Zephyr combines heterogeneous redshift references while tracking their contributions.

arXiv:2310.20125v1 [astro-ph.IM] 31 Oct 2023

zephyr : Stitching Heterogeneous Training Data with Normalizing Flows for Photometric Redshift Inference

Zechang Sun (孙泽昌)*
Department of Astronomy
Tsinghua University
Beijing, China 100084
szc22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Joshua S. Speagle (沈佳士)†
Department of Statistical Sciences
University of Toronto
Toronto, ON, Canada
j.speagle@utoronto.ca

Song Huang (黄崧)
Department of Astronomy
Tsinghua University
Beijing, China 100084
shuang@tsinghua.edu.cn

Yuan-Sen Ting (丁源森)‡
Research School of Astronomy & Astrophysics
Australian National University
Cotter Rd., Weston, ACT 2611, Australia
yuan-sen.ting@anu.edu.au

Zheng Cai (蔡峥)
Department of Astronomy
Tsinghua University
Beijing, China 100084
zcai@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

We present zephyr , a novel method that integrates cutting-edge normalizing flow techniques into a mixture density estimation framework, enabling the effective use of heterogeneous training data for photometric redshift inference. Compared to previous methods, zephyr demonstrates enhanced robustness for both point estimation and distribution reconstruction by leveraging normalizing flows for density estimation and incorporating careful uncertainty quantification. Moreover, zephyr offers unique interpretability by explicitly disentangling contributions from multi-source training data, which can facilitate future weak lensing analysis by providing an additional quality assessment. As probabilistic generative deep learning techniques gain increasing prominence in astronomy, zephyr should become an inspiration for handling heterogeneous training data while remaining interpretable and robustly accounting for observational uncertainties.

1 Introduction

Redshift measures cosmic distances and Universe expansion, making it fundamental in astrophysics [1–3]. Cosmology [4–6] and extragalactic science [7] both count on accurate redshifts with a wide range of sensitivity requirement. For example, weak lensing studies, which probe cosmological

*https://zechangsun.github.io

†Sencodary Affiliations: Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; Data Sciences Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; Dunlap Institute of Astronomy & Astrophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

‡Secondary Affiliations: School of Computing, Australian National University, Acton, ACT 2601, Australia; Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

Machine Learning and the Physical Sciences workshop, NeurIPS 2023.



Each training source contributes according to its support for the observed photometry.




Figure 1: Model architecture. `zephyr` integrates normalizing flows and mixture density estimation to give both accurate and interpretable photo-z inference with noisy, heterogeneous training data.

structure growth and expansion history by tracing dark matter cosmic webs through the distortion of galaxy shapes [8], are currently limited by redshift errors [9–11].

High-precision redshifts require expensive, biased spectroscopic observations (spec-z/grism-z/prism-z). In contrast, photometric redshifts (photo-z) cover wider luminosity ranges but lack spectroscopic precision. In ongoing and future surveys like LSST [12], most galaxies will have only photometric data. Integrating spectroscopic and photometric data is therefore crucial for photo-z inference.

Photo-z estimation techniques fall into two categories: template-fitting [13–15], which matches photometry to spectroscopic or physical model templates, and machine learning [16–18], which trains supervised models to map photometry to reference redshifts. To maximize information gain for science study, photo-z estimation requires synthesizing all available data to achieve wide redshift coverage, high precision, and minimal selection bias.

Stitching high-quality spectroscopic redshifts [19], medium-quality grism/prism redshifts [20, 21], and lower-quality photometric redshifts [22] enables full exploitation of deep galaxy surveys like HSC-SSP [23] for photo-z estimation. To help accomplish this, we propose `zephyr` – an integrative framework that stitches heterogeneous training samples for photo-z inference using normalizing flows [24]. As a generalized extension of `frankenz`[25] for future large-scale sky surveys, `zephyr` : (1) improves photo-z inference, refines both point estimates and redshift probability density estimation; (2) interprets heterogeneous datasets and exerts uncertainty control; (3) more efficiently scales to high-dimensional feature spaces and large datasets.

2 Method

Our `zephyr` framework combines heterogeneous training data for photo-z inference via mixture density estimation as shown in Figure 1. The photo-z posterior probability density function (PDF) $\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ for redshift z given photometry $\mathbf{g}$ and uncertainty $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is expressed as a weighted sum of posteriors from distinct categories $\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, c_i)$, with each category c_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathrm{M}$ weighted by $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|c_i)\mathrm{P}(c_i)$ as shown in Equation 1.

$$\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)\mathrm{P}(c_i|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)\mathrm{P}(c_i) \tag{1}$$


We treat the category prior weights $\mathrm{P}(c_i)$ as latent variables in our mixture density model. $\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)$ are estimated using normalizing flows, which are designed to transform simple distributions into complex ones via invertible, differentiable functions, enabling efficient sampling and density estimation ([26]). `zephyr` 's use of normalizing flows over nearest neighbor methods for density estimation improves performance and high-dimensional scaling over `frankenz`.

Denoting $\mathbf{g}^*$ as the true underlying photometric data, $\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)$ can be formulated as:

$$\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i) = \int \mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}^*, c_i)\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})\,\mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{g}}^* = \int \mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}^*, c_i)\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\mathbf{g}^*, \boldsymbol{\sigma})\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g})}\,\mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{g}}^* \tag{2}$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbf{g}}^*$ denotes the photometric space and $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\mathbf{g}^*, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ follows $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{g}^*; \boldsymbol{\sigma})$. Although the unknown prior distribution over the true photometry $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*)$ may be complex, in this work we approximate it as

Average over uncertain photometry instead of treating measurements as exact.



