I POLICY
It shall be the policy of the Rutherford Police Department treat all members of our community fairly, with dignity, and respect; including our immigrant residents and visitors. Attorney General Directive 2018-6: Directive Strengthening Trust Between Law Enforcement and Immigrant Communities shall be adhered to.

II PURPOSE
The purpose of this policy is to ensure officers are guided properly when dealing with members of our immigrant communities and to clarify how to address Federal law, Immigration and Customs Enforcement and the topic of ICE detainers.
State of New Jersey Attorney General Statement:

Pursuant to the authority granted to me under the New Jersey Constitution and the Criminal Justice Act of 1970, N.J.S.A. 52:17B-97 to -117, which provides for the general supervision of criminal justice by the Attorney General as chief law enforcement officer of the state in order to secure the benefits of a uniform and efficient enforcement of the criminal law and the administration of criminal justice throughout the state, I hereby direct all law enforcement and prosecuting agencies operating under the authority of the laws of the state of New Jersey to implement and comply with the following directives. This Directive repeals and supersedes the provisions of AG Directive 2007-3.

PROCEDURE

I. Racially- Influenced Policing


II. Enforcement of Federal Civil Immigration Law

A. Use of immigration status in law enforcement activities. Expect Pursuant to Sections II.C and III below, no state, county, or local law enforcement agency or official shall:

1. Stop, question, arrest, search, or detain any individual based solely on:

   a. Actual or suspected citizenship or immigration status; or
   b. Actual or suspected violations of federal civil immigration law.

2. Inquire about the immigration status of any individual, unless doing so is:

   a. Necessary to the ongoing investigation of an indictable offense by that individual; and
   b. Relevant to the offense under investigation.

B. Limitations on assisting federal immigration authorities in enforcing federal civil immigration law. Except pursuant to Sections II.C and III below, no state, county, or local law enforcement agency or official shall provide the following types of
assistance to federal immigration authorities when sole purpose of that assistance is to enforce federal civil immigration law:

1. Participating in civil immigration enforcement operations.

2. Providing any non-public personally identifying information regarding any individual.

3. Providing access to any state, county, or local law enforcement equipment, office space, database, or property not available to the general public.

4. Providing access to a detained individual for an interview, unless the detainee signs a written consent from that explains:

   a) The purpose of the interview;
   b) That the interview is voluntary;
   c) That the individual may decline to be interviewed; and
   d) That the individual may choose to be interviewed only with his or her legal counsel present.

5. Providing notice of a detained individual’s upcoming release from custody, unless the detainee:

   a.) Is currently charged with, has ever been convicted of, or has ever been adjudicated delinquent for violent or serious offense, as that term is defined in Appendix A;
   b.) In the past five years, has been convicted of an indictable crime other than violent or serious offense; or
   c.) Is the subject to a Final Order of Removal that has been signed by a federal judge and lodged with the county jail or state prison where the detainee is being held?

6. Continuing the detention of an individual past the time he or she would otherwise be eligible for release from custody based solely on a civil immigration detainer request, unless the detainee:

   a) Is currently charged with, has ever been convicted of, or has ever been adjudicated delinquent for a violent or serious offense, as that term is defined in Appendix A;
b) In the past five years, has been convicted of an indictable crime other than violent or serious offense; or

c) Is the subject to a Final Order of Removal that has been signed by a federal judge and lodged with the county jail or state prison where the detainee is being held?

Any such detention may last only until 11:59 pm on the calendar day on which the person would otherwise have been eligible for release.

C. Exceptions and exclusions. Nothing in Sections II.A or II.B b shall be construed to restrict, prohibit, or in any way prevent a state, county, or local law enforcement agency or official from:

1. Enforcing the criminal law of this state.

2. Complying with all applicable federal, state, or local laws.

3. Complying with a valid judicial warrant or other court order, or responding to any request authorized by a valid judicial warrant or other court order.

4. Participating with federal authorities in a joint law enforcement taskforce the primary purpose of which is unrelated to federal civil immigration enforcement.

5. Requesting proof of identity from an individual during the course of an arrest or when legally justified during an investigative stop or detention.

6. Asking an arrested individual for information necessary to complete the required fields of the LIVESCAN database (or other law enforcement fingerprinting database), including information about the arrestee’s place of birth and country of citizenship.

7. Inquiring about a person’s place birth on a correctional facility intake form and making risk-based classification assignments in such facilities.
8. Providing federal immigration authorities with information that is publicly available or readily available to the public in the method the public can obtain it.

