BOSTON UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Dissertation

A MIDDLING GENTILITY: TASTE, STATUS, AND MATERIAL CULTURE AT THE EIGHTEENTH-CENTURY WOOD LOT, WANTON-LYMAN-HAZARD SITE, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND

by

CHRISTINA J. HODGE

A.B., Harvard University, 1998 M.A., Boston University, 2000

Volume I of II

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2007

UMI Number: 3218543

Copyright 2006 by Hodge, Christina J.

All rights reserved.

INFORMATION TO USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleed-through, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI Microform 3218543

Copyright 2006 by ProQuest Information and Learning Company.

All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

ProQuest Information and Learning Company 300 North Zeeb Road P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346



Approved by

First Reader

Mary C. Beaudry, Ph.D.

Professor of Archaeology and Anthropology

Second Reader

Ricardo J. Elin Ricardo J. Elia, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Archaeology

Acknowledgments

I began searching for a dissertation topic in the early fall of 2002. I fortunately discovered the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard House archaeological project on the internet. Since then, the site has governed the course of my intellectual and, often, practical life. Archaeological collections from the property are truly inspiring and I feel privileged to have had the opportunity to work on a portion of them. All errors of fact and interpretation in the resultant dissertation are my own responsibility. Like all large projects, however, this dissertation is a product of many individuals besides its author.

I thank all members of my dissertation committee for their guidance and support. Dr. Mary C. Beaudry has served as my doctoral advisor and is first reader on this dissertation. Her comments on this manuscript, and throughout my graduate career, have been insightful, constructive, and encouraging. She is an exemplar and an inspiration and I am deeply grateful for her mentorship. Dr. Ricardo J. Elia is second reader on this dissertation and was my primary advisor during my master's program. I have always appreciated his practical advice, good humor, and enthusiasm, and I found these qualities especially valuable during the dissertation-writing process. I read some of Dr. Lorinda B. R. Goodwin's work early in my graduate career, and it helped me see what good historical archaeology was and what it could do. I am honored that she agreed to serve as third reader on my committee and value her comments. Finally, my fourth and outside reader is Dr. James C. Garman. Dr. Garman oversaw the excavation program at the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard site between 2000 and 2004. His support and generosity over the past few

years have been pivotal to the progress and completion of this dissertation. I thank him for sharing the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard site with me, for providing opportunities to serve as project archaeologist at site field schools, and for introducing me to the rewarding and challenging world of colonial research in Newport.

The Wanton-Lyman-Hazard site is owned and maintained by the Newport
Historical Society. This project would have been impossible without the Society's
continuing support. I am grateful to both Scott W. Loehr, current Executive Director, and
Dr. Daniel Snydacker, Jr., former Executive Director. The Society's Historic Sites and
Collections Manager, Adams Taylor, shared with me not only his first-hand knowledge of
the Society's collections, but also his basement workspace. Bert Lippincott is the
Society's Reference Librarian and Genealogist. He helped me navigate the rich and
varied collections of the Society's library and archives. Kimberly A. Krazer, Registrar,
and Jessica Files, Director of Education, have also generously and cheerfully helped me
with a variety of research requests. I am grateful to all the Newport Historical Society
staff. It has been a privilege to work with them and with their documentary and material
collections.

General funding for this dissertation was provided by the Sarah Bradley Tyson Memorial Fellowship and the Boston University Humanities Foundation Student Merit Grant, Angela J. and James J. Rallis Memorial Award. A portion of these funds went toward archival research by two undergraduate research assistants, Tyler T. Stubbs and Katharine Johnson. I appreciate these students' dedication, interest, and productivity. A Dissertation Fieldwork Grant (Gr. 7234) from the Wenner-Gren Foundation for

Anthropological Research, Inc., paid for a portion of expert faunal analysis by the University of Massachusetts Boston's Andrew Fiske Memorial Center for Archaeological Research. These analyses were supervised by Dr. David B. Landon and undertaken by graduate students Jennifer Malpiedi and Ryan Kennedy. Their skill and enthusiasm were impressive, and their contributions to this project have been invaluable.

