STRATEGIC PLAN CORE THEMES REPORT

Core Theme 1: Student Achievement

Objective 1.1) South Seattle Community College advances student course and program progression from one level to the next.

South engages students, staff, and faculty to strengthen and advance progress toward a student's goal from entry to exit through an emphasis on educational planning, early intervention, and innovative partnerships across campus.

| INDICATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | (2011-12) | (2012-13) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1.1.1 Student retention All students, AND degree seeking students | Retention drops most dramatically between a student's first and second quarter, however measuring retention over various periods of time indicate that the college is successfully advancing progression. | 1 st time, full-time, degree seeking Fall to Winter Fall to Spring Fall to Fall | <u>W '11</u> 76% <u>S '11</u> 66% <u>F' 1</u> 1 NA | <u>W '12</u> 72% <u>S '12</u> 64% <u>F' 12</u> 49% | <u>W '13</u> 72% <u>S '13</u> 64% <u>F' 13</u> 49% | <u>W'15</u> 77% <u>S' 15</u> 67% <u>F' 15</u> 52% |

| INDICA | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | (2012-2013) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|--------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| 1.1.2 | Momentum point | These indicators | College Readiness | 1478 | 1358 | |
| | attainment | measure a variety of | 15-credit | 1731 | 1689 | |
| | (annual SAI report) | gains made toward | 30-credit | 1371 | 1332 | |
| | | completion, providing | College Level Math | 1032 | 1002 | |
| | | a more nuanced snapshot of South's support of progression. | Total | 10,257 | 15,165 | 12,000 |
| 1.1.3 | Progression and | These indicators | Transfer (GISS rpt.) | Fall 06-Spr10 | Fall 09-Spr12 | |
| | completion | illustrate that | % Tipping Point or | 15% | 17% | 16% |
| | | students are | Degree | | | |
| | | advancing along the | % Transferred | 29% | 24% | 30% |
| | | college's spectrum of | without degree | | | |
| | | offerings and meeting | ProfTech (GISS rpt.) | | | |
| | | their goals. | % Reaching Tipping | 14% | 25% | 15% |
| | | | Point (Degree or | | | |
| | | | Cert. w/45 cred.) | | | |
| | | | % Cert. LT 45 cred. | 1% | 9% | 2% |
| | | | BTS (GISS rpt.) | | | |
| | | | % ABE Transitioning | 19% | 21% | 20% |
| | | | % ESL Transitioning (SSCC data) | 16% | 14% | 17% |
| | | | % Lvl5-60 (8-09 | 17% | 22% | 18% |
| | | | Total)→College (by | | | |
| | | | Sp.'11) | | | |

| INDICATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | (2013-2014) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1.1.4 Student satisfaction with goal completion (e.g. CCSSE, ACT, other national surveys) | Because students possess a variety of goals (beyond degree or certificate completion), this indicator will account for—through satisfaction ratings—a student's own assessment of his/her goal completion. | Student Ratings (from surveys) CCSSE item 27: How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this college; College Contribution to Growth and Preparation: ACT IV A.2:Intellectual Growth A.3: Social Growth A.4 Prep. Further study A.5 Prep. Career | <u>CCSSE 2011</u> item 27: mean=3.18 4=Excellent, 1=Poor <u>ACT IV.</u> A.2=4.19 A.3=4.06 A.4=4.18 A.5=4.09 | Available 2014— CCSSE 2014 <u>Noel-Levitz</u> I=6.55, S=6.16 I=6.29 , S=5.94 I=6.40, S=5.88 | Maintain status above national norms. (public 2yr. 2013) <u>N-L SSI</u> Benchmarks TBD |

Objective 1.2) South Seattle Community College students successfully navigate the college system and develop strong financial literacy.

South supports the needs of our diverse student population to successfully transition through the college system through effective dissemination of relevant information and an array of support services at critical junctures in students' progression.

| INDICATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | (11-12) | (12-13) | Benchmark |
|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | (2014-15) |
| 1.2.1 | | | | | | |
| Students fund their education through available resources (e.g. financial aid, scholarships, payment plan) | Successful navigation is dependent on students' ability to fund their education. Due to the variety of funding options and their varied processes, funding is often a barrier to successful navigation. | % Students Awarded Financial Aid: Pell, State/Local, Institutional | 2010 Federal Aid=30% State/Local=38% Institutional=9% | 11-12 40% 49% 16% | <u>12-13</u> Avail. Win '14 | <u>Bm. '14</u> 33% 40% 10% |

