We built a concept-level map of nearly the entire astro-ph literature.

AstroMLab 5: Structured Summaries and Concept Extraction for 400,000 Astrophysics Papers

Abstracts tell one story; research agents need the paper's ideas separated into usable pieces.

Yuan-Sen Ting[1], **Alberto Accomazzi**[2], **Tirthankar Ghosal**[3], **Tuan Dung Nguyen**[4], **Rui Pan**[5], **Zechang Sun**[6], **Tijmen de Haan**[7]


[1]The Ohio State University, [2]Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, [3]Oak Ridge National Laboratory, [4]University of Pennsylvania, [5]University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, [6]Tsinghua University, [7]KEK

arXiv:2511.12353v1 [astro-ph.IM] 15 Nov 2025


Abstract

We present a dataset of 408,590 astrophysics papers from arXiv (astro-ph), spanning 1992 through July 2025. Each paper has been processed through a multi-stage pipeline to produce: (1) structured summaries organized into six semantic sections (Background, Motivation, Methodology, Results, Interpretation, Implication), and (2) concept extraction yielding 9,999 unique concepts with detailed descriptions. The dataset contains 3.8 million paper-concept associations and includes semantic embeddings for all concepts. Comparison with traditional ADS keywords reveals that the concepts provide denser coverage and more uniform distribution across papers. The combined structured summaries and concept annotations enable semantically dispersed within papers—enabling discovery through multiple diverse entry points. Concept vocabulary and embeddings are publicly released at https://github.com/tingyuansen/astro-ph_knowledge_graph.

The result is 3.8 million links between papers and concepts.



one paper, different entry points



1 Introduction

A frontier application of large language models is their deployment as autonomous agents that reason about scientific literature, plan research strategies, and execute multi-step retrieval tasks (Lewis et al., 2020; Wang and Zeng, 2025). Such systems, already demonstrated in materials science and chemistry for autonomous experimentation (Szymanski et al., 2023; Boiko et al., 2023; Bran et al., 2023; Caldas Ramos et al., 2024), require structured knowledge representations to function—moving beyond language processing to operate on semantically organized information. While LLMs can process raw text, their utility as research agents depends on access to curated intermediate representations that bridge unstructured documents and formal knowledge structures (Lewis et al., 2020).

Astronomy presents an advantageous testing ground: most papers are archived on arXiv (astro-ph since 1992), and the open-sky policy enables databases to link astronomical objects directly to papers. The combination of papers, observed objects, and their properties provides an ecosystem where structured representations could enable agentic research and autonomous discovery.

However, text as a modality remains undercurated in the astronomy literature. Current resources are either too complete (full source, which is difficult to extract insights) or too sparse (abstracts only). Both extremes limit downstream applications. Useful scientific ideas emerge from holistic understanding of concepts rather than direct parsing of words, yet this is how humans engage with literature. Keywords were developed to bridge this gap, but when present, are rarely mapped to controlled vocabularies like the Unified Astronomy Thesaurus (UAT) and exhibit sparsity—most keywords appear in very few papers and many papers have very few keywords, rendering them unsuitable for systematic analysis.

LLMs can extract such structured representations from papers, but this is compute-intensive. Individual researchers performing this task separately would waste computational resources. A single, centralized effort provides economies of scale and ensures consistency across the literature.

To address this, we organize all astro-ph papers into structured summaries and concepts—two intermediate layers that bridge the gap between raw text and knowledge representation. Our work builds on recent developments in applying LLMs to astronomical research, including domain-specific models like AstroLLaMA (Pan et al., 2024) and AstroSage (de Haan et al., 2025), complementary efforts in knowledge graph construction (Sun et al., 2024; Kau et al., 2024), and the development of recommender systems (Geng et al., 2022; Chu et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023; Vats et al., 2024). We present a comprehensive dataset spanning 408,590 papers with 9,999 unique concepts, their semantic embeddings, and structured summaries.

The expensive part was doing this consistently once, rather than letting everyone repeat it badly.

The pipeline first reads difficult PDFs in chunks, then reorganizes their contents by scientific role.

LaTeX source sounds cleaner, but custom macros make uniform parsing surprisingly brittle.

2 PDF to Text: OCR Pipeline

Converting astrophysics PDFs to machine-readable text presents challenges due to the prevalence of mathematical equations, multi-column layouts, and figures with embedded captions. We chose to use OCR rather than LaTeX source files because LaTeX sources are not uniformly structured across papers and many papers use custom macros that complicate parsing.

Our pipeline initially used Nougat (Blecher et al., 2023)[1], an academic document OCR model that converts PDFs to markdown format for ease of processing. Processing each paper requires approximately 1 minute on a V100 GPU, representing a substantial computational investment—processing over 350,000 papers required about 6,000 V100 GPU-hours. Starting in November 2024, we transitioned to Mathpix OCR API[2] as it proved more reliable than Nougat.

Both tools struggle with Mathpix preserving mathematical notation in LaTeX format while Nougat outputs OCR output. For section detection, Nougat outputs markdown headers (`###`) while Mathpix preserves LaTeX section commands (`\section`). The transition to Mathpix was motivated by Nougat's occasional failure mode where approximately 1 in 500 pages would produce repetitive text; such corrupted pages are naturally excluded during the summarization stage, though this may result in missing information at a subdominant level. For Mathpix OCR (covering approximately 50,000 papers from November 2024 onward), author team inspection of randomly sampled pages revealed no systematic OCR errors at levels that would impact summary quality.


3 Multi-Stage Summarization

3.1 Chunk-Based Compression

During early development, we found that processing entire papers at once led to incomplete summaries, with LLMs often omitting important details or providing superficial coverage. This was problematic for generating organized summaries with properly populated sections—methodological details, for instance, were frequently underrepresented. Processing single abstracts typically missed useful information like detailed derivations and technical implementation specifics. This motivated our chunk-based approach, which processes papers in manageable segments while maintaining context across chunks.

We split each paper into approximately 10,000-character chunks using section-aware boundaries to avoid mid-sentence breaks. Papers are split at section boundaries (detecting either markdown headers from Nougat or `\section` commands from Mathpix), with adjacent small sections merged up to the 10,000-character limit. Each chunk is sequentially compressed with context from previously compressed chunks, ensuring coherence across the full paper. This approach increases token costs several-fold compared to single-pass processing, but when this project started in late 2023, this was necessary to achieve adequate quality. We maintained this approach for subsequently processed papers to ensure consistency.

Whole-paper prompting repeatedly buried methods, so we paid the extra cost for chunk-wise compression.



The compression system prompt emphasizes: (1) retaining LaTeX formulas, (2) focusing on motivations and methods, (3) highlighting key results and connections to other works, (4) using technical jargon for expert readers, and (5) excluding publication info, publication info, publication info,

We convert free-form concept suggestions into one controlled vocabulary by embedding and clustering them.

provides finer granularity than traditional keyword systems (where author-supplied keywords typically number 3-5 per paper) while remaining tractable for LLM extraction. The system prompt emphasizes: (1) identifying innovations and novel methods, (2) covering both scientific concepts (observational phenomena, theoretical frameworks) and technological concepts (computational techniques, instrumentation), and (3) avoiding generic field names or overly specific parameters.