For her master's project, also at the University of Massachusetts Boston, Diana Gallagher analyzed privy soil samples for macrobotanicals and parasites. I have enjoyed collaborating with Ms. Gallagher and found her insights into past lifeways on the site illuminating. In the summer of 2003, Christopher Dayton, a fellow graduate student in archaeology at Boston University, donated his expertise in remote sensing to the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard project. I thank him for undertaking the trip to Newport, for his effort, and for taking the time to demonstrate the technology to field school students. Speaking of whom, I owe a large debt of gratitude to all the Salve Regina University field school students who worked at the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard site. Their hard work both enabled and inspired my own.

I visited many archives and libraries during the course of this project. Those consulted during documentary research on the Wood Lot are listed in Table 3.1. The staffs of these institutions were helpful and knowledgeable and I thank them all. I extend special and additional thanks to: Andrew Smith of the Rhode Island Judicial Records Center Archives; Charlotte Taylor and Dr. Paul Robinson of the Rhode Island Historic Preservation & Heritage Commission; Martha E. Pinello, Rodney Rowland, and the library staff of Strawbery Banke Museum in Portsmouth, New Hampshire; Paul Cyr of

the New Bedford Free Public Library Genealogy Room; Dr. Silas D. Hurry and Anne T. Grulich of St. Mary's College of Maryland at Historic St. Mary's City; and Dedo von Kerssenbrock-Krosigk of the Corning Museum of Glass in Corning, New York.

Over the years, the Curatorial Department of the Peabody Museum at Harvard University has proven an ideal place to work, with rewards both professional and personal. I thank my supervisors Dr. Patricia Capone and Dr. Diana D. Loren for the understanding, support, and flexibility they have offered me throughout my academic career. Dr. Loren, in particular, has provided thoughtful comments on portions of this dissertation and is always a valued sounding board. I am also grateful to Sandra Dong for her unflagging moral support.

Fellow graduate students at Boston University, Brown University, and the University of Massachusetts Boston make up an amazingly supportive, rigorous, and inspiring community. Christa M. Beranek is my compatriot at Boston University and deserves special thanks for the generosity, insight, and friendship she has shown me during our graduate careers. My parents, Janice M. and Barry R. Hodge, and my brother, Jeffrey B. Hodge, provided both moral and intellectual support for this project. Both my mother and father were fine editors of the draft manuscript, and my brother cast a helpful eye on the final chapter as well. My mother also served as an able laboratory, research, and drafting assistant. I could not have finished this dissertation without her help and dedication. I never would have started it without the encouragement and inspiration that both of my parents have always provided.

I also thank Stewart L. King. He knows why.

A MIDDLING GENTILITY: TASTE, STATUS, AND MATERIAL CULTURE AT THE EIGHTEENTH-CENTURY WOOD LOT, WANTON-LYMAN-HAZARD SITE, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND

(Order No.)

CHRISTINA J. HODGE

Boston University Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, 2007

Major Professor: Mary C. Beaudry, Professor of Archaeology and Anthropology

ABSTRACT

Can we assume that social rank predicted consumer choice in colonial

Anglo-America? Did the middling sorts simply emulate their social superiors? If not,
how might we think about their choices' relationships to deeper social structures? These
questions are central to this historical archaeological dissertation on middling identity in
18th-century Newport, Rhode Island, on the northeastern coast of the United States.

Colonial Newport—distinguished by burgeoning trade and a climate of religious
tolerance—epitomized the successful American entrepôt. The central data set for this
study is an artifactual assemblage from the Wood Lot area of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard
site, including over 15,000 fragments of 18th-century household goods and food remains.

Select printed sources and archival documents contextualize these finds.

Artifacts recovered from the Wood Lot are direct evidence of the middling individuals living there ca. 1720–1775. These materials and related documents describe: changing ideals of property use and hygiene; preference for fashionable drinking over

viii

dining and for non-alcoholic over alcoholic beverages; strategic investment in clothing and sociability; and conflict over gendered authority and values, particularly those governing consumerism and leisure activities. Given this evidence, we should not dismiss middling consumerism as an imperfect emulation of elite consumerism, as middling consumerism typically has been in American historical and material studies. Notions of "tasteful consumption" and "middling gentility" are productive alternatives to "emulative consumption" and "elite gentility."

