

# Wrap-up and Future



#### Major components for the week



#### Major components for the week



#### Summary for inverse problem



Non-unique Ill-conditioned



#### The Inverse Problem is ill-posed

Approach to solving the inverse problem address these issues

### Constraining the inversion

What information is available?

- Geologic structure
- Geologic constraints
- Reference model
- Bounds
- Multiple data sets
- Physical property measurements



#### Major components for the week



## Tikhonov approach

• Define misfit: 
$$\phi_d = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{d_j - d_j^{obs}}{\epsilon_j}\right)^2$$

• Define a ruler form measuring size

$$\phi_m = \int (m - m_{ref})^2 dx$$

• Minimize

$$\phi = \phi_d + \beta \phi_m$$

•  $\beta$  is regularization parameter





#### Inversion Workflow with app



#### Major components for the week



Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)



 $\mathbf{m}^{\parallel}$ : activated portion of model space  $\mathbf{m}^{\perp}$ :annihilator space

#### Truncated SVD

• If data are inaccurate, noise is also amplified by  $1/\lambda_i$ 

$$\mathbf{m}_{c} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left( \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{d}}{\lambda_{i}} \right) \mathbf{v}_{i} + \sum_{i=q+1}^{N} \left( \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{d}}{\lambda_{i}} \right)$$

Cause more harm than good

 $\mathbf{v}_i$ 

- So  $\mathbf{m}_c = \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{d}}{\lambda_i}\right) \mathbf{v}_i$
- Solution lies in a small sub-space
- Treats non-uniqueness and ill-conditioning



#### Plot Tikhonov curve





12

#### What does the solution tell us



- Full data set can recover information about  $\mathbf{m}^{\parallel}$
- Regularized solution is in a reduced region of model space

Geophysical model lies outside of this region To explore that we need to incorporate more information

#### Major components for the week



• Misfit 
$$\phi_d = \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{F_j(m) - d_j^{obs}}{\epsilon_j} \right)$$

• Model norms

$$\phi_m = \alpha_s \|\mathbf{W}_s(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_{ref})\|_2^2 + \alpha_x \|\mathbf{W}_x(\mathbf{m})\|_2^2$$



• Minimize

$$\phi = \phi_d + \beta \phi_m$$

- Quadratic function (Solution obtained in one step)
- Need to choose  $\beta$



### Inversion app



$$L_2 \text{ inversion: } 2D \text{ tomography}$$
  
$$\phi_m = \alpha_s \|\mathbf{W}_s(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_{ref})\|^2 + \alpha_x \|\mathbf{W}_x \mathbf{m}\|^2 + \alpha_z \|\mathbf{W}_z \mathbf{m}\|^2$$

Choose 
$$\mathbf{m}_{ref} = 1/1000$$
  
 $\alpha_s = 1/1000$   
 $\alpha_x = \alpha_z = 1$ 





## Enhanced functionality using $\phi_m$

$$\phi_m = \alpha_s \int_v w_s (\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_{ref})^2 dv + \alpha_x \int_v w_x \left(\frac{dm}{dx}\right)^2 dx + \alpha_z \int_v w_z \left(\frac{dm}{dz}\right)^2 dz$$



 $w_s(x,z)$ : local confidence in  $m_{\rm ref}$ 

(1) 
$$w_s$$
: small  
(2)  $w_s$ : large

$$(1) \begin{array}{l} w_x: \text{ small} \\ w_z: \text{ large} \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{allows jump in} \\ x\text{-direction} \end{array}$$

$$(2) \begin{array}{l} w_x: \text{ large} \\ w_z: \text{ small} \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{allows jump in} \\ z\text{-direction} \end{array}$$

## Inversion with sensitivity weighting

- 1.5e-02

- 0.012

- 0.01

- 0.008

- 0.006

- 0.004

- 0.002

-0.002

\_ -4.9e-03

- 0



True model



Without sensitivity weight



## Next up ...



#### Summary: Newton's method

Linear



$$f''(x)\delta x = -f'(x)$$
$$x^* = -\frac{f'(x)}{f''(x)}$$

Solution in one step

Non-linear



### General algorithm:



Many variants:

Solving systemCooling rate

$$(J^T J + \beta)\delta m = -(J^T \delta d + \beta m)$$
$$\delta d = \mathcal{F}[m] - d^{obs}$$

minimize  $\phi = \phi_d + \beta \phi_m$ 

#### Forward problem



Continuous  $abla \cdot \sigma \nabla V = I\delta(r) = q$   $\vec{j} = \sigma \vec{e}$   $\vec{e} = -\nabla V$  $\vec{j} \cdot \hat{n} = 0$  at boundary

Discrete (FV)  $\mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{M}_{\sigma} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{q}$ 

generically,

 $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{q}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{G}: \text{ gradient matrix} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\sigma}: \text{ conductivity inner product matrix} \\ \mathbf{q}: \text{ source term} \end{array}$ 