9. When required by exigent circumstances, providing, federal immigration authorities with aid or assistance, including access to non-public information, equipment, or resources.

10. Sending to, maintaining, or receiving from federal immigration authorities, information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual. See 8 U.S.C SS 1373, 1644

III. Agreements with the Federal Government

A. **Section 287(g) agreements.** No state, county, or local law enforcement authority shall enter into, modify, renew, or extend any agreement to exercise federal immigration authority pursuant to Section 287(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. S 1357(g), unless:

1. The Attorney General grants written approval; or

2. The agreement is necessary to address threats to the public safety or welfare of New Jersey residents arising out of a declaration of a state or national emergency.

No state, county, or local law enforcement officer shall otherwise exercise federal civil immigration authority outside the context of Section 287(g). Nothing in Section II of this Directive shall apply to law enforcement agencies that are party to an agreement to exercise federal immigration authority pursuant to Section 287(g) when they are acting pursuant to such agreement.

B. **Intergovernmental Service Agreements.** Nothing in Section II of this Directive shall apply to law enforcement agencies that are currently party to an Intergovernmental Service Agreement (IGSA) to detain individuals for civil immigration enforcement purposes when they are acting pursuant to such an agreement.
IV. Requests for T and U Nonimmigrant Status Certifications

A. Establishing certification procedures. Before March 15, 2019, all state, county, and local law enforcement agencies must put in place a set of procedures for processing requests for T- and U-visa certifications (see 8 U.S.C. SS 1101(a) (15) (T) and 1101(a) (15) (U)) from potential victims of crime or human trafficking within 120 days of the request being made. Each police department shall post information about its procedures on its website, or, if the department does not have its own website, then on the municipality’s website when feasible.

B. T-visa certifications. For T-visa certifications request, each agency’s certification procedure shall include a determination of whether, pursuant to the standards set forth in federal law and instructions to USCIS Form I-914 Supplement B, the requester:

1. Is or has been a victim of a severe form of trafficking in persons; and
2. Has complied with requests for assistance in an investigation or prosecution of the crime of trafficking.

C. U-visa certifications. For U-visa certification requests, each agency’s certification procedure shall include a determination of whether, pursuant to the standards set forth in federal law and instructions to USCIS Form I-918 Supplement B, the applicant:

1. Is a victim of a qualifying criminal activity; and
2. Was, is, or is likely to be, helpful in the investigation or prosecution of that activity.

D. Inquiry into and disclosure of immigration status. Not with standing any provision in Section II, state, county, and local law enforcement agencies and officials may ask any questions necessary to complete a T- or U-visa certification. They may generally not disclose the immigration status of a person requesting T- or U-visa certification except to comply with state or federal law or legal process, or if authorized by the visa applicant. However, nothing in this section shall be construed to restrict, prohibit, or in any way prevent a state, county, or local law enforcement agency or official from sending to, maintaining, or receiving from federal immigration authorities, information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual. See 8 U.S.C SS 1373, 1644.
V. Consideration for Prosecutors

A. Initial court appearances. At a defendant’s initial court appearance before a judge, the prosecutor shall confirm that the defendant has been advised on the record that:

1. Potential Charges and convictions may carry immigration consequences, see Padilla v. Kentucky, 559 U.S. 356 (2010); and

2. The defendant may have rights to consular notification pursuant to the Vienna convention on Consular Relations.

B. Pretrial detention. In assessing whether to seek pretrial detention of an arrestee under N.J.S.A. 2A:162-15 to -25, the prosecutor shall make an individualized assessment based on the specific facts presented in each case, and shall not simply assume that non-citizen presents a risk of flight.

C. Admissibility of immigration evidence. In most instances, evidence of a defendant’s immigration status is not relevant to the crime charged or to a witness’s credibility and therefore may not be presented to a jury. State v. Sanchez-Medina, 231 N.J. 452, 462-63 (2018). In the rare cases where proof of a person’s immigration status is relevant and admissible at trial, the prosecutor should not seek to admit such evidence without first raising the issue with the Court outside of the jury’s presence, under N.J.R.E. 104, and requesting that the Court give an appropriate limiting instruction.