During the Georgian period, non-elite homes throughout the British empire were developed as social spaces that shaped values and personal identities. Provincial British in America and elsewhere did not mindlessly pursue an essentialized, elite culture; they adapted/interpreted a heterogeneous, partial, and contested one based on particular circumstances. This study challenges assumptions embedded within our understanding of colonial America, namely, that 18th-century forms of gentility were inevitable, desirable, cohesive, and elite. It also provides needed historical context for the development of modern American class systems.

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Copyright Page	ii
Reader's Approval Page	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Abstract	viii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xxiii
Volume I	
Chapter I: Introductions	
Project Overview	1
Storytellers to the Middling Sorts	8
Statement of General Significance	10
Introduction to the Wood Lot	16
Chapter Outline	20
Chapter II: Scholarly Perspectives on Anglo-American Status, Material Culture, and Social Change	
Historical Conceptions of the British and Anglo-American Middling Sorts	23
Archaeological Perspectives on the Anglo-American Middling Sorts	63
Alternatives to Georgianization: Taste and Social Distinction in the 18th Century	79
Discussion	103
Chapter III: Contexts	
Introduction	105

Textual Sources	107
Settlement and Development of Colonial Newport	121
Social Divisions in Colonial Newport	131
Middling and Elite Lifestyles in Colonial Newport	150
Personal Histories of Wood Lot Residents	168
Discussion	228
Chapter IV: Archaeology at the Wood Lot	
Previous Historical Archaeology in Newport	232
The Wood Lot: Late 18th to 21st Centuries	248
The Wood Lot: Current Excavations	274
The Wood Lot: Archaeological Findings	298
Volume II	383
Chapter V: Taste, Identity, and Social Value: An Interpretation of Wood Lot Materials	
Introduction	384
Wood Period (ca. 1720)	388
Pratt Period (ca. 1720–1750)	396
Murdock Period (ca. 1750–1775)	516
Discussion	552
Chapter VI: Reflections	
Why Theory?	555
Middling Gentilities, Elite Gentilities	557
Taste, Identity, and Material Culture: The Georgian Period and Beyond	562
A Broader Agenda: Inverting the Post-colonial Lens	565

Appendices

Appendix A. The Pratt Family in Newport: Legal Entanglements Time Line	567
Appendix B. Wanton-Lyman-Hazard Site Artifact Database: Master Lot Number List	573
Appendix C. Standardized Terms Used in the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard Site Artifact Catalogue	581
Appendix D. Known Cross-mends among Wood-Pratt-Murdock Period Contexts from the Former Wood Lot on the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard Site	588
References Cited	592
Curriculum Vitae	639

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Documented ownership history of the Wood Lot.	19
Table 3.1	Historical archives, libraries, and depositories consulted during documentary research on the Wood Lot and its occupants.	111
Table 3.2	Lists of disputed charges from Newport Superior Court cases involving Elizabeth Pratt and John and Mary Darkins.	186
Table 3.3	Items listed as part of Elizabeth Pratt's shop of goods in 1733.	200
Table 3.4	Debts due by book claimed by John Morris against Elizabeth Pratt in May 1733, organized by category.	203
Table 3.5	Debts due by book claimed by Elizabeth Pratt against John Morris in May 1733, except for her shop of goods, organized by category.	208
Table 3.6	Debts due by book claimed by John Morris against John Lawrence in May 1733.	211
Table 3.7	Debts due by book claimed by John Lawrence against John Morris in May 1733.	214
Table 4.1	Dates and date ranges derived from ceramic and pipe stem evidence for Wood Lot contexts.	289
Table 4.2	Artifacts from Redeposited Subsoil/Land Surfaces (Lots 16, 36, 62, 63).	307
Table 4.3	Artifacts from Stabilizing Fill (Lots 38, 134).	308
Table 4.4	Artifacts from Stabilizing Fill, Feature (Lot 103).	312
Table 4.5	Under Trash Pit, Post Mold (Lot 135).	314
Table 4.6	Under Trash Pit, Post Mold (Lot 82).	318
Table 4.7	Under Trash Pit, Non-Post Mold Fill (Lots 83, 84, 85, 86).	319
Table 4.8	Builder's Trench, Level 1 (Lots 67, 73).	337
Table 4.9	Builder's Trench, Level 2 (Lots 68, 69, 71, 72).	338
Table 4.10	Artifacts from Pratt Privy, Level 4 (Lots 1, 2, 3, 20, 142, 143).	340

