EM: Inductive Sources

DISC 2017

Electromagnetics Fundamentals and Applications

Motivation

Large areas to be covered



Groundwater



High resolution near surface



Rugged terrain

Minerals

Outline

Setup

- Basic experiment
- Transmitters, Receivers

Frequency Domain EM

- Vertical Magnetic Dipole
- Effects of Frequency
- Case History – Groundwater

Time Domain EM

- Vertical Magnetic Dipole
- Propagation with Time
- Case History – Oil and gas

Important questions

- What is the target?
  - at the surface? At depth?. 1D, 2D, 3D?
- Transmitter
  - Location: surface? in the air?
  - Waveform: frequency or time?
  - “Size” and orientation?
- Exciting the target
  - Conductivity of the target and host
  - Geometry of the target (Coupling)
- Receiver and data
  - What fields to measure?
  - What instrument?



- Where to collect data? How many? How accurate?
- What is depth of investigation?
- What is the “footprint” of the transmitter”
  - These are questions of SURVEY DESIGN

Basic Experiment



- **Transmitter:**
  - Produces a primary magnetic field
- **Exciting the target:**
  - Time varying magnetic fields generate electric fields everywhere
  - Producing currents in conductors
- **Receiver:**
  - Induced currents produce secondary magnetic fields



Transmitter

Large areas



Rugged terrain

Airborne Survey

Resolve



SkyTEM

Deep Targets



Large Loop



Transmitter

- Frequency or Time?



- Key factor is moment

$$m = I \text{ (current) } A \text{ (area) } N \text{ (\# of turns)}$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{r})}{|\mathbf{r}|^5} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{|\mathbf{r}|^3}\right)$$



Airborne Survey

Resolve



SkyTEM

Large Loop



Exciting the target

- Primary field from a loop

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{r})}{|\mathbf{r}|^5} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{|\mathbf{r}|^3}\right)$$

- Fields fall off
  - $1/r^3$ geometric decay
  - Attenuation
- Want to be as close as possible to target
  - Ground based systems
  - Helicopter
  - Fixed wing aircraft
- Always concerned about coupling



Receiver and Data

Magnetometer

- Measures:
  - Magnetic field
  - 3 components
- eg. 3-component fluxgate

$$\mathbf{b}(t)$$



Fluxgate

Coil

- Measures:
  - Voltage
  - Single component that depends on coil orientation
    - Coupling matters
- eg. airborne frequency domain.
  - ratio of Hs/Hp is the same as Vs/Vp

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t}$$



Coil



Receiver: Frequency Domain

- Primary field
  - always “on”
  - large compared to secondary fields
- Primary removal
  - Compute and subtract
  - Bucking coil



- Main requirement:
  - Know positions of Tx and Rx
  - Keep them in one unit



Resolve



EM-31



Receiver: Time Domain

- Primary field has off-time
- Measure secondary fields
- Receivers can be mounted on transmitter loop or above it



SkyTEM



HeliSAM



Important questions

- What is the target?
  - at the surface? At depth?. 1D, 2D, 3D?
- Transmitter
  - Location: surface? in the air?
  - Waveform: frequency or time?
  - “Size” and orientation?
- Exciting the target
  - Conductivity of the target and host
  - Geometry of the target (Coupling)
- Receiver and data
  - What fields to measure?
  - What instrument?



- Where to collect data? How many? How accurate?
- What is depth of investigation?
- What is the “footprint” of the transmitter”
  - These are questions of SURVEY DESIGN

Footprint of Airborne EM system

- What volume of earth is "seen" by the airborne system?
  - Where are the currents?

- Currents depend on
  - Transmitter
  - Waveform: frequency or time
  - Background conductivity

- Simple case: loop source over homogeneous earth

Current density



Vertical Magnetic Dipole (VMD)



- Some questions
  - Where, and how strong, are the currents?
  - How do they change with transmitter frequency?
  - How do they depend upon the conductivity?
  - What do the resulting magnetic fields look like?