D. Charging, resolving, and sentencing cases. As in all cases, the prosecutor should be mindful of potential collateral consequences and consider such consequences in attempting to reach a just resolution of the case. Nothing in this Directive shall be construed to require any particular charge or sentence, to limit prosecutorial discretion in reaching a just resolution of the case, or prevent the prosecutor from making any argument at sentencing.
VI. Notifications and Recordkeeping

A. Notifications to detained individuals. State, county, and local law enforcement agencies and officials shall promptly notify a detained individual, in writing and in a language the individual can understand, when federal civil immigration authorities request:

Forms shall be provided by Federal civil immigration authorities

1. To interview the detainee. (See S II.B.4)
2. To be notified of the detainee’s upcoming release from custody. (See S II.B.5)
3. To continue detaining the detainee past the time he or she would otherwise be eligible for release. (See S II.B.6)

When providing such notification, law enforcement officials shall provide the detainee a copy of any documents provided by immigration authorities in connection with the request.

B. Annual reporting by law enforcement agencies. On an annual basis, each state, county, and local law enforcement agency shall report, in a manner to be prescribed by the Attorney General, any instances in which the agency provided assistance to federal civil immigration authorities for the purpose of enforcing federal civil immigration law described in Sections II.B.1 to II.B.6. Each year:

1. Any local or county law enforcement agency that provided assistance described in Sections II.B.1 to II.B.6 during the prior calendar year shall submit a report to the County Prosecutor detailing such assistance. If members of the Rutherford Police Department are involved with any action detailed in Sections II.B.1 to II.B.6, the Tour Commander shall forward a detailed report and copy of CAD to the Captain’s Office so the Captain to maintain a list of incidents to be able to comply with the yearly reporting requirements.

2. Each County Prosecutor shall compile any reports submitted by local or county law enforcement agencies pursuant to section VI.B1 and submit a consolidated report to the Attorney General detailing the agencies’ assistance.

3. The New Jersey State Police and all other state law enforcement agencies that provided assistance described in Sections II.B.1 to II.B.6 during the prior calendar year shall submit a report to the Attorney General detailing such assistance.
4. The Attorney General shall post online a consolidated report detailing all instances of assistance by all state, county, and local law enforcement agencies, as submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Sections VI.B.2 and VI.B.3, during the prior calendar year.

VII. Training

A. Development of training. The Division of Criminal Justice, shall, within 30 days of the issuance of this Directive, develop a training program to explain the requirements of this Directive as they pertain to state, county, and local law enforcement agencies and officers. Such program shall be made available through the NJ Learn System or by other electronic means.

B. Training deadline. All state, county, and local law enforcement agencies shall provide training to all officers regarding the provisions of this Directive before March 15, 2019

VIII. Other Provisions.

A. Establishment of policy. All state, county, and local law enforcement agencies shall, before March 15, 2019, adopt and/or revise their existing policies and practices, consistent with this Directive, either by rule, regulation, or standard operating procedure.

B. Community relations and outreach programs. Each county Prosecutor shall undertake efforts to educate the public about the provisions of this Directive, with a specific focus on strengthening trust between law enforcement and immigrant communities. Within 120 days of the effective date of this Directive, each County Prosecutor shall report to the Attorney General on such public education efforts.

C. Non-enforceability by third parties. This Directive is issued pursuant to the Attorney General’s authority to ensure the uniform and efficient enforcement of the laws and administration of criminal justice throughout the state. This Directive imposes limitations on law enforcement agencies and officials that may be more restrictive than the limitations imposed under the United States and New Jersey Constitutions, and federal and state statutes and regulations. Nothing in this Directive shall be construed in any way to create any substantive right that may be enforced by any third party.
D. **Severability.** The provisions of this Directive shall be severable. If any phrase, clause, sentence, or provisions of this Directive is declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the validity of the remainder of the Directive shall not be affected.

E. **Questions.** Any questions concerning the interpretation or implementation of this Directive shall be addressed to the Director of the Division of Criminal Justice, or his or her designee.

**Effective date.** In order to give state, county, and local law enforcement agencies sufficient time to implement the provisions of this Directive and to conduct the required trainings, this Directive shall become operational on March 15, 2019. Once effective, this Directive shall remain in force unless it is repealed, amended, or superseded by Order of the Attorney General.
For the purposes of Sections II.B.5 and II.B.6, the term “violent or serious offense” is defined as follows:

1. Any first or second degree offense, as defined in N.J.S.A 2C:43-1;
2. Any indictable domestic violence offense defined in N.J.S.A. 2C:25-19;
3. Any other indictable offense listed in the chart below; or
4. Any indictable offense under the law of another jurisdiction that is the substantial equivalent to an offense described in paragraphs 1-3 above.

