SDN for SP Networks 2022 Edition

Phil Bedard – Principal Engineer NANOG84

Agenda

- What is "SDN" and what is an "SDN Controller"
- Current industry standards and implementation initiatives
- Network use cases

Caution! Industry buzzword alert!



SDN Background

What is a "non-SDN" network?

- What most networks are today and have been for the last several decades
- Network has a distributed control plane using protocols to communicate routing and forwarding information across the network
- This does not mean it is not intelligent, we've added all kinds of protocols and extensions to enhance distributed control planes and influence end-to-end forwarding
 - RSVP, RSVP-TE, Segment Routing, BGP-Flow Spec, onboard applications like Auto-Bandwidth
 - In some cases, the head-end node influences forwarding across the network, but the intelligence is still embedded within the device



What is Software Defined Networking?

- **Purist view**: Physical separation of control and data plane, external control plane is responsible for all management and routing functions
- Centralized (off-box) control plane makes all routing and forwarding decisions
- Simplified hardware was one of the drivers
 - Standard interface for programming routing/forwarding tables at each hop
 - Standard languages to define forwarding mechanics: Openflow, P4



What is SDN? – "Hybrid" SDN

- Pragmatic view: Common distributed networking with additional intelligence
- Network programmability through standard protocols and open interfaces
- Can augment different layers of the network: Service endpoints, overlay paths, device-level forwarding
- Delegated PCE is a very simple example



Intent Based Networking (IBN)

- Often goes hand in hand with "SDN"
- IBN drives network configuration from desired end goal
- Declarative configuration driven by higher layer requirements
- "I need L2 connectivity between applications A and Z"
 - Software decomposes ask into network services and configuration
 - Each component is only responsible for the components it knows about
- Key is to create abstract representations of services and networks higher layer elements can consume

What is an "SDN controller"?

- A "controller" directs the actions or function of (something), in this case network devices or other network controllers
- Southbound adapters to interact with network or other controllers
- Aggregates standard and proprietary network data
 - Network data is the foundation of more intelligent networks
 - Consumed by controller applications



- Render north bound API interfaces
 - Allows other controllers to consume data or perform application operations on its domain elements
 - Contains intelligent network applications
 - Translate Intent into network configuration

Why use SDN?

- Enhance network decision making with data that's not feasible to use as input into a distributed on-box routing protocol
 - Embedding complex functions into device level software is difficult
 - Upgrading device software is still relatively painful
 - Intelligent multi-layer networks
 - GMPLS is a distributed IP+Optical control plane but has not seen adoption
 - Is SDN the savior of failed device-level control plane interop?
- Optimize network resources
- Ancillary benefits include network visibility and fault identification/correlation

Who is using SDN today?

- Some have been using "SDN" for some time, EG: Content providers with more advanced automation
- Majority of SPs are not using what I would call "SDN" but many are looking at it





SDN Standards and Initiatives

What allows SDN controller interop?

- Standard architecture definition for how controllers interact
- Standard data models
 - YANG is the de facto standard language today
 - Standards covering different domains and technologies
 - Device-level, controller-level, service-level
 - Necessary to normalize proprietary data into vendor-agnostic data
- Standard interface protocols
 - NETCONF to devices is widely implemented and deployed
 - gNMI, gNOI are additional standards for device-level interaction
 - RESTCONF has become the de facto standard for controller to controller interaction

IETF ACTN

Abstraction and Control of Traffic Engineered Networks



- RFC8453 defines hierarchical framework of controllers
- Assigns roles and responsibilities to different elements
- Framework defines loose coupling between components but not interfaces and encoding

HCO or "Hierarchical Controller" is a common name for MDSC

"Domain controller" is a common name for PNC

IETF ACTN – Controller roles

- CNC Customer Network Controller
 - Highest level orchestration / workflow system defining intent
- MDSC (Multi-Domain Service Controller) or HCO
 - Aggregate information from downstream controllers to perform multi-domain tasks, for example IP+Optical provisioning or stitching a single service across two ASNs
- PNC "Provisioning Network" aka "Domain" controller
 - Communicates using SBI to network elements for provisioning, fault, and performance data collection
 - May be proprietary or standard SBI to devices

IETF – Additional Topics

- Service level standard models
 - IETF L2NM for L2VPN, L3NM for L3VPN
- Network models
 - Network topology and TE models
- Continued work on enabling protocols such as PCEP, IS-IS, BGP-LS extensions, Network Telemetry Framework