This approach leverages the capacity of modern language models (Achiam et al., 2023; Beltagy et al., 2019; Ting et al., 2025) to understand domain-specific scientific contexts. Each concept includes three components: a **Name** (3-4 word concise label), a **Class** (Cosmology & Nongalactic Physics, High Energy Astrophysics, Instrumental Design, Galaxy Physics, Numerical Simulation, Statistics & AI, Solar & Stellar Physics, or Earth & Planetary Science), and a **Description** (∼100-word technical explanation). The final concept vocabulary was generated homogeneously using a combination of GPT-4o and o1-mini to ensure consistency across all papers.

4.2 Vocabulary Construction and Clustering

LLM-extracted concepts lack a priori control over consistency—different papers may use different terminology for the same concept, and there is no guarantee of controlled vocabulary. To address this, following the methodology of Sun et al. (2024), we employ a multi-stage clustering process. For each extracted concept in each paper, we combine the organized summary with the concept name to generate detailed descriptions. These descriptions are then embedded using OpenAI's text-embedding-3-large model. We perform K-means clustering (k=10,000) in the cosine similarity space to consolidate similar concepts, merging semantically equivalent entries into single unified entries. The clustering maximizes inter-cluster distances while grouping semantically similar extractions. The clustering process synthesizes new unified concept descriptions that capture the full semantic range across papers.

We experimented with different vocabulary granularities in k-means space (3,000, 10,000, and 30,000 concepts). We found 10,000 concepts to provide the most useful balance. All 10,000 concepts and descriptions were manually reviewed by the author team, during which one null concept (representing rare OCR failure cases) was identified and removed, leaving the final vocabulary of 9,999. The concepts have been used in various downstream analyses (Sections 5-6) providing ongoing validation. Given the dataset scale, our validation strategy prioritized full vocabulary review over exhaustive paper-by-paper evaluation, and users should exercise appropriate scrutiny when employing the dataset for specific applications.

Category	Count
Cosmology & Nongalactic Physics	2,192
High Energy Astrophysics	1,606
Instrumental Design	1,295
Galaxy Physics	1,267
Numerical Simulation	1,050
Statistics & AI	1,020
Solar & Stellar Physics	930
Earth & Planetary Science	639

Table 1: Distribution of 9,999 concepts across research categories.

broad domains, not the discovery vocabulary itself

9,999 after removing one null cluster

Each concept retains its detailed description synthesized from multiple papers, providing more context than typical keyword systems. The concept distribution across categories is shown in Table 1. Each concept appears in an average of 383 papers (median: 223), making them statistically robust while maintaining sufficient specificity. As we will see in Section 5, this granularity avoids both the overly broad categories and overly specific identifiers that plague traditional keyword systems.

5 Quality Evaluation

5.1 Comparison with Traditional Keywords

To evaluate our concept vocabulary, we compare it with traditional ADS keywords extracted via the NASA ADS API for all 408,590 papers. ADS keywords are author-supplied and not systematically checked against controlled vocabularies like the Unified Astronomy Thesaurus. We performed curation by removing arXiv classification keywords (e.g., "Astrophysics - Cosmology"), normalizing to lowercase, and filtering overly common keywords (>20,000 occurrences) and rare keywords (<10 occurrences). After curation, ADS keywords cover 73% of papers (298,658) with 6,909 unique keywords and 1.27M associations.

Figure 1 shows two key differences. First, ADS keywords suffer from severe sparsity: 44% of papers have ≤3 keywords and 62% have ≤4 keywords—insufficient for effective semantic search or recommendation systems. This primarily reflects different generation mechanisms: author-

Without consolidation, synonyms would masquerade as distinct discoveries and fragment every count.

illustrative descriptions

measuring stellar ages

estimating stellar ages

same idea

dust extinction

one unified entry

different idea

Ten thousand was empirical: 3,000 felt blunt, while 30,000 became needlessly brittle.

We manually reviewed every cluster label, but not every one of 3.8 million assignments.

Compared with ADS keywords, our concepts cover papers consistently and avoid both frequency extremes.




Figure 1: Distribution of keywords/concepts per paper (left) and frequency distribution (right). ADS keywords show high sparsity with many papers having few keywords, while our concepts provide consistent coverage. The frequency distribution (right) reveals a large pile-up of overly generic terms and an extended tail of overly specific identifiers, while our concepts maintain more balanced intermediate granularity.

Consistency matters because missing labels silently become missing papers in recommendation systems.

supplied keywords are known to be sparse partly because authors often do not systematically check controlled vocabularies, and different journals have varying keyword standards. In contrast, our extraction prompt explicitly requests approximately 10 concepts per paper, achieving complete coverage: all 408,590 papers have structured summaries and concept associations. The average paper has 9.4 concepts (median: 10) concepts per paper with a small dispersion.

Beyond coverage, our concepts exhibit more balanced frequency distribution. While ADS keywords suffer from extreme imbalances—most common keywords like "galaxies: evolution" appear in 16,321 papers while 2,658 keywords (38% of the vocabulary) appear in only 10-20 papers—our concepts maintain intermediate granularity. Table 2 illustrates this problem: the most common keywords represent overly broad field categories with limited discriminative power, while rare keywords are often object-specific identifiers (e.g., "grb 080319b") rather than research themes.


Keyword	Papers	%
Most Common (Overly Broad)		
galaxies: evolution	16,321	5.5
galaxies: active	14,121	4.7
accretion	12,540	4.2
methods: numerical	12,510	4.2
stars: formation	9,172	3.1
dark matter	9,032	3.0
methods: data analysis	8,384	2.8
galaxies: formation	8,313	2.8
Rare (Overly Specific) - 2,658 keywords with 10-20 papers		
gamma-ray burst: individual: grb 080319b		
stars: individual: alphanumeric: hd 209458		
galaxies: individual: alphanumeric: ngc 1275		
pulsars: individual: alphanumeric: psr j1614-2230		
x-rays: binaries: individual: alphanumeric: cygnus x-1		

Table 2: Examples of overly broad and overly specific ADS keywords. The most common keywords represent broad field categories with limited discriminative power, while rare keywords are often object-specific with minimal value for thematic analysis.

In contrast, our concepts balance these extremes through systematic curation and maintain semantic meaningfulness across all frequency ranges, through the clustering and consolidation process. Table 3 demonstrates this: high-frequency concepts represent general methodologies applicable across subfields (e.g., "Monte Carlo Simulations" with 13,671 papers) that retain semantic specificity and discriminative power for retrieval, medium-frequency concepts capture well-established research areas (e.g., "Stellar Evolution Models" with 2,751 papers), and low-frequency concepts identify emerging or specialized topics while remaining thematic rather than object-specific (e.g., "Interpretable Machine Learning in Astronomy" with 49 papers). The median concept appears in 223 papers—more balanced than the median of 28 for ADS keywords.

