POLICY IN ACTION: What makes a Reputation Provider effective

Nanog 92 | October, 2024



Meet the speaker



Matthew Stith Industry Liaison

Hello, we're Spamhaus

STRENGT-IENING TRUST AND SAFETY

ON THE INTERNET



What we'll cover

- 1. Policy
- 2. Importance of accuracy
- 3. Stellar Group SAS | Scenario 1
- 4. KDDI Corporation | Scenario 2
- 5. Community | Sharing intelligence



How Policy defines IP Reputation

- Research into suspicious IPs
- Indicators (good/bad) e.g., configuration
- Regular policy adjustments to address changes to the abuse landscape





How Policy defines Domain Reputation

- Domain reputation score is a grayscale
- Multiple factors impact scoring
- Context is important in listing decisions





Understanding escalations

- This is a last resort action
- Very few escalations
- Escalation triggers:
 - Failure to resolve a issue
 - Dishonesty in issue resolution
 - No response
 - Refusal to mitigate or remediate



Importance of accuracy

MITH GREAT POWER
COMES GREAT RESPONSBILITY

Uncle Ben

Stellar Group SAS

Scenario 1

In this Spamhaus Blocklist (SBL) example, we identified who was behind this rogue ISP...

- Stellar Group SAS, a France-based company.
- Running network infrastructure for bulletproof host.
- RDP[.]monster.
- Advertised RDP servers allowing anonymous registration.

Stellar Group SAS

Scenario 1

The plot thickens...

- AS203168, registered to a Dinant, Belgium-based strawman,
 Constant Moulin.
- Increased pressure through SBL listings and upstreams.
- Mistake revealed Stellar Group, not Constant Moulin.



Stellar Group SAS

Scenario 1

Time to DROP...

- Stellar Group relocated/obtained own AS, AS214961.
- DROP'd network leased from Neterra (Bulgaria-based IP broker)
- SBL648570 (178.215.236[.]0/24).
- Network ownership knowledge sufficient to preventively list.
- OpSec mistake key to link Stellar Group and RDP[.]monster.





A range of IP addresses on KDDI's Network

- Investigators manually discovered that a /22 was hosting malicious material
- A SBL listing was created to prevent further damage
- Thousands of domains were discovered on these IPs (All scams)





An ongoing problem of abuse...

- Continued abuse and new domain registrations on the malicious range
- Manually adding domains does not scale and increases gaps in protection against maliciousness





Using automation to scale policy decisions...

- Researchers use multiple tools to find patterns
- Metadata for each domain is stored
- Associations are made from the domains observed on the listed range with the same attributes





Automation in practice...

- After a pattern is identified any new domain observed will be automatically given the proper negative reputation and be put into the zone.
- All automated entries adhere to the policy in place





We all have a role to play!

Community = visibility and context

Your insight is unique

 Reputation providers look at TTP (tactics, techniques & procedures) not users.

Sharing intelligence

 Useful data: malware & phishing samples/URLs, unsolicited messages, passive DNS, connect data, etc.

 Your detections help strengthen trust and safety and improve provider's policies.

Free resources

Available to Network Operators:





Don't Route Or Peer (DROP) Lists



STATS

Network Reputation
Statistics



BLOG

Avoid fraudulent sign ups

Any questions?

Matthew Stith

stith@spamhaus.com