ONF Telecom Infra Project



- OOPT Open Optical & Packet Transport Project Group
- TIP OOPT MUST Mandatory Use Case Requirements for Transport SDN
 - Focused on defining a SDN controller-based architecture like ACTN, but adds transport protocols, encoding, and model requirements based on standards or de-facto standards
 - Covering IP/MPLS and Optical transport networks
 - MUST has generated controller requirements documents for the NBI/SBI models, interfaces, along with the use cases which must be supported
 - Driven primarily by operators, not vendors

https://telecominfraproject.com/oopt/





ONF OTCC Project and Transport API (T-API)

Open Transport Configuration and Control

- Defines a set of models for managing optical transport networks
 - Equipment inventory, topology, connectivity-service, fault, and performance covered in defined models
 - Used by external systems, T-API does not define SBI from controller to device

Has become the de-facto standard for optical domain controllers

- Still in early stages of implementation, many differences between implementations still
- OIF (Optical Interworking Forum) public interop events
- Used by TIP MUST and other groups defining architectural standards

https://wiki.opennetworking.org/display/OTCC/TAPI+Documentation

T-API Connectivity Service



- Hierarchical services definitions
- Hierarchical topology

T-API Connectivity Service

tapi-connectivity:connectivity-conte connectivity-service : [

! "uuid": "0695d528-ad1c-4ba5-b4af-8a55e9ce1a65", "end-point": (

local-id": "EndPoint11", layer-protocol-name": "PHOTONIC_MEDIA", layer-protocol-qualifier": "tapi-photonic-media:PHOTONIC_LAYER_QUALIFIER_MC" rourise interfore paire".

ervice-interface-point-uuid": "12256896-f41c-30e7-846a-a3cc48f929d8"

topology-uuid": "Ab 1b5fac-a97f-32bc-af8a-7fd5cec82ad7", node-uuid": "97ae548c-0632-3d23-8fb4-a4614c1e50b1", node-edge-point-uuid": "500680c6-ce80-398b-bb78-580c020d396a", connection-end-oint-uuid": "504c67c4-d032-34ba-94fd-649828e584

J, "direction": "BIDIRECTIONAL", "role": "SYMMETRIC", "protection-role": "NA",

value-name": "CONN_SERVICE_END_POINT_NAME", value": "EndPoint11 mc"

^{*}administrative state^{**} UNNOCKED^{*}, "gentional-state^{**} "ENABLED^{*}, "Ifecycle-state^{**} "INSTALLED", "tapi-photonic-media.mca-connectivity-service-end-point-spec^{*}: { "mc-config":

"local-id": "EndPoint11_mc", "name": [

value-name": "CSEP_MC_NAME value": "EndPoint11_mca_csep"

"number-of-mc": "1"

¹local-id": "EndPoint12", |ayer-protocol-name": "HOTONIC_MEDIA", 'layer-protocol-qualifier": "tapi-photonic-media:PHOTONIC_LAYER_QUALIFIER_OTSIMC 'service-interface-point": {

ervice-interface-point-uuid": "12256896-f41c-30e7-846a-a3cc48f929d8"

apology-uuid": "4b1b5fac-a97f-32bc-af8a-7fd5cec82ad7", sode-uuid": "97ae548c-0632-3d23-8fb4-a4614c1e50b1", sode-edge-point-uuid": "ab81e7cf-bd39-3332-9c8b-722c0690b8", somection-end-point-uuid": "15fd1b2-da13-23bc5-7bc-34565221014e

arrecton : Bulket Howket, ; obe:"SYMMERRC', protection-role': "NA", server-connectivity-service-end-point": { connectivity-service-und/in/logi.de"."SonPoint11" name": "CONN_SERVICE_END_POINT ': "EndPoint12_otsimc"

), "administrative-state": "UNLOCKED", "operational-state": "ENABLED", "ffergele-state": "INSTALLED", "fraga-photonic-media-totia-connectivity-service-end-point-spec": { "ots-config": [

{ "local-id": "EndPoint12_otsi", "central-frequency": {

), "application-identifier": { "application-identifier-type": "PROPRIETARY", "application-code": "OPROSE#ODD-400G-ZBP-5#1#5G

"transmit-powe "total-power":

>], "number-of-otsi": "1"

> > tapi-photonic-media:mca-connectivity-service-end-point-spec": { mc-config": [

"local-id": "EndPoint12_mc", "name": [{

ilue": "EndPoint12_otsi_mc"

