

Phoenix Advantage Charter Sch

BILLED ENTITY 97115 • ARIZONA • FUNDING REPORT FY1998-2025

3738 N 16th St, Phoenix, AZ 85016 • 280 students • 280 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	—	—	—	—	\$39K	\$41K	\$29K	—	—	\$5K	—	—	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$9K
Average Discount Rate	—	—	—	88%	88%	87%	88%	88%	—	70%	—	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	1	1	1	1	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	—	—	—	2	2	2	3	2	—	1	—	4	3	3	5	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000	51	—	50	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000	51	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$8K	\$8K	\$4K	\$9K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$29K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	—	—	—	\$26K	\$27K	—	—	—	\$3K	—	—	\$530	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$105
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	\$13K	\$13K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	—	—	—	—	\$26K	\$27K	—	—	—	\$5K	—	—	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$9K
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	—	\$13K	\$13K	\$29K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$105
Total	—	—	—	—	\$39K	\$41K	\$29K	—	—	\$5K	—	—	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$9K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Fruth Group	—	—	—	—	\$13K	\$13K	\$29K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Metropolitan Telecommunications Holding Company	—	—	—	—	\$26K	\$27K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cox Arizona Telcom, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$5K	—	—	\$8K	\$8K	—	—
Atx Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Integra Telecom Of Oregon, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$4K	\$8K
Cbe Technologies, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$105
Sprint Communications Company L.P.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mci Communications Corporation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sprint Spectrum, L.P.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$790
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$530	—	—	—

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)																
Recipient	Address			City			Discount Rate			Students		NSLP		NSLP % Urban/Rural		
Phoenix Advantage Charter Sch	3738 N 16th St 85016			Phoenix			90%			280		280		100% Urban		

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Phoenix Advantage Charter Sch (continued)

BEN 97115 • AZ

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$19K	\$8K	\$7K	\$4K	—	—	\$9K	—	—	—	—	—
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	—	90%	90%	—	90%	—	90%	—
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	3	1	1	1	—	1	1	—	3	—	2	—
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	\$1K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	\$11K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$6K	\$8K	\$7K	\$4K	—	—	\$9K	—	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$4K	—	—	\$9K	—	—	—	—	—
Equipment Category 2	\$11K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$19K	\$8K	\$7K	\$4K	—	—	\$9K	—	—	—	—	—

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Fruth Group	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Metropolitan Telecommunications Holding Company	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Atx Communications	\$6K	\$8K	\$7K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Integra Telecom Of Oregon, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cbe Technologies, Inc.	\$11K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sprint Communications Company L.P.	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$9K	—	—	—	—	—
Mci Communications Corporation	—	—	—	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sprint Spectrum, L.P.	\$1K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, LLC	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.