Object identifiers are useful for lookup, but poor units for tracing scientific themes.

These limitations of traditional keywords make content-based recommendation systems difficult to implement. This dataset provides an alternative that enables more robust semantic search and recommendation algorithms, which may be useful for platforms like NASA ADS. Our concept vocabulary includes many terms not present in the UAT, including in emerging areas like deep learning ap-



Useful concepts remain scientifically meaningful whether they are common, established, or specialized.

Frequency	Concept	Papers	Class
High	Monte Carlo Simulations	13,671	Numerical Simulation
	N-Body Simulation Dynamics	12,041	Numerical Simulation
	Astronomical Spectral Energy Profiles	9,590	Galaxy Physics
	Cosmic Microwave Background	9,166	Cosmology & Nongalactic Physics
Medium-High	Stellar Evolution Models	2,751	Solar & Stellar Physics
	Dynamic Cosmological Constant	2,692	Cosmology & Nongalactic Physics
	Galaxy Morphological Study	2,485	Galaxy Physics
	High-Redshift Quasars	1,626	Cosmology & Nongalactic Physics
Medium	Gravitational Lensing Surveys	278	Instrumental Design
	CMB Simulation Methodologies	276	Numerical Simulation
	Marginalization in Bayesian Inference	268	Statistics & AI
	Neural Inference Methods	202	Statistics & AI
Low	[Interpretable Machine Learning in Astronomy]	49	Statistics & AI
	Neutrino-Driven Supernova Simulations	48	Numerical Simulation
	Exoplanetary Companion Systems	44	Solar & Stellar Physics
	Gravitational Wavefront Interactions	35	Cosmology & Nongalactic Physics

meaningful across the full frequency range

specialized, but still thematic

Table 3: Examples of our concepts across frequency ranges. Unlike traditional keywords that become meaningless at extremes (overly broad or object-specific), our concepts remain scientifically meaningful across all frequencies, maintaining thematic coherence rather than becoming object-specific identifiers.

Frequency alone is not quality; the label must still identify a reusable scientific idea.


plications in astronomy. While our concepts can be mapped to UAT for compatibility with existing systems, we also propose this vocabulary as a potential foundation for extending or complementing the UAT with contemporary research terminology.

5.2 Concepts for Discovery

Beyond coverage and frequency balance, why are concepts superior to abstracts for discovery tasks? While abstracts provide summaries of papers, they operate at a narrative level that is not optimal for discovery. Novel ideas often emerge from specific methodological details, intermediate results, or conceptual connections that are embedded within a paper but not prominently featured in its abstract. Furthermore, current language models process continuous text rather than discrete conceptual tokens, limiting their ability to generate novel hypotheses through systematic exploration of the idea space.

To demonstrate why concepts are critical for discovery, we analyze the embedding space structure of 10,000 randomly sampled papers. Each concept in our vocabulary has a detailed description (see Table 3), from which we extract embeddings using OpenAI's text-embedding-3-large. We perform the same embedding extraction for each paper's abstract and for the six individual sections of its structured summary.

Figure 2 shows UMAP projections of four representative papers—two with high concept dispersion (top row) and two with low dispersion (bottom row). The faint background represents all 9,999 other papers.



cepts in our vocabulary, providing spatial context. Even in cases labeled as "low dispersion" (bottom row), the concepts assigned to individual papers (bold gray circles with labels) remain dispersed across semantic space.

This dispersion occurs because papers contain multiple distinct ideas spanning different domains. For example, the top-right panel shows a paper on fractional cosmology that discusses concepts including "Hubble Data Analysis Diagnostics", "Variational Principles in Physics", "Fractional Calculus in Physics", and "Riccati Equations in Physics"—concepts that occupy distant regions of semantic space, bridging observational analysis, theoretical cosmology, and mathematical physics. Such concepts cannot be recovered from abstract embeddings alone; some are embedded deeply in methodological sections and never explicitly mentioned in abstracts. In stark contrast, the six summary sections (colored diamonds) and abstract (gold star) cluster tightly together in all cases, as all sections describe the same paper from different angles—they are semantically coherent because they narrate a single research story.

Concepts are discovery handles, while summaries supply context after a paper is found.

This analysis does not diminish the value of structured summaries—quite the contrary. It reveals the complementarity of concepts and summaries in our knowledge graph. Concepts are dispersed across semantic space, assigned to papers based on diverse topical content, making them ideal for discovery. A researcher exploring "Variational Principles in Physics" can find relevant papers,

A paper's concepts spread across idea space even when its abstract and summary sections stay together.

This dispersion is the point: each distant concept offers another legitimate route into the paper.




Figure 2: UMAP projections of concept (grey symbols) and summary embeddings (colored diamonds) and the abstract (gold star) for four representative papers. Faint gray background shows all 9,999 concepts in the vocabulary. Even papers classified as "low dispersion" (bottom row) have concepts spread across distinct semantic regions, showing that abstracts (and summaries) cannot capture the full conceptual diversity present in papers, unlike

Narrative embeddings cluster because every section serves one story, not because the sections are redundant.

all 9,999 vocabulary concepts

even if this concept appears only in a methodological subsection and not in the abstract. Summaries, conversely, cluster together because all sections describe the same paper. This narrative coherence makes them valuable for understanding context after relevant papers are identified through concept-based discovery.


6 Applications

Having established the quality advantages of our concept vocabulary, we now demonstrate its utility through two applications that leverage these properties: temporal analysis of concept emergence and co-occurrence analysis of research themes.

6.1 Temporal Evolution of Concepts

The granular and semantically meaningful nature of our concepts enables precise tracking of how ideas emerge, evolve, and connect across different research areas. This application demonstrates the value of our vocabulary for constructing knowledge graphs (Kau et al., 2024) that trace research evolution. We analyze concept emergence by identifying when each concept first appeared in at least 5 papers (a threshold ensuring stability rather than single-paper anomalies). Figure 3 shows the temporal evolution across three decades, with new concepts per year (left) and cumulative growth (right).

The declining rate of new concept emergence in



Concepts retrieve; structured summaries explain.

Concept timestamps reveal real historical change, but also artifacts of archives, thresholds, and clustering.





Figure 3: Temporal evolution of concept vocabulary across three decades. (a) Number of new concepts emerging each year (crossing the 5-paper threshold). (b) Cumulative growth of the concept vocabulary. The rapid expansion in the early years reflects foundational concepts when arXiv began. A secondary peak in 2007 corresponds to cross-listing policy changes.

Our emergence date means the fifth paper, not the first appearance or peak impertance.

recent years does not necessarily indicate reduced innovation. Several factors contribute to this pattern. First, many fields have matured, with research increasingly focused on connections between established concepts rather than entirely new topics. Second, our 5-paper threshold means concepts can appear earlier than their peak importance—for example, concepts about the Gaia mission and the James Webbs Space Telescope emerged earlier than their launch, when early planning papers crossed the threshold, despite these missions becoming prominent only after launch. Third, our clustering methodology itself may exhibit systematic bias: because clustering aims to consolidate semantically similar terms across all papers, genuinely novel concepts appearing in recent years may be merged into established clusters from earlier periods if sufficiently similar in embedding space, suppressing the apparent emergence rate.



