

# DNS @ IETF

## DNS Standards Work-of-Interest

David Lawrence <[d.lawrence@salesforce.com](mailto:d.lawrence@salesforce.com)>

# A very brief history of the IETF



- Standards Developing Organization ([SDO](#))
  - Akin to ITU, IEEE, WHO, ASME ...
  - Voluntary, international, individual membership
- An ancient body, from the adolescence of the pre-commercial Internet.
  - First met in 1986, nearly 40 years ago (4 days before the Challenger disaster)
- Formed to coordinate interoperability in a heterogeneous environment
- Core principles
  - open, consensus-driven process
  - freely available standards
  - non-proprietary for implementation
  - planned security
  - planned privacy
- No direct certification / enforcement powers

# HOW STANDARDS PROLIFERATE: (SEE: A/C CHARGERS, CHARACTER ENCODINGS, INSTANT MESSAGING, ETC.)

SITUATION:  
THERE ARE  
14 COMPETING  
STANDARDS.

14?! RIDICULOUS!  
WE NEED TO DEVELOP  
ONE UNIVERSAL STANDARD  
THAT COVERS EVERYONE'S  
USE CASES.



SOON:

SITUATION:  
THERE ARE  
15 COMPETING  
STANDARDS.

# So, why am I here?

- Surprisingly little overlap between standards writers and operators
- Charges of Ivory Towerism are not completely unwarranted
- Increased outreach to the operator community
  - Can identify practical spec problems earlier
  - Could help adoption of new technologies



# Overview of the IETF's Structure

- Areas

- Applications and Real-Time Area ([art](#)): Higher level, like email, calendaring and telephony
- General Area ([gen](#)): Work about the IETF itself, like tools and processes
- Internet Area ([int](#)): Core Internet tech like IP, DNS, NTP, and DHCP
- Operations and Management Area ([ops](#)): Topics such as configuration and management
- Routing Area ([rtg](#)): BGP, RPKI, MPLS, et cetera
- Security Area ([sec](#)): Crypto algorithms, key management, identities, TLS, and so on
- Web and Internet Transport ([wit](#)): HTTP, QUIC, and other web-focused services

- Working Groups

- More narrowly focused topics within each area, eg just the DNS registry/registrar protocol
- Boundaries can be a little fuzzy, such as DNS protocol work in the dnsop wg in ops

- Internet Engineering Steering Group ([IESG](#)) is all Area Directors

- Internet Architecture Board ([IAB](#)) provides top level leadership

# The IETF's Output

- Document Types

- Standards Track: Proposed Standard or Internet Standard, to be broadly implemented
- Best Current Practice (BCP): Operational advice
- Informational: External protocols or non-protocol documents
- Historic: Obsolete protocols

- Document Streams

- IETF stream, produced through working group collaboration and IESG review
- IAB stream, for documents produced by the IAB, mostly about the standards process
- IRTF (Internet Research Task Force) stream, for research-focused documents
- Independent Submission Stream, for documents outside of the above
  - April 1st RFCs
  - Jon Postel's eulogy
  - Non-IETF protocol descriptions
  - Informational, Experimental or Historic, NEVER Standards Track nor BCP

# DNS Working Groups

- [dnsop](#), for core operations and protocol work
  - historic: dnsextnamedroppers
- [dprive](#), focused on privacy enhancements for the DNS
- [dnssd](#), scaling the DNS service discovery protocol
- [add](#), guiding resolver selection protocols for clients
- [deleg](#), investigating a new delegation mechanism

# dnsop recent notable work

- [RFC 9520](#), Negative Caching of DNS Resolution Failures
  - Reduces aggressive retry in the absence of explicit TTL information
  - Recommends exponential backoff to a maximum of five minutes
- [RFC 9460](#), Service Binding and Parameter Specification via the DNS
  - New records, SVCB and HTTPS, that helps facilitate independent service operators
  - Addresses the problem where a CNAME can't coexist with other records at zone apex
  - Helps support efficient initiation of TLS sessions
- [RFC 9432](#), DNS Catalog Zones
  - Secondary nameservers need not have out-of-band configuration of new zones at primary
- [RFC 8901](#), Multi-Signer DNSSEC Models
  - From 2020, but now finally seeing implementation from, eg, Cloudflare and NS1
- [RFC 8914](#), Extended DNS Errors
  - Optional, allowing for descriptions on answers like policy blocking, DNSSEC errors, or stale data
- [RFC 9567](#), DNS Error Reporting
  - Allows configuration of an email address for resolver error reports, eg DNSSEC failure