Vertical Magnetic Dipole over a halfspace (FDEM)



Current Density

- Frequency = 10 kHz
- Currents in the earth flow in planes parallel to the Tx

Plan view



Geometry



J_y amplitude



Secondary Magnetic Flux Density

- Frequency = 10 kHz



Effects of Frequency

- Frequency at 100 kHz
- Skin depth = 16 m
- Currents are concentrated at surface

$$\delta = 503\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{f}}$$

J_y (imag)



B (imag)



Effects of Frequency

- Frequency at 10 kHz
- Skin depth = 50 m
- Currents diffusing downward and outward

$$\delta = 503\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{f}}$$

J_y (imag)



B (imag)



Summary: Effects of Frequency

$$\delta = 503\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{f}}$$



Layered earth

- 3 layers + air,
- ρ_2 varies

Geometry



- Four different cases:
  - Halfspace
    ρ_2 = 100 Ωm
  - Resistive
    ρ_2 = 1000 Ωm
  - Conductive
    ρ_2 = 10 Ωm
  - Very conductive
    ρ_2 = 1 Ωm
- Fields
  - J_y imag
  - Secondary **B** imag

Current density (J_y imag)



Magnetic flux density (**B** imag)



B_z sounding curves

ρ_2 = 100 Ωm



ρ_2 = 1000 Ωm



ρ_2 = 10 Ωm



ρ_2 = 1 Ωm



Back to the “shielding” problem



Shielding: DC with resistive layer

Resistivity models (thin **resistive** layer)



Currents and measured data at MN

ρ_a = 430 Ωm

ρ_a = 1652 Ωm

ρ_a = 1654 Ωm



Shielding: EM with resistive layer

Resistivity models (thin **resistive** layer)



Currents (J_y imag)



Shielding: EM with resistive layer

Resistivity models (thin **resistive** layer)



B_z sounding curves



Currents (J_y imag)



Shielding: DC with conductive layer

Resistivity models (thin **conductive** layer)



Currents and measured data at MN



Shielding: EM with conductive layer

Conductivity models (thin **resistive** layer)



B_z sounding curves



Currents (J_y imag)



Outline

Setup

- Basic experiment
- Transmitters, Receivers

Frequency Domain EM

- Vertical Magnetic Dipole
- Effects of Frequency

- Questions

- Case History – Groundwater

Time Domain EM

- Vertical Magnetic Dipole
- Propagation with Time
- Case History – Oil and gas

Case History: Bookpurnong

Viezzoli et al., 2009

Setup



Geoscience Australia project

- Characterizing river salination



Properties

Location map for salinity measurements

Unit	Conductivity
Saline water	High, 3 - 5 S/m
Fresh water	Low, 0.01 S/m

Conductivity from salinity measurements



Holland et. al., 2008.

Survey

Resolve system (2008)



Flight lines

Horizontal Co-planar (HCP) frequencies:

- 382, 1822, 7970, 35920 and 130100 Hz

Vertical Co-axial (VCA) frequencies:

- 3258 Hz



Horizontal Co-planar (HCP) data

In-Phase (Real)

Quadrature (Imaginary)

382 Hz





Sounding curve



35920 Hz





Response curve



Processing: 1D inversion

Data



Data fit



Conductivity model (stitched)



Interpretation

Conductivity model (stitched)





Synthesis

Hydrological model



Conductivity model (stitched)



Outline

Setup

- Basic experiment
- Transmitters, Receivers

Frequency Domain EM

- Vertical Magnetic Dipole
- Effects of Frequency
- Case History – Ground water

Time Domain EM

- Vertical Magnetic Dipole
- Propagation with Time
- Case History – Oil and gas

EM with Inductive Sources

- Induction principles are the same for
  - FDEM: Frequency domain EM
  - TDEM: Time domain EM



EM with Inductive Sources: Time Domain

Transmitter current





Receiver



time	**b**	**db/dt**
$t < 0$	b_0	0
$t = 0$	b_0	$-b_0\delta(t)$
$t > 0$	secondary	secondary

$\delta(t)$: Dirac-delta function

Vertical Magnetic Dipole over a halfspace (TDEM)





Current Density

- Time: 0.01ms

Plan view



Geometry



Current density (j_y)