(a) Circle (b) Moon

Figure 2: Recovering distributions from noisy data. The proposed method effectively estimates densities on circle (left) and moon (right) datasets despite low signal-to-noise ratios.

uniform. We justify this approximation for two reasons: (1) for high signal-to-noise cases, $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\mathbf{g}^*, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ is concentrated around $\mathbf{g}^*$ and so $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*)$ would be roughly constant; (2) for low signal-to-noise cases, a uniform $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*)$ would generally lead to slightly broader PDFs.

Approximating Equation 2 through Monte Carlo integration [27–30] with M samples drawn from this distribution, we have:

$$\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i) \approx \frac{1}{\mathrm{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}^*, c_i) \tag{3}$$

Similarly, $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)$ can be approximated the same way to get:

$$\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i) = \int \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\mathbf{g}^*, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*|c_i)\, \mathrm{d}\Omega^*_{\mathbf{g}} \approx \frac{1}{\mathrm{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*_j|c_i), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{g}^*_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \mathrm{M}$ follow the same distribution as in Equation 2. This approach allows us to learn the intrinsic distributions for $\mathrm{P}(z|\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|\boldsymbol{\sigma}, c_i)$, providing a robust method to handle uncertainty. Equation 2 and 4 facilitate finer uncertainty control with the normalizing flow and are used during both model training and inference.

We showcase the quality of our uniform $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}^*)$ assumption for low signal-to-noise cases on two toy datasets. In Figure 2, the intrinsic distributions (blue triangles) are a circle 2(a) and a double moon 2(b). Gaussian noise $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ is added to simulate low signal-to-noise observations (grey dots). The experiment shows that while the uniform prior may smear the true distribution slightly, it remains highly effective for recovering the underlying true density (red squares).

3 Data


We analyze a dataset with two reference redshifts: a collection of high-quality spec-z's/grism-z's/prism-z's (high-confidence, biased to brighter objects) and lower-quality photo-z's (lower-confidence, fainter objects). The photometry is taken from HSC-SSP survey PDR3 [31] in the *grizy* filters. We cross-match HSC PDR3 against other surveys (see Table A) to obtain our collection of reference redshifts. COSMOS2015 [22] provides 30-band photo-z. Our final dataset includes $129,449$ photo-z sources from COSMOS2015 and $21,591$ spec-z sources from spectroscopic surveys. We split the data 90/5/5 percent portions for training/validation/testing.

The uniform-prior approximation can leave the recovered shape slightly blurred.

On this test set, Zephyr reduces scatter and outliers relative to both baselines.

Method	Bias (b)	Scatter (σ)	Outlier Rate (η)
`zephyr`	-0.003	**0.053**	**0.198**
`frankenz`	**0.001**	0.080	0.264
`EAzY`	-0.011	0.170	0.458

Table 1: Comparison on performance over the test data. The normalizing flow-based `zephyr` model achieves a much lower scatter and outlier rate compared to `frankenz` and `EAzY`.



(a) Population-level Inference (b) Interpreting Heterogeneous Training Data

Figure 3: (a) PIT distributions for different models, demonstrating `zephyr` 's efficacy in reconstructing the redshift distribution as its PIT value closely follows a uniform distribution $\mathcal{U}(0, 1)$; (b) `zephyr` point estimates versus reference redshifts color-coded by spec-z training sample contributions. `zephyr` 's results clearly show that the high-redshift end of the distribution and most redshift outliers predominantly are made up of predictions with little spec-z contributions.

4 Experiment and Result

4.1 Experiment Settings

We use neural spline flows [26] for density estimation. We set the spec-z and photo-z prior probabilities to their relative portions in the training data. We investigate: (a) `zephyr` 's photo-z inference capability; and (b) its interpretability in quantifying contributions from different training samples. We compare to (1) `frankenz`[4] [25], a Bayesian nearest neighbor method conceptually similar to `zephyr` , and (2) `EAzY`[5] [14], a widely-used template-fitting method, with the `sfhz`[6] template.

4.2 Assessing the Quality of Photo-z Inference

To assess photo-z quality, we define the scaled residual $\Delta z = (z_{pred} - z_{ref})/(1 + z_{ref})$, bias $b = \text{Median}(\Delta z)$, scatter $\sigma = 1.4826 \times \text{Median}(|\Delta z - b|)$, and outlier rate η (outliers have $|\Delta z| > 0.15$) [32, 33]. As shown in Table 1, `zephyr` exhibits lower scatter and outlier rate than `frankenz` and `EAzY`, attributable to the high expressiveness of normalizing flows used. For distribution reconstruction, `zephyr` shows comparable probability integral transform (PIT) performance to `frankenz` and outperforms `EAzY` (Figure 3(a)), demonstrating viability for cosmology [9, 34].

Near-uniform PIT is a population check, not a guarantee for every individual posterior.

The mixture weight reveals which training population supports a prediction.