J. 'number-of-mc':

t "local-ld": "EndPoint21", "layer-protocol-name": "PHOTONIC_MEDIA", "layer-protocol-nambfer": "tapi-photonic-media:PHOTONIC_LAYER_QUALIFIER_MC", "service-interface-point-wuld": "3c3cctf81-de9b-381a-9a6d-a93a65611dc9"

"connection-end-point": [{ "topology-uuid": "4b1b5fac-a97f-32bc-af8a-7fd5cec82ad7", "node-uuid": "0fbc938b-4362-3eca-95b3-02293ec7dc1b",

"node-edge-point-uuid": "56035c0b-256e-32bb-a17L32dfd13dcef", "connection-end-point-uuid": "b6414832-ac3L317A-a9b0-6e4045c3b36e")) ("dection": "BIDIRECTIONAL",

"role : SYMMETRIC', "protection-role": "NA", "name": [{ "welve coments": "CONN_SERVICE_END_PO

"value": "EndPoint21_mc" }

'administrative-state": "UNLOCKED", 'operational-state": "ENABLED", 'lifecycle-state": "INSTALLED", 'tapi-photonic-media:mca-connectivity-service-end-point

"mc-config": [{ "local-id": "EndPoint21_r

{
"value-name": "CSEP_MC_NAME",
"value": "EndPoint21 mca csep"

1

nber-of-mc": "1"

llocalid": "EndPoint22", liger-protoch-ama": "PHOTONIC_MEDIA", liger-protoch-qualifier": "Lapi-photonic-media:PHOTONIC_LAYER_QUALIFIER_OTSIMC", service-interface-point-uid": { 'service-interface-point-uid": 3:33cr61:4e9b-381a-9a6d-a92a45641dc9"

"connection-end-point": [{

"topology-uuid": "4b1b5fac-a97f-32bc-af8a-7fd5cec82ad7", "node-uuid": "0fbc938b-4362-3eca-95b3-02298c7dc1b", "node-edge-point-uuid": "01fc37b0-61c4-3b1c-bb07-ec79783b9156", "connection-end-point-uuid": "523dd167-187-343f-0de2-fe6db5d9f60

), "role":"SYMMETRIC", "protection role":"NA', "server-connectivity-service-end-point": { "connectivity-service-end-point-ical-id": "EndPoint21" "connectivity-service-end-point-ical-id": "EndPoint21"),

value-name": "CONN_SERVICE_END_POINT_NAME", value": "EndPoint22 otsimc"

, daministrative-state": "UNLOCKED", operational-state": "ENABLED", lifecycle-state": "INSTALED", tapi-photonic-media:otsia-connectivity-service-end-point-spec": { ofsi-confie":

" "local-id": "EndPoint22_otsi", "central-frequency": { "central-frequency": "192350000"

["]application-identifier": { "application-identifier-type": "PROPRIETARY", "application-code": "00B08E#QDD-400G-ZRP-S#1#5005

"transmit-power": { "total-power": "-11.5"

umber-of-otsi": "1'

. tapi-photonic-media:mca-connectivity-service-end-point-spec": { mc-config": [localid": "EndPoint22_mc",

value-name": "CSEP_OTSI_MC_NAME",

], "number-of-mc": "1"

onnection": [

onnection-udu . 04e032e0-2e02-3823-8053-0003C134C180

connection-uuid": "224abed2-664a-3380-a89c-0ce0cadf9ecf"

"name":

"value-name": "SERVICE_NAME", "value": "ac7c171f_ddac_45f0_8e3b_55f1aee4956d"

¹ "service-type": "PHOTONIC_MEDIA", "service-type": "POINT_TO_POINT_CONNECTIVIT "connectivity-direction": "BIDIRECTIONAL", "is-exclusive": false, "administrative-state": "INNECTIONAL", "operational-state": "ENABLED", "lifecycle-state": "INSTALLED"



SDN Controller Data Collection

Network Data – Packet

- Realtime topology data is needed to make accurate traffic routing decisions
- Network to IP domain controller
 - BGP-Link State (BGP-LS) is de facto standard today for IGP data
 - PCEP is de facto standard for Traffic Engineering tunnel information (RSVP-TE/Segment Routing)
 - Direct device interrogation using CLI (screen-scraping) or SNMP, pushed from device using telemetry
- Controller to controller
 - RFC 8345 (Base network model) is used today for topology data
 - RFC 8346 covers L3 topology, 8944 L2 topology, 8795 Traffic Engineering
 - Drafts for Segment Routing and other attributes
 - Additional IP Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE LSP, SR Policy) data is conveyed via IETF TEAS models
 - RESTCONF notification subscription over SSE (Server-side events) or Websockets

