reallocated to mining and processing costs respectively.

The AISC (pre-royalties) for the September quarter was US$1,166 per ounce.

[5] Calculations of percentages of 'cost variance' is based on the difference on a dollar basis.

Cash and Bullion

Sales during the quarter totalled 28,787 ounces of gold equivalent[6] comprising 26,322 ounces of gold at an average realised price of US$3,461 per ounce and 215,836 ounces of silver at an average realised price of US$39.53 per ounce.

During the September quarter, bullion sales generated total cash inflows of A$152.3 million (up 44% on the previous quarter), and total bullion held as at the end of the quarter decreased by A$2.2 million to A$43.0 million. After site-based cash outflows[7] net free cash flows from the Chatree operations totalled A$46.3 million, excluding lease payments which primarily related to Caterpillar mining equipment and totalled A$5.4 million.

The available cash and bullion balance over the quarter increased from A$69.0 million to A$97.4 million. With the inclusion of restricted cash of A$17.1 million, Kingsgate's total cash and bullion balance as at 30 September 2025 increased to A$114.5 million[8].

A waterfall chart of Kingsgate's cash build over the quarter is provided below.



[6] Gold equivalent calculated using average realised sale price for gold and silver

[7] Defined as the sum of site operating costs, royalties, capital costs and exploration costs, but excluding any lease or financing costs

[8] The increase in restricted cash reflects an increase in funds held on behalf of community recipients

Debt

Kingsgate continues to hold a loan facility with Nebari and every month repayments equal to 3% of the principal amount outstanding under Tranche 1 are made. Tranche 2 is a convertible loan facility with no principal payments until its maturity date in January 2027. During the quarter, principal and interest payments totalled A$5 million and the total loan balance reduced from A$51.9 million to A$48.6 million.[9]

Capital expenditure update and outlook

Total capital expenditure comprises sustaining capital expenditure and non-sustaining capital expenditure.

Akara's sustaining capital expenditure is largely attributable to mined waste tonnes that are moved from the A Pit during mining to the Tailings Storage Facility 2 ("TSF #2"). During the wet months (including the September quarter) these tonnes are used to support construction of the rock structure and clay embankments related to TSF #2, while in the dry months, these are primarily used to support construction of the TSF lifts. Other sustaining capital typically relates to processing plant and mining equipment maintenance costs.

Excluding capital costs related to TSF # 2, Akara's capital expenditure budget for FY26, is ~US$8.0M and consists of several initiatives to improve operational safety, performance efficiency, and reduce unit rate production costs.

These initiatives will be implemented over the next six to nine months and include the following[10]:

(i) A 4-year lease with Metro CAT for additional CAT equipment comprising of a D10 Dozer and 6030 hydraulic mining shovel (excavator) with indicative lead times of approximately 12-14 weeks. These are to improve overall mining rates and support larger waste tonne movements contemplated from the second half of FY2026. Aggregate deposits of c.US$820k[11] (15%) are anticipated over the next two quarters;

(ii) Deployment of a fleet management system (FMS), including a dispatch office, supporting network infrastructure and improvement of on-site IT capabilities. Implementation is targeted to be completed during the 2HFY2026 at an indicative capital cost of US$2.2M;

(iii) Construction and extension of the maintenance workshop at a cost of c.US$0.6M to enhance safety and productivity related to processing plant maintenance and repairs;

(iv) Various plant improvements, including installing of new inter-tank screens to improve productivity (c.US$0.5M), Plant 2 mill bearing upgrades to reduce mill downtime (c.US$0.8M) and enhancements of the ROM bins to prevent entry of oversized rocks (c.US$0.8M).

Kingsgate's strong cash position and constrained capital expenditure for FY26 provides the company with significant financial flexibility to consider discretionary capital initiatives and/or discretionary capital expenditure to support long-term operational growth.

[9] The Nebari loan is denominated in USD and the limit reduction over the quarter reflects principal repayments and changes in the USD/AUD exchange rates.

[10] Budget capital costs are denominated predominantly in Thai Baht. An USD/THB exchange rate of 32 was applied to determine indicative USD cost equivalents.

[11] Subject to exchange rate fluctuations (USD/THB) and final pricing which is denominated in Thai Baht.

Guidance Update

The September 2025 quarter production was strong as higher grade zones were accessed, contributing to an AISC (pre royalties) below the FY26 guidance of US$1,550/oz to US$1,750/oz.

Kingsgate remains on track to meet to its FY26 guidance of 93koz - 103 Koz Au Eq[12] and AISC[13,14] (pre-royalties) of US$1,550/oz to US$1,750/oz.

[12] Gold equivalent ounces ('AuEq') are calculated using a fixed gold-to-silver ratio of 88.5:1. The formula used is: AuEq (oz) = Au (oz) + [Ag (oz) ÷ 88.5]. Metal equivalence is applied uniformly for the purpose of reporting and does not reflect actual realised prices or metallurgical recoveries. AuEq should not be considered as a substitute for, or proxy of a direct economic valuation.

[13] Material assumptions include (i) mining contractor efficiencies are maintained at target levels, (ii) processing recoveries consistent with past performance, (iii) all necessary permits and licences are maintained, held or obtained as required.

[14] Based on an assumed THB/USD exchange rate of 31.50, silver price of US$31/oz, gold price of US$3,100/oz and sold ounces.

Corporate

Nueva Esperanza Gold/Silver Project, Chile

Nueva Esperanza is a prospective, pre-feasibility stage gold and silver project located in the Maricunga Belt in the Atacama region of Chile.

Earlier this year, a total of 725 rock chip and soil samples were collected from Boulder Patch, Potosi South and Santa Rosa south-east prospects. The samples were analysed and in addition to gold and silver assays, trace elements expected to relate to mineralisation were examined in the context of lithotype and alteration classification.

Although no further drilling targets have been identified from this geochemical assessment, anomalous gold samples with coincident increases in arsenic, bismuth, silver, thallium, antimony, lead and tellurium are evident along the western edge of Boulder Patch and further field reconnaissance and evaluation has been recommended in this area.

Also during the quarter, a water management consultant and a specialist legal adviser were appointed. At the recent IMARC Conference in Sydney, Kingsgate engaged with senior Chilean Government representatives including the Chilean Ambassador to Australia, HE Ms Beatriz de la Fuente and Vice Minister of Mining, Mrs. Suina Chahuán.

Kingsgate is continuing to explore all options to advance the project and unlock value for shareholders, including a separate listing, joint venture or sale.

Thailand-Australia Free Trade Agreement

As announced on 1 October 2025, by mutual agreement with the Kingdom of Thailand, the holding period for the Arbitral Award under the Thailand-Australia Free Trade Agreement ("TAFTA") was extended for six weeks until the 15 November 2025.

The extension follows recent political developments in Thailand, including the appointment of a new Prime Minister, Mr Anutin Charnvirakul. Kingsgate continues to engage constructively with the new Thai Government and remains committed to advancing the best interests of shareholders and the Company, while appropriately balancing the interests of relevant stakeholders.

For further background on the Company's TAFTA claim please refer to ASX:KCN release titled, "TAFTA update", dated 4 October 2024.

ASX SMIDcaps Conference

Kingsgate's Managing Director and CEO, Jamie Gibson was delighted to present at the ASX SMIDcaps Conference on 24 September 2025.

The bi-annual event provided the opportunity for 27 of the most promising small and mid-cap companies to present their investment proposition to a vast network of Australian investors both in person and online.

Annual General Meeting

Kingsgate's Annual General Meeting (AGM) of Shareholders will be held at 2.00pm AEDT on Thursday 27 November 2025 as a hybrid meeting. Shareholders are welcome to attend the AGM in person, which will be held in the Warrane Theatre at the Museum of Sydney, at the corner of Phillip and Bridge Streets, Sydney. Alternatively, shareholders can attend virtually. Refer to KCN:ASX release titled "Letter to Shareholders, Notice of AGM and Proxy Form", dated 17 October 2025 for further details.

Sustainability & Community

CSR and Environmental Excellence Awards

In September 2025, Akara Resources received two national awards recognising its leadership in corporate social responsibility and environmental stewardship.

On 12 September 2025, the company was presented with the CSR Award 2025 by the Department of Social Development and Welfare, Ministry of Social Development and Human Security. The award acknowledges Akara's outstanding CSR performance at the provincial level in Phichit Province, reflecting its long-term commitment to creating shared value and improving quality of life for local communities surrounding the Chatree Gold Mine.

Shortly after, on 18 September 2025, Akara was honoured with a second award, the "Love Forests, Love the Land" Honorary Emblem from the Royal Forest Department. The award was presented by Dr. Chayanan Phakdeejit, Permanent Secretary of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment.

This recognition highlights Akara's sustained efforts in forest restoration and green innovation. A notable initiative includes the biocement production project, led by Assoc. Prof. Dr. Thidarat Boonsri from King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, which utilises tailings from Akara's operations as a raw material. The project was recognised for innovation in sustainable resource utilisation, aligning with Akara's commitment to transforming mine tailings into valuable materials that generate long-term environmental and community benefits.

Akara Senior Leaders receiving CSR and Environmental Awards, September 2025

Village Development Fund & Health Monitoring Fund

During the recent flood crisis in Phichit Province, Akara provided swift and practical support to affected communities. Akara supplied hundreds of sand bags to reinforce flood barriers along the Nan River and distributed essential items such as drinking water, one tonne of rice, and consumer goods to impacted households, even reaching remote areas by boat.

Akara CSR team delivering supplies to Phichit residents

Durian-Planting Pilot Project

To promote sustainable agriculture and future income generation for local communities, Akara launched a pilot durian-planting project near the Akara CSR office. A total of 120 durian trees were planted, introducing a "high-value cash crop" with strong market potential for the region.