4.3 Interpreting Heterogeneous Datasets

Assessing impacts of heterogeneous data is crucial in cosmology. We show the `zephyr` model has strong interpretability, facilitating disambiguation of contributions from disparate datasets. We define P_{spec} as the proportion of spec-z samples contributing to photo-z estimation:

$$\mathrm{P_{spec}} = \frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|c_1)\mathrm{P}(c_1)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|c_1)\mathrm{P}(c_1) + \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{g}|c_2)\mathrm{P}(c_2)}. \tag{5}$$

Figure 3(b) shows the spec-z training samples contribute less to high-redshift sources due to limited depth of spectroscopic surveys. In addition, we see predictions dominated by photo-z training samples also have larger scatter and outlier rates due to imprecise reference redshifts and noisier input photometry. `zephyr` exhibits superior interpretability in disentangling contributions from heterogeneous datasets, enabling unique quality assessment opportunities for cosmology analysis.

5 Broader Impact

As we enter an era of ultra-precise cosmology, accurate photo-z inference is critical. To achieve this, we need advanced algorithms to maximize photo-z precision and understand training data impacts. `zephyr` enables both - its flexible framework handles high-dimensional data while maintaining interpretability. Moreover, normalizing flows enable data-driven inferences for astrophysics. We will expand `zephyr` into a versatile framework for broader applications like stellar mass inference. Such capabilities will prove valuable for upcoming surveys.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Diana Blanco, Alexie Leauthaud, and Yifei Luo (罗逸飞) from the Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics at the University of California, Santa Cruz for fruitful discussions regarding potential applications of `zephyr` in weak lensing analysis. The authors also thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments.

References

[1] S. Dodelson, *Modern Cosmology*. Academic Press, 2003.

[2] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*. Oxford University Press, 2008.

[3] H. Mo, F. C. van den Bosch, and S. White, *Galaxy Formation and Evolution*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[4] S. Alam, M. Aubert, S. Avila, C. Balland, J. E. Bautista, M. A. Bershady, D. Bizyaev, M. R. Blanton, A. S. Bolton, J. Bovy, J. Brinkmann, J. R. Brownstein, E. Burtin, S. Chabanier, M. J. Chapman, P. D. Choi, C.-H. Chuang, J. Comparat, M.-C. Cousinou, A. Cuceu, K. S. Dawson, S. de la Torre, A. de Mattia, V. d. S. Agathe, H. d. M. des Bourboux, S. Escoffier, T. Etourneau, J. Farr, A. Font-Ribera, P. M. Frinchaboy, S. Fromenteau, H. Gil-Marín, J.-M. Le Goff, A. X. Gonzalez-Morales, V. Gonzalez-Perez, K. Grabowski, J. Guy, A. J. Hawken, J. Hou, H. Kong, J. Parker, M. Klaene, J.-P. Kneib, S. Lin, D. Long, B. W. Lyke, A. de la Macorra, P. Martini, K. Masters, F. G. Mohammad, J. Moon, E.-M. Mueller, A. Muñoz-Gutiérrez, A. D. Myers, S. Nadathur, R. Neveux, J. A. Newman, P. Noterdaeme, A. Oravetz, D. Oravetz, N. Palanque-Delabrouille, K. Pan, R. Paviot, W. J. Percival, I. Pérez-Ràfols, P. Petitjean, M. M. Pieri, A. Prakash, A. Raichoor, C. Ravoux, M. Rezaie, J. Rich, A. J. Ross, G. Rossi, R. Ruggeri, V. Ruhlmann-Kleider, A. G. Sánchez, F. J. Sánchez, J. R. Sánchez-Gallego, C. Sayres, D. P. Schneider, H.-J. Seo, A. Shafieloo, A. Slosar, A. Smith, J. Stermer, A. Tamone, J. L. Tinker, R. Tojeiro, M. Vargas-Magaña, A. Variu, Y. Wang, B. A. Weaver, A.-M. Weijmans, C. Yèche, P. Zarrouk, C. Zhao, G.-B. Zhao, and Z. Zheng, "Completed SDSS-IV extended

[4] https://github.com/joshspeagle/frankenz

[5] https://github.com/gbrammer/eazy-photoz

[6] https://github.com/gbrammer/eazy-photoz/tree/master/templates/sfhz

Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: Cosmological implications from two decades of spectroscopic surveys at the Apache Point Observatory," *Physical Review D*, vol. 103, p. 083533, Apr. 2021.

[5] R. Dalal, X. Li, A. Nicola, J. Zuntz, M. A. Strauss, S. Sugiyama, T. Zhang, M. M. Rau, R. Mandelbaum, M. Takada, S. More, H. Miyatake, A. Kannawadi, M. Shirasaki, T. Taniguchi, R. Takahashi, K. Osato, T. Hamana, M. Oguri, A. J. Nishizawa, A. A. Plazas Malagón, T. Sunayama, D. Alonso, A. Slosar, R. Armstrong, J. Bosch, Y. Komiyama, R. H. Lupton, N. B. Lust, L. A. MacArthur, S. Miyazaki, H. Murayama, T. Nishimichi, Y. Okura, P. A. Price, P. J. Tait, M. Tanaka, and S.-Y. Wang, "Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 Results: Cosmology from Cosmic Shear Power Spectra," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2304.00701, Apr. 2023.

[6] S. Sugiyama, H. Miyatake, S. More, X. Li, M. Shirasaki, M. Takada, Y. Kobayashi, R. Takahashi, T. Nishimichi, A. J. Nishizawa, M. M. Rau, T. Zhang, R. Dalal, R. Mandelbaum, M. A. Strauss, T. Hamana, M. Oguri, K. Osato, A. Kannawadi, R. Armstrong, Y. Komiyama, R. H. Lupton, N. B. Lust, S. Miyazaki, H. Murayama, Y. Okura, P. A. Price, P. J. Tait, M. Tanaka, and S.-Y. Wang, "Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 Results: Cosmology from Galaxy Clustering and Weak Lensing with HSC and SDSS using the Minimal Bias Model," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2304.00705, Apr. 2023.