Network Data – IETF RFC8345 topo example

"tp-id": "FourHundredGigE0/0/1/8", "cisco-crosswork-topology-state:termination-point-attributes": "l2-termination-point-attributes": { "mac-address": "34:ed:1b:35:93:38", "unnumbered-id": [

encapsulation-type": "ietf-l2-topology:ethernet

address": [

} }], "ietf-13-unicast-topology-state:13-node-attributes": { "name": "router-r1",

"prefix": "100.0.0.27/32", "ietf-sr-mpls-topology-state:sr-mpls":

"algorithm-value": 0, "last-hop-behavior": "php", "is-node": true, "start-sid": 16127, "algorithm": "ietf-segment-routing-common:prefix-sid-algorithm-shortest-path "value-type": "absolute", "is-local": false, "range": 1 }

"ietf-sr-mpls-topology-state:sr-mpls": { "srlb": [{

"lower-bound": 15000, "upper-bound": 15999

"srgb": [

"lower-bound": 16000, "upper-bound": 23999

"msd": 10, "node-capabilities": "transport-planes":

ransport-plane": "ietf-segment-routing-common:segment-routing-transport-mpl

"100.0.0.27"

cisco-crosswork-isis-topology:isis-node-attributes": [

"system-id": "1000.0100.0027" "level": "level-2"

Network Data – Packet metric data

Performance data

- Typical network statistics, IE: Interface stats, resource utilization
- Flow data
 - If available Netflow / IPFIX can add additional detail to network level flows
 - Applications steering traffic to/from external destinations relyon flow information to make routing decisions

Metric data is overlayed onto topology data to optimize routing and forwarding decisions

Network Data – Optical

- Controller to network
 - Typically, standard interfaces like TL1, SNMP, or NETCONF are used to the device but encoding and models are proprietary
- Controller to controller
 - T-API is the current de-facto standard
 - topology-context includes full internal optical topology with nodes, network-edge-points (NEP)
 - service-interface-point model contains all client facing interfaces that are a possible termination point for service creation
 - Topologies in TAPI can be recursive





Standards gaps

Packet Controller to HCO gaps

- Physical inventory
 - <u>draft-yg3bp-ccamp-optical-inventory-yang-01 (ietf.org)</u> is a recent proposal for a NBI controller model to carry aggregated inventory information for a domain it controls
- Fault
- Performance metric data
- In the IP world we typically get these direct from a device or proxy device-level data through an intermediate application. Proxy is still an option but not ideal

Popular open source controllers

Open Daylight

- Linux Foundation project initiated in 2013 by commercial networking vendors
- Java OSGi based framework; applications for data collection, TE, orchestration, provisioning for various technologies
- Base for various open source and commercial vendor controllers
- ONOS (Open Network Operating System)
 - Work initiated by ON.Lab in 2012, now driven by ONF (Open Networking Foundation)
 - Java OSGi based distributed framework using network and data abstractions to facilitate network application development
 - uONOS is the newer microservices based ONOS
 - Wide range of use cases: BNG, WAN, BGP EPE



Network Use Cases

SDN Controllers – Real world view

- Controller can be considered a part of the network, managing network resources like an embedded device control plane
- Operational visibility is a good starting place for deployment
 - Provisioning and more advanced control functions can be added later
- Fully closed loop automation is rare

Use Case – Shared Risk Link Groups

- IP links over optical DWDM circuits often converge on a single fiber, conduit, node
- Traffic engineering and protection on the IP network requires it be seeded with information about shared resources, otherwise a single failure could take down working and protect paths
- Optical restoration requires dynamic updates of SRG information on the IP network
- GMPLS does solve some of this, but was complicated and not interoperable between vendors

Use Case – Shared Risk Link Groups

- IP layer needs SRLG information for service and protection diversity
- Easier to solve by using intelligent software at hierarchical controller level
- Source of truth for SRLG information is optical network

| НСО | |
|---------|------------------------|
| R1 Eth0 | Circuit A |
| R1 Eth1 | Circuit <mark>B</mark> |
| R1 Eth2 | Circuit C |

Optical Controller

1,2,5

Circuit A

- HCO has discovered or manually entered Inter-Layer Link
- HCO programs SRLG information on each router, R1 will augment Eth1 protection to use Eth0 and not Eth2



Use Case – Edge traffic balancing

- More of a true "SDN" use case of defining an end goal and using network data and intelligent software
- End state: Balance traffic to 10.0.0.0/24 across all possible peers







ıılıılı cısco