The project included training sessions conducted by experienced durian growers, focusing on low-chemical cultivation techniques designed to produce high-quality fruit while minimising environmental impact. Over time, the plantation will evolve into a learning centre for organic durian farming, supporting knowledge exchange, sustainable livelihoods, and long-term economic resilience within the community surrounding the Chatree Gold Mine.

Durian Planting near Akara CSR office

Exploration

Exploration activities were conducted during the September quarter at T prospect (part of SE Complex) and Singto prospect within Special Prospecting Licenses (SPL) in the Phetchabun province.

The drilling programs focused on assessing exploration targets within T prospect and a deep geophysical target at Singto prospect (Figure 1).

A total of 30 holes were completed, comprising 27 RC at T Prospect, 2 RW (monitoring water bore) in SE Complex and 1 RD hole in Singto for 2,922m RC and 269.5m DD.



Figure 1: Chatree South-East Complex and Singto Prospect Locations[15].

[15] Local Grid

T Prospect

Exploration drilling was completed as planned. 27 RC holes were drilled during the quarter. Drilling targeted high-grade and shallow zones of mineralisation including infill drilling down to 25m X 20m grid spacing. The significant Au intercepts (> 5-gram x meter)[16] are shown below.

- 8305RC: **11m@0.55g/t Au** (20-31m)
- 8306RC: **8m@1.39g/t Au** (82-90m)
- 8308RC: **8m@0.64g/t Au** (54-62m)
- 8312RC: **20m@3.30g/t Au** (0-20m), inc. **6m@8.40g/t Au** (1-7m)
- 8313RC: **10m@0.60g/t Au** (12-22m)
- 8314RC: **19m@2.08g/t Au** (98-117m), inc. **3m@8.64g/t Au** (114-117m)
- 8319RC: **11m@0.68g/t Au** (36-47m)
- 8322RC: **19m@0.48g/t Au** (142-161m)
- 8323RC: **23m@0.86g/t Au** (42-65m), inc. **1m@3.62g/t Au** (58-59m) and **10m@0.62g/t Au** (72-82m)
- 8325RC: **6m@0.87g/t Au** (42-48m)
- 8328RC: **14m@1.20g/t Au** (52-66m), inc. **1m@3.11g/t Au** (61-62m)
- 8330RC: **22m@0.60g/t Au** (18-40m)



Figure 2: Drill hole locations[17] and significant intercepts[18] at T Prospect, Chatree South-East Complex.

[16] Length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness)

[17] Local Grid

[18] Length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness)



Figure 3: Significant Au intercepts[19] in section 11700N, T prospect.



Figure 4: Significant Au intercepts[20] in section 11600N, T prospect.

[19] Length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness)

[20] Length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness)

Singto Prospect

One RD hole was drilled at Singto during the quarter with total meterage of 389.5m (120mRC and 269.5DD) as recommended by geophysicist Todd Grant. The hole is targeted in a zone of coincident low resistivity and high chargeability at depth.

Drilling identified phyllic-propylitic altered diorite and diorite porphyry, containing trace to 25% quartz veins and 2-5% disseminated pyrite and trace chalcopyrite. Significant Cu intercepts[21] include:

- 8332RD: **23m@0.25% Cu** (5-28m), **9m@0.20% Cu** (61-70m), and **8m@0.19% Cu** (222-230m)



Figure 3: Drillhole locations, Singto Prospect[22].



Figure 4: Phyllic altered porphyritic diorite with quartz veins (222-224m), Singto prospect.

[21] Length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness)

[22] Local Grid

Drilling for Singto will continue in the December quarter to test a deeper target based on revised geophysical modelling that indicates the presence of deep porphyry bodies.



Figure 5: Deep drilling planned for geophysical targets from 3-dimensional models.

Appendix 1: Drillhole collar details and assay intercepts, July to September 2025

Hole ID	Prospect	Easting	Northing	Collar RL	Azi	Dip	Hole Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Including Au (g/t) or Remark
8303RC	T	7290.0	1450.0	87.2	90	-55	132	No Significant Assay					
8304RC	T	7220.0	1600.0	89.2	90	-55	120	No Significant Assay					
8305RC	T	7280.0	1650.0	88.5	90	-55	90	20	31	11	0.55		
8306RC	T	7260.0	1600.0	88.7	90	-55	108	68	73	5	0.42		
								82	90	8	1.39		
8307RC	T	7280.0	1725.0	88.3	270	-55	96	78	84	6	0.54		
8308RC	T	7330.0	1450.0	86.8	90	-55	156	27	30	3	0.37		
								45	48	3	1.02		
								54	62	8	0.64		
								70	71	1	1.08		
8309RC	T	7110.0	1700.0	90.6	270	-55	90	No Significant Assay					
8310RC	T	7070.0	1700.0	90.7	270	-55	90	No Significant Assay					
8311RW	B	8452.2	0121.2	82.1	0	-90	150	0	8	8	1.01		
								26	30	4	0.32		
								31	34	3	0.43		
								38	42	4	0.33		
8312RC	T	7250.0	1700.0	88.9	270	-55	66	0	20	20	3.30		*6m@8.40 (1-7m)*
8313RC	T	7272.0	1675.0	88.6	90	-55	60	2	7	5	0.36		
								12	22	10	0.60		
								30	37	7	0.40		
8314RC	T	7310.0	1700.0	87.8	270	-55	120	98	117	19	2.08		3m@8.64 (114-117m)
8315RC	T	7370.0	1450.0	86.6	90	-55	132	65	67	2	1.39		
8316RC	T	7360.0	1500.0	87.1	90	-55	138	No Significant Assay					
8317RW	B	8512.7	0053.9	78.6	0	-90	165	25	54	29	0.86		2m@3.60 (48-50m)
								74	84	10	0.68		
8318RC	T	7280.0	1500.0	87.9	90	-55	126	0	5	5	0.36		
								51	55	4	0.47		
								89	94	5	0.75		
								114	118	4	0.49		
8319RC	T	7300.0	1600.0	88.2	90	-55	72	24	28	4	0.34		
								36	47	11	0.68		
8320RC	T	7160.0	1650.0	89.9	90	-55	66	No Significant Assay					
8321RC	T	7350.0	1700.0	87.4	270	-55	18	No Significant Assay					Collapsed hole
8322RC	T	7349.0	1705.6	87.6	270	-55	171	142	161	19	0.48		
8323RC	T	7290.0	1700.0	88.2	270	-55	114	42	65	23	0.86		1m@3.62 (58-59m)
								72	82	10	0.62		
8324RC	T	7300.0	1725.0	88.1	270	-55	114	86	90	4	0.31		
8325RC	T	7290.0	1625.0	88.2	90	-55	60	42	48	6	0.87		
8326RC	T	7250.0	1625.0	88.8	90	-55	72	25	27	2	0.52		
								64	67	3	0.69		

Hole ID	Prospect	Easting	Northing	Collar RL	Azi	Dip	Hole Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Including Au (g/t) or Remark
8327RC	T	7320.0	1600.0	87.9	90	-55	36	No Significant Assay					
8328RC	T	7280.0	1600.0	88.3	90	-55	78	0	3	3	0.42		
								52	66	14	1.20		1m@3.11 (61-62m)
8329RC	T	7295.0	1578.0	88.1	90	-55	60	47	49	2	0.60		
8330RC	T	7225.0	1575.0	89.0	90	-55	60	18	40	22	0.60		
8331RC	T	7310.0	1625.0	88.1	90	-55	42	No Significant Assay					
8332RD	Singto	1544.7	7858	109.4	0	-90	398.5	23m@0.25% Cu (5-28m), 9m@0.20% Cu (61-70m), and 8m@0.19% Cu (222-230m)					

Corporate Directory

Board of Directors and Management

Ross Smyth-Kirk OAM	Executive Chairman
Peter Warren	Non-Executive Director
Jamie Gibson	Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer
Mischa Mutavdzic	Chief Financial Officer
Jillian Terry	General Manager, Geology
Stephanie Wen	General Counsel & Company Secretary
Bob Kennedy	General Manager, Operations
Bronwyn Parry	General Manager, Corporate & External Relations

Principal and Registered Office

Suite 12.07, Level 12, 14 Martin Place, Sydney NSW 2000, Australia

Tel: +61 2 8256 4800

Email: info@kingsgate.com.au

Web: www.kingsgate.com.au

Share Registry

MUFG Corporate Markets (AU) Limited

Liberty Place, Level 41, 161 Castlereagh Street, Sydney, NSW 2000

Postal address: Locked Bag A14, Sydney South, NSW 1235

Tel: +61 1300 554 474

Email: support@cm.mpms.mufg.com

Web: au.investorcentre.mpms.mufg.com

Exchange and Share Details

ASX code: KCN

As at 30 September 2025, there were 256,561,572 ordinary shares on issue. There are also 2.5 million options on issue with an exercise price of A$2.00 and expiry date of 12 May 2027, and 6,986,589 warrants on issue with an exercise price of A$2.07 and expiry date of 18 January 2027.