[7] J. M. Helton, F. Sun, C. Woodrum, K. N. Hainline, C. N. A. Willmer, G. H. Rieke, M. J. Rieke, S. Tacchella, B. Robertson, B. D. Johnson, S. Alberts, D. J. Eisenstein, R. Hausen, N. R. Bonaventura, A. Bunker, S. Charlot, M. Curti, E. Curtis-Lake, T. J. Looser, R. Maiolino, C. Willott, J. Witstok, K. Boyett, Z. Chen, E. Egami, R. Endsley, R. E. Hviding, D. T. Jaffe, Z. Ji, J. Lyu, and L. Sandles, "The JWST Advanced Deep Extragalactic Survey: Discovery of an Extreme Galaxy Overdensity at $z = 5.4$ with JWST/NIRCam in GOODS-S," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2302.10217, Feb. 2023.

[8] R. Mandelbaum, "Weak lensing for precision cosmology," *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, vol. 56, pp. 393–433, sep 2018.

[9] M. W. Abruzzo and Z. Haiman, "The impact of photometric redshift errors on lensing statistics in ray-tracing simulations," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, vol. 486, pp. 2730–2753, June 2019.

[10] S. Yuan, C. Pan, X. Liu, Q. Wang, and Z. Fan, "Cosmological Studies from Tomographic Weak Lensing Peak Abundances and Impacts of Photo-z Errors," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 884, p. 164, Oct. 2019.

[11] A. I. Malz and D. W. Hogg, "How to Obtain the Redshift Distribution from Probabilistic Redshift Estimates," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 928, p. 127, Apr. 2022.

[12] Ž. Ivezić, S. M. Kahn, J. A. Tyson, B. Abel, E. Acosta, R. Allsman, D. Alonso, Y. AlSayyad, S. F. Anderson, J. Andrew, J. R. P. Angel, G. Z. Angeli, R. Ansari, P. Antilogus, C. Araujo, R. Armstrong, K. T. Arndt, P. Astier, É. Aubourg, N. Auza, T. S. Axelrod, D. J. Bard, J. D. Barr, A. Barrau, J. G. Bartlett, A. E. Bauer, B. J. Bauman, S. Baumont, E. Bechtol, K. Bechtol, A. C. Becker, J. Becla, C. Beldica, S. Bellavia, F. B. Bianco, R. Biswas, G. Blanc, J. Blazek, R. D. Blandford, J. S. Bloom, J. Bogart, T. W. Bond, M. T. Booth, A. W. Borgland, K. Borne, J. F. Bosch, D. Boutigny, C. A. Brackett, A. Bradshaw, W. N. Brandt, M. E. Brown, J. S. Bullock, P. Burchat, D. L. Burke, G. Cagnoli, D. Calabrese, S. Callahan, A. L. Callen, J. L. Carlin, E. L. Carlson, S. Chandrasekharan, G. Charles-Emerson, S. Chesley, E. C. Cheu, H.-F. Chiang, J. Chiang, C. Chirino, D. Chow, D. R. Ciardi, C. F. Claver, J. Cohen-Tanugi, J. J. Cockrum, R. Coles, A. J. Connolly, K. H. Cook, A. Cooray, K. R. Covey, C. Cribbs, W. Cui, R. Cutri, P. N. Daly, S. F. Daniel, F. Daruich, G. Daubard, G. Daues, W. Dawson, F. Delgado, A. Dellapenna, R. de Peyster, M. de Val-Borro, S. W. Digel, P. Doherty, R. Dubois, G. P. Dubois-Felsmann, J. Durech, F. Economou, T. Eifler, M. Eracleous, B. L. Emmons, A. Fausti Neto, H. Ferguson, E. Figueroa, M. Fisher-Levine, W. Focke, M. D. Foss, J. Frank, M. D. Freemon, E. Gangler, E. Gawiser, J. C. Geary, P. Gee, M. Geha, C. J. B. Gessner, R. R. Gibson, D. K. Gilmore, T. Glanzman, W. Glick, T. Goldina, D. A. Goldstein, I. Goodenow, M. L. Graham, W. J. Gressler, P. Gris, L. P. Guy, A. Guyonnet, G. Haller, R. Harris, P. A. Hascall, J. Haupt, F. Hernandez, S. Herrmann, E. Hileman, J. Hoblitt, J. A. Hodgson, C. Hogan, J. D.

