

APCD_slocleanair

From: rachelle toti <rachelletoti@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:50 AM
To: boardclerk@slocleanair.org
Cc: Gary Willey; Karl Tupper
Subject: [EXT]Comments for the Hearing Board
Attachments: 90MoreAcres_Option1_20201022.pdf; 90MoreAcres_Option2_20201022.pdf; 90MoreAcres_Option3_20201027.pdf; 90MoreAcres_Option4_20201027.pdf

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Hearing Board Members,


As you watch the presentations during the March 24 Update there are at least four issues that are concerning.

1. Timing - Why is the 2020/ 2021 dust mitigation being installed so late when it was approved in November?
2. Location - Why is 25% of this mitigation outside of the riding area?
3. Transparency -Why weren't the four options for mitigation presented to the APCO and the public in August 2020. I had to obtain them with a Public Records Act request, and then it took 2 months. See attached options.
4. Accuracy - Are the acres of mitigation being accurately counted and not double-counted. For example, 40 acres is fenced one year, awaiting native plants to be planted at a later date. When that later planting occurs in another year, or maybe 2 years, (20 acres one year and 20 acres in another year), are they being counted again as mitigation once planted.


I hope that you can give direction to the parties involved to address these concerns, either on the 24th or at a later date.

Sincerely,

Rachelle Toti




10/22/2020




Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

Option 1




10/22/2020




Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community


Option 2



10/27/2020



Option 3



Option 4