Forward Looking Statement

The material contained in this report is for information purposes only. This release is not an offer or invitation for subscription or purchase of, or a recommendation in relation to, securities in the Company and neither this release nor anything contained in it shall form the basis of any contract or commitment. This report contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risk factors associated with exploring for, developing, mining, processing and the sale of gold. Forward-looking statements include those containing such words as 'anticipate', 'estimates', 'forecasts', 'indicative', 'should', 'will', 'would', 'expects', 'plans' or similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other important factors, many of which are beyond the control of the Company, and which could cause actual results or trends to differ materially from those expressed in this report. Actual results may vary from the information in this report. The Company does not make, and this report should not be relied upon as, any representation or warranty as to the accuracy, or reasonableness, of such statements or assumptions. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements. This report has been prepared by the Company based on information available to it, including information from third parties, and has not been independently verified. No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the fairness, accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in this report. To the maximum extent permitted by law, neither the Company, their directors, employees or agents, advisers, nor any other person accepts any liability, including, without limitation, any liability arising from fault or negligence on the part of any of them or any other person, for any loss arising from the use of this presentation or its contents or otherwise arising in connection with it.

No New Information

To the extent that announcement contains references to prior exploration results, mineral resource estimates and Ore Reserves estimates, unless explicitly stated, no new material information is contained. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the relevant market announcements and, in the case of estimates of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The previous market announcements are available to view on the Company's website or on the ASX website (www.asx.com.au).

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Akara Resources exploration results for prospects near to the Chatree Gold Mine in Thailand, Nueva Esperanza exploration results in Chile and Group Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves estimates is based on information compiled by Jillian Terry, General Manager Geology and a full-time employee of the Kingsgate Group, a Competent Person who is a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Ms Terry declares that she has no issues that could be perceived by investors as a material conflict of interest in preparing the reported information. Ms Terry has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves." Ms Terry consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on her information in the form and context in which it appears.

---

[i] Group Measured Resources: 20.2Mt@0.69g/t Au and 6.8g/t Ag, Group Indicated Resources: 111.3Mt@0.65g/t Au and 19.8g/t Ag. Group Inferred Resources 42Mt@0.60g/t Au and 7.9g/t Ag.
Group Proved Reserves: 10.0Mt@0.79g/t Au and 7.2g/t Ag. Group Probable Reserves: 57.3Mt@0.65g/t Au and 26.1g/t Ag

Chatree Project – Table 1 (JORC Code, 2012)

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	*Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.*	• Drill samples; core from diamond drilling, rock chips from RC drilling and whole rock specimens were collected by Akara Resources personnel using industry standard processes and QAQC. • For RC holes, one metre samples were collected from the cyclone and split using a Jones Riffle Splitter to create two representative samples of 3kg to 4 kg, one for the Chatree laboratory for assaying and the other for retention as a reference sample. Damp or wet samples were left to dry naturally prior to riffle splitting. Samples were washed and sieved prior to geological logging. • Diamond drill core was oriented and logged for geology and geotechnical criteria. Diamond core was logged and sampled over one metre intervals. Core was cut into halves using a diamond saw. Post-mineralisation barren dykes were sporadically sampled. Samples were sent to the Chatree laboratory for assaying. The remaining core was stored in core trays for future reference.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	• Field RC duplicate samples are collected at a frequency of 5%. No Diamond core duplicates are taken. • Diamond holes have been drilled to twin RC holes. Analysis of historical twinned holes shows no material grade difference between the holes. • Recoveries of diamond core and RC samples are measured and recorded.
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.	• At the laboratory, all samples were dried, crushed and pulverised to >85% passing 75 microns, with a 50g charge analysed for gold by fire assay and

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		silver, copper, iron, lead and zinc analysed by aqua regia, with AAS finish. Since January 2024 Carbon and Sulphur have been analysed using a LECO instrument. • QAQC duplicates (field, crusher and pulp), commercial certified reference materials, blanks and screen sizing analyses were assessed at a frequency of at least one in every 25 samples. The QAQC results confirmed the reliability of sampling and assaying (refer results in the quality section below). Production reconciliation performance since 2001 provides additional confidence in the analysis of mineralisation.
Drilling techniques	*Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• RC drilling used face sampling bits with diameters of 5.25 inch to 5.5 inch (125mm to 133mm) with samples collected by either Jones Riffle Splitter or stationary cone splitter. RC drilling was used for grade assessment holes as well as hydrogeological boreholes. • Diamond holes were drilled with HQ or HQ triple tube for 63.5 or 61.1mm core diameter) and some (RD holes) included RC pre-collars that were drilled, sampled and assayed before converting to HQ or HQ3 diamond tails that were also sampled and assayed. Core was oriented using either a standard spear technique or an Axis Orientation tool. Diamond drilling was used for grade assessment, geotechnical boreholes and hydrogeological boreholes. • Downhole acoustic televiewer imaging was undertaken on all geotechnical holes by Austhai Geophysical Consultants.
Drill sample recovery	*Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.*	• Diamond drill hole core recovery was recorded by drillers as the length of core recovered for each core run. Driller measurements were checked by Akara geologists. Average diamond core recovery for DD holes for the reporting period is 99.6%. Some core loss was associated with shear zones, breccia zones or fractured rock however these are rarely associated with mineralisation. • RC sample recovery was calculated by comparing total recovered sample weights with theoretical weights based on bit diameter and density of

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		rock type. Average RC hole sample recovery for the reporting period is 69%. Average RD hole diamond sample recovery is 99.6%. Lower recoveries are associated with less competent rock such as soil, shear zones or fractured rock.
	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	• Akara geologists and field assistants supervise all operating drill rigs including monitoring recovery and sample quality. • Drilling crews are trained by Akara geologists to understand basic sampling theory. • RC holes are drilled with face sampling bits and sufficient compressor capacity to generally return dry samples such that 81% of samples are recorded as dry and the remainder damp or wet. • A sampling nomogram has not been generated for drill samples however results are within accepted industry tolerances for field, crusher and pulp duplicates.
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	• There is no apparent relationship between gold grades and recovery. • Screen sizing analysis has not identified a relationship between size fraction and grade. • Some RC holes have been twinned with diamond drill holes and statistical comparisons have been undertaken showing no bias. • Reconciliation performance of Chatree production from 2001 to 2016 and 2024 to present compared to resource estimates does not indicate sampling bias.
Logging	*Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.*	• All drill core and RC chips have been geologically logged according to industry standards to a level of detail that will support future Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies, metallurgical studies and ore control. • Airlift tests have been conducted for most hydrogeological boreholes. • Data recorded for RC chips includes lithology, mineralisation, carbonaceous content, alteration, sample recovery and quality. • Data recorded for diamond core includes lithology, mineralisation,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		alteration, carbonaceous content, structure, sample recovery and quality and geotechnical parameters e.g. RQD, ASD, rock strength. • Logging data is captured onto either paper and then data is entered into the Fusion Database or onto electronic tablets and uploaded to the Fusion Database. • Logging consistency is aided by a core reference library that displays examples of lithologies. Geologists employed by Akara have generally worked at Chatree for 10+ years. Graduate geologists are coached by experienced geologists. • Detailed codes are also mapped into a new database field containing nine summary codes.
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.	• Logging is mostly qualitative, however for drill core, structural measurements and some geotechnical measurements e.g. RQD are quantitative. • All drill core is digitally photographed and stored in the database. • Mapping is conducted in the mine area and where outcrop exists however much of the SE Complex (incorporates T Prospect) is rice fields with no outcrop. There is some outcrop at Chang Puek Prospect and Singto Prospect.
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	• All drillholes have been logged.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	*If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.*	• Diamond drill core is halved using a diamond blade core saw after the core is oriented and metres are marked by the logging geologist and geotechnical logging has been completed. • Half core, sampled from a consistent side of the core is submitted to the Chatree assay laboratory for analysis. Sample numbers are written on the remaining half of core. • If core is broken and unable to be cut, a representative sub-sample is manually collected from the broken fragments to represent the interval.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	• For RC drill samples, the full sample from each metre was either collected from the cyclone and riffle split using a Jones Riffle Splitter or was passed over a stationary cone splitter to produce two representative samples of 3kg to 4kg (weighed in the field) for assaying and either saved for reference or for resubmission as duplicate field samples (5% of total samples). Damp or wet samples were left to dry naturally prior to riffle splitting, however damp or wet samples can be split if the rig is fitted with a stationary cone splitter.
	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	• Samples are prepared and submitted in batches of up to 250 samples, however most batches range in size between 100 to 150 samples. • The Chatree assay laboratory has a separate dedicated assaying area for exploration samples. This is separate from the mine production samples area. • Samples are emptied into oven trays with sample ID tags and dried at 105 degrees Celsius for a minimum of eight hours. • The Chatree assay laboratory was certified with an ISO 17025 rating prior to closure of the operation in 2016. Since operations recommenced in 2023, the laboratory has not yet refreshed the prior ISO certification but is working towards this. • A sampling nomogram has not been developed to guide sample preparation and splitting protocols, however operational reconciliation performance and analysis of duplicate pairs indicates that the sample preparation protocol is appropriate. • Oven-dried samples are crushed using a Jaw Crusher to a nominal 2-4mm fragment size. The samples are split using a Jones Riffle Splitter and a 1-1.5kg sample is collected for pulverizing. The jaw crusher is cleaned between samples with an air gun. Crusher duplicates are collected and resubmitted at a rate of ≥2%. • Crushed samples are pulverised using LM2 Ring mill pulverisers to >85%