Howard, D. Huang, M. E. Huffer, P. Ingraham, W. R. Innes, S. H. Jacoby, B. Jain, F. Jammes, M. J. Jee, T. Jenness, G. Jernigan, D. Jevremović, K. Johns, A. S. Johnson, M. W. G. Johnson, R. L. Jones, C. Juramy-Gilles, M. Jurić, J. S. Kalirai, N. J. Kallivayalil, B. Kalmbach, J. P. Kantor, P. Karst, M. M. Kasliwal, H. Kelly, R. Kessler, V. Kinnison, D. Kirkby, L. Knox, I. V. Kotov, V. L. Krabbendam, K. S. Krughoff, P. Kubánek, J. Kuczewski, S. Kulkarni, J. Ku, N. R. Kurita, C. S. Lage, R. Lambert, T. Lange, J. B. Langton, L. Le Guillou, D. Levine, M. Liang, K.-T. Lim, C. J. Lintott, K. E. Long, M. Lopez, P. J. Lotz, R. H. Lupton, N. B. Lust, L. A. MacArthur, A. Mahabal, R. Mandelbaum, T. W. Markiewicz, D. S. Marsh, P. J. Marshall, S. Marshall, M. May, R. McKercher, M. McQueen, J. Meyers, M. Migliore, M. Miller, D. J. Mills, C. Miraval, J. Moeyens, F. E. Moolekamp, D. G. Monet, M. Moniez, S. Monkewitz, C. Montgomery, C. B. Morrison, F. Mueller, G. P. Muller, F. Muñoz Arancibia, D. R. Neill, S. P. Newbry, J.-Y. Nief, A. Nomerotski, M. Nordby, P. O'Connor, J. Oliver, S. S. Olivier, K. Olsen, W. O'Mullane, S. Ortiz, S. Osier, R. E. Owen, R. Pain, P. E. Palecek, J. K. Parejko, J. B. Parsons, N. M. Pease, J. M. Peterson, J. R. Peterson, D. L. Petravick, M. E. Libby Petrick, C. E. Petry, F. Pierfederici, S. Pietrowicz, R. Pike, P. A. Pinto, R. Plante, S. Plate, J. P. Plutchak, P. A. Price, M. Prouza, V. Radeka, J. Rajagopal, A. P. Rasmussen, N. Regnault, K. A. Reil, D. J. Reiss, M. A. Reuter, S. T. Ridgway, V. J. Riot, S. Ritz, S. Robinson, W. Roby, A. Roodman, W. Rosing, C. Roucelle, M. R. Rumore, S. Russo, A. Saha, B. Sassolas, T. L. Schalk, P. Schellart, R. H. Schindler, S. Schmidt, D. P. Schneider, M. D. Schneider, W. Schoening, G. Schumacher, M. E. Schwamb, J. Sebag, B. Selvy, G. H. Sembroski, L. G. Seppala, A. Serio, E. Serrano, R. A. Shaw, I. Shipsey, J. Sick, N. Silvestri, C. T. Slater, J. A. Smith, R. C. Smith, S. Sobhani, C. Soldahl, L. Storrie-Lombardi, E. Stover, M. A. Strauss, R. A. Street, C. W. Stubbs, I. S. Sullivan, D. Sweeney, J. D. Swinbank, A. Szalay, P. Takacs, S. A. Tether, J. J. Thaler, J. G. Thayer, S. Thomas, A. J. Thornton, V. Thukral, J. Tice, D. E. Trilling, M. Turri, R. Van Berg, D. Vanden Berk, K. Vetter, F. Virieux, T. Vucina, W. Wahl, L. Walkowicz, B. Walsh, C. W. Walter, D. L. Wang, S.-Y. Wang, M. Warner, O. Wiecha, B. Willman, S. E. Winters, D. Wittman, S. C. Wolff, W. M. Wood-Vasey, X. Wu, B. Xin, P. Yoachim, and H. Zhan, "LSST: From Science Drivers to Reference Design and Anticipated Data Products," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 873, p. 111, Mar. 2019.

[13] N. Benitez, "Bayesian photometric redshift estimation," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 536, pp. 571–583, jun 2000.

[14] G. B. Brammer, P. G. van Dokkum, and P. Coppi, "EAZY: A Fast, Public Photometric Redshift Code," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 686, pp. 1503–1513, Oct. 2008.

[15] J. Laur, E. Tempel, A. Tamm, R. Kipper, L. J. Liivamägi, A. Hernán-Caballero, M. M. Muru, J. Chaves-Montero, L. A. Díaz-García, S. Turner, T. Tuvikene, C. Queiroz, C. R. Bom, J. A. Fernández-Ontiveros, R. M. González Delgado, T. Civera, R. Abramo, J. Alcaniz, N. Benítez, S. Bonoli, S. Carneiro, J. Cenarro, D. Cristóbal-Hornillos, R. Dupke, A. Ederoclite, C. López-Sanjuan, A. Marín-Franch, C. M. de Oliveira, M. Moles, L. Sodré, K. Taylor, J. Varela, and H. Vázquez Ramió, "TOPz: Photometric redshifts for J-PAS," *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, vol. 668, p. A8, Dec. 2022.

[16] I. Sadeh, F. B. Abdalla, and O. Lahav, "ANNz2: Photometric Redshift and Probability Distribution Function Estimation using Machine Learning," *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, vol. 128, p. 104502, Oct. 2016.

[17] B. Leistedt and D. W. Hogg, "Data-driven, interpretable photometric redshifts trained on heterogeneous and unrepresentative data," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 838, p. 5, mar 2017.

[18] E. Jones, T. Do, B. Boscoe, Y. Wan, Z. Nguyen, and J. Singal, "Photometric Redshifts for Cosmology: Improving Accuracy and Uncertainty Estimates Using Bayesian Neural Networks," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2202.07121, Feb. 2022.

[19] R. Ahumada, C. Allende Prieto, A. Almeida, F. Anders, S. F. Anderson, B. H. Andrews, B. Anguiano, R. Arcodia, E. Armengaud, M. Aubert, and et al., "The 16th Data Release of the Sloan Digital Sky Surveys: First Release from the APOGEE-2 Southern Survey and Full Release of eBOSS Spectra," *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, vol. 249, p. 3, July 2020.