| INDICA | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Fall '08 | Fall '09 | Fall '10 | Fall '11 | Fall '12 | Bm. '14 |
|--------|--|---|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.2.2 | Retention and completion rates for | First generation and other underrepresented | B/H/NA Retention Fall to Winter API Retention Fall to | 60% 78% | 69% 73% | 68% | 61% 82% | 79% | 72% |
| | underserved student populations | groups demonstrate greater struggles in navigating college | Winter | | | | | | |
| | (Black, Hispanic, Native | processes. This indicator will demonstrate whether South's | B/H/NA Graduation rate (degree seeking) w/in 3 yrs. | 9% (N=8) | 9% (N=6) | 20% (N=13) | Avail. 2014 | Avail. 2015 | Avail. 2017 |
| | American; API) | resources result in an increased success rate. | API Graduation rate (degree seeking) w/in 3 yrs. | 24% (N=11) | 19% (N=9) | 33% (N=11) | | | |

| INDICATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark |
|---|---|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | | | | (2014-15) |
| 1.2.3 Retention of students participating in financial literacy programs | Students who develop strong financial literacy are more equipped to persist and navigate the system. | Retention for sub-population | 0 | TBD |

Objective 1.3) South Seattle Community College students accomplish their educational objectives.

South recognizes that students with different educational goals need different kinds of support, and the college provides instruction and related resources to meet those varied needs, whether students seek personal enrichment, language or other basic skills, a certificate or degree in a professional or technical field, an academic degree or transfer to a four-year institution, or an applied baccalaureate degree..

| INDIC | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | (2009-2012) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|-------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1.3.1 | Certificate/degree completion | For students who indicate their intent to earn a credential, completion of that credential is a strong indicator | Plans to get degree | Students enter Fall 2008 by Spr. 2011: | Student F/2009 S/2012 | |
| | | that the college is meeting its mission. | Academic | Academic: (SSCC 23%, GISS 15%) | Academic (SSCC 22%,GISS 17%) | Academic: 25% |
| | | | ProfTech | ProfTech: (SSCC 17%, GISS 14%) | ProfTech: (SSCC 17%, GISS 25%) | ProfTech: 25% |
| 1.3.2 | Transfer rates | For academic students, transfer is an important part of the college's mission. | 2-Year Transfer rate reported from SBCTC | 53% (Transfer Table 2011 by SBCTC Annual Report AA, AS, 2009) | | 55% |
| 1.3.3 | Employment rates | For professional/technical students, gaining employment in their field is the hallmark of success and indicates that the college is fulfilling its mission. | Employment rates reported from SBCTC | 71% (SBCTC Annual Report) | 77% (SBCTC Annual Report 11-12) | 80% |

Core Theme 2: Teaching and Learning

Objective 2.1) South Seattle Community College students learn requisite knowledge and skills.

South faculty, staff, and administrators work collaboratively to ensure that students' diverse needs are met and that they are provided the opportunity to gain the knowledge and skills that they need to be successful.

| | | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| INDICATORS | RATIONALE | | | (2014-15) |
| 2.1.1 Course/program-level assessment as SLO analysis (e.g. outcome mapping) | The analysis of Student Learning Outcomes, along with other learning assessment, yields insight into the type and extent of student learning, and provides input for any needed refinements or adjustments to the college's educational offerings. | ACT survey (or another survey of graduating students) SLO crosswalk to measure progress. 1. Communication 2. Computation 3. Human relations 4. Critical thinking 5. Technology 6. Personal Responsibility | ACT 2010 (5=Very(4=)Much, 3=Moderate, 2=Little,1= None) 1. 3.86 ¹ 2. 3.79 3. 3.86 4. 4.00 5. 3.71 6. 3.64 | National Norms for 2-Year Public Institutions (2010) 1. 3.63 2. 3.68 3. 3.57 4. 3.82 5. 3.66 6. 3.41 7. 3.65 |
| | | 7. Information Literacy | 7. 4.07 | 7. 5.05 |

¹ Averages are calculated from the items listed below:

- SLO3=Human relations, ACT II.D.1, 3, 4
- SLO4=Critical thinking and problem-solving, ACT II.A.1, 2, 3