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		passing 75 microns. Screen sizing analysis is conducted for approximately 2% of all pulverised samples to confirm that the required comminution has been achieved. Pulverised sample of > one hundred grams is sampled using an incremental sampling technique into numbered paper pulp packets. Pulp duplicates are collected and resubmitted at a rate of ≥2%.
	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	• Since May 2024, the sub-sampling protocol for all sample batch submissions requires that there must be a Quality Control minimum of 2% blanks, 5% certified reference materials (Au and Ag), 2% field duplicates (RC chips only), 2% crusher duplicates and 2% pulp duplicates submitted. • The quality control measures have established that the assaying was of appropriate precision and accuracy for the estimates. Blank samples showed no obvious signs of contamination and certified reference materials are generally within 2 standard deviations of the mean. Close agreement between resource model estimates and mill reconciled production for mining to date provided additional confidence in the reliability of sampling and assaying.
	Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	• Duplicate field RC chip sample assays show acceptable correlation with primary samples when measured against industry standards with no apparent precision issues. • Second half duplicate diamond core analyses were not conducted. • Screen sizing analysis is conducted after pulverizing to ensure that 90% of material is passing 75 microns.
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	• Sample sizes for field samples (3-4kg), crusher sub-samples (1-1.5kg) and pulp sub-samples (>100g) are appropriate for fine grained gold of <75 microns.
Quality of assay data and	*The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.*	• Assaying for gold and silver is carried out by the Chatree Gold Mine on-site laboratory. Gold assaying was by fire-assay (50g samples) with AAS finish. All assays of greater than 6.0g/t gold are repeated using a

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
laboratory tests		gravimetric finish. Silver, Copper and Iron are assayed using an aqua regia digestion with AAS finish. • Since January 2024 Carbon and Sulphur analyses have been conducted by LECO. • Analyses are considered to be a total representation of the interval sampled. • The Chatree site laboratory was previously ISO 17025 certified until operations were suspended in 2016. Since operations recommenced in 2023, the laboratory has not reapplied for ISO certification, however all QAQC results are closely reviewed on a formal monthly basis by Chatree mine, exploration, mill and laboratory personnel and results confirm industry good practice. • Submitted standards results are analysed on a batch-by-batch basis and monthly. The majority of standards show average accuracy of within 2 standard deviations from expected value with no consistent positive or negative bias. In cases where initial standard assays fell outside the acceptable range, the entire batch was re-assayed. • The Chatree laboratory routinely participates in inter-laboratory round robin campaigns with excellent performance results.
	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	• No geophysical logging (except ATV), hyperspectral or XRF analyses were undertaken during the reporting period.
	Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	• Standards/ Certified Reference Materials, blanks, field duplicates, crusher duplicates, pulp duplicates and external laboratory round robins confirmed that accuracy and precision meet industry standards. • Close agreement between resource model estimates, grade control estimates and mill-reconciled production provide additional confidence in the quality of the drill and analytical data.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	*The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.*	• Significant intersections were verified by company personnel.
	The use of twinned holes.	• Twinned holes are drilled as necessary and have been regularly drilled in the past. RC and diamond twinned holes with an approximate 5m spacing have been drilled this quarter.
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	• Since Chatree re-opened in 2023, all data was migrated from the historic Access databases to a new Datamine Fusion relational Database with daily backup and disaster recovery processes. Logging data is now captured onto electronic tablets and uploaded to the Fusion Database or captured on paper and entered into the Fusion Database and imported to Datamine Studio RM for visual verification. • Logging consistency is aided by a core reference library that displays examples of lithologies. Geologists employed by Akara have generally worked at Chatree for 10+ years. Graduate geologists are coached by experienced geologists. • The Kingsgate Group implements formal data validation procedures with data being validated as close to the source as possible to ensure reliability and accuracy. Inconsistencies identified in the validation procedures are re-checked and changes are made to the database if a problem is identified.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	• No adjustments have been made to assay data.
Location of data points	*Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.*	• All drill hole collars were surveyed using a DGPS by the site survey team. • All diamond holes and most RC holes were down-hole surveyed at generally 25 to 30m intervals. The surveying is usually undertaken by down-hole camera during withdrawal of the drill string from the hole with the use of a stainless steel rod to minimise magnetic interference.
	Specification of the grid system used.	• Local Mine Grids are used with transformations to WGS84 as required.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	• The location of the sample points and topographic surface have been established with sufficient accuracy.
Data spacing and distribution	*Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.*	• Variable data spacing, depending upon land access, however it is intended to drill to at least 30m X 30m spacing in preparation for future resource and reserve estimates.
	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	• The drill data are of sufficiently tight spacing, with appropriate spatial distribution, in order to establish geological and grade continuity for the purposes of estimating a mineral resource in the future.
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	• Drillholes have raw assay intervals that are generally 1m or less.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	*Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.*	• The majority of drill holes are inclined at approximately 55 degrees to the east or west and oriented near-perpendicular to local dominant mineralisation controls interpreted from mapping and structural logging of orientated core. Hydrogeological holes are drilled as vertical holes.
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	• Drill orientations were designed to provide unbiased sampling of the mostly steeply dipping mineralisation.
Sample Security	*The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• Bagged RC samples were delivered directly to the assay laboratory by company staff at the completion of each drill hole. If samples were left on site overnight they were considered secure, because there was a guard at drill sites when there was no drilling operation. • After collection and bagging diamond core samples were delivered directly to the assay laboratory by company staff. • Validity of assay results were established by use of field duplicates, standards and comparison of results from different sampling phases. Close agreement between resource model estimates and mill reconciled production for mining to date provided additional confidence in the validity of the resource database.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Audits or reviews	*The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	• Chatree Gold Mine has had numerous visits, including in March and June 2024, by external specialists who have reviewed all procedures from field sampling, to assaying to geological interpretation and modelling. These audits and reviews are stored on the central server for reviewing and actions were implemented where necessary. • External and internal reviews have deemed the data and the sampling techniques to be in line with industry standards and of sufficient quality.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

<table>
<tr><th>Criteria</th><th>JORC Code explanation</th><th>Commentary</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Mineral tenement and land tenure status</td><td>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</td><td>• Chatree Gold Mine is located in central Thailand approximately 280km north of Bangkok and 35km south-east of Phichit Province. Chatree and the SPL's on which exploration has been conducted for the December quarter 2024 are 100% owned by Akara Resources, a controlled entity of Kingsgate Consolidated Limited.
• SPL data for this quarterly release is presented below.
<table>
<tr><th>Permit Number</th><th>Area (rai)</th><th>Area (ha)</th><th>Expiry</th><th>Status</th></tr>
<tr><td>SPL46/2563</td><td>1034</td><td>165.44</td><td>25/10/2025</td><td>Current</td></tr>
<tr><td>SPL15/2563</td><td>9716</td><td>1554.56</td><td>25/10/2025</td><td>Current</td></tr>
</table>
• </td></tr>
<tr><td>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</td><td>• SPL's are held by Akara Resources, a controlled entity of Kingsgate Consolidated Limited. SPL's will expire in October 2025.The SPL application process for SPL's that Akara Resources/ Kingsgate Consolidated intends to retain will be actioned in October of 2025.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Exploration done by other parties</td><td>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</td><td>• All input data was collected by Akara Resources/ Kingsgate Consolidated Limited personnel.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Geology</td><td>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</td><td>• The Chatree deposit is located between Phichit and Phetchabun Provinces, central Thailand, and is hosted by Late Permian to Early Triassic volcaniclastic and volcanogenic sedimentary rocks.</td></tr>
</table>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		• The regional geology is dominated by a volcano-sedimentary sequence that interfingers laterally with terrigenous sediments. The depositional environment is interpreted to have consisted of a series of andesitic and rhyolitic stratovolcanoes situated in a shallow marine environment adjacent to a continental margin. • The Chatree Gold Mine is a low sulphidation epithermal gold–silver deposit located in the Loei – Phetchabun volcanic belt in central Thailand. The deposit spans 2.5 by 7.5km and consists of 8 vein zones, five of which have been mined by open pit methods. • The Chatree low sulphidation epithermal gold–silver deposit occurs as veins, stockworks and minor breccias hosted by a volcanic and volcanogenic sedimentary facies. The main gold–silver mineralisation is characterised by colloform–crustiform banded quartz ± carbonate ± chlorite ± adularia–sulphide–electrum veins. Gold mainly occurs as electrum, both as free grains associated with quartz, carbonate minerals and chlorite, and as inclusions in sulphides, mostly pyrite (Salam et al., 2013). • Oxidation and broad stratigraphic units control the gross distribution of gold and silver mineralisation with specific geological units providing preferred mineralisation hosts. These are most notable at the A Pit where the sedimentary unit hosts the majority of mineralisation. At a local scale, mineralisation is controlled by structures that cross-cut lithological trends. A knowledge of local litho-structural mineralisation controls was utilised when estimating resources. Barren post-mineralisation dykes with widths varying from less than one to around eight metres cross-cut mineralisation.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		• The SE Complex, including T Prospect is a south-eastern extension of the Chatree orebody. • Singto is a porphyry copper deposit.
Drill hole Information	*A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:* • *easting and northing of the drill hole collar* • *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar* • *dip and azimuth of the hole* • *down hole length and interception depth* • *hole length.*	• Refer Appendix 1 in this report for a list of all drillholes drilled during the reporting period (with the exception of mine grade control and resource development holes).
	If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• Refer Appendix 1 in this report.
Data aggregation methods	*In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.*	• All intervals reported are length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness). • No grades have been truncated.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	• Data shown is an average of assay results across a given downhole interval. The average grade for an interval is calculated by summing the assay results and dividing by the downhole distance.
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No metal equivalents have been applied.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and	*These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.*	• All intervals reported are length weighted averages of downhole intervals (apparent thickness) or for rock specimens are the entire rock grade.
	If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.	• The majority of the drill holes were inclined at approximately 55°, and oriented approximately perpendicular to local