A notable secondary peak occurred in 2007, corresponding to arXiv expanding cross-listing policies to allow papers from other disciplines to include astro-ph as a secondary category. Analysis of these 2007 concepts reveals their origin: 51% are classified as Cosmology & Nongalactic Physics and 13% as High Energy Astrophysics, dominated by theoretical topics including Loop Quantum Gravity, Holographic Duality, Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity Theories, Quantum Entanglement Entropy, Conformal Field Theory, and Type IIB and Heterotic String Theories. These reflect contributions from theoretical physics and general relativity research that began appearing in astro-ph through cross-listing.

The 2007 spike is a policy fingerprint, not a sudden burst of cosmic creativity.

Over the past decade (2015-2025), 190 new concepts emerged (Appendix B, Tables 5 and 6). Deep learning dominates recent emergence: Astronomical CNN Applications (1,676 papers), Deep Learning in Astronomy (604 papers), Residual Neural Networks (402 papers), U-Net Variants in Astronomy (373 papers), Transformer Architectures in Astronomy (185 papers), and Physics-Informed Neural Networks (120 papers). Recent concepts also include observational capabilities—including JWST Deep Extragalactic Surveys (75 papers, emerged 2022) and GW170817 Multimessenger Merger (324 papers, emerged 2017), which by our metric are considered "new" when they first crossed the 5-paper threshold, even though JWST's scientific impact continues to grow. Appendix B provides representative examples from the full list.

Recent novelty can be absorbed into older clusters, so the declining tail needs caution.

6.2 Concept Co-occurrence

While temporal analysis reveals when individual concepts emerge, understanding how concepts appear together in papers provides complementary insights into the thematic structure of research. Unlike traditional citation analysis which tracks paper-to-paper relationships, concept co-occurrence reveals how different methodologies, observations, and theories interconnect within the field, identifying which ideas are commonly explored together and how these patterns shift as the field develops.

We quantify co-occurrence using the Ochiai coefficient, which normalizes by concept popularity. Intuitively, if two concepts i and j appear together in N_{ij} papers, and appear individually in N_i and N_j papers respectively, the Ochiai coefficient is:

$$\text{Ochiai}(i,j) = \frac{N_{ij}}{\sqrt{N_i \cdot N_j}} \tag{1}$$

This normalization is important because differ-




Figure 4: **Evolution of concept co-occurrence in astrophysics.** Darker colors indicate stronger co-occurrence. (a) Early period (1992–2003): established domain structure. (b) Recent period (2023–2025): computational domains (Statistics/AI, Numerical Simulation) show increased internal coherence and enhanced cross-domain integration with traditional astrophysical domains, reflecting the field's evolution toward data-intensive research.

Equal 40,000-paper windows prevent publication growth from impersonating stronger scientific coupling.

ent subfields have vastly different publication volumes—this ensures we measure genuine conceptual relationships rather than simply reflecting which fields are most active.

Co-occurrence analysis is a rich topic with many dimensions to explore. Here we present a simple comparison between two time periods to illustrate the utility of our concept vocabulary. Figure 4 compares the earliest window (1992–2003, 40,000 papers) with the most recent window (2023–2025, 40,000 papers). Using fixed-size temporal windows removes field growth bias—later periods do not appear artificially stronger simply due to increased publication volume.

For visualization, we apply spectral clustering within each of the 8 predefined domains (Table 1) using 2025 data to identify subclusters, producing the fine-grained structure visible in Figure 4. To aggregate the 9,999×9,999 concept matrix into this manageable visualization, we compute the 10th percentile of co-occurrence scores within each subcluster block (capturing robust signal while filtering noise), and use the spread between 10th and 30th percentiles to set transparency (indicating consistency of patterns). These percentile choices enhance dynamic range: the 10th percentile provides a stable color metric that is less sensitive to outliers than the median, while the 30th-10th spread reveals whether co-occurrence within a block is consistent (low spread, high transparency) or heterogeneous (high spread, lower transparency). This hierarchical structure is held fixed across all temporal windows, enabling direct comparison.


The block summary sacrifices detail so three decades of structure fit on one page.

Ochiai normalization asks whether two ideas meet unusually often given each idea's popularity.

As shown in the figure, the technical domains—Statistics/AI, Numerical Simulation, and to some extent Instrumentation—exhibit more cross-domain interactions in the recent period compared to the early period. In the recent period, the Statistics/AI domain shows prevalent integration with all astrophysical domains, reflecting the widespread adoption of machine learning and data-driven methods across subdisciplines. The Numerical Simulation domain displays increased internal coherence, consistent with the field's growing reliance on computational methods. These patterns show that computational and statistical approaches have evolved from peripheral tools to core components of the research ecosystem.

Concepts in science domains (Galaxy Physics, High Energy, Solar/Stellar) maintain relatively stable internal structure and interdomain connections across both periods. The Cosmology domain shows notable internal growth along with increased cross-connections to High Energy. This growth is partly attributable to the 2007 cross-listing policy expansion discussed previously, which brought theoretical physics concepts into astro-ph. The Earth/Planetary domain shows increased internal coherence in the recent period, consistent with the expansion of exoplanet research enabled by missions such as *Kepler* and *TESS* in recent years.

This analysis demonstrates how our concept vocabulary enables [illegible] field evolution in ways that would be difficult or impossible with traditional keyword systems. The patterns revealed—computational integration, method-



The released resource supports search and trend analysis, while its strongest claims still need task-based evaluation.

ological shifts, and domain stability—provide empirical evidence for narratives about how astrophysics research has changed over three decades. Appendix C provides representative examples of within-domain and cross-domain concept pairs with strong co-occurrence, demonstrating fine-grained thematic structure. More sophisticated temporal analyses are beyond the scope of this paper, but the released dataset supports such investigations.

7 Dataset Release

We release the dataset on GitHub at https://github.com/tingyuansen/astro-ph_knowledge_graph which covers all astro-ph papers from 1992 through July 2025. The public release prioritizes the concept vocabulary and embeddings to enable reproducibility and support downstream applications. For structured summaries, we develop a tiered access model under policy detailed in Appendix A. The public release includes: **Concept vocabulary** as CSV with labels, names, classes, and descriptions; **concept embeddings** using text-embedding-3-large; **paper metadata** including year, arXiv ID, and ADS bibcodes; and Python scripts for data loading, verification, and analysis. A complementary **citation network** extracted from NASA ADS API is also provided, with 1.67M unique identifiers covering both internal references (between astro-ph papers) and external citations (to other disciplines). Table 4 summarizes the dataset statistics.


We prioritized vocabulary and embeddings for public reproducibility; summaries remain available by request.

The citation network adds paper-to-paper structure beside the concept links.

8 Conclusion

This work presents a dataset of 408,590 astrophysics papers from arXiv astro-ph (1992-2025) with structured six-section summaries, 9,999 AI-generated concepts with detailed descriptions, and semantic embeddings.

