

Elverta Joint Elem School Dist

BILLED ENTITY 144550 • CALIFORNIA — NORTHERN • FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

7900 Eloise Ave, Elverta, CA 95626 • 88 students • 58 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$40K	\$25K	\$21K	\$41K	\$21K	\$22K	\$21K	\$25K	\$27K	\$39K	\$35K	\$112K	\$38K	\$132K	\$10K	\$10K
Average Discount Rate	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	30%	62%	60%	68%	84%	86%	90%	80%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	4	3	2	3	2	1	1	4	5	4	5	4	5	9	3	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$823	\$873	\$4K	\$5K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$30K	\$40K	\$38K	\$31K	\$10K	\$10K
Internal Connections	\$30K	\$3K	—	\$26K	\$1K	—	—	—	\$6K	—	—	—	—	\$101K	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$10K	\$22K	\$21K	\$15K	\$20K	\$22K	\$21K	\$24K	\$21K	\$35K	—	\$72K	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$10K	\$22K	\$21K	\$15K	\$20K	\$22K	\$21K	\$25K	\$22K	\$39K	\$35K	\$112K	\$38K	\$31K	\$10K	\$10K
Equipment Category 2	\$30K	\$3K	—	\$26K	\$1K	—	—	—	\$6K	—	—	—	—	\$101K	—	—
Total	\$40K	\$25K	\$21K	\$41K	\$21K	\$22K	\$21K	\$25K	\$27K	\$39K	\$35K	\$112K	\$38K	\$132K	\$10K	\$10K
Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.																
Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Comcast Business Communications	—	\$16K	\$15K	\$15K	\$20K	\$22K	\$21K	\$24K	\$21K	\$35K	\$30K	\$29K	\$26K	\$18K	—	—
Pacific Bell Telephone Company	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	—	—	—	—	\$803	\$805	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K
Sprint Spectrum, L.P.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$17	\$57	\$168	\$213	\$78K	\$5K	\$5K	\$3K	\$4K
K S Telecom, Inc.	\$16K	—	—	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$34K	—	—
Decotech Systems, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$47K	—	—
Gigakom	—	—	—	\$23K	\$1K	—	—	—	\$6K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Team One Networking Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$20K	—	—
Development Group, Inc	\$13K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sbc Advanced Solutions, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Recipient Demographics (latest profile)																
Recipient	Address			City			Discount Rate			Students		NSLP		NSLP % Urban/Rural		
Alpha Charter School	8920 Elwyn Ave 95626			Elverta			80%			88		58		66% Urban		

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Elverta Joint Elem School Dist (continued)

BEN 144550 • CA-NORTH

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$9K	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$13K	\$11K	\$8K	\$6K	—	—	—	—
Average Discount Rate	67%	80%	80%	80%	73%	74%	73%	72%	—	—	—	—
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	3	6	5	5	4	4	3	3	—	—	—	—
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	\$9K	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$13K	\$11K	\$8K	\$6K	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$9K	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$13K	\$11K	\$8K	\$6K	—	—	—	—
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$9K	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$13K	\$11K	\$8K	\$6K	—	—	—	—

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Comcast Business Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pacific Bell Telephone Company	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	—	—	—	—
Sprint Spectrum, L.P.	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$4K	\$2K	—	—	—	—
K S Telecom, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decotech Systems, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gigakom	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Team One Networking Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Development Group, Inc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sbc Advanced Solutions, Inc.	—	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.