INITIAL STUDY

1. Project title: Greenhouse Gas CEQA Thresholds of Significance

2. Lead agency name and address:

San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District 3433 Roberto Court San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

- 3. Contact person and phone number: Aeron Arlin Genet, (805) 781-5912
- 4. Project location: Incorporated and Unincorporated Areas of San Luis Obispo County, CA
- 5. Project sponsor's name and address:

San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) 3433 Roberto Court San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

- 6. General plan designation: Various
- 7. Zoning: Various
- 8. Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

Approval of the Project will establish APCD recommended options for greenhouse gas (GHG) significance thresholds that lead agencies may use to determine the significance of greenhouse gas emissions impacts under CEQA that are caused by land use development projects proposed within San Luis Obispo County. CEQA encourages public agencies to adopt thresholds of significance (State CEQA Guidelines \$15064.7(b)). The proposed greenhouse gas (GHG) CEQA thresholds of significance, which are supported by substantial evidence, satisfies the CEQA requirement that if a threshold is intended for general use, it must be adopted through a public review process (State CEQA Guidelines \$15064.7(b)).

9. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

San Luis Obispo County constitutes a land area of approximately 3,316 square miles with varied vegetation, topography and climate. The county is located along the Pacific Ocean in the Central Coast of California, bordered by Monterey County to the north, Santa Barbara County to the south, and Kern County to the east. The area is more rural and

agricultural than many other coastal regions in California. From a geographical and meteorological standpoint, the county can be divided into three general regions (i.e., Coastal Plateau, Upper Salinas River Valley, and East County Plain) that provide a wide variety of coastal and inland hill ecologies to support many kinds of aquatic, agriculture, and tourist activities.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement).

No other public agency approval is required besides the San Luis Obispo County APCD. Other lead agencies within SLO County may, at their discretion, adopt the greenhouse gas thresholds to use in review of land use development projects under their purview.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

□ Aesthetics	•	priculture and] Air Quality
Biological Reso	urces 🗌 C	ultural Resources	Geology /Soils
Greenhouse Ga Emissions		azards & Hazardous] Hydrology / Water Quality
□ Land Use/Planr	ning 🗌 M	ineral Resources] Noise
Population/Hou	sing 🗌 Pu	ublic Services	Recreation
□ Transportation/		ilities/ Service	Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

☑ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

San Luis Obispo APCD February 15, 2012

□ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

□ I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

- □ I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- □ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Action Arlin Genet, Manager, Planning and Outreach SLO County Air Pollution Control District

15 Feb 12

Date

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			V	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Some project proponents may choose to install devices, such as solar panels, to reduce a project's GHG emissions below the proposed thresholds. Such devices, installed on individual development projects, may produce nominal degradation of visual character or glare. Significant aesthetic impacts from solar panels are associated with large solar arrays (e.g. California Valley Solar Ranch, San Luis Obispo County, SCH # 2009021009) rather than individual project applications that are likely to be used to mitigate GHG emissions. Aesthetic impacts resulting from adoption of the proposed Project are expected to be less than significant.

	Less Than Significant		
Potentially	with	Less Than	
Significant	Mitigation	Significant	No
Impact	Incorporated	Impact	Impact

II. AGRICULTURE AND

FORESTRY RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?

5

 \square

 \square

 \square

 \square

 \square

 \square

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non- forest use?				\checkmark
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non- agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Insofar as the proposed thresholds may encourage more compact development, the proposed Project may forestall some forest and farmland conversions and have a beneficial impact on agriculture and forestry. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. The proposed Project is not expected to cause any adverse impacts to agricultural or forestry resources.

III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				V
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				V
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				

The Project is not expected to cause any adverse impacts to air quality or conflict with adopted air quality plans. The proposed thresholds of significance for greenhouse gas emissions will reduce future greenhouse gas emissions and may provide concurrent reductions of other air pollutants, a beneficial impact.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				V
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				V
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				V

e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact ☑
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Adverse impacts to biological resources are not expected to occur from approval of the proposed Project.

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				V
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				V
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				V

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				\checkmark

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Adverse impacts to cultural resources are not expected to occur from approval of the proposed Project.

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				\checkmark
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				\checkmark

iv) Landslides?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact ☑
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				\checkmark
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- site or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				V
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Adverse impacts to geology and soils are not expected to occur from approval of the proposed Project.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.	-	-	-	-
Would the project: a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				V
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				V

The Project, if adopted and fully implemented, is expected to reduce about 14,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide-equivalent emissions (CO2e) per year (greenhouse gases) from new land use development projects by 2020, a beneficial impact. In addition, the proposed Project encourages development and adoption of qualified greenhouse gas reduction strategies and plans by local jurisdictions, which will allow streamlined environmental review of projects consistent with a local jurisdiction's adopted reduction strategy or plan, a beneficial impact. The proposed Project is not expected to have any adverse impacts on greenhouse gas emissions.

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project: a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				V

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact √
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			_	
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse hazards or hazardous materials impacts are not expected to occur from adoption of the proposed Project.

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				V

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				\checkmark
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or				V

death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				\checkmark

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse impacts to hydrology and water quality are not expected to occur from adoption of the proposed Project.

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Physically divide an established community?				\checkmark
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				

c) Conflict with any applicable	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact ☑
habitat conservation plan or natural				
community conservation plan?				

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Insofar as the proposed thresholds may encourage more compact development, the Project may forestall some land conversions and have a beneficial impact on rural, agriculture, and forestry lands throughout the county. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse impacts to land use and planning are not expected to occur from adoption of the proposed Project.

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				V
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				V

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse impacts to mineral resources are not expected to occur from adoption of the Project.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XII. NOISE Would the project result in:	Ĩ	Ĩ	Ĩ	
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				V
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				V
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse noise impacts are not expected to occur from adoption of the Project.

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				V
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				V

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Insofar as the proposed thresholds may encourage more compact development, the Project may improve the jobs/housing development throughout the region. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse population and housing impacts are not expected to occur from adoption of the Project.

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities?				$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Insofar as the proposed thresholds may encourage more compact development, the Project may enhance the use of some public services (e.g., transit) and reduce costs to provide public services in areas far removed from the urban core (e.g., roads, fire protection, etc.). Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse public service impacts are not expected to occur from adoption of the Project.

XV. RECREATION.	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational				

facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				V

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Significant adverse recreation impacts are not expected to occur from adoption of the Project.

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but				V

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	•		-	•
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				V
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				V
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				\checkmark
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				V

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Several available mitigation measures that new project may select to implement will mitigate GHG emissions and encourage alternate forms of transportation other than single-occupant vehicles (public transit, bicycles) and use of alternate fuel vehicles, reducing transportation/traffic impacts, a beneficial impact. Significant adverse transportation or traffic impacts are not expected to occur from adoption of the Project.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				V
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				V

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				V
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				V

The Project does not include a proposal to construct buildings or any other type of facility. Some ancillary construction may result if individual development projects install features or devices designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in order to meet the proposed thresholds. Consideration of these impacts would also occur in conjunction with the environmental review of individual projects. Many of the mitigation measures project proponents would use to reduce GHG emissions (e.g. use of reclaimed water/gray water, low-flow fixtures, water efficient landscapes, etc.) would also conserve water resources, a beneficial impact. Significant adverse utility and service system impacts are not expected to occur from adoption of the Project.

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or				

wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
 b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? 				
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				V

 $H:\label{eq:linear} H:\label{eq:linear} H:\label{eq:linear} Label{eq:linear} H:\label{eq:linear} Label{eq:linear} H:\label{eq:linear} Label{eq:linear} Label{$