**Chart of Additional Violent & Serious Offenses**
*(Referenced in Paragraph 3 Above)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2C:12-1</td>
<td>Assault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:12-1.1</td>
<td>Knowingly Leaving Scene of Motor Vehicle Accident Involving Serious Bodily Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:12-10</td>
<td>Stalking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:12-13</td>
<td>Throwing Bodily Fluid at Officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:14-3</td>
<td>Criminal Sexual Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:16-1</td>
<td>Bias Intimidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:17-1</td>
<td>Arson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:17-2</td>
<td>Causing Widespread Injury or Damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:18-2</td>
<td>Burglary of a Dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:24-4</td>
<td>Endangering the Welfare of Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:28-5</td>
<td>Witness Tampering and Retaliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:29-2B</td>
<td>Eluding a Law Enforcement Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:29-3A(5)</td>
<td>Hindering Apprehension of Another Using Force or Intimidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:29-3B(2)</td>
<td>Hindering Apprehension of Oneself Using Force or Intimidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:29-9</td>
<td>Criminal Contempt (Violation of Restraining Orders, Domestic Violence Orders, Etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C:40-3B</td>
<td>Aggravated Hazing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How the Immigrant Trust Directive Changes Law Enforcement Practices

Attorney General Directive 2018-6, known as the “Immigrant Trust Directive,” is designed to strengthen trust between New Jersey’s law enforcement officers and the state’s diverse immigrant communities, thereby ensuring that victims and witnesses feel safe reporting crimes.

The Directive is intended to draw a clearer distinction between state, county, and local law enforcement officers, who are responsible for enforcing state criminal law, and federal immigration authorities, (including Immigrations and Customs Enforcement, or ICE), who enforce federal civil immigration law. The Directive limits the types of voluntary assistance that New Jersey’s 36,000 law enforcement officers may provide to federal immigration authorities and applies to state and local police officers, correctional officers working in state prisons and county jails, and state and county prosecutors.

The Directive goes into effect in March 2019. Under the new rules, except in limited circumstances:

New Jersey’s police officers:
- Cannot stop, question, arrest, search, or detain any individual based solely on actual or suspected immigration status.
- Cannot ask the immigration status of any individual, unless doing so is necessary to the ongoing investigation of a serious offense and relevant to the offense under investigation.
- Cannot participate in ICE’s civil immigration enforcement operations.
- Cannot provide ICE with access to state or local law enforcement resources, including equipment, office space, databases, or property.

New Jersey’s correctional officers:
- Cannot allow ICE to interview individuals detained on criminal charges, unless the detainee is advised of his or her right to a lawyer and signs a written consent form.
- Cannot continue to hold a detained individual arrested for a minor criminal offense, without certain prior convictions, past the time he or she would otherwise be released from custody simply because ICE has submitted an “immigration detainer” request.

New Jersey’s prosecutors:
- Cannot attack a witness’s credibility at trial based on his or her immigration status.
- Cannot seek pretrial detention of an individual based solely on his or her immigration status.

New Jersey’s law enforcement agencies:
- Must develop procedures to assist victims and witnesses applying for T-Visas and U-Visas, which provide special immigration status for those cooperating with law enforcement investigations.
- Must update current policies to comply with this Directive and train all officers before March 2019.

To learn more, visit: nj.gov/trust
How the Immigrant Trust Directive Doesn't Change Law Enforcement Practices

The Immigrant Trust Directive establishes important new protections for New Jersey’s immigrant communities. But it is also important to understand what these policies do not change about the state’s law enforcement practices.

- Nothing in the Directive suggests that New Jersey provides "sanctuary" to individuals who commit crimes in the state.
- Nothing in the Directive limits the ability of law enforcement officers to enforce state criminal law.
- Nothing in the Directive restricts officers from complying with the requirements of federal law, including 8 U.S.C. § 1373.
- Nothing in the Directive prevents officers from enforcing valid court orders, including search or arrest warrants signed by state or federal judges.
- Nothing in the Directive addresses under what circumstances ICE may enter into contracts with county jails to house individuals detained for federal civil immigration violations.
- Nothing in the Directive requires that prosecutors seek, or decline to seek, a particular charge or sentence in a criminal case.
- Nothing in the Directive limits prosecutorial discretion in reaching a just resolution of a case.
- Nothing in the Directive prevents a prosecutor from making any argument at sentencing.
- Nothing in the Directive mandates that officers provide voluntary assistance to federal immigration authorities, even when the Directive makes clear that officers are permitted to do so.
- Nothing in the Directive prohibits law enforcement agencies from imposing their own additional restrictions on providing voluntary assistance to federal immigration authorities.

Note: This document summarizes relevant provisions of the Immigrant Trust Directive. Readers should review the full text of the Directive, which describes exceptions and exclusions to some of the rules described in this summary.

To learn more, visit: nj.gov/trust