E-RATE EXPLORER · TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Alisal Union Elem Sch District

BILLED ENTITY 144087 · CALIFORNIA — NORTHERN · FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

155 Bardin Rd., Salinas, CA 93905 · 7,217 students · 7,217 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	$104K	$158K	$157K	$968K	$602K	$223K	$301K	$159K	$226K	$453K	$471K	$503K	$471K	$344K	$1.3M	$1.3M
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	88%	87%	89%	86%	50%	63%	79%	81%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	2	2	2	4	3	3	4	2	3	4	4	7	5	4	6	6
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	$8K	$27K	$43K	$54K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	$360K	$431K	$391K	$273K	$263K	$347K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	$761K	$418K	$55K	$150K	—	$59K	$265K	—	—	—	—	$950K	$841K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	$104K	$158K	$157K	$207K	$183K	$168K	$152K	$150K	$141K	$146K	$56K	$73K	$80K	$48K	$74K	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	$23K	$19K	$154K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unspecified	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	$104K	$158K	$157K	$207K	$183K	$168K	$152K	$159K	$168K	$188K	$471K	$503K	$471K	$321K	$337K	$347K
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	$761K	$418K	$55K	$150K	—	$59K	$265K	—	—	—	$23K	$969K	$995K
Total	$104K	$158K	$157K	$968K	$602K	$223K	$301K	$159K	$226K	$453K	$471K	$503K	$471K	$344K	$1.3M	$1.3M

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Pacific Bell Telephone Company	$79K	$102K	$96K	$145K	$122K	$120K	$120K	$129K	$140K	$155K	$413K	$428K	$388K	$269K	$258K	$288K
At&T Corp.	$25K	$56K	$61K	$61K	$62K	$48K	$32K	$30K	$28K	$33K	$58K	$52K	$55K	$45K	$73K	$34K
Ams.Net, Inc.	—	—	—	$547K	$418K	$55K	$144K	—	$59K	$71K	—	—	—	$23K	$754K	$995K
Advanced Cabling Technology	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sbc Internet Services, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	$11K
Walker Comm, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sbc Datacomm, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP %	Urban/Rural
Buckhorn Early Learning Center	1081 Buckhorn Dr 93905	Salinas	85%	109	109	100%	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Alisal Union Elem Sch District (continued)

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	$505K	$519K	$545K	$507K	$1.5M	$579K	$1.1M	$118K	$148K	$794K	$1.8M	$70K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	8	9	9	7	15	14	11	10	7	7	3	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	$345K	$331K	$331K	$326K	$198K	$200K	$227K	$57K	$118K	$106K	$94K	$70K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	$1.1M	$370K	$732K	–	$30K	$688K	$1.7M	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	$30K	$59K	$121K	$102K	$119K	$9K	$102K	$62K	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	$129K	$130K	$93K	$80K	$103K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Unspecified	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Broadband Category 1	$375K	$390K	$451K	$427K	$317K	$209K	$329K	$118K	$118K	$106K	$94K	$70K
Equipment Category 2	$129K	$130K	$93K	$80K	$1.2M	$370K	$732K	–	$30K	$688K	$1.7M	–
Total	$505K	$519K	$545K	$507K	$1.5M	$579K	$1.1M	$118K	$148K	$794K	$1.8M	$70K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Pacific Bell Telephone Company	$332K	$304K	$299K	$328K	$195K	$147K	$158K	$57K	$91K	$96K	$94K	$70K
At&T Corp.	$676	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ams.Net, Inc.	$129K	$130K	$93K	$80K	$486K	$183K	$626K	–	–	$498K	–	–
Advanced Cabling Technology	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	$163K	$1.7M	–
Sbc Internet Services, Inc.	$15K	$44K	$102K	$85K	$102K	–	$88K	$62K	–	–	–	–
Walker Comm, Inc.	–	–	–	–	$446K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sbc Datacomm, Inc.	–	–	–	–	$258K	$113K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.