The key contribution is a systematically generated concept vocabulary that addresses limitations of traditional keyword systems. Unlike author-supplied ADS keywords that suffer from extreme sparsity and frequency imbalances, our AI-generated concepts provide consistent coverage across all papers with balanced distributions. Each concept includes a detailed description that preserves scientific context, enabling more effective discovery than single-word keywords. Our embedding space analysis demonstrates that concepts capture dispersed semantic information within papers that abstracts alone cannot represent, making them critical for scientific discovery rather than merely navigation.



Metric	Value
Total papers	408,590
Unique concepts	9,999
Total concept associations	3,827,232
Avg concepts per paper	9.4 (median: 10)
Avg papers per concept	383 (median: 223)

paper-concept edges

typical coverage on each side of the graph

Table 4: Summary statistics of the astro-ph knowledge graph dataset (Table 1). Average paper coverage reflects complete structured summaries, concept associations, and semantic embeddings.

Temporal analysis reveals how the concept vocabulary captures field evolution. Recent emergence (2015-2025, 190 concepts, Tables 5 and 6) is dominated by machine learning adoption, while also tracking major observational facilities and theoretical developments. Co-occurrence analysis demonstrates the increasing integration of computational domains (Statistics/AI, Numerical Simulation) with traditional astrophysical research areas, revealing the field's evolution toward data-intensive methodologies. These analyses show the vocabulary's ability to capture both enduring foundations and emerging research frontiers across three decades of astrophysics.

This dataset enables applications including semantic search systems, research trend analysis, knowledge graph construction, and training language models for scientific understanding. The combination of structured summaries, comprehensive concept vocabulary, and semantic embeddings makes this resource suitable for advancing AI-assisted scientific discovery. Recent work has demonstrated the potential of LLM agents in astronomical analysis (Sun et al., 2025; Wang and Zeng, 2025), and our structured representations provide the foundation for developing autonomous systems in astronomical research.

While this paper focuses on dataset creation and preliminary analysis, extrinsic evaluation through task-based applications is an important next step. We are actively exploring integration with recommender systems and semantic search platforms to enable concept-based paper discovery and citation network analysis. Such applications will provide quantitative evaluation of utility through user studies in production environments.

A clean dataset is not proof of usefulness, so production search studies are the next test.


We publish the full processing recipe even where proprietary model services prevent exact open-source replication.

Prompts and logic are portable; API behavior, pricing, and model versions are not.

Code and Data Availability

All code, system prompts, and data processing pipelines are publicly available at https://github.com/tingyuansen/astro-ph_knowledge_graph. This includes OCR processing scripts, multi-stage summarization prompts, concept extraction and clustering code, embedding generation, co-occurrence calculation, and data verification scripts. While the proprietary APIs are [illegible], the processing logic and prompts are fully documented to enable replication with alternative models.

This is enough to rerun the method with alternative OCR, language, and embedding models.



Acknowledgments

YST is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant AST-2406729.

This research was supported by the NSF National Artificial Intelligence Research Resource Program, the Microsoft Accelerating Foundation Models Academic Research Grant, the OpenAI Research Access Program, and the NVIDIA Academic Grant Program.

This research used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725. Additional computational resources were provided by the Australian National Computational Infrastructure.

References

Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, and 1 others. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2303.08774.

Iz Beltagy, Kyle Lo, and Arman Cohan. 2019. SciBERT: A pretrained language model for scientific text. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3615–3620, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Lukas Blecher, Guillem Cucurull, Thomas Scialom, and Robert Stojnic. 2023. Nougat: Neural optical understanding for academic documents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.13418*.

Daniil A. Boiko, Robert MacKnight, and Gabe Gomes. 2023. Emergent autonomous scientific research capabilities of large language models. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2304.05332.

Andres M Bran, Sam Cox, Oliver Schilter, Carlo Baldassari, Andrew D White, and Philippe Schwaller. 2023. ChemCrow: Augmenting large-language models with chemistry tools. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2304.05376.

Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, and 1 others. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.

Mayk Caldas Ramos, Christopher J. Collison, and Andrew D. White. 2024. A Review of Large Language Models and Autonomous Agents in Chemistry. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2407.01603.

Zhixuan Chu, Hongyan Hao, Xin Ouyang, Simeng Wang, Yan Wang, Yue Shen, Jinjie Gu, Qing Cui, Longfei Li, Siqiao Xue, James Y Zhang, and Sheng Li. 2023. Leveraging Large Language Models for Pre-trained Recommender Systems. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2308.10837.

Tijmen de Haan, Yuan-Sen Ting, Tirthankar Ghosal, Tuan Dung Nguyen, Alberto Accomazzi, Azton Wells, Nesar Ramachandra, Rui Pan, and Zechang Sun. 2025. Achieving GPT-4o level performance in astronomy with a specialized 8B-parameter large language model. *Scientific Reports*, 15(1):13751.

Shijie Geng, Shuchang Liu, Zuohui Fu, Yingqiang Ge, and Yongfeng Zhang. 2022. Recommendation as Language Processing (RLP): A Unified Pretrain, Personalized Prompt & Predict Paradigm (P5). *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2203.13366.

Amanda Kau, Xuzeng He, Aishwarya Nambissan, Aland Astudillo, Hui Yin, and Amir Aryani. 2024. Combining Knowledge Graphs and Large Language Models. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2407.06564.

Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2020. Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2005.11401.

Rui Pan, Tuan Dung Nguyen, Hardik Arora, Alberto Accomazzi, Tirthankar Ghosal, and Yuan-Sen Ting. 2024. AstroMLab 2: AstroLLaMA-2-70B Model and Benchmarking Specialised LLMs for Astronomy. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2409.19750.

Zechang Sun, Yuan-Sen Ting, Yaobo Liang, Nan Duan, Song Huang, and Zheng Cai. 2024. Knowledge Graph in Astronomical Research with Large Language Models: Quantifying Driving Forces in Interdisciplinary Scientific Discovery. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2406.01391.

These references locate the project within autonomous science, astronomical language models, retrieval, and recommendation.

Zechang Sun, Yuan-Sen Ting, Yaobo Liang, Nan Duan, Song Huang, and Zheng Cai. 2025. Mephisto: Self-Improving Large Language Model-Based Agents for Automated Interpretation of Multi-band Galaxy Observations. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2510.08354.

Nathan J. Szymanski, Bernardus Rendy, Yuxing Fei, Rishi E. Kumar, Tanjin He, David Milsted, Matthew J. McDermott, Max Gallant, Ekin Dogus Cubuk, Amil Merchant, Haegyeom Kim, Anubhav Jain, Christopher J. Bartel, Kristin Persson, Yan Zeng, and Gerbrand Ceder. 2023. An autonomous laboratory for the accelerated synthesis of novel materials. *Nature*, 624(7990):86–91.

