Parameterized Algorithms

Week 4 Assignment



Figure 1: An instance of FVS

1. (1 point) Is the following statement True or False:

An algorithm A is a Monte Carlo Algorithm with false negatives. When A outputs YES for an instance I, it can be a NO instance.

- A. True
- B. False

Solution:

If \mathcal{A} has false negatives, then it means that it can output NO when the instance is a YES instance but it will definitely output NO when there is a NO instance. Therefore, it cannot output YES when there is a NO instance. Thus the statement is False.

2. (1 point) Which of the following correctly depicts the instance in Figure 1 after applying all the preprocessing rules for randomized FVS (such that the reduction rules cannot be applied any further)?





Solution:

In option A and C, a degree two vertex has been deleted without adding an edge between its end points. In option B, a degree one vertex has not been removed. (There may be other mistakes too). In Option D all the reduction rules have been correctly applied and no further application of each of the reduction rules is possible.

3. (1 point) Statement I: In a forest *F*, the number of leaves (degree 1 vertices) is always more than the number of vertices which are not leaves.

Statement II: In a forest F with no degree 2 vertices and no isolated vertices, the number of leaves (degree 1 vertices) is always more than the number of vertices which are not leaves.

Which of the following options is correct?

- A. Both Statement I and Statement II are correct.
- B. Statement I is wrong and Statement II is correct.
- C. Statement I is correct and Statement II is wrong.
- D. Both Statement I and Statement II are wrong.

Solution:

Consider a path on n > 4 vertices. It is a forest with n - 2 non-leaf vertices and 2 leaves. Thus Statement I is wrong.

Consider a forest with ℓ leaves and p non-leaf vertices such that it has no degree 2 vertex and no isolated vertex. Since it is a forest the number of edges is at most 1 less than the number of vertices, i.e., at most $\ell + p - 1$. Therefore sum of degrees of all vertices is at most $2\ell + 2p - 2$. Also, since there are no degree 2 or isolated vertices, each non-leaf vertex contributes at least 3 to the degree-sum. Each leaf contributes 1 to the degree-sum. Therefore the degree sum is at least $3p + \ell$ and hence we have, $3p + \ell \le 2\ell + 2p - 2$, i.e., $p \le \ell - 2$, i.e., $p < \ell$. Thus, Statement II is correct.

Therefore, option B is correct and the others are wrong.



Figure 2: Longest Path Problem

4. (1 point) Consider the LONGEST PATH problem with parameter solution size (number of vertices) k. A coloring is said to be *good* when all the vertices of the k-path have distinct colors. Which of the following coloring(s) is/are good for the graph G given in Figure 2 with k = 8?





D.

Solution:

The figures in C and D have a path with 8 vertices and all of distinct colors i.e., red, blue, green, yellow, gray, pink, purple, brown. The figures in A and B do not have a path with 8 vertices and all of different colors. Thus, C and D are the correct options.

- 5. (1 point) Fill in the blank: In the LONGEST PATH problem with parameter k (solution size), we have a YES instance (with exactly one solution) and a coloring is given with each vertex in the solution colored with a different color. Given an ordering of the k colors for the "guess" in Week 4 Lecture 17, the probability that it is the correct ordering is ---.
 - A. $\frac{k!}{k^k}$
 - **B.** $\frac{1}{k!}$

Solution:

The number of orderings of k colors is k! out of which only 1 is correct hence the answer is B. No other ordering can be correct because it is given that we have a YES instance with exactly one solution.

- 6. (1 point) Is the following statement true or false: Suppose T is a tournament and X is a subset of arcs of T such that after deleting X, the resulting graph does not contain any directed cycle, then the tournament T' after reversing the arcs in X also does not contain any directed cycle.
 - A. True
 - B. False

Solution:

If X is the set of all arcs of T, then after deleting the edges in X the graph becomes a set of isolated vertices which does not contain any directed cycle. But if T contained a cycle, then after reversing all the edges the tournament T' will contain the same cycle in the reverse direction. Thus the statement is False.

- 7. (1 point) Is the following statement true or false: Every closed (directed) walk in a directed graph contains a directed cycle.
 - A. True
 - B. False

Solution:

Consider a closed (directed) walk $W = u_1 u_2 \dots u_k u_1$ which is not a directed cycle. Let u_j be the lowest such index such that $u_i = u_j$ for some i < j. Then consider the walk $u_1 u_2 \dots u_{i-1} u_j u_{j+1} \dots u_k u_1$. If we get a cycle, we are done. If not, repeat the same process. Since there are finitely many vertices and hence finitely many repetitions this process will eventually terminate and we will get a cycle which is contained in W. Thus the statement is True.

- 8. (1 point) Which of the following statement(s) is/are true?
 - A. If two graphs are isomorphic, they have the same degree sequence (in non-increasing order).
 - B. If two graphs are isomorphic, they may not have the same degree sequence (in non-increasing order).
 - C. If two graphs have the same degree sequence (in non-increasing order), then they are isomorphic.
 - D. If two graphs have the same degree sequence (in non-increasing order), then they may not be isomorphic.

Solution:

If two graphs G and H are isomorphic, then there exists a bijection f from G to H such that $uv \in E(G) \iff f(u)f(v) \in E(H)$. This implies that the degree of each vertex u in G is the same as the degree of the corresponding vertex f(u) in H. This is because if the neighbours of u in G are u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d , then the neighbours of f(u) in H are exactly $f(u_1), f(u_2), \ldots, f(u_d)$. Thus the degree sequence of G and H (written in non-increasing order) must be the same. Hence A is correct and B is wrong.

Consider a graph G such that $V(G) = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ and $E(G) = \{ab, bc, cd, de, cf\}$. Now consider a graph H such that $V(H) = \{u, v, w, x, y, z\}$ and $E(G) = \{uv, vw, wx, xy, vz\}$. The degree sequence of both these vertices (written in non-increasing order) will be 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1. Now any isomorphism from G to H must map c to v as these are the only degree 3 vertices. But c has two degree-2 neighbours and one degree-1 neighbour whereas v has two degree-1 neighbours and one degree-2 neighbour. Hence there cannot be a bijection between the same degree neighbours of c and the same degree neighbours of v. Hence G and H are non-isomorphic. Thus C is wrong and D is correct.

- 9. (1 point) Consider the instance of the subgraph isomorphism problem in Figure 3. It is a —- instance. (Fill in the blank.)
 - A. YES B. NO

Solution: One possible solution is given by:



Here the red colored graph is an isomorphic copy of H. Therefore, it is a YES instance.

10. (1 point) Consider the 14 colored vertices in the figure given below and the set of colors: {Red, Pink, Yellow, Blue, Green}. Fill in the blank: There are — sets of size 5 which are subsets of these 14 vertices which are colored injectively (as described in Week 4 Lecture 20).

.



Figure 3: An instance of subgraph isomorphism problem with host graph G and pattern graph H

A. 144B. 288C. 48D. 72

Solution: If we pick any one red vertex out of 3, one pink vertex out of 4, one blue vertex out of 2, one yellow vertex out of 2 and one green vertex out of 3 then we will get a set of size 5 which is injectively colored and any other set of size 5 will contain two vertices of the same color. Therefore the number of subsets of size 5 which are injectively colored will be: $3 \times 4 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3 = 144$. Hence *A* is correct and others are wrong.