SLO1=Communication, ACT II.A.7, 8, 9, 11

SLO2=Computation, ACT II.A.14, 26

SLO5=Technology, ACT II.A.24

SLO6=Personal responsibility, ACT II.D.8, 10, 12, 20, 21, 27, 30, 31

SLO7=Information literacy, ACT II.A.4

| INDICATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| 2.1.2 Student self-reports of satisfaction (e.g. CCSSE and ACT Exit Survey). | Students are well-positioned to determine the value of their educational experience within the context of their particular backgrounds, goals, and aspirations. | Student Surveys CCSSE 27: How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this college? ACT III.2 Quality of Instruction ACT III.39: This college in general ACT IV: Your experience at this college | CCSSE 27: (2011) 3.18 ACT III.2 (2010) 4.15 ACT III.39 (2010) 4.20 ACT IV (2010) 4.04 (mean of items ²) | National Norms for 2-Year Public Institutions 3.14 4.00 4.11 3.89 |
| 2.1.3 Math and English course completion and progression | Momentum points indicate that students are learning requisite knowledge and skills to progress on their chosen educational paths. | Communication and Math completion and progression. | PC Eng= 86.9% C Eng= PC Math= C Math= | Frank |

Objective 2.2) South Seattle Community College students are actively engaged in learning.

Through pedagogies, promising practices and effective methodologies, South provides a rich, self-directed student learning experience that will serve them well as students and prepare them for work and life.

| INDIC | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|-------|---|---|---|--|---|
| 2.2.1 | Students receive scholarships and academic honors | Measuring how many students receive scholarships, receive academic honors, and receive other positive recognition illustrates the extent to which the college has provided a rich, self- directed learning experience. | Dean's list Foundation scholarships Population: College-level (BTS/ Transfer/ ProfTech) N and Percent | (What was it in 2010-11?) | Rosie/ Dempsey Pluhta (What do you project it will be in 2014-15?) |
| 2.2.2 | Students engage in campus and community opportunities | Student engagement in the campus community and in campus activities is a known indicator of student achievement (CCSSE, Student Life). | CCSSE, Student Life questionnaire, number trained in student leadership, number of student life transcript, number of students w/ Service Learning % of graduates | <u>CCSSE</u> Active and Collaborative Learning Score=54.2 (80 th percentile) <u>Item 4i</u> "Participated in Community Based Project"=1.39 | Remain at or above 80 th % Remain above national average of 1.31 |

Objective 2.3) South Seattle Community College programs routinely update their curriculum and instructional methodologies.

South is committed to providing instruction that is current and relevant according to the professional standards in the various fields of study, and that meets the needs of students as they progress along their career paths.

| INDICA | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|--------|---|---|---|--|------------------------|
| 2.3.1 | Curriculum and program changes (collected from the Curriculum & Instruction Committee, and from the district's curriculum-tracking website) | The addition of new courses, updates to existing courses, and the creation of new instructional programs demonstrate responsiveness to the needs of students and a commitment to providing instruction that meets current standards in the various disciplines or fields of study. | # Course revision # Course origination # Program revision # Program origination AGILE survey of faculty with new or revised courses | From CIC | Donna |
| 2.3.2 | Percent of faculty who engage in professional development opportunities | By providing professional development for instructors, the college indicates a systemic commitment to quality instruction. | % Faculty awarded faculty development grants from foundation, college, and district AGILE ³ survey of faculty | Number/ Percent of faculty Average hours reported by faculty | Elizabeth |
| 2.3.3 | Independent licensures, certifications/accreditation, and awards | In professional/technical fields, certification by an independent agency (NATEF, the FAA, the Nursing Commission, etc.) indicates state-of-the-art curriculum and instruction. | Programs maintaining or receiving required or industry recognized certification by professional accrediting agencies (Number and Percent) | Office of Instruction | Donna |

³ Action Generated Instrument for Learning Evaluation

Core Theme 3: College Culture and Climate

Objective 3.1) South Seattle Community College hires and retains a diverse and culturally competent workforce.

South recognizes the critical role of diversity in creating a successful educational environment for the 21st century. South endeavors to improve the diversity and cultural competency of employees to help prepare students for participation in the broader community.

| INDICA | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|--------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 3.1.1 | Diversity of faculty | Having employees that | Legacy (ratio of | Fall 2010 | KV |
| | and staff (race/ | reflect a global workforce is | underrepresented populations | <u>Classified</u> | |
| | ethnicity) | critical to the success of the | of employees | OC=52(41%) | |
| | | college in the 21 st century. | | Cau=76(59%) | |
| | | | | Exempt | |
| | | | | OC=18(26%) | |
| | | | | Cau=52(74%) | |
| | | | | <u>FT</u> | |
| | | | | OC=24(29%) | |
| | | | | Cau=59(71%) | |
| | | | | <u>PT</u> | |
| | | | | OC=38(16%) | |
| | | | | Cau207(85%) | |
| | National origin, and | | | | |
| | Language spoken | | AGILE Survey of employees | Number and | |
| | | | self-identification of foreign- | Percent of | |
| | | | born/ number of languages | Foreign- | |
| | | | fluent | born, | |
| | | | | Fluent in | |
| | | | | other | |
| | | | | languages | |