Y. S. Ting, T. D. Nguyen, T. Ghosal, R. Pan, H. Arora, Z. Sun, T. de Haan, N. Ramachandra, A. Wells, S. Madireddy, and A. Accomazzi. 2025. AstroM-Lab 1: Who wins astronomy jeopardy!? *Astronomy and Computing*, 51:100893.

Arpita Vats, Vinija Jain, Rahul Raja, and Aman Chadha. 2024. Exploring the Impact of Large Language Models on Recommender Systems: An Extensive Review. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2402.18590.

He Wang and Liang Zeng. 2025. Automated Algorithmic Discovery for Gravitational-Wave Detection Guided by LLM-Informed Evolutionary Monte Carlo Tree Search. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2508.03661.

Zihuai Zhao, Wenqi Fan, Jiatong Li, Yunqing Liu, Xiaowei Mei, Yiqi Wang, Zhen Wen, Fei Wang, Xiangyu Zhao, Jiliang Tang, and Qing Li. 2023. Recommender Systems in the Era of Large Language Models (LLMs). *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2307.02046.

The relevant lineage is deliberately mixed: domain models alone do not create structured scientific memory.

A structured summary separates what motivated a study from what it did, found, and concluded.

These summaries average about 740 words—long enough for methods, short enough for systematic use.

A Example Structured Summary

This appendix provides a representative example of our structured summaries to demonstrate their comprehensive nature and systematic organization. Unlike traditional abstracts that prioritize brevity, our summaries (typically 600-900 words, averaging 740 words) systematically separate six semantic sections: Background (observational and theoretical context), Motivation (scientific questions and goals), Methodology (technical approach and data), Results (empirical findings), Interpretation (theoretical analysis), and Implication (broader significance). This structure enables targeted information retrieval—a researcher can directly access methodological details or theoretical interpretations without reading the entire paper. While paper summarization is now routine with LLMs, we provide these structured summaries upon request rather than through public release out of caution at this scale (0.4M papers). Researchers interested in accessing the summaries should contact the authors.

Six headings make targeted retrieval possible without pretending every reader needs the same information.

Title: Usco1606-1935: An unusually wide low-mass triple system?

Author: Adam L. Kraus et al. (arXiv:0704.0455)

Background
Motivation
→ Methodology
Results
Interpretation
Implication

Background: The study of multiple star systems is crucial for understanding star formation processes. Surveys have shown that binary frequencies and properties vary significantly with mass. Solar-mass stars exhibit high binary frequencies ($>$60%) and can have separations up to $\sim 10^4$ AU. In contrast, M dwarfs have lower frequencies (30-40%) and fewer companions beyond $\sim$500 AU, while brown dwarfs show even lower frequencies ($\sim$15%) with few companions exceeding 20 AU. The observed decline in maximum binary separation with decreasing mass has been described by empirical functions, suggesting that this limit is established early in stellar lifetimes. Surveys of young stellar associations have identified a few unusually wide systems, but not enough to analyze their properties statistically.

Motivation: To address the scarcity of unusually wide low-mass systems, we utilized archival 2MASS data to search for candidate wide binary systems among known members of three nearby young associations, including Upper Sco. Our findings aim to align with the standard paradigm, revealing a deficit of wide systems among very low-mass stars and brown dwarfs, while also identifying a few candidates, such as USco1606-1935, a wide pair of stars with similar fluxes and colors. This study seeks to evaluate the probability of USco1606-1935 being an unusually wide, low-mass binary, thereby contributing to the understanding of multiple system formation and evolution in young stellar associations.

Methodology: We identified USco1606-1935 AB as a candidate binary using 2MASS data, leveraging its bright and resolved components to gather additional photometry and astrometry from various surveys, including DENIS, USNO-B, and SSS. The analysis focused on 2MASS JHK magnitudes and USNO-B I magnitudes, ensuring consistency through comparisons with DENIS data... Optical spectroscopy was conducted using the Double Spectrograph at Palomar Observatory, processing the spectrum with standard IRAF tasks and comparing it with spectral standards from Upper Sco and Taurus to confirm the spectral type. High-resolution imaging was achieved with laser guide star adaptive optics on the Keck-II telescope, obtaining nearly diffraction-limited images in both narrow and wide camera modes to measure photometry and astrometry for the components.

Results: High-resolution images revealed that USco1606-1935 A comprises two sources, Aa and Ab, with the probability of an unbound bright source near A being extremely low, suggesting that Aa and Ab form a bound binary system. Photometric data confirmed that USco1606-1935 B aligns with known members of Upper Sco, supporting its membership, although its position in color-magnitude diagrams raised questions about potential differential reddening or unresolved companions. Astrometric analysis summarized the relative positions of the system components and field stars, with proper motion indicating that B is likely a comoving member... Stellar and binary properties for the Aa-Ab and A-B systems were estimated using isochrones and temperature scales to derive component masses and spectral types.

Interpretation: Identifying pre-main sequence binaries presents challenges in distinguishing gravitationally bound pairs from coeval, comoving stars. To assess clustering among PMS stars, we calculated the two-point correlation function (TPCF), which quantifies the number of excess pairs at a given separation compared to a random distribution. Utilizing a Monte Carlo approach, the TPCF revealed significant clustering of stars at small sep-


The example retains uncertainty: the wide pair is plausible, not magically promoted to a bound system.

The appendices expose two important caveats: uncertain scientific interpretation and conservative definitions of novelty.

arations. The analysis indicated that the expected surface density of unbound companions is ∼60 deg^{-2}, suggesting a 25% chance of chance alignments among low-mass members. Consequently, while the detection of Aa and Ab as a close binary is highly probable, the physical association of Aab and B cannot be assumed based solely on probabilistic grounds, highlighting the complexity in confirming wide binary status in such systems.

A 25% chance-alignment probability is exactly the sort of nuance a conventional abstract can compress away.

Implication: If Aab and B are gravitationally bound, USco1606-1935 would represent one of the rare young multiple systems with wide separations comparable to field systems of similar mass. However, the significant probability of chance alignment necessitates caution in such classifications. This underscores the need for systematic searches for wide binaries in the Upper Sco association and similar young stellar environments to accurately determine the frequency and properties of wide, low-mass multiple systems. Enhanced observational strategies and comprehensive data analyses are essential to distinguish truly bound systems from coincidental alignments, thereby refining our knowledge of star formation and the dynamical evolution of multiple star systems.



B Recent Concept Emergence (2015-2025)

A total of 190 concepts emerged during 2015-2025, defined as crossing the 5-paper publication threshold during these years. This represents approximately 2% of our total vocabulary, reflecting the maturation of the field where new research increasingly builds connections between established concepts rather than introducing entirely new topics. Tables 5 and 6 present representative examples from this emergence, focusing on concepts that reflect genuine recent developments in astrophysics methodology, observations, and theory.

The dominance of machine learning and deep learning concepts (46 concepts emerged in 2015 alone) reflects the rapid adoption of AI methods across astrophysics during this period. Traditional methodologies like Monte Carlo simulations and N-body dynamics had already been well-established in the 1990s, but their application within modern neural network architectures represents a distinct conceptual development. The examples shown capture major observational events (GW170817 Multi-messenger Merger in 2017) and the scientific impact of new facilities (JWST Deep Extragalactic Surveys in 2022, Gaia-Sausage-Enceladus Merger in 2018).