#### Examine sensitivity

$$J = -PA(m)^{-1}\mathcal{G}(m, u)$$

P: projection matrix  $A(m)^{-1}$ : forward modelling

• For DC problem

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{M}_{\sigma} \mathbf{G}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{\sigma} = \mathbf{diag} \left( \mathbf{A}_{v}^{T} \mathbf{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \odot \mathbf{vol}) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(m, u) = \nabla_m \left[ A(m) u(m)_{\text{fixed}} \right] = \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{diag} (\mathbf{Gu}) \mathbf{Av}^T \mathbf{diag} (\mathbf{vol})$$

Av: averaging matrix vol: volume of cells

#### Putting everything together

• Solve 
$$(J^T J + \beta)\delta m = -(J^T \delta d + \beta m)$$

• Using CG we need: 
$$J^Ty$$
  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$   
 $Jv$   $v \in \mathbb{R}^M$ 

• but, 
$$J = -PA(m)^{-1}\mathcal{G}(m, u)$$
 all sparse matrices



I TX

00000

-2.0e+00

-1.0e+00

-0.0e+00

--1.0e+00

--2.0e+00

180

#### 3D DC survey and data

- Eight survey lines
- Two survey configurations.

Surface topography





#### 3D DC inversion

#### 3D resistivity model



#### Animation





Next up ...

29



General L<sub>p</sub> norms

## 2D crosswell example

$$\phi_m = \alpha_s \int_v |\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_{ref}|^{p_s} dv + \alpha_x \int_v \left| \frac{d}{dx} (\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_{ref}) \right|^{p_x} dx + \alpha_z \int_v \left| \frac{d}{dz} (\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_{ref}) \right|^{p_z} dz$$

Each term has three adjustable parameters:  $(\alpha, p, \epsilon_m)$ 



31

### Kevitsa

Geology 514 /MO FVS ARN Northing (m) 7511000 ARK MPH MPHB BXH UDU UKO UKO UPX IGB KGB 3493500 3498500 Easting (m) 3503500 E5 - E5' IGB UDU Height (m) -1000 -500 7511000 7512500 7509500 Northing (m)





#### Density model



7511000 Northing (m)

2

7509500

7512500

### Next up ...



## Large-Scale Inversions

Same methodology as small-scale

Advances in scientific computing

- Direct solvers (factor Maxwell operator)
- Semi-structured meshes (OcTree)
- Separate forward and inverse meshes
- Handling the sensitivity matrix
- Access to multi-cores



## Joint inversion and Petrophysics

• Joint inversion



• Multiple surveys and properties



• PGI (petrophysically guided inversion)







## Summary

- Basic understanding about inverse problem
- Next is application:
  - Large-scale
  - Multidisciplinary
  - ...
- What is involved and where does inversion play a role?



## Future problem: groundwater

- Consider Edmonton-Calgary Corridor (ECC)
  - Large scale problem
  - But conductivity itself is not completely informative
- Questions
  - Where are the aquifers and aquitards?
  - What is the water quality (e.g. arsenic, salt water)?
  - What is the storage capacity, flow rate?
  - How are the aquifers recharged (or not)?
  - Are losing water or gaining water? (water balance)
- Stake holder
  - Farmers
  - Government and industry
  - Public
  - Hydrogeologists, engineers, geophysicists

AEM resistivity Alberta Corridor



## Next Generation of Geoscience Problems

- Multi-disciplinary
- Geophysics has a support role
- Inversion needed in multiple places
- Interaction is needed



## Research challenges keep increasing



## Next Generation of Geoscience Problems

- How to extract information about physical properties from data
- How to integrate that to help solve the geoscience problem?



- Who are the researchers?
  - Industry
  - Academia
- Tools for cooperation: Open Source



## Open Source

- Collaboration
  - Development of software
  - Implementing and applying
- Development practices
  - Shared repository
  - Version control
  - Automated testing
  - User and developer documentation
  - Peer review of code
  - Issue tracking
  - Attribution for contributors
  - Licensing

#### Open source communities already doing this:



#### **253** contributors



**1,095** contributors



41 612 contributors

## Sampling of modern open-source projects

- For EM
  - empymod
  - jlnv
  - Geoscience Australia
  - pyGIMLi
  - Fatiando
  - SimPEG
  - ...
  - They differ in objectives, capabilities, structure, interactivity, license, and language





- Modular framework for simulation and inversion of geophysical data
  - gravity, magnetics, vadose flow, DC/IP, FDEM, TDEM
- Open source
- Written in Python
- Specific to electromagnetics
  - Quasi-static Maxwell
  - Tensor, OcTree, Curvilinear and Cylindrical meshes
  - Easily visualize fields, fluxes, charges



## GeoSci.xyz





## Thank You

Resources





simpeg.xyz



slack.simpeg.xyz



courses.geosci.xyz/aem2018

#### SimPEG Team







Craig



Rowan

Devin

Seogi

Gudni













Franklin





Dieter Adam Doug