Table 4.11	Artifacts from Pratt Privy, Level 3 (Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 21, 27, 141).	341
Table 4.12	Artifacts from Pratt Privy, Level 2 (Lots 8, 9, 10, 26, 28, 29, 65).	352
Table 4.13	Artifacts from Pratt Privy, Level 1/Fill (Lots 11, 12, 39, 138, 139, 140).	354
Table 4.14	Artifacts from West Yard Midden (Lots 111, 112, 113, 114, 116).	359
Table 4.15	Trash Pit, Level A (Lots 17, 22, 25, 41, 42, 48, 50, 59, 74, 90).	366
Table 4.16	Trash Pit, Level B (Lots 19, 40, 75, 76, 78).	367
Table 4.17	Trash Pit, Level C (Lots 77, 79).	368
Table 4.18	Trash Pit, Level D (Lot 80).	369
Table 4.19	Trash Pit, Level E (Lot 81).	370
Table 4.20	N140/E110 Privy (Lot 105).	373
Table 4.21	Construction Ejecta (Lots 47, 95).	375
Table 4.22	West Yard, Living Surface, Level B (Lots 107, 108, 110).	379
Table 4.23	West Yard, Living Surface, Level A (Lots 106, 109, 115).	381
Table 5.1	Household amenities of the Pratt kin group (Pratt, Morris, and Lawrence households), derived from 1733 and 1734 court records, and of select other Newporters, derived from early 1730s probate inventories.	399
Table 5.2	Fragments and Minimum Number of Vessels (MNV) for food-related ceramics from the Pratt Privy.	412
Table 5.3	Fragments and Minimum Number of Vessels (MNV) for beverage-related ceramic and glass from the Pratt Privy.	414
Table 5.4	Macroscopic plant remains recovered from the Pratt Privy.	47
Table 5.5	Faunal remains from Pratt Period contexts at the Wood Lot (ca. 1720–1750).	433
Table 5.6	Porcelain ownership among 1740s Newporters.	468

Table 5.7	Parasite remains recovered from the Pratt Privy.	475
Table 5.8	Some medicinal uses of plants recovered from the Pratt Privy.	482
Table 5.9	Fragments and Minimum Number of Vessels (MNV) for food-related ceramics from the N140/E110 (Murdock) Privy.	519
Table 5.10	Fragments and Minimum Number of Vessels (MNV) for beverage-related ceramic and glass from the N140/E110 (Murdock) Privy.	521
Table 5.11	Fragments and Minimum Number of Vessels (MNV) for food-related ceramics from North Yard Trash Pit, Levels B, C, D, and E.	523
Table 5.12	Fragments and Minimum Number of Vessels (MNV) for beverage-related ceramic and glass from North Yard Trash Pit, Levels B, C, D, and E.	525
Table 5.13	Faunal remains from Murdock Period contexts at the Wood Lot (ca. 1750–1775).	538