The declining number of new concepts in very recent years (2 in 2025, 4 in 2024, 7 in 2023) reflects several factors discussed in the main text. First, many fields have matured, with research increasingly focused on connections between established concepts rather than entirely new topics. Second, our 5-paper threshold means concepts can appear earlier than their peak importance—papers from 2024-2025 have had less time to accumulate the required citations. Third, our clustering methodology may exhibit systematic bias: genuinely novel concepts appearing in recent years may be merged into established clusters from earlier periods if sufficiently similar in embedding space. However, the continued emergence of new concepts demonstrates that even mature fields continue generating new research directions.


Only 190 concepts cross our emergence threshold in 2015-2025, partly because the vocabulary is globally clustered.

C Subcluster Co-occurrence Patterns

The co-occurrence analysis in Section 6 reveals fine-grained substructure within each primary domain. Table 7 presents representative concept pairs exhibiting strong co-occurrence within domains and across domain boundaries, illustrating the thematic patterns visible in Figure 4. The Ochiai coefficients quantify co-occurrence strength normalized by concept frequency.

These patterns demonstrate the rich thematic structure within the concept vocabulary. Within-domain pairs reveal specialized research areas: cosmological theories (axion-like particles, Bianchi models), AGN dynamics (reverberation mapping, episodic jets), stellar physics (sunspot dynamics, variable stars), and computational methods (molecular spectroscopy, hydrodynamic simulations). Cross-domain pairs reveal methodological connections: cosmological dynamics linking with numerical stability analysis, radiative transfer simulations connecting Galaxy Physics with Numerical methods, neutrino and gamma-ray detection bridging High Energy physics with specialized instrumentation, helioseismology connecting Solar physics with time-series analysis, and gravitational wave template matching linking Numerical simulations with statistical inference methods.

Co-occurrence complements citations by connecting ideas used together, even when papers do not cite one another.



The first recent-emergence table captures machine learning's rapid arrival alongside new observational phenomena and instruments.

rapid methodological adoption


The 2015 concentration reflects adoption timing, not the invention dates of these algorithms.

Concept	Papers	Concept	Papers
2015			
Astronomical CNN Applications	1676	Extremely Randomized Trees	50
Deep Learning in Astronomy	604	Odd Radio Circles	46
Astronomical Data Augmentation	403	Planetary Similarity Metrics	41
Autoencoder Architectures	281	Global 21-cm Signal	38
Astronomical Transfer Learning	273	Planetary Weather Simulation Systems	37
Exoplanet Atmospheric Retrieval Systems	162	MeerKAT Data Pipelines	32
Cosmic Reionization Simulations	144	CMB Interaction Effects	25
Precision-Recall Evaluation	127	Millimeter-Wave Technology Integration	22
Skill Score Metrics	108	Protostellar Evolutionary Metrics	18
Rapid Bayesian Sky Localization	84	Gravitational Wave Data Systems	17
Astronomical Anomaly Detection Pipelines	54	Plasma Momentum Dynamics	15
Nuclear Matter Meta-Modeling	54	CORDIC-based Signal Processing	13
Detection Metric Balance	53	Nonlinear Supersymmetry and Gravity Theories	9
2016			
Gravitational Wave Mergers	202	Planetary Robotic Mobility Systems	43
Exoplanet Radiative Transfer Codes	198	Non-Minimal Coupling Models	31
Recurrent Neural Networks	169	Trust Region Optimization Methods	29
t-SNE and Topological Data Analysis	157	OPTICS Clustering Techniques	28
No-U-Turn Sampling	117	Low-Noise Transistor Technologies	26
Astronomical Classification Techniques	106	Snow Uncertainty Mitigation in Ice Detection	26
Synthetic Minority Oversampling	88	Infrared Stellar Outbursts	22
Data-Driven Spectral Inference	86	Asteroid Exploration Missions	21
Joule-Thomson Thermodynamics	77	Solar ALMA Integration	14
Sub-Threshold Signal Analysis	57		
Continuous Wave Detection Algorithms	54		
Mars Atmospheric and Thermal Studies	44		
2017			
Residual Neural Networks	402	Interstellar Object Dynamics	100
GW170817 Multimessenger Merger	324	Probabilistic Neural Networks	100
S8 Clustering Discrepancy	240	Batch Normalization in Neural Networks	78
Adversarial Neural Architectures	196	Thermal Protection Systems	34
DHOST Theories	167	Titan Aeolian Dynamics Exploration	29
Deep Learning Frameworks	159	SOXS Optical and Control Architecture	23
Graph Neural Networks in Astronomy	121		
Electron Lepton Number Dynamics	102		
Kilonova Emission Modeling	101		
2018			
U-Net Variants in Astronomy	373	Rapid Blue Transients	41
Gaia-Sausage-Enceladus Merger	215	Particle Spray Simulation	38
LSTM Neural Architectures	160	Dirac-Fermion Stars	37
PHANGS Astronomical Surveys	118	FLASK Cosmological Simulation and Web Framework	31
Inception-Based Neural Networks	96	Protoplanetary Disk Substructure Research	29
EDGES 21-cm Anomaly	56	SPHINX Cosmological Simulations	28
Astronomical Data Sonification	52		
Interpretable Machine Learning in Astronomy	49		
CubeSat Scientific Missions	43		
Remote Sensing Indices and Nighttime Imaging	42		

event-driven concept emergence

survey-enabled discovery

Table 5: Recent concept emergence (2015-2018): Part 1 showing representative examples. Concepts sorted by total papers within each year.



This list mixes methods, events, surveys, and theories because concept extraction follows scientific use.

Later emergence shifts toward advanced inference architectures, new facilities, and increasingly sparse recent counts.

Concept	Papers	Concept	Papers
2019			
Probabilistic Transformation Flows	288	VGG-based Neural Networks	63
Neural Inference Methods	202	SH0ES Hubble Constant Measurement	45
Variational Autoencoders	176	Dataset Tension and Suspiciousness Metrics	37
Quantum Entanglement Islands	139	Neutrino Event Reconstruction Methods	30
[Physics-Informed Neural Networks]	120	Primordial Black Hole Dynamics	26
Explainable AI Visualization Techniques	79	Lyman-Alpha Tomography	26
Deep Learning for Astronomy	79	Atmospheric Refraction and Polarimetry Models	11
Astronomy-Focused AI Language Models	69	Helium Suppression Phenomena	8
Commensal Radio Astronomy Surveys	67		
2020			
Advanced Attention Mechanisms	154	Gaia Black Hole Binaries	22
Barrow Entropy in Cosmology	77	ALMA Protoplanetary Chemistry Studies	15
Yebes 40m QUIJOTE Survey	76	Seismic Noise Mitigation for Gravitational Observatories	11
Satellite Brightness Mitigation	61		
Bern Planetary Formation Model	25		
2021			
[Transformer Architectures in Astronomy]	185	T-ReX Cosmic Analysis	19
Astronomical Image Datasets	36	YSO Characterization Techniques	9
Photon Propagation Simulations	21		
2022			
[JWST Deep Extragalactic Surveys]	75	Cosmology Data Efficiency Techniques	13
Lyman-Alpha Forest Correlations	19	Stingray Astrophysical Analysis	11
Lorentz Violation in High-Energy Phenomena	13		
2023			
Astrochemical Molecular Analysis	8	Pulsar Signal Analysis Methods	6
2024			
Adaptive Neural Architectures	17	Galactic Foreground Contamination	10
Distributed Sampling Efficiency	12		
2025			
Rotating Outflow Dynamics	6		


Table 6: Recent concept emergence (2019-2025): Part 2 showing representative examples.