| 3.1.2 | Cultural | Multicultural competency | CCSSE Student Survey | CCSSE (2011) | National Norms | District |
|-------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|
| | competency of | improves the ability of | | Scale of 1-7 | (All Colleges) | Norms |
| | faculty and staff. | faculty and staff to | 11a: Other students friendly, | 11a=5.41 | 5.49 | 5.38 |
| | | effectively perform their | supportive, and contributed to | | | |
| | | job responsibilities. | a sense of belonging | | | |
| | | | 11b: Instructors available, | 11b=5.84 | 5.67 | 5.73 |
| | | | helpful, and sympathetic | | | |
| | | | 11c: Administrators and staff | 11c=4.79 | 4.97 | 4.91 |
| | | | helpful, considerate, and | | | |
| | | | flexible | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | ACT Student Survey | <u>ACT (2010)</u> | | |
| | | | II.C.7: College equally | Scale of 1-5 | | |
| | | | supports ethnic groups | 4.28 | 4.18 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Climate Survey Student Focus | | | |
| | | | Percent Very/ Satisfied | | | |
| | | | 77. the college meets the | 77% | 80% | |
| | | AGILE Survey of cultural | needs of its ethnically diverse | | | |
| | | competence among staff | student population | | | |
| | | | 78. the learning environment | 67% | 70% | |
| | | | is hospitable to all students | | | |
| | | | 79.the curriculum reflects a | 56% | 58% | |
| | | | multicultural perspective | | | |
| | | | 80.the availability of campus | 63% | 65% | |
| | | | services and accommodations | | | |
| | | | for students with disabilities | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | AGILE Survey of cultural | | | |
| | | | competence among staff | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| INDICATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | |

Objective 3.2) South Seattle Community College provides opportunities for employees to learn, engage, and contribute to the campus community.

South is committed to offering a dynamic array of educational, participatory, and philanthropic opportunities to faculty and staff on a campus that is modern and safe. Through these efforts, employees increase their participation and commitment to the campus and the broader community.

| INDICATORS | | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) | |
|------------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|--|
| 3.2.1 | Participation in activities, committees, events, services, trainings and workshops | More opportunities for employees to learn increases the ability for them to adapt to change, lead innovative programs and services, improve their collaborations, and increases their involvement in campus governance. | Climate Survey AGILE Survey | Percent Satisfied with opportunities <u>Questions 3 and 4</u> 62.6% | 65% | |
| 3.2.2 | Contribution by campus community through culture of philanthropy. | Employees that give their time, money, and efforts to contribute to the greater good help to create a sense of community. | Combined Fund Drive Data College Advancement Data | | Elizabeth | |
| 3.2.3 | Faculty & staff satisfaction: campus technology, facilities, security, and safety. | Leading edge technology, updated grounds and facilities, and a safe and secure campus are conducive to positive attitudes about working and learning on campus. | Climate Survey Building, grounds Safety/ security Phys. environment Access – computers AGILE Survey | Satisfied with: 84% 94% 89% 53% | 85% 95% 90% 60% | |

Objective 3.3) South Seattle Community College practices fiscally responsible management of public resources and transparent budget processes.

South follows a conservative approach to operating the College and meets FTE targets within the budget, in constant pursuit of educational improvement.

| INDIC | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|-------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 3.3.1 | Efficient management of the budget and enrollment. | Effective and inclusive process to manage the budget and enrollment ensure fiscal integrity, quality programs, and services. | Legacy | Irina and Frank P. | Frank A. |
| 3.3.2 | Outside resources generated. | Financial resources from outside sources help to fund the College and enhance the educational process. | Legacy | Irina and Frank P. | Frank A. |

Core Theme 4: Community Engagement and Partnerships

Objective 4.1) South Seattle Community College advances instructional programs in support of industry workforce development.