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Map of Rhode Island showing Aquidneck Island and select other cities and towns.	2
Figure 1.2	Map of Aquidneck Island showing town divisions.	3
Figure 1.3	Map of the city center of Newport, Rhode Island, showing identified colonial structures (extant and destroyed).	4
Figure 1.4	Plan of Salve Regina University excavations at the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard site, 2000–2003.	6
Figure 1.5	Front (geographical northwest) elevation of the Wanton- Lyman-Hazard House at 17 Broadway in Newport, Rhode Island.	17
Figure 3.1	John P. Newell's 1866 lithograph of the 1730 overmantle painting <i>View of Newport</i> .	125
Figure 3.2	Wood family genealogy.	171
Figure 3.3	Details of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard block from 19th-century Newport city atlas maps.	174
Figure 3.4	Plan of Wood Lot and surrounding lots in the early 18th century, with owners and dates of acquisition.	175
Figure 3.5	Pratt family genealogy.	180
Figure 3.6	The gravestone of Elizabeth Pratt in Newport Common Burial Ground.	183
Figure 3.7	The gravestones of Joseph Morris I (died 1724, aged 19 days, top) and II (died 1727, aged 11 months, bottom) in Newport Common Burial Ground.	188
Figure 3.8	Sale agreement between Widow Elizabeth Pratt of Newport and Caleb Church of Little Compton for Pratt's 16 year old enslaved girl, Dinah, dated 19 August 1728.	191
Figure 3.9	Sketch plan of Widow Sarah Clarke's property on Thames Street.	198
Figure 3.10	Representations of Broadway/Stone Street/Spring Street lots from 18th-century deeds.	221
Figure 4.1	Queen Anne Square site plan.	234

Figure 4.2	lots after consolidation by Daniel Lyman in ca. 1783–1797.	251
Figure 4.3	Atlas details of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard block, showing changing outbuilding configuration at the Wood Lot.	253
Figure 4.4	Late 19th-century photograph of the rear yard of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard property.	254
Figure 4.5	Late 19th- and early 20th-century photographs of the modern Wanton-Lyman-Hazard Lot's Spring Street frontage.	257
Figure 4.6	Photographs taken during the November 1927 demolition and restoration of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard House.	259
Figure 4.7	Photograph taken during the 1927 restoration of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard House and yard, showing construction rubble piled in the rear yard on 21 November 1927.	260
Figure 4.8	Photograph taken during the 1927 restoration of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard House and yard.	262
Figure 4.9	Post-restoration photograph of the rear Wanton-Lyman-Hazard yard showing boxwood parterres in the side (west) yard.	263
Figure 4.10	Post-restoration photograph of the rear Wanton-Lyman-Hazard yard showing crushed stone paths in the rear (south) yard.	264
Figure 4.11	Abraham Redwood, Jr.'s, mid-18th-century summer house.	266
Figure 4.12	Post-restoration photograph of women wearing Colonial Revival costumes and enjoying the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard House's "colonial" landscape.	267
Figure 4.13	Top, plan of the 1907–1928 landscape at the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard property.	270
Figure 4.14	Photograph of the modern Spring Street frontage of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard yard, showing the area of the former Wood Lot.	271
Figure 4.15	Site plan detail of the Wood Lot area of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard site, 2000–2003.	278

Figure 4.16	North profile of unit N130/E120.	300
Figure 4.17	West profile of unit N120/E120.	301
Figure 4.18	Plan of unit N120/E120 (2.6 ft. below ground surface).	303
Figure 4.19	South profile of unit N142/E128.5–122.	305
Figure 4.20	South profile of unit N140/E128.75.	309
Figure 4.21	Plan of unit N142/E128.5–122 at closing depths (2.41–5.36 ft. below ground surface).	310
Figure 4.22	North profile of unit N140/E128.75.	316
Figure 4.23	West profile of unit N116/E136.25.	324
Figure 4.24	Plan of unit N116/E136.25 before loose brick and foundation stone rubble was removed (0.8–2.3 ft. below ground surface).	325
Figure 4.25	Plans of adjacent units N118.5/E131.25 (left; 1.35–4.6 ft. below ground surface) and N116/E136.25 (right; 0.75–2.35 ft. below ground surface) after loose brick and foundation stone rubble was removed.	326
Figure 4.26	North profiles of units N118.5/E131.25 (left) and N116/E136.25 (right). The top of the foundation in unit N118.5/E136.25 is at 1.35 ft. below ground surface.	327
Figure 4.27	Detail of the Wanton-Lyman-Hazard block from Reverend Ezra Stiles' 1758 map of Newport	330
Figure 4.28	Architectural plan of the 1653 Roger Mowry House, Providence, Rhode Island.	331
Figure 4.29	Drawing of the 1653 Roger Mowry House, Providence, Rhode Island.	332
Figure 4.30	Architectural plan of the second story of the 1677 Thomas Fenner House, Cranston, Rhode Island.	333
Figure 4.31	Section of the 1677 Thomas Fenner House, Cranston, Rhode Island.	334
Figure 4.32	View of the ca. 1721 Reverend Daniel Wightman House, 6 Coddington Street, Newport, Rhode Island.	336
Figure 4.33	East profile of unit N142/E122, the Pratt Privy.	346