Transformer and physics-informed methods appear as distinct concepts only after astronomy adopts them repeatedly.

facility-linked emergence

recent, therefore incomplete

The thinnest recent years are right-censored: they have had less time to cross five papers.



not enough time yet

Strong concept pairs reveal both specialized subfields and the methodological bridges joining them.

strongest displayed partnership

High within-domain scores recover recognizable research niches rather than arbitrary embedding neighbors.


Domain Pair	Concept 1	Concept 2	Ochiai
	Within-Domain Co-occurrence		
Cosmology & Nongalactic	Axion-Like Particle Phenomenon	Photon-ALP Oscillations	0.594
	Complexity-Volume Conjecture	Holographic Complexity	0.538
	Anisotropic Cosmology	Bianchi Cosmological Models	0.420
	Einstein-Cartan Theories	Spacetime Torsion Dynamics	0.406
Galaxy Physics	AGN Reverberation Mapping	Broad-Line Region Dynamics	0.377
	Double-Double Radio Galaxies	Episodic AGN Jet Activity	0.368
	Galactic Pattern Speeds	Tremaine-Weinberg Methods	0.360
	Quasar Broad Absorption Dynamics	Quasar Outflow Dynamics	0.347
High Energy Astrophysics	Superhump Dynamics	SU UMa-Type Dwarf Nova Superoutbursts	0.560
	Double Degenerate SN Progenitors	Single Degenerate SN Progenitors	0.455
	GZK Cosmic Ray Limit	Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays	0.447
	Black Hole Entropy Dynamics	Quantum Entanglement Islands	0.434
Solar & Stellar Physics	Sunspot Flow Dynamics	Sunspot Penumbra Dynamics	0.516
	Cepheid Variable Stars	Variable Star Distance Scaling	0.421
	Blazhko Effect Dynamics	RR Lyrae Stars	0.386
	Standard Solar Model	Solar Neutrino Dynamics	0.378
Earth & Planetary Science	Light Pollution Dynamics	Night Sky Brightness Quantification	0.562
	Extraterrestrial Signal Assessment	Technosignature Detection	0.450
	Graphene Curvature Dynamics	Graphene Quantum Analogues	0.428
	Geomagnetic Activity Metrics	Geomagnetic Storm Dynamics	0.404
Numerical Simulation	Molecular Dipole Moments	Molecular Spectroscopy Computation	0.317
	Molecular Spectroscopy Computation	Partition Functions in Astrophysics	0.292
	Astrophysical Hydrodynamic Simulations	FARGO Numerical Simulation Suite	0.280
	Potential Energy Surfaces	Quantum Coupled Interactions	0.279
Instrumental Design	Acoustic Neutrino Detection	Underwater Acoustic Positioning Systems	0.368
	Satellite Brightness Mitigation	Satellite Astronomical Interference	0.353
	Atmospheric Seeing Instrumentation	Atmospheric Turbulence Dynamics	0.348
	Axion Haloscope Detection	Resonant Cavity Systems	0.333
AI/Statistics	Neural Inference Methods	Simulation-Based Inference	0.491
	Transformer Architectures in Astronomy	Advanced Attention Mechanisms	0.432
	Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics	Nonextensive Tsallis Thermodynamics	0.343
	Astronomical CNN Applications	Astronomical Data Augmentation	0.296
	Cross-Domain Co-occurrence		
Cosmology ↔ Numerical Simulation	Cosmological Dynamical Systems	Fixed and Critical Points Stability	0.417
	Poisson Sprinkling in Causal Sets	Causal Set Quantum Gravity	0.375
	Fuzzy Dark Matter Mechanics	Schrödinger-Poisson Dynamics	0.346
	Bose-Einstein Condensate Phenomena	Gross-Pitaevskii-Poisson Dynamics	0.309
Galaxy ↔ Numerical Simulation	Sersic Light Distribution	Galaxy Modeling Software	0.208
	Lyman Alpha Line Profiles	Lyman Alpha Radiative Transfer	0.204
	Ionization State Dynamics	Photoionization Models	0.201
	Gas-Grain Surface Chemistry	Astrochemical Modeling Systems	0.196
High Energy ↔ Instrumental	High-Energy Cosmic Neutrinos	IceCube Neutrino Observatory	0.403
	Black Hole Shadow Phenomenon	Global Interferometric BH Imaging	0.340
	Very High Energy Gamma Rays	Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes	0.259
	Cosmic Ray Air Showers	Cosmic Ray Radio Detection	0.255
Solar/Stellar ↔ AI/Statistics	Skill Score Metrics	Solar Cycle and Flare Prediction	0.302
	Helioseismic Signal Correlations	Helioseismic Travel-Time Kernels	0.296
	Stellar Flare Frequency Dynamics	Automated Flare Detection	0.279
	Mass-to-Flux Ratio Dynamics	Davis-Chandrasekhar-Fermi Method	0.233
Earth/Planetary ↔ Instrumental	Meteor Stream Dynamics	Global Meteor Observation Networks	0.358
	VLF/ULF Electromagnetic Phenomena	VLF Electromagnetic Observation Systems	0.357
	Mesospheric Sodium Layer Dynamics	Guide Stars in Adaptive Optics	0.293
	Meteoroid Trajectory Analysis	Global Meteor Observation Networks	0.274
Numerical ↔ AI/Statistics	Cellular Automaton Systems	Self-Organized Criticality	0.239
	Gravitational Wave Template Banks	Gravitational Wave Matched Filtering	0.213
	Kernel-Based Seismic Inversion	Regularized Inversion Methods	0.180
	Poincaré Analysis	Lyapunov Measures in Chaos	0.172

Ochiai scores reward repeated partnership, not merely two individually popular concepts.


science-method bridges

Table 7: Representative concept co-occurrence patterns within and across primary domains. Within-domain pairs show specialized research themes with multiple representative examples per domain from actual co-occurrence analysis. Cross-domain pairs reveal methodological connections between fields, including the integration of computational and statistical methods with traditional astrophysics domains. All pairs extracted from empirical co-occurrence across 408,590 papers (1992-2025) using Ochiai normalization.

specialized theme



methodological connection



Cross-domain pairs are especially useful because they expose where instruments and methods enter the science.