# dnsop current notable work

- [Structured Error Data for Filtered DNS](#)
  - Leverages RFC 8914 extended errors to add JSON-formatted additional information
  - Primary use case is for user feedback about policy blocked responses
- [Automatic DNSSEC Bootstrapping using Authenticated Signals](#)
  - Another attempt to address the lack of an operator role in the ICANN model
- [Domain Control Validation using DNS](#)
  - Analyses various in-band ownership validation approaches and recommends best practices
  - Hope to cut down on enormous apex TXT record sets (many now force TCP lookups)
- [IP Fragmentation Avoidance in DNS over UDP](#)
  - Recommends techniques to reduce the risk of fragmenting UDP responses
- [Generalized DNS Notifications](#)
  - Expands DNS NOTIFY operation beyond signaling zone transfers
  - Initial use case is for DNSSEC key-related updates with parent

# dprive recent and notable work

- [RFC 9103](#), DNS Zone Transfer over TLS
  - Guards against third-party observation of zone data during secondary refresh
- [RFC 9250](#), DNS over Dedicated QUIC Connections
  - DoQ, akin to DoT (DNS over TLS) but with QUIC efficiencies
  - General purpose for both client to resolver and resolver to authority
  - Doesn't address discovery, just the query/response mechanism
- [RFC 9539](#), Unilateral Opportunistic Deployment of Encrypted Recursive-to-Authoritative DNS
  - Approaches to probing for DoT / DoQ support at authorities
- [Using Early Data in DNS over TLS](#)
  - 0-RTT session resumption is susceptible to replay attacks; this proposes mitigations

# dnssd recent and notable work

- [RFC 8765](#), DNS Push Notifications
  - Allows client subscription to monitor changes to specific records of interest
- [An EDNS\(0\) option to negotiate Leases on DNS Updates](#)
  - Adds leases to Dynamic DNS registrations to allow for expiry
- [Service Registration Protocol for DNS-Based Service Discovery](#)
  - Simplifies service setup and related dynamic DNS update
  - Significant initial use case is to make printer setup easier
  - Implemented by the Matter spec for IoT devices
- [DNS Multiple QTYPES](#)
  - A way to ask for multiple record types at a name in one go, such as A, AAAA and MX
  - In dnssd because they want it to minimize packets on low-power Thread meshes
  - Thread clients want both SRV and TXT records

# add recent and notable work

- [RFC 9461](#), Service Binding Mapping for DNS Servers
  - Extends the RFC 9460 SVCB record to identify DNS server capabilities
  - Can specify a server runs an encrypted transport, and on what port
- [RFC 9462](#), Discovery of Designated Resolvers
  - DDR, for clients to identify a resolver's encrypted DNS configuration
- [RFC 9463](#), DHCP and Router Advertisement Options for the Discovery of Network-designated Resolvers
  - DNR, Local encrypted resolver setup via DHCP or router advertisement
- [DNS Resolver Information](#)
  - An extensible type, RESINFO, for specifying the details of a resolver's feature support
- [Establishing Local DNS Authority in Validated Split-Horizon Environments](#)
  - Enterprise DNS and internal/external views of zone data has long complicated DNS setups
  - Provides a trust mechanism to assert when domains need internal resolution

# deleg, a new IETF working group

- My aspirational talk at NANOG 90 ran headlong into Real World Process
- To recap, a cross-section of the DNS industry wants to provide more information about the authoritative servers for a zone
- Initial use cases are to indicate DoT/DoQ/DoH, and operator indirection
- Likely the biggest change to DNS since DNSSEC
- "Birds of a Feather" in March at Brisbane IETF showed support to pursue it
- Working group still needs final IESG approval, expected next week
- First draft on the problem statement and requirements by end of the month
- Inaugural meeting at Vancouver IETF in July

Thank you

*fin*