

# **E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS**

*District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025*

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

# About This Report

---

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district's largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

---

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data ([opendata.usac.org](https://opendata.usac.org)), retrieved 2026-06-10.

# Contents

---

**Florida**

Florida's 15th District ..... 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$127,590	\$218,419	\$182,995	\$259,109	\$390,943	\$234,499	\$224,591	\$134,865
Average Discount Rate	79%	82%	82%	82%	82%	81%	76%	67%
Number of Service Providers	13	10	9	12	15	10	7	9
Number of Billed Entities	13	13	13	15	14	15	13	13
– School District BENs	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
– School BENs	11	11	11	11	10	11	8	8
– Library BENs	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$192
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$37,470	\$29,270	\$16,757	\$82,300	\$185,927	\$86,029	\$83,685	\$19,158
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$79,240	\$150,849	\$146,054	\$163,364	\$174,755	\$136,838	\$125,250	\$104,500
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	\$0	–	\$0	\$19,244	\$616	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$10,880	\$38,300	\$20,184	\$13,446	\$11,016	\$11,016	\$15,657	\$11,016
Wi-Fi Hotspots Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
American Youth Academy	\$20K	\$49K	\$29K	\$29K	\$96K	\$39K	\$3K	\$5K	\$223K	\$45K
Lakeland Public Library	–	\$37K	\$40K	\$36K	\$55K	\$53K	\$36K	\$36K	\$169K	\$34K
Victory Christian Academy	\$17K	\$23K	\$15K	\$8K	\$83K	\$15K	\$11K	\$7K	\$146K	\$29K
Bridgeprep Academy Of Riverview	\$18K	\$22K	\$22K	\$35K	\$12K	\$17K	\$16K	–	\$111K	\$22K
Pinecrest Wesley Chapel	–	\$14K	\$12K	\$58K	\$22K	\$10K	–	–	\$107K	\$21K
Advantage Academy Of Hillsborough	\$11K	\$23K	\$9K	\$14K	\$22K	\$12K	\$40K	\$9K	\$79K	\$16K
Florida Autism Center Of Excellence	\$16K	\$15K	\$16K	\$16K	\$9K	\$9K	\$17K	\$4K	\$73K	\$15K
New Springs Schools	\$22K	\$4K	\$3K	\$17K	\$24K	\$2K	\$3K	–	\$70K	\$14K
Independence Academy School	\$15K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$16K	\$17K	\$51K	\$8K	\$62K	\$12K
Academy At The Farm	\$9K	\$13K	\$12K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	\$47K	\$9K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Charter Communications Operating, Llc	\$57K	\$135K	\$125K	\$145K	\$142K	\$122K	\$101K	\$84K	\$604K	\$121K
Verizon Florida Llc.	\$22K	\$27K	\$14K	\$18K	\$16K	\$9K	\$6K	\$3K	\$98K	\$20K
Cdw Government Llc	\$18K	\$23K	–	\$7K	\$24K	\$14K	\$72K	–	\$72K	\$14K
R.E. Communications Of Tampa	–	–	–	–	\$65K	\$35K	–	\$3K	\$65K	\$13K
Powermax 360 Llc	–	\$6K	\$9K	–	\$39K	–	–	–	\$54K	\$11K
Technologylab, Llc	\$11K	\$13K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	\$37K	\$7K
Pc Solutions & Integration, Inc.	–	–	–	\$35K	–	–	–	\$219	\$35K	\$7K
Vfr Research And Development Corporation	–	–	–	\$12K	\$18K	–	–	–	\$30K	\$6K
Airespring, Inc.	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	–	–	–	\$30K	\$6K
Dbc Systems, DbA Darotek	–	–	–	–	\$23K	–	–	–	\$23K	\$5K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	1,905	2,591	1,511	1,354	1,242	1,807	2,364	1,177	1,010	885

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.