[20] G. B. Brammer, P. G. van Dokkum, M. Franx, M. Fumagalli, S. Patel, H.-W. Rix, R. E. Skelton, M. Kriek, E. Nelson, K. B. Schmidt, R. Bezanson, E. da Cunha, D. K. Erb, X. Fan, N. F. Schreiber, G. D. Illingworth, I. Labb é , J. Leja, B. Lundgren, D. Magee, D. Marchesini, P. McCarthy, I. Momcheva, A. Muzzin, R. Quadri, C. C. Steidel, T. Tal, D. Wake, K. E. Whitaker, and A. Williams, "3d-hst: A wide-field grism spectroscopic survey with the hubble space telescope*," *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, vol. 200, p. 13, may 2012.

[21] R. J. Cool, J. Moustakas, M. R. Blanton, S. M. Burles, A. L. Coil, D. J. Eisenstein, K. C. Wong, G. Zhu, J. Aird, R. A. Bernstein, A. S. Bolton, D. W. Hogg, and A. J. Mendez, "The prism multi-object survey (primus). ii. data reduction and redshift fitting," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 767, p. 118, apr 2013.

[22] C. Laigle, H. J. McCracken, O. Ilbert, B. C. Hsieh, I. Davidzon, P. Capak, G. Hasinger, J. D. Silverman, C. Pichon, J. Coupon, H. Aussel, D. L. Borgne, K. Caputi, P. Cassata, Y.-Y. Chang, F. Civano, J. Dunlop, J. Fynbo, J. S. Kartaltepe, A. Koekemoer, O. L. Fè vre, E. L. Floc'h, A. Leauthaud, S. Lilly, L. Lin, S. Marchesi, B. Milvang-Jensen, M. Salvato, D. B. Sanders, N. Scoville, V. Smolcic, M. Stockmann, Y. Taniguchi, L. Tasca, S. Toft, M. Vaccari, and J. Zabl, "The cosmos2015 catalog: Exploring the 1<z<6 universe with half a million galaxies," *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, vol. 224, p. 24, jun 2016.

[23] H. Aihara, N. Arimoto, R. Armstrong, S. Arnouts, N. A. Bahcall, S. Bickerton, J. Bosch, K. Bundy, P. L. Capak, J. H. H. Chan, M. Chiba, J. Coupon, E. Egami, M. Enoki, F. Finet, H. Fujimori, S. Fujimoto, H. Furusawa, J. Furusawa, T. Goto, A. Goulding, J. P. Greco, J. E. Greene, J. E. Gunn, T. Hamana, Y. Harikane, Y. Hashimoto, T. Hattori, M. Hayashi, Y. Hayashi, K. G. Hełminiak, R. Higuchi, C. Hikage, P. T. P. Ho, B.-C. Hsieh, K. Huang, S. Huang, H. Ikeda, M. Imanishi, A. K. Inoue, K. Iwasawa, I. Iwata, A. T. Jaelani, H.-Y. Jian, Y. Kamata, H. Karoji, N. Kashikawa, N. Katayama, S. Kawanomoto, I. Kayo, J. Koda, M. Koike, T. Kojima, Y. Komiyama, A. Konno, S. Koshida, Y. Koyama, H. Kusakabe, A. Leauthaud, C.-H. Lee, L. Lin, Y.-T. Lin, R. H. Lupton, R. Mandelbaum, Y. Matsuoka, E. Medezinski, S. Mineo, S. Miyama, H. Miyatake, S. Miyazaki, R. Momose, A. More, S. More, Y. Moritani, T. J. Moriya, T. Morokuma, S. Mukae, R. Murata, H. Murayama, T. Nagao, F. Nakata, M. Niida, H. Niikura, A. J. Nishizawa, Y. Obuchi, M. Oguri, Y. Oishi, N. Okabe, S. Okamoto, Y. Okura, Y. Ono, M. Onodera, M. Onoue, K. Osato, M. Ouchi, P. A. Price, T.-S. Pyo, M. Sako, M. Sawicki, T. Shibuya, K. Shimasaku, A. Shimono, M. Shirasaki, J. D. Silverman, M. Simet, J. Speagle, D. N. Spergel, M. A. Strauss, Y. Sugahara, N. Sugiyama, Y. Suto, S. H. Suyu, N. Suzuki, P. J. Tait, M. Takada, T. Takata, N. Tamura, M. M. Tanaka, M. Tanaka, M. Tanaka, Y. Tanaka, T. Terai, Y. Terashima, Y. Toba, N. Tominaga, J. Toshikawa, E. L. Turner, T. Uchida, H. Uchiyama, K. Umetsu, F. Uraguchi, Y. Urata, T. Usuda, Y. Utsumi, S.-Y. Wang, W.-H. Wang, K. C. Wong, K. Yabe, Y. Yamada, H. Yamanoi, N. Yasuda, S. Yeh, A. Yonehara, and S. Yuma, "The Hyper Suprime-Cam SSP Survey: Overview and survey design," *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, vol. 70, p. S4, Jan. 2018.

[24] I. Kobyzev, S. J. D. Prince, and M. A. Brubaker, "Normalizing Flows: An Introduction and Review of Current Methods," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:1908.09257, Aug. 2019.

