

Grant Elem School District

BILLED ENTITY 144696 • CALIFORNIA — NORTHERN • FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

8835 Swasey Dr, Redding, CA 96001 • 87 students • 6 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$15K	\$12K	\$56K	\$8K	\$13K	\$20K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K	\$12K	\$6K	\$7K
Average Discount Rate	60%	70%	50%	50%	50%	50%	60%	50%	50%	30%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	3	2	4	4	5	6	5	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	5,500	5,500	1,000	1,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5,500	5,500	1,000	1,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$350	\$1K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$5K	\$5K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Internal Connections	\$5K	—	\$47K	—	—	\$7K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K	\$8K	\$13K	\$13K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	\$4K	\$3K	\$7K	\$9K	\$3K	\$4K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K	\$8K	\$13K	\$13K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K	\$12K	\$6K	\$7K
Equipment Category 2	\$5K	—	\$47K	—	—	\$7K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$15K	\$12K	\$56K	\$8K	\$13K	\$20K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K	\$12K	\$6K	\$7K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Shasta County Office Of Education	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Ams.Net, Inc.	—	—	\$47K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Charter Communications Operating, Llc	—	—	—	—	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$7K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Charter Fiberlink Ca-Cco, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	—	—
Pacific Bell Telephone Company	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$341	\$981	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Cdw Government Llc	—	—	—	—	—	\$7K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Corp.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$9	\$36	\$53	\$62	\$61	\$65	\$107
Comer Comm. Inc.	\$5K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Verizon Wireless	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$313	\$412	\$350

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)							
Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP %	Urban/Rural
Grant Preschool	8835 Swasey Dr 96001	Redding	60%	87	6	7%	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Grant Elem School District (continued)

BEN 144696 • CA-NORTH

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$653	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	50%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$653	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$653	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$653	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Shasta County Office Of Education	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ams.Net, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Charter Communications Operating, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Charter Fiberlink Ca-Cco, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pacific Bell Telephone Company	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	—	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Cdw Government Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Corp.	\$758	\$112	\$1K	\$1K	\$868	\$795	\$653	\$567	\$592	\$470	\$435	—
Comer Comm. Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Verizon Wireless	\$351	\$700	\$365	\$447	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.