Magnetic flux density

- Time: 0.01ms





Propagation through time

- Time: 0.002ms
- diffusion distance = 18 m

$$d = 1260\sqrt{t\rho}$$

j_y **b**



Propagation through time

- Time: 0.01ms
- diffusion distance = 38 m

$$d = 1260\sqrt{t\rho}$$





Propagation through time

- Time: 0.035ms
- diffusion distance = 75 m

$$d = 1260\sqrt{t\rho}$$

j_y



b



Propagation through time

- Time: 0.110ms
- diffusion distance = 132 m

$$d = 1260\sqrt{t\rho}$$

j_y



b



Summary: propagation through time







Nabighian (1979)



Important points

- Currents flow in same plane as transmitter currents
- Currents diffuse outward downward
- Each transmitter has a "footprint"
- Max resolution controlled by earliest time
- Depth of investigation controlled by latest time

magnetic field (on-time)



j_y



Important points

- Currents flow in same plane as transmitter currents
- Currents diffuse outward downward
- Each transmitter has a “footprint”
- Max resolution controlled by earliest time
- Depth of investigation controlled by latest time

magnetic field (on-time)



j_y



Layered earth

- 3 layers + air,
- ρ_2 varies

Geometry



- Four different cases:
  - Halfspace

    $\rho_2 = 100\ \Omega\text{m}$
  - Resistive

    $\rho_2 = 1000\ \Omega\text{m}$
  - Conductive

    $\rho_2 = 10\ \Omega\text{m}$
  - Very conductive

    $\rho_2 = 1\ \Omega\text{m}$

- Fields
  - j_y off-time
  - **b** off-time

Layered earth currents (j_y)

ρ_2 = 100 Ωm

ρ_2 = 1000 Ωm

ρ_2 = 10 Ωm

ρ_2 = 1 Ωm



Layered earth mag. fields (**b**)

ρ_2 = 100 Ωm



ρ_2 = 1000 Ωm



ρ_2 = 10 Ωm



ρ_2 = 1 Ωm



db_z/dt sounding curves

ρ_2 = 100 Ωm





ρ_2 = 1000 Ωm





ρ_2 = 10 Ωm





ρ_2 = 1 Ωm





Airborne example: conductive sphere

Data profile



Conductivity





Data map



Airborne example: conductive sphere

Data profile



Conductivity





Data map



Airborne example: conductive sphere

Data profile



Data map





Conductivity



Airborne example: conductive sphere

Data profile



Data map





Conductivity



Airborne example: conductive sphere

Data profile



Conductivity





Data map



Summary: airborne example

Data profile





Conductivity



0.025 ms



0.045 ms



0.305 ms



0.905 ms



4.005 ms



TDEM Receiver

Magnetometer

- Measures:
  - Magnetic field
  - 3 components
- eg. 3-component fluxgate

$$\mathbf{b}(t)$$



Fluxgate

Squid

Coil

- Measures:
  - Voltage
  - Single component that depends on coil orientation
    - Coupling matters
- Airborne TDEM: measure db/dt

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t}$$



Coil



Measured decay



Some Airborne TDEM Systems

SkyTEM (2006)



Area = 314 m^2

Peak dipole moment:
- HM: 113040 NIA
- LM: 12560 NIA





Peak current: 90 A
Turns: 4
On-time: 10 ms
Off-time: 10 ms



Peak current: 40 A
Turns: 1
On-time: 0.8 ms
Off-time: 1.45 ms

VTEM (2007)



Area = 535 m^2

Peak dipole moment:
- 503,100 NIA





Peak current: 235 A
Turns: 4
On-time: 4.5 ms
Off-time: 9.1 ms

Outline

Setup

Frequency Domain EM

Time Domain EM

- Vertical Magnetic Dipole
- Propagation with Time
- Effects of Background Conductivity
- Transmitters and receivers
- Decay Curves

- Questions

- Case History – Oil and gas

Case History: Wadi Sahba

Colombo et al. 2016





?

