

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

California

California's 30th District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$673,456	\$850,853	\$1,334,556	\$2,388,406	\$2,889,113	\$698,083	\$661,097	\$1,089,377
Average Discount Rate	56%	61%	59%	56%	63%	60%	71%	65%
Number of Service Providers	14	19	17	18	20	17	17	27
Number of Billed Entities	30	30	32	30	30	26	27	29
– School District BENs	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	4
– School BENs	23	23	25	23	23	21	21	24
– Consortium BENs	1	1	1	1	1	–	1	1

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$13,313
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$234,791	\$145,865	\$787,908	\$1,859,094	\$2,315,478	\$261,442	\$217,128	\$543,107
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$438,665	\$548,854	\$489,543	\$495,961	\$509,475	\$391,079	\$443,969	\$481,340
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	\$134	\$45,753	\$6,641	\$4,884	\$760	\$0	\$30,600
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$156,001	\$11,352	\$26,710	\$59,276	\$44,802	\$0	\$21,017

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Glendale Unified School Dist	\$176K	\$214K	\$155K	\$1.5M	\$1.4M	\$184K	\$278K	\$235K	\$3.4M	\$683K
Archdiocese Los Angeles Schs	–	–	\$527K	\$454K	\$871K	–	\$183K	\$287K	\$1.9M	\$370K
Fenton Charter Public Schools	\$123K	\$118K	\$304K	\$110K	\$150K	–	–	–	\$806K	\$161K
Birmingham Community Charter High School	\$202K	\$172K	\$69K	\$0	\$44K	\$25K	\$42K	\$255K	\$487K	\$97K
Citizens Of The World Charter Schools Los Angeles	\$35K	\$55K	\$59K	\$83K	\$155K	\$127K	\$21K	\$61K	\$387K	\$77K
Larchmont Charter School Network Office	\$21K	\$48K	\$60K	\$62K	–	–	–	–	\$191K	\$38K
Burbank Unified School Dist	–	\$14K	\$6K	\$37K	\$123K	\$212K	\$17K	\$15K	\$180K	\$36K
Gabriella Charter Schools	\$29K	\$34K	\$39K	\$30K	\$36K	\$16K	\$25K	–	\$169K	\$34K
James Jordan Middle School	\$9K	\$71K	\$17K	\$9K	\$12K	\$14K	\$12K	\$14K	\$119K	\$24K
Immaculate Heart High School & Middle School	\$5K	\$36K	\$10K	\$10K	\$29K	\$12K	\$12K	\$30K	\$90K	\$18K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Ams.Net, Inc.	–	–	–	\$1.3M	\$1.2M	–	–	–	\$2.6M	\$510K
Charter Communications Operating, Llc	\$291K	\$360K	\$317K	\$359K	\$369K	\$278K	\$290K	\$294K	\$1.7M	\$339K
Aaa Solar Electric, Inc	–	–	–	\$454K	\$871K	–	\$183K	\$287K	\$1.3M	\$265K
Convergeone, Inc.	–	–	\$527K	–	–	–	\$35K	–	\$527K	\$105K
La County Office Of Education (Lacoe)	\$96K	\$115K	\$93K	\$65K	\$90K	\$64K	\$94K	\$85K	\$460K	\$92K
Vector Resources, Inc.	\$59K	\$44K	\$230K	–	\$9K	–	–	–	\$342K	\$68K
At&T Enterprises, Llc	\$51K	\$70K	\$72K	\$62K	\$32K	\$19K	\$12K	\$34K	\$287K	\$57K
Golden Star Technology Inc.	\$151K	\$71K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$223K	\$45K
Datalink Networks, Inc	–	\$53K	\$41K	\$0	\$19K	\$0	\$0	\$212K	\$113K	\$23K
John E. De Leon	–	–	–	\$14K	\$89K	\$83K	–	\$61K	\$103K	\$21K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	5,124	5,044	4,883	4,501	4,931	5,115	5,028	4,866	4,464	5,728

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.