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
intercept lengths		interpreted dominant mineralisation controls.
	If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').	• True width is not currently known.
Diagrams	*Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	• Refer to this report for plans and sectional views.
Balanced reporting	*Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	• All holes are reported in this report with the exception of grade control and mine resource development holes.
Other substantive exploration data	*Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	• Surface mapping and sampling has been undertaken where outcrop occurs and in the operating mine. • Todd Grant of Silver Bow Geophysics reprocessed existing Singto Resistivity, magnetics & IP model data and produced a 3D MVI inversion of reprocessed ground magnetics and a 3D IP/ chargeability model that indicated the possible presence of a large porphyry system at Singto. Drill targets were identified and a drill program has been commenced.
Further work	*The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).*	• Geotechnical and hydrogeological sampling and studies are in progress to inform a planned PFS for Chatree South-East Complex. • Chatree South-East Complex is being drilled during 2025 with the intention to conduct an inaugural resource estimate. •

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	

Nueva Esperanza Project – Table 1 (JORC Code, 2012)

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	*Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.*	• Soil sampling (sieve #5) on a 25m X 25m grid (500 grams - 1,000 grams sample size). Float or rock chip samples in case of outcrops or sub-outcrops. The aim was to identify Au – Ag mineralisation below surface in the target areas.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	• Soils samples were collected at the B horizon if no cover (weight 0.5 to 1,000 grams). If there was transported cover, rock chip or float samples were collected in channels or 1.5 m^2 holes that were dug below transported surface cover (sample weight 1,000 grams).
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.	• Samples were submitted to ALS Copiapo for preparation (drying, crushing, splitting, pulverizing), and analysis for Au using 30g charge fire assay with ICP 21 finish and Multi Element-MS61, ME-MS61m (plus Hg) analysis with 4 acid digest and 48 elements determined including Ag using ICP-MS.
Drilling techniques	*Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.
	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill sample recovery	*Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.*	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.
Logging	*Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.*	• The collected samples were described with sample number (ID), coordinates (UTM WGS84/19S), lithology, alteration, mineralisation and oxidation.
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.	• Logging was qualitative. • A photographic record was taken of each sample
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	*If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.*	• No diamond drilling was conducted.
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	• The submitted samples were oven dried at 105 degrees Celsius before crushing, splitting and pulverising (PREP-31B)
	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	• The sample collection and preparation technique (crush and pulverise) provided a homogeneous and representative sample.
	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	• Batches of between 45 and 50 samples plus six quality control samples per batch (standards, blanks and duplicates) were submitted to ALS Copiapo. QAQC samples represented 12.5% per batch.
	Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	• The sampling technique used to make the samples and duplicates representative was to cone and quarter them. Samplers collected quarters 1 - 3 (sample) and quarters 2 - 4 were also saved as field duplicates.
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	• Soil samples grain size was <4 mm. The sieve was cleaned after taking each sample. Rock chip fragments were between 2.5 cm and 5 cm in diameter.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	*The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.*	• The assay techniques employed were fire assay (30g charge) with ICP-AES finish for gold (ALS procedure Au-ICP21) and 4 Acid Digestion (mostly total digest) with ICP-MS finish for 48 elements including Ag (ALS procedure ME-MS61m). • Quality of analytical results was monitored by quality control samples. • Techniques were considered appropriate for the samples submitted and the information that was required for geochemical assessment.
	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	• No geophysical logging, hyperspectral or XRF analyses were undertaken.
	Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	• Each batch was sent to the laboratory with a blank sample to detect any contamination. The standards used were commercial certified reference materials (OREAS 600c, OREAS 606B, OREAS 608b), and if an error (>2 standard deviations) was detected in the standards (approx. 5%), the entire batch was reanalysed. Duplicates were up to 10%. Each batch contained at least 12.5 % of total quality control samples i.e. six quality control samples per batch.
Verification of sampling and assaying	*The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.*	• Analytical results were reviewed and verified by independent geochemist Simon Gatehouse.
	The use of twinned holes.	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	• Logging Access Database (Data entry), including sample type, location, ID, date collected, description, Dispatch ID and date of despatch. Dispatch ID to Assays report ID, QAQC samples and results and electronic data storage.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	• No adjustment was made to assay data.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Location of data points	*Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.*	• Topography map was provided from a Quickbird fixed wing survey conducted in 2025. Handheld GPS was used to record exploration sample locations.
	Specification of the grid system used.	• Grid 25 m x 25 m, UTM System WGS84 19S.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	• Recently collected quality topographic control points.
Data spacing and distribution	*Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.*	• 25m X 25m grid. Some of the originally planned samples were not able to be collected due to terrain or infrastructure constraints.
	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	• Rock chip and soil samples. Not applicable for Mineral Resource estimation.
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	• Not applicable because single samples.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	*Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.*	• Soil samples were collected from 20 cm to 40 cm below transported material or in horizon B of soil without transported material.
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	• Not applicable because no drilling was conducted.
Sample Security	*The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• Sieve and clean between every sample as well as the sampling tools. Samples were then labelled and sealed immediately ready for dispatch.
Audits or reviews	*The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	• Geochemist Simon Gatehouse reviewed the sampling methodology.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	*Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.*	• Mining Property is named Negra 1/1003 and the owner is Laguna Resources Chile with National Tenement ID 031023646 – 2, 031021152 – 4 and 031022318 – 2.
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	• Tenements have been established for indefinite mining exploitation at the Nueva Esperanza Project, according to the national registry. There are no third-party claims.
Exploration done by other parties	*Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	• Not relevant to this sampling program
Geology	*Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	• High Sulphidation System in the Miocene Maricunga Belt Chile. • Mineralisation is hosted in vuggy silica and ledges in crystal tuff and Rhyolitic tuff. Mineralisation is in hydrothermal breccia and vuggy silica bodies.
Drill hole Information	*A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:* • *easting and northing of the drill hole collar* • *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar* • *dip and azimuth of the hole* • *down hole length and interception depth* • *hole length.*	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• Rock chip and soil sampling. No drilling was conducted.
Data aggregation methods	*In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.*	• Not applicable. No weighting, grade truncations or cut-off grades were applied.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	• Not applicable. No aggregation applied..
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No metal equivalents were applied.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	*These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.*	• Not applicable because results were point samples.
	If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.	• Not applicable because drilling has not been conducted.
	If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').	• Not applicable because drilling has not been conducted.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Diagrams	*Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	 ↑N
Balanced reporting	*Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	• Refer Appendix 1 for assay results
Other substantive exploration data	*Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	• The geology of the sampling area is represented by crystal and lithic tuffs intruded by Miocene andesitic bodies and Upper Tertiary dacitic domes. The Quaternary is represented by fluvio-glacial sediments to rock glaciers (moraines). The alteration is hosted in the tuffs and represented by vuggy silica to silica-alunite. The iron oxides correspond to hematite and limonite and the presence of goethite. The predominant structures are NNE with horizontal SE displacement where the andesitic bodies are hosted.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Further work	*The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).*	• 725 geochemical samples (rock chips and soils) were collected to complete the 2025 program. 12 duplicate samples are included in Appendix 1. Some follow up sampling has been recommended on the edge of Boulder Patch where a gold only trend and a multi-metal trend have been identified.
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	 ↑ N

Appendix 1. Rock Chip and Soil Assay results (WGS84 Grid)

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479275	7051725	0.89	0.003
Soil	479275	7051700	0.24	0.0005
Rock	479276	7051675	0.08	0.002
Rock	479273	7051572	1.04	0.001
Soil	479272	7051548	0.12	0.0005
Soil	479625	7051449	0.66	0.003
Soil	479650	7052152	0.14	0.001
Rock	479652	7052128	0.05	0.002
Rock	479650	7052100	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479652	7052074	0.08	0.001
Rock	479651	7052052	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479806	7052154	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479806	7052154	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479799	7051550	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479798	7051500	0.17	0.0005
Rock	479799	7051471	0.29	0.001
Rock	479798	7051448	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479275	7051526	0.27	0.0005
Soil	479275	7051500	0.28	0.0005
Soil	479273	7051475	9.37	0.021
Soil	479275	7051450	1.94	0.005
Soil	479275	7051425	0.86	0.003
Soil	479275	7051400	0.61	0.015
Rock	479275	7051374	7.72	0.008
Soil	479274	7051350	0.22	0.004
Soil	479301	7051800	0.46	0.004
Soil	479299	7051775	0.62	0.003
Soil	479275	7051798	0.48	0.004
Soil	479275	7051798	0.4	0.004
Soil	479274	7051777	0.38	0.002
Soil	479274	7051750	0.66	0.002
Soil	479299	7051750	0.81	0.002
Soil	479299	7051701	0.22	0.0005
Soil	479751	7051951	0.07	0.001
Rock	479746	7051925	0.07	0.0005
Rock	479750	7051898	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479750	7051675	0.21	0.0005
Soil	479750	7051650	0.19	0.0005
Soil	479748	7051625	0.17	0.0005
Soil	478950	7051499	0.29	0.001
Soil	478949	7051474	0.06	0.001
Soil	478950	7051451	0.12	0.001
Soil	479175	7051324	0.26	0.0005
Soil	479175	7051301	0.71	0.003
Soil	479199	7051799	0.44	0.013
Soil	479200	7051774	0.48	0.01