Setup

Location of Wadi area, Saudi Arabia



Seismic slice



- Oil and gas exploration in the Middle East: Major structures to stratigraphic traps and low relief structures

Challenges for processing seismic data

Example seismic sections



- Strong effects from near surface anomalies even after static corrections

Properties

- P-velocity and conductivity:

$$v_p = g(\phi)$$
$$\sigma = f(\phi)$$

v_p: P-velocity
ϕ: porosity

- Poor seismic data:
  - strong scattering effects probably caused by flower faults
  - velocity inversions (high to low v_p)

- From previous multi-physics analyses:
  - strong structural similarity between the inverted resistivity, and the existing seismic results

Geologic map



Seismic section

Flower faults



Survey

HELITEM



System Configuration



- Peak Tx current: 1200 A
- Dipole moment: 1.7×10^6 A-m^2
- Stacked TEM curve spacing: ~2.7 m
- Total soundings: ~1.6 million

Comparisons: airborne and ground EM



Conductive area



Resistive area



EM data

Apparent resistivity map



Comparison: EM and Seismic data



Processing: EM inversion

Conductivity model



- 1D inversion for each sounding location
- Lateral constraint is used

Cooperative inversion: Seismic + EM

- How **EM** can help seismic tomography inversion?

Velocity (v_p): high to low (significant challenge)

Conductivity (**σ**): high to low

$$v_p = g(\phi)$$
$$\sigma = f(\phi)$$

ϕ: porosity

$\mathbf{m}_s$: Slowness
$\mathbf{m}_\sigma$: Conductivity

$$\psi(\mathbf{m_s}, \mathbf{m}_\sigma) = \psi_m(\mathbf{m}_s) + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\psi_d(\mathbf{m}_s) + \underline{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}\psi_x(\mathbf{m}_s, \mathbf{m}_\sigma)} + \frac{1}{\lambda_3}\psi_{rp}(\mathbf{m}_s, \mathbf{m}_\sigma)$$

$$||\nabla \mathbf{m_s} \times \nabla \mathbf{m}_\sigma||_2^2$$

Gallardo and Meju, 2004



Cooperative inversion: Seismic + EM

V_p depth slices at 340 m below sea level



Cross sections at A-A'

Conductivity

v_p **with EM**

v_p **without EM**



Static correction

Estimated statics on plan map



Static corrected sections



Pre-stack depth migration

- Impact of the improved v_p model to a pre-stack depth migration:

v_p cross sections at A-A'



Cross sections at A-A'



Interpretation and Synthesis

Depth section at A-A’

Depth



Distance

Common image gathers



Interpretation and Synthesis

Depth section at A-A’

Depth



Distance

3D prestack depth migration co-rendered with EM



Common image gathers



- High resolution near surface conductivity from EM improves velocity model
- Helps seismic imaging:
  - Static correction
  - Pre-stack depth migration

End of Inductive Sources

Next up



Lunch: Play with apps

Case History: Kasted

Vilhelmsen et al. (2016)

Setup

A) Survey Area: Kasted, Demark

B) Borehole locations



Local Geology: W-E cross-section



Properties

Geological Cross-Section



Geological Units	Resistivity (Ωm)
Palaeogene Clay	1-10
Clay Till	25-60
Sand Till	>50
Meltwater Sand and Gravel	>60
Glaciolacustrine Clay	10-40
Miocene Silt and Sand	>40
Miocene Clay	10-40
Sand	>40
Clay	1-60

- Buried valleys with clays beneath
- Infill (water-bearing): coarse sand and gravel
- Clays are conductive (1-40 Ωm)
- Water-bearing sands and gravels are more resistive (>40 Ωm)

Survey

SkyTEM System



System Configuration



- Low moment (LM) used to image near surface structures
- High moment (HM) used to image deeper structures

Data

Blue: data used for Kasted study



- 333 line km of data, 100 m line-spacing
- Data points with strong coupling to cultural noise were removed (~30%)

Processing (inversion)

- Spatially constrained 1D inversion → quasi-3D approach
- 9,500 soundings were inverted using 25 layers

Depth slice 5 m above sea-level



Approximate depth to the top of Paleogene clay layer



Interpretation



Delineation of valley structures

- Inversion results used to construct geological model.
- Delineated 20 buried and cross-cutting valley structures.

Synthesis



MODFLOW-USG groundwater model



- 3D geologic model incorporated into MODFLOW-USG groundwater modeling tool
- Extracted water from 2 wells.
- Downdraw between the two wells correlated with the resistive valley structures