Figure 4.34	North profile of unit N142/E128.5–122, the Pratt Privy.	347
Figure 4.35	Plan of unit N142/E128.5–122, the Pratt Privy (1.7–4.5 ft. below ground surface).	348
Figure 4.36	North profile view of unit N142/E128.5, the Pratt Privy.	349
Figure 4.37	South profile view of unit N142/E128.5, the Pratt Privy.	350
Figure 4.38	West profiles of units N110/E110 (left), N120/E110 (center), and N130/E110 (right).	358
Figure 4.39	East profile of unit N140/E128.75.	361
Figure 4.40	Plan of unit N140/E128.75, 27 May 2004 (1.66–2.50 ft. below ground surface, excepting old excavation units).	362
Figure 4.41	Plan of unit N140/E128.75, 7 June 2004 (1.66–2.52 ft. below ground surface, excepting old excavation units).	363
Figure 4.42	Plan of unit N140/E128.75, 14 June 2004 (1.66–4.54 ft. below ground surface, excepting old excavation units).	364
Figure 4.43	South profile of unit N140/E110.	371
Figure 4.44	South profile of unit N135/E132.5.	376
Figure 4.45	West profiles of units N110/E100 (left), N120/E100 (center), and N130/E100 (right).	378
Figure 5.1	Flat glass recovered from the Pratt Privy, Levels 3 and 4.	391
Figure 5.2	Sample of window glass recovered from the Pratt Privy, Levels 3 and 4, showing curved edge characteristic of crown glass trimmings (WLH6-37).	392
Figure 5.3	Central trimmings of crown window glass recovered from the Pratt Privy, Levels 3 and 4 (WLH6-24).	393
Figure 5.4	Conjectural rendering of the Wood-Pratt-Murdock House's southern/Spring Street facade.	402
Figure 5.5	Top, sample of Pratt Period window pane fragments with evidence of turned lead wear on one or two sides (WLH06-36). Bottom, conjectural reconstructions of 18th-century window panes from Colonial Williamsburg, Virginia, showing a variety of pane shapes.	403

Figure 5.6	Conjectural sketch of the original fireplace in the Thomas Fenner House, Cranston, Rhode Island.	407
Figure 5.7	Select reconstructed vessels from the Pratt Privy believed to have originated within Widow Pratt's household.	410
Figure 5.8	A sample of blue and white tin-glazed earthenware fragments from the West Yard Midden associated with the Pratt Period at the Wood Lot (WLH116-21).	411
Figure 5.9	Utilitarian ceramics from Pratt Period contexts used to store and prepare foods.	417
Figure 5.10	Spanish blue and white tin-glazed earthenware, or majolica, bowl (WLH12-2).	418
Figure 5.11	Utensils from the Wood Lot.	423
Figure 5.12	Some plate fragments from Pratt Period (ca. 1720–1750) contexts on the Wood Lot.	425
Figure 5.13	Macrobotanical remains recovered from the Pratt Privy.	428
Figure 5.14	Taxonomic representation by percentage of total MNI of select faunal taxa from the Pratt Period Wood Lot.	434
Figure 5.15	Estimated dietary importance by percentage biomass of different faunal groups from Pratt Period contexts.	435
Figure 5.16	Reworked lead fragments from the Wood Lot that were probably used as fishing line weights.	437
Figure 5.17	Cow skeletal part representation from Pratt Period contexts.	439
Figure 5.18	Pig skeletal part representation from Pratt Period contexts.	440
Figure 5.19	Caprine (sheep and goat) skeletal part representation from Pratt Period contexts.	441
Figure 5.20	Some Rhenish blue and gray stoneware traditional drinking vessels from the Pratt Privy.	447
Figure 5.21	Three Staffordshire-type combed and dotted slipware cups from the Pratt Privy.	448
Figure 5.22	Decorated tumblers from the Pratt Privy.	450