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479199	7051750	0.005	0.0005
Soil	479626	7051952	0.18	0.003
Soil	479624	7051920	0.19	0.003
Soil	479625	7051899	0.12	0.002
Soil	479625	7051875	0.39	0.002
Soil	479601	7051975	0.42	0.001
Soil	479600	7051951	0.15	0.0005
Soil	479604	7051928	0.22	0.0005
Soil	479600	7051900	0.09	0.0005
Rock	479602	7051874	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479600	7051850	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479601	7051825	0.32	0.001
Soil	479600	7051800	0.2	0.003
Soil	479600	7051775	0.32	0.001
Soil	479599	7051674	0.39	0.001
Soil	479600	7051650	0.46	0.002
Soil	479600	7051625	0.36	0.002
Soil	479600	7051601	0.35	0.004
Rock	479599	7051503	0.1	0.0005
Rock	479600	7051479	3.59	0.042
Rock	479627	7052152	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479626	7052126	0.11	0.0005
Soil	479629	7052101	0.11	0.001
Rock	479635	7052081	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479628	7052053	0.63	0.0005
Soil	479625	7052026	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479624	7052003	0.38	0.005
Soil	479626	7051976	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479625	7051850	0.09	0.001
Soil	479625	7051825	0.37	0.003
Soil	479625	7051674	0.35	0.002
Soil	479624	7051575	0.35	0.004
Soil	479625	7051548	0.66	0.006
Soil	479624	7051525	0.39	0.003
Soil	479623	7051500	0.33	0.001
Rock	479623	7051649	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479627	7051623	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479625	7051600	0.33	0.003
Soil	479250	7051799	0.41	0.007
Soil	479248	7051775	0.39	0.003
Soil	479250	7051751	0.64	0.002
Soil	479249	7051725	0.74	0.008
Rock	479252	7051576	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479251	7051551	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479250	7051525	0.47	0.0005
Rock	479251	7051493	3.71	0.0005
Rock	479251	7051474	2.65	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Rock	479250	7051450	99.1	0.232
Soil	479250	7051425	0.89	0.002
Soil	479250	7051399	2.16	0.011
Rock	479250	7051374	12.3	0.008
Rock	479248	7051353	10.1	0.004
Soil	479201	7051726	0.84	0.005
Soil	479200	7051673	0.8	0.001
Soil	479198	7051650	0.49	0.003
Soil	479201	7051625	0.57	0.003
Soil	479198	7051600	0.52	0.003
Soil	479200	7051575	0.47	0.001
Soil	479198	7051550	0.71	0.005
Rock	479197	7051524	0.82	0.001
Rock	479200	7051500	3.69	0.037
Rock	479197	7051473	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479195	7051449	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479200	7051424	0.07	0.0005
Rock	479198	7051402	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479198	7051402	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479199	7051374	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479199	7051350	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479201	7051325	0.3	0.0005
Soil	479225	7051799	0.4	0.005
Soil	479222	7051773	0.43	0.003
Soil	479225	7051750	0.62	0.001
Soil	479225	7051722	0.63	0.006
Soil	479223	7051696	0.94	0.003
Rock	479230	7051579	1.75	0.008
Soil	479226	7051552	0.67	0.001
Soil	479223	7051524	0.69	0.002
Rock	479226	7051500	3.24	0.007
Rock	479225	7051472	65.4	0.113
Rock	479222	7051446	2.15	0.002
Soil	479223	7051426	0.21	0.002
Rock	479231	7051400	1.36	0.0005
Rock	479223	7051375	0.42	0.0005
Soil	479225	7051350	0.25	0.005
Soil	479723	7051500	0.53	0.001
Soil	479725	7051476	0.33	0.005
Soil	479725	7051450	0.27	0.002
Rock	479749	7052148	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479748	7052128	0.08	0.0005
Rock	479751	7052098	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479758	7052077	0.07	0.0005
Rock	479752	7052055	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479746	7052023	0.17	0.001
Soil	479750	7051574	0.2	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479750	7051549	0.26	0.0005
Soil	479750	7051526	0.46	0.001
Soil	479751	7051500	0.47	0.001
Soil	479748	7051477	0.41	0.002
Soil	479750	7051449	0.35	0.002
Rock	479777	7052149	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479775	7052125	0.07	0.0005
Rock	479775	7052096	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479774	7052078	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479125	7051675	1.18	0.159
Soil	479124	7051650	0.81	0.009
Soil	479125	7051625	1.14	0.004
Soil	479124	7051600	1.02	0.063
Soil	479125	7051575	0.22	0.001
Soil	479125	7051548	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479124	7051526	0.12	0.0005
Soil	479122	7051501	0.17	0.0005
Rock	479127	7051471	0.03	0.0005
Soil	479125	7051450	0.08	0.0005
Rock	479127	7051423	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479125	7051400	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479150	7051751	0.32	0.001
Soil	479150	7051751	0.34	0.002
Soil	479151	7051726	0.56	0.001
Soil	479149	7051700	0.73	0.002
Rock	485896	7051525	1.91	0.001
Rock	485960	7051797	16.65	0.003
Rock	485677	7051039	0.25	0.004
Rock	486103	7051483	8.01	0.075
Rock	486587	7051489	0.63	0.0005
Rock	486189	7051503	0.38	0.008
Soil	485467	7051120	0.08	0.001
Soil	485516	7051222	0.19	0.002
Soil	479599	7051503	0.07	0.002
Soil	479603	7051473	0.1	0.002
Soil	485744	7051459	0.12	0.003
Soil	485825	7051439	0.16	0.001
Soil	485767	7051600	0.15	0.001
Soil	485790	7051783	0.36	0.01
Soil	485713	7050844	0.07	0.001
Soil	485781	7050876	0.09	0.001
Soil	485886	7050916	0.12	0.001
Soil	485984	7050970	0.11	0.003
Soil	486067	7051057	0.16	0.004
Soil	486092	7051149	0.16	0.002
Soil	486010	7051227	0.18	0.003
Soil	479155	7051676	0.67	0.002

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479147	7051649	1.04	0.004
Soil	479150	7051626	0.92	0.002
Soil	479148	7051601	0.65	0.002
Rock	479150	7051571	7.5	0.151
Rock	479148	7051544	20.2	0.135
Soil	479150	7051525	1.05	0.002
Soil	479148	7051500	0.12	0.0005
Rock	479146	7051478	0.04	0.001
Rock	479149	7051456	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479148	7051425	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479149	7051399	0.1	0.001
Rock	479147	7051315	1.57	0.006
Rock	479154	7051302	40.3	0.047
Soil	479175	7051701	0.88	0.01
Soil	479175	7051676	1.09	0.003
Soil	479175	7051651	0.81	0.003
Soil	479175	7051624	0.75	0.002
Soil	479175	7051601	0.74	0.004
Soil	479175	7051575	1.24	0.007
Soil	479173	7051549	0.55	0.009
Rock	479177	7051527	22.7	0.124
Soil	479173	7051475	0.28	0.0005
Rock	479174	7051449	0.18	0.0005
Rock	479176	7051423	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479724	7051976	0.1	0.0005
Rock	479722	7051921	0.07	0.0005
Rock	479724	7051901	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479724	7051674	0.14	0.0005
Rock	479725	7051649	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479724	7051624	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479725	7051600	0.2	0.0005
Soil	479721	7051574	0.22	0.0005
Soil	479725	7051549	0.23	0.0005
Soil	479725	7051525	0.37	0.0005
Rock	483400	7052699	70.4	0.001
Rock	483400	7052675	33.2	0.001
Rock	483402	7052653	31.5	0.008
Rock	483399	7052626	23.3	0.0005
Rock	483402	7052579	11.5	0.0005
Rock	483403	7052553	26.4	0.0005
Rock	483403	7052526	11.9	0.0005
Rock	483401	7052503	6.89	0.0005
Soil	483451	7052704	9.21	0.005
Rock	483460	7052625	0.8	0.0005
Soil	483453	7052376	2.6	0.0005
Soil	483449	7052301	0.58	0.0005
Soil	483450	7052274	0.28	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	483451	7052248	0.84	0.0005
Soil	483451	7052200	1.06	0.002
Soil	483472	7052676	6.05	0.0005
Rock	483472	7052622	2.56	0.0005
Rock	483478	7052606	2.75	0.0005
Rock	483483	7052574	0.92	0.0005
Rock	483477	7052451	11	0.0005
Soil	483475	7052350	1.9	0.0005
Soil	483474	7052324	0.53	0.0005
Rock	483472	7052304	0.07	0.0005
Rock	483476	7052276	0.08	0.0005
Rock	483472	7052251	0.21	0.0005
Rock	483474	7052201	2.01	0.0005
Soil	483504	7052701	3.84	0.001
Soil	483501	7052676	1.27	0.0005
Rock	483500	7052605	1.26	0.001
Rock	483500	7052578	8.48	0.009
Rock	483499	7052527	17.2	0.0005
Rock	483499	7052460	40.5	0.0005
Rock	483496	7052304	0.13	0.0005
Rock	483503	7052273	1.54	0.0005
Rock	483498	7052245	0.16	0.0005
Soil	483502	7052228	0.4	0.0005
Soil	483500	7052201	0.37	0.0005
Rock	483524	7052622	44	0.003
Rock	483529	7052373	6.24	0.004
Soil	483572	7052535	9.93	0.0005
Soil	483572	7052535	7.59	0.0005
Soil	479403	7051630	0.3	0.002
Soil	479398	7051599	0.26	0.009
Soil	479396	7051574	0.74	0.003
Soil	479392	7051549	0.95	0.005
Soil	479396	7051521	0.43	0.002
Soil	479396	7051498	0.7	0.003
Soil	479403	7051472	0.41	0.003
Soil	479397	7051443	0.52	0.003
Soil	479425	7052025	0.23	0.002
Soil	479424	7052002	0.11	0.0005
Soil	479428	7051974	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479426	7051947	0.25	0.007
Soil	479440	7051924	0.26	0.005
Soil	479429	7051900	0.29	0.008
Soil	479429	7051875	0.31	0.002
Soil	479428	7051847	0.3	0.0005
Soil	479426	7051824	0.17	0.001
Soil	479426	7051800	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479426	7051800	0.14	0.001