[25] J. S. Speagle, A. Leauthaud, S. Huang, C. P. Bradshaw, F. Ardila, P. L. Capak, D. J. Eisenstein, D. C. Masters, R. Mandelbaum, S. More, M. Simet, and C. Sifón, "Galaxy-Galaxy lensing in HSC: Validation tests and the impact of heterogeneous spectroscopic training sets," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, vol. 490, pp. 5658–5677, Dec. 2019.

[26] C. Durkan, A. Bekasov, I. Murray, and G. Papamakarios, "Neural spline flows," 2019.

[27] J. Leja, J. S. Speagle, B. D. Johnson, C. Conroy, P. van Dokkum, and M. Franx, "A New Census of the 0.2 < z < 3.0 Universe. I. The Stellar Mass Function," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 893, p. 111, Apr. 2020.

[28] J. Leja, J. S. Speagle, Y.-S. Ting, B. D. Johnson, C. Conroy, K. E. Whitaker, E. J. Nelson, P. van Dokkum, and M. Franx, "A New Census of the 0.2 < z < 3.0 Universe. II. The Star-forming Sequence," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 936, p. 165, Sept. 2022.

[29] B. Wang, J. Leja, A. Villar, and J. S. Speagle, "Monte Carlo Techniques for Addressing Large Errors and Missing Data in Simulation-based Inference," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2211.03747, Nov. 2022.

[30] B. Wang, J. Leja, V. A. Villar, and J. S. Speagle, "SBI++: Flexible, Ultra-fast Likelihood-free Inference Customized for Astronomical Application," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2304.05281, Apr. 2023.

[31] H. Aihara, Y. AlSayyad, M. Ando, R. Armstrong, J. Bosch, E. Egami, H. Furusawa, J. Furusawa, S. Harasawa, Y. Harikane, B.-C. Hsieh, H. Ikeda, K. Ito, I. Iwata, T. Kodama, M. Koike, M. Kokubo, Y. Komiyama, X. Li, Y. Liang, Y.-T. Lin, R. H. Lupton, N. B. Lust, L. A. MacArthur, K. Mawatari, S. Mineo, H. Miyatake, S. Miyazaki, S. More, T. Morishima, H. Murayama, K. Nakajima, F. Nakata, A. J. Nishizawa, M. Oguri, N. Okabe, Y. Okura, Y. Ono, K. Osato, M. Ouchi, Y.-C. Pan, A. A. Plazas Malagón, P. A. Price, S. L. Reed, E. S. Rykoff, T. Shibuya, M. Simunovic, M. A. Strauss, K. Sugimori, Y. Suto, N. Suzuki, M. Takada, Y. Takagi, T. Takata, S. Takita, M. Tanaka, S. Tang, D. S. Taranu, T. Terai, Y. Toba, E. L. Turner, H. Uchiyama, B. Vijarnwannaluk, C. Z. Waters, Y. Yamada, N. Yamamoto, and T. Yamashita, "Third data release of the Hyper Suprime-Cam Subaru Strategic Program," *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, vol. 74, pp. 247–272, Apr. 2022.

[32] Euclid Collaboration, G. Desprez, S. Paltani, J. Coupon, I. Almosallam, A. Alvarez-Ayllon, V. Amaro, M. Brescia, M. Brodwin, S. Cavuoti, J. De Vicente-Albendea, S. Fotopoulou, P. W. Hatfield, W. G. Hartley, O. Ilbert, M. J. Jarvis, G. Longo, M. M. Rau, R. Saha, J. S. Speagle, A. Tramacere, M. Castellano, F. Dubath, A. Galametz, M. Kuemmel, C. Laigle, E. Merlin, J. J. Mohr, S. Pilo, M. Salvato, S. Andreon, N. Auricchio, C. Baccigalupi, A. Balaguera-Antolínez, M. Baldi, S. Bardelli, R. Bender, A. Biviano, C. Bodendorf, D. Bonino, E. Bozzo, E. Branchini, J. Brinchmann, C. Burigana, R. Cabanac, S. Camera, V. Capobianco, A. Cappi, C. Carbone, J. Carretero, C. S. Carvalho, R. Casas, S. Casas, F. J. Castander, G. Castignani, A. Cimatti, R. Cledassou, C. Colodro-Conde, G. Congedo, C. J. Conselice, L. Conversi, Y. Copin, L. Corcione, H. M. Courtois, J. G. Cuby, A. Da Silva, S. de la Torre, H. Degaudenzi, D. Di Ferdinando, M. Douspis, C. A. J. Duncan, X. Dupac, A. Ealet, G. Fabbian, M. Fabricius, S. Farrens, P. G. Ferreira, F. Finelli, P. Fosalba, N. Fourmanoit, M. Frailis, E. Franceschi, M. Fumana, S. Galeotta, B. Garilli, W. Gillard, B. Gillis, C. Giocoli, G. Gozaliasl, J. Graciá-Carpio, F. Grupp, L. Guzzo, M. Hailey, S. V. H. Haugan, W. Holmes, F. Hormuth, A. Humphrey, K. Jahnke, E. Keihanen, S. Kermiche, M. Kilbinger, C. C. Kirkpatrick, T. D. Kitching, R. Kohley, B. Kubik, M. Kunz, H. Kurki-Suonio, S. Ligori, P. B. Lilje, I. Lloro, D. Maino, E. Maiorano, O. Marggraf, K. Markovic, N. Martinet, F. Marulli, R. Massey, M. Maturi, N. Mauri, S. Maurogordato, E. Medinaceli, S. Mei, M. Meneghetti, R. B. Metcalf, G. Meylan, M. Moresco, L. Moscardini, E. Munari, S. Niemi, C. Padilla, F. Pasian, L. Patrizii, V. Pettorino, S. Pires, G. Polenta, M. Poncet, L. Popa, D. Potter, L. Pozzetti, F. Raison, A. Renzi, J. Rhodes, G. Riccio, E. Rossetti, R. Saglia, D. Sapone, P. Schneider, V. Scottez, A. Secroun, S. Serrano, C. Sirignano, G. Sirri, L. Stanco, D. Stern, F. Sureau, P. Tallada Crespí, D. Tavagnacco, A. N. Taylor, M. Tenti, I. Tereno, R. Toledo-Moreo, F. Torradeflot, L. Valenziano, J. Valiviita, T. Vassallo, M. Viel, Y. Wang, N. Welikala, L. Whittaker, A. Zacchei, G. Zamorani, J. Zoubian, and E. Zucca, "Euclid preparation. X. The Euclid photometric-redshift challenge," *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, vol. 644, p. A31, Dec. 2020.