Figure 5.23	Cut glass stemware fragment from Pratt Privy, Level 1 (WLH12-43).	453
Figure 5.24	Glass stemmed drinking vessel fragments from Pratt Period contexts at the Wood Lot.	454
Figure 5.25	Select punch bowl fragments from the Pratt Privy.	456
Figure 5.26	Select glass storage and service bottles from Pratt Period contexts.	458
Figure 5.27	Top, amber colored vessel fragment with trailed opaque white glass decoration (clearly visible as applied glass in profile) (WLH3-22). Bottom, drawing of an amber flask of this type recovered from the 1731 to 1764 Trudeau Site.	459
Figure 5.28	Teapot fragments from known and possible Pratt Period contexts.	462
Figure 5.29	Select non-porcelain tea cup and bowl fragments from ca. 1720 to 1750 contexts.	463
Figure 5.30	Select Chinese Export Porcelain tea cup/bowl fragments from the Pratt Privy, first and second fill levels.	464
Figure 5.31	Select tea saucer fragments from ca. 1720 to 1750 contexts.	465
Figure 5.32	Parasite remains recovered from the Pratt Privy through slide sampling.	476
Figure 5.33	Some chamberpot fragments recovered from the Pratt Privy.	478
Figure 5.34	Molded ball clay figure fragment in the shape of a winged cherub head from the West Yard Midden (WLH114-4).	484
Figure 5.35	Select buttons from Pratt Period and other Wood Lot contexts.	489
Figure 5.36	Select dress artifacts from the Wood Lot that may have been used by women.	491
Figure 5.37	Two fancy shoe buckles from the Wood Lot.	494
Figure 5.38	Two plain shoe buckles from the Wood Lot.	495
Figure 5.39	A man's copper alloy (brass?) stock buckle from the Wood Lot (WLH67-6).	496

Figure 5.40	Some jewelry elements from the Wood Lot.	498
Figure 5.41	Beads from the Wood Lot.	499
Figure 5.42	Two stacked cuprous thimbles from the Pratt Privy, Level 4 (WLH20-10).	504
Figure 5.43	Select ball clay tobacco pipe fragments from Pratt Period contexts.	509
Figure 5.44	Gaming artifacts from the Wood Lot.	512
Figure 5.45	Some utilitarian vessels from the N140/E110 (Murdock) Privy, filled ca. 1750–1775.	528
Figure 5.46	Some utilitarian and table vessels from the North Yard Trash Pit, Levels B through E.	529
Figure 5.47	Select other vessels and fragments from the N140/E110 (Murdock) Privy.	530
Figure 5.48	Tin-glazed earthenware plate with polychrome painting in blue, green, purple, reddish orange, and yellow.	532
Figure 5.49	Select traditional beverage vessels from the North Yard Trash Pit, Levels B through E.	533
Figure 5.50	Taxonomic representation by percentage of total MNI of select faunal taxa from the Murdock Period Wood Lot.	539
Figure 5.51	Estimated dietary importance by percentage biomass of different faunal groups from Murdock Period contexts.	540
Figure 5.52	Cow skeletal part representation from Murdock Period contexts.	543
Figure 5.53	Pig skeletal part representation from Murdock Period contexts.	544
Figure 5.54	Sheep skeletal part representation from Murdock Period contexts.	545
Figure 5.55	Small finds from Murdock Period contexts.	547
Figure 5.56	Image of balance scales from a 1697 European publication.	549

List of Abbreviations

CRIPP Colony of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations

NEHGS New England Historic Genealogical Society

NGC Newport Garden Club NHS Newport Historical Society