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479426	7051770	0.19	0.0005
Rock	479418	7051743	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479424	7051700	0.08	0.0005
Rock	479425	7051670	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479425	7051645	2.03	0.102
Soil	479428	7051626	1.12	0.007
Soil	479424	7051601	0.59	0.002
Soil	479421	7051573	0.24	0.002
Soil	479424	7051551	0.36	0.002
Soil	479421	7051520	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479420	7051500	0.39	0.001
Soil	479424	7051472	0.49	0.002
Soil	479424	7051450	0.75	0.002
Soil	479453	7052055	0.11	0.0005
Soil	479446	7052025	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479451	7052000	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479453	7051952	0.27	0.003
Soil	479450	7051925	0.28	0.007
Soil	479453	7051900	0.2	0.001
Soil	479453	7051876	0.34	0.005
Soil	479452	7051846	0.3	0.002
Soil	479453	7051824	0.2	0.002
Soil	479448	7051799	0.19	0.001
Soil	479452	7051777	0.17	0.001
Soil	479447	7051751	0.25	0.001
Rock	483529	7052352	0.88	0.001
Rock	483524	7052274	0.25	0.003
Rock	483523	7052248	0.29	0.0005
Rock	483527	7052198	2.36	0.001
Rock	483547	7052622	4.9	0.002
Rock	483559	7052594	6.08	0.001
Rock	483544	7052453	60.9	0.0005
Rock	483556	7052421	192	0.002
Rock	483557	7052391	12.45	0.0005
Rock	483549	7052372	27.6	0.0005
Rock	483553	7052350	4.96	0.006
Rock	483556	7052323	3.65	0.0005
Rock	483546	7052247	1.5	0.0005
Rock	483553	7052223	2.51	0.0005
Soil	483567	7052565	7.76	0.003
Rock	483595	7052458	1.4	0.0005
Rock	483630	7052473	4.44	0.001
Rock	483651	7052500	8.91	0.001
Rock	483647	7052470	1.6	0.0005
Rock	483653	7052451	1.88	0.0005
Rock	483651	7052433	2.21	0.001
Rock	483656	7052404	3.68	0.001

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Rock	483649	7052274	0.85	0.003
Rock	483669	7052470	2.27	0.0005
Rock	483680	7052424	7	0.0005
Rock	483675	7052399	34.8	0.005
Rock	483669	7052359	3.76	0.0005
Rock	483700	7052500	1.04	0.0005
Rock	483698	7052470	6.59	0.0005
Rock	483701	7052452	4.3	0.0005
Rock	483701	7052452	5.09	0.001
Rock	483702	7052429	17.95	0.0005
Rock	483700	7052401	2.24	0.0005
Rock	483730	7052501	0.7	0.001
Rock	483720	7052472	0.81	0.0005
Rock	483724	7052424	14.6	0.0005
Rock	483717	7052407	8.21	0.0005
Rock	483751	7052501	0.81	0.0005
Rock	483738	7052481	0.2	0.0005
Rock	483751	7052450	0.56	0.0005
Rock	483747	7052405	12.6	0.0005
Soil	485913	7051301	0.21	0.007
Soil	486231	7051146	0.25	0.002
Soil	486343	7051166	0.4	0.003
Soil	486416	7051235	0.9	0.006
Soil	486535	7051299	0.61	0.003
Soil	478951	7051426	0.11	0.001
Rock	478949	7051404	0.04	0.0005
Rock	478947	7051374	0.08	0.0005
Rock	478951	7051347	0.05	0.001
Rock	479025	7051400	13.75	0.015
Rock	479023	7051348	0.08	0.001
Rock	479026	7051327	0.07	0.0005
Rock	479696	7051649	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479699	7051600	0.24	0.0005
Rock	479701	7051571	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479700	7051550	0.38	0.0005
Soil	479700	7051525	0.38	0.0005
Soil	479698	7051500	1.4	0.002
Soil	479700	7051475	0.39	0.001
Soil	479700	7051450	0.35	0.0005
Rock	479178	7051395	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479175	7051375	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479175	7051349	0.28	0.002
Rock	479750	7052000	0.17	0.0005
Rock	479753	7051976	0.03	0.0005
Rock	479602	7052048	0.02	0.0005
Soil	479602	7052024	0.12	0.0005
Rock	478950	7051322	0.05	0.001

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	478975	7051575	1.21	0.002
Soil	478973	7051550	0.92	0.003
Soil	478976	7051525	0.26	0.001
Soil	478976	7051478	0.05	0.001
Soil	478977	7051450	0.1	0.0005
Soil	478977	7051450	0.1	0.0005
Soil	478975	7051423	0.13	0.001
Soil	478974	7051398	0.07	0.0005
Rock	478974	7051375	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479049	7051650	1.1	0.008
Soil	479049	7051625	0.95	0.003
Soil	479049	7051599	1.03	0.004
Soil	479052	7051575	0.96	0.003
Soil	479048	7051551	1.12	0.009
Soil	479049	7051525	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479050	7051501	0.18	0.0005
Soil	479050	7051450	0.18	0.0005
Soil	479048	7051426	0.17	0.0005
Rock	479050	7051399	6.01	0.027
Rock	479051	7051380	0.08	0.001
Rock	479049	7051349	0.08	0.001
Rock	479049	7051327	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479077	7051675	0.63	0.006
Soil	479074	7051649	2.86	1.225
Soil	479071	7051625	1.18	0.003
Soil	479075	7051600	0.86	0.004
Soil	479075	7051575	1.13	0.003
Soil	479073	7051549	0.15	0.0005
Soil	479073	7051525	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479073	7051525	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479074	7051500	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479076	7051450	0.23	0.001
Soil	479077	7051425	0.44	0.001
Soil	479074	7051400	0.12	0.001
Rock	479082	7051367	0.09	0.0005
Rock	479075	7051349	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479073	7051300	0.05	0.001
Soil	479098	7051699	0.58	0.001
Soil	479103	7051675	0.72	0.005
Soil	479098	7051650	0.76	0.007
Soil	479100	7051625	1.02	0.005
Soil	479100	7051599	0.86	0.002
Soil	479105	7051572	0.25	0.0005
Soil	479099	7051549	0.12	0.0005
Soil	479099	7051524	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479100	7051501	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479101	7051450	0.1	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Rock	479101	7051424	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479099	7051399	0.09	0.0005
Rock	479100	7051374	0.13	0.0005
Rock	479090	7051300	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479124	7051725	0.72	0.0005
Soil	479124	7051699	0.71	0.001
Rock	479301	7051674	1.14	0.002
Rock	479298	7051649	0.11	0.003
Rock	479298	7051626	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479298	7051597	0.32	0.001
Rock	479300	7051575	1.53	0.0005
Soil	479301	7051549	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479300	7051500	1.21	0.001
Soil	479299	7051476	7.24	0.007
Soil	479300	7051450	1	0.002
Soil	479301	7051425	1	0.002
Soil	479299	7051400	1.03	0.007
Soil	479299	7051350	0.72	0.004
Soil	479326	7051921	0.35	0.017
Soil	479325	7051898	0.35	0.009
Soil	479325	7051875	0.45	0.012
Soil	479325	7051800	0.26	0.004
Soil	479323	7051775	0.7	0.003
Soil	479325	7051750	0.16	0.0005
Rock	479319	7051721	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479325	7051670	1.44	0.001
Rock	479325	7051650	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479328	7051622	0.1	0.002
Soil	479328	7051600	0.16	0.0005
Soil	479323	7051572	0.18	0.003
Soil	479325	7051550	0.11	0.001
Soil	479325	7051401	0.85	0.001
Soil	479324	7051374	0.99	0.002
Rock	479331	7051349	7.29	0.008
Soil	479352	7051949	0.26	0.007
Soil	479349	7051924	0.35	0.015
Soil	479354	7051903	0.24	0.006
Soil	479349	7051874	0.34	0.006
Soil	479350	7051826	0.42	0.008
Soil	479347	7051800	0.53	0.001
Soil	479348	7051773	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479351	7051751	0.21	0.001
Soil	475352	7051674	0.49	0.012
Soil	479351	7051647	0.22	0.0005
Soil	479352	7051624	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479350	7051599	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479350	7051575	0.36	0.002

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479351	7051549	0.33	0.002
Soil	479350	7051525	3.06	0.009
Soil	479350	7051500	0.69	0.001
Soil	479350	7051475	0.95	0.002
Soil	479350	7051450	0.68	0.006
Soil	479350	7051424	0.9	0.002
Soil	479350	7051400	0.99	0.002
Soil	479350	7051375	1.12	0.002
Soil	479350	7051350	1.24	0.002
Soil	479376	7051975	0.22	0.005
Soil	479375	7051948	0.26	0.014
Soil	479378	7051922	0.4	0.018
Soil	479373	7051899	0.28	0.005
Soil	479373	7051875	0.26	0.005
Soil	479376	7051846	0.33	0.007
Soil	479374	7051823	0.48	0.003
Soil	479372	7051800	0.12	0.001
Soil	479375	7051775	0.22	0.001
Soil	479374	7051751	0.15	0.001
Soil	479374	7051751	0.13	0.001
Rock	479374	7051709	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479376	7051675	0.16	0.009
Soil	479376	7051645	0.95	0.0005
Soil	479376	7051620	0.29	0.0005
Soil	479374	7051590	0.27	0.002
Soil	479377	7051570	0.33	0.003
Soil	479377	7051544	0.86	0.002
Soil	479375	7051526	1.78	0.004
Soil	479374	7051500	0.6	0.002
Soil	479375	7051450	0.74	0.004
Soil	489401	7052000	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479400	7051973	0.15	0.0005
Soil	479398	7051950	0.48	0.008
Soil	479400	7051923	0.27	0.006
Soil	479398	7051900	0.31	0.074
Soil	479398	7051876	0.28	0.002
Soil	479399	7051850	0.37	0.003
Soil	479400	7051823	0.19	0.001
Rock	479395	7051800	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479399	7051775	0.1	0.001
Soil	479299	7051750	0.25	0.001
Soil	479398	7051700	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479394	7051676	0.1	0.0005
Rock	479401	7051651	3.81	0.008
Soil	479500	7051676	0.29	0.0005
Soil	479501	7051651	1.34	0.011
Soil	479502	7051624	0.32	0.002