[33] A. J. Nishizawa, B.-C. Hsieh, M. Tanaka, and T. Takata, "Photometric Redshifts for the Hyper Suprime-Cam Subaru Strategic Program Data Release 2," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2003.01511, Feb. 2020.

[34] S. J. Schmidt, A. I. Malz, J. Y. H. Soo, I. A. Almosallam, M. Brescia, S. Cavuoti, J. Cohen-Tanugi, A. J. Connolly, J. DeRose, P. E. Freeman, M. L. Graham, K. G. Iyer, M. J. Jarvis, J. B. Kalmbach, E. Kovacs, A. B. Lee, G. Longo, C. B. Morrison, J. A. Newman, E. Nourbakhsh, E. Nuss, T. Pospisil, H. Tranin, R. H. Wechsler, R. Zhou, R. Izbicki, and LSST Dark Energy Science Collaboration, "Evaluation of probabilistic photometric redshift estimation approaches for The Rubin Observatory Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST)," *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, vol. 499, pp. 1587–1606, Dec. 2020.

[35] D. C. Masters, D. K. Stern, J. G. Cohen, P. L. Capak, J. D. Rhodes, F. J. Castander, and S. Paltani, "The Complete Calibration of the Color-Redshift Relation (C3R2) Survey: Survey Overview and Data Release 1," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 841, p. 111, June 2017.

[36] D. C. Masters, D. K. Stern, J. G. Cohen, P. L. Capak, S. A. Stanford, N. Hernitschek, A. Galametz, I. Davidzon, J. D. Rhodes, D. Sanders, B. Mobasher, F. Castander, K. Pruett,

The reference set combines deep photometric redshifts with several higher-confidence surveys.

and S. Fotopoulou, "The Complete Calibration of the Color-Redshift Relation (C3R2) Survey: Analysis and Data Release 2," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 877, p. 81, June 2019.

[37] G. Hasinger, P. Capak, M. Salvato, A. J. Barger, L. L. Cowie, A. Faisst, S. Hemmati, Y. Kakazu, J. Kartaltepe, D. Masters, B. Mobasher, H. Nayyeri, D. Sanders, N. Z. Scoville, H. Suh, C. Steinhardt, and F. Yang, "The DEIMOS 10k spectroscopic survey catalog of the COSMOS field," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 858, p. 77, may 2018.

[38] M. Kriek, A. E. Shapley, N. A. Reddy, B. Siana, A. L. Coil, B. Mobasher, W. R. Freeman, L. de Groot, S. H. Price, R. Sanders, I. Shivaei, G. B. Brammer, I. G. Momcheva, R. E. Skelton, P. G. van Dokkum, K. E. Whitaker, J. Aird, M. Azadi, M. Kassis, J. S. Bullock, C. Conroy, R. Dav é , D. Kere, and M. Krumholz, "The mosfire deep evolution field (mosdef) survey: Rest-frame optical spectroscopy for ∼1500 h-selected galaxies at," *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, vol. 218, p. 15, may 2015.

[39] A. van der Wel, R. Bezanson, F. D ' Eugenio, C. Straatman, M. Franx, J. van Houdt, M. V. Maseda, A. Gallazzi, P.-F. Wu, C. Pacifici, I. Barisic, G. B. Brammer, J. C. Munoz-Mateos, S. Vervalcke, S. Zibetti, D. Sobral, A. de Graaff, J. Calhau, Y. Kaushal, A. Muzzin, E. F. Bell, and P. G. van Dokkum, "The large early galaxy astrophysics census (lega-c) data release 3: 3000 high-quality spectra of ks-selected galaxies at z>0.6," *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, vol. 256, p. 44, oct 2021.

A Appendix - Dataset Composition

We detail the data utilized in this work here.

Name	Category	Reference
COSMOS2015	photo-z	[22]
PRIMUS	prism-z	[21]
3D-HST	grism-z	[20]
SDSS DR16	spec-z	[19]
C3R2	spec-z	[35, 36]
DEIMOS	spec-z	[37]
MOSDEF	spec-z	[38]
LEGA-C	spec-z	[39]

Table 2: Reference redshift catalogs in this work. COSMOS2015 provides unique photo-z samples from 30-band photometry.