South Seattle Community College engages business, labor, professional and community leaders and organizations to strengthen and advance instructional programs with subject matter expertise, curriculum reviews, equipment consultation, donations and program advocacy. The creation of an interdependent relationship results in dynamic and relevant programs, a pipeline of qualified candidates for companies, and higher rates of employment for our students.

| INDIC | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|-------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| 4.1.1 | Business, labor and industry donations | Donations indicate investment in professional-technical programs, resulting in increased professional- technical program capacity (e.g., number of students served, additional sections, and new courses). | Annual Donations | ??? | ??? ??? |
| 4.1.2 | Employer placement rates resulting from internships | Student internships in professional- technical programs that lead to direct employment are indicative of strong and relevant training programs. | Internship a. (w/report if high quality) 2. Internship to job connection | 1. N=116 a. 2. 27% (N=31) | Erik with Paco |
| 4.1.3 | Advisory group membership and participation | Active advisory group membership and participation reflect business and industry investment in the professional-technical programs to ensure programs are relevant to industry needs. | TAC membership and participation Percent actively participating (time, money, effort, advising) Qualitative assessment | | Donna |

Objective 4.2) South Seattle Community College strengthens partnerships with high schools and university partners to create a seamless pipeline.

South Seattle Community College engages high school and postsecondary partners to strengthen and advance educational pathways through innovative partnerships, outreach, curriculum alignment, and articulation agreements. The creation of these partnerships results in dynamic and relevant programs, better pathways for students, and higher rates of academic success.

| INDIC | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-1 | 1) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|-------|---|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 4.2.1 | Partnerships and articulation agreements with K-12, community college, and four-year institutions | Creating strong pathways through direct articulation and other cooperative agreements with high schools and postsecondary institutions contributes to higher enrollment and completion rates. | # Articulation Agreements with HS and 4-year, and with whom | | | Donna |
| 4.2.2 | College level placements | Successful partnerships with school districts ensure that community college placement requirements are well understood and integrated into curriculum development and instruction, resulting in higher rates of college-level placements. | 13 th Year TRIO UB/Talent Search # of new students served | | | Elizabeth Sebastian Vanessa |
| 4.2.3 | Enrollments of graduates from local feeder high schools | Enrollment rates of graduates from local feeder high schools directly reflect the success of articulation and partnership agreements. | From SMS—Feeder HS include: | W.Seattle Chief Sealth Highline Cleveland Evergreen Franklin Garfield | 228 184 147 127 115 99 95 | A 10% increase (100 students) |

Objective 4.3) South Seattle Community College enhances community enrichment and participation.

South Seattle Community College provides diverse lifelong learning opportunities that are meaningful and valuable to the community.

| INDIC | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|-------|--|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 4.3.1 | Classes offered for personal enrichment, business and professional development | Sustainable enrollment in classes offered for personal enrichment, business and professional development indicate programs that are relevant and meaningful to the community. | AGILE Survey of students who previously took a course from Cont. Ed. Satisfaction Dissatisfaction Suggestions for Improvement | | Frank P. with Luisa M. |
| 4.3.2 | Community volunteerism and individual philanthropy | Community volunteerism and philanthropy indicate community investment in college programs and mission and the community is actively engaged in supporting the college mission | Community investment in collegePresident's Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committees Annual focus groups/ SGID What's going well/ What isn't, Suggestions for improvement | | Rosie (Christie) Donna |
| 4.3.3 | Customized training and education for workers and their employers | Sustainable enrollments for customized training and education for the business community through grants and contracts indicate responsiveness to workforce training needs in the community. | Keith, Elsa, Kelley, Deans (Ben, Lana, Duncan, Kim) AGILE Survey of TAC's, employers, partners through WDC | | Donna Wendy Price |

Objective 4.4) South Seattle Community College strengthens community partnerships that are mutually beneficial to the college and the community.

South Seattle Community College serves students of diverse cultural, educational and language backgrounds. Collaboration between the college and community leaders and organizations promotes relationships that are mutually beneficial. Community and cultural collaborations help to increase enrollment and to support student success as measured by student progression and completion. Strengthening existing ties between the community and the college facilitates greater communication of expectations and needs for students, families, faculty, and the school.

| INDIC | ATORS | RATIONALE | Proposed Measure(s) | Baseline (2010-11) | Benchmark (2014-15) |
|-------|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| 4.4.1 | Student enrollment, progression and completion rates for distinct student populations | Collaboration with community leaders and organizations supports innovative training programs and recruitment initiatives for distinct student population needs, resulting in higher enrollment, progression and completion rates. | From nursing and worker retraining—basically, completion of programs that feature a relationship- based, sustainable internship component | Enrollment (Comp) SJI Vets BFET TANF Ex-offenders | Erik with Wendy P./ Keith M. |
| 4.4.2 | College engagement with the community | Active participation of faculty, staff and leadership on local boards and organizations is critical to ensure that the college is relevant and responsive to the community, and reflect the college's commitment to the greater community | Survey: % employees answering "yes" to the question: "Do you serve on an important committee on which you consider yourself a representative of SSCC?" AGILE Survey of employees | AGILE survey | OPR (office of planning /research) |