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479502	7051602	1.5	0.012
Soil	479498	7051575	0.44	0.002
Soil	479500	7051552	0.31	0.0005
Soil	479500	7051527	0.36	0.001
Soil	479500	7051502	0.3	0.0005
Soil	479501	7051475	0.2	0.001
Soil	479502	7051449	0.23	0.0005
Soil	479526	7052124	0.12	0.0005
Soil	479525	7052099	0.11	0.003
Soil	479531	7052076	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479533	7052055	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479521	7052027	0.13	0.001
Soil	479525	7051998	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479524	7051947	0.33	0.0005
Soil	479525	7051926	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479526	7051900	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479524	7051872	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479524	7051852	0.12	0.0005
Soil	479524	7051852	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479525	7051826	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479523	7051801	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479527	7051773	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479526	7051752	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479526	7051700	0.29	0.0005
Soil	479531	7051676	0.82	0.009
Soil	479523	7051651	0.36	0.005
Soil	479524	7051626	0.38	0.002
Soil	479522	7051601	0.77	0.012
Soil	479525	7051572	0.35	0.002
Soil	479524	7051548	0.43	0.001
Soil	479521	7051523	0.29	0.0005
Soil	479524	7051500	0.54	0.001
Soil	479526	7051479	0.27	0.001
Soil	479524	7051452	0.55	0.001
Soil	479552	7052151	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479552	7052125	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479551	7052099	0.11	0.0005
Soil	479552	7052072	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479555	7052050	0.11	0.001
Rock	479548	7052028	0.03	0.0005
Soil	479550	7052000	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479550	7051975	0.32	0.006
Soil	479549	7051953	0.39	0.001
Soil	479553	7051928	0.11	0.0005
Rock	479551	7051900	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479549	7051878	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479650	7052024	0.09	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479650	7052002	0.31	0.003
Soil	479650	7051973	0.22	0.0005
Soil	479651	7051950	0.18	0.0005
Soil	479650	7051920	0.14	0.0005
Rock	479651	7051900	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479649	7051675	0.2	0.0005
Rock	479648	7051625	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479650	7051599	0.38	0.001
Soil	479650	7051573	0.45	0.002
Soil	479649	7051550	0.76	0.002
Soil	479649	7051501	0.42	0.0005
Soil	479649	7051475	0.34	0.0005
Soil	479649	7051450	0.49	0.002
Soil	479675	7052150	0.09	0.0005
Rock	479673	7052124	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479672	7052099	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479676	7052077	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479677	7052049	0.1	0.001
Soil	479672	7052025	0.12	0.0005
Soil	479672	7052025	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479674	7052002	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479676	7051973	0.19	0.0005
Soil	479674	7051947	0.1	0.0005
Rock	479674	7051919	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479673	7051899	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479673	7051676	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479675	7051649	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479671	7051623	0.06	0.0005
Rock	479677	7051600	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479675	7051573	0.55	0.005
Soil	479674	7051551	0.48	0.001
Soil	479675	7051524	0.39	0.001
Soil	479674	7051501	0.27	0.0005
Soil	479676	7051475	0.44	0.001
Soil	479674	7051450	0.44	0.001
Soil	479701	7052149	0.07	0.004
Rock	479700	7052125	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479701	7052100	0.1	0.0005
Rock	479703	7052075	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479700	7052054	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479698	7052003	0.22	0.001
Soil	479699	7051976	0.11	0.0005
Soil	479700	7051953	0.08	0.0005
Rock	479703	7051923	0.07	0.001
Rock	479699	7051899	0.03	0.0005
Rock	479730	7052144	0.03	0.0005
Rock	479727	7052129	0.1	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Rock	479727	7052098	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479725	7052075	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479726	7052054	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479725	7052023	0.22	0.003
Soil	479726	7052003	0.01	0.002
Soil	479727	7051950	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479727	7051950	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479776	7052051	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479765	7051976	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479775	7051950	0.67	0.0005
Soil	479775	7051924	0.29	0.0005
Soil	479775	7051900	0.16	0.0005
Soil	479775	7051676	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479775	7051650	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479775	7051626	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479774	7051600	0.15	0.0005
Rock	479773	7051574	0.08	0.0005
Rock	479776	7051551	0.16	0.0005
Soil	479774	7051500	0.35	0.0005
Soil	479774	7051475	0.2	0.0005
Rock	479800	7052125	0.08	0.001
Rock	479800	7052098	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479800	7051645	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479800	7051624	0.12	0.0005
Rock	478975	7051347	0.04	0.0005
Rock	478993	7051327	0.07	0.001
Rock	478950	7051306	0.05	0.0005
Soil	478999	7051601	1.28	0.006
Soil	479001	7051576	1.08	0.004
Soil	478998	7051548	1.9	0.002
Soil	479000	7051524	0.16	0.001
Soil	479001	7051474	0.11	0.0005
Soil	479000	7051451	0.1	0.001
Soil	479000	7051424	0.26	0.002
Rock	479001	7051397	0.07	0.0005
Rock	478997	7051372	0.27	0.001
Rock	479000	7051349	0.07	0.0005
Rock	479002	7051327	0.08	0.001
Rock	479003	7051296	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479023	7051625	1.02	0.006
Soil	479025	7051600	1.35	0.006
Soil	479026	7051575	1.02	0.004
Soil	479024	7051549	1.38	0.002
Soil	479025	7051524	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479023	7051500	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479025	7051450	0.16	0.001
Soil	479026	7051423	0.2	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Rock	479549	7051856	0.06	0.001
Rock	479551	7051823	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479551	7051799	0.35	0.0005
Soil	479550	7051775	0.39	0.003
Soil	479552	7051748	0.44	0.001
Soil	479552	7051699	0.43	0.001
Soil	479548	7051671	0.44	0.004
Soil	479551	7051647	0.29	0.005
Soil	479551	7051622	1.04	0.011
Soil	479551	7051598	0.38	0.003
Soil	479548	7051575	0.33	0.002
Soil	479551	7051551	0.28	0.001
Soil	479561	7051526	0.26	0.002
Soil	479550	7051498	0.1	0.004
Soil	479549	7051475	0.27	0.001
Soil	479551	7051450	0.32	0.0005
Soil	479576	7052150	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479576	7052125	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479575	7052100	0.07	0.001
Soil	479578	7052073	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479576	7052052	0.11	0.0005
Soil	479570	7052028	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479570	7052028	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479576	7051976	0.37	0.002
Soil	479576	7051950	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479576	7051927	0.1	0.0005
Soil	479577	7051901	0.16	0.0005
Rock	479586	7051872	0.06	0.0005
Soil	479577	7051852	0.09	0.001
Soil	479577	7051820	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479577	7051798	0.19	0.0005
Soil	479575	7051772	0.23	0.001
Soil	479574	7051747	0.18	0.0005
Soil	479573	7051720	0.16	0.001
Soil	479576	7051676	0.25	0.005
Soil	479576	7051652	0.26	0.006
Soil	479576	7051626	0.44	0.002
Soil	479576	7051600	0.35	0.001
Soil	479573	7051576	0.4	0.003
Soil	479571	7051545	0.34	0.001
Soil	479576	7051526	0.29	0.0005
Soil	479574	7051501	0.94	0.003
Soil	479576	7051476	0.29	0.001
Soil	479576	7051450	0.33	0.001
Soil	479599	7052149	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479601	7052124	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479599	7052100	0.09	0.0005

Sample Type	X Coord	Y Coord	Ag_ppm	Au_ppm
Soil	479605	7052078	0.08	0.0005
Rock	479701	7051670	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479797	7051596	0.05	0.0005
Rock	479799	7051576	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479476	7051676	0.11	0.001
Soil	479476	7051652	0.43	0.002
Soil	479474	7051625	0.59	0.004
Soil	479474	7051600	2.62	0.009
Soil	479476	7051575	0.51	0.003
Soil	479474	7051552	0.52	0.001
Rock	479475	7051524	1.06	0.003
Soil	479475	7051500	0.29	0.002
Soil	479475	7051474	0.55	0.004
Soil	479475	7051449	0.47	0.002
Soil	479500	7052101	0.13	0.0005
Soil	479500	7052077	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479499	7052046	0.12	0.0005
Soil	479502	7052031	0.14	0.0005
Soil	479501	7052000	0.08	0.0005
Soil	479502	7051974	0.09	0.0005
Soil	479502	7051922	0.29	0.002
Soil	479503	7051900	0.28	0.0005
Rock	479500	7051871	0.07	0.0005
Soil	479500	7051846	0.05	0.0005
Soil	479503	7051822	0.09	0.0005
Rock	479496	7051797	0.54	0.015
Rock	479498	7051774	0.04	0.0005
Rock	479503	7051746	0.04	0.0005
Soil	479501	7051702	0.05	0.001
Soil	479804	7051951	0.15	0.0005
Soil	479803	7051925	0.19	0.0005
Soil	479802	7051900	0.17	0.0005
Soil	479798	7051675	0.1	0.0005